

1 An overview of lava dome evolution, dome collapse and cyclicity at  
2 Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, 2005-2007

3 <sup>1</sup>Loughlin, S. C., <sup>1</sup>Luckett, R., <sup>2,3</sup>Ryan, G., <sup>2,4</sup>Christopher, T., <sup>2</sup>Hards, V., <sup>2,5</sup>De  
4 Angelis, S., <sup>2</sup>Jones, L., <sup>2</sup>Strutt, M.

5 <sup>1</sup> British Geological Survey, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3LA, United  
6 Kingdom

7 <sup>2</sup> British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, United Kingdom

8 <sup>3</sup> Now at Institute of Earth Science and Engineering, University of Auckland, PB  
9 92019, Auckland, 1142, New Zealand

10 <sup>4</sup> Now at: Seismic Research Centre at Montserrat Volcano Observatory, Flemmings,  
11 Montserrat, WI.

12 <sup>5</sup> Now at: Department of Earth Sciences, Bristol University, Wills Memorial Building,  
13 Queens Road, Bristol, BS8 1RJ

14

15 **Abstract.** The third episode of lava dome growth at Soufrière Hills Volcano,  
16 Montserrat was characterised by higher average magma discharge rates than either  
17 previous dome growth episode at this volcano and yet fewer collapses. During  
18 sustained dome growth at moderate-high average rates ( $>6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ), we identified 2-6  
19 week discharge pulses that supplied c.20  $\text{Mm}^3$  magma from depth. Our observations  
20 are consistent with some existing models but we explain discrepancies by a  
21 combination of higher volatile contents and higher ascent rates. Cycles of c. 11-16  
22 days were evident in rockfall, LP rockfall and shallow LP earthquake counts related to  
23 dome growth and degassing. We speculate that degassing at the conduit margins  
24 together with stick-slip conduit flow may drive these cycles. Only one major collapse  
25  $>10 \text{ Mm}^3$  occurred during the third episode (on May 20, 2006) as a new magma pulse  
26 entered the dome and coincided with heavy rainfall.  
27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 The ongoing eruption of the andesitic Soufrière Hills Volcano (SHV) began in 1995  
30 and up until April 2008 there had been three 2-3 year long episodes of lava dome  
31 growth. During the third episode, there were higher average discharge rates ( $\sim 5.6$   
32  $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ) than either previous dome growth episode [Ryan *et al.*, 2010], yet there were  
33 fewer dome collapses. There were also fewer hybrid earthquakes and the 20 May  
34 2006 dome collapse had no hybrid precursors [Luckett *et al.*, 2008].

35 Recognising cycles as the magmatic system evolves and understanding what controls  
36 them is critical if scientists are to effectively forecast eruptive activity. We identify  
37 cyclic activity on various scales from minutes to months based mainly on  
38 observational data and seismic data (rockfall counts) and test these against existing  
39 models. Our paper provides an overview of the third episode of dome growth in terms  
40 of observed extrusion cycles, related seismicity and dome collapses. The implications  
41 for magma supply and volcanic hazards are discussed.

42

43 **2. Previous cyclic activity and dome growth at SHV**

44 Modelling the dynamics of magma flow in conduits has shown that periodic  
45 behaviour over weeks to years is to be expected as a result of non-linear processes  
46 related to degassing, gas exsolution, pressurisation and rheological stiffening in the  
47 shallow conduit [eg *Melnik and Sparks, 2002, 2005; Costa et al., 2007*].

48 *Voight et al. [1998]* described short cycles in 1997 defined by tilt, seismicity and  
49 eruptive activity over periods of 4 to 36 hours. They were explained by pressurisation  
50 in the upper conduit related to non-linear dynamics of magma flow with stick-slip  
51 flow [e.g. *Denlinger and Hoblitt, 1999; Voight et al., 1999; Wylie et al., 1999*].

52 Eruptive cycles over 6-7 weeks were recognised in 1997 and were commonly  
53 associated with major dome collapses (*Sparks & Young, 2002; Watts et al., 2002*).

