



What is a drought-to-flood transition? Pitfalls and recommendations for defining consecutive hydrological extreme events

Bailey J. Anderson^{1,2,3}, Eduardo Muñoz-Castro^{1,2,3}, Lena M. Tallaksen⁴, Alessia Matano⁵, Jonas Götte^{1,2,3}, Rachael Armitage⁶, Eugene Magee⁶, and Manuela I. Brunner^{1,2,3}

¹Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos Dorf, Switzerland

³Climate Change, Extremes and Natural Hazards in Alpine Regions Research Center CERC, Davos Dorf, Switzerland

⁴Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁵Institute for Environmental Studies (IVM), Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁶UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Bailey J. Anderson (bailey.anderson@slf.ch)

Abstract. Research into rapid transitions between hydrological drought and flood is growing in popularity, in part due to media-reported catastrophic impacts from recent events. Droughts and floods are typically studied as events that are independent from one another, and thus, a clear definition and assessment of the methods used to define consecutive drought-to-flood transition events does not yet exist. In this paper, we use a series of eight catchments that have experienced real-world impacts

- 5 from drought-to-flood transitions as case studies to assess the suitability of, and differences between, different event selection methods applied to observational data. We demonstrate that different threshold level methods can result in the selection of different drought and flood events. When combined this can influence the number, seasonality and characteristics of detected drought-to-flood transitions. The time period used to define the maximum interval between drought and flood also influences whether transitions are detected. We show that the probability of a transition occurring within a set time window could vary
- 10 substantially between different methodologies. The differences in detected events are especially apparent in highly seasonal flow regimes. We also show that previously applied methodologies likely fail to detect transition events that have been broadly impactful in the historical record. Further, we qualitatively assess the streamflow timeseries of the case study catchments, and outline a number of potential pitfalls in the event detection process. Finally, we make recommendations regarding methodological choices in the context of potential impacts of interest, and outline some priorities for future methodological development
- 15 and research into transitions.

1 Introduction

Consecutive drought and flood events have received considerable media attention in recent years due to severe impacts resulting from large events. For example, in Italy, a severe multi-year drought in the Po River region, described as one of the worst on record (Montanari et al., 2023), was followed by the May 2023 flood in Emilia Romagna, which affected the lower tributaries

20 of the Po. The flood event resulted in levee breaches, landslides, widespread infrastructure damage, and loss of life (Martina et al., 2024). Similarly, from January 2010 to March 2012, large parts of England and Wales experienced a severe drought,



25



which was ended abruptly by the wettest April to June period on record for much of the region, leading to heavy rainfall and flooding through the summer and autumn (Kendon et al., 2013; Parry et al., 2013; Terry Marsh et al., 2013). Within the research community, there is growing recognition that although droughts and floods represent opposite hydrological hazards, there is added value in studying them in relation to one another. These extreme events can exacerbate the impacts, extent, or magnitude of each other under certain circumstances (Brunner et al., 2021; Ward et al., 2020).

Drought-to-flooded transitions, that is, floods that occur shortly after hydrological drought, challenge the effectiveness of disaster risk reduction measures that target only one hydrological extreme at a time, highlighting the need for integrated strategies that address both floods and droughts together (Ward et al., 2020). Management strategies may not be easily shifted

- 30 to handle one extreme after the other, and measures taken to mitigate one extreme may adversely effect the other. For example, quickly shifting between water storage strategies in dual-purpose reservoirs, which aid in the management of both flood and drought risk, can be difficult (Ward et al., 2020; Lal et al., 2020; Rogers and Tsirkunov, 2010). Further, wet and dry cycles can challenge the integrity of infrastructure. For example, drought can increase the chance of failure of levees and dikes during flood events, which, in turn, can lower defenses against flood risk, and reduce water supply during subsequent drought periods
- 35 (Ward et al., 2020; Van Baars and Van Kempen, 2009; van Huijgevoort et al., 2012; Hubble and De Carli, 2016). Socioeconomic challenges can stem from insufficient recovery time between events and shifting land use practices during prolonged drought periods (Barendrecht et al., 2024; Ward et al., 2020; Gallina et al., 2016). Water quality issues may arise from pollutant accumulation during drought that is mobilized together with topsoil loss during subsequent runoff events (Barendrecht et al., 2024; Mishra et al., 2021; Levy et al., 2016; Laudon et al., 2005; Wurtsbaugh et al., 2019; Effler et al., 2001).
- 40 Further concerns center around physical processes. For example, changes in soil properties during drought may result in increased runoff in some locations (Descroix et al., 2013; Matanó et al., 2024). Beyond this, regime changes due to climate and environmental change may increase the frequency of rapid drought-to-flood transitions, as evidenced by increases in rain on dry soil events in some regions (Tarasova et al., 2023). Changes in soil infiltration rate and runoff are often cited as a cause for increases in surface runoff during precipitation events following dry periods (Gimbel et al., 2016; Barendrecht et al., 2024).
- 45 From a meteorological or atmospheric perspective, this topic has received a lot of recent attention. For example, Swain et al. (2025) reviewed the current literature on hydroclimate volatility (i.e., sudden, large and/or frequent transitions between opposite extremes). They further introduced a formal definition of the concept of "hydroclimatic whiplash" in which the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index is used to define swings in atmospheric condition. Swain et al. (2025) show increasing hydroclimatic volatility, including rapid swings between wet and dry states in the atmosphere. Others have similarly shown an
- 50 increasing probability of risk from an intensification of rapid swings between hydroclimatic extremes, relying on standardized indices (Casson et al., 2019; Na and Najafi, 2024). Although rapid changes between wet and dry conditions have been widely studied in the meteorological sciences, attention to this phenomenon is relatively new in hydrology.

To our knowledge, only a few large sample analyses of drought-to-flood transition events have been published to date. Götte and Brunner (2024) investigate the distribution and frequency of drought-to-flood transition occurrence in the United States

55 and highlight that transition times vary widely within and between catchments. They find that a majority of detected transitions occur within the typical high flow season, and show that overall a majority of transitions are driven by snow melt, especially



60



in high-altitude catchments, representing a transition from winter low to spring high flow. Matanó et al. (2024) explore the historical drought-to-flood transitions at a global scale and argue that, by their definition, in which droughts are defined under a variable threshold and floods over a fixed monthly threshold, 24% of floods globally have been preceded by drought conditions. Several papers have been published that use standardized index approaches to explore abrupt switches between dry and wet periods in streamflow (Liu et al., 2016b; Cui et al., 2025; Li et al., 2016, e.g.).

Interest in interactions between drought and floods is also increasing in a more general sense. Recently, Barendrecht et al. (2024) performed a global review of the drivers and impacts of drought-to-flood transitions. The authors demonstrated the potential for increased impacts, the importance of short rainfall events as drivers of transitions, and highlighted the relative

- 65 scarcity of research into consecutive drought and flood events. Alves et al. (2023) further highlighted that research into the interplay between floods and droughts is still limited from the perspective of risk and impacts and refer to an increase in published research on the subject in recent years. Ward et al. (2020) argued for the need to research droughts and floods together, outlining how risk deduction measures that target one of these extremes can have unintended impacts on the other hazard. Di Baldassarre et al. (2017) argued for the need to model the interplay of the two hydrological extremes due to the ways
- 70 in which human responses to drought may worsen flood risk, and vice versa. Finally, Brunner et al. (2021) discuss challenges in modeling droughts and floods and argue that understanding their interactions is essential for the development of management strategies.

Although scientific interest in the topic of drought-to-flood transitions is growing, the definition of a drought-to-flood transition event is not yet widely agreed upon. Detection of drought and flood events individually is usually performed using

- 75 annual maxima/minima or threshold level approaches applied to the original streamflow time series (Meylan et al., 2012). The annual maxima/minima method is often applied to identify extreme events, however, in years without major extremes, the method may select relatively less extreme events, while in years with multiple extreme events, it may disregard other substantial events (Lang et al., 1999). Threshold-based approaches, instead, help to overcome these problems because they enable sampling of more than one extreme event per year by identifying all events above or below a certain threshold. Several dif-
- 80 ferent threshold level approaches exist; fixed annual or seasonal thresholds or temporally varying thresholds. Fixed thresholds are often applied to identify flood events (commonly referred to as Peak Over Threshold approach), whereas fixed, seasonally, or daily varying thresholds are sometimes applied to identify drought events as periods with seasonal streamflow deficits. Such threshold-based approaches are sometimes also applied to standardized anomaly time series such as the standardized streamflow index (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2012; Tijdeman et al., 2020), which are calculated by computing anomalies based
- 85 on aggregated time series mirroring indices used in atmospheric sciences (Guttman, 1998). Although applying fixed annual or seasonal flood and drought thresholds on original time series leads to the identification of transitions from one extreme event to another, standardized approaches identify shifts as deviations from long term average flow conditions.

The application of threshold level methods for drought and flood detection requires a number of choices, including the choice of threshold level approach and threshold itself, smoothing and aggregation of streamflow time series, and event merging

90 windows (Stahl et al., 2020; Van Loon, 2015). Depending on these choices, different drought and flood events may be identified, and the characteristics of those events can differ substantially (Tallaksen and Van Lanen, 2023). A given methodological choice





may result in the selection of transition events that vary in their drivers and characteristics (e.g., timing, severity, duration) when a large number of disparate catchments are studied (England Jr. et al., 2019; Tallaksen and Van Lanen, 2023). For instance, drought events identified using a seasonal or daily varying threshold delineate 'drought' in periods experiencing a deviation

- 95 from the normal flow at that time of the year, whether or not it corresponds to absolute low flows or dry conditions. As an example, drought events caused by a delay in the onset of the snowmelt season may be detected. Although this deficit could conceivably have impacts, for example, abnormally low water levels could reduce hydropower generation at the time of occurrence, the reduction may be compensated by an increase in production later in the season. (Hisdal et al., 2024b, a; Stahl et al., 2020; Tallaksen and Van Lanen, 2023). Depending on the context, these anomalies may or may not represent impactful
- 100 events. Failure to detect and accurately identify impactful drought-to-flood transitions could lead to ineffective management strategies, misleading impact quantification, inaccurate risk assessments, or incomplete process understanding. Thus, further investigation into, and consideration of, how the choice of threshold influences the identification and characteristics of events is needed for burgeoning research into drought-to-flood transitions to deliver broadly meaningful results.
- Here, we qualitatively and quantitatively assess a series of eight case study catchments and historical flood and drought 105 events. We aim to better understand how to define drought-to-flood transitions primarily in contexts which require generalized definitions and approaches across a number of catchments. We focus specifically on drought and flood events as identified in the original streamflow time series applying threshold level approaches, rather than relying on standardized indices. This choice was made to ensure that the case studies pertain to impactful *events*. Further, streamflow, to some extent, already represents an aggregate value, and because standardized indices can be very sensitive to the temporal aggregation period (Lema et al.,
- 110 2024). We compare three different threshold level approaches applied to the case study catchments, and compare the detected transition events with media reported events in both a quantitative and qualitative way. In the quantitative analysis, we primarily focus on the characteristics of the detected transition events, asking:
 - How effectively do the methods detect the documented drought-flood transition events in each case study?
 - How does seasonality impact the detection of events?
- How and why do the number and type of transition events detected differ among methods?

In the qualitative assessment, we discuss potential pitfalls in the definition of transition events based on existing literature and present these along with examples from the case study catchments.

The reported case study events allow us to evaluate the performance of transition detection methods against flood and drought events that have been reported by media outlets and national authorities. We use the documented media events to evaluate the

120 methods, assuming that impactful, media-reported events can be seen as benchmark events for all methods. This approach allows us to contextualize the definitions of drought-flood transitions relative to observed events in the case study analysis, and assess to what degree transitions are a concern in the selected catchments.





