



Transformations in exposure to debris flows in post-earthquake Sichuan, China

Isabelle Utley¹, Tristram Hales¹, Ekbal Hussain², and Xuanmei Fan³

¹School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK

²British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK

³State Key Laboratory of Geohazard Prevention, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu, China

Correspondence: Isabelle Utley (utleyieu@gmail.com)

Received: 19 July 2024 – Discussion started: 16 September 2024

Revised: 27 May 2025 – Accepted: 28 May 2025 – Published: 14 August 2025

Abstract. Post-earthquake debris flows can exceed volumes of $1 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ and pose significant challenges to downslope recovery zones. These stochastic hazards form when intense rain remobilises coseismic landslide material. As communities recover from earthquakes, they mitigate the effects of these debris flows through modifications to catchments such as building check dams and levees. We investigate how different catchment interventions change the exposure and hazard of post-2008 debris flows in three gullies in the Sichuan Province, China. These were selected based on the number of post-earthquake check dams – Cutou (two), Chediguan (two), and Xiaojia (none). Using high-resolution satellite images, we developed a multitemporal building inventory from 2005 to 2019, comparing it to the spatial distribution of previous debris flows and future modelled events. Post-earthquake urban development in Cutou and Chediguan increased exposure to a major debris flow in 2019, with inundation impacting 40 % and 7 % of surveyed structures respectively. We simulated future debris flow runouts using LAHARZ to investigate the role of check dams in mitigating three flow volumes – 10^4 m^3 (low), 10^5 m^3 (high), and 10^6 m^3 (extreme). Our simulations show check dams effectively mitigate exposure to low- and high-flow events but prove ineffective for extreme events, with 59 % of buildings in Cutou, 22 % in Chediguan, and 33 % in Xiaojia significantly affected. We verified our analyses by employing a statistical exposure model, adapted from a social vulnerability equation. Cutou's exposure increased by 64 % in 2019 and Chediguan's by 52 %, while Xiaojia's increased by only 2 % in 2011, highlighting that extensive grey infrastructure correlates with higher exposure to extreme debris flows but less

so with smaller events. Our work suggests that the presence of check dams contributes to a perceived reduction in downstream exposure. However, this perception can lead to a levee effect, whereby exposure to larger, less frequent events is ultimately increased.

1 Introduction

Major earthquakes, such as the 1994 M_w 6.8 event in Northridge, California (Harp and Jibson, 1996), and the 1999 M_w 7.3 earthquake in Chi-Chi, Taiwan (Lin et al., 2006), have triggered chains of hazards that increase the exposure of local communities to secondary hazards for many years after the initial disaster. Following the 2008 M_w 7.9 Wenchuan Earthquake in Sichuan, China, debris flows occurred more frequently and at a higher magnitude ($> 1 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$) after the earthquake compared to flows before the earthquake (Cruden and Varnes, 1996; Cui et al., 2008; Huang and Li, 2009; Guo et al., 2016; Thouret et al., 2020). Increased debris flow frequency impacts vulnerable communities and local infrastructure, potentially reshaping the demographic and structural landscape of previously rural regions (Chen et al., 2011). The frequency of post-seismic flows is heavily influenced by sediment availability, often controlled by coseismic landslide distribution, hydrology, and slope (Horton et al., 2019). The ready transformation and remobilisation of seismically loosened deposits into water-laden sediments lead to a heightened probability of debris flow hazards for extended periods, further exacerbating the potential impacts felt by these areas (Costa, 1984; Huang and Li, 2014; Fan et al., 2019b).

Post-seismic debris flows affect the expanding built environment and communities located in the flat land that forms along floodplains and on debris and alluvial fans. In addition to direct loss of life, debris flows repeatedly block and/or destroy rivers, roads, tunnels, and bridges; damage property and agriculture; and result in loss of life (Chen et al., 2011). Buildings are particularly susceptible to the impacts of debris flows (Hu et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2015), with property damage accounting for nearly all impacts, such as casualties and fatalities (Wei et al., 2018, 2022). Variations in construction materials are a particularly important factor in determining structural resilience and vulnerability to debris flows (Zhang et al., 2018). Despite the focus on building resilience and reducing vulnerability, post-earthquake regions are often areas of significant rebuilding and expansion of infrastructure, so the exposure to debris flows changes rapidly in these areas. The development of critical infrastructure, such as highways and tunnels, further encourages the growth of the built environment and subsequent influx of people settling in areas exposed to geological hazards (Cruden and Varnes, 1996; Jiang et al., 2016).

Check dams are a common form of risk mitigation for debris flows globally (Zeng et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2014; Cucchiaro et al., 2019b) and one that is prevalent in post-earthquake Wenchuan (Chen et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016). Check dams store debris flow sediment, locally reduce channel slope, and are often permeable, affecting debris flow hydrology. However, they have disadvantages, such as requiring regular maintenance (to reduce sediment inputs) (Kean et al., 2019). The mitigation potential of these structures is contingent on their position along a channel, their height, the amount of sediment fill, and their strength (which depends on the materials used for construction) (Dai et al., 2017). These factors evolve through time, meaning that the hazard-mitigating factor of check dams can vary with time and often with unpredictable results. The presence of check dams changes the downstream risk, primarily by altering the magnitude and frequency distribution of debris flows within the channel. For well-made check dams of sufficient volume to mitigate the largest debris flows, this can reduce the downstream risk of debris flows to negligible by effectively mitigating the entire hazard. However, in the case of the Wenchuan region, check dams are rarely large enough or regularly cleared of sediment to mitigate the largest debris flows, which can exceed 10^6 m^3 in volume.

The presence of check dams, particularly in drainage basins with a limited history of catastrophic debris flow events, may affect the perception of risk downstream. They serve to stabilise, obstruct, drain, and/or halt the movement of flows (Hübl and Fiebigler, 2005; Chen et al., 2015). The perception that check dams mitigate all hazards may promote the expansion of infrastructure into floodplains and debris fans, potentially increasing exposure to debris flows that overtop dams or occur due to dam failure. The increase in exposure is common on floodplains, where the presence of

flood control levees can promote building onto floodplains – a process known as the levee effect (Collenteur et al., 2015). In the flooding example, the presence of levees reduces the frequency of small- and medium-sized floods, but when large floods occur that cause those levees to fail, heightened floodplain exposure can lead to higher damage. The effect of check dams on risk perception is less well understood. Anecdotal examples from the Wenchuan region (e.g. Hongchun, Taoguan gullies) show that large debris flow events in 2010, 2013, and 2019 caused significant damage despite the presence of check dams (Dai et al., 2017). However, it is not clear whether the presence of check dams affected exposure relative to the large-scale expansion of infrastructure in the post-earthquake recovery phase.

This study seeks to understand whether the addition of engineered mitigation measures, primarily check dams, has influenced the susceptibility of post-earthquake Wenchuan communities to large debris flows. We compare three catchments with similar topography and geology but different levels of mitigation. We measure the building exposure in two neighbouring catchments with check dams (Cutou and Chediguan) and compare it with a third, unmitigated gully (Xiaojia). We examine how infrastructure develops in the basins with time and as a function of check dam measures. By analysing infrastructure development in these catchments, particularly in Cutou and Chediguan in the years following mitigation, we seek to assess how check dam construction has impacted infrastructure growth and the potential exposure to debris flow events of different sizes. Additionally, our analysis explores whether the presence of these structures has impacted risk perception and/or land use decisions in “at-risk” catchments.

2 Study area: Sichuan Province, China

China’s mountainous regions, including the Longmenshan, account for 69 % of the country’s land mass, with over a third of the population living in these regions (Chen et al., 2011; He et al., 2022). A total of 72 % of this landscape suffers from debris flow activity. Between 2005 and 2018, estimates suggest over 800 debris flow occurrences each year (He et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2021). Following the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake, landslides were widely recorded across both northern and southern provinces, with debris flows particularly concentrated in the steep terrain of southern Sichuan. However, debris flows and other landslide types have since been documented across a broad range of regions in China (Liu et al., 2018). The 2008 M_w 7.9 Wenchuan Earthquake primarily impacted Sichuan Province (Fig. 1). The epicentre was located near Yinxu, Wenchuan County, within the seismically active Longmenshan fault zone (Li et al., 2018). The shaking triggered around 56 000 landslides and displaced nearly 3 km^3 of loose material (Fan et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020). In subsequent years, the unstable material has been reacti-

vated as debris flows, many of which exceed -10^6 m^3 in mobilised volume (Francis et al., 2022). The risk from these debris flows has been compounded by increasing exposure due to China's rapid rural development programme, which includes the construction of roads, bridges, and industrial facilities (Tang et al., 2022).

