Received: 11 November 2024 | Revised: 20 October 2025 | Accepted: 30 October 2025

DOI: 10.1111/cobi.70219

Conservation Biology

CONTRIBUTED PAPER

# Role of freshwater availability and terrestrial land-cover change in the distribution of a declining, terrestrial, insectivorous bird

**Catrin F. Eden**[1] | **Simon Gillings**[2] | **Richard K. Broughton**[3] | **Bart Donato**[4] | **Chris M. Hewson**[2] | **Stuart P. Sharp**[1]

[1]Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

[2]British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford, UK

[3]UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, UK

[4]Natural England, Kendal, UK

**Correspondence**
Catrin F. Eden, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Library Ave, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK.
Email: c.eden1@lancaster.ac.uk

**Article impact statement**: Aquatic habitats should be considered when planning conservation actions for declining, terrestrial, insectivorous species.

[Correction added on January 28, 2026 after first online publication: The copyright line was changed.]

**Funding information**
Natural Environment Research Council, Grant/Award Number: NE/S007423/1

## Abstract

Insectivorous, Afro-Palearctic migrant birds provide cross-border ecosystem services, but many are declining rapidly. The complex life cycle of migrant birds makes their conservation difficult, but understanding where they spend time during the breeding season can help indicate where those actions will be most effective. We used the spotted flycatcher (*Muscicapa striata*), a declining, Afro-Palearctic, migratory insectivore and habitat generalist, as a model to examine how river density and land-cover change were associated with loss and colonization during the breeding season of 2 × 2-km national atlas survey areas from 1990 to 2010. Greater river density was associated with a lower probability of loss (odds ratio [OR] 0.8) between survey periods and a higher probability of colonization (OR 1.25). Loss was associated with increases in urban land cover (OR 1.17), and, unexpectedly, colonization was negatively associated with increases in woodland (OR 0.91) and standing freshwater (OR 0.94). Our results suggest that habitat creation is unlikely to provide sufficient benefits for some insectivorous birds within the time needed for population recovery. Thus, efforts should focus on the protection and improvement of established habitats. River density was strongly associated with the persistence of the spotted flycatcher, and this finding highlights that understanding the benefits of freshwater habitat for terrestrial species should be a priority for conservation management.

**KEYWORDS**
Afro-Palearctic migrants, aquatic subsidies, bird atlas surveys, conservation management, freshwater riparian habitat, land-cover change, spotted flycatcher

## INTRODUCTION

Management actions are urgently needed to counteract long-term declines of Afro-Palearctic migrant birds, most of which rely on insects at some point during the year (Vickery et al., 2023). Difficulties in understanding the drivers of these declines arise from their complex life cycle and wide spatial range; they breed in one continent and overwinter in another (Newton, 2007). Climate change and land-use change are regularly cited as important factors in the species' declines, but there is little consensus on the individual mechanisms involved (Suggitt et al., 2023; Vickery et al., 2023).

The effects of land-use change may be more directly managed than those of climate change, so they present a more achievable short-term conservation focus. Land-use change can affect Afro-Palearctic migrants across the entire flyway. In Africa, the suitability of land cover for long-distance migrants has decreased (Howard et al., 2020), and in Britain, changing management of woodland (Amar et al., 2006; Holt et al., 2011) and farmland (Bowler et al., 2019) have had negative impacts on some species. Much of the research has focused on understanding drivers of population change on the breeding grounds. There has been limited attention paid to the wintering grounds due to a scarcity of information on their specific locations

---

(Vickery & Adams, 2020; Vickery et al., 2023). Hence, management actions to improve productivity on the breeding grounds present a more achievable and potentially more effective strategy to improve population trends than attempts to improve survival elsewhere (Morrison et al., 2016; Saether & Bakke, 2000), provided that no density-dependent effects are operating outside the breeding range that could undermine the efficacy of such actions or result in unintended negative consequences (Taylor & Norris, 2007).

Insectivorous birds consume huge amounts of invertebrates, so the degradation of this system could have serious implications for ecosystem functioning and biological pest control (Nyffeler et al., 2018; Roseo et al., 2024). Afro-Palearctic migrants that feed on insects have undergone more severe declines than other foraging guilds (Sanderson et al., 2006). Declines have also been observed in nonmigratory European (Bowler et al., 2019) and North American (Tallamy & Gregory Shriver, 2021) insectivores, suggesting shared pressures across the globe. These losses likely follow worldwide crashes in terrestrial insect abundance, which have been associated with land-use change and agricultural intensification (Hallmann et al., 2017; Reif & Hanzelka, 2020; Sánchez-Bayo & Wyckhuys, 2019). For example, the distribution of the common cuckoo (*Cuculus canorus*), a declining Afro-Palearctic migrant reliant predominantly on Lepidoptera larvae during the breeding season, appears to have shifted away from agricultural lowland in response to reduced food availability (Denerley et al., 2019). Conversely, aquatic insects may provide an increasingly important food source because their numbers have increased in Europe since the early 2000s, perhaps due to regulations on water quality and warmer temperatures (Qu et al., 2023; van Klink et al., 2020).

