



# Article (refereed)

Mitschunas, Nadine; Filser, Juliane; Wagner, Markus. 2009 On the use of fungicides in ecological seed burial studies. Seed Science Research, 19. 51-60. 10.1017/S096025850818727X

Copyright © Cambridge University Press 2009

This version available at <a href="http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/6848/">http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/6848/</a>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the authors and/or other rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <a href="http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access">http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access</a>

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

http://journals.cambridge.org/

Contact CEH NORA team at nora@ceh.ac.uk

1	On the use of fungicides in ecological seed burial studies
2	
3	Nadine Mitschunas <sup>1,*</sup> , Juliane Filser <sup>1</sup> and Markus Wagner <sup>2</sup>
4	
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> University of Bremen, UFT Centre of Environmental Research and Technology, Dept. 10 -
7	General und Theoretical Ecology, Leobener Str., 28359 Bremen, Germany
8	<sup>2</sup> NERC Centre of Ecology and Hydrology Wallingford, Wallingford, UK
9	
10	*Current and corresponding address:
11	Nadine Mitschunas; Department of Landscape, University of Sheffield, Arts Tower, Western
12	Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K (Email: nadinemi@web.de)
13	
14	
15	Running title: Fungicide use in ecological seed burial studies
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

#### **Abstract**

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Evidence for effects of saprophytic fungi on buried seed demography is usually obtained from studies involving the simultaneous burial of fungicide-treated seeds and of untreated seeds. However, any potential influence of fungicide treatment on seed dormancy levels is generally ignored in these studies. Also, some studies assume that a combination of several fungicidal compounds provides better protection against a broader range of fungi, ignoring chemical interactions that may potentially occur between different compounds. To investigate these issues, we carried out a six-month burial experiment using seeds of Anthriscus sylvestris (L.) Hoffm., Centaurea nigra L., and Daucus carota L., and three substrates differing in organic matter content. Three fungicidal compounds, captan, iprodione, and mancozeb, were applied alone and in combination, including an untreated control. All fungicidal compounds and combinations thereof provided protection against fungal-induced seed mortality, and except for a low efficacy of iprodione in protecting seeds of Anthriscus, there were no pronounced differences in seed mortality between different fungicide treatments. Captan temporarily inhibited germination in *Centaurea*, whereas a similar inhibition in *Daucus* seeds caused by mancozeb was more long-lasting, suggesting an induction of secondary dormancy. Organic matter content only had a negligible influence on these results. Our results suggest that the basic conclusions from most seed burial studies are robust with respect to their choice of fungicide. We conclude by discussing further implications of our findings for the design and interpretation of seed burial studies.

47

48

49

## **Key Words**

- dormancy, fungal attack, fungicide treatment, mortality, seed burial experiments, seed
- 51 longevity, soil organic matter content

#### Introduction

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

The effects of saprophytic soil fungi on the longevity of buried seeds have been investigated for many different plant species from a wide range of different ecosystems with experiments involving the simultaneous burial of untreated seeds and of seeds treated with fungicides (Fellows and Roeth, 1992; Lonsdale, 1993; Dalling et al., 1998; Leishman et al., 2000; Gallandt et al., 2004) The results underline that fungal-induced seed mortality can greatly affect seed demography. However, methodological aspects are usually given little consideration in such seed burial studies. One notable exception is the study by Van Mourik et al. (2005) which demonstrated that the density of seeds buried in seed bags can markedly affect rates of fungalinduced seed mortality. However, there are at least three other methodological aspects that would in our opinion also merit methodological consideration. First, it is known that fungicides can directly affect live plants even in the absence of the targeted fungi (Paul et al., 1989; Laird and Addicott 2008), and as outlined further below, the same may also apply to the seed stage of plants. Second, fungicide efficacy can crucially depend on soil characteristics such as soil organic matter content (Goring, 1967), although individual fungicides will be affected differently by such characteristics (Lopes et al., 2002; Andrades et al., 2004). Finally, different fungicides, due to their different modes of action, tend to have specific effects on particular fungal taxonomic groups (Paul et al., 1989), and are therefore often combined to protect live plants against a wider range of fungal pathogens (Gisi, 1996). Such a combination of fungicides can sometimes also result in unexpected synergistic or antagonistic effects on fungal pathogens (Scardavi, 1966; Gisi, 1996), and there is also the possibility of unexpected changes in phytotoxicity (Backman, 1978). This third aspect may also deserve more consideration in the context of seed burial studies. Several fungal phyla contain saprophytic genera with the potential to harm seeds (Schafer and Kotanen, 2004), and this provides a motivation for combining several of these fungicides to achieve protection of seeds

against a wider range of fungi (Leishman *et al.*, 2000). This assumption of a combination of fungicides providing a more comprehensive protection against fungal-induced seed decay has however not yet been experimentally verified. On the contrary, it also seems possible that different fungicides used together in a mixture may chemically interact with each other in a way that could negatively affect their efficacy as seed protectants. Combining different fungicides may even result in toxic effects on seeds similar to those observed for particular fungicide-insecticide combinations (Gange *et al.*, 1992).