Four significant episodes of debris flows occurred in the post-earthquake Wenchuan region in 2008, 2010, 2013, and 2019 (Tang et al., 2022; Fan et al., 2019b). Each event was associated with monsoon rainfall that occurred in different parts of the range. The largest flow surges, containing millions of cubic metres of sediment, were located in the gullies along the Minjiang in Sichuan. Large-scale flooding further amplified the impacts, for example in Yingxiu, Wenchuan County (Liu et al., 2016). Debris flow events occurring post-earthquake often exhibit larger material volumes compared to flow events recorded prior to 2008. Horton et al. (2019) attributed the increase in flow volume to high in-channel sediment volumes that can drive bulking. The resulting increase in debris flow hazards necessitated engineered mitigation measures to reduce risk levels in the basin communities (Tang et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2009, 2012).

In this study we focus on three gullies along the Minjiang – Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia – and debris flow events on 20 August 2019 and 4 July 2011 (Fig. 1). Cumulative rainfall on 20 August 2019 peaked at 83 mm in Cutou and 65 mm in Chediguan, resulting in large debris flows measuring over $50 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3$ in each gully. The Cutou gully is known for its high frequency of post-seismic debris flows, which has been attributed to the total of $11 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ coseismic deposits generated by the earthquake (Yan et al., 2014). Although a check dam was built in 2011 to manage debris flow impacts in the Chediguan gully, a large damaging debris flow of $64 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3$ occurred on 20 August 2019 and destroyed the drainage groove and G213 Taiping Middle Bridge (Li et al., 2021). The debris briefly blocked river flow in the Minjiang, causing water levels to rise during flood peak. This led to flooding at the Taipingyi hydropower station located 200 m upstream.

The Xiaojia gully is a moderate-level debris flow hazard area based on limited past occurrences and has no existing engineered mitigation measures. Following a period of debris flow activity in 2010 and after a period of continuous heavy rainfall, approximately $30\,000 \text{ m}^3$ of deposits was remobilised and transported along the channel to the gully mouth. This event led to a period of disruption on the S303 road from flooding (Liu et al., 2014).

3 Methodology

3.1 Data classification

This study builds on existing multitemporal debris flow datasets produced by Fan et al. (2019a). Dataset 1 has an

aerial extent of 892 km^2 and presents the location and dimensions of debris flow events between 2008 and 2020. Dataset 2 presents a list of mitigative actions, e.g. construction of check dams, taken between 2008–2011. We used a Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model (DEM) to construct elevation profiles of the Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia gullies to extract topographic characteristics in order to understand the mechanism of slope failure in the event of a rainfall-induced debris flow. These profiles facilitate morphological valley changes from debris flows to be identified. Through a comparative analysis of the 20 August 2019 debris flows in Cutou and Chediguan, we investigated the relative difference in land use change in the two gullies from 2008 to 2019, with a focus on changes before and after the 2019 flow event.

Landscape modifications from 2005 to 2019 were mapped using high-resolution (0.5 to 2.5 m) satellite images (Table 1). We selected images with less than 50 % cloud cover and cross-referenced the mapped features with existing data sources in OpenStreetMap (OpenStreetMap contributors, 2023) and Dynamic World (Brown et al., 2022). Where satellite imagery was unavailable, we used aerial photos obtained from Google Earth, OpenStreetMap, and the World Settlement Footprint (World Settlement Footprint, 2019). It should be acknowledged that platforms like OpenStreetMap offer a regional view of Wenchuan rather than a detailed local-scale view, with mapping limited to main roads and 150 settlement polygons. However, this study's locations are unaffected by this due to their position next to the G213 national highway and G4217 road.

We use imagery collated from the sources listed in Table 1 to map manufactured features – including buildings, factories, roads, and dams – in order to understand the evolution of the built environment and subsequent human activities since 2005 across Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia. We map features corresponding to human activities such as roads and properties and highlight at-risk zones in Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia. We focus on spotlighting areas of high debris flow exposure in Cutou and Chediguan, comparing them with Xiaojia to evaluate the efficacy of check dams in mitigating potential debris flow hazards downstream of the dams.

3.2 Modelling future debris flow runout and building exposure

Both Cutou and Chediguan had check dams installed after the 2008 earthquake, while the Xiaojia gully remained unmodified. We compared the impacts of 2019 debris flows in the Cutou and Chediguan gullies with a 2011 debris flow event in Xiaojia to identify the effectiveness of artificial dams in mitigating exposure to post-seismic debris flows. By using scenario modelling, we identified at which point the size of the hazard outweighs the mitigative capacity of the check dam to prevent overtopping. We mapped debris flows of differing scales within each of our three catchments using LA-




Figure 1. Location of the three gullies that form the focus of this study within Sichuan Province. Recorded post-2008 landslide occurrences are from the Fan et al. (2019a) multitemporal datasets (© Google Earth 2019).

HARZ. LAHARZ is a GIS toolkit for lahar hazard mapping and modelling, developed by the USGS to calculate the area of inundation and cross-sections based on empirical scaling relationships between area and volume (Schilling, 2014; Iverson et al., 1998). These empirical relationships allow for the creation of realistic inundation areas without a priori knowledge of the rheological parameters. The model simulates a debris flow triggered at a source point located on a digital elevation model and with an initial source volume. The model calculates the flow path downslope of the triggering location and then generates a cross-section at each point downslope that represents the depositional volume for that area (Iverson et al., 1998).

We implemented this model using the extension in ArcGIS (Schilling, 2014). We used the 30 m resolution DEM as input, as it is the most reliable of the globally available

DEMs. We identified the source areas of 2019 debris flows for Chediguan and Cutou and those of 2011 for Xiaojia (Cutou: 30.1234° N, 103.5678° E; Chediguan: 29.8765° N, 103.2345° E; Xiaojia: 30.5678° N, 103.9876° E) from satellite imagery and used these as the triggering locations for our simulations. We then prescribed three input volumes at each of these locations (10^4 , 10^5 , and 10^6 m³).

The flow volumes simulate a range of observed post-2008 debris flows, representing low, high, and extreme debris flows documented in the Fan et al. (2019a) datasets. The volumes we selected reflect the range of similar hazard events in comparable geomorphological settings, such as other parts of China and Italy (Bernard et al., 2019). For catchments with check dams, we added barriers at each check dam location by raising the cell count of the DEM by the height of the check dam obtained from field imagery.

Table 1. Satellite and aerial imagery used for data analysis and interpretation of the built environment.

Data ID	Data source	Acquisition date (dd.mm.yyyy)	Resolution (m)
Aerial satellite	Worldview (in QGIS – “satellite” XYZ tile)	2022	1.0
Satellite	Worldview (in Google Earth Pro, 2023)	10.12.2010 26.04.2011 03.04.2018 29.10.2019	1.0
Satellite	Planet	14.08.2019 24.08.2019	3.0
Satellite	Maxar Technologies (in Google Earth Pro, 2023)	09.09.2005 26.04.2011	3.0
Satellite	CNES/Airbus (in Google Earth Pro, 2023)	15.04.2015	1.0

The model was validated by comparing simulated runoff extents with observed debris flows from post-2008 events. While a 30 m resolution was the only available DEM for our study locations, we tested the sensitivity of DEM resolution on the extent of the final flow. A higher 10 m resolution DEM was available for the Cutou gully, and we ran LAHARZ for that catchment. While the 10 m DEM created a more effective flow path compared to the mapped data, the flow depositional area was similar in both the 10 and the 30 m scenarios (RMSE 18 m). Given the lack of a significant difference between the two DEM resolutions, we ran 30 m scenarios across the three catchments. We note that there is currently a limited understanding of what controls the maximum size of debris flows within Wenchuan catchments; hence we cannot attribute a particular probability to each scenario.

In the analysis of post-seismic debris flow, exposure and vulnerability assessments play a crucial role (Lo et al., 2012). However, adapting traditional vulnerability methods, which analyse inherent fragility and the potential loss of elements at risk, both of which are attainable through remote practises, to calculate exposure with minimal on-site data, remains a challenge. We adapted a vulnerability model by Zou et al. (2019) to quantify the extent of exposure to the built environment at our three sites, Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia.

Utilising satellite and/or aerial imagery and extracting spatial characteristics to identify both elements at risk and hazard-affected zones, our analysis facilitates the assessment of regional exposure without relying heavily on data collected on site. All analysis steps were conducted within a GIS environment. Our model quantifies the susceptibility of the built environment to debris flow damage. The degree of exposure, E_{df} , is expressed as

$$E_{df} = E_b \times C \pm M. \quad (1)$$

E_b is the number of buildings damaged, and C is the fragility index of the elements at risk (Zou et al., 2019). Fragility val-

ues range from 0 to +1, with higher values indicating greater susceptibility to damage and/or failure. The assessment was conducted at the individual building level within GIS: building footprints were manually digitised and assigned fragility values based on their proximity to debris flow channels, observed damage from previous events, and supporting literature. Due to limitations in detailed structural data and the reliance on remotely sensed satellite images, we simplified fragility to a binary classification: buildings clearly inundated, damaged, or situated in highly susceptible locations (i.e. along the channel or gully mouth) were given a value of 1; all other buildings were assigned a value of 0. This approach provided us with a robust and replicable framework, avoiding overinterpretation of uncertain data. These values were then validated using historical damage reports, where available, from the 2008 earthquake recovery period to ensure applicability (Fig. 2; Zeng et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2021; Petley, 2023). This GIS-based approach provides a replicable framework for similar hazard-prone contexts.