The flux of emergent aquatic insects into terrestrial habitats represents an important "aquatic subsidy" for insectivores and has received little attention (Lafage et al., 2019). The exploitation of these resources by insectivorous birds is evidenced by their greater abundance near rivers and streams and increased predation of flying insects in these areas (Bradbury & Kirby, 2006; Iwata et al., 2003; Murakami & Nakano, 2002). This behavior may positively influence population dynamics, particularly in resource-limited populations (Richardson et al., 2010). As well as providing additional food, freshwater may subsidize terrestrial habitats by offering food of high nutritional quality (Moyo et al., 2017). Aquatic insects are richer in essential omega-3 fatty acids (Moyo et al., 2017; Twining et al., 2019), which are associated with improved breeding success in some birds (Twining et al., 2018). Moreover, the timing of aquatic insect emergence is asynchronous with the peak of terrestrial insect emergence, increasing the temporal coverage of food (Nakano & Murakami, 2001). This could be a key driver of more favorable population trends among Afro-Palearctic migrants occupying freshwater habitats, perhaps buffering phenological mismatch (Both et al., 2010). Thus, an examination of the use of freshwater habitats would provide a greater understanding of the population dynamics of migratory insectivorous birds.

Several studies have investigated local breeding habitat associations of Afro-Palearctic migrants (Mallord et al., 2016; Stevens et al., 2007), but few have assessed the landscape-scale relationship between habitat and occupancy or decline (but see Denerley et al. [2019]), limiting the scalability of results. Similarly, research on the importance of freshwater habitats for insectivorous species has been limited to local populations (Berzins et al., 2022) or small geographical ranges (Iwata et al., 2003). We examined whether terrestrial and freshwater land cover predict national-scale changes in distribution in a model migratory insectivore.

The spotted flycatcher (*Muscicapa striata*) is a widespread obligate insectivore and habitat generalist (Cramp & Perrins, 1993) and the most rapidly declining Afro-Palearctic migrant passerine in the United Kingdom (Burns et al., 2020). This species has 3 main fine-scale structural habitat requirements during the breeding season: a perch to hunt from, open space to catch flying insects, and ledges or shallow cavities for nesting (Cramp & Perrins, 1993). These requirements are met in a range of habitats across the United Kingdom, including woodland, farmland, and rural settlements, making it the ideal model system in which to test whether national distribution and population change vary with land cover and between terrestrial and freshwater habitats. Although previous studies suggest that habitat-specific predation pressure may explain the rate of decline in breeding success at the local scale (Stevens et al., 2007; Stoate & Szczur, 2006), there has been no research on variation in population status at a larger spatial scale. Such work is vital in the United Kingdom, where spotted flycatchers declined by 92% between 1967 and 2020 (Woodward et al., 2020); will inform conservation management across the European population, which has decreased by 56% since 1980 (PECBMS, 2025); and will have important implications for other declining insectivores in the Afro-Palearctic region.

Using comprehensive national atlas surveys of breeding birds in Britain, we quantified how freshwater availability and changes in land cover over time were related to colonization of and extirpation from survey sites during the same period. We assessed these relationships for all suitable land-cover types, including agricultural land, woodland, grassland, freshwater, and urban land. Although climate change is likely to have had an important effect on the distribution of spotted flycatchers, we aimed to identify specific land-use types positively associated with colonization or negatively associated with local extinction, thereby indicating priority land-use types for protection or creation. Specifically, we sought to examine how changes in land cover are related to changes in occupancy from 1990 to 2010 and to assess the importance of freshwater habitats for this insectivorous bird species at a national scale.

## METHODS

### Occupancy change data sources

The occupancy of spotted flycatchers in 2 × 2-km tetrads in Britain during 2 survey periods was extracted from the

1988–1991 and 2008–2011 British Trust for Ornithology (BTO) Bird Atlases (Balmer et al., 2013) (hereafter, *BA1990* and *BA2010*). During the spring breeding seasons of 1988–1991 and 2008–2011, volunteers recorded the presence of all bird species along transects in tetrads on 2 separate visits, achieving near-total coverage for Britain (Gillings et al., 2019; Gibbons, 1993). For BA1990, each visit lasted 1 h. For BA2010, volunteers could record for an additional hour. To ensure standardization, we included only records from the first hour in BA2010.

The recorded presence of spotted flycatchers in tetrads surveyed during BA1990 and BA2010 was extracted as a measure of occupancy or apparent absence for each period. The difference in occupancy between BA1990 and BA2010 was used to explore associations with occupancy change. Presence and absence data from each atlas period were used to assign categories of colonization (absent 1990, present 2010), loss (present 1990, absent 2010), persistence (present 1990 and 2010), or absence (never present) to each tetrad. To maximize the proportion of breeding birds and minimize the inclusion of transient birds (i.e., birds migrating through a square), presence was only included for tetrads that had probable or confirmed breeding evidence for the encompassing 10 × 10-km square (Balmer et al., 2013). The chance of recording the same bird in adjacent squares was minimal because the majority of foraging occurs within 50 m of the nest during the breeding season. Occasionally, there are foraging trips of up to 200 m (Davies, 1977). Two datasets were created from the categorized squares: one to test the probability of colonization, which included all squares from which birds were absent during BA1990, and one to test the probability of loss, which included only those squares in which birds were present during BA1990.

## Environmental data sources

To identify factors associated with spotted flycatcher distribution and change, we derived a set of covariates describing the environmental conditions in each surveyed tetrad. Mean elevation for each tetrad was calculated using the ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model V003 (NASA/METI/AIST/Japan Space Systems & U.S./Japan ASTER Science Team, 2019). Latitude and longitude of the central point of each tetrad were also extracted. Land-cover change was calculated using the UKCEH Land Cover Change 1990–2015 dataset (Rowland et al., 2020a) as the proportion of each land-cover type in 2015 subtracted from the proportion in 1990 (Appendices S1 & S2). These data were provided as a 25-m raster and report land-use cover in six simplified but comparable land-cover types across the United Kingdom: woodland, urban, arable, grassland, freshwater, and other.