54 *Watts et al. (2002)* demonstrated how fluctuating discharge rates during these pulses  
55 related to emplacement of specific features in the dome. High discharge rates ( $>7$   
56  $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ) resulted in fluid lava capable of axisymmetric lateral spreading ('pancake'  
57 lobes), moderate rates ( $2\text{-}7 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ) in the formation of shear lobes, and low rates ( $<2$   
58  $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ) resulted in the formation of spines and megaspines. *Costa et al. [2007]*  
59 explained these 6-7 week cycles by modelling magma flow through an ellipsoidal  
60 dyke in an elastic medium extending from a magma chamber at a depth of 5 km to  
61 within  $\sim 1$  km of the surface where there is a smooth transition to a cylindrical conduit  
62 (assuming constant source pressure).

63

64 **3. Monitoring data and methods**

65 We use seismic data from the Montserrat Volcano Observatory (MVO) broadband  
66 network [Lockett *et al.*, 2008] and photographs of the dome taken every minute by  
67 fixed cameras at Perches Mountain 1 km to the SE and Windy Hill 3 km to the N (Fig.  
68 1) to identify cyclic activity. Dome volumes were calculated using photo methods  
69 [Ryan *et al.*, 2010] and ground-based LiDAR [Jones, 2006]. All volumes and  
70 discharge rates are dense rock equivalent (DRE) as calculated by Sparks *et al.* [1998]  
71 and Ryan *et al.* [2010]. All times are local.

72

73 **4. Cycles of lava dome growth**

74 Based mainly on evidence from time-lapse photographs, helicopter photographs and  
75 other observations, we identified 15 dome growth stages including 9 major 2-6 week  
76 pulses in discharge rate (Table 1). The onset of each major pulse was characterised by  
77 a switch in extrusion direction, a sudden increase in discharge rate and emplacement  
78 of a new feature (eg shear lobe, megaspine or pancake lobe). Discharge rates declined  
79 towards the end of each cycle.

80 The first growth stage began on August 1, 2005 and was characterised by average  
81 discharge rates increasing to  $4 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  in January 2006 [Ryan *et al.*, 2010]. By January  
82 27, 2006,  $23 \text{ Mm}^3$  of magma had been discharged [Ryan *et al.*, 2010] and the dome  
83 had a height of 170m. On February 9, very vigorous ash venting and degassing from  
84 a single vent marked the onset of a major eruptive pulse (growth stage 2). From  
85 February 10, fluid lava was discharged at high average rates ( $>15 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ) forming a  
86 flat-topped pancake lobe that raised the dome height by over 50 m. Subsequent major  
87 pulses (growth stages 3-5) comprised emplacement of subvertical shear lobes and  
88 megaspines at average rates  $>6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ . Following a total dome collapse on May 20,  
89 2006 when the dome had reached a height of 328m, dome growth resumed almost  
90 immediately. Very vigorous ash and gas venting on August 31 preceded another pulse  
91 of fluid lava (growth stage 6) at high discharge rates. Later pulses were again  
92 dominated by subvertical shear lobes and megaspines (Table 1).

93 Dome growth ended on April 4, 2007, leaving a dome of volume  $203 \text{ Mm}^3$  (non-  
94 DRE) and height  $\sim 370\text{m}$  [Ryan *et al.*, 2010].

95

96 **5. Seismic characteristics**

97 Rockfalls, long period (LP) rockfalls and LP earthquake signals dominated dome  
98 growth seismicity [Luckett *et al.*, 2008]. LP rockfall signals are thought to be caused  
99 by violent degassing at the surface of the dome that triggers a nearby rockfall [Luckett  
100 *et al.*, 2002, 2008]. LP earthquakes are interpreted as pressurisation in the conduit.

101 There was a steady increase in cumulative rockfall energy from February until early  
102 May 2006 (Fig. 2). On May 6, the extrusion direction switched to the southwest,  
103 where runout length is restricted by the crater wall. The May 20, 2006 and January 8,  
104 2007 dome collapses both followed a switch from southwest to northerly extrusion.

105 Cycles in seismic data were on a time scale of days and were therefore independent of  
106 the 2-6 week cycles in discharge rate. A 10-11 day rockfall periodicity was evident  
107 from February 1 2006 until June 4 2006 (Fig. 3). These cycles broke down (and  
108 counts reached low levels) on June 8, 2006. Similarly, from July 1 2006, a c.16 day  
109 cycle began from August 29 to January 2007 (Fig. 2).

