

DATA NOTE

The genome sequence of the Feathered Thorn moth, Colotois

pennaria (Linnaeus, 1761)

[version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review]

Douglas Boyes1+, Clare Boyes2,

University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab,

Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team, Tree of Life Core Informatics collective, Darwin Tree of Life Consortium

¹UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, England, UK ²Independent researcher, Welshpool, Wales, UK

+ Deceased author

First published: 30 Apr 2025, 10:230
 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23992.1

 Latest published: 30 Apr 2025, 10:230
 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23992.1

Abstract

We present a genome assembly from a male specimen of *Colotois pennaria* (Feathered Thorn; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Geometridae). The assembly contains two haplotypes with total lengths of 449.44 megabases and 449.26 megabases. Most of haplotype 1 (99.91%) is scaffolded into 28 chromosomal pseudomolecules, including the Z sex chromosome. Haplotype 2 was assembled to scaffold level. The mitochondrial genome has also been assembled, with a length of 15.57 kilobases.

Keywords

Colotois pennaria, Feathered Thorn, genome sequence, chromosomal, Lepidoptera



This article is included in the Tree of Life gateway.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status AWAITING PEER REVIEW

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Darwin Tree of Life Consortium (mark.blaxter@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: Boyes D: Investigation, Resources; Boyes C: Writing – Original Draft Preparation;

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (220540) and the Darwin Tree of Life Discretionary Award [218328, https://doi.org/10.35802/218328].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2025 Boyes D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Boyes D, Boyes C, University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab *et al.* The genome sequence of the Feathered Thorn moth, *Colotois pennaria* (Linnaeus, 1761) [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review] Wellcome Open Research 2025, 10:230 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23992.1

First published: 30 Apr 2025, 10:230 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23992.1

Species taxonomy

Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Protostomia; Ecdysozoa; Panarthropoda; Arthropoda; Mandibulata; Pancrustacea; Hexapoda; Insecta; Dicondylia; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Amphiesmenoptera; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Neolepidoptera; Heteroneura; Ditrysia; Obtectomera; Geometroidea; Geometridae; Ennominae; *Colotois; Colotois pennaria* (Linnaeus, 1761) (NCBI:txid104470)

Background

The Feathered Thorn (*Colotois pennaria*) is a macro-moth in the family Geometridae. It is widespread in Britain, although it has declined significantly in abundance since 1970 (Randle *et al.*, 2019). It is present throughout Europe with a disjunct population in Japan (GBIF Secretariat, 2023).

The moth flies between September and early December and takes its common name from the feathery antennae of the males. The adults rest with their wings flat. The wings are brown with two cross lines and a white spot near the tip of the wings. Males are more richly coloured (Waring *et al.*, 2017). The male comes to light more readily than the female. The Feathered Thorn overwinters as an egg, which is laid on wide range of broad-leaved trees. The larvae feed at night between April and June, and then pupate on the ground (Henwood *et al.*, 2020).

We present a chromosome-level genome sequence for *Colotois pennaria*, based on a specimen from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom (Figure 1).

Genome sequence report

Sequencing data

The genome of a specimen of *Colotois pennaria* (Figure 1) was sequenced using Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads, generating 39.39 Gb (gigabases) from 3.05 million



Figure 1. Photograph of the *Colotois pennaria* (ilColPena1) specimen used for genome sequencing.

reads. GenomeScope analysis of the PacBio HiFi data estimated the haploid genome size at 444.88 Mb, with a heterozygosity of 1.50% and repeat content of 25.12%. These values provide an initial assessment of genome complexity and the challenges anticipated during assembly. Based on this estimated genome size, the sequencing data provided approximately 85.0x coverage of the genome. Chromosome conformation Hi-C sequencing produced 110.57 Gb from 732.27 million reads. Table 1 summarises the specimen and sequencing information, including the BioProject, study name, BioSample numbers, and sequencing data for each technology.