Spotted flycatchers are typically associated with broadleaf woodland, rather than coniferous woodland, so the change in the proportion of coniferous and broadleaf woodland was extracted from detailed maps of LCM1990 and LCM2007 (Morton et al., 2014; Rowland et al., 2020b) because changes in the methods used to classify these habitats were likely minimal. River density in each tetrad was calculated as the length of river (kilometers) per square kilometers of the tetrad with the vector line shapefile from the UKCEH Digital River Network of Britain (1:50,000) (UKCEH, 2000). There should be minimal overlap between the freshwater and river variables, as the former only included standing and running water bodies >0.5-ha or >50-m wide, representing mainly still open water, whereas the river density was derived from the 1:50,000 Ordinance Survey map.

## Model construction

Statistical analyses were carried out with R 4.3.1 (R Core Team, 2020) and the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2015). We used generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with a binomial error structure to test the association between environmental variables and occupancy change. Two models were tested: one testing the correlates of colonization between BA1990 and BA2010, and one testing the correlates of loss during the same period.

To account for maximal spatial associations unrelated to land cover, such as climate or geography, all models included an interaction between latitude and longitude and a second-order polynomial term for elevation. The encompassing 100 × 100-km square for each tetrad was included as a random effect to further account for geographical trends unrelated to land cover. Explanatory variables were scaled, centered, and tested for collinearity prior to model fitting.

The global models for occupancy change included river density and the changes in proportions of woodland, arable, freshwater, and urban land. To avoid ambiguity, the land-cover change category other was excluded. Grassland-cover change was highly correlated with arable cover change ($r = 0.79$), and so only arable change was included (as the alternative model including grassland failed to converge). Changes in proportions are constrained by original proportions and so effects may be reflective of original proportions rather than absolute change. We checked for correlations between original proportions and proportion change values and found no correlation between the original proportion and the change in proportion of any land-cover types, suggesting the effect of original proportions to be minimal. Because we were specifically interested in the effect of change, we included only proportion changes in the models. To examine whether woodland effects were driven by a specific type of woodland, a version of the best model (see “Model selection and validation” below) was tested using the individual changes in proportions of coniferous and broadleaf woodland, instead of the combined, simplified woodland variable. Both woodland types responded similarly, so the simplest model is presented.

## Model selection and validation

For each model, the dredge function from the MuMin package (Bartoń, 2023) was used to select the combination of variables



**FIGURE 1** (a) Distribution of surveyed areas colonized by spotted flycatchers (green, colonization; white, absence; gray, tetrads not included) and predicted probability of colonizing survey areas based on (b) river density and change in (c) woodland area, (d) arable area, (e) freshwater area, and (f) urban land cover (lines, predicted probability; shading, standard error; black points, raw data; dashed lines, point of no change).

with the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) value (i.e., the best-fitting model). The 100 × 100-km random effect and latitude, longitude, and elevation were retained in all models. The predictive accuracy of the best models was then tested using 10-fold cross-validation. First, all tetrads were randomly allocated to one of 10 subsets of data (folds). To ensure a representative sample of Britain in each fold, folds were allocated at the level of each 10 × 10-km square. This approach ensured that each subset was representative of the overall geographic distribution within Britain. The model was tested 10 times in total, once for each subset after training on the remaining 9.

For each fold of validation, the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) was calculated using the pROC package (Robin et al., 2011). The AUC is a measure of predictive accuracy incorporating model sensitivity (true positive rate) and model specificity (true negative rate). Values range from 0.5 to 1. An AUC of 0.5 depicts a model assigning outcomes at random, whereas an AUC of 1 depicts perfect predictability. We report the average AUC and standard deviation of the 10 folds.

## RESULTS

### Colonization

In total, 20,951 tetrads were included in the colonization model; 19,465 squares never had spotted flycatchers present, and 1486 were colonized from BA1990 to BA2010 (Figure 1a). The best model for colonization retained all land-cover change variables and river density (Table 1). Mean AUC for the model was 0.7 (SD 0.02). After accounting for the effects of latitude, longitude, and elevation, urban land-cover change had the strongest negative effect: tetrads with a greater increase in urban land cover were less likely to have been colonized (Table 1; Figure 1f). Colonization was also less likely in tetrads that had a larger increase in woodland cover (Table 1; Figure 1c), which was the same for both coniferous and broadleaf woodland change (data not shown). The same was found for freshwater cover, though the relationship was weaker and uncertainty greater (Table 1; Figure 1e). River density had the strongest positive effect, with tetrads containing a higher density of rivers more likely to have been colonized by spotted flycatchers (Table 1; Figure 1b). Arable land-cover change was also associated with a higher probability of colonization, although this effect was weak ($p = 0.06$) (Table 1; Figure 1d).

### Loss

In total, 7356 tetrads were included in the loss model; spotted flycatchers were lost from 6390 tetrads between BA1990 and BA2010 and were present in 966 tetrads during both periods. The best model for loss had a mean AUC of 0.67 (SD 0.03). Arable land-cover change and woodland-cover change were not retained in the best model (Table 1). After accounting for the effects of latitude, longitude, and elevation, the strongest effect on loss was river density, which was associated with a lower probability of loss from a tetrad (Table 1; Figure 2b). A greater

**TABLE 1** Generalized linear mixed model estimates of the associations between environmental and land-cover variables and spotted flycatcher colonization and loss.

