Data Article

# State of nature 2023 terrestrial and freshwater animal dataset for the United Kingdom and its constituent countries

Fiona Burns[a], Simone Mordue[a], Nida Al-Fulaij[b],
Philipp Boersh-Supan[c], Katherine L. Boughey[d], Philip Briggs[d],
Mark A. Eaton[e], Colin Harrower[f], Angus C. Jackson[g],
Steve Langton[h], Francesca Mancini[f], David Noble[c],
Chris R. Shortall[i], Chloë A. Smith[j], Robin J. Pakeman[k],[*]

[a] RSPB, Centre for Conservation Science, David Attenborough Building, Pembroke Street, Cambridge CB2 3QZ, United Kingdom
[b] People's Trust for Endangered Species, 3 Cloisters House, 8 Battersea Park Road, London SW8 4BG, United Kingdom
[c] British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford IP24 2PU, United Kingdom
[d] Bat Conservation Trust, Studio 15 Cloisters House, Cloisters Business Centre, 8 Battersea Park Road, London SW8 4BG, United Kingdom
[e] ME Rare Breeding Bird Panel, Alnwick NE66 1EL, United Kingdom
[f] UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, Oxfordshire OX10 8BB, United Kingdom
[g] Seasearch, Marine Conservation Society, Overross House, Ross Park, Ross-on-Wye HR9 7US, United Kingdom
[h] Hallgarth, Leavening, Malton, N Yorkshire YO17 9SA, United Kingdom
[i] Rothamsted Insect Survey, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, United Kingdom
[j] Butterfly Conservation, Manor Yard, East Lulworth, Wareham, Dorset BH20 5QP, United Kingdom
[k] The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen AB15 8QH, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

This article describes the terrestrial and freshwater animal trend data used in creating the 2023 State of Nature reports for the UK and its constituent countries. Trend data for long- (1970–2020/21) and short-term (2010–2020) periods have been calculated by fitting statistical models to measures of abundance (753 species) or occupancy (4979 species) across the UK. Trend data was also calculated for each

* Corresponding author.
  *E-mail address:* robin.pakeman@hutton.ac.uk (R.J. Pakeman).
  *Social media:* 🐦 @F_E_Burns (F. Burns), 🐦 @pboesu (P. Boersh-Supan), 🐦 @Mark_A_Eaton (M.A. Eaton), 🐦
@Frances_Mancini (F. Mancini)

constituent country: England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales for reduced sets of species.

Trends in abundance data were generally created from the analysis of repeat counts at specific sites. Trends in occupancy were created by analysing ad hoc species records of invertebrates provided by volunteers. Statistical methods partially controlled for the risk of bias and the noisy nature of such occupancy data. Trends were only calculated where the number of species records justified the creation of trend statistics.

Species that make up three key groups of insects are identified in additional columns in the dataset, those responsible for key ecosystem functions: species providing freshwater nutrient cycling, pollinating insects and predators of crop pests.

The data has one clear limitation in that it is only a partial representation of the fauna of the UK. Many cryptic, nocturnal or soil dwelling species are poorly recorded and even some easy to identify species such as amphibians and reptiles do not have a suitable recording scheme that captures abundance.

## Specifications Table

| Subject | Biology |
|---|---|
| Specific subject area | Species trend data at the UK and constituent country level. |
| Type of data | Analysed |
| Data collection | Trend data calculated by fitting statistical models to measures of abundance (753 species) or occupancy (4979 species) across the UK. Trend data is present for long- (1970–2020/21) and short-term (2010–2020) periods for a range of animal species for the whole of the UK, as well as for each constituent country: England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales. |
| Data source location | All of terrestrial UK. |
| ta accessibility | Repository name: Zenodo Data identification number: 10.5281/zenodo.14209674 Direct URL to data: 10.5281/zenodo.14209674 |
| Related research article | None |

## 1. Value of the Data

- The State of Nature reports the most up-to-date information available for species trends in the United Kingdom and its constituent countries to give an overview of how biodiversity is faring nationally.
- Published reports provide headline analyses aimed at summarizing the data for policy makers and the public. These reports set out the numbers of species increasing in abundance or occupancy, those with little change and those decreasing, and then links these trends to the drivers of change, including conservation action.
- Providing species level trend information as an open data set will allow for wider participation in analysis and allow a more detailed identification of patterns in the data and likely drivers of species trends.

## 2. Background

State of Nature reports have been produced for the United Kingdom and its constituent countries in 2013, 2016, 2019 and 2023. They have been produced as a partnership between conservation NGOs, research institutes and universities, with the statutory conservation agencies joining as full signatories from 2019 onwards (66 organizations). The reports aim to provide a common evidence base on the state of biodiversity, the pressures it faces, the benefits of conservation action and to communicate this assessment widely and effectively to a broad suite of target audiences.