Assembly statistics

The genome was assembled into two haplotypes using Hi-C phasing. Haplotype 1 was curated to chromosome level, while haplotype 2 was assembled to scaffold level. The assembly was improved by manual curation, which corrected 14 misjoins or missing joins and removed 22 haplotypic duplications. These interventions decreased the scaffold count by 37.68%. The final assembly has a total length of 449.44 Mb in 42 scaffolds, with 19 gaps, and a scaffold N50 of 16.54 Mb (Table 2).

The snail plot in Figure 2 provides a summary of the assembly statistics, indicating the distribution of scaffold lengths and other assembly metrics. Figure 3 shows the distribution of scaffolds by GC proportion and coverage. Figure 4 presents a cumulative assembly plot, with separate curves representing different scaffold subsets assigned to various phyla, illustrating the completeness of the assembly.

Most of the assembly sequence (99.91%) was assigned to 28 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 27 autosomes and the Z sex chromosome. These chromosome-level scaffolds, confirmed by Hi-C data, are named according to size (Figure 5; Table 3). During assembly curation the Z chromosome was assigned based on synteny to the genome of *Agriopis aurantiaria* (GCA_914767915.1) (Boyes *et al.*, 2023).

The mitochondrial genome was also assembled. This sequence is included as a contig in the multifasta file of the genome submission and as a standalone record.

Assembly quality metrics

The estimated Quality Value (QV) and *k*-mer completeness metrics, along with BUSCO completeness scores, were calculated for each haplotype and the combined assembly. The QV reflects the base-level accuracy of the assembly, while *k*-mer completeness indicates the proportion of expected *k*-mers identified in the assembly. BUSCO scores provide a measure of completeness based on benchmarking universal single-copy orthologues.

For haplotype 1, the estimated QV is 66.0, and for haplotype 2, 65.9. When the two haplotypes are combined, the assembly achieves an estimated QV of 66.0. The *k*-mer recovery for haplotype 1 is 72.98%, and for haplotype 2 73.01%, while the combined haplotypes have a *k*-mer recovery of 99.68%. BUSCO 5.5.0 analysis using the lepidoptera_odb10 reference set

Project information					
Study title	Colotois pennaria (feathered thorn)				
Umbrella BioProject	PRJEB78923				
Species	Colotois pennaria				
BioSpecimen	SAMEA8603206				
NCBI taxonomy ID	104470				
Specimen information					
Technology	ToLID	BioSample accession	Organism part		
PacBio long read sequencing	ilColPena1	SAMEA8603744	thorax		
Hi-C sequencing	ilColPena1	SAMEA8603742	head		
RNA sequencing	ilColPena1	SAMEA8603746	abdomen		
Sequencing information					
Platform	Run accession	Read count	Base count (Gb)		
Hi-C Illumina NovaSeq 6000	ERR13494024	7.32e+08	110.57		
PacBio Revio	ERR13510318	2.54e+06	31.61		
PacBio Sequel IIe	ERR13510319	5.02e+05	7.78		
RNA Illumina HiSeq 4000	ERR13494029	5.21e+07	7.87		

Table 1. Specimen and sequencing data for Colotois pennaria.

Table 2. Genome assembly data for *Colotois pennaria*.

Genome assembly	Haplotype 1	Haplotype 2
Assembly name	ilColPena1.hap1.1	ilColPena1.hap2.1
Assembly accession	GCA_964258875.1	GCA_964258755.1
Assembly level	chromosome	scaffold
Span (Mb)	449.44	449.26
Number of contigs	61	69
Number of scaffolds	42	59
Assembly metrics (benchmark)	Haplotype 1	Haplotype 2
Contig N50 length (≥ 1 Mb)	15.53 Mb	15.02 Mb
Scaffold N50 length (= chromosome N50)	16.54 Mb	16.46 Mb
Consensus quality (QV) (≥ 40)	66.0	65.9
<i>k</i> -mer completeness	72.98%	73.01%
Combined <i>k</i> -mer completeness (\geq 95%)	99.68%	
BUSCO* (S > 90%; D < 5%)	C:98.5%[S:97.9%,D:0.5%], F:0.3%,M:1.2%,n:5,286	C:98.5%[S:97.9%,D:0.6%], F:0.3%,M:1.2%,n:5,286
Percentage of assembly mapped to chromosomes $(\geq 90\%)$	99.91%	-
Sex chromosomes (localised homologous pairs)	Z	-
Organelles (one complete allele)	Mitochondrial genome: 15.57 kb	-

* BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using version 5.5.0. C = complete [S = single copy, D = duplicated], F = fragmented, M = missing, n = number of orthologues in comparison.