Methods and data 2

Case studies 2.1

We select eight case study catchments (Figure 1) for which media and/or scientific reports describe a flooding event following 125 drought conditions, and for which daily or higher resolution streamflow data are available. The case study catchments include the Daintree River in Australia, the Ventura River in California, USA, the Rio Colorado in central Chile, the River Aire in Northern England, the River Savio in Italy (a tributary of the Po), the Emme in Switzerland, the Ulvåa River in Norway (a Tributary of the Glomma), and the Llano River in Texas, USA. Catchment information, including gauge ID and data sources, 130 catchment area, and the drivers of the case study events are included in the supplementary materials (Table S1).

Media reported drought-to-flood transitions for each catchment are referred to as "case study events". Drought impacts for these case study events range from relatively minor e.g. altered sensitivity to salinity in mangrove plants in Australia (Beckett et al., 2023), e.g. to wide spread policy reform due to an energy crisis caused by a reduction in water available for hydro power in Chile (Murillo and Foulon, 2006). Similarly wide ranging impacts were reported for floods. Some floods resulted

in minor road closures and water inside buildings, e.g. in the Swiss case study (Martin Bürki, 2022; Käthi Liechti, 2023; 135 Bundesamt für Umwelt (BAFU), 2023) whereas others, such as the Italian case study, resulted in multiple deaths, landslides, and billions of Euros in reported losses (Povoledo, 2023; Eric Stober, 2023; Frances D'Emilio, 2023; noa, 2024, 2023b). A non-comprehensive table listing reported impacts and event occurrence dates is presented below in Table 1. In addition to the case study events, we also analyze the complete time series of each of the corresponding catchments.

140

We define "reported" drought periods based on the earliest reported start date and latest reported end date found in the media or scientific reports of the events as in Table 1. For "reported" flood events, we are primarily concerned with the first day of flooding to define a transition. For this reason, we use only the earliest reported start date, as opposed to the date of the most severe flooding.





Table 1: Description of case study events

Case Study	Reported Event Dates	Reported Drought Impacts	Reported Flood Impacts	Regime description
Australia: Daintree River	Drought: Aug. 2018- Dec. 2018; Flood: Dec. 25, 2018	Record high temperatures, below average precipitation and stream- flow, increased estuarine salinity affecting tree growth (1, 2)	Initial flooding: major flooding, halted ferry operation, inundation and damage to road- ways. Flooding continued and intensified into January of the following year, eventu- ally beating a 118-year record and declared a nationally significant emergency with severe impacts (3-8)	Dominated by a monsoonal system with a pronounced winter dry season.
California, USA: Ven- tura River	Drought: 2020-2022; Flood: Jan. 10, 2023	Large-scale meteorological and hydrological drought resulting in wildfire damages, historic low reservoir storage, and major eco- nomic losses in the agriculture, processing, and tourism industries (9-12)	Estimated 5 to 7 billion US dollars in eco- nomic losses, streambank erosion, damaged sewer lines, loss of life, people rescued from river, evacuations, school closures, and sinkhole formation (13-17)	Moderately strong seasonal pat- tern, typically experiences large storms during the winter season; pronounced low flow season, dur- ing which streamflow is frequently zero.
Chile: Rio Colorado	Drought: 1998-1999; Flood: Jun. 23, 2000	National-scale energy crisis due to low reservoir levels resulting in major policy reform (18-24)	Yellow danger warning issued by the Na- tional emergency management agency, 4000 people evacuated, and a tripling of water released from the Colbún Machicura hydro- electric power plant to minimize flooding (25, 26)	Strong seasonal snowmelt peak, but also experiences large rainfall driven floods in early winter prior to the start of the snowmelt season.
England: River Aire	Drought: Jan. 2010- Mar. 2012; Flood: April 15, 2012	Most severe in Southern England but extended into Yorkshire, where the Aire is located. Low reservoir levels and hosepipe bans across north-west England affecting six million consumers in Spring 2010. Fires, low water levels, and agri- cultural losses throughout the following year (27-30)	Flooding started with minor events in April 2012, followed by a severe flood in September 2012. Early floods resulted in minor impacts, high water levels, and flooded roadways. September flood resulted in reported warnings issued, flooded roadways, road closures, and pumping (31-34)	Rapid runoff in the upper parts of the catchment; wide meandering course through the lower section. Flooding predominantly driven by heavy precipitation events in the winter season. Relatively variable regime. (35)
Italy: River Savio (Trib- utary of the Po)	Drought: Jan. 2022- May 2023; Flood: May 2, 2023	Reported "worst" hydrological drought in 2 centuries in 2022, record low water levels, increased riverine salinity, damage to crops, combined losses over 6 billion Euros (36-38)	First flood on May 2nd, followed by a larger event on May 16th. 17 people dead in Emilia Romagna, approximately 400 landslides, thousands evacuated, damage to agricultural lands, hundreds of roads closed or destroyed, more than 10 billion euros in estimated losses, 7 months of typical rainfall in 2 weeks (39-43)	Dry in summer; wet spring with precipitation mainly as rainfall, some snowfall in upper portion of the catchment. Some seasonal pattern but relatively variable (44).





Case Study	Reported Event Dates	Reported Drought Impacts	Reported Flood Impacts	Regime description
Norway: Ulvåa River (Tributary of the Glomma)	Drought: JunAug. 2018; Flood: Oct. 14, 2018	Large-scale drought. Grass and bush fires, agricultural subsidies on imported goods, water restrictions, increased electricity costs (45-48)	Flash flood event due to a combination of high temperatures (early snowmelt) and rainfall, damages to agricultural land, losses of stored animal feed (stock already low due to drought), flooded streets and homes in the nearby region (49). Impacts on Ulvåa River somewhat limited due to small population size but is proximate to areas with reported impacts	Strong snowmelt cycle and domi- nant winter low flow season.
Switzerland: River Emme	Drought: May 2022- Sep. 2022; Flood: Jul. 04, 2022	Severe drought and heat waves across much of Europe, record high water temperatures and 0 flow in some places on the Emme caused damage to aquatic life eventually resulting in fishing ban, and estimated 10 million CHF in agricultural losses in Switzer- land, in addition to increased heat related deaths (50-55)	"Flood wave" passed highest "Danger level", some bridges and roads unpassable, water up to 50 cm inside some buildings, flow volume increased over 200x within one day, eroding banks and resulting in a debris flow (56-59)	Small, flashy, subalpine catchment with some snowmelt influence. Floods are predominantly driven by rain events.
Texas, USA: Llano River	Drought: Jan 2023- Oct. 2023; Flood: Oct. 26, 2023	"Exceptional" drought throughout catchment according to the United States Drought Monitor, record low water levels on large down- stream reservoirs, public water use restrictions, wide spread wildfires, 0 flows recorded (60-64)	Some inundation of structures and roads, dramatic sudden increase in downstream reservoir levels, reservoirs failed to recover to normal conditions, but drought condition improved in the region (63-64)	Semi-arid with some groundwater inflow. Drier in summer. Responds rapidly to precipitation and is subject to frequent large convective storms (65-67)

References: 1. Jess Fagan (2023), 2. Beckett et al. (2021), 3. Staff Writers (2018), 4. Rebecca Hyam and Nick Wiggins (2019), 5. Steve Turton (2023), 6. Steve Turton (2019), 7. noa (2020), 8. Press (2019), 9. Medellín-Azuara et al. (2022), 10. Escriva-Bou et al. (2022), 11. Jay Lund and Andrew L. Rypel (2023), 12. **?**, 13. Andrew Freedman (2023), 14. Navarro (2023), 15. Jonathan Lloyd and Belen De Leon (2023), 16. Richard Davies (2023), 17. KCAL News Staff (2023), 18. Murillo and Foulon (2006), 19. Serra (2022), 20. Nacional (1999), 21. Kim et al. (2022), 22. Garreaud et al. (2017), 23. Oertel et al. (2020), 24. noa (2000b), 25. noa (2000c), 26. noa (2000a), 27. Parry et al. (2013), 28. Kendon et al. (2013), 29. Terry Marsh et al. (2013), 30. noa (2012a), 31. Wainwright (2012), 32. Helen Mead (2024), 33. noa (2012b), 34. Harvey (2012), 35. noa (2009), 36. Montanari et al. (2023), 37. Paolo Santalucia (2023), 38. Chelli (2023), 39. Povoledo (2023), 40. noa (2023c), 41. noa (2023b), 42. Frances D'Emilio (2023), 43. Eric Stober (2023), 44. Cilli (2020), 45. Bakke et al. (2020), 46. Nina (2018a), 47. Nina (2018b), 48. Reidun Gangstø Skaland et al. (2019), 49. Nina Berglund (2018), 50. noa (2022c), 51. noa (2022a), 52. Ballester et al. (2023), 53. noa (2022b), 54. Le News (2022), 55. Jorio (2023), 56. admin (2022), 57. Gaudenz Flury and Daniela Schmuki (2022), 58. Martin Bürki (2022), 59. Käthi Liechti (2023), 60. noa (2023a), 61. Morrissiey (2023), 62. Kevin Vu (2023), 63. Anna Skinner (2023a), 64. Anna Skinner (2023b), 65. Furl et al. (2018), 66. Nielsen-Gammon et al. (2005), 67. Smith et al. (2000).





- In addition to *reported* drought-to-flood transition events, the case study catchments were selected to represent a range of hydrological regimes to facilitate a higher degree of generalization across hydro-climates. We do not use a formal definition for hydrological regimes because these can vary widely (Brunner et al., 2020). Instead, we qualitatively describe the regimes based on the degree they are influenced by snow, seasonality in the median, high, and low streamflows, and variability in the daily flow regime (Table 1). In this study, we considered several highly seasonal catchments with clearly defined high and low flow seasons and low streamflow variability in the low flow season, such as monsoonal types (River Daintree in Australia)
- and snow dominated regimes (Ulvåa River in Norway), mixed regime types experiencing influence from both snowmelt and rainfall (Rio Colorado in Chile, Savio River in Italy) and predominantly pluvial regimes (Ventura River in California, USA, River Aire in England), including one semi-arid regime (Llano River in Texas, USA) and one highly flashy sub-alpine regime (Emme River in Switzerland; Figure 1). These regimes represent different degrees of seasonality, ranging from low to strong
- seasonal patterns, and the primary drivers of floods within the catchments are known. In addition to the information presented here in Table 1, further descriptions of the case study events are included in the discussion as relevant.

2.2 Data

In addition to the case study events, we used daily mean streamflow data for the complete time series in the selected catchments. The length of the time series and the degree of missing values vary, but six of the eight catchments have daily streamflow series

- 160 longer than 40 years with at least 95% coverage. The Chilean and Italian sites are an exception. In these catchments, 20 and 17 years of 95% complete data were available, respectively. These catchments were retained despite their relatively short length due to the importance of individual events and the intention to cover a wide geographic range. Although more complete data records are available for some catchments in both Italy and Chile, catchments that also reported major drought-to-flood transition events, could not be found at this time. In addition to daily mean streamflow data, sub-daily data was obtained for the catchment in Switzerland and used in the qualitative analysis. The hydrological data are taken from publicly available large
- sample and national hydrological datasets as described in Table S1.

2.3 Quantitative methods

2.3.1 Event detection approaches

We test and compare three different threshold level methods for drought, including (1) a daily varying threshold defined as a rolling percentile for each day of the year (across all years) plus 15 days before and after it, (2) a seasonally varying threshold defined as two separate fixed thresholds, one for autumn and winter and one for spring and summer, and (3) a fixed threshold defined as a singular percentile of the complete time series (Figure 2 c., d., e.). Each of these three approaches is calculated from the smoothed daily streamflow time series, in which the previous 30 days are used to calculate a rolling mean for each day in the time series. The thresholds are then are compared to the smoothed timeseries. All three approaches are commonly

175 used in hydrological research, although each highlights different streamflow characteristics (Tallaksen and Van Lanen, 2023). Further, they have been previously applied for the detection of drought-to-flood transitions (Götte and Brunner, 2024; Matanó







Figure 1. Regime plots showing the median hydrograph (bold line) for each case study catchment. The grey ribbon represents the interquartile range for each day of the hydrological year (DOHY), beginning on April 1st in the Southern Hemisphere and October 1st in the Northern Hemisphere. In the upper corner of each regime plot, the loess-smoothed Q99 frequency distribution is included as a purple line and the less smoothed frequency distribution of streamflow days under the 20th percentile as an orange line. The timing of the reported case study drought event is presented as an orange overlay and the timing of the reported case study flood as a purple dot. Multi-year drought spans the entire year in these plots. Note that these sub-plots are frequency distributions, ranging between 0 and 1, where higher values indicate a relatively greater frequency of occurrence. These indicate how well extreme flood timing and typical low flow season timing correspond to the median regime.

et al., 2024). Although there is some debate on whether it is appropriate to detect drought using a variable threshold, we refer to anomalously low flow periods detected by this method as "drought" here for simplicity of comparison between methods.