110 The relationship between extrusion rates and rockfall counts is not straightforward  
111 (e.g. Ryan *et al.*, 2010) and depends on dome morphology and the location of lava  
112 extrusion. For example, there may be a short lag between the onset of high extrusion  
113 rates at the summit and increased rockfall activity (e.g. April 5-7, 2006; Wadge *et al.*,  
114 2008).

115

116 **6. Dome collapses**

117 Dome collapses typically took place during or soon after the sudden onset of a 2-6  
118 week pulse in discharge rate and change in extrusion direction.

119 **6.1 May 20, 2006**

120 A pulse began on May 20 2006 and at 05:52, a large LP earthquake immediately  
121 preceded the start of the collapse and occurred at the peak of rainfall intensity on  
122 Garibaldi Hill (Fig.1). The seismograms from five seismic stations show a prolonged  
123 buildup to the collapse lasting c. 90 minutes, two marked peaks including high and  
124 low frequency signals, followed by a rapid decline over c. 30 minutes (Fig. 4). The  
125 collapse intensified at 07:32. Two sharp low amplitude/high energy release signals at  
126 07.36 and 07.43 are interpreted as vertical explosions that resulted in observed  
127 showers of lithic and rare pumice fragments (<5%) over northern parts of the island  
128 (<6 cm at Olveston). Surges swept up to 3 km northwards from Tar River delta  
129 reaching Spanish Point and White's Yard in a similar manner to the 2003 collapse  
130 [*Edmonds and Herd, 2005*].

131 The total collapse volume was 97 Mm<sup>3</sup>, comprising 85.2 Mm<sup>3</sup> dome and talus  
132 measured on May 18, 0.7 Mm<sup>3</sup> lava extruded between 18-20 May (assuming average  
133 discharge rates, *Ryan et al., 2010*), and 11 Mm<sup>3</sup> older dome and talus remnants. The  
134 collapse resulted in the rapid release of c. 200 kt of SO<sub>2</sub> into the stratosphere  
135 [*Prata et al., 2006; Carn et al., 2006*]. There was a gas-to-collapse-volume ratio of  
136 ~2.0 kt SO<sub>2</sub> per Mm<sup>3</sup> collapsed material. This compares to ~0.4kt SO<sub>2</sub> per Mm<sup>3</sup>  
137 collapsed material at previous dome collapses [*Edmonds et al., 2003*].

138



139 **6.2 June 30, 2006**

140 Two swarms of small LP earthquakes occurred June 25-27 and June 29-30,  
141 accompanied by frequent rockfalls. The second swarm culminated in a partial dome  
142 collapse into Tar River valley. The collapse started at 12:51 LT and pyroclastic flows  
143 reached the sea at 12:58. It lasted just 18 minutes and removed ~2 Mm<sup>3</sup> andesite from  
144 the dome.

145 **6.3 January 8, 2007**

146 A switch in discharge direction began on December 24, 2006, with vigorous ash  
147 venting and dome-collapse pyroclastic flows 200-300 m down Tyer's Ghaut (Fig. 1).  
148 There was vigorous ash venting from 05:30 on January 8, followed by three audible  
149 explosive pulses at 06:04-06:05, 06:05-06:10 and 06:15, the last of which coincided  
150 with the largest pyroclastic flow [*De Angelis et al.*, 2007], which travelled c. 5.5 km  
151 down the Belham Valley reaching Cork Hill for the first time since September 1997.  
152 Flows also travelled down Paradise River to Harris and surges swept across Farrells to  
153 Streatham and Harris. Flows included dense andesite and pumice (much more pumice  
154 than May 20, 2006) consistent with rapid decompression of the freshly emplaced lobe  
155 interior. The ash plume rose to c. 10 km. Subsequently, activity continued with  
156 pyroclastic flows of 1.5 km runout every 5-7 minutes for the next 90 minutes. Each  
157 flow was preceded by a small pulse of ash venting. Melt inclusions in pumice from  
158 this collapse contain 6.2 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O [*Humphreys et al.*, 2009] significantly higher than  
159 previous analyses (4.5 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O, *Barclay et al.*, 1998) and suggests magma storage at  
160 high pressures.  
161

162 **7. Discussion**

163 The volume of magma erupted during 2005-7 was similar to that in 1995-8 but it was  
164 discharged at higher average rates [Ryan *et al.*, 2009]. Emplacement of fluid pancake  
165 lobes accompanied by vigorous degassing was more common, implying these pulses  
166 had high magma ascent rates and limited degassing-induced crystallisation.  
167 Subsequent pulses produced megaspines or shear lobes characterised by extensive  
168 degassing-induced crystallisation. Such significant changes in the magma dynamics  
169 can be explained by slight changes in a single parameter such as volatile content [eg  
170 *Melnik and Sparks*, 2005].