Figure 2. Genome assembly of *Colotois pennaria*, **ilColPena1.hap1.1: metrics.** The BlobToolKit snail plot provides an overview of assembly metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The circumference represents the length of the whole genome sequence, and the main plot is divided into 1,000 bins around the circumference. The outermost blue tracks display the distribution of GC, AT, and N percentages across the bins. Scaffolds are arranged clockwise from longest to shortest and are depicted in dark grey. The longest scaffold is indicated by the red arc, and the deeper orange and pale orange arcs represent the N50 and N90 lengths. A light grey spiral at the centre shows the cumulative scaffold count on a logarithmic scale. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated, and missing BUSCO genes in the lepidoptera_odb10 set is presented at the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA_964258875.1/dataset/GCA_964258875.1/snail.

(n = 5,286) identified 98.5% of the expected gene set (single = 97.9%, duplicated = 0.5%) for haplotype 1.

Table 2 provides assembly metric benchmarks adapted from Rhie *et al.* (2021) and the Earth BioGenome Project (EBP) Report on Assembly Standards September 2024. The assembly achieves the EBP reference standard of **7.C.Q66**.

Methods

Sample acquisition and DNA barcoding

An adult male *Colotois pennaria* (specimen ID Ox000975, ToLID ilColPena1) was collected from Wytham Woods,

Oxfordshire, United Kingdom (latitude 51.77, longitude -1.34) on 2020-10-08, using a light trap. The specimen was collected and identified by Douglas Boyes (University of Oxford) and preserved on dry ice.

The initial identification was verified by an additional DNA barcoding process according to the framework developed by Twyford *et al.* (2024). A small sample was dissected from the specimen and stored in ethanol, while the remaining parts were shipped on dry ice to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) (Pereira *et al.*, 2022). The tissue was lysed, the COI marker region was amplified by PCR, and amplicons were sequenced




Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Colotois pennaria*, **ilColPena1.hap1.1: BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot.** Blob plot showing sequence coverage (vertical axis) and GC content (horizontal axis). The circles represent scaffolds, with the size proportional to scaffold length and the colour representing phylum membership. The histograms along the axes display the total length of sequences distributed across different levels of coverage and GC content. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA_964258875.1/blob.

and compared to the BOLD database, confirming the species identification (Crowley *et al.*, 2023). Following whole genome sequence generation, the relevant DNA barcode region was also used alongside the initial barcoding data for sample tracking at the WSI (Twyford *et al.*, 2024). The standard operating procedures for Darwin Tree of Life barcoding have been deposited on protocols.io (Beasley *et al.*, 2023).

Metadata collection for samples adhered to the Darwin Tree of Life project standards described by Lawniczak *et al.* (2022).

Nucleic acid extraction

The workflow for high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) Tree of Life Core Laboratory includes a sequence of procedures: sample preparation and homogenisation, DNA extraction, fragmentation and purification. Detailed protocols are available on protocols.io (Denton *et al.*, 2023b). The ilColPenal sample was prepared for DNA extraction by weighing and dissecting it on dry ice (Jay *et al.*, 2023). Tissue from the thorax was homogenised using a PowerMasher II tissue disruptor (Denton *et al.*, 2023a). HMW DNA was extracted using the Automated MagAttract v1 protocol (Sheerin *et al.*, 2023). DNA was sheared into an average fragment size of 12–20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system (Todorovic *et al.*, 2023). Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation, using AMPure PB beads to eliminate shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA (Strickland *et al.*, 2023). The concentration of the sheared




Figure 4. Genome assembly of *Colotois pennaria,* **ilColPena1.hap1.1: BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot.** The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA_964258875.1/dataset/GCA_964258875.1/dataset/GCA_964258875.1/cumulative.




Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Colotois pennaria*: Hi-C contact map of the ilColPena1.hap1.1 assembly, visualised using HiGlass. Chromosomes are shown in order of size from left to right and top to bottom. An interactive version of this figure may be viewed at https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=R7ycgPVoSISPByxTyKcwkg.

Table 3. Chromosomal pseudomolecules inthe genome assembly of Colotois pennaria,ilColPena1.

INSDC accession	Name	Length (Mb)	GC%
OZ177544.1	1	25.4	37
OZ177546.1	2	22.04	37
OZ177547.1	3	18.64	37
OZ177548.1	4	18.61	37.5
OZ177549.1	5	18.5	37
OZ177550.1	6	18.01	37.5
OZ177551.1	7	17.53	37
OZ177552.1	8	17.26	37
OZ177553.1	9	16.81	36.5
OZ177554.1	10	16.75	37
OZ177555.1	11	16.54	36.5
OZ177556.1	12	16.21	37.5
OZ177557.1	13	16.07	36.5
OZ177558.1	14	15.7	37
OZ177559.1	15	15.53	37
OZ177560.1	16	15.12	37
OZ177561.1	17	15.11	37
OZ177562.1	18	15.0	37
OZ177563.1	19	14.9	37.5
OZ177564.1	20	14.09	37
OZ177565.1	21	13.98	37
OZ177566.1	22	13.5	37
OZ177567.1	23	12.51	37.5
OZ177568.1	24	11.95	37
OZ177569.1	25	11.24	36.5
OZ177570.1	26	9.79	37
OZ177571.1	27	9.46	38
OZ177545.1	Z	22.77	37.5
OZ177572.1	MT	0.02	20.5

and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and a Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. The fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

RNA was extracted from abdomen tissue of ilColPenal in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using the RNA Extraction: Automated MagMax[™] mirVana protocol (do Amaral *et al.*, 2023). The RNA concentration was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and a Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit RNA Broad-Range Assay kit. Analysis of the integrity of the RNA was done using the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit and Eukaryotic Total RNA assay.

Hi-C sample preparation

Tissue from the head of the ilColPena1 sample was processed for Hi-C sequencing at the WSI Scientific Operations core, using the Arima-HiC v2 kit. In brief, 20-50 mg of frozen tissue (stored at -80 °C) was fixed, and the DNA crosslinked using a TC buffer with 22% formaldehyde concentration. After crosslinking, the tissue was homogenised using the Diagnocine Power Masher-II and BioMasher-II tubes and pestles. Following the Arima-HiC v2 kit manufacturer's instructions, crosslinked DNA was digested using a restriction enzyme master mix. The 5'-overhangs were filled in and labelled with biotinylated nucleotides and proximally ligated. An overnight incubation was carried out for enzymes to digest remaining proteins and for crosslinks to reverse. A clean up was performed with SPRIselect beads prior to library preparation. Additionally, the biotinylation percentage was estimated using the Qubit Fluorometer v4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Qubit HS Assay Kit and Arima-HiC v2 QC beads.

Library preparation and sequencing

Library preparation and sequencing were performed at the WSI Scientific Operations core.

PacBio HiFi

At a minimum, samples were required to have an average fragment size exceeding 8 kb and a total mass over 400 ng to proceed to the low input SMRTbell Prep Kit 3.0 protocol (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA), depending on genome size and sequencing depth required. Libraries were prepared using the SMRTbell Prep Kit 3.0 (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The kit includes the reagents required for end repair/A-tailing, adapter ligation, post-ligation SMRTbell bead cleanup, and nuclease treatment. Following the manufacturer's instructions, size selection and clean up was carried out using diluted AMPure PB beads (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). DNA concentration was quantified using the Qubit Fluorometer v4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Qubit 1X dsDNA HS assay kit and the final library fragment size analysis was carried out using the Agilent Femto Pulse Automated Pulsed Field CE Instrument (Agilent Technologies) and gDNA 55kb BAC analysis kit.