Similar to the above stated known direct effects on live plants, fungicides can also have direct effects on seeds. It is known from *in vitro* experiments using crop seeds that fungicide treatment can affect germination rates, either by inhibiting or by promoting seed germination (Clark and Scott, 1982; Simmen and Gisi, 1995; Hartz and Caprile, 1995). The same mechanisms can also affect the timing of crop seedling emergence in the field (Smiley *et al.*, 1996).

To explore these various methodological aspects in a full factorial randomized block experiment, we treated the seeds of three grassland plant species with up to three different fungicidal compounds alone and in combination, and buried them in three different substrates representing a gradient in soil organic matter content. The following three main questions were addressed: (1) Do treatments that combine more than one fungicide result in a greater reduction in seed mortality, compared to treatments that use just one fungicidal compound? (2) Do fungicides, alone or in combination with each other, have an influence on the readiness of seeds to germinate when exposed to conditions that are favourable to germination, i.e. are dormancy levels influenced by the fungicide treatments? (3) Do these fungicide effects on readiness to germinate and on seed mortality depend on soil organic matter content?

#### **Material and Methods**

#### Field site

The burial experiment was carried out in unmanaged ruderal grassland adjacent to the Centre for Environmental Research and Technology (UFT) of University of Bremen, Germany (53° 05' N, 8° 48' E). The topsoil at this site consists of almost pure sand, with an average pH of 5.2 and an organic matter content of 1.1 % (Mitschunas *et al.*, 2008). Mean annual mean temperature and total precipitation, based on the period 1991-2005, are 9.5°C and 713 mm (Deutscher Wetterdienst, 2008).

#### Materials

We used seeds of *Anthriscus sylvestris* (L.) Hoffm., *Centaurea nigra* L., and *Daucus carota* L., (nomenclature follows Jäger and Werner, 2002), three grassland species characterised by short-term seed bank persistence between one and five years (Thompson *et al.*, 1997).

We used three different fungicidal compounds in our experiment. Two of these compounds, captan and iprodione, have been used previously in ecological seed burial experiments, with captan being very regularly employed in such experiments (Wagner and Mitschunas, 2008). The third compound, mancozeb, has been recommended for seed treatment (Sinha *et al.*, 1988), although it has not been used previously in the context of ecological seed burial studies. Both captan and iprodione are dicarboximide fungicides, whereas mancozeb is a dithiocarbamate fungicide. Captan is considered very effective against seed-rotting fungi (Neergaard 1979), and in an agricultural context it is mainly used against pathogens from the phylum Ascomycota (Whitehead 1998). By contrast, both iprodione and mancozeb are more widely used not only against Ascomycota but also against a wide range of pathogenic Basidiomycota and Oomycetes (Whitehead 1998), the latter group now being recognized as being taxonomically distinct from the true fungi (Deacon, 2006).

As in many previous studies (e.g. Blaney and Kotanen, 2001; O'Hanlon-Manners and Kotanen 2004a; Orrock and Damschen, 2005; Van Mourik *et al.*, 2005), seed bags filled with

a mixture of soil and seeds were buried. Our seed bags were made of 7 cm × 7 cm pieces cut from nylon stockings. To establish a gradient of soil organic matter content in the seed environment, we used the local topsoil and a green waste compost (pH 5.7) from a local supplier (Kübel-und Pflanzerde; Kompostierung Nord GmbH, Bremen, Germany) as base materials to create three different substrates. These were pure topsoil, pure green waste compost, and a 1:1 volume-ratio mixture of both materials.

## Experimental set-up

Prior to the experiment, all three substrates were passed through a sieve of 5.0 mm mesh width. To control for known effects of soil fauna on fungal-induced seed mortality, a subsample of each substrate, used for filling the seed bags, was subsequently passed through a 1.0 mm sieve and then defaunated by 24 h freezing at -20 °C, followed by 24 h at room temperature and another 48 h at -20 °C (Mitschunas *et al.*, 2006).

Each seed bag was filled with 4 ml of respective defaunated substrate and a total of 75 seeds (= 25 seeds per species), and then tied up with sewing thread. To ensure recognition of individual treatments at the end of the experiment, each bag was marked using colour-coded pieces of cord. Prior to burial at the field site, the mesh bags from the fungicide treatments were immersed in fungicide solutions prepared from three different fungicidal compounds on their own or in combination.

