


Synchronizing nitrogen cycling processes reduces agricultural nitrous oxide and ammonia emissions

Received: 21 February 2026

Accepted: 11 August 2026

Published online: 24 August 2026



Mengfei Li¹, Yanzhong Yao¹, Bingbing Han¹, Simon Willcock^{2,3,4,5}, Jonathan Storkey⁶, Alison Carswell⁷, Qingfeng Meng⁸, Qirui Li⁹, Cailong Xu¹⁰, Weili Kong², Hui Liu¹¹ & Zhaolei Li^{1,12} ✉

Mitigating reactive nitrogen losses is essential for sustainable agriculture. However, predicting the benefits of substituting synthetic fertilizers with organic amendments remain unreliable because conventional approaches treat soil nitrogen processes in isolation. Here, we adapt a synchrony metric from community ecology to quantify the coordination among nitrogen processes. Using 2,235 global paired observations (organic vs. synthetic), we find that the synchrony metric predicts nitrous oxide (N₂O) and ammonia (NH₃) emissions more accurately than individual processes alone. Specifically, synchrony deviations in nitrification and denitrification account for half of global N₂O variability, while synchrony deviations in mineralization and immobilization explain over one-third of NH₃ variability. Projections indicate that leveraging organic substitution could achieve the greatest N₂O reductions in European croplands (−40.7%) and the highest NH₃ mitigation in Africa croplands (−46.1%). These findings establish process synchrony as a mechanistic framework for improving nitrogen gas loss models and inform targeted organic amendment strategies.

Reactive nitrogen gas emissions, particularly nitrous oxide (N₂O) and ammonia (NH₃), are driving the transgression of the planetary boundaries for biogeochemical nitrogen flows¹, posing severe threats to environmental health and human well-being². N₂O is a potent greenhouse gas with a long atmospheric residence time³, while atmospheric NH₃ serves as a PM_{2.5} precursor for exacerbating respiratory diseases⁴, and redeposited NH₃ perturbs biodiversity⁵. Croplands are the dominant source, contributing to 58% of

anthropogenic N₂O and 48% of NH₃ emissions, equivalent to 3.8 Tg N yr^{−1} and 28 Tg N yr^{−1} worldwide, respectively^{6,7}, primarily driven by synthetic fertilizer application. Accurately predicting reactive nitrogen gas losses from croplands under contrasting management is therefore critical for informing climate change mitigation measures and the transition to sustainable agriculture, yet contemporary models exhibit limited predictive power. Although existing models such as DNDC (DeNitrification-DeComposition) explicitly incorporate key

¹Interdisciplinary Research Center for Agriculture Green Development in Yangtze River Basin; College of Resources and Environment, Southwest University, Chongqing, China. ²Institute of Edible Fungi, Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Zhengzhou, China. ³School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, Reading University, Reading, UK. ⁴UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, UK. ⁵School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, UK. ⁶Protecting Crops and the Environment, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK. ⁷Net Zero & Resilient Farming, Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Okehampton, UK. ⁸College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China. ⁹Institute of Social Sciences in Agriculture, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany. ¹⁰National Soybean Industrial Technology R & D Center, State Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Resources and Breeding, Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China. ¹¹Center of Agro-product Safety and Quality, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Beijing, China. ¹²State Key Laboratory of Soil and Water Conservation and Desertification Control, College of Natural Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China. ✉e-mail: lizhaolei@nwfafu.edu.cn




Fig. 1 | Conceptual diagram illustrating how synchrony among soil nitrogen transformations regulates reactive nitrogen gas losses. Synchrony is an emergent property of four nitrogen processes, including mineralization, immobilization, nitrification, and denitrification. Heightened synchrony in soil nitrogen transformations may reduce the reactive nitrogen gas losses from croplands. A theoretical

example is shown under synthetic (left) and organic (right) fertilizer application. Pipe diameters represent nitrogen process rates, while the number and size of water droplets indicate the amount of nitrogen transferred. SON, soil organic nitrogen.

nitrogen cycling modules, including mineralization, nitrification, and denitrification, their predictive power for N_2O emissions at large spatial scales remains weak ($R^2 = 0.17$), arguably due to oversimplified representations of nitrogen cycling^{8,9}. N_2O production is a product of the microbial processes of nitrification and denitrification, while NH_3 dynamics depend primarily on the balance between mineralization and immobilization. In both cases, synchrony among nitrogen processes regulates substrate availability¹⁰, and it is the balance between soil nitrogen transformations—not isolated reactions—that is expected to improve predictions of reactive nitrogen gas emissions.

Integrating soil nitrogen processes could improve the predictive accuracy of reactive nitrogen gas emissions¹¹. Nitrogen transformations are inherently interdependent, linked through shared substrates and feedback^{12,13}; omitting these interactions among soil nitrogen processes^{14,15} may thus introduce systematic biases in projections. However, a practical method for quantitatively capturing the dynamic coordination of multiple nitrogen processes at scales applicable to agriculture systems is still lacking¹⁶. Addressing this gap is essential for developing a comprehensive framework for predicting reactive nitrogen gas emissions under agricultural practices, such as organic substitution for synthetic fertilizers. Here, we propose a metric, ‘nitrogen process synchrony’, to evaluate the coordination among soil nitrogen processes (Fig. 1). The concept was originally developed in community ecology to quantify the relative importance of species’ independent responses to exogenous factors compared to the contribution of niche differentiation and competitive dynamics¹⁷. We extend the synchrony concept to the coordinated responses of soil nitrogen transformations under management change. Here, synchrony is an emergent property of four nitrogen processes (denitrification, nitrification, immobilization and mineralization) and reflects the degree of coordination among process responses to a given management treatment. Theoretically, higher synchrony optimizes nitrogen flows, minimizing the accumulation of intermediate products and thereby reducing N_2O and NH_3 emissions (Fig. 1)^{18,19}.

Organic substitution for synthetic fertilizer is practiced widely across global croplands, yet its effect on reactive nitrogen gas losses through changing nitrogen cycling synchrony remains unclear. The inputs of exogenous organic matter under organic substitution can stimulate microbial activity and accelerate soil nitrogen processes²⁰. However, conflicting relationships between nitrogen process responses and reactive nitrogen gas emissions have been reported under organic substitution. Some studies found that increased N_2O and NH_3 emissions were positively related to nitrification and nitrogen mineralization rates^{15,21}, while some studies reported decreased reactive nitrogen gas emissions despite elevated nitrogen process rates^{22,23}. The synchrony metric of soil nitrogen processes may provide an opportunity to reconcile these apparently contradictory findings.