| | Colonization | | Loss | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Predictor | Odds ratio (confidence interval) | *p* | Odds ratio (confidence interval) | *p* |
| (Intercept) | 0.09 (0.07–0.12) | <0.001* | 6.74 (6.07–7.48) | <0.001* |
| Longitude | 0.96 (0.82–1.14) | 0.654 | 1.04 (0.94–1.15) | 0.427 |
| Latitude | 0.83 (0.68–1.02) | 0.072 | 0.90 (0.81–1.01) | 0.075 |
| Longitude × latitude | 1.25 (1.07–1.46) | 0.005* | 1.10 (1.00–1.20) | 0.043* |
| Mean elevation (m) | 1.68 (1.51–1.86) | <0.001* | 0.62 (0.56–0.70) | <0.001* |
| Mean elevation ($m^2$) | 0.65 (0.61–0.69) | <0.001* | 1.18 (1.12–1.24) | <0.001* |
| River density ($km/km^2$) | 1.25 (1.17–1.32) | <0.001* | 0.80 (0.74–0.87) | <0.001* |
| Change in freshwater (%) | 0.94 (0.88–1.00) | 0.043* | 1.12 (1.01–1.24) | 0.038* |
| Change in urban area (%) | 0.74 (0.68–0.80) | <0.001* | 1.17 (1.06–1.29) | 0.002* |
| Change in woodland area (%) | 0.91 (0.86–0.96) | 0.001* | | |
| Change in arable area (%) | 1.06 (1.00–1.12) | 0.060 | | |
| *n* | $52_{\text{centad}}$ | | $46_{\text{centad}}$ | |
| Observations | 20,951 | | 7356 | |
| Marginal $R^2$/conditional $R^2$ | 0.173/0.288 | | 0.097/0.100 | |

*Note*: The asterisk (*) denotes a significant effect.



**FIGURE 2** (a) Spatial distribution of spotted flycatcher loss (red, loss; green, persistence; white, tetrads not included) and predicted probability of loss from surveyed areas determined by (b) river density and change in (c) freshwater and (d) urban cover (lines, predicted probability; shading, standard error; black points, raw data; dashed lines, point of no change).

increase in urban land was associated with a higher probability of flycatcher loss (Table 1; Figure 2d). The same was found for freshwater cover, but levels of uncertainty were greater (Table 1; Figure 2c).

## DISCUSSION

Spotted flycatchers occupy a range of habitats in the United Kingdom, but our results showed that colonization and loss from 2 × 2-km squares were correlated with land-cover change and river density. We found that river density was strongly associated with a higher probability of colonization and a lower probability of loss, highlighting a relationship that has been largely overlooked for terrestrial species. Our results also demonstrated a strong aversion to urbanization, which was associated with a lower probability of colonization and a higher probability of loss of flycatchers from survey squares. Although habitat change was associated with the loss of flycatchers in Britain, the low variation explained by the model indicates that multiple factors are operating, likely across the entire range. Nonetheless, the results highlighted habitats where conservation actions are most likely to be influential and emphasized the importance of running freshwater habitats. Despite accounting for spatial factors in the model to disentangle the influence of land cover, land cover is not randomly distributed across Britain, so some residual spatial or climatic influences may still be reflected in the land-cover results.

## Urbanization

Urban areas are associated with numerous ecological novelties, including nonnative species, impervious surfaces, high-density infrastructure, and high human disturbance (Evans et al., 2009). These environmental changes result in altered ecosystem functioning, with higher temperatures, greater fragmentation, more pollution, and reduced biodiversity (Fenoglio et al., 2021; Grimm et al., 2008). Insectivorous birds tend to avoid urban areas (Máthé & Batáry, 2015), likely because of reduced food availability and suitability (Narango et al., 2018; Teglhøj, 2017). Similarly, urban expansion led to lower probabilities of colonization and higher probabilities of loss from survey squares. Urban areas will continue to expand (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2024; Seto et al., 2012), so urban planning should accommodate greater biodiversity by providing more green spaces and connectivity through habitat corridors (Beninde et al., 2015). Moreover, identifying the most favorable areas for insectivorous species to protect them from urbanization is essential.

## Agricultural land cover

Agricultural land covers at least 40% of Britain and is somewhat protected from urban development due to its economic importance (Marston et al., 2023). However, of the declining insectivorous birds in Europe, those occupying farmland have undergone the largest declines (Bowler et al., 2019), owing to large-scale insect declines related to agricultural intensification, including increased pesticide and fertilizer application (Hallmann et al., 2017; Seibold et al., 2019; Vickery et al., 2001). Conversely, less intensive management can benefit insects and insectivores by allowing greater structural diversity (Britschgi et al., 2006; Hannappel & Fischer, 2020). We found only weak effects of arable land-cover change on spotted flycatcher distribution change, which is difficult to interpret due to its collinearity with grassland-cover change.

## Broadleaf and coniferous woodland

Woodland management also has important implications for declining birds. In Britain, woodland cover has almost tripled since the beginning of the 20th century, from 4.7% in 1905 to 13.4% in 2023 (Forest Research, 2023). Despite a historical association of spotted flycatchers with broadleaved woodland (e.g., DEFRA, 2025), colonization was less likely in tetrads that had gained more woodland, and this effect was similar for broadleaves and conifers. New woodlands in this study had only 20 years to mature during the 2 survey periods. Young plantations provide a lower abundance of flying insects and lack the structural features required for spotted flycatcher nesting, whereas mature woodlands are typically more structurally and biologically diverse (Fuller et al., 2014; Seibold et al., 2019; Whytock et al., 2018). Hence, the protection of established woodlands, as well as allowing younger woodlands to mature, is likely to be more beneficial for spotted flycatchers than planting new woodlands, at least in the short term.

## Freshwater

For insectivorous birds, healthy freshwater habitats provide a periodic surplus of high-quality food in the form of emergent aquatic insects (Berzins et al., 2022; Manning & Sullivan, 2021). In addition, the peaks of terrestrial and aquatic insect abundance are asynchronous, creating a greater temporal availability of food, which may help buffer a declining population by improving chick growth and fledging success (Nakano & Murakami, 2001; Twining et al., 2016, 2018). Both et al. (2010) demonstrated how insectivorous migrants have more favorable population trends in marshland areas compared to terrestrial, which may be due to the extended availability, increased abundance, or higher nutritional quality of food, or a combination of these factors.