The key difference between our method and that of Zou et al. (2019) is the incorporation of a modification factor, M , to account for the effectiveness of engineered measures like check dams in mitigating building damage and subsequent exposure. The mitigation factor, M , quantifies the influence of engineered measures, in this study check dams, on the vulnerability and subsequent exposure of buildings to debris flow impacts. The addition of this factor brings an evaluative element to the exposure assessment, quantifying the influence of check dams and assigning values ranging from -1.0 to $+2.0$ to reflect a spectrum of mitigation outcomes:

- $M = -1$, i.e. effective mitigation of debris flows, resulting in a significant reduction in hazard exposure;
- a decrease in the number of buildings damaged during historical events following construction;




Figure 2. Schematic of our method. The key data sources comprise three multitemporal datasets, including two from Fan et al. (2019a), covering debris flows, triggering rainfalls, and mitigation measures. The third dataset is adapted from Fan et al. (2019a) and highlights gullies with debris flow events post-2008, including information on the flow volume and presence of mitigation. Additional spatial data sources include aerial imagery from OpenStreetMap (OpenStreetMap contributors, 2023), the World Settlement Footprint (World Settlement Footprint, 2019), and the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) (Farr et al., 2007).

- $M = 0$, i.e. no mitigation present, with exposure levels entirely dependent on natural site conditions;
- $M = +1$, i.e. ineffective mitigation (there is no reduction in the number of buildings impacted in recorded debris flow events following dam construction);
- $M = +2$, i.e. mitigation that increases exposure (recorded events of a similar volume show an increase in the number of buildings impacted following dam construction).

The above -1 to $+2$ scale was selected to capture a nuanced relationship between mitigation effectiveness and vulnerability. A reduction in M (e.g. -1) lowers hazard exposure by reducing flow impacts at critical locations, thereby decreasing E_{df} . Conversely, an increase in M (e.g. $+2$) elevates exposure, as development in hazard-prone areas amplifies the potential for damage. For example, a decrease in M by 1 unit (from 0 to -1) reflects an improvement in flow attenuation due to effective check dams, reducing overall exposure. Conversely, an increase in M by 1 unit (from 0 to $+1$) sig-

nifies a scenario where mitigation fails, e.g. the 2019 debris flow event in Cutou, maintaining high exposure levels. At $M = +2$, exposure exceeds natural vulnerability due to increased hazard presence caused by intensified land use near mitigation structures.

This scale was developed through a combination of evaluating present hazard mitigation and analysing historical data, particularly from the 2008 earthquake recovery. Moreover, this approach, based on the methodology proposed by Zou et al. (2019), allows for an assessment of exposure by considering both the physical resistance of buildings and the efficacy of mitigation efforts.

4 Results

4.1 Assumptions

In constructing the building inventory for Cutou and Chediguan, a comprehensive approach was taken to ensure accuracy and completeness. We used aerial and satellite imagery spanning 14 years, with a focus on mapping changes

from 2011 to 2019. This involved careful analysis to delineate individual buildings, considering variations in size, shape, and spatial arrangement. Mapping efforts for Xiaojia were limited to 2010–2011 due to suboptimal image quality. Our approach incorporated assumptions regarding structural categorisation, including residential, industrial, and commercial buildings. These assumptions were informed by existing literature on local building typologies and architectural styles (Hao et al., 2013) and aerial photograph analysis from platforms such as Google Earth and Dynamic World. By amalgamating diverse information sources, we aimed to create a comprehensive inventory that correctly reflects the built environment of the study area.

Additionally, we used a 30 m DEM obtained from the SRTM dataset (Farr et al., 2007). However, it is necessary to acknowledge the limitations of these data, particularly their low resolution and subsequent pixellation, which potentially hindered detailed topographical analysis. Despite this, the DEM provided valuable contextual information for understanding the terrain and its influence on building distribution and spatial patterns within the three sites. Furthermore, while using the empirical LAHARZ model for debris flow inundation mapping, we had to account for a degree of approximation in both aerial coverage and debris flow inundation due to the 30 m resolution of the DEM file.

4.2 Mapping post-earthquake risk

Analysis of satellite imagery from 2005 to 2019 and topographic profiles reveals channel widening, deepening, aggradation, and deposition, likely attributed to the mobilisation of coseismic deposits and subsequent debris flow occurrences (Zhang, 2014; Li et al., 2018) (Fig. 3). These observations allowed us to determine the zones of erosion, transportation, and deposition for each gully and to track changes over time. Hydrological and geomorphological analysis examines landscape morphology to identify erosional and depositional features, i.e. scarring, changes to river channels, and sediment buildup (Fig. 4). By integrating the above, we delineated erosion-prone areas, which allowed sediment transport routes to be approximated, and identified locations of sediment deposition along the hydrological profile.

In Cutou and Chediguan, deposition patterns shifted post-earthquake, particularly following the construction of check dams. Increased deposition occurs behind check dams compared to meander bends and basal slopes of the debris fan, demonstrating the effective sediment trapping of the check dams (Wang et al., 2020). Regarding the erosion patterns in Xiaojia, we observed common patterns in the upper-gully sections at higher elevations, with deposition occurring at the basal slopes. This is due to the absence of structural alterations to the channel, permitting sediment to be transported to the channel and subsequent river outlet directly. The deposition patterns in Cutou and Chediguan are strongly controlled by the distribution of check dams in the middle and

downstream portions of the catchment (Wang et al., 2020). The complex interplay between natural and anthropogenic factors demonstrates the dynamic evolution of risk in post-earthquake catchments and highlights the role of check dams in both mitigating and potentially exacerbating risk.

The landscape morphology prior to the 2008 earthquake was marked by extensive vegetation (over 70 % of land cover) and minimal permanent engineered features. The Cutou gully contained a widespread distribution of buildings along both the middle and the lower slopes. Figure 5 shows the growth of the built environment between 2005 and 2019 in Cutou and Chediguan and between 2010 and 2011 in Xiaojia. The built environment in Cutou is concentrated within the transportation and deposition zones on both sides of the stream. By comparison, in Chediguan, we observed fewer residential structures, mostly industry, and some commercial structures. Additionally, buildings in the gully are more spread out than in Cutou, highlighted by the isolated settlements to the south of the catchment and the single industrial site situated in the basin. Post-2008, noticeable tracks of scarring from debris flows are concentrated downstream of dams 2 and 3 in Cutou (Fig. 4a) and upstream of dams 1 and 2 in Chediguan (Fig. 4b). Deposition patterns are evident downstream of all modifications, forming a depositional zone, encompassing approximately 15 % and 20 % of the built environment in 2019 within the transportation zone of Cutou and Chediguan respectively.

Xiaojia was chosen as the comparative catchment due to the absence of engineered mitigation such as check dams. This analysis of Xiaojia therefore enables comparisons on the effectiveness and limitations of engineering approaches applied to Cutou and Chediguan. In Xiaojia, the lack of engineered dam structures results in erosion and deposition patterns that differ compared to those in the other two catchments. Distinct patterns of upstream erosion and downstream deposition are observed, contrasting with the more controlled environments in the modified gullies, where deposition occurs on the northern channel flank and pronounced erosion occurs on the southern flank. The data availability for building types, quality, and spatial distribution was limited to remote sensing images and a few literature sources, which restricts our ability to thoroughly assess how specific building characteristics, such as materials, influence the exposure of the built environment to debris flow hazard. This is particularly evident in Xiaojia, where more specific input data would be beneficial for understanding the role of urbanisation and construction practices in risk levels.

Our analysis of Xiaojia unveils no discernible relationship between building development and heightened exposure, particularly with respect to residential and critical infrastructure. This lack of correlation is potentially linked to factors beyond simple urbanisation patterns, like construction quality, building regulations, the presence of natural barriers, and the effectiveness of mitigation measures. Natural terrain barriers observed in this gully, including steep slopes and rocky




Figure 3. Hydrological profiles of the three study sites. Dam locations are approximated for Cutou (a) and Chediguan (b) based on a combination of satellite imagery. Streams and main tributaries are numbered to identify and reference key branches within each catchment. Catchment profiles are segmented into three zones – “erosion”, “transportation”, and “deposition” – and key infrastructure is annotated.