To quantify the mitigation of N_2O and NH_3 emissions following the substitution of synthetic fertilizer by organic fertilizer across global croplands, we compiled 2235 paired observations across 210 experimental sites to address three specific questions: (1) How does nitrogen cycling synchrony change under organic substitution compared with synthetic nitrogen fertilization? (2) To what extent do changes in the synchrony metric affect reactive nitrogen gas losses? (3) How do environmental forcings modulate nitrogen cycling synchrony under organic substitution? Given the interactions among nitrogen cycling processes through substrate flow, we hypothesized that organic substitution would improve nitrogen cycling synchrony compared with synthetic fertilization (H_1), and that the synchrony metric would outperform individual nitrogen processes in characterizing reactive nitrogen gas emissions (H_2).

Results

Reactive nitrogen gas losses and soil quality responses under organic substitution

Reactive nitrogen gas losses were reduced under organic substitution (including manure, biochar, straw and soil conditioner) compared with conventional fertilization (Fig. 2a). Specifically, N_2O emissions




Fig. 2 | Effects of organic substitution on reactive nitrogen gas emissions and nitrogen process synchrony. Changes in reactive nitrogen gas emissions and nitrogen processes under organic substitution (a) and comparison of synchrony of four nitrogen processes between organic substitution and synthetic fertilizer (b), as well as bivariate relationships of reactive nitrogen gas emissions against nitrogen processes (c–e) and synchrony deviations of nitrogen processes (f–h). In a, dots with error bars are the mean values $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals. The vertical dashed line denotes a zero reference, and the response ratios differed significantly from zero when the 95% confidence intervals did not overlap with the zero reference. Black points represent individual observations. Numbers to the right of each dot are the sample sizes. In b, green boxplots are the synchrony of nitrogen processes under synthetic fertilizer relative to a no fertilizer control, and yellow boxplots are

the synchrony of nitrogen processes under organic substitution. Black points represent individual observations. The center line indicates the median, box limits represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers extend to the most extreme observations within 1.5 times the interquartile range. The vertical dashed line denotes absolute synchrony, red dots indicate mean values, and numbers to the right of each boxplot indicate sample sizes. In c–h, lines are the slopes $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals from the linear mixed-effects models. Circles sizes stand for replicate number from 3 to 24. DMS is synchrony deviation of mineralization, DNS is synchrony deviation of nitrification, DDS is synchrony deviation of denitrification, lnRR NH₃ emission is the effect size of NH₃ emission under organic substitution, lnRR N₂O emission is the effect size of N₂O emission under organic substitution. *N*, the number of observations in (c–h).

decreased by 21.8% (95% CI: -31.6% to -10.6%; $p < 0.001$) and NH₃ emissions lowered by 40.6% (95% CI: -46.9% to -33.5%; $p < 0.001$) under organic substitution. The reductions in N₂O and NH₃ emissions were pronounced across most organic substitution types, except for substitution of urea with manure or organic soil conditioners (Fig. S1). Organic substitution also improved soil quality (Fig. S2a). Soil organic

carbon and total nitrogen contents increased by 36.3% (95% CI: 33.0%–39.6%; $p < 0.001$) and 17.8% (95% CI: 15.1%–20.4%; $p < 0.001$), respectively, relative to synthetic fertilization. Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen increased by 47.4% (95% CI: 40.0%–55.2%; $p < 0.001$) and 29.1% (95% CI: 24.3%–34.2%; $p < 0.001$), respectively. In addition, soil pH significantly increased by 8.7% (95% CI: 8.0%–9.5%; $p < 0.001$).




Fig. 3 | Multiple drivers of reactive nitrogen gas emissions under organic substitution. Structural equation models showing the drivers of N₂O emissions (a) and NH₃ (b) under organic substitution. Orange solid lines indicate significantly positive relationships, blue solid lines indicate significantly negative relationships, and green dashed lines indicate non-significant relationships. Numbers adjacent to lines are standardized coefficients. R² values indicate the proportion of variance explained for each endogenous variable. DMS is synchrony deviation of mineralization, DIS is synchrony deviation of immobilization, DNS is synchrony deviation of nitrification, and DDS is synchrony deviation of denitrification. InRR N₂O emission is the effect size of N₂O emission under organic substitution; InRR NH₃ emission is the effect size of NH₃ emission under organic substitution. InRR pH is the effect size of pH under organic substitution. InRR SOC is the effect size of soil organic carbon under organic substitution. Climate represents a composite climatic variable comprising mean annual temperature and mean annual precipitation. Organic N addition represents the organic nitrogen addition rate. **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01; and ****p* < 0.001.

Finally, organic substitution supplemented soil available nitrogen; e.g., ammonium and nitrate contents increased by 11.8% (95% CI: 7.5%–16.3%; *p* < 0.01) and 13.5% (95% CI: 9.8%–17.4%; *p* < 0.05), respectively.

Soil nitrogen processes showed differential responses under organic substitution compared with synthetic fertilization (Fig. 2a). Soil nitrogen mineralization rate significantly increased by 34.0% (95%

CI: 28.1–40.1%; *p* < 0.001), nitrification rate increased by 6.2% (95% CI: 0.5–12.2%; *p* = 0.03), and denitrification rate increased by 24.4% (95% CI: 15.9–33.6%; *p* < 0.001). Notably, the increase in the immobilization rate under organic substitution was the most pronounced, rising by 2.24-fold (95% CI: 120.7–377.3%; *p* < 0.001). Changes in individual nitrogen processes did not explain the reductions of reactive nitrogen gas losses. Specifically, the effect sizes of NH₃ emissions were not correlated with mineralization (Fig. 2c, *p* = 0.56), and the effect sizes of N₂O emissions were not significantly correlated with nitrification (Fig. 2d, *p* = 0.19) and denitrification (Fig. 2e, *p* = 0.46).

Organic substitution yielded synchrony metrics closer to the ideal status (zero value) compared with conventional fertilization (Fig. S2b), and the synchrony metrics of mineralization, immobilization, nitrification, and denitrification improved by 87.0%, 55.2%, 69.6%, and 83.6%, respectively. Reactive nitrogen gas losses were attributed to the synchrony metrics under organic substitution. NH₃ emissions were positively correlated with the DMS (Fig. 2f, *p* = 0.04, slope < 0.01). Similarly, N₂O emissions were positively correlated with the DNS (Fig. 2g, *p* = 0.02, slope = 0.05) and DDS (Fig. 2h, *p* < 0.01, slope = 0.04). Quadratic mixed-effects models revealed no significant quadratic terms, indicating that relationships between synchrony deviations and reactive nitrogen gas losses were linear (Fig. S3). Analyses preserving synchrony deviations further showed that reactive nitrogen gas losses declined with deviations approaching zero (Fig. S4). In parallel, Loreau's synchrony metric revealed consistent relationships, e.g., the effect sizes of N₂O emissions tended to decrease with the gradual improvement in the synchrony of nitrification and denitrification, respectively (Fig. S5).