In accordance with this, river density had one of the highest effect sizes in both our colonization and loss models. Insectivorous bird abundance is greater adjacent to rivers compared with terrestrial habitats at a local scale (Iwata et al., 2003; Uesugi & Murakami, 2007), and our results demonstrate that this effect expands to the landscape scale. For each 1 km of river per square kilometer of landscape, the probability of colonization increased by 31% and the probability of loss decreased by 25%, suggesting strong potential for riverine habitats to attract and conserve insectivorous species. Given that aquatic habitats are threatened by both land management and climate change (Lafage et al., 2019; van Rees et al., 2021), the restoration and protection of these waterbodies, and their surrounding habitats, should be a conservation priority.

Key factors influencing river quality and biodiversity include climate change, anthropogenic wastewater discharge, and agricultural pollution, with the latter having a substantial impact (Whelan et al., 2022). Promoting freshwater-friendly farming practices, such as buffer margins around waterbodies, could enhance terrestrial and aquatic habitats for insects, ultimately benefiting insectivorous species (Keenleyside & Costa

Domingo, 2023). Our results suggest that improving the availability of freshwater within or adjacent to land already utilized by insectivorous birds, such as agricultural grassland and woodland, may have disproportionate benefits. For example, appropriately managed agricultural ponds, likely excluded from our analysis due to scale, are associated with improved breeding success and survival of some species (Berzins et al., 2022). Additionally, restoring natural hydrology by blocking ditches may improve breeding densities of species with a preference for freshwater habitats (Hoover, 2009). Hence, incorporating freshwater systems into conservation management for declining species offers an opportunity for wide-reaching benefits, especially given the importance of freshwater for human health and economies (Lynch et al., 2023).

Interestingly, the relationship between standing freshwater change and distribution change differed from that with river density. Areas where freshwater had increased had a negative impact on colonization and a positive impact on loss. Although the driver of this relationship is unclear, it suggests that, like woodlands, newly created or modified standing freshwater habitats might not be as beneficial as established ones. New or modified freshwater habitats may be associated with other unfavorable conditions, but finer-scale research is needed to understand the drivers of this relationship.

Our results highlight an important interaction between the presence and persistence of a declining, insectivorous bird and the availability of river habitats across Britain, which supports similar findings from North America (Berzins et al., 2022) and Asia (Iwata et al., 2003). Due to the scale of our study, we were unable to make assumptions about the mechanisms driving the relationship, which could be due to the biological or physical structure of riverine environments. Hence, future work should aim to study the fine-scale relationships between insectivorous species and rivers, for example, by investigating the relationship between breeding success and the quantity and quality of invertebrates available in riverine habitats. Nonetheless, our findings have major implications for the design of conservation interventions, especially given the lack of focus on aquatic habitats for terrestrial species, and we hope these results serve as encouragement to investigate this relationship further.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Catrin F. Eden**: Conception; design; analysis; writing. **Simon Gillings**: Design; analysis. **Richard K. Broughton**: Conception; design; data handling; editing. **Bart Donato**: Conception; design; editing. **Chris M. Hewson**: Conception; design; editing. **Stuart P. Sharp**: Conception; design; analysis; editing. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank N. Blackburn for assistance with the initial development of the analysis and the thousands of volunteers who undertook fieldwork for the breeding bird atlases, which were a partnership between BTO, BirdWatch Ireland, and the Scottish Ornithologists' Club. This work was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (NE/S007423/1), and C.E.'s studentship was provided through the ENVISION Doctoral Training Partnership.

## ORCID

*Catrin F. Eden* https://orcid.org/0009-0004-6205-2858

## REFERENCES

Amar, A., Hewson, C. M., Thewlis, R. M., Smith, K. W., Fuller, R. J., Lindsell, J. A., Conway, G., Butler, S., & MacDonald, M. A. (2006). *What's happening to our woodland birds? Long-term changes in the populations of woodland birds*. RSPB & BTO.

Balmer, D. E., Gillings, S., Caffrey, B. J., Swann, R. L., Downie, I. S., & Fuller, R. J. (Eds.) (2013). *Bird Atlas 2007–11: The breeding and wintering birds of Britain and Ireland*. BTO Books.

Bartoń, K. (2023). *MuMIn: Multi-model inference*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Beninde, J., Veith, M., & Hochkirch, A. (2015). Biodiversity in cities needs space: A meta-analysis of factors determining intra-urban biodiversity variation. *Ecology Letters*, *18*, 581–592.

Berzins, L. L., Morrissey, C. A., Howerter, D. W., & Clark, R. G. (2022). Conserving wetlands in agroecosystems can sustain aerial insectivore productivity and survival. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *100*, 617–629.

Both, C., Turnhout, C. A. M. V., Bijlsma, R. G., Siepel, H., Strien, A. J. V., & Foppen, R. P. B. (2010). Avian population consequences of climate change are most severe for long-distance migrants in seasonal habitats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *277*, 1259–1266.

Bowler, D. E., Heldbjerg, H., Fox, A. D., de Jong, M., & Böhning-Gaese, K. (2019). Long-term declines of European insectivorous bird populations and potential causes. *Conservation Biology*, *33*, 1120–1130.

Bradbury, R. B., & Kirby, W. B. (2006). Farmland birds and resource protection in the UK: Cross-cutting solutions for multi-functional farming? *Biological Conservation*, *129*, 530–542.