The 2023 reports [1–5] bring together the latest and best data from a wide range of biological monitoring and recording schemes. Several of the same datasets are also used to create a number of statutory biodiversity indicators for the UK and UK countries (https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/uk-biodiversity-indicators-2023/).

## 3. Data Description

The data presented here form the basis of the categorical change metric in the State of Nature reports [6]. Data derive from two types of data – abundance and distribution (or occupancy). Abundance data at the UK level is available for 753 terrestrial and freshwater animal species. Abundance trends are based on the changes in the number of individuals at monitored sites and so reflect changes in species' population sizes. For some taxa (e.g. bats), data on detections is collected, so the analyzed data represents local occupancy which has been shown to be a reasonable proxy for relative abundance [7]. Data for moths is based on light trap data rather than direct observation [8]. Abundance trends are only for native species and data are largely from national monitoring schemes covering the period 1970 to 2021, though periods for some species groups are shorter. Most of these datasets contained species' time-series derived from statistical models, rather than raw counts or observations.

UK trends in distribution are available for 4979 terrestrial and freshwater invertebrate species. Distribution trends are based on changes in the number of sites where a species is present recorded at 1 km x 1 km precision (based on the British National Grid and the Irish Transverse Mercator grid). Distribution trends are primarily for native species and generally derive from biological records covering the period 1970 to 2020, though periods for some species groups are shorter. A large proportion of both types of data is collected by volunteers. Of the other taxa included in the State of Nature, trend data for vascular plants have been published [9], but data for bryophytes and fungi are not yet published.

The numbers of species with sufficient data to calculate trends declines when analyzed at the individual country level due to their smaller area, lower population levels and a range of other factors (Table 1). The number of species included in the categorical change metric for the UK differs slightly here (753; Table 1) from the report (756, page 12, [1]); two bird species with short time-series were included in error (Cattle Egret *Bubulcus ibis* and Common Redpoll *Acanthis flammea*) and Brent Goose *Branta bernicla* was included as two subspecies rather than at a specific level.

To gain a finer scale understanding of trends insect species are categorized into three groups that provide key ecosystem functions: pollinating insects (bees, hoverflies and moths) play a critical role in food production, predators of crop pests (ants, carabid, rove and ladybird beetles,

**Table 1**
Numbers of species contributing trend information to the State of Nature by country.

| Taxa | Type of data | UK | England | Northern Ireland | Scotland | Wales |
|------|-------------|-----|---------|------------------|----------|-------|
| Terrestrial and freshwater species | Abundance | 753 | 682 | 121 | 407 | 387 |
| Terrestrial and freshwater species | Distribution | 4979 | 4815 | 552 | 2149 | 3036 |

hoverflies, dragonflies and wasps) play a key role in pest control and a range of invertebrates are involved in freshwater nutrient cycling (mayflies, caddisflies, dragonflies and stoneflies). Some species provide more than one function (e.g., adult hoverflies provide pollination services, but larvae are predators of crop pests) and so are included in more than one indicator.

## 4. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

Trends were produced for two timescales. A long-term trend, 1970–2020/21, is effectively the longest possible run of robust data, and short-term trend, 2010–2020, to highlight recent changes in abundance or distribution. In many cases the start and/or end years for the individual species' data did not exactly match these time periods. The abundance data ran to 2021, whilst the distribution data ran to 2020 as this is slower to become available.

### 4.1. Abundance data

Population change was described by changes in the relative abundance of species (changes in the number of individuals) and are presented in file son23_dpaper_achg_spp.csv (column headings presented in Table 2). Data were derived from a wide range of sources; details are presented in the associated data file *SoN_metadata.xlsx* (sheets 1. Change in abundance UK and 2. Abundance UK countries). Abundance trends for terrestrial and freshwater species were included if they met the following criteria: two or more methodologically consistent estimates of abundance were made between 1960 and the present and counts had adequately covered the species' range; results, or at least the methodology for data collection and/or analysis, had been published; start and end estimates for each species were at least 10 years apart. If multiple datasets were available for a species', the most robust dataset was used. Further details are available in Burns et al. [1,10].