Subgroup analyses revealed positive relationships between synchrony deviations of nitrogen processes and reactive nitrogen gas losses across organic substitution types and environmental conditions (Fig. S6 and response Table S1). Specifically, increased NH₃ emissions was associated with elevated DMS, while increased N₂O emissions were associated with elevated DNS and DDS. These relationships remained robust when nitrogen process synchrony was quantified using alternative indicators, including process rates, functional gene abundance, and enzyme activity (Fig. S7).

Synchrony changes upon organic substitution depend on environments

Synchrony deviations were sensitive to climate (Fig. S8), indicating that the potential benefits of organic substitution were context specific. DMS and DNS increased (both *p* < 0.05) while DDS decreased in croplands with high mean annual temperature under organic substitution. DMS, DNS, and DDS lowered in croplands with high mean annual precipitation (all *p* < 0.05). DIS exhibited the same tendency as DMS and DNS in response to climate conditions, as these processes are aerobic, although the bivariate relationships were not significant.

Synchrony deviations also depended on soil properties (Fig. S9). As a result of organic substitution practices, DMS increased with soil pH (Fig. S9a, *p* = 0.01, slope = 0.19), while it decreased in soils with high organic carbon content (Fig. S9b, *p* = 0.03, slope = −0.03) and total nitrogen content (Fig. S9c, *p* = 0.02, slope = −0.70). Meanwhile, the relationships of DNS and DDS with soil properties (e.g., soil pH, organic carbon content, and total nitrogen content) were analogous overall (Fig. S9g–i). By comparison, the changes of DIS did not exhibit significant correlations with soil properties (Fig. S9d–f).

Synchrony deviations in nitrogen cycling across croplands generally decreased with greater intensity and longer duration of organic substitution (Fig. S10). DMS, DNS and DDS all significantly declined with increasing organic nitrogen application, substitution ratio, and experimental duration (all *p* < 0.05), whereas DIS show no clear trend across these management gradients. These findings suggest that more intensive and prolonged organic substitution generally enhances




Fig. 4 | Global spatial patterns of synchrony deviations of nitrogen processes and reactive nitrogen gas mitigation potential under organic substitution. Spatial patterns of synchrony deviations in mineralization, immobilization, nitrification, and denitrification (a–d). Global predictions of effect sizes (lnRR) for

agricultural N_2O and NH_3 emissions under organic substitution (e, f) and weighted averages and standard errors of N_2O and NH_3 emission responses by continent (g, h). Negative values indicate lower N_2O and NH_3 emissions under organic substitution than only synthetic fertilization.

nitrogen cycling synchrony. These environmental dependencies remained consistent when synchrony deviations were separately calculated using functional gene abundances or enzyme activities, indicating that the observed patterns were robust across alternative indicators (Fig. S11).

The vital role of synchrony metrics in reactive nitrogen gas emissions

Structural equation modeling (SEM) revealed that increasing organic nitrogen input reduced N₂O emission intensity relative to synthetic fertilizer, which directly accounted for 9.7% of response variation (standardized coefficient = −0.03, $p < 0.05$). Lower N₂O emissions under organic substitution were also associated with decreased DNS and DDS (standardized coefficients = −0.14 and −0.15, respectively, $p < 0.01$), because reductions in DNS and DDS often lowered soil ammonium and nitrate concentrations (Fig. S12). The changes in DNS and DDS jointly accounted for approximately 50.0% of the explained variation in N₂O emissions. DNS and DDS responses also depended on soil organic carbon content and/or pH, e.g., elevated soil organic carbon reduced DDS (standardized coefficient = −0.10, $p < 0.05$). Climate factors explained an additional 16.1% of the variance in N₂O emissions (standardized coefficient = 0.05, $p < 0.05$), primarily via their effects on DDS.

DMS and DIS played pivotal roles in regulating NH₃ emissions under organic substitution (Fig. 3b). Specifically, increasing DMS led to soil ammonium accumulation, which in turn promoted NH₃ emissions (Fig. S12). Changes in DMS and DIS jointly explained 37.8% of the variation in NH₃ emission, with DMS and DIS accounting for 17.8% (standardized coefficient = 0.08, $p < 0.05$) and 20.0% (standardized coefficient = −0.09, $p < 0.01$), respectively. DMS and DIS had opposite roles in mediating NH₃ emissions; elevated DMS enhanced NH₃ emissions, whereas higher DIS reduced NH₃ emissions. Climate influenced the NH₃ emissions through changing DMS (standardized coefficient = −0.15, $p < 0.05$). Additionally, soil pH influenced NH₃ emissions and explained 22.3% of the variation (standardized coefficient = 0.10, $p < 0.05$). Organic nitrogen application and soil organic carbon increase exerted a slightly stronger influence on changes in DMS and DIS than on those in DNS and DDS (Fig. 3).

Spatial patterns of synchrony deviation of nitrogen processes and reactive nitrogen gas mitigation under organic substitution

At a spatial resolution of $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$, a random forest model was applied to predict changes in synchrony deviations of nitrogen processes (Fig. 4a–d) and reactive nitrogen gas emissions (Fig. 4e–g) based on global climate, soils, and management data. The predicted map of synchrony deviations revealed clear spatial heterogeneity in the coordination of nitrogen transformation processes under organic substitution, providing a process-level context for interpreting regional differences in reactive nitrogen gas mitigation. The simulations indicated that global potential for organic substitution could effectively reduce emissions of both gases. Africa exhibited the most pronounced reduction in NH₃ emissions, given that the minor DMS–DIS difference accelerated ammonium turnover and limits NH₃ volatilization (Fig. 4a, b). European croplands demonstrated better performance in mitigating N₂O emissions relative to other regions, owing to the lower DNS and DDS values (Fig. 4c, d). Overall, organic substitution delivers larger NH₃ than N₂O reductions across global croplands. At the continental scale (Fig. 4g, h), organic substitution reduced NH₃ emissions most markedly in Africa (by 46.1%) and Europe (by 44.2%), with sizeable decreases also in Americas, Asia, and Oceania. N₂O emissions fell markedly as well, led by Europe (by 40.7%) and Americas (by 31.6%), followed by Africa, Asia, and Oceania.