Samples were sequenced using the Sequel IIe system (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). The concentration of the library loaded onto the Sequel IIe was in the range 40–135 pM. The SMRT link software, a PacBio web-based end-to-end work-flow manager, was used to set-up and monitor the run, as well as perform primary and secondary analysis of the data upon completion.

Additional sequencing data was producing by sequencing on a Revio instrument (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). Prepared libraries were normalised to 2 nM, and 15 μ L was used for making complexes. Primers were annealed and polymerases were hybridised to create circularised complexes according to manufacturer's instructions. The complexes were purified with the 1.2X clean up with SMRTbell beads. The purified complexes were then diluted to the Revio loading concentration (in the range 200–300 pM), and spiked with a Revio sequencing internal control. Samples were sequenced on Revio 25M SMRT cells (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). The SMRT link software, a PacBio web-based end-toend workflow manager, was used to set-up and monitor the run, as well as perform primary and secondary analysis of the data upon completion.

Hi-C

For Hi-C library preparation, DNA was fragmented using the Covaris E220 sonicator (Covaris) and size selected using SPRISelect beads to 400 to 600 bp. The DNA was then enriched using the Arima-HiC v2 kit Enrichment beads. Using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs) for end repair, A-tailing, and adapter ligation. This uses a custom protocol which resembles the standard NEB-Next Ultra II DNA Library Prep protocol but where library preparation occurs while DNA is bound to the Enrichment beads. For library amplification, 10 to 16 PCR cycles were required, determined by the sample biotinylation percentage. The Hi-C sequencing was performed using paired-end sequencing with a read length of 150 bp on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument.

RNA

Poly(A) RNA-Seq libraries were constructed using the NEB Ultra II RNA Library Prep kit, following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument.

Genome assembly, curation and evaluation Assembly

Prior to assembly of the PacBio HiFi reads, a database of k-mer counts (k = 31) was generated from the filtered reads using FastK. GenomeScope2 (Ranallo-Benavidez *et al.*, 2020) was used to analyse the k-mer frequency distributions, providing estimates of genome size, heterozygosity, and repeat content.

The HiFi reads were assembled using Hifiasm in Hi-C phasing mode (Cheng *et al.*, 2021; Cheng *et al.*, 2022), resulting in a pair of haplotype-resolved assemblies. The Hi-C reads were mapped to the primary contigs using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019). The contigs were further scaffolded using the provided Hi-C data (Rao *et al.*, 2014) in YaHS (Zhou *et al.*, 2023) using the --break option for handling potential misassemblies. The scaffolded assemblies were evaluated using Gfastats (Formenti *et al.*, 2022), BUSCO (Manni *et al.*, 2021) and MERQURY.FK (Rhie *et al.*, 2020).

The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva *et al.*, 2023), which runs MitoFinder (Allio *et al.*, 2020) and uses these annotations to select the final

mitochondrial contig and to ensure the general quality of the sequence.

Assembly curation

The assembly was decontaminated using the Assembly Screen for Cobionts and Contaminants (ASCC) pipeline. Flat files and maps used in curation were generated via the TreeVal pipeline (Pointon *et al.*, 2023). Manual curation was conducted primarily in PretextView (Harry, 2022) and HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018), with additional insights provided by JBrowse2 (Diesh *et al.*, 2023). Scaffolds were visually inspected and corrected as described by Howe *et al.* (2021). Any identified contamination, missed joins, and mis-joins were amended, and duplicate sequences were tagged and removed. The sex chromosome was assigned based on synteny analysis. The curation process is documented at https://gitlab.com/wtsi-grit/ rapid-curation.

Assembly quality assessment

The Merqury.FK tool (Rhie *et al.*, 2020), run in a Singularity container (Kurtzer *et al.*, 2017), was used to evaluate *k*-mer completeness and assembly quality for the primary and alternate haplotypes using the *k*-mer databases (k = 31) that were computed prior to genome assembly. The analysis outputs included assembly QV scores and completeness statistics.

A Hi-C contact map was produced for the final version of the assembly. The Hi-C reads were aligned using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019) and the alignment files were combined using SAMtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2021). The Hi-C alignments were converted into a contact map using BEDTools (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) and the Cooler tool suite (Abdennur & Mirny, 2020). The contact map was visualised in HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018).