180

The drought thresholds are calculated using a combination of the threshold level method (TLM) and the consecutive dry period method (CDPM) as described in van Huijgevoort et al. (2012) and demonstrated in (Figure 2.b.). This approach deals with zero-flow days in intermittent and ephemeral rivers by numbering consecutive zero flow days and calculating percentile statistics based on these counts. The intention of this approach is to allow for the detection of drought in zero-flow periods if the duration of the period is exceptional. We apply this approach equally for all threshold level types. We then identify negative streamflow anomalies using the thresholds and the 31-day smoothed (right-aligned) daily values (Figure 2.a.). Drought





185 periods were required to have a duration of at least 30 days to avoid the inclusion of minor events (Van Loon, 2015; Brunner and Chartier-Rescan, 2024), and individual drought periods separated by less than 15 days were merged to singular events (Van Loon and Van Lanen, 2012; Tallaksen et al., 1997; Fleig et al., 2006).

We defined the flood threshold based on a percentile of the un-smoothed, original daily streamflow series and then selected events over the threshold using a POT approach where singular peaks were detected as floods. We do not require that peaks be

- 190 independent because in the present context we are interested in the first date over the threshold, rather than the characteristics of the flood event. We test both a seasonal and a fixed threshold to detect events. A daily varying threshold is not considered for floods because it is not commonly used in the literature. The same approaches were applied to sub-daily streamflow for floods only, for the Swiss case study, as part of the qualitative analysis.
- The percentile values used for the threshold levels were selected so that the average number of drought events detected across the case study catchments was 0.5+-0.005 per year and the average number of floods was 2+-0.005 per year regardless of the approach. This corresponds to drought thresholds ranging between the 12th and 15th percentiles depending on the methodology, and a flood threshold of 0.99 for both approaches. The number of drought events is lower than the number of floods, because drought events represent prolonged periods of low flow, whereas floods typically occur over short periods of hours to days. This way of standardizing the threshold (i.e., based on the number of events) was applied so that the approaches could be compared more fairly. Alternatively, the same percentile value could have been used, however, in combination with
- the minimum event durations, threshold level methods may result in the selection of a proportion of streamflow which is not equivalent to the stated percentile (Brunner and Voigt, 2024). Although it may not have given the same number of events, it would have provided the same number of days below the threshold. This was done via a calibration approach in which the event detection procedure was run using a range of different threshold levels until the desired level of precision was achieved.

205 2.3.2 Definition of drought-to-flood transitions

Similar to previous work (Götte and Brunner, 2024; Matanó et al., 2024), we consider events to represent a transition by defining a maximum time interval between events. Here, if the time interval between the end of a drought (the date on which smoothed streamflow exceeds the drought threshold) and the start of a flood (the date on which streamflow exceeds the flood threshold) is less than 90 days, we consider this to be a drought-to-flood transition. We subdivide these time intervals into

210 "rapid" (14 days) and seasonal transitions (90 days) as in Götte and Brunner (2024). In order to test whether we capture droughts and floods that correspond to the case study events, we allow a buffer window of +-five days on either side of the reported flood event. This buffer is to accommodate discrepancies between the media reporting timing and precise location of the events and the hydrological response in the data. For droughts, we do not allow a buffer period, but consider a drought to be detected if it overlaps with the media reported drought period.

215 2.3.3 Methods comparison

We compare the different threshold level methods and assess how the detected events differ. In the first instance, we consider whether or not the case study drought and flood events, i.e. those reported in the media, are detected, and secondarily whether or





not they are considered a "transition" event. Then, we compare the threshold level methods for the entire streamflow time series, and, taking into account the hydrological regime types, analyze potential differences in the detection of transition events. We consider how the events detected by different threshold level methods result in different event characteristics across all events in the case study catchments. We focus here primarily on the timing of events.

Second, we consider how setting a top-down definition of the time intervals between drought and flood events may affect the analysis. (1) We begin by calculating the time interval between each drought event and the first subsequent flood event, resulting in a series of time interval values for each catchment. (2) Next, we fit a gamma distribution to each time interval series and perform 1000 bootstrap resamples using the R package *boot* \citep{angelo_canty_boot_2024}, following the methods of \citet{a_c_davison_bootstrap_1997}. This step is necessary because the events are sometimes unevenly distributed or too sparse to reliably estimate time interval probabilities from the original data. (3) We then scale the aggregated mean of the bootstrap resamples to fall between 0 and 1. (4) Finally, we select a percentile from the resampled distribution to serve as a threshold for time intervals between drought and flood events, analogous to how thresholds are chosen for the events themselves. Specifically, we use the 5th percentile, which varies by catchment and reflects the methodology applied. The number of days corresponding to the 5th percentile are then used to assess how the time intervals between drought and flood events differ

2.4 Qualitative assessment

across methodologies

Finally, we visually examine the time series of the case study catchments to explore what different event characteristics mean in
context. Here, we are primarily interested in understanding what event or regime characteristics may result in the detection of
transitions that are potentially not of interest vs. those potentially representing negative impacts of drought-to-flood transitions.
Following visual inspection, we provide examples and demonstrate potential pitfalls in the typical event detection approaches.
From this, we aim to offer recommendations for future event detection strategies.

3 Results

240 **3.1** Quantitative assessment

3.1.1 Detection of case study events

In the first instance, we examine whether the applied event detection methods are successful in detecting the case study drought and flood events. Overall, drought-to-flood transition events were not consistently detected for the reported periods (Figure 3). For one of the eight cases, we do not detect the drought event at all. For an additional 3 catchments we do not detect a drought

in the reported period using one or two of the methods. For four of the eight cases, we detect a drought in the reported drought period using every method.







Figure 2. Methodology in brief: The first column shows the data preparation phase in which streamflow is smoothed and converted to percentiles for drought detection using the combined CDPM and TLM methods. The second column shows the event selection process in which the threshold levels and the transitions time intervals are applied. Specifically, panel a. shows an example of the streamflow smoothing approach used for the detection of drought periods, demonstrating how a center-aligned smoothing window would result in an early drought end date as compared to a right-aligned window, as used here. Panel b. gives an example of the combined CDPM + TLM methods used for the smoothing and setting of drought thresholds in intermittent streams. Here, the threshold is represented by the corresponding ranked percentiles on the right y-axis, while the smoothed daily flow is in the original units on the left y-axis. Both panels a and b are examples from the catchment in California. Panels c, d, and e, show daily variable, seasonal, and fixed thresholds for drought respectively, and fixed (c. and e.) and seasonal (d.) thresholds for flood. Panel e demonstrates a rapid transition between drought and flood as detailed in the text. Panels c, d, and e are examples, the thresholds are shown relative to the original streamflow values, rather than relative to the ranked percentiles (as in b.), to facilitate visualization.







Figure 3. Summary of case study event detection across sites and methodologies. "True" indicates that an event was detected during the reported period. Threshold level method names are abbreviated as daily variable (v), seasonal (s), and fixed (f), and combinations used for transition detection are labeled with the drought method followed by the flood method (e.g. "f_s" corresponds to a fixed drought threshold and a seasonal flood threshold definition).

Detected drought events often only partially overlap with the reported-drought periods. Using the Emme catchment as an example, we found that each threshold level method detected a drought event some time during the reported-drought period (Figure 4.a., Table 1). However, none of the detected events spanned the full reported-drought period (January 2022-December 250 2022 for the Emme), and instead occurred over the course of several months between May and September, depending on the methodology. Detected drought events are often very short relative to the reported drought periods, or are detected as multiple shorter drought events (ex. Figure 4.a.). Thus, if, for instance, the reported drought event is one-year long, and the detected hydrological drought is only one-month long, this would still be considered to have been captured by the method.

255

A similar result is visible in the time series of the Savio River in Italy (Figure 5). Here, only the fixed threshold and the seasonally fixed threshold detect drought events during the reported-drought period (i.e., during the low flow season in Summer 2022, despite noticeably lower than typical streamflow throughout the 2022 and early 2023 hydrological years). This case is presented here for the complete time series, which demonstrates the severity of the 2023 flood, and the relatively dry preceding streamflow conditions. The results in the River Savio suggest that the drought threshold levels used in this analysis may be too strict. Second, these results show a concentration of drought events in the early years of the time series (Figure 5.a.), suggesting that the detection of transition events are sensitive to the length of the time series. 260

Flood events are detected, regardless of the threshold level method, for all catchments except for the Swiss case study. The reported flood event at this location was not detected by either threshold type using the daily mean streamflow data (Figure 4.a.). However, given data availability and the understanding that this is a flashy regime (Table S1), we also examined the hourly streamflow time series for this catchment. Although the peak daily mean flow $(17 m^3/s)$ on the date of the case study flood did not represent an extreme flood, the hourly streamflow peak (255 m^3/s corresponding to the 99.99th percentile of

265 daily maximum time series) far exceeded the flood threshold . In this example, the transition from low flow, to flood, and back





to low flow condition in the river occurred within less than 12 hours, so the daily mean flow was not able to capture the extreme flood event (Figure 4.b.).







Figure 4. Detection of case study events. Panel a. shows an example (Emme River in Switzerland) illustrating the detected drought events during the case study period, while no flood was detected on the reported date. Panel b. shows how the flood peak for the case study event on the Emme differs between the daily mean and sub-daily/hourly) streamflow time series.

270

In combination, we do not detect transitions for four out of eight sites (Switzerland, England, Italy, and California), regardless of methodology. In Texas and Australia, however, the case study transition is detected by every threshold level combination. In both cases, a large, sudden, precipitation-driven flood followed a drought period in the low flow season. In the Chilean case study, a transition is only detected when the fixed threshold is used, however, this event actually falls outside of the reported drought period (Figure 2.e.). In this case, the time interval between the reported drought period and the flood period was larger than 90 days, implying that it would not have been considered given a predefined temporal lag of 90 days as used here. Finally,

for the Norwegian case study (Figure 5.b.), the transition is only detected when a variable drought threshold is used. The other methodologies do not detect anomalously low flow (i.e., lower than normal flow) outside the typical low flow season, whether in the summer or winter season, as drought. The flood event, driven by a combination of snowmelt and rainfall, was detected by both approaches.







Figure 5. Detection of case study events in a. the River Savio in Italy, here shown together with the complete available streamflow timeseries, and b. the Ulvåa River in Norway shown here for the 2018 case study event only.

3.1.2 The choice of threshold level method affects detection of transitions

- 280 When considering the entire streamflow timeseries, rather than just the case study events, the number of detected transition events varies greatly across the eight case study catchments and different combinations of threshold level approaches (Figure 6). In the catchments with marked seasonality (Norway, Australia), we generally see an increase in the number of events when a seasonal, as opposed to annual, flood threshold is used. In highly seasonal catchments, the combination of the flood and drought threshold level methods play a major role in determining the number of events detected.
- In catchments with moderately strong seasonality and a pluvial (California, England) or mixed regime type (Chile, Italy), differences in the detection of transition events appear to be more strongly related to the drought threshold level method (Figure 6). The Chilean catchment experiences a pronounced dry season often followed sharply by rainfall driven flood season prior to the snowmelt period (Figure 1; Table 1). Here, we see that more variable drought threshold level methods (v and s) result in a reduction in the number of transition events, similar to the Italian catchment (Figure 6). For the English catchment, we see the inverse: increasingly variable drought threshold level methods result in increased numbers of transition events. This is not surprising considering the regime characteristics, as floods typically occur in the middle of the high flow season, and thus, drought detection during the high flow season will increase transition occurrence. Finally, in the catchments with very

low seasonality (Switzerland and Texas), we find that the number of transition events is high overall (in the Swiss case, around





one transition every two years). Allowing for variation in the flood threshold level has the largest apparent influence on event detection. Overall, the choice of threshold level methods appears to be less important in these cases.