171 The 2-6 week pulses identified here on the basis of extrusion morphologies and  
172 discharge rates are probably equivalent to 6-7 week cycles defined by tilt, hybrid  
173 earthquake swarms and eruptive activity in 1997 [*Sparks and Young*, 2002]. In 1997,  
174 each pulse produced an average volume of  $\sim 30 \text{ Mm}^3$ , whereas these shorter pulses  
175 produced on average  $20 \text{ Mm}^3$ . We speculate that some threshold excess pressure  
176 necessary for extrusion of the pulses is now lower. Assuming that total magma  
177 chamber pressure remained constant and the magma retains more gas relative to  
178 previous episodes due to rapid ascent, it would be less dense and therefore more  
179 overpressured near the chamber top. Rapid ascent would inhibit gas separation and  
180 most degassing would then occur nearer the surface. Many dome samples are  
181 vesicular implying high gas contents on extrusion. Most pulses were capable of  
182 raising the dome height by c.50 m, suggesting a roughly constant excess pressure of  
183 about 1.5 MPa (assuming a lava density of  $2400 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ ) that must build up before  
184 each pulse is released. *Costa et al.*, [2007] stated that the periodicity of flow through a  
185 dyke depends on parameters such as influx rate and aspect ratio of the dyke, with

186 periodicity typically decreasing with larger aspect ratios. This is consistent with lower  
187 excess pressures and a subsequently thinner dyke.

188 The periodicity in shallow LP earthquakes, LP rockfalls and rockfall counts every 11-  
189 16 days must relate to a regular and pulsatory supply of gas into the dome during  
190 growth. Based on average discharge rates [Ryan *et al.*, 2010] each 11-16 day cycle  
191 relates to the flux of c. 6-11 Mm<sup>3</sup> lava through the conduit system. Cycles over  
192 several days are more likely to be controlled by processes in the conduit than the  
193 dome, possibly related to shear at the conduit margins where gas separation can occur  
194 and there is possible stick-slip flow. Shorter pulsations in pyroclastic flow activity (eg  
195 5-7 minutes on 8 January 2007) appeared to relate to explosive activity.

196 The onset of a pulse in discharge rate combined with a change in extrusion direction  
197 raises the likelihood of a dome collapse as observed early in the eruption (Calder *et*  
198 *al.*, 2002). On May 20, 2006 heavy rainfall coincided with the pulse onset and a 10-11  
199 day peak in rockfall activity (degassing) was due. The high SO<sub>2</sub> release during the  
200 May 20, 2006 dome collapse may be attributed to a higher porosity in the dome than  
201 previously. Loading by the dome may also have closed the fractures in the conduit  
202 wall inducing storage in the upper conduit [eg Taisne & Jaupart, 2008]. Alternatively,  
203 there may have been an unusually large mass of SO<sub>2</sub> associated with the new magma  
204 pulse.

205

206 **Acknowledgements**

207 We thank staff and volunteers at the MVO for technical assistance and support  
208 throughout the eruption period. Thanks to Jenni Barclay at University of East Anglia  
209 for Garibaldi Hill weather station data on May 20, 2006. We publish with permission  
210 of the Executive Director of the British Geological Survey (NERC).