These fungicide solutions were prepared on 20 December 2006 by dissolving specific quantities of each fungicide at 20°C in 1000 cm³ of distilled water. We used 10g of Merpan 80 WDG (active compound: captan 80% w/w; Feinchemie Schwebda GmbH, Eschwege, Germany) for the captan solution, 0.8 g of Rovral 75WG (ac tive compound: iprodione 75% w/w; BASF AG, Ludwigshafen, Germany) for the iprodione solution, and 2 g of Dithane NeoTec (active compound: mancozeb 75% w/w; Spiess-Urania Chemicals GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) for the mancozeb solution. For iprodione and mancozeb, these concentrations

corresponded with the recommendations made by the manufacturers for soil application. The concentration of captan was the same as in previous seed burial experiments (Blaney and Kotanen, 2001; O'Hanlon-Manners and Kotanen, 2004a; Kotanen 2007).

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

We did not reduce the concentrations of individual fungicidal compounds when preparing the mixtures, as there was no indication for such a course of action from previous seed burial experiments employing mixtures of different fungicides. Instead, we dissolved the same quantity of each individual fungicide compound when preparing 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> of mixture solutions as was used for preparing single-compound solutions. We also included a control treatment in which mesh bags were immersed in water prior to burial, and thus had all eight possible different fungicide combinations, ranging from no fungicide at all to the combination of all three fungicides. In combination with the three levels of soil organic matter content, this resulted in 24 different treatments. We immersed six replicate seed bags per treatment, i.e. a total of 144 seed bags in the respective solutions on the same day as the fungicide solutions were prepared. Bags were immersed for fifteen minutes to ensure complete saturation. After immersion, the bags were stored over night in plastic trays at 13 °C in the dark, still separated by fungicide treatment. The following day, on 21 December 2006, we established three experimental blocks at our grassland site for seed burial. These blocks were placed in the corners of an equiangular triangle with a side length of ca. 7 m between blocks. Per block, we excavated 48 cylindrical holes of 7 cm diameter and 6 cm depth in a regular grid of  $6 \times 8$ across an area of  $0.6~\text{m} \times 0.8~\text{m}$ , allowing for two replicate seed bags of each treatment to be buried in the same block. Individual replicates were assigned at random to positions within the grid. Prior to placing each seed bag in its hole, we filled half of the hole with the same substrate that was used to fill the bag, but passed through a 5.0 mm sieve only and not defaunated. After placement of the seed bags, the holes were filled to surface level with the same substrate, thus ensuring that the substrate around the bags was of the same composition

as the substrate in the bags. After six months, the seed bags were recovered from the field on 20 June 2007.

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

182

183

Seed viability testing

Immediately after recovery, the contents of the seed bags were surface-sterilized by soaking the bags in 70% ethanol for 2 min, followed by soaking in 1.25% sodium hypochlorite solution for 4 min. Finally, each seed bag was rinsed twice for a two-minute period with distilled water. After that, each seed bag was opened and germinated seedlings were counted and removed. Across the whole experiment, a total of four *Centaurea* seeds and five *Daucus* seeds had germinated during burial, and their occurrence was seemingly unrelated to experimental treatments. More regularly, we found germinated Anthriscus seeds, but the fraction of germinated seeds of this species never exceeded 12% (= 3 seeds) in a single seed bag, and a three-factorial analysis of variance on arcsine-transformed data, using fungicide combination and substrate as fixed factors and block identity as random factor (results not shown) did not provide any evidence for an influence by the experimental factors. The soil containing the remaining seeds was transferred into 9-cm Petri dishes containing a double layer of filter paper (Whatman No. 1, Whatman International Ltd., Maidstone, England) moistened with 5 cm<sup>3</sup> of distilled water. The Petri dishes were sealed with Parafilm 'M' (Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Chicago, Illinois, USA) and placed in a climate chamber (Sanyo MLR-350H), at constant humidity of 80% and exposed to a diurnal cycle (16 h of light at 25°C, 8 h of darkness at 15 °C) known to promote germination (Thompson and Grime, 1983). Every other day, the Petri dishes were randomized. Seeds showing a visible protrusion of the radicle from the seed coat were considered to have germinated (Kitajima and Fenner, 2000), and counted and removed at weekly intervals. Between counts, the Petri dishes were re-sealed. This germination test was run for a total of three weeks. During this period, only about 2% of Anthriscus seeds germinated. By contrast, ca. 98% of the Centaurea seeds and ca. 27%

of the *Daucus* seeds had germinated by that time, most of them in weeks 1 and 2. To establish the exact status of seeds still ungerminated after three weeks, these were checked for viability under a microscope. Soft seeds were considered dead, as well as seeds containing blackened embryo when dissected. The remaining seeds were stained after dissection with a 0.1% solution of 2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (Cottrell, 1947). After 12 h in an incubator at 30 °C, seeds were classified into dead or viable on the basis of embryo and endosperm coloration.