Figure 6. Number of drought-to-flood transition events per year (y-axis) detected in the complete time series for the eight case study catchments. The x axis shows the different threshold approach combinations, where the first letter represents the threshold level approach for drought, and second, the threshold level approach for floods, as previously.

In the Californian catchment, which experiences intermittent flow in the dry season followed by a pronounced wet season in winter, a variable drought threshold (using the combined CDPM + TLM method) detects very few transition events because the latter part of the dry season typically experiences zero-flow (Figure 7). Although the intention of the combined CDPM + TLM method is to allow for the detection of hydrological drought in intermittent rivers (van Huijgevoort et al., 2012), this still presents a problem here. The method performs well with fixed annual (Figure 2.b.) and seasonal thresholds. However, when a variable threshold is applied, each streamflow day is compared to normal conditions at that time of the year. Thus, while the method represents an improvement for intermittent and ephemeral rivers as compared to threshold level methods alone, the use of a daily varying smoothed threshold may imply that the zero-flow period may not be considered to be a drought if dry conditions are typical in the rolling-window period (Figure 7). This may pose a problem for the detection of transition events at the end of the zero-flow season if a daily variable threshold is applied.

305







Figure 7. Drought detection using the combined CDPM + TLM method using a daily varying threshold for the intermittent Ventura River in California, USA. Here the threshold is represented by the corresponding percentiles on the right y-axis, while the smoothed daily flow is in original units on the left y-axis. Note that in the zero-flow periods in summer 2016, the method does not detect drought, since the duration of the zero-flow period is not below the percentile threshold for the rolling window period, as opposed to the same approach applied with a fixed 2 or seasonally fixed threshold.

3.1.3 Choice of event detection method affects event seasonality and characteristics

Individual flood and drought events, whether or not they comprise a transition, exhibit different characteristics depending on the choice of threshold level method. Notably, the timing of events varies substantially between methodologies and streamflow regimes (Figure 8). For example, fixed thresholds result in a relatively consistent timing of the onset and end of drought in

- 310 a majority of locations (Figure 8). With increasing variability in the threshold definition, the variability of detected drought timing also increases. Fixed thresholds, in flow regimes with a seasonal signal, will generally detect drought in the low flow season, but variable thresholds (including seasonal) will allow the detection of low streamflow anomalies even during high flow seasons. To some extent, the same types of seasonal patterns can be seen for floods, although most of the case study catchments exhibit similar flood seasonality for both methodological approaches (Figure 8).
- 315 The timing of drought-to-flood transition events does not vary as systematically between methodologies as the events do separately (Figure 8). The catchments with highly seasonal flood regimes regardless of methodological approach also have relatively consistent transition timing. This suggests that the flood timing plays an important role in determining whether or not a transition occurs. There are some exceptions to this. For instance, the Chilean catchment experiences transitions in the first 100 days of the hydrologic year, relatively consistently across methodologies, despite inconsistent flood timing (Figure 8).
- 320 In this case, the time at which droughts end is a primary control. In the catchment in Texas and Switzerland, transitions are widely dispersed throughout the year regardless of the approach.







Figure 8. a. Timing of drought (first row), flood (second row) and transitions events, defined as the start date for the flood event (last row), detected using different threshold approaches for the eight case study catchments (columns). The day of the hydrological year is on the x axis, where the first of April is day one in the southern hemisphere and October first is day one in the northern hemisphere. Threshold level method names are abbreviated as daily variable (v), seasonal (s), and fixed (f). As above, for the transition timing panel, the drought threshold level method is first, and the flood method is second, so that the abbreviation represents the combined approach. The catchments are ordered from highly seasonal regimes on the left to highly variable regimes on the right. b. Summary of the 5th percentile of lag time between drought end date and flood start date when defined probabilistically. Here the error bars represent the 95% empirical confidence interval of the resampled distribution.

325

In this analysis, we used the approach of Götte and Brunner (2024) in which transitions are universally considered to be "rapid" if the flood starts within 14 days of streamflow crossing the drought threshold, and " seasonal" if this transition occurs within 90 days. This top-down application of a time interval also impacts the detection of transitions, especially since different methods can result in different drought end dates. An alternative approach tested is to define the typical time intervals between the end of a drought and the beginning of a flood probabilistically across the complete timeseries (Figure 8.b.). The results show that the 5th percentile time interval (exceeded 95% of the time) typically falls somewhere between the 14 and 90 days windows. However, depending on the hydrologic regime and the methodological choice, the number of days can vary substantially for





330

one catchment. For example, for four catchments (Norway, California, Chile, and Italy) the difference between the shortest estimated 5th percentile lag time and the longest is larger than 50 days. Conversely, in the Texas and Swiss catchments, for which streamflow responds rapidly to precipitation and seasonality is limited, the difference in time intervals between methods is less than 5 days, while the maximum difference in time intervals for the English and Australian catchments is 25 and 27 days, respectively. Methods relying on a variable drought threshold typically result in a longer 5th percentile time interval in catchments with some seasonality (California, England, Chile, and Italy), but this pattern does not hold consistently for the 335 highly seasonal Norwegian and Australian catchments.

3.2 Qualitative assessment

The qualitative assessment of the streamflow time series reveals that challenges with the threshold method approaches are most pronounced for regimes with a strong seasonality. In these cases, a variable threshold takes on different values throughout the year and thus corresponds to different hydrologic conditions, including high and low flow seasons. In other words, different approaches may not select events that exhibit the same traits or carry the same impacts. Accordingly, studies focusing on different impacts may require different definitions as each definition emphasizes certain aspects of droughts and floods.

Drought events, especially those detected by a variable threshold, may or may not correspond to dry soils or reduced water availability in catchments, notable where streamflow has a defined seasonality. In seasonal regimes, droughts may be detected in the high flow season if streamflow is lower than normal. For example, in the Australian catchment, droughts can be detected during the monsoon season (Amale et al., 2023). Although such events are representative of anomalous seasonal flows, they are unlikely to represent low flows from an annual perspective, i.e., they may not correspond to an absolute water deficit.

In catchments with highly seasonal flow, variable drought thresholds could potentially also result in the detection of droughts resulting from a delay in the onset of the high flow season as seen in 1990 in the Daintree River in Australia (Figure 9.a.). Here, the detected drought event may be caused by a delay in the onset of the monsoon season, which, when followed by a typical, or

350

340

345

even above average wet season, as is the case here, may result in drought-like impacts, or may not, depending on the context. For example, monsoonal delay could have significant impacts for agriculture as the dry season is prolonged (Amale et al., 2023; Lisonbee et al., 2020; Fitzpatrick et al., 2015). On the other hand, in snow-dominated catchments when, for instance, a particularly cold winter is followed by a delayed, but otherwise typical snowmelt season, this may also result in the detection of drought by variable threshold level methods which may then be followed by a typical flood (Brunner et al., 2023). While such

- events may have impacts on industry, whether or not these represent important transition events is unclear. On the other hand, 355 fixed thresholds will favor drought periods in which streamflow is low relative to the flow regime, and, as such, correspond to dry conditions in absolute terms. Although these events may represent absolute streamflow deficits, they may also represent somewhat normal conditions if the threshold is not low enough. For example, identifying the low flow period as a drought may be misleading in catchments where low flow periods always occur during the same time of the year (e.g. winter in snow-rich
- regions). If a fixed threshold is too low or does not accommodate the possibility of a secondary low flow season (e.g. summer), 360 in a seasonal regime, droughts that occur outside of the primary low flow season may be missed. This is demonstrated in the 2018 case study example in Norway (Figure 9.c.,d.), where an early and reduced melt season and high temperatures resulted in





365

a summer drought (Bakke et al., 2020), although the event was not severe in the selected catchment. Here, the fixed threshold method failed to capture a drought that occurred at the end of the snowmelt season (summer 2018), and as a consequence, did not capture the transition event. Missing drought periods outside of the low flow season could result in failure to detect meaningful transitions driven by anomalous weather events, such as the heavy rainfall, high temperatures, and seasonally atypical snowmelt timing that resulted in detecting a drought event in the Norwegian case.

In the Norwegian and Australian examples (Figure 9), the transition event is best detected by the variable threshold level approach for drought. However, the challenges of event detection in highly seasonal regimes are not solved by merely using a fixed, or seasonal, threshold approach. Whether the detected transitions are impactful would depend on the sector of interest.

- 370 fixed, or seasonal, threshold approach. Whether the detected transitions are impactful would depend on the sector of interest. For drought-to-flood transitions research to be informative, the drivers and the impacts of interest should be considered. To extend the previous example, in seasonal snow climates, such as in Norway, it is common to distinguish between winter and summer low flows, both of which may be of potential interest and have potential impacts. For example, a winter low flow is typically caused by very low temperatures. It may limit subsurface flow to groundwater wells or rivers, and thus impact local
- 375 water supply. It is important to separate between the two kinds of low flow events (winter and summer in this case) as they are generated by different processes (Tallaksen and Hisdal, 1997). Thus, the problem of event detection in the low flow season could be mitigated by considering the event types, and seasons, separately deriving separate thresholds for each season. Similarly, it may be reasonable to assume that in wet climates, low anomalies in the high flow season may not be impactful, while in dry climates, these events may have a greater impact. These examples highlight the need for consideration of drivers

³⁸⁰ and impacts when researching drought-to-flood transition events.







Figure 9. Examples showing differences in drought-to-flood transitions in highly seasonal regimes, where (a.) shows a drought event caused by a delay in the onset of the monsoon season in Australia as detected by a variable threshold, but not by a fixed threshold (b.). In this case, the "area under the curve" of flow volume in the high flow season was above average (7789 between 15/12/1989 and 15/08/1990 vs. the median of 6201. (c. and d.) show the case study event in Norway. In this example the variable threshold detects drought at the end of the snowmelt season, while a fixed annual threshold misses the event. Streamflow values on the y-axis are in m^3/s

In catchments with a limited seasonal cycle, the difference between threshold level approaches is of marginal, if any, importance. However, it is worth noting that in catchments that react quickly to precipitation events, as in the Texas and Switzerland case studies, and in catchments that experience a pronounced dry and rainy season, such as in the cases of Australia and California, the normal condition can be one of dramatic swings between low and high flow conditions. In these cases, very dry conditions may not be highlighted as drought, especially when a variable threshold is used, if the average condition at that time of year is dry or if dry periods are short and punctuated. Transitions detected in such cases may represent a magnification or acceleration of a normal pattern of wetting and drying cycles as the most extreme examples of the normal pattern will be selected.

4 Discussion and recommendations

390 4.1 Event detection challenges

385

The results of the quantitative and qualitative analyses highlight that different types of drought-to-flood transition events bring their own challenges. These challenges primarily relate to the need to adapt the methodology to the impacts or physical





processes that drive interest in drought-to-flood transition events, in order to avoid detecting events that do not have the intended characteristics.

395 4.1.1 Challenges with drought detection

Selecting appropriate threshold level approaches for drought detection can be particularly difficult. Variable thresholds for drought may be most appropriate for use in drought-to-flood transitions research in cases when it is not important that a catchment be 'dry' in an absolute sense. For example, rapid changes between anomalously low and high flows, regardless of the absolute amount of water in a catchment, could present significant management problems for e.g., water quality or 400 environmental flows. In the Italian case study catchment, cycles of low and high streamflow can increase eutrophication, a concern in the Adriatic Sea into which the Savio River flows (Sani et al., 2024), and fish health can be effected by rapid shifts in hydrologic condition (Ceola et al., 2018). Similarly, hydropower production through run-of-the-river power plants may be sensitive to deviations from typical conditions because these rely on the natural flow of a river (Schaefli, 2015). Thus, lower than normal winter low flows in a snow-driven alpine catchment could result in a reduction in hydropower generation from such plants. Deviations from the average condition could therefore be impactful, while the identification of the low flow season,

405

as might be detected using a fixed threshold, may not be.