The blobtoolkit pipeline is a Nextflow port of the previous Snakemake Blobtoolkit pipeline (Challis et al., 2020). It aligns the PacBio reads in SAMtools and minimap2 (Li, 2018) and generates coverage tracks for regions of fixed size. In parallel, it queries the GoaT database (Challis et al., 2023) to identify all matching BUSCO lineages to run BUSCO (Manni et al., 2021). For the three domain-level BUSCO lineages, the pipeline aligns the BUSCO genes to the UniProt Reference Proteomes database (Bateman et al., 2023) with DIAMOND blastp (Buchfink et al., 2021). The genome is also divided into chunks according to the density of the BUSCO genes from the closest taxonomic lineage, and each chunk is aligned to the UniProt Reference Proteomes database using DIAMOND blastx. Genome sequences without a hit are chunked using seqtk and aligned to the NT database with blastn (Altschul et al., 1990). The blobtools suite combines all these outputs into a blobdir for visualisation.

The blobtoolkit pipeline was developed using nf-core tooling (Ewels *et al.*, 2020) and MultiQC (Ewels *et al.*, 2016), relying on the Conda package manager, the Bioconda initiative (Grüning *et al.*, 2018), the Biocontainers infrastructure (da Veiga Leprevost *et al.*, 2017), as well as the Docker (Merkel, 2014) and Singularity (Kurtzer *et al.*, 2017) containerisation solutions.

Table 4 contains a list of relevant software tool versions and sources.

Wellcome Sanger Institute – Legal and Governance The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner. The submission of materials by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner is subject to the **'Darwin Tree of Life Project Sampling Code of Practice'**, which can be found in full on the Darwin Tree of Life website here. By agreeing with and signing up to the Sampling Code of Practice, the Darwin Tree of Life Partner agrees they will meet the legal and ethical requirements and

Software tool	Version	Source
BEDTools	2.30.0	https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools2
BLAST	2.14.0	ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/
BlobToolKit	4.3.9	https://github.com/blobtoolkit/blobtoolkit
BUSCO	5.5.0	https://gitlab.com/ezlab/busco
bwa-mem2	2.2.1	https://github.com/bwa-mem2/bwa-mem2
Cooler	0.8.11	https://github.com/open2c/cooler
DIAMOND	2.1.8	https://github.com/bbuchfink/diamond
fasta_ windows	0.2.4	https://github.com/tolkit/fasta_windows
FastK	666652151335353eef2fcd58880bcef5bc2928e1	https://github.com/thegenemyers/FASTK
Gfastats	1.3.6	https://github.com/vgl-hub/gfastats
GoaT CLI	0.2.5	https://github.com/genomehubs/goat-cli
Hifiasm	0.19.8-r603	https://github.com/chhylp123/hifiasm
HiGlass	44086069ee7d4d3f6f3f0012569789ec138f42b8 4aa44357826c0b6753eb28de	https://github.com/higlass/higlass
MerquryFK	d00d98157618f4e8d1a9190026b19b471055b 22e	https://github.com/thegenemyers/MERQURY.FK
Minimap2	2.24-r1122	https://github.com/lh3/minimap2
MitoHiFi	3	https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi
MultiQC	1.14, 1.17, and 1.18	https://github.com/MultiQC/MultiQC
Nextflow	23.10.0	https://github.com/nextflow-io/nextflow
PretextView	0.2.5	https://github.com/sanger-tol/PretextView
samtools	1.19.2	https://github.com/samtools/samtools
sanger-tol/ ascc	-	https://github.com/sanger-tol/ascc
sanger-tol/ blobtoolkit	0.5.1	https://github.com/sanger-tol/blobtoolkit
Seqtk	1.3	https://github.com/lh3/seqtk
Singularity	3.9.0	https://github.com/sylabs/singularity
TreeVal	1.2.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/treeval
YaHS	1.2a.2	https://github.com/c-zhou/yahs

standards set out within this document in respect of all samples acquired for, and supplied to, the Darwin Tree of Life Project.