211

212 **References**

- 213 Barclay, J, Rutherford, M. J., Carroll, M. R., Murphy, M. D., Devine, J. D., Gardner,  
214 J. and Sparks, R. S. J. (1998), Experimental phase equilibria constraints on pre-  
215 eruptive storage conditions of the Soufriere Hills magma. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25,  
216 3437-3440.
- 217 Calder, E. S., R. Luckett, R. S. J. Sparks, and B. Voight (2002), Mechanisms of lava  
218 dome instability and generation of rockfalls and pyroclastic flows at Soufriere Hills  
219 Volcano, Montserrat, in *The Eruption of Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, from*  
220 *1995 to 1999*, edited by T. H. Druitt and B. P. Kokelaar, pp. 173-190, Geological  
221 Society, London, Memoirs, 21.
- 222 Carn, S. A., N. A. Krotkov, K. Yang, R. M. Hoff, A. J. Prata, A. J. Krueger, S. C.  
223 Loughlin, P. F. Levelt, (2007). Extended Observations of Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> and Sulfate  
224 Aerosol in the Stratosphere. *Atm. Chem. Phys. Disc.*, 7, 2857-2871.
- 225 Costa, A., Melnik, O., Sparks, R. S. J. and Voight, B. (2007). Control of magma flow  
226 in dykes on cyclic lava dome effusion. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, no. 2, L02303.1-  
227 L02303.5
- 228 De Angelis, S., V. Bass, V. Hards, G. Ryan. (2007). Seismic characterization of  
229 pyroclastic flow activity at Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, 8 January 2007. *Nat.*  
230 *Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 7, 467-472.
- 231 Denlinger, R. and Hoblitt, R. P. (1999). Cyclic eruptive behaviour of silicis volcanoes.  
232 *Geology*, 27, 459-462.
- 233 Edmonds, M., Oppenheimer, C. M., Pyle, D. M., Herd, R. A., Thompson, G. (2003).  
234 SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from Soufriere Hills Volcano and their relationship to conduit  
235 permeability, hydrothermal interaction and degassing regime. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm.*  
236 *Res.*, 124 (1-2), 23-43. DOI:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00041-6.

237 Edmonds, M. and Herd, R. A. (2005). Inland-directed base surge generated by the  
238 explosive interaction of pyroclastic flows and seawater at Soufriere Hills volcano,  
239 Montserrat. *Geology*, 33, 245-248. DOI: 10.1130/G21166.1

240 Hards, V., Strutt, M., De Angelis, S., Ryan, G., Christopher, T., Syers, T. And Bass,  
241 V. (2008). *Report to the Scientific Advisory Committee, April 2008*. Montserrat  
242 Volcano Observatory Open File Report.

243 Taisne, B. and Jaupart, C. 2008. Magma degassing and intermittent lava dome  
244 growth. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35, L20310 DOI10.1029/2008GL035432

245 Humphreys, M. C. S., M. Edmonds, T. Christopher, and V. Hards (2009), Chlorine  
246 variations in the magma of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat: Insights from Cl in  
247 hornblende and melt inclusions, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 73(19), 5693-5708.

248 Jones, L. D. (2006), Monitoring landslides in hazardous terrain using terrestrial  
249 LiDAR: an example from Montserrat. , *Q. J. Eng. Geol. Hydrol.*, 39, 371-373.

250 Loughlin et al. 2006. *Report to the Scientific Advisory Committee, August 2006*. MVO  
251 Open File Report 07/06.

252 Luckett, R., Baptie, B. and Neuberg, J. (2002). The relationship between degassing  
253 and rockfall signals at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. In. Druitt, T.H. and  
254 Kokelaar, B.P. (eds.) *The eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, from 1995*  
255 *to 1999*. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 21, 595-602.

256 Luckett, R., S. Loughlin, S. De Angelis, and G. Ryan (2008), Volcanic seismicity at  
257 Montserrat, a comparison between the 2005 dome growth episode and earlier dome  
258 growth, *J. Volcanol. and Geothermal Research*, 177(4), 894-902.

259 Melnik, O., and R. S. J. Sparks (1999), Nonlinear dynamics of lava dome discharge,  
260 *Nature*, 402(6757), 37-41.

261 Melnik, O. and Sparks, R. S. J. (2005). Controls on conduit magma flow dynamics  
262 during lava dome building eruptions. *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, B02209,  
263 doi:10.1029/2004JB003183.

264 Prata, A. J., S. A. Carn, A. Stohl, J. Kerkmann. (2007). Long range transport and fate  
265 of a stratospheric volcanic cloud from Soufriere Hills volcano, Montserrat  
266 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *7*, 5093-5103.

267 Ryan, G. A., Loughlin, S. C., James, M. R., Jones, L. D., Calder, E. S., Christopher,  
268 T., Strutt, M. H., and Wadge, G. Growth of the lava dome and discharge rates at  
269 Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat West Indies: 2005-2008, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,  
270 (*this issue*).

271 Sparks, R. S. J., et al. (1998), Magma production and growth of the lava dome of the  
272 Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, West Indies: November 1995 to December 1997,  
273 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *25* (18), 3421-3424.