Fixed thresholds and seasonal thresholds, on the other hand, may be appropriate in a transitions context when the primary concerns are the detection of longer drought periods, or periods in which soil and river flow conditions are "dry" in absolute terms. Hazards that relate to changes in soil properties may be more closely linked to dry conditions. For example, the occur-

- rence of dry spells followed by heavy rainfall has been shown to lead to an increase in landslide occurrence (Tichavský et al., 410 2019), and wet/dry cycling can lead to increases in soil erosion (Weng et al., 2024; AghaKouchak et al., 2020) and degradation (Ye et al., 2011). Earthen structures and levees may be more likely to fail as a result of switches between drought, corresponding to an absolute deficit of water, and flood events (Robinson and Vahedifard, 2016; Ward et al., 2020; Janga et al., 2024). Serious water quality issues resulting from the build up of toxic materials in sediments that are washed away by subsequent
- 415 rainfall may also occur (Schönbrunner et al., 2012; Lisboa et al., 2020). For example, the River Aire, in England, is an industrialized catchment in which heavy metals from industry and contaminated road dust are accumulated in sediments during the low flow season and mobilized at high concentrations in streamflow, rather than being diluted, during high flow events (Carter et al., 2006). Finally, if concerns are primarily around the likelihood of increased runoff from precipitation events due to soil hydrophobicity, prolonged drought periods accompanied by absolutely dry conditions may be most appropriate.

4.1.2 Challenges with flood detection 420

In general, the definition of floods in the context of drought-to-flood transitions as defined here appears somewhat more straight forward compared to the definition of drought. The primary challenge appears to be the selection of threshold levels. The selection of threshold level, in itself, is not, however, a trivial matter.

For example, according to the media-reports, the 2022 drought event, which affected the Emme River in Switzerland was severe and had wide reaching impacts (Table 1). The subsequent flood event was also extreme (Table 1). Our analysis of the 425



430



sub-daily data on the Emme River in Switzerland suggested that rapid floods, like those that are driven by short rain events, may be entirely missed using daily mean streamflow, as also demonstrated by previous research (Bartens et al., 2024). In line with the results of Barendrecht et al. (2024), this could be problematic, as many floods that follow drought may be "flashy" in character and driven by high intensity rainfall, especially for rapid transition events. Given that sub-daily, or instantaneous peak streamflow is not available in most catchments globally (Fill and Steiner, 2003; Bartens et al., 2024; Ding et al., 2014), it may suffice to use lower flood thresholds applied to daily mean streamflow in transition studies.

Lower thresholds, however, may still result in some events being excluded and less impactful events may be included. This calls to attention the need for increased research into how and when droughts magnify the impacts of flood events. To adequately capture rapid drought-to-flood events at a global scale, alternative methodologies should be explored, and flood drivers, event-based approaches, and higher temporal resolution precipitation series should be considered. Finally, as an aside, although we have only considered flood as an absolute high flow over a fixed (or seasonally fixed) threshold, it is possible that rapid fluctuations between wet and dry conditions regardless of the magnitude of the events could be meaningful in some contexts (e.g. for run-of-the river hydropower generation, or ecological health).

4.1.3 Challenges with transitions detection

- In addition to the previously discussed pitfalls and concerns with adequately capturing the impacts of interest in transition research, it is clear that current methodologies may fail to capture transition events that have been impactful in the real world. This is demonstrated by our analysis of the case study events in which the transitions were only consistently detected for two catchments (Texas and Australia). In some cases, failure to detect transitions appears to be due to a failure to detect drought and flood events individually, whereas in others, it is more likely due to the challenge of defining an appropriate, catchment specific, time interval between events (Figure 8.b.). This effect is critical because the end date of the drought event can be
- highly variable when different threshold types and levels are used, thus extending this "lag" between events. In summary, when designing a study that incorporates many regime types, it is important to consider the drivers and characteristics of drought and flood events so that the main physical processes are represented. Further, it may also be pertinent to explicitly consider the impacts or sectors that are of interest. What is impactful in one sector may have no effect in another.
- 450 The most appropriate definition will always depend on the application of interest, and this should be made explicit in research related to rapid transitions between drought and flood. When considering hydrological extreme events, and transitions in particular, the actual impacts can be extremely context dependent. For instance, a transition identified using one method may be considered impactful for one country or sector but not for another, where similar events may not even be regarded. Similarly, a drought-to-flood event could have significant impacts in locations with higher socio-economic, infrastructural or ecosystem
- 455 vulnerability, even if the time interval between the two hydrological anomalies far exceeds the seasonal window applied thus far. In contrast, the same event (in terms of magnitude or rate of change) may not qualify as a transition in a less vulnerable location where the recovery process between the end of a drought and start of a flood is faster. In this sense, the definition of drought-to-flood transitions would benefit from a more location specific approach.





4.2 Methods need to be adapted for transitions

- 460 To some extent, different threshold level types can be chosen so that they are appropriate to the impacts of interest. However, many impacts could be sufficiently (or insufficiently) represented by any of the tested approaches. The methodologies evaluated in this study could be further modified and new methodologies could be developed to better capture and characterize droughtto-flood transitions for different regimes.
- One approach could be to apply a secondary filtering step after the initial event selection process. For instance, one could apply a variable drought threshold and then filter to only retain those events representing drought conditions that meet certain criteria. For example, droughts that occur in the snowmelt season in snow dominated catchments could be removed, focusing on summer drought events. Explicitly considering the drivers of drought and flood events, or at a minimum, filtering for the seasonality could bypass some potential pitfalls and allow for a more deliberate selection of events.

Another possible approach is to consider time intervals between drought and flood events, as well as the magnitude of shifts between low and high flow probabilistically. Generally, communities and industry that exist in close proximity to rivers have adapted to the normal conditions of that river (Di Baldassarre et al., 2015). In other words, hydrological events that would be considered extreme in some locations may be un-impactful in others. Further, although droughts and floods that comprise transition events are not individually extreme, their combined effects may be both statistically rare and/or particularly harmful (Zscheischler et al., 2020; Tilloy et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2016a).

- Thus, when defining hydrological transitions, one possible option to avoid applying arbitrary thresholds or time intervals across many catchments, may be to probabilistically define parameters of the methodology relative to the normal condition of individual or geographically proximate rivers. For time intervals, this could involve a similar process to that presented in Figure 8.b. Changes in magnitude could also be defined probabilistically. For instance, if large swings between low and high flows are typical, high magnitude changes may be needed to warrant consideration. Although a probabilistic approach would not be
- 480 explicitly tied to impacts or physical processes, such approaches would allow events to be defined relative to normal conditions within a catchment. In this way, analyses could take into consideration the relative rarity of drought-to-flood transitions as combined events.

4.3 Limitations

Although this analysis is intended to compare methodological approaches for the detection of streamflow droughts and floods in the context of drought-to-flood transitions, it is necessary to make some choices in regards to the methodologies applied. The threshold levels and approaches used, minimum time periods and aggregation and smoothing windows applied, may all have some effect on the type, timing and presence of transition events detected. Other choices, such as the 30-day minimum drought duration, or the decision to merge drought periods with less than 15 days apart are somewhat arbitrary. These choices were made based on existing literature to facilitate comparison in a consistent framework. Further, while focusing on original daily

490 data series, standardized indices may be appropriate when switches between longer dry and wet periods, rather than individual events, are of interest.





Further, the selection of case study events is here based on streamflow magnitude, whereas media reports may mix event types (e.g. hydrological and meteorological drought), and the impacts can be wide-ranging. Additionally, the streamflow time series in some catchments (e.g. the Chilean case study) can have quality issues, such as data gaps, which often occur during 495 periods of extremely high or low flows. This is not a problem specific to transitions, because it affects the calculation of any flood statistics, however, this could introduce biases in the selection of flood events. Despite these challenges, the case studies provide a unique dataset covering a wide range of regimes necessary to understand the pro et cons of the methodologies and how their choice can influence the detection, or failure to detect, known and important drought-to-flood transition events.

5 Conclusions

- In this paper, we have analyzed eight catchments that have experienced real-world impacts from drought-to-flood transitions. 500 For these catchments, we have assessed the suitability of, and differences between, threshold level approaches for drought and flood detection in a transition context. We have demonstrated that different threshold level approaches can result in the selection of different drought and flood events individually, and that when combined, this can influence the number and seasonality of detected transition events.
- 505 Further, we have shown that despite reported impacts, many of the case study events were not detected as transitions. This implies that there is a problem with the existing approaches in some contexts. We demonstrate that in some cases (e.g. the Emme in Switzerland), rapid floods caused by short rainfall events may be missed in daily time series or when high thresholds are used. We show that time intervals between drought end and flood start dates can differ substantially for the same events depending on the applied method, and we highlight the importance of selecting an appropriate time interval when defining drought-to-flood
- 510 transitions. We further show that caution should be applied when choosing methodology to ensure that detected events have the desired characteristics.

There is currently no "one size fits all" approach to transition detection, instead, we recommend that future research into drought-to-flood transitions needs to be designed carefully, taking into account how definition and methodological choice can influence the type, characteristics, and potential impacts of detected drought-to-flood transitions. We suggest that some of the

- 515 outlined pitfalls can be avoided by applying secondary filtering steps to select only events in a particular season or with certain drivers, by making efforts to specify the impacts that are of interest in an analysis, or by probabilistically defining transitions based on the dynamics of individual catchments. The physical characteristics of droughts defined using different approaches should be considered in the context of the specific impacts or physical process changes that are of interest. Finally, as a matter of priority, new methodologies should be developed that are designed to capture transitions jointly and further research is needed into how droughts and floods influence one another.
- 520

Author contributions. BA, EMC, MB, RA, and EM contributed to the conceptualization of the study. BA, EMC, and MB contributed to data curation and methodology. BA carried out all of the formal analysis, visualization, project administration, and software components, as





well as writing and preparation of the first draft. MB acquired the funding support and provided supervision. BA and LMT conducted the investigation. All coauthors contributed to editing, review and validation.

525 Competing interests. MIB is an associate editor at HESS.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Emiliano Gellati for assistance with accessing the Norwegian streamflow data.