### 4.2. Distribution data

The terrestrial distribution time series were based on occurrence data collected by National Recording Schemes (see file *SoN_metadata.xlsx* sheet 3. Change in occupancy which lists the names of the recordings schemes providing data) and are presented in file all

**Table 2**
Column heading of the abundance trend data from *son23_dpaper_achg_spp.csv*.

| | |
|---|---|
| scientific_name | Latin name of species from the United Kingdom Species Inventory https://www.nhm.ac.uk/our-science/data/uk-species.html |
| common_name | English name of species from the United Kingdom Species Inventory https://www.nhm.ac.uk/our-science/data/uk-species.html |
| survey | Source of data (see *Key_to_Survey_Schemes.csv* which gives the full name of each recording scheme) |
| group | Grouping factor used in analysis in the reporting (e.g., birds, moths) |
| subgroup | Second level grouping factor used in the analysis (e.g., generalist butterflies, specialist butterflies) |
| min_yr | First year of data availability |
| max_yr | Last year of data availability |
| annual_average_growthrate_longterm | Trend between min_yr and max_yr |
| change_category_longterm | Trend assessment (see Section 4.3) |
| annual_average_growthrate_shortterm | Trend between 2010 and max_yr |
| change_category_shorterm | Trend assessment (see Section 4.3) |
| country | UK, England, Northern Ireland, Scotland or Wales |
| Biome | Terrestrial and Freshwater or Marine |

**Table 3**

Column headings for the change in occupancy data in *invert_spp_trends.csv.*

| Species | Latin name of species |
|---|---|
| percent_change_year | Trend |
| category | Trend assessment (see Section 4.3) |
| time_period | lt: 1970 (or earliest data availability if later) to 2020, st: 2010 to 2020. |
| country | UK, England, Northern Ireland, Scotland or Wales |
| group | Grouping factor used in analysis in the reporting (e.g., carabids, Molluscs) |
| taxonomic uncertainty | Indicates if species have undergone taxonomic revision since the production of the trend data |
| Pollination | Insect species associated with pollination (Y or N) |
| Pest control | Insect species associated with pest control (Y or N) |
| Freshwater nutrient cycling | Insect species associated with freshwater nutrient cycling (Y or N) |

*invert_spp_trends.csv* (column headings in Table 3). The majority of the species' distribution time series included in our assessment were updated versions of those presented in [11]. The trends were estimated using Bayesian hierarchical occupancy models to help control for imperfect detection [12,13]. Distribution was modelled at a 1 km x 1 km scale. Species were retained if they had a minimum of 10 years of reliable estimates and produced a distribution trend with acceptable precision [14]. These selection criteria excluded rarely recorded species and more frequently recorded species if they were only recorded in a few years. Further details in [1].

Population change was described by changes in the distribution of species (changes in the number of sites where a species is found). Distribution trends were developed using a hierarchical occupancy modelling in a Bayesian framework [12,13,15]. Distribution was modelled at a 1 km × 1 km scale, with species retained if there were at least 100 records and no more than a five-year gap in records [11,15].

### 4.3. Trend assessment

A smoothed version of each species' time-series was created using a thin plate spline model (fields::tps, [16]), with the number of knots set to a third of the time-series duration. Total change was taken as the value in the penultimate year of a smoothed species' time-series expressed as a proportion of the first year of the assessment period. Each measure of total change was then converted to an annual average rate of change by raising it to the power of one over the duration of the assessment period and subtracting one. We placed each species into one of the five categories based upon the average annual change in relative abundance or occupancy (Table 4). All analyses were performed using R Statistical Software [17].

**Table 4**

Definitions of the categories used to classify rates of change.

| Category | Rate of change | Description of change in 25 years |
|---|---|---|
| Strong increase | $a \geq (2^{(1/25)}) - 1$ | Rate of change that would lead to a population doubling or more |
| Moderate increase | $((4/3)^{(1/25)}) - 1 \leq \alpha < (2^{(1/25)}) - 1$ | Rate of change that would lead to an increase of a third or more but less than doubling |
| Little change | $(0.75^{(1/25)}) - 1 < \alpha < ((4/3)^{(1/25)}) - 1$ | Rate of change that would lead to an increase of less than a third or a decline of less than a quarter over 25 years |
| Moderate decrease | $(0.5^{(1/25)}) - 1 < \alpha \leq (0.75^{(1/25)}) - 1$ | Rate of change that would lead to a decline of greater than a quarter but less than a half over 25 years |
| Strong decrease | $\alpha \leq (0.5^{(1/25)}) - 1$ | Rate of change that would lead to a population halving or more over 25 years |

## Limitations

There are a number of limitations to the data:

- There are taxonomic biases. The abundance-based trends are available for only c. 1.4 % of UK species, those typically recorded in formal monitoring schemes, and do not include important groups such as plants and fungi, as well as smaller groups such as amphibians and reptiles. There is a bias towards species easily captured in recording schemes and species that are cryptic, nocturnal, fossorial or arboreal are under-represented.
- There are geographic biases in the data as most records are from the English and Welsh lowlands, reflecting the density of recorders. The data were subject to a formal risk of bias assessment [18,19].
- The species in this dataset are those where data is sufficient to analyze, so the data is biased towards common or widespread species and taxonomic groups with greater data coverage.
- It is limited to analyzing change post-1970 and so does not include species responses to historical changes such as post-war agricultural intensification with increased mechanization, fertilizer use and pesticide applications.