Discussion

Prevailing reductionist approaches, focusing on isolated soil nitrogen processes, fail to capture the dynamics of reactive nitrogen gas

losses²⁴. Here, we propose a synchrony metric adapted from community ecology¹⁷ to integrate interdependent soil nitrogen cycling processes and better model N₂O and NH₃ emissions (Figs. 2 and 3). Concordant with theoretical expectations, organic substitution enhanced nitrogen cycling synchrony relative to synthetic fertilization (H₁), and synchrony metrics provided stronger explanatory power for reactive nitrogen gas losses than did individual nitrogen processes (H₂). Accordingly, organic substitution reduced N₂O emissions by 21.8% and NH₃ emissions by 40.6% through enhancing synchrony among soil nitrogen cycling processes (Fig. 2). This synchrony perspective offers a mechanistic lens for accurately predicting reactive nitrogen gas losses and refining global cropland nitrogen budgets.

Synchronizing nitrogen processes drives reactive nitrogen gas reductions

Global mitigation of N₂O and NH₃ under organic substitution arises from tighter synchrony among nitrogen transformations, emerging from differential responses of individual processes (Fig. 2), in support of H₂. Although local studies have linked higher denitrification to more N₂O emissions and greater mineralization to more NH₃ emissions^{25,26}, these relationships often collapse at broad scales¹⁴. Crucially, our findings reveal that both gases declined even in cases where denitrification and mineralization increased under organic substitution (Fig. 2), highlighting the limits of extrapolating reductionist frameworks across heterogeneous agricultural systems. Models ignoring synchrony predicted a rise in N₂O emissions from croplands under organic substitution²⁷, directly contradicting the observed 21.8% reduction (Fig. 2).

Synchrony among nitrogen processes may regulate soil inorganic nitrogen concentrations and microenvironments, thereby shaping N₂O and NH₃ emissions. Nitrite, as a key N₂O precursor (yielding 2.1 units of N₂O per unit of nitrite)²⁸ accumulates when nitrification and denitrification are mismatched, and NH₃ volatilization generally tracks ammonium accumulation²⁹ that is determined by the balance between mineralization and immobilization¹². Elevated nitrogen cycling synchrony could accelerate substrate turnover, suppress inorganic nitrogen accumulation, and consequently lower emissions of both gases (Fig. S12). Moreover, maintaining soil pH within an appropriate range is important for regulating nitrogen emissions, given that NH₃ emissions generally increase under alkaline conditions³⁰, while N₂O production and reduction processes are sensitive to soil acidity and alkalinity³¹. Enhanced nitrogen processes synchrony may also buffer soil pH, thereby lowering N₂O and NH₃ emissions. For example, hydroxyl ions produced during mineralization may be neutralized by hydrogen ions generated during nitrification¹⁰, contributing to pH homeostasis (Fig. S12). Although anammox (optimal at a pH ≈ 8.3 and a temperature range of 30–40 °C)³² can additionally consume ammonium and modulate N₂O^{33,34}, its contribution is minor under typical field conditions.

Synchrony of nitrogen cycling processes is improved under organic substitution

Organic substitution synchronizes soil nitrogen processes likely through modulating substrate supply and microbial activities. Organic amendments gradually release nitrogen through mineralization¹², supplying inorganic nitrogen at rates that maintain relatively steady-state concentrations of ammonium and nitrate, whereas synthetic fertilizers create episodic pulses³⁵ that may overwhelm certain processes. The continuous substrate supply could ensure sustained nitrogen transformations, e.g. nitrogen mineralization and denitrification, respectively³⁶. The microbial response is also likely an important element of improved synchrony, given that organic substitution increased microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen by 47.4% and 29.1%, respectively (Fig. S2). This expanded microbial pool increases mineralization rates, since 55–89% of nitrogen originates

from microbial turnover³⁷, and propagates downstream reactions (nitrification, denitrification, and immobilization). Elevated ammonium concentrations stimulate nitrifier activity in accordance with Michaelis–Menten kinetics³⁸, while additional labile carbon enhances the activity and abundance of *nirK*- and *nirS*-harbouring denitrifiers, elevating denitrification rates^{31,39}. The simultaneous rise in ammonium and nitrate (Fig. S2a) likely strengthens the microbial immobilization capacity⁴⁰. In contrast, conventional fertilization may impose carbon limitation that suppresses heterotrophic activity⁴¹ while promoting an imbalanced dominance of nitrifiers⁴². Collectively, these results support H₁ that organic substitution coordinates interdependent nitrogen transformations, demonstrating that controlled substrate delivery coupled with microbial activation can optimize nitrogen cycling efficiency.

Environmental mediation of nitrogen process synchrony

Soil pH differentially affects the synchrony of nitrogen processes, with higher pH enhancing nitrification and denitrification synchrony while suppressing mineralization synchrony (Fig. S9). This pH-dependent regulation is likely underpinned by different microbial responses. In general, the abundance of bacterial *amoA* and the denitrification genes *nirK* and *nirS* increases with rising pH^{43,44}, while the copy number of *nagA* encoding β -N-acetylglucosaminidase declines⁴⁵. Consequently, nitrification and denitrification rates accelerate as pH rises, while mineralization efficiency diminishes. These pH-mediated changes in microbial traits further modulate nitrogen transformation processes. Ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms reach maximal activity at neutral to alkaline pH⁴⁶, whereas the microbial activity associated with mineralization decreases sharply; e.g., microbial growth rates decline to approximately one-fifth when soil pH increases from 4.5 to 8.3⁴⁷. Elevated pH increases both nitrate availability and nitrate reductase activity, creating favorable conditions for denitrification even as it inhibits certain aerobic nitrogen transformations.

Soil organic carbon represents another critical regulator of nitrogen cycling synchrony. Organic substitution increased soil organic carbon content by 36.3% (Fig. S2). The added carbon likely supports nitrifier populations⁴⁸, fuels heterotrophic nitrification⁴⁹, and supplies substrates for denitrification. Organic matter decomposition releases organic acids and amino acids that activate urease and other enzymes involved in mineralization⁵⁰. Precipitation introduces additional complexity into synchrony patterns (Fig. S8) by altering soil redox conditions. Increased precipitation can result in soil anaerobic conditions⁵¹, suppressing aerobic processes like mineralization and nitrification⁵². Although denitrification typically benefits from diminished oxygen availability⁵³, extreme precipitation events can leach nitrate, thereby limiting substrate supply and attenuating denitrification⁵⁴.