#### Data analysis

We carried out factorial analyses of variance based on Type III sums of squares using SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Prior to statistical analyses, all data were arcsine-transformed to meet distributional requirements (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995).

To allow investigation of treatment effects on seed mortality, we calculated for each species in each seed bag the overall proportion of ungerminated dead seeds at the end of the burial period by summarising the proportions of seed determined as dead by visual inspection and of seeds determined dead as a result of tetrazolium testing.

To allow testing of treatment effects on the readiness of seeds to germinate, we also calculated for each seed bag the proportion of *Centaurea* and *Daucus* seeds that germinated up to a specific point in time, based on the overall number of seeds that were still viable and ungerminated after the burial period (i.e. seeds germinated in the Petri dishes over the whole three-week period plus seeds that remained ungerminated but viable according to the tetrazolium test). Being interested in both the short-term effects and the longer-term effects on the germinability of viable seeds, we calculated this ratio both based on germination in the first week of the Petri dish trial, and also based on the germination occurring throughout the three-week trial. No similar data analyses were performed for ratios based on an intermediate germination period of two weeks, as these were virtually identical to ratios based on the

whole three-week period. Neither did we analyse *Anthriscus* seed germination, as germination was very low across all treatments, averaging only about 2% of seeds during the whole three-week germination period.

Initial analyses included both substrate type and fungicide combination as fixed factors and block identity as random factor. As the experiment included within-block replication of individual treatments, we were able to follow the recommendation by Quinn and Keough (2002) to also test for treatment x block interactions. Results from these initial analyses indicated that the influence of substrate type on both mortality and readiness of seeds to germinate was negligible and mostly not significant. Therefore, we re-analysed the data for the three soil types combined, dropping the factor substrate type from the analysis. Here, we only report the results of this latter set of analyses.

In the case of significant fungicide treatment effects, we carried out post-hoc comparisons between treatments. For post-hoc comparisons related to seed mortality, we used the Tukey HSD procedure that evaluates any differences in means among all possible pairs of treatments. For post-hoc comparisons related to the readiness of seeds to germinate we used a two-sided Dunnett test procedure instead of the Tukey HSD procedure, as we were only interested in which fungicide combinations significantly affected the readiness of seeds to germinate compared to the untreated control treatment. This procedure is more powerful because pairwise comparisons are restricted to comparing the control treatment with the other treatments, whereas no comparisons are made among the latter (Quinn and Keough, 2002).

#### **Results**

Effects on seed mortality

A. sylvestris was characterised by a particularly high proportion of dead seeds at the end of the six-month burial period, with dead seeds making up between 31% and 48% in the

different fungicide treatments, and 66% in the untreated control (Figure 1A). By contrast, in *C. nigra* the proportion of dead seeds never exceeded 20% even in the untreated control (Figure 1B), and *D. carota* was characterised by intermediate proportions of dead seeds (Figure 1C). As indicated by ANOVA, there were highly significant (*P* < 0.001) fungicide effects on seed mortality both in *Anthriscus* and in *Daucus*, whereas there were no such effects in *Centaurea* (Table 1). As indicated by Tukey HSD tests, seed mortality in *Anthriscus* was significantly lowered by all fungicide treatments compared to the untreated control, but was significantly higher in the iprodione only treatment than in the other six fungicide treatments (Figure 1A). Similarly, all fungicide treatments significantly reduced seed mortality in *Daucus*, but in this species there were no significant differences among individual fungicide treatments (Figure 1C).

Effects on dormancy levels

Almost none of the *A. sylvestris* seeds that remained ungerminated viable throughout the 6 month burial period germinated in the Petri dish trial. For this reason, data analyses on the influence of fungicide treatments on readiness of seeds to germinate were carried out only for the other two species, *C. nigra* and *D. carota*. As indicated by ANOVA, the use of different fungicides had a significant impact on the proportion of viable seeds germinating within one week in both species (Table 2A). In each of these two species, particular fungicide combinations were associated with a reduction of the proportion of viable seeds germinating in the first week after seed bag recovery. In *C. nigra*, this effect was highly significant in all treatments involving captan (Dunnett test: P < 0.001 in all cases), irrespective of whether this compound was used on its own or in combination with iprodione and / or mancozeb (Figure 2A). A two-way combination of iprodione and mancozeb also resulted in a reduced readiness of *Centaurea* seeds to germinate immediately (Dunnett test: P = 0.002). However, at the end of the three-week germination test, germination was close to 100% across treatments (Figure

3B). Although the overall ANOVA test based on this data indicated a significant fungicide effect (Table 2B), according to the Dunnett test procedure, this was not due to any significant pairwise differences between fungicide treatments and the untreated control (Dunnett test P > 0.44 for all pairwise comparisons with the untreated control apart from the combination of iprodione and mancozeb, for which P = 0.054).