References

	Alerta amarilla se mantiene en VII Región, Emol Nacional, https://www.emol.com/noticias/nacional/2000/06/23/24309/alerta-amarilla-s
	e-mantiene-en-vii-region.html, 2000a.
530	A partir de hoy vuelven cortes de luz programados, La Tercera Internet, https://web.archive.org/web/20001207004200/http://www.tercera.cl
	/casos/luz/noticias/junio/noti13.html#expand, 2000b.
	Preocupa crecida de ríos Teno y Lontué en VII Región, Emol Nacional, https://www.emol.com/noticias/nacional/2000/06/30/25226/preocu
	pa-crecida-de-rios-teno-y-lontue-en-vii-region.html, 2000c.
	River Aire: Catchment flood management plan, Tech. rep., UK Environment Agency, https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/river-air
535	e-catchment-flood-management-plan, 2009.
	Drought conditions spread in England, BBC News, https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-17533235, 2012a.
	Parts of England no longer in drought after heavy rain, BBC News, https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-18032552, 2012b.
	North Queensland Floods 2019, Tech. rep., The Governor General of the Commonwealth of Australia, https://www.gg.gov.au/australian-h
	onours-and-awardsnational-emergency-medal/north-queensland-floods-2019, 2020.
540	Hitzewelle und Trockenheit, https://www.bafu.admin.ch/bafu/de/home/themen/wasser/dossiers/hitzewelle-und-trockenheit.html, 2022a.
	Swiss lakes and rivers drop to record lows due to continuing drought, https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/climate-change/swiss-lakes-and-river
	s-drop-to-record-lows-due-continuing-drought/47828220, 2022b.
	Trockene Monate wirken sich verheerend auf Schweizer Äcker aus, Schweizer Radio und Fernsehen (SRF), https://www.srf.ch/news/schw
	eiz/hitzesommer-2022-trockene-monate-wirken-sich-verheerend-auf-schweizer-aecker-aus, 2022c.
545	No Highland Lakes water available for LCRA agricultural customers this year - LCRA - Energy, Water, Community, https://www.lcra.org/n
	ews/news-releases/no-highland-lakes-water-available-for-lcra-agricultural-customers-this-year/, 2023a.
	$Satellites \ map \ aftermath \ of \ Emilia-Romagna \ floods, \ https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/Satellites_map_aftermath_of_Earth/Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth/Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth/Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth/Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth/Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth_Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth_Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth_Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth_Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth_Satellites_maaftermath_of_Earth_Satellites$
	milia-Romagna_floods, 2023b.
	Severe flooding in Italy kills 2; drought persists, AP News, https://apnews.com/article/floods-agriculture-drought-deaths-italy-30ec2bfd7d3
550	efc3491c9f12024b6c181, 2023c.
	Italy's Emilia-Romagna region hit by new floods as storm moves west, Reuters, https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/italys-emilia-romag
	na-region-hit-by-new-devastating-floods-2024-09-19/, 2024.
	admin: Rückblick Hochwasser Emme (4. Juli 2022), https://www.napfgebiet.ch/rueckblick-hochwasser-emme-4-juli-2022/, 2022.
	AghaKouchak, A., Chiang, F., Huning, L. S., Love, C. A., Mallakpour, I., Mazdiyasni, O., Moftakhari, H., Papalexiou, S. M., Ragno, E.,

555 and Sadegh, M.: Climate Extremes and Compound Hazards in a Warming World, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 48, 519–548, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-071719-055228, publisher: Annual Reviews, 2020.

Alves, P. B. R., Loc, H. H., De Silva, Y., Penny, J., Babel, M., and Djordjévic, S.: The dual-risks context: A systematic literature review for the integrated management of flood and drought risks, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 96, 103905, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdtr.2023.103905, 2023.

560 Amale, H. S., Birthal, P. S., and Negi, D. S.: Delayed monsoon, irrigation and crop yields, Agricultural Economics, 54, 77–94, https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12746, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/agec.12746, 2023. Andrew Freedman: "Endless stream" of deadly atmospheric rivers bring fresh flood threats to California, Axios, 2023.



575

580

585



Anna Skinner: Chart Shows Lake Travis' Dramatic Change in Water Levels After Sudden Flood, News Week, https://www.newsweek.com /chart-shows-lake-travis-dramatic-change-water-levels-after-sudden-flood-1839063, 2023a.

- 565 Anna Skinner: Texas River Floods After Massive Water Level Increase Overnight, News Week, https://www.newsweek.com/texas-river-flo ods-after-massive-water-level-increase-overnight-1838326, 2023b.
 - Bakke, S. J., Ionita, M., and Tallaksen, L. M.: The 2018 northern European hydrological drought and its drivers in a historical perspective, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 5621–5653, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-5621-2020, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2020.
 Ballester, J., Quijal-Zamorano, M., Méndez Turrubiates, R. F., Pegenaute, F., Herrmann, F. R., Robine, J. M., Basagaña, X., Tonne,
- 570 C., Antó, J. M., and Achebak, H.: Heat-related mortality in Europe during the summer of 2022, Nature Medicine, 29, 1857–1866, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02419-z, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2023.
 - Barendrecht, M. H., Matanó, A., Mendoza, H., Weesie, R., Rohse, M., Koehler, J., de Ruiter, M., Garcia, M., Mazzoleni, M., Aerts, J. C. J. H., Ward, P. J., Di Baldassarre, G., Day, R., and Van Loon, A. F.: Exploring drought-to-flood interactions and dynamics: A global case review, WIREs Water, n/a, e1726, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1726, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wat2.1726, 2024.
 - Bartens, A., Shehu, B., and Haberlandt, U.: Flood frequency analysis using mean daily flows vs. instantaneous peak flows, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 28, 1687–1709, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-28-1687-2024, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2024.

Beckett, H. A. A., Neeman, T., Fuenzalida, T. I., Bryant, C., Chica Latorre, S., Ovington, L. I., Sack, L., Meir, P., and Ball, M. C.: Legacy of extreme drought and heat on acclimation of mangrove leaf water relations to salinity ARC DP180102969, https://doi.org/10.25911/61566961E8F69, 2021.

Beckett, H. A. A., Neeman, T., Fuenzalida, T. I., Bryant, C., Latorre, S. C., Ovington, L. I., Sack, L., Meir, P., and Ball, M. C.: Ghosts of dry seasons past: Legacy of severe drought enhances mangrove salinity tolerance through coordinated cellular osmotic and elastic adjustments, Plant, Cell & Environment, 46, 2031–2045, https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14604, 2023.

Brunner, L. and Voigt, A.: Pitfalls in diagnosing temperature extremes, Nature Communications, 15, 2087, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46349-x, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2024.

- Brunner, M. I. and Chartier-Rescan, C.: Drought Spatial Extent and Dependence Increase During Drought Propagation From the Atmosphere to the Hydrosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 51, e2023GL107918, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL107918, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2023GL107918, 2024.
- Brunner, M. I., Melsen, L. A., Newman, A. J., Wood, A. W., and Clark, M. P.: Future streamflow regime changes in the United States:
 assessment using functional classification, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 3951–3966, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-3951-2020, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2020.
 - Brunner, M. I., Slater, L., Tallaksen, L. M., and Clark, M.: Challenges in modeling and predicting floods and droughts: A review, WIREs Water, 8, e1520, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1520, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wat2.1520, 2021.

Brunner, M. I., Götte, J., Schlemper, C., and Van Loon, A. F.: Hydrological Drought Generation Processes and Severity

- 595 Are Changing in the Alps, Geophysical Research Letters, 50, e2022GL101776, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101776, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022GL101776, 2023.
 - Bundesamt für Umwelt (BAFU): Hydrologisches Jahrbuch der Schweiz 2022, Bundesamt für Umwelt (BAFU), Bern, Switzerland, https: //www.bafu.admin.ch/dam/bafu/de/dokumente/hydrologie/uz-umwelt-zustand/hydrologisches-jahrbuch-2022.pdf.download.pdf/de_BA FU_UZ_2215_Hydrologisches_Jahrbuch_2022_bf.pdf, 2023.



625



- 600 Carter, J., Walling, D. E., Owens, P. N., and Leeks, G. J. L.: Spatial and temporal variability in the concentration and speciation of metals in suspended sediment transported by the River Aire, Yorkshire, UK, Hydrological Processes, 20, 3007–3027, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6156, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/hyp.6156, 2006.
 - Casson, N. J., Contosta, A. R., Burakowski, E. A., Campbell, J. L., Crandall, M. S., Creed, I. F., Eimers, M. C., Garlick, S., Lutz, D. A., Morison, M. Q., Morzillo, A. T., and Nelson, S. J.: Winter Weather Whiplash: Impacts of Meteorological Events Misaligned With Natural
- and Human Systems in Seasonally Snow-Covered Regions, Earth's Future, 7, 1434–1450, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001224, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019EF001224, 2019.
 - Ceola, S., Pugliese, A., Ventura, M., Galeati, G., Montanari, A., and Castellarin, A.: Hydro-power production and fish habitat suitability: Assessing impact and effectiveness of ecological flows at regional scale, Advances in Water Resources, 116, 29–39, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2018.04.002, 2018.
- 610 Chelli, G.: Po river drought in 2022 was the worst of the last two centuries, Nature Italy, https://doi.org/10.1038/d43978-023-00121-9, bandiera_abtest: a Cg_type: News Publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2023.
 - Cilli, S.: Evaluation of bedload yield in two small sand-bed rivers of the Ravenna province (Italy), Ph.D. thesis, https://sfera.unife.it/handle/ 11392/2487848, accepted: 2022-06-07T10:53:59Z Publisher: Università degli studi di Ferrara, 2020.
- Cui, H., Jiang, S., Ren, L., Qin, T., Zhu, Q., Fang, X., and Xu, C.-Y.: Identification of drought-flood abrupt alternation by a new non-stationary
 framework considering natural-social system impacts, Journal of Hydrology, 654, 132 899, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2025.132899, 2025.
 - Descroix, L., Moussa, I. B., Genthon, P., Sighomnou, D., Mahé, G., Mamadou, I., Vandervaere, J.-P., Gautier, E., Maiga, O. F., Rajot, J.-L., Abdou, M. M., Dessay, N., Ingatan, A., Noma, I., Yéro, K. S., Karambiri, H., Fensholt, R., Albergel, J., Olivry, J.-C., Descroix, L., Moussa, I. B., Genthon, P., Sighomnou, D., Mahé, G., Mamadou, I., Vandervaere, J.-P., Gautier, E., Maiga, O. F., Rajot, J.-L., Abdou,
- 620 M. M., Dessay, N., Ingatan, A., Noma, I., Yéro, K. S., Karambiri, H., Fensholt, R., Albergel, J., and Olivry, J.-C.: Impact of Drought and Land – Use Changes on Surface – Water Quality and Quantity: The Sahelian Paradox, in: Current Perspectives in Contaminant Hydrology and Water Resources Sustainability, IntechOpen, ISBN 978-953-51-1046-0, https://doi.org/10.5772/54536, 2013.
 - Di Baldassarre, G., Viglione, A., Carr, G., Kuil, L., Yan, K., Brandimarte, L., and Blöschl, G.: Debates—Perspectives on socio-hydrology: Capturing feedbacks between physical and social processes, Water Resources Research, 51, 4770–4781, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016416, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2014WR016416, 2015.
 - Di Baldassarre, G., Martinez, F., Kalantari, Z., and Viglione, A.: Drought and flood in the Anthropocene: feedback mechanisms in reservoir operation, Earth System Dynamics, 8, 225–233, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-8-225-2017, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2017.
 - Ding, J., Haberlandt, U., and Dietrich, J.: Estimation of the instantaneous peak flow from maximum daily flow: a comparison of three methods, Hydrology Research, 46, 671–688, https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2014.085, 2014.
- 630 Effler, E., Isaäcson, M., Arntzen, L., Heenan, R., Canter, P., Barrett, T., Lee, L., Mambo, C., Levine, W., Zaidi, A., and Griffin, P. M.: Factors contributing to the emergence of Escherichia coli O157 in Africa, Emerging Infectious Diseases, 7, 812–819, https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0705.017507, 2001.
 - England Jr., J. F., Cohn, T. A., Faber, B. A., Stedinger, J. R., Thomas Jr., W. O., Veilleux, A. G., Kiang, J. E., and Mason, Jr., R. R.: Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency—Bulletin 17C, USGS Numbered Series 4-B5, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA,
- 635 https://doi.org/10.3133/tm4B5, code Number: 4-B5 Code: Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency—Bulletin 17C Publication Title: Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency—Bulletin 17C Reporter: Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency—Bulletin 17C Series: Techniques and Methods IP-065340, 2019.

Section: Journal of Climate, 2015.





Eric Stober: IN PHOTOS: Flooding in Italy leaves 14 dead, cars submerged, thousands without power, Global News, https://globalnews.ca/ news/9713487/italy-floods-photos/, 2023.

640 Escriva-Bou, A., Medellin-Azuara, J., Hanak, E., Abatzoglou, J., and Viers, J.: Drought and California's Agriculture, Public Policy Institute of California, https://www.ppic.org/wp-content/uploads/policy-brief-drought-and-californias-agriculture.pdf, 2022.

Fill, H. D. and Steiner, A. A.: Estimating Instantaneous Peak Flow from Mean Daily Flow Data, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 8, 365–369, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2003)8:6(365), publisher: American Society of Civil Engineers, 2003.