Regional divergence in reactive nitrogen gas mitigation

Organic substitution is predicted to reduce global losses of reactive nitrogen gases, yet the N₂O reduction is disproportionately stronger in European croplands (Fig. 4). This spatial asymmetry arises from three converging factors. First, for most European arable soils, interannual variability in precipitation and soil moisture is low, supporting strong coupling among mineralization, nitrification, and denitrification⁵⁵. Such hydrological stability enhances nitrogen process synchrony (Fig. 4), thereby amplifying the extent to which organic substitution can alter N₂O emissions. Second, soil conditions in Europe provide a favorable basis for lower N₂O, particularly in southern and central regions, where agricultural soils are predominantly neutral to slightly alkaline⁵⁶. Under such soil conditions, microorganisms involved in the nitrogen cycle can maintain relatively high activity^{46,57}. As a result, the overall synchrony deviations in nitrogen cycling remains at a low level, especially for DDS (Fig. 4), which effectively reduces N₂O losses. Meanwhile, the long-term practices of organic fertilizer management

(e.g., straw incorporation and livestock manure application) not only ensure a steady nitrogen supply but also sustain the activity and functional diversity of microbial communities⁵⁸. These factors may jointly decrease synchrony deviations of nitrogen processes and lower the risk of N₂O emissions.

By comparison, our results indicate that organic substitution may exert the most pronounced mitigation effect on NH₃ emissions from African croplands. Two main mechanisms may explain this outcome. In general, African agricultural soils are generally characterized by low organic matter content and poor nitrogen retention capacity⁵⁹. Such conditions often induce short-lived yet intense ammonium accumulation peaks near the soil surface, thereby triggering substantial NH₃ emissions under synthetic fertilization. In contrast, the slow release of available nitrogen from organic substitution reduces instantaneous accumulation of volatile ammonium at the soil surface¹², thus suppressing NH₃ emissions. Further, the metabolism of heterotrophic microorganisms in African soils can be constrained by insufficient carbon availability⁶⁰. The addition of exogenous organic matter supplies readily available carbon substrates, markedly stimulating heterotrophic microorganism growth and metabolism⁶¹, thereby enhancing the balance between autotrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms involved in nitrogen mineralization and immobilization. Consequently, lower DMS and DIS (Fig. 4) ultimately curb NH₃ losses.

Implications and limitations

Our study establishes nitrogen process synchrony as a fundamental yet previously neglected metric governing reactive nitrogen gas emissions. Current models, although incorporating some nitrogen processes, typically treat these processes as isolated components rather than as interconnected systems with inherent coordination^{62,63}. By incorporating synchrony metrics, we provide a framework to reduce the uncertainty of N₂O and NH₃ emission predictions. The synchrony perspective is also useful to identify practical mitigation strategies for reducing reactive nitrogen gas emissions. Conventional single process interventions (e.g. nitrification inhibitor) often yield suboptimal outcomes due to compensatory effects in other nitrogen transformation pathways⁶⁴. In contrast, strategies that enhance overall process synchrony may offer more robust solutions. The synchrony metric emerges as both a diagnostic tool for evaluating fertilization practices and a guiding principle for developing region-specific management policies that account for local environmental conditions.

Several limitations warrant consideration. First, although our dataset encompasses worldwide croplands, the uneven distribution of experimental sites reflects the challenges in compiling comprehensive field data. Nevertheless, the included sites sufficiently represent typical croplands under organic substitution worldwide. Second, enzyme activities and functional gene abundances integrated into the synchrony metric may introduce uncertainties. Synchrony metrics derived from process rates, enzyme activities, and functional gene abundances were all effective predictors of reactive nitrogen gas losses (Fig. S7); nevertheless, process-rate-based synchrony may offer greater predictive power. Future studies would benefit from direct quantification of nitrogen transformation rates to constrain synchrony estimates.

Methods

Data collection

Data were collected from peer-reviewed papers, primarily using two platforms: Web of Science (<http://apps.webofknowledge.com>) and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure database (CNKI, <http://www.cnki.net>), with additional literature screening conducted through Google Scholar. The screening keywords were (organic fertilizer OR straw OR biochar OR manure) AND (nitrogen cycle OR nitrification OR denitrification OR mineralization OR immobilization) AND (nitrous

oxide OR ammonia). Article screening followed PRISMA guidelines (Fig. S13)⁶⁵ and included peer-reviewed papers dated up to November 10, 2024. The criteria for screening were: (1) experiments conducted in agricultural ecosystems that included both synthetic nitrogen fertilizer and organic substitution for synthetic fertilizer, with both treatments receiving equal nitrogen application inputs; (2) organic substitution treatments lasting for at least one crop growing season; and (3) each experiment reported at least one soil nitrogen process, including mineralization, immobilization, nitrification, and denitrification, and/or reactive nitrogen gas emissions, including nitrous oxide or ammonia emissions. To avoid temporal mismatch, nitrogen processes indicators and reactive nitrogen gas emissions were measured only when they were reported from the same site, treatment comparison, and experimental observation period.

Detailed site information was extracted from the peer-reviewed papers, including geographical coordinates, climatic conditions, experimental duration, and management information. Missing climatic data, including mean annual temperature and mean annual precipitation, were supplemented using WorldClim (<http://www.worldclim.org>)⁶⁶. Soil nitrogen process rates and soil properties, including moisture, bulk density, pH, clay content, organic carbon, total nitrogen, ammonium, nitrate, microbial biomass carbon, and microbial biomass nitrogen, were directly extracted from texts and tables in peer-reviewed papers, while graphical data were digitized using Get-Data software (version 2.2). Because direct measurements of nitrogen transformation rates were limited, functional gene abundance and enzyme activity were used as proxies. To minimize unit differences among variables, all data were converted into natural logarithmic response ratios (lnRR) to represent treatment effects relative to the control. Effect sizes based on gene abundance and enzyme activity were significantly and positively correlated with those derived from nitrogen transformation rates (Fig. S14). Furthermore, the relationships between reactive nitrogen gas losses and synchrony deviations (calculated separately using nitrogen process rate, functional gene abundance, and enzyme activity) were examined; these relationships remained consistent across the different indicators (Fig. S7).

Data overview

The dataset comprised 2235 observations from 210 experimental sites (see Fig. S15 for the geographical distribution), with most sites located in Asia (1796 observations), Europe (173 observations) and North America (47 observations). These sites exhibited a range of climate conditions and soil properties. For example, mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation, and soil pH ranged from 3.2 to 30.4 °C, 76 to 2497 mm, and 3.5 to 8.9, respectively. Meanwhile, the included observations also spanned a broad range of experimental durations, from single cropping season to more than 50 years (Fig. S16). A total of 675 observations were derived from a 1-year experiment, accounting for approximately 30% of the dataset. To calculate the effect size of each variable, synthetic fertilization served as the control and organic fertilization as the treatment. The organic amendments included straw return, biochar addition, manure addition, and organic soil conditioners (such as mushroom residues). Synthetic fertilizers included ammonium, nitrates, and urea, etc.