Figure 4. Satellite images of the three study locations, highlighting areas of scarring from previous debris flow activity and areas of increased erosion (© Google Earth 2019). Dam locations have been approximated for Cutou (a) and Chediguan (b). The built environment is shaded based on the risk of damage determined by proximity to areas of high erosion. Critical infrastructure is added where appropriate: the black line represents the G4217 road, with the thicker sections representing bridges, and the dashed lines represent tunnels. The red line in panel (a) is the G213 highway.

outcrops, could limit the extent of debris flow impacts by reducing the mobility of debris and offering natural protection to certain areas. To fully understand this observation, further investigation into the above variables is warranted. The absence of significant urban expansion, particularly post-earthquake in Xiaojia, may be a key factor in mitigating exposure. This area has experienced less intensive development compared to Cutou and Chediguan, where urban expansion following the implementation of check dams potentially increased exposure to debris flow hazards. Furthermore, the building quality and structural characteristics in Xiaojia may play a significant role in influencing its overall vulnerability

and subsequent damage outcomes. Due to limited detailed building-specific data in terms of construction and materials, our assessment simplifies vulnerability to a binary classification based on observed damage and location. It is possible that buildings in Xiaojia may be of higher structural integrity or designed to withstand environmental stressors better than those in more developed catchments, which would contribute to the observed exposure patterns.

Additionally, detailed mapping of past debris flow events and their impacts on the built environment could provide insights into the specific mechanisms influencing vulnerability in Xiaojia. By conducting a more comprehensive analy-




Figure 5. Evolution of the built environment and key infrastructure in (a) Cutou, (b) Chediguan, and (c) Xiaojia post-earthquake between 2005 and 2019. Roads and tributary channels are annotated; all symbols are defined in the legend. In Cutou, the pale blue shading indicates the mapped extent of a tributary channel post-2010 and does not imply absence in the earlier period. Several areas shown as built environment in 2005–2010 may have been damaged during debris flows, but limitations in imagery restrict differentiation between reconstructions and new developments in subsequent years. Scale bars have been corrected to reflect the true spatial extent of each catchment.

sis that considers these factors – especially in terms of land use planning, construction standards, and the role of natural terrain features at the local scale, we can gain a better understanding of the complex interactions between building development and exposure to natural hazards in Xiaojia. This, in turn, can inform more effective risk management and mitigation strategies tailored to the unique characteristics of the area. Development in Xiaojia is primarily concentrated on the lower slopes (Fig. 5a and b) at the gully mouth, featuring the construction of major roads and highways (G213 and G4217), alongside the expansion of existing residential areas. Chediguan exhibits a less marked land cover transformation, owing to roads being directed through mountain tunnels. Notably, development in Xiaojia mainly surges post-earthquake up to 2010, with only minor construction activities documented thereafter (Fig. 5c; see also Fig. S3a in the Supplement and Fig. 3b).

We mapped the number of buildings impacted by debris flows that occurred within the Chediguan and Cutou gullies.

At 02:00 LT a large-scale debris flow hit Chediguan, impacting numerous structures, and at around 05:00 LT, a similar debris flow hit Cutou, with significant inundation noted. A total of 79 out of 197 buildings (40 %) in Cutou (Figs. 5a and S1a and b) were impacted by the flow, i.e. flooded, damaged, or destroyed. Buildings in Chediguan were less impacted by the event, with 7 affected out of a total of 69 (10.1 %) (see Fig. S2a and b). We combined the satellite imagery with the datasets produced by Fan et al. (2019a), which supported our observations of check dam overtopping in both Cutou and Chediguan during the 2019 event. In 2011 a similar event in Xiaojia impacted approximately 5 of 43 (11.6 %) buildings in the gully (Fig. 5c).

4.3 Modelling exposure to post-earthquake debris flows

Our LAHARZ simulations demonstrate a clear correlation between exposure and debris flow runout, revealing a no-

table increase in building damage as runout volumes escalate from low ($10\,000\text{ m}^3$) to high ($100\,000\text{ m}^3$) and extreme ($1\,000\,000\text{ m}^3$) scenarios across all catchments. Despite the presence of check dams, the 2019 debris flows recorded runout volumes that were significantly larger than the maximum simulated volume, resulting in substantial building and infrastructural loss in Cutou (Fig. 6a) and Chediguan (Fig. 6b).

While low- ($10\,000\text{ m}^3$) and high-volume ($100\,000\text{ m}^3$) runouts are not visually represented in Fig. 6 due to their small spatial extent, which does not clearly illustrate the influence of check dams, our analysis highlights their critical role at the smaller scale. These smaller simulations demonstrate that check dams effectively reduce exposure during low-magnitude debris flow events, limiting damage to building and infrastructure in Cutou and Chediguan. Thus, although not easily visualised at the map scale, the efficacy of check dams in mitigating small debris flow events is not disputed by our results.

We examined the temporal dynamics of building changes within the three gullies in response to check dam development while also considering the implications of the levee effect (Fig. 6). Our simulations revealed the effectiveness of engineered measures in mitigating exposure to debris flow events. In both Cutou and Chediguan, the presence of check dams led to reduced exposure at low and high debris flow volumes (Fig. 7a and b). However, the mitigative structure provides no discernible protection against extreme debris flows. Notably, Cutou consistently exhibited elevated exposure to debris flow runout compared to Chediguan. In contrast, the unengineered Xiaojia (Fig. 6c) shows a more consistent increase in exposure with debris flow volume, illustrating the effectiveness of check dams at low and high debris flow volumes. This comparison underscores how unmitigated gullies, like Xiaojia, experience greater susceptibility to debris flow damage compared to engineered gullies like Cutou and Chediguan at the lower to moderate volume. It is important to clarify that Fig. 6c depicts the cumulative built environment up to 2019 in Xiaojia, including all infrastructure developed post-2005. When we refer to “restrained expansion” in Xiaojia between 2011 and 2019, this relates specifically to the comparatively limited rate and spatial extent of new built environment development during that period, as shown in Fig. 5. Xiaojia’s post-2011 expansion appeared restrained, indicating a potential adaptive response following debris flow events. In contrast, substantial expansion occurred in Cutou and Chediguan between 2011 and 2019, despite experiencing a debris flow event in 2013, suggesting the impact of check dams implemented post-2013.

Furthermore, the incremental increase between high and extreme simulations in Xiaojia paralleled Chediguan’s gradual incline, diverging from Cutou’s steep escalation. Xiaojia sustained maximum building damage of 33 % under extreme scenarios compared with 59 % in Cutou and 22 % in Chediguan. This discrepancy suggests that the effectiveness

of check dams may have limits under extreme debris flow events, highlighting that while check dams may reduce damage at low to moderate volumes, they provide limited protection during extreme events. Our observations underscore the nuanced variability in the effectiveness of check dams, influenced by contextual factors and landscape characteristics.

However, it is important to note that our study only explores this hypothesis within a small sample, three catchments, which limits the ability to generalise these findings. While the evidence points to a potential levee effect associated with check dam construction and subsequent built environment expansion, further research across a larger catchment sample is necessary. Expanding the scope of this analysis would help validate whether the trends we have observed hold more broadly and improve understanding of socio-environmental feedback on risk exposure and mitigation effectiveness.

Figure 7 illustrates how a 10-fold increase in runout volume corresponds to building damage, with a discernible rise in impacted building numbers noted between low and high scenarios and a significant incline between high and extreme scenarios across all catchments. The significant jump in destruction observed in Cutou between the 10^5 and 10^6 debris flow volume simulations is driven by the combined effect of the increased flow magnitude overwhelming the capacity of check dams and the spatial distribution of buildings within the flow path, leading to disproportionately higher damage. These simulations provide valuable insights into the efficacy of engineered mitigation structures. While check dams in Cutou and Chediguan effectively reduce exposure at low and high runout volumes, concerns arise when surpassing the maximum capacity.

We acknowledge the importance of decoupling debris flow inundation from building damage, as damage depends on various factors, including but not limited to building materials and structural integrity, which are not controlled for in this analysis. Therefore, our assessment focuses primarily on exposure as a proxy for risk. Regarding the influence of check dams on sediment dynamics, these structures alter the distribution of erosion and deposition by trapping debris upstream (Fig. 3), thereby reducing downstream sediment loads and runout distances. This function contributes to reduced exposure in low- to moderate-debris-flow scenarios but becomes less effective during extreme events when sediment volume exceeds retention capacity.

Urbanisation emerges as a significant contributing factor impacting exposure and future risk, with the presence of check dams during the 2019 events significantly contributing to the built environment’s exposure. However, due to the lack of available data on building materials in these three regions, we were unable to quantify their influence on structural vulnerability. To fully understand the effect of check dams and validate our statistical approach, comprehensive numerical analysis of multiple hazard events in each gully is necessary. This sub-section addresses the elements driv-




Figure 6. Debris flow runouts for 2019 in Cutou (a) and Chediguan (b) and for 2011 in Xiaojia (c), underlain by the extreme LAHARZ runout scenario. Low ($10\,000\text{ m}^3$) and high ($100\,000\text{ m}^3$) runouts are not displayed as they are not easy to visualise at the map scale.

ing hazard-related risk scenarios, including the trigger event, return period, and level of damage, and underscores the importance of considering these factors when suggesting and implementing modifications.