- Fitzpatrick, R. G. J., Bain, C. L., Knippertz, P., Marsham, J. H., and Parker, D. J.: The West African Monsoon Onset: A Concise Comparison of
 Definitions, Journal of Climate, 28, 8673–8694, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0265.1, publisher: American Meteorological Society
 - Fleig, A. K., Tallaksen, L. M., Hisdal, H., and Demuth, S.: A global evaluation of streamflow drought characteristics, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 10, 535–552, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-10-535-2006, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2006.
- Frances D'Emilio: Rain-swollen rivers flood some towns in north Italy; Venice prepares to raise mobile dike in lagoon, AP News, https:
 //apnews.com/article/italy-floods-venice-rain-emilia-romagna-0c14ed1883e785c452139a31ebd55a99, 2023.
 - Furl, C., Sharif, H., Zeitler, J. W., Hassan, A. E., and Joseph, J.: Hydrometeorology of the catastrophic Blanco river flood in South Texas, May 2015, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 15, 90–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2017.12.001, 2018.
 - Gallina, V., Torresan, S., Critto, A., Sperotto, A., Glade, T., and Marcomini, A.: A review of multi-risk methodologies for natural hazards: Consequences and challenges for a climate change impact assessment, Journal of Environmental Management, 168, 123–132, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.11.011, 2016.
- Garreaud, R. D., Alvarez-Garreton, C., Barichivich, J., Boisier, J. P., Christie, D., Galleguillos, M., LeQuesne, C., McPhee, J., and Zambrano-Bigiarini, M.: The 2010–2015 megadrought in central Chile: impacts on regional hydroclimate and vegetation, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 6307–6327, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-6307-2017, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2017.

- Gimbel, K. F., Puhlmann, H., and Weiler, M.: Does drought alter hydrological functions in forest soils?, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20, 1301–1317, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-1301-2016, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2016.
- Guttman, N. B.: Comparing the Palmer Drought Index and the Standardized Precipitation Index, JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 34, 113–121, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05964.x, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05964.x, 1998.
- Götte, J. and Brunner, M. I.: Hydrological Drought-To-Flood Transitions Across Different Hydroclimates in the United States, Water Resources Research, 60, e2023WR036504, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023WR036504, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2023WR036504, 2024.
 - Harvey, F.: Heavy April rain 'not enough to end drought', The Guardian, https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2012/apr/20/heavy-apr

670 il-rain-drought, 2012.

655

- Helen Mead: Looking back: autumn deluge swells local river to dangerous levels, MSN, https://www.msn.com/en-gb/weather/topstories/loo king-back-autumn-deluge-swells-local-river-to-dangerous-levels/ar-AA1rzLll, 2024.
- Hisdal, H., Tallaksen, L. M., Gauster, T., Bloomfield, J. P., Parry, S., Prudhomme, C., and Wanders, N.: Chapter 5 Hydrological drought characteristics_{**}This chapter builds upon: Hisdal, H., Tallaksen, L.M., Clausen, B., Peters, E., Gustard, A., 2004. Hydrolog-
- 675 ical Drought Characteristics, Chapter 5. In: Tallaksen, L.M., Van Lanen, H.A.J. (Eds.), Hydrological Drought. Processes and Estima-

Gaudenz Flury and Daniela Schmuki: Die Flutwelle der Emme von Montagabend, Schweizer Radio und Fernsehen (SRF), https://www.srf.
 ch/meteo-stories/unwetter-videos-die-flutwelle-der-emme-von-montagabend, 2022.



680



tion Methods for Streamflow and Groundwater. Developments in Water Science, 48, Elsevier Science B.V., 139–198., in: Hydrological Drought (Second Edition), edited by Tallaksen, L. M. and van Lanen, H. A. J., pp. 157–231, Elsevier, ISBN 978-0-12-819082-1, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819082-1.00006-0, 2024a.

Hisdal, H., Tallaksen, L. M., Gauster, T., Bloomfield, J. P., Parry, S., Prudhomme, C., and Wanders, N.: Hydrological drought characteristics, in: Hydrological Drought, pp. 157–231, Elsevier, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128190821000060, 2024b.

- Hubble, T. and De Carli, E.: Mechanisms and Processes of the Millennium Drought River Bank Failures: Lower Murray River, Tech. rep., https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2379.9520, 2016.
 - Janga, J. K., Reddy, K. R., and Schulenberg, J.: Climate change impacts on safety of levees: A Review, in: Geotechnical Engineering Challenges to Meet Current and Emerging Needs of Society, CRC Press, ISBN 978-1-00-343174-9, num Pages: 6, 2024.
- 685 Jay Lund and Andrew L. Rypel: This Drought is Dead Long Live the Drought, https://californiawaterblog.com/2023/03/26/this-drought-i s-dead-long-live-the-drought/, 2023.

Jess Fagan: Mangroves remember droughts — this could be bad news for our coastlines, ANU Reporter, https://reporter.anu.edu.au/all-stori es/mangroves-remember-droughts-this-could-be-bad-news-for-our-coastlines, 2023.

Jonathan Lloyd and Belen De Leon: Relentless Storm Packs Another Wave of Downpours. When Will the Rain End?, Channel 4 News Los

- Angeles, https://www.nbclosangeles.com/weather-news/california-storm-los-angeles-rain-flooding-ventura-county-101-freeway/30703
 23/, 2023.
 - Jorio, L.: Why water-rich Switzerland needs to monitor droughts, https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/business/why-water-rich-switzerland-nee ds-to-monitor-droughts/48590018, 2023.

KCAL News Staff: Ventura County hit hard by heavy rain; evacuation orders issued, CBS News, https://www.cbsnews.com/losangeles/new

695 s/ventura-county-hit-hard-by-heavy-rain-evacuation-orders-issued/, 2023.

- Kendon, M., Marsh, T., and Parry, S.: The 2010–2012 drought in England and Wales, Weather, 68, 88–95, https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.2101, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wea.2101, 2013.
 - Kevin Vu: Texas drought has deepened amid this year's brutal heat, The Texas Tribune, https://www.texastribune.org/2023/10/02/texas-dro ught-agriculture-wildfires/, 2023.
- 700 Kim, Y., Kim, S., Jeong, H., and An, H.: Quantitatively defining megadrought based on drought events in central Chile, Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk, 13, 975–992, https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2022.2060763, publisher: Taylor & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2022.2060763, 2022.

Käthi Liechti: Storms 2022 - Minor damage, no fatalities, https://www.wsl.ch/en/news/storms-2022-minor-damage-no-fatalities/, 2023.

- Lal, P., Prakash, A., Kumar, A., Srivastava, P. K., Saikia, P., Pandey, A., Srivastava, P., and Khan, M.: Evaluating the 2018 extreme flood
 hazard events in Kerala, India, Remote Sensing Letters, 11, 436–445, https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2020.1730468, publisher: Taylor
 & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2020.1730468, 2020.
 - Lang, M., Ouarda, T. B. M. J., and Bobée, B.: Towards operational guidelines for over-threshold modeling, Journal of Hydrology, 225, 103–117, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00167-5, 1999.
 - Laudon, H., Poléo, A. B. S., Vøllestad, L. A., and Bishop, K.: Survival of brown trout during spring flood in DOC-rich streams in north-
- 710 ern Sweden: the effect of present acid deposition and modelled pre-industrial water quality, Environmental Pollution, 135, 121–130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.09.023, 2005.
 - Le News: Switzerland breaks river temperature records, Le News CH, https://lenews.ch/2022/07/30/switzerland-breaks-river-temperature-r ecords/, 2022.





- Lema, F., Mendoza, P. A., Vásquez, N. A., Mizukami, N., Zambrano-Bigiarini, M., and Vargas, X.: Technical note: What does the Standard ized Streamflow Index actually reflect? Insights and implications for hydrological drought analysis, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
 Discussions, pp. 1–34, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2024-221, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2024.
 - Levy, K., Woster, A. P., Goldstein, R. S., and Carlton, E. J.: Untangling the Impacts of Climate Change on Waterborne Diseases: a Systematic Review of Relationships between Diarrheal Diseases and Temperature, Rainfall, Flooding, and Drought, Environmental Science & Technology, 50, 4905–4922, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06186, 2016.
- 720 Li, X., Zhang, Q., Zhang, D., and Ye, X.: Investigation of the drought–flood abrupt alternation of streamflow in Poyang Lake catchment during the last 50 years, Hydrology Research, 48, 1402–1417, https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.266, 2016.
 - Lisboa, M. S., Schneider, R. L., Sullivan, P. J., and Walter, M. T.: Drought and post-drought rain effect on stream phosphorus and other nutrient losses in the Northeastern USA, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 28, 100672, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2020.100672, 2020.
- 725 Lisonbee, J., Ribbe, J., and Wheeler, M.: Defining the north Australian monsoon onset: A systematic review, Progress in Physical Geography, 44, 398–418, https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133319881107, publisher: SAGE Publications Ltd, 2020.
 - Liu, B., Siu, Y. L., and Mitchell, G.: Hazard interaction analysis for multi-hazard risk assessment: a systematic classification based on hazardforming environment, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 16, 629–642, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-16-629-2016, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2016a.
- 730 Liu, Z., Törnros, T., and Menzel, L.: A probabilistic prediction network for hydrological drought identification and environmental flow assessment, Water Resources Research, 52, 6243–6262, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019106, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2016WR019106, 2016b.

Martin Bürki: Das Hochwasser war im Kemmeriboden-Bad schlimmer als 2014, Der Bund, https://www.derbund.ch/vorsicht-vor-wasserm assen-und-schwemmholz-in-der-emme-859041848931, 2022.

- 735 Martina, V., Clara, D. P., Giulia, F., Ardigò, M., Giuseppe, G. R., Francesca, B., Chiara, R., and Luca, R.: The 2023 Floods in the Emilia-Romagna Region, Italy: A Retrospective Qualitative Investigation into Response Strategies and Criticalities, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, p. 105089, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2024.105089, 2024.
 - Matanó, A., Berghuijs, W. R., Mazzoleni, M., Ruiter, M. C. d., Ward, P. J., and Loon, A. F. V.: Compound and consecutive droughtflood events at a global scale, Environmental Research Letters, 19, 064 048, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad4b46, publisher: IOP
- 740 Publishing, 2024.

745

Medellín-Azuara, J., Escriva-Bou, A., Rodríguez-Flores, J. M., Cole, S. A., Abatzoglou, J. T., Viers, J. H., Santos, N., Summer, D. A., Medina, C., and Arévalo, R.: Economic Impacts of the 2020–22 Drought on California Agriculture, UC Merced, https://cawaterlibrary.n et/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/20AmSf-Economic_Impact_CA_Drought_V01.pdf, 2022.

Meylan, P., Favre, A.-C., and Musy, A.: Predictive Hydrology: A Frequency Analysis Approach, CRC Press, ISBN 978-1-57808-747-1, google-Books-ID: mpPa1ItTP2IC, 2012.

- Mishra, A., Alnahit, A., and Campbell, B.: Impact of land uses, drought, flood, wildfire, and cascading events on water quality and microbial communities: A review and analysis, Journal of Hydrology, 596, 125 707, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125707, 2021.
 - Montanari, A., Nguyen, H., Rubinetti, S., Ceola, S., Galelli, S., Rubino, A., and Zanchettin, D.: Why the 2022 Po River drought is the worst in the past two centuries, Science Advances, 9, eadg8304, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adg8304, publisher: American Association for

the Advancement of Science, 2023.

Morrissiey, D.: No flow in the Llano River, DailyTrib.com, https://www.dailytrib.com/2023/09/06/no-flow-in-the-llano-river/, 2023.