Data analyses

A meta-analysis was performed to reveal the impacts of organic substitution on reactive nitrogen gas losses and soil properties. Effect sizes and variances (v_i) were calculated as follows:

$$\ln RR = \ln(X_t/X_c) \quad (1)$$

$$v_i = \frac{S_t^2}{N_t X_t^2} + \frac{S_c^2}{N_c X_c^2} \quad (2)$$

where X_t represents the mean value of one variable under organic substitution, while X_c is the mean value of the variable under synthetic fertilizer application; N_c and N_t are the sample sizes of synthetic fertilizer application and organic substitution, respectively; S_t and S_c are standard deviations of control and treatment, respectively. The weight (w_i) of each observation and the weighted natural logarithm of response ratio (R_+) were estimated were calculated as follows:

$$w_i = \frac{1}{v_i} \quad (3)$$

$$R_+ = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m W_i \ln RR_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m W_i} \quad (4)$$

where i denotes the i th observation and m is the total number of observations. The percentage change for each variable was calculated as:

$$(e^{R_+} - 1) \times 100\% \quad (5)$$

The 'metafor' package version 4.6.0 in R (version 4.4.1) was used to conduct a mixed-effects meta-analysis. Moreover, a hierarchical mixed-effects meta-analysis with a nested random effects structure (-1| study) was performed, where 'study' represented different experimental cases.

Publication bias was assessed using Egger's test and the fail-safe number, with both analyses performed in the 'metafor' package version 4.6.0 in R 4.4.1. For each variable, we reported the sample size, Egger intercept, corresponding p value, 95% confidence interval, and fail-safe number for each variable (Table S2). Funnel plots were also generated to visually assess effect-size symmetry and potential small-study effects (Fig. S17). Egger's test revealed potential funnel-plot asymmetry for several variables, including N_2O emission, soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, microbial biomass carbon, microbial biomass nitrogen, ammonium, nitrate, mineralization, and denitrification. Nevertheless, the fail-safe numbers for these variables all exceeded $5n + 10$, where n is the number of observations, suggesting that potential publication bias was unlikely to qualitatively alter the main conclusions.

The lnRR of soil nitrogen process were used to calculate synchrony:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta R_i = \Delta R_1 + \Delta R_2 + \dots + \Delta R_n \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta S_i = \frac{\Delta R_i \times (n - 1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta R_i - \Delta R_i} - 1 \quad (7)$$

where ΔR_i is the change in the rate of the i th nitrogen process. ΔS_i is the change in synchrony of the i th process relative to the other nitrogen processes. In Eq. 7, a value of 0 indicates absolute synchrony. Synchrony deviation was calculated as:

$$\text{Deviation from } \Delta S_i = |\Delta S_i| \quad (8)$$

Based on the above equations, linear mixed-effects models were used to examine the following aspects: (1) bivariate relationships between reactive nitrogen gas losses and soil nitrogen process rates, as well as the relationships of reactive nitrogen gas losses against synchrony deviations; (2) the impacts of environmental heterogeneity on synchrony deviations; (3) the influence of experimental duration on synchrony deviations of nitrogen processes; and (4) pairwise relationships among synchrony deviation metrics, soil inorganic nitrogen pools, soil pH, and reactive nitrogen gas losses. In all models, 'study' was viewed as a random effect to take experimental differences into

account, and the number of replicates was used as weights. The analyses were completed using the ‘lme4’ package version 1.1-35.5 in R 4.4.1 with maximum likelihood estimation.

To further assess whether reactive nitrogen gas emission responses and their associations with nitrogen process synchrony deviations remained consistent across substitution practices, we conducted subgroup analyses based on organic amendment type. Observations were categorized as straw return, biochar addition, manure addition, or organic soil conditioner application. Within each subgroup, we quantified changes in N₂O and NH₃ emissions under organic substitution and independently examined the relationships between reactive nitrogen gas losses and synchrony deviation metrics using the same linear mixed-effects modelling framework (Fig. S6).

The classical synchrony equation in community ecology¹⁷ was also employed to calculate the synchrony among soil nitrogen processes:

$$\text{Synchrony} = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{(\sum_i \sigma_{xi})^2} \quad (9)$$

where σ_x^2 represents the variance of an individual nitrogen process under the same ‘study’, while $(\sum_i \sigma_{xi})^2$ represents the sum of variances of all nitrogen processes under the same ‘study’ treatment. To further verify the relationship between reactive nitrogen gas losses and synchrony among nitrogen processes (using Eq. 8), we further tested the relationship of reactive nitrogen gas losses against synchrony (using Eq. 9).

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was applied to investigate the direct and indirect effects of environmental variables on reactive nitrogen gas losses through changing synchrony deviation of nitrogen processes. Specifically, two structural equation models were constructed to separately assess multivariate relationships for N₂O and NH₃ emissions. The first SEM focused on the synchrony deviation of nitrification and denitrification, and N₂O emissions. The second SEM evaluated the effects of environmental factors and synchrony deviation of mineralization and immobilization on NH₃ emissions. In both models, ‘study’ was treated as a random effect to account for variation among studies, and the number of replicates was used as weights. Initial models included all hypothesized causal relationships and were subsequently optimized based on Akaike information criterion (AIC) minimization. The final two structural equation models are eligible, with $p = 0.167$ for the N₂O emission model and $p = 0.297$ for the NH₃ emission model. All SEMs were tested using the ‘piecewiseSEM’ package version 2.3.0.1 in R 4.4.1.

Before projections, a global dataset for 12 independent variables were constructed, including mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation, soil clay and silt content, pH, organic carbon, total nitrogen, the amount of organic nitrogen application (merely organic nitrogen content in total nitrogen application), deviation from mineralization synchrony (DMS), deviation from immobilization synchrony (DIS), deviation from nitrification synchrony (DNS), and deviation from denitrification synchrony (DDS). Climatic factors (e.g., mean annual temperature and precipitation) were obtained from WorldClim (<https://worldclim.org/data/worldclim21.html>)⁶⁶. The soil properties database was derived from the Global Soil Dataset for Earth System Modelling (<http://globalchange.bnu.edu.cn/research/soilw>)⁶⁷. The organic nitrogen application was obtained from the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center Third Pole Environment Data Center (<https://cstr.cn/18406.11.Terre.tpdc.300446>)⁶⁸.