The exposure model is applied to historical events (2019 and 2011) and LAHARZ simulations, showcasing changes in the degree of exposure across the catchments with increasing debris flow runout volumes (Fig. 8). Consistent with earlier observations in exposure, Cutou exhibits a heightened vulnerability to debris flows at 64 % after the 2019 event, followed by Chediguan at 52 % and Xiaojia with 2 % in 2011. A discernible change in building exposure is observed between the high and extreme scenarios across all catchments. The most influential factor in overall vulnerability remains the number of buildings, highlighting urbanisation as a contributing factor impacting both exposure and physical vulnerability. Moreover, the presence of failed check dams in Cutou and Chediguan during the 2019 events significantly contributes to their physical vulnerability. These failures occurred primarily through overtopping of the dams, which exacerbated the impact of debris flows in these catchments.

5 Discussion

Post-earthquake structural interventions influence the volume and spatial distribution of sediment within the catchment. Our observations show that check dams act as local depocentres within the catchment, often storing large volumes of sediment upstream of the majority of building development. The choices made about post-earthquake development of the built environment, particularly housing, and mitigative measures like check dams evolve rapidly without a clear approach to mitigating adverse long-term consequences of sediment retention behind dams (McGuire et al., 2017). Additionally, the processes driving geological disasters in the complex landscape of the Longmenshan occur at different timescales than the rapid socio-economic development in the region (Chen et al., 2022).

Although our analysis focuses on smaller-scale communities, the implications drawn from our findings echo those of broader studies. For instance, the extensive investigation by Arrogante-Funes et al. (2021) into hazard mitigation strategies in larger geographical regions drew parallels with the




Figure 7. Built environment impacts from three debris flow scenarios modelled using LAHARZ at Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia. **(a)** Percentage of buildings damaged as a proportion of total buildings (Cutou – 197, Chediguan – 69, and Xiaojia – 43) in each scenario. The numbers at the end of the bars are the number of damaged buildings in that debris flow scenario. **(b)** Total number of buildings damaged by each simulated debris flow.

effectiveness and limitations of debris flow mitigation measures. Similarly, Chen et al. (2021) provided insights into the complexities of hazard mitigation, emphasising the necessity of adaptive responses considering local contexts. This aligns with our analysis that each gully must be assessed and mitigated individually rather than collectively to account for local geological and hydrological influences on mitigation effectiveness. Moreover, Li et al. (2018) examined the long-term impact of engineering interventions, noting the variability in check dam effectiveness over time. This supports our conclusion that the diminishing effectiveness of check dams is likely the result of sediment accumulation and structural degradation and highlights the necessity for their continued maintenance post-construction in addition to adaptive mitigation strategies. Furthermore, Eidsvig et al. (2014) and Tang et al. (2011) explored the interplay between socio-economic factors and hazard vulnerability, emphasising that community resilience is directly linked to economic resource availability and social cohesion. This corroborates our understanding that debris flow mitigation is a multifaceted issue,

and socio-economic conditions are integral to its success. By situating our findings within the broader context delineated by these studies, we accentuate the relevance and applicability of our research beyond the confines of the specific communities under study.

Open check dams, similar to those established in Cutou and Chediguan, play a pivotal role in bed stabilisation, slope reduction, and the regulation of sediment transport (Bernard et al., 2019). However, inadequate understanding of post-earthquake debris flow characteristics has led to the failure of many newly constructed engineered structures to mitigate hazards effectively, instead amplifying damage (Chang et al., 2022). During the August 2019 debris flow, Cutou experienced the highest inundation, with 40 % of surveyed structures directly affected, including critical infrastructure like the G4217 highway bridge. In Chediguan, despite a declined industrial presence compared to earlier periods, i.e. during the construction of the hydroelectrical dam in the Minjiang, debris flow impacts affected 7 % of structures. The presence of check dams in both locations contributed to heightened exposure and hazard impacts during the 2019 event, with overtopping and damage to dam sections recorded.

We conducted LAHARZ scenarios to predict potential exposure to debris flows with volumes that have been observed within the catchments and the region. While it is intuitive that larger debris flows would affect a greater area, our results provide a quantified and site-specific correlation between exposure and debris flow runout, showing notable increases in building damage as runout volumes escalated from low to extreme across all catchments. This explicit demonstration is critical for understanding the scale of risk in these environments. We observed two key elements of the role of check dams in affecting exposure to debris flows. When empty, check dams are effective at mitigating the effects of small- and medium-volume debris flows. Yet, based on our results, the check dams in Cutou and Chediguan are designed to mitigate small to medium debris flow volumes, which limits their effectiveness against the extreme runout volumes denoted by our simulations. In other words, it is not that check dams are inherently ineffective against large flows, but within the specific context of the mountainous Sichuan landscape, they are insufficient to fully mitigate these extreme debris flow events. Additional simulations without check dams at Cutou and Chediguan indicated that while check dams did reduce damage from smaller events, as shown in Fig. 7, their failure during extreme events from overtopping or breaching can exacerbate impacts, releasing stored sediment, sometimes resulting in greater damage than in scenarios without dams along sections of the gully channel. Large runout volumes in the 2019 debris flows resulted in substantial building and infrastructural loss in both Cutou and Chediguan, suggesting a negative contribution from damaged check dams. Cutou was found to be highly exposed to extreme debris flow volumes, a result of its high degree of urban development concentrated at the basal slopes.




Figure 8. Changes in the degree of exposure with increasing runout volumes using the exposure model developed in Eq. (1). The 2011 and 2019 debris flows are also noted as a base marker from an observed hazard event.

The fact that Xiaojia was found to possess the least exposure to the most extreme debris flow volume suggests that there may be an adaptive component to debris flow mitigation in catchments without significant check dam development. These findings suggest that urban development and debris flow risk co-evolve based on the nature of the structural interventions in the studied areas.

Our analysis of erosion, transportation, and deposition zones for each gully revealed significant changes in landscape morphology post-earthquake, likely attributed to mobilised coseismic deposits and subsequent debris flow occurrences. The presence of check dams influenced deposition patterns, with middle to downstream trends indicating effective sediment retention in Cutou and Chediguan, while Xiaojia exhibited typical erosion–deposition behaviour. Our findings can be supported by a similar occurrence during the “8.13” debris flow event in Wenjiagou. The damage and subsequent failure of mitigative check dams led to the inundation of 490 houses. More recently, a debris flow in the Miansi and Weizhou townships on 27 June 2023 blocked the valley in the first instance before breaching the dam and causing seven fatalities (Petley, 2023). Further research is thus imperative to devise appropriate mitigation approaches for post-seismic debris flows. While existing literature has underscored the physical effectiveness of check dams in reducing exposure to debris flow impacts within alpine terrains (Piton et al., 2016), it should be noted that their primary function extends beyond this to also provide socio-economic and political reassurance (Wu et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2022).

The findings of our paper provide preliminary evidence supporting the theory of the levee effect by demonstrating how the implementation of mitigative measures, such as check dams, can inadvertently increase exposure levels and risk perception in hazard-prone areas. However, we acknowledge that our analysis is limited to three catchments, and

additional socio-economic and geographic factors may also encourage or discourage development. Therefore, further research with a wider study sample is needed to fully substantiate this. The interplay between engineering solutions and the built environment, as highlighted in our study through analysis of the 2011 and 2019 events and the LAHARZ simulations, illustrates the levee effect. This finding is similar to that of previous studies on flooding and the levee effect. Similar to previous studies on flooding (e.g. Collenteur et al., 2015), our paper suggests that the perceived reduction in hazard risk due to mitigative structures can lead to increased levels of exposure due to expanded development in debris-flow-prone regions. This effect is particularly evident in the Cutou catchment, where urban expansion occurred post-dam construction, despite the repeated occurrence of high-magnitude debris flows. This suggests a distorted perception of hazard risk, which ultimately drives urbanisation into vulnerable areas (Chen et al., 2015; Ao et al., 2020).