Britschgi, A., Spaar, R., & Arlettaz, R. (2006). Impact of grassland farming intensification on the breeding ecology of an indicator insectivorous passerine, the Whinchat *Saxicola rubetra*: Lessons for overall Alpine meadowland management. *Biological Conservation*, *130*, 193–205.

Burns, F., Eaton, M. A., Banks, A., Caldow, R., Douse, A., Duigan, C., Grice, P. V., Hall, C., Johnstone, I., Lindley, P., Noble, D. G., Risely, K., & Wotton, S. (2020). *The state of the UK's birds 2020*. The RSPB, BTO, WWT, DAERA, JNCC, NatureScot, NE, and NRW.

Cramp, S., & Perrins, C. M. (1993). *The birds of the Western Palearctic. Vol VII. Flycatchers to shrikes*. Oxford University Press,.

Davies, N. B. (1977). Prey selection and the search strategy of the spotted flycatcher (*Muscicapa striata*): A field study on optimal foraging. *Animal Behaviour*, *25*, 1016–1033.

Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA). (2025). *Wild bird populations in the UK and England, 1970 to 2023*. GOV.UK. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/wild-bird-populations-in-the-uk/wild-bird-populations-in-the-uk-and-england-1970-to-2023 (accessed 6.28.25)

Denerley, C., Redpath, S. M., Wal, R. v. d., Newson, S. E., Chapman, J. W., & Wilson, J. D. (2019). Breeding ground correlates of the distribution and decline of the Common Cuckoo *Cuculus canorus* at two spatial scales. *Ibis*, *161*, 346–358.

Evans, K. L., Newson, S. E., & Gaston, K. J. (2009). Habitat influences on urban avian assemblages. *Ibis*, *151*, 19–39.

Fenoglio, M. S., Calviño, A., González, E., Salvo, A., & Videla, M. (2021). Urbanisation drivers and underlying mechanisms of terrestrial insect diversity loss in cities. *Ecological Entomology*, *46*, 757–771.

Forest Research. (2023). *Forestry Statistics 2023: Chapter 1 - Woodland Area & Planting*. https://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/tools-and-resources/statistics/forestry-statistics

Fuller, L., Oxbrough, A., Gittings, T., Irwin, S., Kelly, T. C., & O'Halloran, J. (2014). The response of ground-dwelling spiders (Araneae) and hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae) to afforestation assessed using within-site tracking. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, *87*, 301–312.

Gibbons, D. W. (1993). *The new atlas of breeding birds in Britain and Ireland : 1988–1991*. Poyser.

Gillings, S., Balmer, D. E., Caffrey, B. J., Downie, I. S., Gibbons, D. W., Lack, P. C., Reid, J. B., Sharrock, J. T. R., Swann, R. L., & Fuller, R. J. (2019). Breeding and wintering bird distributions in Britain and Ireland from citizen science bird atlases. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *28*, 866–874.

Grimm, N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X., & Briggs, J. M. (2008). Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science*, *319*, 756–760.

Hallmann, C. A., Sorg, M., Jongejans, E., Siepel, H., Hofland, N., Schwan, H., Stenmans, W., Müller, A., Sumser, H., Hörren, T., Goulson, D., & Kroon, H. d. (2017). More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in protected areas. *PLoS ONE*, *12*, Article e0185809. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0185809

Hannappel, I., & Fischer, K. (2020). Grassland intensification strongly reduces butterfly diversity in the Westerwald mountain range, Germany. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, *24*, 279–285.

Holt, C. A., Fuller, R. J., & Dolman, P. M. (2011). Breeding and post-breeding responses of woodland birds to modification of habitat structure by deer. *Biological Conservation*, *144*, 2151–2162.

Hoover, J. P. (2009). Effects of hydrologic restoration on birds breeding in forested wetlands. *Wetlands*, *29*, 563–573.

Howard, C., Stephens, P. A., Pearce-Higgins, J. W., Gregory, R. D., Butchart, S. H. M., & Willis, S. G. (2020). Disentangling the relative roles of climate and land cover change in driving the long-term population trends of European migratory birds. *Diversity and Distributions*, *26*, 1442–1455.

Iwata, T., Nakano, S., & Murakami, M. (2003). Stream meanders increase insectivorous bird abundance in riparian deciduous forests. *Ecography*, *26*, 325–337.

Keenleyside, C. B., & Costa Domingo, G. (2023). Q*ualitative impact assessment of land management interventions on Ecosystem Services ("QEIA") (Report-3 Theme-5B: Biodiversity—Grassland)* (Defra ECM_62324/UKCEH 08044). Defra.

Lafage, D., Bergman, E., Eckstein, R. L., Österling, E. M., Sadler, J. P., & Piccolo, J. J. (2019). Local and landscape drivers of aquatic-to-terrestrial subsidies in riparian ecosystems: A worldwide meta-analysis. *Ecosphere*, *10*, Article e02697. https://doi.org/10.1002/ECS2.2697

Lynch, A. J., Cooke, S. J., Arthington, A. H., Baigun, C., Bossenbroek, L., Dickens, C., Harrison, I., Kimirei, I., Langhans, S. D., Murchie, K. J., Olden, J. D., Ormerod, S. J., Owuor, M., Raghavan, R., Samways, M. J., Schinegger, R., Sharma, S., Tachamo-Shah, R.-D., Tickner, D., … Jähnig, S. C. (2023). People need freshwater biodiversity. *WIREs Water*, *10*, Article e1633. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1633

Mallord, J. W., Smith, K. W., Bellamy, P. E., Charman, E. C., & Gregory, R. D. (2016). Are changes in breeding habitat responsible for recent population changes of long-distance migrant birds? *Bird Study*, *63*, 250–261.