The performance of nine machine learning algorithms was compared, including extreme gradient boosting, random forest, gradient boosting machine, support vector machine, recursive partitioning and regression trees, generalized linear, elastic-net regularized generalized linear, neural network, and stepwise regression. Based on ten-fold cross-validation, the random forest model emerged as the optimal choice, as it achieved both relatively high coefficients of determination

($R^2 = 0.37$ for N₂O and 0.72 for NH₃ emissions) and low prediction errors (MAE = 0.08 and 0.10, RMSE = 0.13 for both gases; Fig. S18). Because the hyperparameters of random forest model can substantially influence predictive accuracy, we first tuned these parameters using a grid search procedure. Moreover, the predicted N₂O and NH₃ emissions showed close agreement with the observed values (Fig. S19). Model performance was initially evaluated as the lnRR. To assess whether predictive performance was sensitive to logarithmic transformation, the observed and cross-validated predicted values were back-transformed to the response-ratio scale using $RR = \exp(\ln RR)$. The R^2 , MAE, and RMSE were then recalculated. Performance metrics were robust to this transformation (Table S3 and Fig. S20). Global synchrony deviation data for nitrogen processes were generated at a 0.5° resolution (Fig. 4).

Finally, to compare the effects of organic substitution on N₂O and NH₃ emissions across regional croplands, the ‘*rnaturalearth*’ package version 1.0.1 in R 4.4.1 was used to obtain country vector data. Global croplands were binned into five regions: Asia, the Americas, Africa, Europe, and Oceania. In each region, the mean and standard deviation of the logarithmic response ratios of N₂O and NH₃ emissions were calculated. Finally, the ‘*ggplot2*’ package version 4.0.2 in R 4.4.1 was used to visualize the emission changes in each region.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The meta-analysis dataset generated in this study have been deposited in the Figshare repository at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.33170645>⁶⁹. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

The codes have been uploaded to the Figshare repository (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.33170645>)⁶⁹.

References

- Richardson, K. et al. Earth beyond six of nine planetary boundaries. *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadh2458 (2023).
- Rockström, J. et al. Planetary boundaries: exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Ecol. Soc.* **14**, 32 (2009).
- Forster, P. et al. The earth’s energy budget, climate feedbacks, and climate sensitivity. *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis* 923–1054 (2021).
- Wyer, K. E. et al. Ammonia emissions from agriculture and their contribution to fine particulate matter: a review of implications for human health. *J. Environ. Manag.* **323**, 116285 (2022).
- Sutton, M. A. et al. Too much of a good thing. *Nature* **472**, 159–161 (2011).
- Tian, H. et al. A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. *Nature* **586**, 248–256 (2020).
- Liu, L. et al. Exploring global changes in agricultural ammonia emissions and their contribution to nitrogen deposition since 1980. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **119**, e2121998119 (2022).
- Li, C., Frolking, S. & Frolking, T. A. A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: 1. Model structure and sensitivity. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **97**, 9759–9776 (1992).
- Cui, G. & Wang, J. Improving the DNDC biogeochemistry model to simulate soil temperature and emissions of nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide in cold regions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **687**, 61–70 (2019).
- Kuypers, M. M. M. et al. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **16**, 263–276 (2018).
- Han, B. et al. Relative importance between nitrification and denitrification to N₂O from a global perspective. *Glob. Change Biol.* **30**, e17082 (2024).