The levee effect can influence exposure to large-scale debris flow events by inadvertently increasing risk in areas protected by engineered mitigation structures, such as check dams. This occurs because the perceived safety provided by these structures can encourage development in vulnerable areas, which might otherwise remain uninhabited due to their high-risk nature. This phenomenon is best evidenced in our paper on the Cutou catchment, where the construction of check dams in 2013 coincided with widespread urban expansion, despite ongoing small-scale debris flow activity in the area. It is also possible that the prioritisation of check dam construction was influenced by pre-existing high levels of development, reflecting a reactive approach to hazard mitigation in already urbanised zones. In our study, we have observed that the rate of urban expansion post-dam construction increased. This raises an important question about the interplay between mitigation efforts and development patterns,

suggesting that structural interventions may both respond to and shape urban growth in hazard-prone areas. Subsequently, building exposure increased by 64 % post-2008, underscoring the risk amplification associated with structural mitigation. This observation highlights the necessity of coupling structural interventions with strategies that address residual risks and foster community awareness of long-term hazard vulnerabilities. The 2019 debris flow event exemplified the risks associated with this effect, as the flow overtopped the check dams and used the stored material as secondary fuel, significantly amplifying the impact. As a result, 40 % of surveyed buildings were inundated, demonstrating how the levee effect can potentially escalate exposure to large-scale debris flow events.

Our LAHARZ simulations further reinforce the limitations of engineered structures as the sole mitigative measure in alpine environments; urbanisation of mountainous terrains further complicates the balance between technological advancements and geological hazards (Zhang, 2014; Zhang and Li, 2020; Luo et al., 2023). Despite the presence of check dams, our extreme runout volume resulted in significant impacts on the built environment in Cutou and Chediguan, including overtopping and dam failure. The use of these simulations emphasises the challenges of reducing exposure to at-risk structures and highlights the unpredictable nature of debris flow occurrences. Moreover, our findings relating to the altered patterns of erosion and deposition emphasise the relationship between natural topography, engineered interventions, and risk perception in post-seismic debris flows. Urbanisation exacerbates this complexity, influencing exposure and physical vulnerability through deposit remobilisation. Our LAHARZ simulations serve as a practical demonstration of the levee effect, illustrating how engineered structures may not provide adequate protection against runout volumes, similar to the extreme simulation, thereby reinforcing the importance of considering the levee effect in debris flow risk management. The unpredictable nature of debris flow occurrences – from pinpointing their location and timing to ascertaining their volume and velocity – ultimately means that the concept of the levee effect remains key to the issue of debris flows in post-seismic Sichuan (Cucchiari et al., 2019a; Tang et al., 2022).

While our findings are not able to definitively determine the prevalence of the levee effect with regard to development in post-seismic environments like Sichuan, we hypothesise that the implementation of mitigative structures like check dams may inadvertently increase exposure levels to large-scale debris flow events by creating a false sense of safety. Although our investigation does not fully explore this phenomenon, our outcomes suggest that the development of infrastructure in areas perceived to be safe due to the presence of engineered structures may amplify hazard exposure. This highlights the limitations of solely relying on engineered interventions to reduce exposure to at-risk structures under the extreme LAHARZ scenario. Furthermore, we highlighted the

complex interplay between engineering solutions and human behaviour, warranting further investigation (Papathoma-Köhle et al., 2011; Gong et al., 2021). By emphasising the challenges and limitations of engineered structures in mitigating debris flow impacts, we underscore the need for comprehensive risk management strategies that consider the complexities of urbanisation and flow-based hazards in mountainous terrains.

Despite the presence of these engineered interventions, our analysis demonstrates significant exposure levels and infrastructure damage during extreme debris flow events. This discrepancy between perceived risk reduction and actual hazard exposure underscores the need for a more comprehensive understanding of risk perception in the context of hazard mitigation strategies. Moreover, our study highlights the importance of considering human behaviour and decision-making processes in the design and implementation of risk management measures. Future research should focus on elucidating the mechanisms driving risk perception in hazard-prone areas and developing strategies to bridge the gap between perceived and actual risk to enhance the effectiveness of mitigation efforts.

6 Conclusion

Our study investigated the changing exposure to debris flows in Cutou, Chediguan, and Xiaojia since the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake. We used high-resolution satellite imagery to build a time series of building inventories between 2005 and 2019. Despite recurrent debris flow occurrences between 2010 and 2013, we observed increased urban developments across all three gullies to varying extents until 2015.

We identified significant differences in the impacts of debris flow events in 2011 and 2019. During the August 2019 debris flow event, Cutou experienced the highest inundation, with 40 % of surveyed structures directly affected, including critical infrastructure such as the G4217 highway bridge. In contrast, the 2011 event in Xiaojia impacted approximately 11.6 % of buildings in the gully, indicating a lower level of damage compared to in Cutou. The presence of check dams in Cutou and Chediguan contributed to increased exposure and hazard impacts during the 2019 event, with overtopping and damage to dam sections recorded at both locations. However, despite the presence of these mitigative structures, the impact on the built environment was significant, suggesting limitations in their effectiveness, particularly during extreme runout volumes. Our LAHARZ simulations demonstrated a clear correlation between exposure and debris flow runout, revealing a notable increase in building damage as runout volumes increased from low to high and, finally, extreme scenarios across all catchments. Despite the presence of check dams, the simulations indicated that these structures were unable to reduce the impacts on the built environment, especially during extreme events. Furthermore, our analysis high-

lighted a heightened level of built environment exposure in Cutou compared to Chediguan and Xiaojia, driven by urbanisation, the presence of critical infrastructure, and the effectiveness of mitigative measures.

Our findings suggest that the presence and location of check dams in gully channels likely increased building exposure by fostering a perception of reduced hazard risk, thereby contributing to a levee effect. This raises concerns about the long-term implications, including structural integrity, maintenance, and clearing. LAHARZ modelling provides an understanding of check dam efficacy, raising concerns for Cutou and Chediguan in high- to extreme-runout events. Further, the combined use of the LAHARZ GIS toolkit and exposure analysis contributes to a holistic understanding of the risk landscape, informing strategies for enhanced disaster resilience and sustainable development in vulnerable areas.

The assumptions and subsequent considerations highlighted throughout our study underscore the complexities of how check dams, as a mitigative structure, influence land use planning and development in hazard-prone areas. These factors ensure that the data outputs are not only comprehensive but also reflective of the inherent complexities of the study area and limitations in available data sources and analytical tools. We have highlighted a relationship between the presence of engineered measures like check dams and the built environment, showing how this relationship has contributed to increased debris flow impacts after the 2008 earthquake in Sichuan, particularly in provinces along the Minjiang. Our results emphasise the need for a multifaceted approach to risk management, integrating socio-economic development and addressing the paradoxical role of mitigative structures in shaping public perception to hazard exposure and vulnerability. Understanding these complexities is vital for informed decision-making and effective debris flow risk management.

Overall, our findings indicate that the 2019 debris flow events caused more significant damage and higher exposure levels compared to the 2011 flow, emphasising the need for comprehensive risk management strategies in debris-flow-prone areas.

Code availability. The underlying software code used in this study is not publicly accessible. This is due to the use of licensed third-party dependencies that cannot be redistributed.

Data availability. The underlying research data supporting the findings of this paper are not publicly accessible as material included was obtained under third-party agreements and contains elements that are sensitive in nature. Although anonymisation was considered, full public release could not ensure adequate protection of all data elements or comply with licensing conditions. As such, the dataset has not been deposited in a public repository.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-25-2699-2025-supplement>.

Author contributions. All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and data analysis were performed by IU, TH, and EH. The first draft of the manuscript was written by IU, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final paper.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. Ekbal Hussain publishes with the permission of the executive director of the British Geological Survey.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the BGS-NERC National Capability grant titled Geosciences to Tackle Global Environmental Challenges (NERC reference NE/X006255/1).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Solmaz Mohadjer and reviewed by Omar F. Althuwaynee and two anonymous referees.