Manning, D. W. P., & Sullivan, S. M. P. (2021). Conservation across aquatic-terrestrial boundaries: Linking continental-scale water quality to emergent aquatic insects and declining aerial insectivorous birds. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*, Article 633160. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.633160

Marston, C. G., O'Neil, A. W., Morton, R. D., Wood, C. M., & Rowland, C. S. (2023). LCM2021 – The UK Land Cover Map 2021. *Earth System Science Data*, *15*, 4631–4649.

Máthé, O., & Batáry, P. (2015). Insectivorous and open-cup nester bird species suffer the most from urbanization. *Bird Study*, *62*, 78–86.

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. (2024). *Policy statement on new towns*. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/policy-statement-on-new-towns/policy-statement-on-new-towns

Morrison, C. A., Robinson, R. A., Butler, S. J., Clark, J. A., & Gill, J. A. (2016). Demographic drivers of decline and recovery in an Afro-Palaearctic migratory bird population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *283*, Article 20161387. https://doi.org/10.1098/RSPB.2016.1387

Morton, R. D., Rowland, C. S., Wood, C. M., Meek, L., Marston, C. G., & Smith, G. M. (2014). *Land Cover Map 2007 (1 km dominant aggregate class, GB) v1.2*. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre. https://doi.org/10.5285/c3723adb-1a8c-4b57-958b-1d610d2c37fe

Moyo, S., Chari, L. D., Villet, M. H., & Richoux, N. B. (2017). Decoupled reciprocal subsidies of biomass and fatty acids in fluxes of invertebrates between a temperate river and the adjacent land. *Aquatic Sciences*, *79*, 689–703.

Murakami, M., & Nakano, S. (2002). Indirect effect of aquatic insect emergence on a terrestrial insect population through by birds predation. *Ecology Letters*, *5*, 333–337.

Nakano, S., & Murakami, M. (2001). Reciprocal subsidies: Dynamic interdependence between terrestrial and aquatic food webs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *98*, 166–170. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.98.1.166

Narango, D. L., Tallamy, D. W., & Marra, P. P. (2018). Nonnative plants reduce population growth of an insectivorous bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *115*, 11549–11554.

NASA/METI/AIST/Japan Space Systems, & U.S./Japan ASTER Science Team. (2019). *ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model V003* [Data set]. NASA Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center.

Newton, I. (2007). *The migration ecology of birds*. Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-517367-4.X5000-1

Nyffeler, M., Şekercioğlu, Ç. H., & Whelan, C. J. (2018). Insectivorous birds consume an estimated 400–500 million tons of prey annually. *The Science of Nature*, *105*, Article 47.

Pan-European Common Bird Monitoring Scheme (PECBMS). (2025). *Species trends*. https://pecbms.info/trends-and-indicators/species-trends/all/yes/species/muscicapa-striata/

Qu, Y., Keller, V., Bachiller-Jareno, N., Eastman, M., Edwards, F., Jürgens, M. D., Sumpter, J. P., & Johnson, A. C. (2023). Significant improvement in freshwater invertebrate biodiversity in all types of English rivers over the past 30 years. *Science of the Total Environment*, *905*, Article 167144.

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.r-project.org/

Reif, J., & Hanzelka, J. (2020). Continent-wide gradients in open-habitat insectivorous bird declines track spatial patterns in agricultural intensity across Europe. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *29*, 1988–2013.

Richardson, J. S., Zhang, Y., & Marczak, L. B. (2010). Resource subsidies across the land-freshwater interface and responses in recipient communities. *River Research and Applications*, *26*, 55–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1283

Robin, X., Turck, N., Hainard, A., Tiberti, N., Lisacek, F., Sanchez, J.-C., & Müller, M. (2011). pROC: An open-source package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *12*, Article 77.

Roseo, F., Salvatori, M., Brambilla, M., Pedrini, P., Fedrigotti, C., & Bertocchi, A. (2024). The landscape of fear in cattle farms? How the presence of barn swallow influences the activity of pest flies. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *61*, 1269–1278.

Rowland, C. S., Marston, C. G., Morton, R. D., & O'Neil, A. W. (2020a). *Land Cover Change 1990–2015 (25m raster, GB)*. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre. https://doi.org/10.5285/07b6e5e9-b766-48e5-a28c-5b3e35abecc0

Rowland, C. S., Marston, C. G., Morton, R. D., & O'Neil, A. W. (2020b). *Land Cover Map 1990 (vector, GB)*. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre. https://doi.org/10.5285/304a7a40-1388-49f5-b3ac-709129406399

Saether, B.-E., & Bakke, Ø. (2000). Avian life history variation and contribution of demographic traits to the population growth rate. *Special Feature Ecology*, *81*, 642–653.

Sánchez-Bayo, F., & Wyckhuys, K. A. G. (2019). Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. *Biological Conservation*, *232*, 8–27.

Sanderson, F. J., Donald, P. F., Pain, D. J., Burfield, I. J., & van Bommel, F. P. J. (2006). Long-term population declines in Afro-Palearctic migrant birds. *Biological Conservation*, *131*, 93–105.

Seibold, S., Gossner, M. M., Simons, N. K., Blüthgen, N., Müller, J., Ambarlı, D., Ammer, C., Bauhus, J., Fischer, M., Habel, J. C., Linsenmair, K. E., Nauss, T., Penone, C., Prati, D., Schall, P., Schulze, E. D., Vogt, J., Wöllauer, S., & Weisser, W. W. (2019). Arthropod decline in grasslands and forests is associated with landscape-level drivers. *Nature*, *574*, 671–674.

Seto, K. C., Güneralp, B., & Hutyra, L. R. (2012). Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools.