In *Daucus*, a significant reduction of the proportion of viable seeds germinating within one week after seed recovery was found in all treatments combining mancozeb with one or both of the other two fungicides (Table 2, Figure 3A; Dunnett test: P < 0.02 in all cases). At the end of the three-week Petri dish trial, only about 27% of all viable *Daucus* seeds, as averaged across treatments, had germinated, and ca. 97% of these had done so in the first two weeks, indicating that in spite of favourable conditions for germination, most of the remaining seeds would likely have not germinated in following weeks, if the Petri dish trial would have been continued for a longer period. Final counts after three weeks indicated that the fungicide effects in *Daucus* observed after one week had persisted throughout the whole three-week period, with final proportions of germinated viable seeds still being significantly lower in two of the treatments involving mancozeb (Table 2B, Figure 3B), both on its own (Dunnett test: P = 0.042) and in combination with iprodione (Dunnett test: P = 0.005).

#### **Discussion**

The combination of several fungicidal compounds generally did not result in any clear further reduction of seed mortality compared to using just one fungicide at its recommended dosage.

On the other hand, we could show that individual fungicides can affect the proportion of viable seeds germinating under conditions known to promote germination, and that such effects can be prolonged. However, we found no clear evidence for soil organic matter effects.

Treatment of seeds with fungicides generally increased the survival of buried seeds, although in one of the three test species, *C. nigra*, overall mortality over the six-month burial

period was too low to allow any accumulation of significant differences between the untreated control and the fungicide treatments. With the exception of the iprodione only treatment in *Anthriscus* being slightly less effective than the other fungicide combinations, there were no significant differences among individual fungicide treatments. The visual inspection of the results seems to suggest that in the case of *Daucus*, the combination of two fungicidal compounds may tend to provide a slightly better protection against fungal decay than the use of a single compound only, although the observed differences are too small to be significant when comparing individual fungicide treatments pairwise.

Individual compounds did in some instances markedly affect the readiness of viable seeds to germinate after retrieval from the field. In *Centaurea*, in the first week of the Petri dish trial an average of 65% of viable seeds germinated from the control treatment. By contrast, this percentage was only 14-23% in the four fungicide treatments containing captan. After three weeks, *Centaurea* seed germination was close to 100% in all treatments, indicating that captan did not induce any longer-lasting dormancy. Similar short-term effects of captan on seed germination were previously observed in wheat seeds (Clark and Scott, 1982).

Fungicide effects on germination were also found in *Daucus* seeds, where an already low readiness of seeds to germinate after retrieval was particularly low in fungicide combinations involving mancozeb. However, in this case, observed fungicide effects were more persistent: After three weeks, when germination of *Daucus* seeds had largely ceased, significant differences still existed between two of the treatments involving mancozeb and the untreated control. This may be interpreted as a more persistent induction of secondary dormancy in *Daucus* seeds by mancozeb. A similarly persistent but opposite effect on dormancy levels of seeds has been previously documented for wheat seeds stimulated to germinate by the systemic fungicide benomyl (Clark and Scott, 1982). Our results extend the findings of previous studies from crops seeds that tend to germinate readily (Baskin and

Baskin, 1998) to non-crop species. Extent and duration of effects on dormancy were both fungicide-specific and species-specific in our study.

As outlined in the Materials and Methods section, substrate organic matter content, manipulated by using different substrates based on local topsoil and / or green waste compost, did only marginally influence our results.

#### **Conclusions**

Several conclusions can be drawn from our study with respect to ecological seed burial studies. Our results suggest that, compared to using a single compound at the recommended dosage, a combination of two different fungicidal compounds may often only provide a marginally better protection of seeds from fungal-induced seed mortality, but that such a combination may nevertheless serve as an insurance against unintentionally using a single compound at a dosage too low to provide full protection, as may have been the case in our study for the seeds of *Anthriscus* when treated with iprodione. The benefits of combining a very large number of fungicidal compounds as advocated by Leishman *et al.* (2000) may thus be negligible and may not justify the additional effort involved. While we also did not find any evidence for negative effects resulting from the combination of different fungicidal compounds, our results do not preclude the potential occurrence of such effects when fungicidal compounds other than the ones tested in our study are involved. In the absence of further research on this subject, it may thus be safest to use individual compounds at a sufficiently high dosage or tried and tested combinations of two fungicides that are known to not chemically interact with each other.

There were pronounced effects of individual fungicidal compounds on seed dormancy.