References

- Ao, Y., Huang, K., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., and Martek, I.: Influence of built environment and risk perception on seismic evacuation behaviour: Evidence from rural areas affected by Wenchuan earthquake, *Int. J. Disast. Risk Re.*, 46, 101504, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101504>, 2020.
- Arrogante-Funes, P., Bruzón, A. G., Arrogante-Funes, F., Ramos-Bernal, R. N., and Vázquez-Jiménez, R.: Integration of vulnerability and hazard factors for landslide risk assessment, *Int. J. Env. Res. Pub. He.*, 18, 11987, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182211987> 2021.
- Bernard, M., Boreggio, M., Degetto, M., and Gregoretti, C.: Model-based approach for design and performance evaluation of works controlling stony debris flows with an application to a case study at Rovina di Cancia (Venetian Dolomites, Northeast Italy), *Sci. Total Environ.*, 688, 1373–1388, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.302>, 2019.
- Brown, C. F., Bumby, S. P., Guzder-Williams, B., Birch, T., Hyde, S. B., Mazzariello, J., Czerwinski, W., Pasquarella, V. J., Haer-

- tel, R., Ilyushchenko, S., Schwehr, K., Weisse, M., Stolle, F., Hanson, C., Guinan, O., Moore, R., and Tait, A. M.: Dynamic world, near real-time global 10 m land use land cover mapping, *Sci. Data*, 9, 251, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01307-4>, 2022.
- Chang, M., Luo, C., Wu, B., and Xiang, L.: Catastrophe process of outburst debris flow triggered by the landslide dam failure, *J. Hydrol.*, 609, 127729, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127729>, 2022.
- Chen, M., Tang, C., Zhang, X., Xiong, J., Chang, M., Shi, Q., Wang, F., and Li, M.: Quantitative assessment of physical fragility of buildings to the debris flow on 20 August 2019 in the Cutou gully, Wenchuan, southwestern China, *Eng. Geol.*, 293, 106319, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106319>, 2021.
- Chen, N.-S., Hu, G.-S., Deng, M.-F., Zhou, W., Yang, C.-L., Han, D., and Deng, J.-H.: Impact of earthquake on debris flows – a case study on the Wenchuan earthquake, *J. Earthq. Tsunami*, 5, 493–508, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793431111001212>, 2011.
- Chen, X., Cui, P., You, Y., Chen, J., and Li, D.: Engineering measures for debris flow hazard mitigation in the Wenchuan earthquake area, *Eng. Geol.*, 194, 73–85, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.10.011>, 2015.
- Chen, Y., Song, J., Zhong, S., Liu, Z., and Gao, W.: Effect of destructive earthquake on the population-economy-space urbanization at county level – a case study on Dujiangyan County, China, *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, 76, 103345, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.103345>, 2022.
- Collenteur, R. A., de Moel, H., Jongman, B., and Di Baldassarre, G.: The failed-levee effect: Do societies learn from flood disasters?, *Nat. Hazards*, 76, 373–388, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-014-1496-6>, 2015.
- Costa, J. E.: Physical geomorphology of debris flows, in: *Developments and Applications of Geomorphology*, edited by: Brunsden, D. and Prior, D. B., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 268–269, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69759-3_9, 1984.
- Cruden, D. M. and Varnes, D. J.: Landslide types and processes, in: *Transportation Research Board Special Report, 247*, National Academy Press, Washington DC, <https://shorturl.at/HDDtG> (last access: 2 May 2023), 1996.
- Cucchiaro, S., Cavalli, M., Vericat, D., Crema, S., Llena, M., Beinat, A., Marchi, L., and Cazorzi, F.: Geomorphic effectiveness of check dams in a debris-flow catchment using multi-temporal topographic surveys, *Catena*, 174, 73–83, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.10.018>, 2019a.
- Cucchiaro, S., Cazorzi, F., Marchi, L., Crema, S., Beinat, A., and Cavalli, M.: Multi-temporal analysis of the role of check dams in a debris-flow channel: Linking structural and functional connectivity, *Geomorphology*, 345, 106844, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106844>, 2019b.
- Cui, P., Wei, F. Q., He, S. M., You, Y., Chen, X. Q., and Li, Z. L.: Mountain Disasters Induced by the Earthquake of May 12 in Wenchuan and the Disasters Mitigation, *J. Mountain Sci.*, 26, 280–282, https://doi.org/10.35123/geo-expo_2017_4, 2008.
- Dai, Z., Huang, Y., Cheng, H., and Xu, Q.: SPH model for fluid–structure interaction and its application to debris flow impact estimation, *Landslides*, 14, 917–928, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-016-0777-4>, 2017.
- Eidsvig, U. M. K., Papathoma-Köhle, M., Du, J., Glade, T., and Vangelsten, B. V.: Quantification of model uncertainty in debris flow vulnerability assessment, *Eng. Geol.*, 181, 15–26, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.07.008>, 2014.
- Fan, X., Juang, C. H., Wasowski, J., Huang, R., Xu, Q., Scaringi, G., van Westen, C. J., and Havenith, H.-B.: What we have learned from the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake and its aftermath: A decade of research and challenges, *Eng. Geol.*, 241, 25–32, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2018.05.004>, 2018.
- Fan, X., Scaringi, G., Domènech, G., Yang, F., Guo, X., Dai, L., He, C., Xu, Q., and Huang, R.: Two multi-temporal datasets that track the enhanced landsliding after the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 11, 35–55, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-35-2019>, 2019a.
- Fan, X., Scaringi, G., Korup, O., West, A. J., van Westen, C. J., Tanyas, H., Hovius, N., Hales, T. C., Jibson, R. W., Allstadt, K. E., Zhang, L., Evans, S. G., Xu, C., Li, G., Pei, X., Xu, Q., and Huang, R.: Earthquake-induced chains of geologic hazards: Patterns, mechanisms, and impacts, *Rev. Geophys.*, 57, 421–503, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018RG000626>, 2019b.
- Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M., Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Schaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J., Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D., and Alsdorf, D.: The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, *Rev. Geophys.*, 45, RG2004, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000183>, 2007.
- Francis, O., Fan, X., Hales, T., Hobley, D., Xu, Q., and Huang, R.: The fate of sediment after a large earthquake, *J. Geophys. Res.-Earth*, 127, 1–5, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006352>, 2022.
- Gong, X.-L., Chen, X.-Q., Chen, K.-T., Zhao, W.-Y., and Chen, J.-G.: Engineering planning method and control modes for debris flow disasters in scenic areas, *Front. Earth Sc.-Switz*, 9, 712403, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.712403>, 2021.
- Google Earth Pro: Location of Cutou Gully, Chediguan Gully and Xiaojia Gully, Sichuan, China, Google Earth Pro, 2011 and 2019, Version 9.189.0.0, <https://www.google.com/earth/about/versions/>, last access: 2 June 2023.
- Guo, X., Cui, P., Li, Y., Ma, L., Ge, Y., and Mahoney, W. B.: Intensity–duration threshold of rainfall-triggered debris flows in the Wenchuan Earthquake affected area, China, *Geomorphology*, 253, 208–216, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.10.009>, 2016.
- Guzzetti, F., Peruccacci, S., Rossi, M., and Stark, C. P.: The rainfall intensity–duration control of shallow landslides and debris flows: An update, *Landslides*, 5, 3–17, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-007-0112-1>, 2008.
- Hao, P., Hooimeijer, P., Sliuzas, R., and Geertman, S.: What drives the spatial development of urban villages in China?, *Urban Stud.*, 50, 3394–3411, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098013484534>, 2013.
- Harp, E. L. and Jibson, R. W.: Landslides triggered by the 1994 Northridge, California, earthquake, *B. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 86, S319–S332, <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA08601BS319>, 1996.
- He, N., Fu, Q., Zhong, W., Yang, Z., Cai, X., and Xu, L.: Analysis of the formation mechanism of debris flows after earthquakes – A case study of the Legugou debris flow, *Front. Ecol. Evol.*, 10, 1–15, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.1053687>, 2022.
- Horton, A. J., Hales, T. C., Ouyang, C., and Fan, X.: Identifying post-earthquake debris flow hazard using Massflow, *Eng. Geol.*, 258, 105134, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2019.05.011>, 2019.