- Murillo, M. V. and Foulon, C. L.: Crisis and policymaking in Latin America: The case of Chile's 1998–99 electricity crisis, World Development, 34, 1580–1596, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2006.01.005, 2006.
- Na, W. and Najafi, M. R.: Rising risks of hydroclimatic swings: A large ensemble study of dry and wet spell transitions in North America,
- Global and Planetary Change, 238, 104 476, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2024.104476, 2024.
 - Nacional, B. d. C.: Biblioteca del Congreso Nacional | Ley Chile, https://www.bcn.cl/leychile, 1999.
 - Navarro, H.: Crews Rescue 14 From Swelling Ventura River During Storm, NBC Los Angeles, https://www.nbclosangeles.com/weather-n ews/crews-rescue-14-from-swelling-ventura-river-during-storm/3070037/, 2023.
- Nielsen-Gammon, J. W., Zhang, F., Odins, A. M., and Myoung, B.: Extreme Rainfall in Texas: Patterns and Predictability, Physical Geography, 26, 340–364, https://doi.org/10.2747/0272-3646.26.5.340, publisher: Taylor & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.2747/0272-3646.26.5.340, 2005.

Nina: Drought blamed for high power rates, https://www.newsinenglish.no/2018/07/13/drought-blamed-for-high-electricity-rates/, 2018a. Nina: Norway hasn't been so dry since 1947, https://www.newsinenglish.no/2018/07/11/norway-hasnt-been-so-dry-since-1947/, 2018b. Nina Berglund: Flash floods sweep through valleys, https://www.newsinenglish.no/2018/10/14/flash-floods-sweeping-through-valleys/,

765

2018.

Oertel, M., Meza, F. J., and Gironás, J.: Observed trends and relationships between ENSO and standardized hydrometeorological drought indices in central Chile, Hydrological Processes, 34, 159–174, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13596, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/hyp.13596, 2020.

Paolo Santalucia: Lack of snow condemns Italy's Po to a desperately dry summer, AP News, https://apnews.com/article/italy-river-po-low-l

770

- '0 evels-2c144b8f034ccc5863a7924e9d37f324, 2023.
- Parry, S., Marsh, T., and Kendon, M.: 2012: from drought to floods in England and Wales, Weather, 68, 268–274, https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.2152, 2013.

Povoledo, E.: Flood-Battered Italian Region May See More Violent and Frequent Storms, The New York Times, https://www.nytimes.com/ 2023/05/27/world/europe/italy-floods-emilia-romagna.html, 2023.

775 Press, A. A.: Queensland flooding: Daintree River beats 118-year record, cutting off residents, The Guardian, https://www.theguardian.com/ australia-news/2019/jan/27/queensland-flooding-emergency-alert-as-daintree-river-beats-118-year-record, 2019.

Rebecca Hyam and Nick Wiggins: Daintree River floods as torrential rain continues in Far North Queensland, ABC News, https://www.abc. net.au/news/2019-01-27/flood-alert-issued-as-daintree-floods-from-torrential-rain/10750906, 2019.

- Reidun Gangstø Skaland, Hervé Colleuille, Anne Solveig Håvelsrud Andersen, Jostein Mamen, Lars Grinde, Helga Therese Tilley Tajet,
 Elin Lundstad, Laila Fodnes Sidselrud, Ketil Tunheim, Inger Hanssen-Bauer, Rasmus Benestad, Hanne Heiberg, and Hans Olav Hygen: Tørkesommeren 2018, Tech. rep., Meteorologisk Institutt, https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=ht
 tps://www.met.no/publikasjoner/met-info/_/attachment/download/e0ef32dc-d9ac-4506-b6bb-3ceeb0d88ce6:98ec5c085e3d8ddc04f158
 cda767c676b6fbc142/T%25C3%25B8rkesommeren%25202018.pdf&ved=2ahUKEwjj4e-e3JGMAxUw0wIHHYQ_DiQQFnoECBQQ
 AQ&usg=AOvVaw3-2LDKyfOKhdaE-5E1n3II, 2019.
- 785 Richard Davies: USA Rescues and Evacuations in California After Atmospheric River Triggers More Floods, Flood List, https://floodlist .com/america/usa/california-atmospheric-river-floods-january-2023, 2023.
 - Robinson, J. D. and Vahedifard, F.: Weakening mechanisms imposed on California's levees under multiyear extreme drought, Climatic Change, 137, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1649-6, 2016.



790



- Rogers, D. and Tsirkunov, V.: Costs and benefits of early warning systems. Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction., Risk and Vulnerability Assessment 69358, World Bank Group., Washington, D.C., http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/609951468330279 598/Global-assessment-report-on-disaster-risk-reduction-costs-and-benefits-of-early-warning-systems, 2010.
- Sani, T., Marini, M., Campanelli, A., Machado Toffolo, M., Goffredo, S., and Grilli, F.: Evolution of Freshwater Runoff in the Western Adriatic Sea over the Last Century, Environments, 11, 22, https://doi.org/10.3390/environments11010022, number: 1 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2024.
- 795 Schaefli, B.: Projecting hydropower production under future climates: a guide for decision-makers and modelers to interpret and design climate change impact assessments, WIREs Water, 2, 271–289, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1083, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wat2.1083, 2015.
 - Schönbrunner, I. M., Preiner, S., and Hein, T.: Impact of drying and re-flooding of sediment on phosphorus dynamics of river-floodplain systems, Science of The Total Environment, 432, 329–337, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.025, 2012.
- 800 Serra, P.: Chile's electricity markets: Four decades on from their original design, Energy Strategy Reviews, 39, 100798, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2021.100798, 2022.
 - Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Morrison, J. E., and Sturdevant-Rees, P.: Catastrophic Rainfall and Flooding in Texas, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 1, 5–25, https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2000)001<0005:CRAFIT>2.0.CO;2, publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Hydrometeorology, 2000.
- 805 Staff Writers: Daintree Ferry closed as river flooding causes chaos, Newsport, https://archive.newsport.com.au/2018/december/daintree-fer ry-closed-as-river-flooding-causes-chaos, 2018.
 - Stahl, K., Vidal, J.-P., Hannaford, J., Tijdeman, E., Laaha, G., Gauster, T., and Tallaksen, L. M.: The challenges of hydrological drought definition, quantification and communication: an interdisciplinary perspective, in: Proceedings of IAHS, vol. 383, pp. 291–295, Copernicus GmbH, https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-383-291-2020, iSSN: 2199-8981, 2020.
- 810 Steve Turton: How climate change can make catastrophic weather systems linger for longer, The Conversation, https://theconversation.com/ how-climate-change-can-make-catastrophic-weather-systems-linger-for-longer-111832, 2019.
 - Steve Turton: North Queensland's record-breaking floods are a frightening portent of what's to come under climate change, The Conversation, https://theconversation.com/north-queenslands-record-breaking-floods-are-a-frightening-portent-of-whats-to-come-under-climate-change-220039, 2023.
- 815 Swain, D. L., Prein, A. F., Abatzoglou, J. T., Albano, C. M., Brunner, M., Diffenbaugh, N. S., Singh, D., Skinner, C. B., and Touma, D.: Hydroclimate volatility on a warming Earth, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 6, 35–50, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-024-00624z, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2025.
 - Tallaksen, L. M. and Hisdal, H.: Regional analysis of extreme streamflow drought duration and deficit volume, IAHS Publication, 246, 141–150, https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/39852200.pdf#page=151, 1997.
- 820 Tallaksen, L. M. and Van Lanen, H. A.: Hydrological drought: processes and estimation methods for streamflow and groundwater, Elsevier Science, ISBN 978-0-12-819082-1, https://doi.org/10.1016/C2017-0-03464-X, publisher: Elsevier, 2023.
 - Tallaksen, L. M., Madsen, H., and Clausen, B.: On the definition and modelling of streamflow drought duration and deficit volume, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 42, 15–33, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626669709492003, 1997.
 - Tarasova, L., Lun, D., Merz, R., Blöschl, G., Basso, S., Bertola, M., Miniussi, A., Rakovec, O., Samaniego, L., Thober, S., and Kumar,
- 825 R.: Shifts in flood generation processes exacerbate regional flood anomalies in Europe, Communications Earth & Environment, 4, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00714-8, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2023.



855



- Terry Marsh, Simon Parry, Mike Kendon, and Jamie Hannaford: The 2010-12 drought and subsequent extensive flooding a remarkable hydrological transformation, Tech. rep., UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Maclean Building Benson Lane Crowmarsh Gifford Wallingford Oxfordshire OX10 8BB UK, https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/503643, 2013.
- 830 Tichavský, R., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., Šilhán, K., Tolasz, R., and Stoffel, M.: Dry Spells and Extreme Precipitation are The Main Trigger of Landslides in Central Europe, Scientific Reports, 9, 14560, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51148-2, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2019.
 - Tijdeman, E., Stahl, K., and Tallaksen, L. M.: Drought Characteristics Derived Based on the Standardized Streamflow Index: A Large Sample Comparison for Parametric and Nonparametric Methods, Water Resources Research, 56, e2019WR026315,
- 835 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026315, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019WR026315, 2020.
 - Tilloy, A., Malamud, B. D., Winter, H., and Joly-Laugel, A.: A review of quantification methodologies for multi-hazard interrelationships, Earth-Science Reviews, 196, 102 881, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102881, 2019.

Van Baars, S. and Van Kempen, I. M.: The Causes and Mechanisms of Historical Dike Failures in the Netherlands, Official Publication of the European Water Association, https://repository.tudelft.nl/record/uuid:a44dbb70-8116-4dec-bf9d-e5879df3f678, 2009.

- 840 van Huijgevoort, M. H. J., Hazenberg, P., van Lanen, H. a. J., and Uijlenhoet, R.: A generic method for hydrological drought identification across different climate regions, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 2437–2451, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-2437-2012, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2012.
 - Van Loon, A. F.: Hydrological drought explained, WIREs Water, 2, 359–392, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1085, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wat2.1085, 2015.
- 845 Van Loon, A. F. and Van Lanen, H. a. J.: A process-based typology of hydrological drought, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 1915–1946, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-1915-2012, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2012.
 - Vicente-Serrano, S. M., López-Moreno, J. I., Beguería, S., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Azorin-Molina, C., and Morán-Tejeda, E.: Accurate Computation of a Streamflow Drought Index, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 17, 318–332, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000433, publisher: American Society of Civil Engineers, 2012.
- 850 Wainwright, M.: The river Aire carries floodwater through Leeds at 1.30pm, The Guardian, https://www.theguardian.com/uk/the-northerne r/2012/sep/25/floods-river-aire-leeds-swale-morpeth, 2012.
 - Ward, P. J., de Ruiter, M. C., Mård, J., Schröter, K., Van Loon, A., Veldkamp, T., von Uexkull, N., Wanders, N., AghaKouchak, A., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Capewell, L., Carmen Llasat, M., Day, R., Dewals, B., Di Baldassarre, G., Huning, L. S., Kreibich, H., Mazzoleni, M., Savelli, E., Teutschbein, C., van den Berg, H., van der Heijden, A., Vincken, J. M. R., Waterloo, M. J., and Wens, M.: The need to integrate flood and drought disaster risk reduction strategies, Water Security, 11, 100 070, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2020.100070, 2020.
- Weng, X., Zhu, J., Wang, D., Chen, H., Wang, S., and Qing, Y.: Exploring the relationship between drought-flood abrupt alternation and soil erosion over Guangdong, China through a convection-permitting model, Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk, 15, 2383779, https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2024.2383779, publisher: Taylor & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2024.2383779, 2024.
- 860 Wurtsbaugh, W. A., Paerl, H. W., and Dodds, W. K.: Nutrients, eutrophication and harmful algal blooms along the freshwater to marine continuum, WIREs Water, 6, e1373, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1373, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wat2.1373, 2019.
 - Ye, W.-m., Qi, Z.-y., Chen, B., Xie, J., Huang, Y., Lu, Y.-r., and Cui, Y.-J.: Mechanism of cultivation soil degradation in rocky desertification areas under dry/wet cycles, Environmental Earth Sciences, 64, 269–276, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-010-0846-2, 2011.





865 Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S., Bevacqua, E., Raymond, C., Horton, R. M., van den Hurk, B., AghaKouchak, A., Jézéquel, A., Mahecha, M. D., Maraun, D., Ramos, A. M., Ridder, N. N., Thiery, W., and Vignotto, E.: A typology of compound weather and climate events, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1, 333–347, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0060-z, number: 7 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2020.