Mancozeb had a lasting effect on seed dormancy in *Daucus*, and captan initially repressed seed germination in *Centaurea*, although this latter effect was only transient. Captan was the

sole fungicidal compound used in many published studies, but in the absence of proof of more persistent effects, it seems likely that the results of these studies have not been compromised by unexpected side effects on seed dormancy. Nevertheless, given the evidence for a widespread existence of species-specific seasonal germination windows (e.g. Milberg and Andersson, 1997; Vleeshouwers and Bouwmeester, 2001; Schütz, 2002; Baskin and Baskin, 2006), increased levels of seed dormancy as observed in our study for *Daucus* seeds treated with mancozeb have the potential to prevent a sizeable proportion of seeds from germinating in a particular year. This may be an important consideration when planning a study that attempts to assess the effects of fungal exclusion on the *in situ* emergence of seedlings. Such studies are however rare, and in the only study of that kind we know of (Blaney and Kotanen, 2002), captan was used, for which we only found evidence for short-term effects on seed dormancy.

Overall, our results do thus underline the validity of previous seed burial studies using only a single fungicidal compound. Moreover, in our study the differences in mortality between different fungicide treatments were generally only small. It thus seems likely that the basic conclusions from most seed burial studies are unaffected by their choice of fungicide and that reliable conclusions can be drawn from these studies regarding the relative amount of buried seed mortality attributed to fungal attack as opposed to mortality that can be attributed to other causes. However, as the rate of fungal-induced seed mortality in such experiments seems to crucially depend on the density of buried seeds (Van Mourik *et al.*, 2005), we advise for caution when using data generated from such studies in seed demographic models.

#### Acknowledgements

John Sloggett provided useful comments and checked the English of the manuscript. Further, the manuscript benefited from the comments of two anonymous referees and of the handling

389	editor. We also thank BASF AG, Ludwigshafen, Germany, and Feinchemie Schwebda,					
390	Eschwege, Germany, for providing fungicide samples free of charge.					
391						
392	References					
393	Andrades, M.S., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., Sánchez-Martín, M.J. and Sánchez-Camazano					
394	M. (2004) Effect of the modification of natural clay minerals with					
395	hexadecylpyridinium cation on the adsorption-desorption of fungicides. International					
396	Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry 84, 133-141.					
397	Backman, P.A. (1978) Fungicide formulation: Relationship to biological activity. Annual					
398	Reviews in Phytopathology 16, 211-237.					
399	Baskin, C.C. and Baskin, J.M. (1998) Seeds: Ecology, biogeography, and evolution of					
400	dormancy and germination. San Diego, Academic Press.					
401	Baskin, C.C. and Baskin, J.M. (2006) The natural history of soil seed banks of arable land.					
402	Weed Science <b>54</b> , 549-557.					
403	Blaney, C.S. and Kotanen, P.M. (2001) Effects of fungal pathogens on seeds of native and					
404	exotic plants: a test using congeneric pairs. Journal of Applied Ecology 38, 1104-					
405	1113.					
406	Blaney, C.S. and Kotanen, P.M. (2002) Persistence in the seed bank: The effects of fungi					
407	and invertebrates on seeds of native and exotic plants. Ecoscience 9, 509-517.					
408	Clark, S.M. and Scott, D.J. (1982) Effects of carboxin, benomyl and captan on the					
409	germination of wheat during the post-harvest dormancy period. Seed Science and					
410	Technology <b>10</b> , 87-94.					
411	Cottrell, H.J. (1947) Tetrazolium salt as a seed germination indicator. <i>Nature</i> <b>159</b> , 748.					
412	Dalling, J.W., Swaine, M.D. and Garwood, N.C. (1998) Dispersal patterns and seed bank					
413	dynamics of pioneer trees in moist tropical forest. <i>Ecology</i> <b>79</b> , 564-578.					
414	Deacon, J. (2006). Fungal biology (4th edition). Malden, Blackwell.					

415	<b>Deutscher Wetterdienst</b> (2008) Ausgabe der Klimadaten: Monatswerte.
416	http://www.dwd.de/de/FundE/Klima/KLIS/daten/online/nat/ausgabe_monatswerte.ht
417	m. Accessed on 03 March 2008.
418	Fellows, G.M. and Roeth, F.W. (1992) Factors influencing shattercane (Sorghum bicolor)
419	seed survival. Weed Science 40, 434-440.
420	Gallandt, E.R., Fuerst, E.P. and Kennedy, A.C. (2004) Effect of tillage, fungicide treatment
421	and soil fumigation on seed bank dynamics of wild oat (Avena fatua). Weed Science 52
122	597-604.
123	Gange, A.C., Brown, V.K. and Farmer, L.M. (1992) Effects of pesticides on the
124	germination of weed seeds: implications for manipulative experiments. Journal of
125	Applied Ecology <b>29</b> , 303-310.
126	Gisi, U. (1996) Synergistic interaction of fungicides in mixtures. Phytopathology 86, 1273-
127	1279.
128	<b>Goring, C.A.I.</b> (1967) Physical aspects of soil in relation to the action of soil fungicides.
129	Annual Review of Phytopathology <b>5</b> , 285-317.
430	Hartz, T.K. and Caprile, J. (1995) Germination of sh2 sweet corn following seed
431	disinfestation, solid-matrix priming, and microbial seed treatment. Hortscience 30,
132	1400-1402.
133	Jäger, E.J. and Werner, K. (2002) Rothmaler – Exkursionsflora von Deutschland:
134	Gefäßpflanzen, Kritischer Band. Heidelberg, Spektrum.
435	Kitajima, K. and Fenner, M. (2000) Ecology of seedling regeneration, pp. 331-359 in
436	Fenner, M. (Ed.) Seeds: The ecology of regeneration in plant communities.
137	Wallingford, CABI Publishing.
138	Kotanen, P.M. (2007) Effects of fungal seed pathogens under conspecific and heterospecific
139	trees in a temperate forest. Canadian Journal of Botany 85, 918-925.