- Hu, K. H., Cui, P., and Zhang, J. Q.: Characteristics of damage to buildings by debris flows on 7 August 2010 in Zhouqu, Western China, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 2209–2217, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-2209-2012>, 2012.
- Huang, R. and Li, W.: Post-earthquake landsliding and long-term impacts in the Wenchuan earthquake area, China, *Eng. Geol.*, 182, 111–120, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.07.008>, 2014.
- Huang, R., Pei, X., Fan, X., Zhang, W., Li, S., and Li, B.: The characteristics and failure mechanism of the largest landslide triggered by the Wenchuan earthquake, May 12, 2008, China, *Landslides*, 9, 131–142, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-011-0276-6>, 2012.
- Huang, R. Q. and Li, W. L.: Analysis of the geo-hazards triggered by the 12 May 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake, China, *B. Eng. Geol. Environ.*, 68, 363–371, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-009-0207-0>, 2009.
- Huang, R. Q., Li, Y. S., and Li, W. L.: Engineering geological evaluation of reconstruction sites following the Wenchuan earthquake, *B. Eng. Geol. Environ.*, 68, 449–458, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-009-0225-y>, 2009.
- Hübl, J. and Fiebigler, G.: Chap. 18 – Debris-flow mitigation measures. In: *Debris-flow Hazards and Related Phenomena*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 445–487, https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/3-540-27129-5_18.pdf (last access: 24 May 2023), 2005.
- Iverson, R. M., Schilling, S. R., and Vallance, J. W.: Objective delineation of areas at risk from inundation by lahars, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 110, 972–984, 1998.
- Jiang, W., Deng, Y., Tang, Z., Cao, R., Chen, Z., and Jia, K.: Adaptive capacity of mountainous rural communities under restructuring to geological disasters: The case of Yunnan Province, *J. Rural Stud.*, 47, 622–629, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.05.002>, 2016.
- Kean, J. W., Staley, D. M., Lancaster, J. T., Rengers, F. K., Swanson, B. J., Coe, J. A., Hernandez, J. L., Sigman, A. J., Allstadt, K. E., and Lindsay, D. N.: Inundation, flow dynamics, and damage in the 9 January 2018 Montecito debris-flow event, California, USA: Opportunities and challenges for post-wildfire risk assessment, *Geosphere*, 15, 1140–1163, <https://doi.org/10.1130/ges02048.1>, 2019.
- Li, C., Wang, M., and Liu, K.: A decadal evolution of landslides and debris flows after the Wenchuan earthquake, *Geomorphology*, 323, 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.09.010>, 2018.
- Li, N., Tang, C., Zhang, X., Chang, M., Shu, Z., and Bu, X.: Characteristics of the disastrous debris flow of Chediguan gully in Yinxing town, Sichuan Province, on August 20, 2019, *Sci. Rep.*, 11, 23666, <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-03125-x> (last access: 13 June 2023), 2021.
- Lin, C.-W., Liu, S.-H., Lee, S.-Y., and Liu, C.-C.: Impacts of the Chi-Chi earthquake on subsequent rainfall-induced landslides in central Taiwan, *Eng. Geol.*, 86, 87–101, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2006.02.010>, 2006.
- Liu, J., You, Y., Chen, X., Liu, J., and Chen, X.: Characteristics and hazard prediction of large-scale debris flow of Xiaojia Gully in Yingxiu Town, Sichuan Province, China, *Eng. Geol.*, 180, 55–67, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013795214000702> (last access: 20 May 2023), 2014.
- Liu, J., You, Y., Chen, X., and Chen, X.: Mitigation planning based on the prediction of river blocking by a typical large-scale debris flow in the Wenchuan earthquake area, *Landslides*, 13, 1231–1242, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0615-0>, 2016.
- Liu, J., Mason, P. J., and Bryant, E. C.: Regional assessment of geohazard recovery eight years after the Mw7.9 Wenchuan earthquake: a remote-sensing investigation of the Beichuan region, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 39, 1671–1695, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1410299>, 2018.
- Lo, W.-C., Tsao, T.-C., and Hsu, C.-H.: Building vulnerability to debris flows in Taiwan: a preliminary study, *Nat. Hazards*, 64, 2107–2128, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-012-0124-6>, 2012.
- Luo, H. Y., Fan, R. L., Wang, H. J., and Zhang, L. M.: Physics of building vulnerability to debris flows, floods, and earth flows, *Eng. Geol.*, 271, 105611, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013795219322227> (last access: 17 May 2023), 2020.
- Luo, H. Y., Zhang, L. M., Zhang, L. L., He, J., and Yin, K. S.: Vulnerability of buildings to landslides: The state of the art and future needs, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 238, 104329, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012825223000181> (last access: 24 August 2023), 2023.
- McGuire, L. A., Rengers, F. K., Kean, J. W., and Staley, D. M.: Debris flow initiation by runoff in a recently burned basin: Is grain-by-grain sediment bulking or en masse failure to blame?: Debris Flow Initiation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 7310–7319, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017gl074243>, 2017.
- OpenStreetMap contributors: OpenStreetMap database [PostgreSQL via API], USGS, <https://www.openstreetmap.org> (last access: 20 July 2023), 2023.
- Papathoma-Köhle, M., Kappes, M., Keiler, M., and Glade, T.: Physical vulnerability assessment for alpine hazards: state of the art and future needs, *Nat. Hazards*, 58, 645–680, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-010-9632-4>, 2011.
- Peng, M., Zhang, L. M., Chang, D. S., and Shi, Z. M.: Engineering risk mitigation measures for the landslide dams induced by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, *Eng. Geol.*, 180, 68–84, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013795214000696> (last access: 23 May 2023), 2014.
- Petley, D.: The 27 June 2023 landslide at Miansi, Sichuan Province, China, American Geophysical Union, <https://blogs.agu.org/landslideblog/2023/06/29/miansi-landslide-1/> (last access: 2 July 2023), 2023.
- Piton, G., Carladous, S., Recking, A., Tacnet, J. M., Liébault, F., Kuss, D., Quefféléan, Y., and Marco, O.: Why do we build check dams in Alpine streams? An historical perspective from the French experience: A Review of the Subtle Knowledge of 19th Century Torrent-Control-Engineers, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 42, 91–108, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3967>, 2016.
- Schilling, S. P.: Laharz_py: GIS tools for automated mapping of lahar hazards zones, USGS, 2014-107, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2014/1073> (last access: May 2024), 2014.
- Shu, B., Chen, Y., Amani-Beni, M., and Zhang, R.: Spatial distribution and influencing factors of mountainous geological disasters in southwest China: A fine-scale multi-type assessment, *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 10, 1049333, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1049333>, 2022.
- Tang, C., Zhu, J., Li, W. L., and Liang, J. T.: Rainfall-triggered debris flows following the Wenchuan earthquake, *B. Eng. Geol. En-*

- viron., 68, 187–194, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-009-0201-6>, 2009.
- Tang, C., Rengers, N., van Asch, Th. W. J., Yang, Y. H., and Wang, G. F.: Triggering conditions and depositional characteristics of a disastrous debris flow event in Zhouqu city, Gansu Province, northwestern China, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 11, 2903–2912, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-11-2903-2011>, 2011.
- Tang, Y., Guo, Z., Wu, L., Hong, B., Feng, W., Su, X., and Zhu, Y.: Assessing debris flow risk at a catchment scale for an economic decision based on the LiDAR DEM and numerical simulation, *Front. Earth Sci.*, 10, 47–58, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.821735>, 2022.
- Thouret, J.-C., Antoine, S., Magill, C., and Ollier, C.: Lahars and debris flows: Characteristics and impacts, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 201, 103003, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.103003>, 2020.
- Wang, S., Zhang, K., van Beek, L. P. H., Tian, X., and Bogaard, T. A.: Physically-based landslide prediction over a large region: Scaling low-resolution hydrological model results for high-resolution slope stability assessment, *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 124, 104607, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104607>, 2020.
- Wei, L., Hu, K.-H., and Hu, X.-D.: Rainfall occurrence and its relation to flood damage in China from 2000 to 2015, *J. Mount. Sci.*, 15, 2492–2504, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-018-4931-4>, 2018.
- Wei, L., Hu, K., and Liu, J.: Quantitative analysis of the debris flow societal risk to people inside buildings at different times: A case study of Luomo Village, Sichuan, Southwest China, *Front. Earth Sci.*, 8, 627070, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.627070>, 2021.
- Wei, L., Hu, K., and Liu, J.: Automatic identification of buildings vulnerable to debris flows in Sichuan Province, China, by GIS analysis and Deep Encoding Network methods, *J. Flood Risk Mang.*, 15, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12830>, 2022.
- World Settlement Footprint: Evolution data (1985–2015), World Settlement Footprint, <https://geoservice.dlr.de/web/maps/eoc:wsfevolution> (last access: 10 July 2023), 2019.
- Wu, J., Li, N., Hallegatte, S., Shi, P., Hu, A., and Liu, X.: Regional indirect economic impact evaluation of the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake, *Environ. Earth Sci.*, 65, 161–172, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1078-9>, 2012.
- Yan, Y., Ge, Y. G., Zhang, J. Q., and Zeng, C.: Research on the debris flow hazards in Cutou Gully, Wenchuan County on July 10, 2013, *Journal of Catastrophology*, 29, 229–234, 2014.
- Zeng, C., Cui, P., Su, Z., Lei, Y., and Chen, R.: Failure modes of reinforced concrete columns of buildings under debris flow impact, *Landslides*, 12, 561–571, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-014-0490-0>, 2015.
- Zeng, Q. L., Yue, Z. Q., Yang, Z. F., and Zhang, X. J.: A case study of long-term field performance of check-dams in mitigation of soil erosion in Jiangjia stream, China, *Eng. Geol.*, 58, 897–911, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-008-1570-z>, 2009.
- Zhang, S.: Assessment of human risks posed by cascading landslides in the Wenchuan earthquake area (Hong Kong), Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, <https://shorturl.at/xDKZK> (last access: 16 August 2023), 2014.
- Zhang, S., Zhang, L., Li, X., and Xu, Q.: Physical vulnerability models for assessing building damage by debris flows, *Eng. Geol.*, 247, 145–158, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2018.10.017>, 2018.
- Zhang, Z. and Li, Y.: Coupling coordination and spatiotemporal dynamic evolution between urbanization and geological hazards – A case study from China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 728, 138825, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138825>, 2020.
- Zou, Q., Cui, P., He, J., Lei, Y., and Li, S.: Regional risk assessment of debris flows in China – An HRU-based approach, *Geomorphology*, 340, 84–102, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169555X19301849> (last access: 11 July 2023), 2019.