## Ethics Statement

The authors have read and follow the ethical requirements for publication in Data in Brief and confirming that the current work does not involve human subjects, animal experiments, or any data collected from social media platforms.

## CRediT Author Statement

**FB:** Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, Project administration, **SM:** Conceptualisation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, Project administration, **NA-F:** Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **PB-S:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **KLB:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **PB:** Data curation, **MAE:** Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **CH:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **ACJ:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **SL:** Formal analysis, Writing – Review and Editing, **FM:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **DN:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **CRS:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **CAS:** Data curation, Writing – Review and Editing, **RJP:** Writing original draft.

## Data Availability

United Kingdom State of Nature 2023 terrestrial and freshwater animal dataset, including species trend data for England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales (Original data) (Zenodo).

## Acknowledgments

but the organisations providing data and the main ones funding the work are listed in the Burns et al. (2023) alongside those who contributed to the reports. The dataset contains UK Butterfly Monitoring Scheme (UKBMS) data © copyright and database right Butterfly Conservation, the Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, British Trust for Ornithology, and the Joint Nature Conservation Committee and National Bat Monitoring Programme (NBMP) data copyright and database right Bat Conservation Trust and the Joint Nature Conservation Committee. The National Bat Monitoring Programme (NBMP) is run by the Bat Conservation Trust, in partnership with the Joint Nature Conservation Committee, and supported and steered by Natural England, Natural Resources Wales, NatureScot and Northern Ireland Environment Agency. In particular, the monitoring of biodiversity in the UK would not be possible without the thousands of dedicated volunteers who collect much of the data.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

[1] F. Burns, S. Mordue, N. Fulaij, P.H. Boersch-Supan, J. Boswell, R.J. Boyd, T. Bradfer-Lawrence, P. de Ornellas, A. de Palma, P. de Zylva, E.B. Dennis, S. Foster, G. Gilbert, L. Halliwell, K. Hawkins, K.A. Haysom, M.M. Holland, J. Hughes, A.C. Jackson, F. Mancini, F. Mathews, A. McQuatters-Gollop, D.G. Noble, D. O'Brien, O.L. Pescott, A. Purvis, J. Simkin, A. Smith, A.J. Stanbury, J. Villemot, K.J. Walker, P. Walton, T.J. Webb, J. Williams, R. Wilson, R.D. Gregory. (2023) State of Nature 2023, the State of Nature partnership. https://stateofnature.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/TP25999-State-of-Nature-main-report_2023_FULL-DOC-v12.pdf.

[2] S. Mordue, C. Bunnage, M. Shurmer, T. Bradfer-Lawrence, F. Burns, N. al Fulaij, F. Mancini, O.L. Pescott, J. Simkin, A.J. Stanbury, R. & Wilson. (2023) State of Nature England 2023, the State of Nature Partnership. https://stateofnature.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/TP26054-SoN-England-summary-report-v6.pdf.

[3] G. Gilbert, A.J. Stanbury, A. McDevitt, E. McKeown, F. Burns, F. Mancini, N. Schonberg, O.L. Pescott, P. Armstrong, R. Brogan, S. Mordue, T. & Bradfer-Lawrence. (2023) State of Nature Northern Ireland 2023, the State of Nature Partnership. https://stateofnature.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/TP26055-SoN-N_Ireland-summary-report-v4-1.pdf.

[4] A. Smith, J. Boswell, E. Halliwell, T. Birch, T. Bradfer-Lawrence, F. Burns, J. Hughes, I. Johnstone, F. Mancini, S. Mordue, J. Oates, O.L. Pescott, A. Phillips, J. Simkin. A.J. & Stanbury. (2023) State of Nature Wales/Sefyllfa Natur Cymru, the State of Nature Partnership. https://stateofnature.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/TP26053-SoN-Wales-summary-report-v10.pdf.pagespeed.ce.UcI3aoHAY6.pdf.