440	Kremer, R.J. (1993) Management of weed seed banks with microorganisms. <i>Ecological</i>
441	Applications 3, 42-52.
442	Laird, R.A. and Addicott, J.F. (2008) 'Fungicide application method' and the interpretation
443	of mycorrhizal fungus-insect indirect effects. Acta Oecologica 34, 214-220.
444	Leishman, M.R., Masters, G.J., Clarke, I.P. and Brown, V.K. (2000) Seed bank dynamics:
445	the role of fungal pathogens and climate change. Functional Ecology 14, 293-299.
446	Lonsdale, W.M. (1993) Losses from the seed bank of <i>Mimosa pigra</i> : soil micro-organisms vs
447	temperature fluctuations. Journal of Applied Ecology 30, 654-660.
448	Lopes, N.P., de Queiroz, M.E.L.R., Neves, A.A. and Zambolim, L. (2002) Influência da
449	material orgânica na adsorção do fungicida triadimenol pelo solo. Quimica Nova 25,
450	544-547.
451	Milberg, P. and Andersson, L. (1997) Seasonal variation in dormancy and light sensitivity
452	in buried seeds of eight annual weed species. Canadian Journal of Botany 75, 1998-
453	2004.
454	Mitschunas, N., Wagner, M. and Filser, J. (2006) Evidence for a positive influence of
455	fungivorous soil invertebrates on the seed bank persistence of grassland species.
456	Journal of Ecology 94, 791-800.
457	Mitschunas, N., Wagner, M. and Filser, J. (2008) Increased field emergence of seedlings at
458	high densities of fungivorous soil mesofauna. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society
459	<b>135</b> , 272-280.
460	Neergaard, P. (1979) Seed pathology. London, Macmillan Press.
461	O'Hanlon-Manners, D.L. and Kotanen, P.M. (2004a) Evidence that fungal pathogens
462	inhibit recruitment of a shade-intolerant tree, white birch (Betula papyrifera), in
463	understory habitats. Oecologia 140, 650-653.
464	O'Hanlon-Manners, D.L. and Kotanen, P.M. (2004b) Logs as refuges from fungal
465	pathogens for seeds of Eastern Hemlock (Tsuga canadensis). Ecology 85, 284-289.

466	Orrock, D.L. and Damschen, E.I. (2005) Fungi-mediated mortality of seeds of two old-field
467	plant species. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 132, 613-617.
468	Paul, N.D., Ayres, P.G. and Wyness, L.E. (1989) On the use of fungicides for
469	experimentation in natural vegetation. Functional Ecology 3, 759-769.
470	Quinn, G.P. and Keough, M.J. (2002) Experimental design and data analysis for biologists.
471	Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
472	Scardavi, A. (1966) Synergism among fungicides. Annual Review of Phytopathology 4, 335-
473	346.
474	Schafer, M. and Kotanen, P.M. (2004) Impacts of naturally-occurring soil fungi on seeds of
475	meadow plants. Plant Ecology 175, 19-35.
476	Schütz, W. (2002) Dormancy characteristics and germination timing in two alpine Carex
477	species. Basic and Applied Ecology 3, 125-134.
478	Simmen, U. and Gisi, U. (1995) Effects of seed treatment with SAN-789-F, a
479	homopropargylamine fungicide, on germination and contents of squalene and sterols
480	of wheat seedlings. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 52, 25-32.
481	Sinha, A.P., Singh, K. and Mukhopadhyay, A.N. (1988) Soil fungicides. Boca Raton, CRC
482	Press.
483	Smiley, R.W., Patterson, L.M. and Rhinhart, K.E.L. (1996) Fungicide seed treatment
484	effects on emergence of deeply planted winter wheat. Journal of Production Agriculture
485	<b>9</b> , 564-570.
486	<b>Sokal, R.R. and Rohlf, F.J.</b> (1995) Biometry – the principles and practice of statistics in
487	biology research. New York, Freeman.
488	Thompson, K., Bakker, J.P. and Bekker, R.M. (1997) The soil seed banks of North West
489	Europe: Methodology, density and longevity. Cambridge, Cambridge University
490	Press.