Further, the Wellcome Sanger Institute employs a process whereby due diligence is carried out proportionate to the nature of the materials themselves, and the circumstances under which they have been/are to be collected and provided for use. The purpose of this is to address and mitigate any potential legal and/or ethical implications of receipt and use of the materials as part of the research project, and to ensure that in doing so we align with best practice wherever possible. The overarching areas of consideration are:

- Ethical review of provenance and sourcing of the material
- Legality of collection, transfer and use (national and international)

Each transfer of samples is further undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Darwin Tree of Life Partner, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute), and in some circumstances other Darwin Tree of Life collaborators.

Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: Colotois pennaria (feathered thorn). Accession number PRJEB78923; https://identifiers.org/ena.embl/PRJEB78923. The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *Colotois pennaria* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project (PRJEB40665)

and Project Psyche (PRJEB71705). All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated using available RNA-Seq data and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1 and Table 2.

Author information

Members of the University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zen-odo.12157525.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12158331.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12162482.

Members of Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12165051.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zen-odo.12160324.

Members of the Tree of Life Core Informatics collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12205391.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Consortium are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4783558.

References

Abdennur N, Mirny LA: Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics*. 2020; 36(1): 311–316. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, et al.: MitoFinder: efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 2020; **20**(4): 892–905. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, et al.: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. J Mol Biol. 1990; 215(3): 403–410.

Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, *et al.*: **UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2023**. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2023; **51**(D1): D523–D531. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

Beasley J, Uhl R, Forrest LL, et al.: DNA barcoding SOPs for the Darwin Tree of Life project. protocols.io. 2023; [Accessed 25 June 2024]. Publisher Full Text

Boyes D, Mulhair PO, University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab, et al.: The genome sequence of the Scarce Umber, Agriopis aurantiaria (Hübner, 1799) [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. Wellcome Open Res. 2023; 8: 463.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Buchfink B, Reuter K, Drost HG: Sensitive protein alignments at Tree-of-Life scale using DIAMOND. *Nat Methods*. 2021; **18**(4): 366–368. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Challis R, Kumar S, Sotero-Caio C, *et al.*: Genomes on a Tree (GoaT): a versatile, scalable search engine for genomic and sequencing project metadata across the eukaryotic Tree of Life [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2023; 8: 24. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, et al.: BlobToolKit – interactive quality assessment of genome assemblies. G3 (Bethesda). 2020; 10(4): 1361–1374. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, et al.: Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. Nat Methods. 2021; 18(2): 170–175.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Cheng H, Jarvis ED, Fedrigo O, *et al.*: Haplotype-resolved assembly of diploid genomes without parental data. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2022; 40(9): 1332–1335. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Crowley L, Allen H, Barnes I, et al.: A sampling strategy for genome sequencing the British terrestrial arthropod fauna [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. Wellcome Open Res. 2023; 8: 123. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

da Veiga Leprevost F, Grüning BA, Alves Aflitos S, et al.: BioContainers: an open-source and community-driven framework for software standardization. Bioinformatics. 2017; 33(16): 2580-2582. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, *et al.*: **Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.** *GigaScience*. 2021; **10**(2): giab008. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

Denton A, Oatley G, Cornwell C, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life sample homogenisation: PowerMash. protocols.io. 2023a. Publisher Full Text

Denton A, Yatsenko H, Jay J, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life wet laboratory protocol collection V.1. protocols.io. 2023b. Publisher Full Text

Diesh C, Stevens GJ, Xie P, et al.: **JBrowse 2: a modular genome browser with views of synteny and structural variation.** *Genome Biol.* 2023; **24**(1): 74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

do Amaral RJV, Denton A, Yatsenko H, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life RNA extraction: automated MagMax™ mirVana. protocols.io. 2023 **Publisher Full Text**

Ewels P. Magnusson M. Lundin S. et al.: MultiOC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016; 32(19): 3047-3048.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ewels PA, Peltzer A, Fillinger S, et al.: The nf-core framework for communitycurated bioinformatics pipelines. Nat Biotechnol. 2020; 38(3): 276-278. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Formenti G, Abueg L, Brajuka A, et al.: Gfastats: conversion, evaluation and manipulation of genome sequences using assembly graphs. Bioinformatics. 2022; 38(17): 4214-4216.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