12. Cui, X. et al. The global potential for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from croplands. *One Earth* **7**, 401–420 (2024).
13. Gruber, N. & Galloway, J. N. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. *Nature* **451**, 293–296 (2008).
14. Cui, P. et al. Long-term organic and inorganic fertilization alters temperature sensitivity of potential N₂O emissions and associated microbes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **93**, 131–141 (2016).
15. Abdo, A. I. et al. Nitrogen transformation genes and ammonia emission from soil under biochar and urease inhibitor application. *Soil Tillage Res.* **223**, 105491 (2022).
16. Verburg, K. et al. Review of APSIM's soil nitrogen modelling capability for agricultural systems analyses. *Agric. Syst.* **224**, 104213 (2025).
17. Loreau, M. & De Mazancourt, C. Species synchrony and its drivers: neutral and nonneutral community dynamics in fluctuating environments. *Am. Nat.* **172**, E48–E66 (2008).
18. Nieder, R. et al. Fixation and defixation of ammonium in soils: a review. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **47**, 1–14 (2011).
19. Aryal, B. et al. Nitrous oxide emission in altered nitrogen cycle and implications for climate change. *Environ. Pollut.* **314**, 120272 (2022).
20. Wang, J. et al. Mechanisms of soil N dynamics following long-term application of organic fertilizers to subtropical rain-fed purple soil in China. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **91**, 222–231 (2015).
21. Tang, Q. et al. Organic fertilization reduces nitrous oxide emission by altering nitrogen cycling microbial guilds favouring complete denitrification at soil aggregate scale. *Sci. Total Environ.* **946**, 174178 (2024).
22. Zhao, Y. et al. Banana, pineapple, cassava and sugarcane residue biochars cannot mitigate ammonia volatilization from latosols in tropical farmland. *Sci. Total Environ.* **821**, 153427 (2022).
23. Xu, W. et al. Effects of long-term organic fertilizer substitutions on soil nitrous oxide emissions and nitrogen cycling gene abundance in a greenhouse vegetable field. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **188**, 104877 (2023).
24. Tian, H. et al. The global N₂O model intercomparison project. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **99**, 1231–1251 (2018).
25. Wan, X. S. et al. Particle-associated denitrification is the primary source of N₂O in oxic coastal waters. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 8280 (2023).
26. Zhang, X. et al. In situ nitrogen mineralization, nitrification, and ammonia volatilization in maize field fertilized with urea in Huanghuaihai Region of Northern China. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0115649 (2015).
27. Zeng, J. et al. A global meta-analysis of N₂O emission-yield trade-offs in wheat, rice and maize systems under different agricultural management. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **226**, 108703 (2026).
28. Li, Y. et al. Transient nitrite accumulation explains the variation of N₂O emissions to N fertilization in upland agricultural soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **177**, 108917 (2023).
29. Chen, Z.-L. et al. Significant contributions of combustion-related sources to ammonia emissions. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 7710 (2022).
30. Soares, J. R. et al. Ammonia volatilization losses from surface-applied urea with urease and nitrification inhibitors. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **52**, 82–89 (2012).
31. Liu, B. et al. Impaired reduction of N₂O to N₂ in acid soils is due to a posttranscriptional interference with the expression of nosZ. *mBio* **5** <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01383-14> (2014).
32. Yao, Y. et al. Global variations and controlling factors of anammox rates. *Glob. Change Biol.* **29**, 3622–3633 (2023).
33. Müller, C. et al. Quantification of N₂O emission pathways via a ¹⁵N tracing model. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **72**, 44–54 (2014).
34. Zhu, G. et al. Microbial pathways for nitrogen loss in an upland soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* **20**, 1723–1738 (2018).
35. Hickman, J. E. et al. Nonlinear response of nitric oxide fluxes to fertilizer inputs and the impacts of agricultural intensification on tropospheric ozone pollution in Kenya. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 3193–3204 (2017).
36. Ajwa, H. A. et al. Changes in enzyme activities and microbial biomass of tallgrass prairie soil as related to burning and nitrogen fertilization. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **31**, 769–777 (1999).
37. Bonde, T. A. et al. Microbial biomass as a fraction of potentially mineralizable nitrogen in soils from long-term field experiments. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **20**, 447–452 (1988).
38. Booth, M. S. et al. Controls on nitrogen cycling in terrestrial ecosystems: a synthetic analysis of literature data. *Ecol. Monogr.* **75**, 139–157 (2005).
39. Lourenço, K. S. et al. Dominance of bacterial ammonium oxidizers and fungal denitrifiers in the complex nitrogen cycle pathways related to nitrous oxide emission. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* **10**, 645–660 (2018).
40. Li, Z. et al. Vital roles of soil microbes in driving terrestrial nitrogen immobilization. *Glob. Change Biol.* **27**, 1848–1858 (2021).
41. Wackett, L. P. Bacteria in thin films: natural and engineered. *Environ. Microbiol.* **9**, 2624–2625 (2010).
42. Lu, L. et al. Nitrification of archaeal ammonia oxidizers in acid soils is supported by hydrolysis of urea. *ISME J.* **6**, 1978–1984 (2012).
43. Liu, B. et al. Denitrification gene pools, transcription and kinetics of NO, N₂O and N₂ production as affected by soil pH. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **72**, 407–417 (2010).
44. Wang, Z. et al. Responses of nitrification and ammonia oxidizers to a range of background and adjusted pH in purple soils. *Geoderma* **334**, 9–14 (2019).
45. Sinsabaugh, R. L. et al. Stoichiometry of soil enzyme activity at global scale. *Ecol. Lett.* **11**, 1252–1264 (2008).
46. Qiu, Y. et al. Intermediate soil acidification induces highest nitrous oxide emissions. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 2695 (2024).
47. Rousk, J. et al. Contrasting soil pH effects on fungal and bacterial growth suggest functional redundancy in carbon mineralization. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 1589–1596 (2009).
48. Wessén, E. et al. Spatial distribution of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea across a 44-hectare farm related to ecosystem functioning. *ISME J.* **5**, 1213–1225 (2011).
49. Li, Z. et al. Global patterns and controlling factors of soil nitrification rate. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 4147–4157 (2020).
50. Ning, C. et al. Impacts of chemical fertilizer reduction and organic amendments supplementation on soil nutrient, enzyme activity and heavy metal content. *J. Integr. Agric.* **16**, 8 (2017).
51. Zhang, Y. et al. Rainfall increasing offsets the negative effects of nighttime warming on GHGs and wheat yield in North China Plain. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 6505 (2021).
52. Chen, S. et al. Heterotrophic nitrification of organic nitrogen is stimulated by agricultural plants. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **6**, 612 (2025).
53. Philippot, L. et al. Ecology of denitrifying prokaryotes in agricultural soil. *Adv. Agron.* **96**, 249–305 (2007).
54. Wang, Y. et al. Accounting for differences between crops and regions reduces estimates of nitrate leaching from nitrogen-fertilized soils. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **6**, 29 (2025).
55. Schurgers, G. et al. Modelling soil anaerobiosis from water retention characteristics and soil respiration. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **38**, 2637–2644 (2006).
56. Fabian, C. et al. GEMAS: spatial distribution of the pH of European agricultural and grazing land soil. *Appl. Geochem.* **48**, 207–216 (2014).
57. Wegen, S. et al. Low temperature and neutral pH define “*Candidatus Nitrotoga* sp.” as a competitive nitrite oxidizer in coculture with *Nitrospira defluvii*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **85**, e02569-18 (2019).

58. Liu, X. et al. Effects of exogenous organic matter addition on agricultural soil microbial communities and relevant enzyme activities in southern China. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 8045 (2023).
59. Stewart, Z. P. et al. Approaches to improve soil fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. *J. Exp. Bot.* **71**, 632–641 (2020).
60. Ren, W. et al. Global pattern and change of cropland soil organic carbon during 1901–2010: roles of climate, atmospheric chemistry, land use and management. *Geogr. Sustain.* **1**, 59–69 (2020).
61. Lange, M. et al. Plant diversity increases soil microbial activity and soil carbon storage. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6707 (2015).
62. Parton, W. J. et al. DAYCENT and its land surface submodel: description and testing. *Glob. Planet. Change* **19**, 35–48 (1998).
63. Berntsen, J. et al. Evaluating nitrogen taxation scenarios using the dynamic whole farm simulation model FASSET. *Agric. Syst.* **76**, 817–839 (2003).
64. He, X. et al. Co-application of nitrification inhibitors with straw or biochar yielded varying effects on soil nitrification rate, N₂O emissions, and ammonia oxidizers. *Soil Landsc. Ecol.* **25**, 1949–1961 (2025).
65. Page, M. J. et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Br. Med. J.* **372**, n71 (2021).
66. Fick, S. E. & Hijmans, R. J. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* **37**, 4302–4315 (2017).
67. Wang, Z. et al. Global patterns and predictors of soil microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in terrestrial ecosystems. *CATENA* **211**, 106037 (2022).
68. Adalibieke, W. et al. Global crop-specific nitrogen fertilization dataset in 1961–2020. *Sci. Data* **10**, 617 (2023).
69. Li, M. figshare <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.33170645.v1> (2026).

Author contributions

Z.L.L. conceptualized the research. M.F.L. compiled the dataset. M.F.L., Y.Z.Y., and B.B.H. analyzed the data. M.F.L. and Z.L.L. drafted the manuscript. Z.L.L., S.W., J.S., A.C., Q.F.M., and Q.R.L. revised the manuscript. Z.L.L., M.F.L., Y.Z.Y., B.B.H., S.W., J.S., A.C., Q.F.M., Q.R.L., C.L.X., W.L.K., and H.L. contributed to the reviewing of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFE0105000). J.S. and S.W. were funded by the Transformation

for Sustainable Nutrient Supply and Management (Trans4Num) project under the CL6-2022-ZEROPOLLUTION-01-03 call of the Horizon Europe programme (Project ID: 101081847).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-026-76977-4>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Zhaolei Li.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Aditya Manuraj and the other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2026