[5] P. Walton, D. O'Brien, J. Smart, F. Burns, D. Basset, T. Bradfer-Lawrence, S. Foster, B.D. James, F. Mancini, S. Mordue, R.J. Pakeman, O.L. Pescott, J. Simkin, A.J. Stanbury, M. & Towers. (2023) State of Nature Scotland 2023, the State of Nature Partnership. https://stateofnature.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/TP26056-SoN-Scotland-summary-report-v5-1.pdf.pagespeed.ce.Elp-TYaoGQ.pdf.

[6] F. Burns, S. Mordue, N. Al-Fulaji, K. Boughey, P. Briggs, M. Eaton, C. Harrower, A. Jackson, S. Langton, F. Mancini, D. Noble, P. Boersh-Supan, C. Smith, R. Pakeman, C. Shortall, United Kingdom State of Nature 2023 Terrestrial and Freshwater Animal Dataset, Including Species Trend Data for England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales [Data set], Zenodo, 2024, doi:10.5281/zenodo.14209675.

[7] K.E. Barlow, P.A. Briggs, K.A. Haysom, A.M. Hutson, N.L. Lechiara, P.A. Racey, A.L. Walsh, S.D. Langton, Citizen science reveals trends in bat populations: the national bat monitoring programme in Great Britain, Biol. Conserv. 182 (2015) 14–26, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.022.

[8] C.A. Harrower, J.R. Bell, M.S. Botham, R. Fox, T. Kruger, D.B. Roy, C.R. Shortall, N.J.B. Isaac, Annual Abundance Indices and Trends for Moths in Britain and Ireland from the Rothamsted Insect Survey Light-Trap Network, 1968–2021, Including Country-Level Results for England, Scotland and Wales, NERC EDS Environmental Information Data Centre, 2025, doi:10.5285/75161449-1382-42a4-bb91-58835740cc75.

[9] P.A. Stroh, K.J. Walker, T.A. Humphrey, O.L. Pescott, R.J. & Burkmar. (2024) Plant Atlas 2020 — British and Irish vascular plant and charophyte 10 x 10 km distribution trends for 1930–2019 (long-term) and 1987–2019 (short-term), including country-level breakdowns. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11108831.

[10] F. Burns, M.A. Eaton, D.B. Hayhow, C.L. Outhwaite, N. Al Fulaij, T.A. August, K.L. Boughey, T. Brereton, A. Brown, D.J. Bullock, T. Gent, An assessment of the state of nature in the United Kingdom: a review of findings, methods and impact, Ecol. Indic. 94 (2018) 226–236, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.06.033.

[11] C.L. Outhwaite, G.D. Powney, T.A. August, R.E. Chandler, S. Rorke, O.L. Pescott, M. Harvey, H.E. Roy, R. Fox, D.B. Roy, K. Alexander, Annual estimates of occupancy for bryophytes, lichens and invertebrates in the UK, Sci. Data 6 (2019) 259, doi:10.1038/s41597-019-0269-1.

[12] N.J. Isaac, A.J. van Strien, T.A. August, M.P. de Zeeuw, D.B. Roy, Statistics for citizen science: extracting signals of change from noisy ecological data, Methods Ecol. Evol. 5 (2014) 1052–1060, doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12254.

[13] C.L. Outhwaite, R.E. Chandler, G.D. Powney, B. Collen, R.D. Gregory, N.J. Isaac, Prior specification in Bayesian occupancy modelling improves analysis of species occurrence data, Ecol. Indicat. 93 (2018) 333–343, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.05.010.

[14] M.J. Pocock, M.W. Logie, N.J. Isaac, C.L. Outhwaite, T. August, Rapid assessment of the suitability of multi-species citizen science datasets for occupancy trend analysis, BioRxiv (2019) 813626, doi:10.1101/813626.

[15] A.C. Jackson, Bayesian occupancy modelling of benthic Crustacea and the recovery of the European spiny lobster, *Palinurus elephas*, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K. 101 (2021) 1033–1046, doi:10.1017/S002531542200008X.

[16] D. Nychka, R. Furrer, J. Paige, S. & Sain. (2021). "Fields: tools for spatial data." R package version 16.3, https://github.com/dnychka/fieldsRPackage.

[17] R. Core Team.R: a language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2022 URL https://www.R-project.org/.

[18] R.J. Boyd, G.D. Powney, F. Burns, A. Danet, F. Duchenne, M.J. Grainger, S.G. Jarvis, G. Martin, E.B. Nilsen, E. Porcher, G.B. Stewart, ROBITT: a tool for assessing the risk-of-bias in studies of temporal trends in ecology, Methods Ecol. Evol. 137 (2022) 1497–1507, doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13857.

[19] R. Boyd, K. Turvey, ROBITT assessments of UK recording scheme data (0.1), Zenodo (2023), doi:10.5281/zenodo.7545198.