274 Sparks R. S. J. and S. R. Young, (2002) The eruption of Soufriere Hills Volcano,  
275 Montserrat (1995-199): overview of scientific results. In *The Eruption of Soufriere*  
276 *Hills Volcano, Montserrat, from 1995 to 1999*, edited by T. H. Druitt and B. P.  
277 Kokelaar, pp. 115-152, Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 21.

278 Voight, B., Hoblitt, R. P., Clarke, A. B., Lockhart, A. B., Miller, A. D., Lynch, L. and  
279 McMahon, J. (1998). Remarkable cyclic ground deformation monitored in real-time  
280 on Montserrat, and its use in eruption forecasting, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *25* (18), 3405-  
281 3408.

282 Voight, B. *et al.*, (1999), Magma flow instability and cyclic activity at Soufriere Hills  
283 Volcano, Montserrat, B. W. I., *Science*, *283*, 1138-1142.

284 Wadge, G., *et al.* (2008), Lava dome growth and mass wasting measured by a time  
285 series of ground-based radar and seismicity observations., *J.Geophys. Res.*, *113*,  
286 B08210, DOI: 10.1029/2007JB005466.

287 Watts, R. B., R. A. Herd, R. S. J. Sparks and S. R. Young (2002), Growth patterns  
288 and emplacement of the andesite lava dome at the Soufrière Hills Volcano,  
289 Montserrat. In *The Eruption of Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, from 1995 to*  
290 *1999*, edited by T. H. Druitt and B. P. Kokelaar, pp. 115-152, Geological Society,  
291 London Memoirs, 21.

292



293 **Figure 1.** Map of Montserrat showing seismic and camera monitoring sites and  
294 locations referred to in the text.

295 **Figure 2.** Rockfall counts and cumulative rockfall energy showing major eruptive  
296 events and growth stages, August 1, 2006 to April 30, 2007.

297 **Figure 3.** Rockfall, LP rockfall and LP earthquake counts and growth stages,  
298 February 1 to June 8 2006.

299 **Figure 4.** Seismograms from May 20, 2006 dome collapse showing two low  
300 amplitude/high energy signals interpreted as vertical explosions.

301

GROWTH STAGE	TIME PERIOD DD/MM/YY	DURATION (DAYS)	APPROX. VOL. ( $\times 10^6\text{m}^3$ )	MAIN FEATURE
1	1/8/05-8/2/06	192	~23	Pulsatory, shear lobes, spines, pancake lobes, endogenous growth
2	<b>9/2/06-24/2/06</b>	<b>15</b>	~17	<b>Pancake lobe</b>
3	<b>25/2/06-5/4/06</b>	<b>40</b>	~11	<b>NE/E lobe</b>
4	<b>6/4/06-5/5/06</b>	<b>30</b>	~21	<b>N/summit lobe</b>
5	<b>6/5/06-19/5/06</b>	<b>14</b>	~14	<b>SW lobe</b>
6	20/5/06-27/6/06	38	~27	Pulsatory, endogenous
7	28/6/06-8/8/06	42	~24	Pulsatory, shear lobes, spines, pancake lobes, endogenous growth
8	9/8/06-30/8/06	22	~16	E and W lobes
9	<b>31/8/06-20/9/06</b>	<b>21</b>	~17*	<b>Pancake lobe</b>
10	<b>21/9/06-5/11/06</b>	<b>46</b>	~35*	<b>NE/E lobe</b>
11	<b>6/11/06-11/12/06</b>	<b>36</b>	~28*	<b>N lobe</b>
12	<b>12/12/06-23/12/06</b>	<b>12</b>	~9*	<b>SW lobe</b>
13	<b>24/12/06-20/1/07</b>	<b>27</b>	~21*	<b>NW lobe</b>
14	<b>21/1/07-28/2/07</b>	<b>39</b>	~25	<b>SW lobe</b>
15	1/3/07-(4-20)/4/07	35+	~12	E/summit lobe

303

304 **Table 1.** Growth stages with estimated duration and volume, major eruptive cycles in  
305 bold. Volumes are estimated using average 2-4 week discharge rates (Ryan *et al.*,  
306 2010). \*Volumes estimated using a 6 month average discharge rate so subject to  
307 greater uncertainty.

308