*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *109*, 16083–16088.

Stevens, D. K., Anderson, G. Q. A., Grice, P. V., & Norris, K. (2007). Breeding success of Spotted Flycatchers *Muscicapa striata* in southern England—is woodland a good habitat for this species? *Ibis*, *149*, 214–223.

Stoate, C., & Szczur, J. (2006). Potential influence of habitat and predation on local breeding success and population in Spotted Flycatchers *Muscicapa striata*. *Bird Study*, *53*, 328–330.

Suggitt, A. J., Wheatley, C. J., Aucott, P., Beale, C. M., Fox, R., Hill, J. K., Isaac, N. J. B., Martay, B., Southall, H., Thomas, C. D., Walker, K. J., & Auffret, A. G. (2023). Linking climate warming and land conversion to species' range changes across Great Britain. *Nature Communications*, *14*, Article 6759.

Tallamy, D. W., & Gregory Shriver, W. (2021). Are declines in insects and insectivorous birds related? *Condor*, *123*, Article duaa059. https://doi.org/10.1093/ORNITHAPP/DUAA059

Taylor, C. M., & Norris, D. R. (2007). Predicting conditions for migration: Effects of density dependence and habitat quality. *Biology Letters*, *3*, 280–284.

Teglhøj, P. G. (2017). A comparative study of insect abundance and reproductive success of barn swallows *Hirundo rustica* in two urban habitats. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *48*, 846–853.

Twining, C. W., Brenna, J. T., Lawrence, P., Shipley, J. R., Tollefson, T. N., & Winkler, D. W. (2016). Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids support aerial insectivore performance more than food quantity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *113*, 10920–10925.

Twining, C. W., Brenna, J. T., Lawrence, P., Winkler, D. W., Flecker, A. S., & Hairston, N. G. (2019). Aquatic and terrestrial resources are not nutritionally reciprocal for consumers. *Functional Ecology*, *33*, 2042–2052.

Twining, C. W., Shipley, J. R., & Winkler, D. W. (2018). Aquatic insects rich in omega-3 fatty acids drive breeding success in a widespread bird. *Ecology Letters*, *21*, 1812–1820.

Uesugi, A., & Murakami, M. (2007). Do seasonally fluctuating aquatic subsidies influence the distribution pattern of birds between riparian and upland forests? *Ecological Research*, *22*, 274–281.

UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology (UKCEH). (2000). *UKCEH digital river network of Great Britain (1:50,000)*. https://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/15000-watercourse-network

van Klink, R., Bowler, D. E., Gongalsky, K. B., Swengel, A. B., Gentile, A., & Chase, J. M. (2020). Meta-analysis reveals declines in terrestrial but increases in freshwater insect abundances. *Science*, *368*, 417–420.

van Rees, C. B., Waylen, K. A., Schmidt-Kloiber, A., Thackeray, S. J., Kalinkat, G., Martens, K., Domisch, S., Lillebø, A. I., Hermoso, V., Grossart, H.-P., Schinegger, R., Decleer, K., Adriaens, T., Denys, L., Jarić, I., Janse, J. H., Monaghan, M. T., De Wever, A., Geijzendorffer, I., … Jähnig, S. C. (2021). Safeguarding freshwater life beyond 2020: Recommendations for the new global biodiversity framework from the European experience. *Conservation Letters*, *14*, Article e12771. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12771

Vickery, J. A., & Adams, W. M. (2020). Action before certainty for Africa's European migrant birds. *Oryx*, *54*, 1–2.

Vickery, J. A., Mallord, J. W., Adams, W. M., Beresford, A. E., Both, C., Cresswell, W., Diop, N., Ewing, S. R., Gregory, R. D., Morrison, C. A., Sanderson, F. J., Thorup, K., Van Wijk, R. E., & Hewson, C. M. (2023). The conservation of Afro-Palaearctic migrants: What we are learning and what we need to know? *Ibis*, *165*, 717–738.

Vickery, J. A., Tallowin, J. R., Feber, R. E., Asteraki, E. J., Atkinson, P. W., Fuller, R. J., & Brown, V. K. (2001). The management of lowland neutral grasslands in Britain: Effects of agricultural practices on birds and their food resources. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *38*, 647–664.

Whelan, M. J., Linstead, C., Worrall, F., Ormerod, S. J., Durance, I., Johnson, A. C., Johnson, D., Owen, M., Wiik, E., Howden, N. J. K., Burt, T. P., Boxall, A., Brown, C. D., Oliver, D. M., & Tickner, D. (2022). Is water quality in British rivers "better than at any time since the end of the Industrial Revolution"? *Science of the Total Environment*, *843*, Article 157014.

Whytock, R. C., Fuentes-Montemayor, E., Watts, K., Barbosa De Andrade, P., Whytock, R. T., French, P., Macgregor, N. A., & Park, K. J. (2018). Bird-community responses to habitat creation in a long-term, large-scale natural experiment. *Conservation Biology*, *32*, 345–354.

Woodward, I. D., Massimino, D., Hammond, M. J., Barber, L., Barimore, C., Harris, S. J., Leech, D. I., Noble, D. G., Walker, R. H., Bailie, S. R., & Robinson, R. A. (2020). *BirdTrends 2020: Trends in numbers, breeding success and survival for UK breeding birds*. BTO. https://www.bto.org/our-science/publications/birdtrends/2020

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Eden, C. F., Gillings, S., Broughton, R. K., Donato, B., Hewson, C. M., & Sharp, S. P. (2026). Role of freshwater availability and terrestrial land-cover change in the distribution of a declining, terrestrial, insectivorous bird. *Conservation Biology*, *40*, e70219. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.70219