GBIF Secretariat: Colotois pennaria (Linnaeus, 1761). Checklist dataset, GBIF Backbone Taxonomy. 2023 Reference Source

Grüning B, Dale R, Sjödin A, et al.: Bioconda: sustainable and comprehensive software distribution for the life sciences. Nat Methods. 2018; 15(7): 475-476. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Harry E: PretextView (Paired REad TEXTure Viewer): a desktop application for viewing pretext contact maps. 2022.

Henwood B, Sterling P, Lewington R: Field guide to the caterpillars of Great Britain and Ireland. London: Bloomsbury, 2020. **Reference Source**

Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, *et al.*: **Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation**. *GigaScience*. 2021; **10**(1): giaa153. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

lav J, Yatsenko H, Narváez-Gómez JP, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life sample preparation: triage and dissection. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text**

Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. Genome Biol. 2018; 19(1): 125

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Kurtzer GM, Sochat V, Bauer MW: Singularity: scientific containers for

mobility of compute. PLoS One. 2017; 12(5): e0177459. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Lawniczak MKN, Davey RP, Rajan J, et al.: Specimen and sample metadata standards for biodiversity genomics: a proposal from the Darwin Tree of Life project [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]. Wellcome Open Res. 2022; 7: 187. **Publisher Full Text**

Li H: Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34(18): 3094-3100.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, et al.: BUSCO update: novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2021; **38**(10): 4647–4654. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Merkel D: **Docker: lightweight Linux containers for consistent development and deployment.** *Linux J.* 2014; **201**4(239): 2, [Accessed 2 April 2024]. Source

Pereira L, Sivell O, Sivess L, *et al.*: **DToL taxon-specific standard operating procedure for the terrestrial and freshwater arthropods working group.** 2022

Publisher Full Text

Pointon DL, Eagles W, Sims Y, et al.: sanger-tol/treeval v1.0.0 - Ancient Atlantis. 2023

Publisher Full Text

Quinlan AR, Hall IM: BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26(6): 841-842. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ranallo-Benavidez TR, Jaron KS, Schatz MC: GenomeScope 2.0 and Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. Nat Commun. 2020; 11(1): 1432.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Randle Z, Evans-Hill LJ, Parsons MS, *et al.*: **Atlas of Britain and Ireland's larger moths.** Newbury: Pisces Publications, 2019. **Reference Source**

Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durand NC, et al.: A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell. 2014; 159(7): 1665-1680.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, et al.: Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature. 2021; 592(7856): 737-746

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, et al.: Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome* Biol. 2020; 21(1): 245

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Sheerin E, Sampaio F, Oatley G, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA extraction: automated MagAttract v.1. protocols.io. 2023. Publisher Full Text

Strickland M, Cornwell C, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life fragmented DNA clean up: manual SPRI. protocols.io. 2023. Publisher Full Text

Todorovic M, Sampaio F, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA fragmentation: diagenode megaruptor®3 for PacBio HiFi. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text**

Twyford AD, Beasley J, Barnes I, et al.: A DNA barcoding framework for taxonomic verification in the Darwin Tree of Life project [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. Wellcome Open Res. 2024; 9: 339. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Uliano-Silva M, Ferreira JGRN, Krasheninnikova K, et al.: MitoHiFi: a python pipeline for mitochondrial genome assembly from PacBio high fidelity reads. BMC Bioinformatics. 2023; 24(1): 288.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Vasimuddin M, Misra S, Li H, et al.: Efficient architecture-aware acceleration of BWA-MEM for multicore systems. In: 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS). IEEE, 2019; 314-324. Publisher Full Text

Waring P, Townsend M, Lewington R: Field guide to the moths of Great Britain and Ireland: third edition. Bloomsbury Wildlife Guides, 2017. **Reference Source**

Zhou C, McCarthy SA, Durbin R: YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool. Bioinformatics. 2023; 39(1): btac808. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text