491	Thompson, K. and Grime, J.P. (1983) A comparative study of germination responses to
492	diurnally-fluctuating temperatures. Journal of Applied Ecology 20, 141-156.
493	Van Mourik, T.A., Stomph, T.J. and Murdoch, A.J. (2005) Why high seed densities within
494	buried mesh bags may overestimate depletion rates of soil seed banks. Journal of Applied
495	Ecology <b>42</b> , 299-305.
496	Vleeshouwers, L.M. and Bouwmeester, H.J. (2001) A simulation model for seasonal
497	changes in dormancy and germination of weed seeds. Seed Science Research 11, 77-
498	92.
499	Wagner, M. and Mitschunas, N. (2008) Fungal effects on seed bank persistence and
500	potential applications in weed biocontrol: A review. Basic and Applied Ecology 9,
501	191-203
502	Whitehead, R. (1998). The UK pesticide guide. Cambridge, Massachusetts, CABI
503	Publishing.
504	
505	
506	
507	
508	
509	
510	
511	
512	
513	
514	
515	
516	

# **Table captions** Table 1. Effects of fungicide combination (=fixed factor) and of experimental block identity (=random factor) on the proportion of ungerminated dead seeds of Anthriscus sylvestris, Centaurea nigra, and Daucus carota at the end of a 6-month burial period. Analyses are based on arcsine-transformed data. Significant effects (P < 0.05) in bold. Table 2. Effects fungicide combination (=fixed factor) and of experimental block identity (=random factor) on the proportion of viable seeds of Centaurea nigra and Daucus carota readily germinating within one week (A) and three weeks (B) after retrieval from the field. Analyses are based on arcsine-transformed data. Significant effects (P < 0.05) in bold. Due to insufficient germination, Anthriscus sylvestris was not analysed.

543 (Table 1)

Effect		A. sylvestris		C. nigra		D. carota	
	d.f.	F	P	F	P	F	P
Fungicide combination	7	66.86	< 0.001	1.56	0.225	12.70	<0.001
Block	2	10.48	0.002	0.81	0.465	1.22	0.324
Fungicide combination $\times$ Block	14	0.46	0.950	1.24	0.258	1.13	0.339
Error	120						
545							
546							
547							
548							
549							
550							
551							
552							
553							
554							
555							
556							
557							
558							
559							
560							
561							
562							

563 (Table 2)

564	20 <del>4</del>	
-----	-----------------	--

Effect		C. nigra		D. ce	D. carota	
	d.f.	F	P	F	P	
A) Germination after one week						
Fungicide combination	7	10.85	< 0.001	5.34	0.004	
Block	2	1.20	0.329	0.50	0.618	
Fungicide combination $\times$ Block	14	2.18	0.012	1.49	0.124	
Error	120					
B) Germination after three weeks						
Fungicide combination	7	5.78	0.003	4.12	0.012	
Block	2	2.62	0.108	6.34	0.011	
Fungicide combination × Block	14	0.34	0.988	1.28	0.231	
Error	120					

### Figure captions

Figure 1. Percentage of seeds that were dead at the end of a six-month burial period, in
relation to particular combinations of captan, mancozeb, and iprodione used alone or
in combination: A) *Anthriscus sylvestris*; B) *Centaurea nigra*; C) *Daucus carota*.

Fungicide treatments: control = untreated control; C = captan; I = iprodione;

M = mancozeb. Bars and error bars indicate back-transformed mean values and 95%

confidence intervals. In case of ANOVA significance (*P* < 0.05), differences between
different compound combinations are indicated by lower-case characters.

following the six-month burial period, depending on particular combinations of captan,
mancozeb, and iprodione alone or in combination: A) after one week; B) after three
weeks. Fungicide treatments: control = untreated control; C = captan;

I = iprodione; M = mancozeb. Bars and error bars indicate back-transformed mean
values and 95% confidence intervals. Particular fungicide combinations that differ
significantly from the untreated control as indicated by two-sided Dunnett tests are

indicated by asterisks (\*\*\* P<0.001; \*\* P<0.01; \* = P<0.05).

Figure 2. Percentage of viable *Centaurea nigra* seeds that germinated in the Petri dish test

Figure 3. Percentage of viable *Daucus carota* seeds that germinated in the Petri dish test following the six-month burial period, depending on particular combinations of captan, mancozeb, and iprodione alone or in combination: A) after one week; B) after three weeks. Fungicide treatments: control = untreated control; C = captan;

I = iprodione; M = mancozeb. Bars and error bars indicate back-transformed mean values and 95% confidence intervals. Particular fungicide combinations that differ significantly from the untreated control as indicated by two-sided Dunnett tests are indicated by asterisks (\*\*\* P<0.001; \*\* P<0.01; \* = P<0.05).





