Long-term impact and biological recovery in a deep-sea mining track

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08921-3

Received: 20 June 2024

Accepted: 20 March 2025

Published online: 26 March 2025

Open access

Check for updates

Daniel O. B. Jones^{1⊠}, Maria Belen Arias², Loïc Van Audenhaege¹, Sabena Blackbird³, Corie Boolukos², Guadalupe Bribiesca-Contreras^{1,2}, Jonathan T. Copley⁴, Andrew Dale⁵, Susan Evans¹, Bethany F. M. Fleming^{1,4}, Andrew R. Gates¹, Hannah Grant⁶, Mark G. J. Hartl⁷, Veerle A. I. Huvenne¹, Rachel M. Jeffreys³, Pierre Josso⁶, Lucas D. King², Erik Simon-Lledó^{1,8}, Tim Le Bas¹, Louisa Norman³, Bryan O'Malley⁹, Thomas Peacock¹⁰, Tracy Shimmield^{6,7}, Eva C. D. Stewart^{2,4}, Andrew K. Sweetman⁵, Catherine Wardell^{1,4}, Dmitry Aleynik⁵ & Adrian G. Glover²

Deep-sea polymetallic nodule mining is in the exploration phase at present with some groups proposing a move towards extraction within years¹. Management of this industry requires evidence of the long-term effects on deep-sea ecosystems², but the ability of seafloor ecosystems to recover from impacts over decadal scales is poorly understood³. Here we show that, four decades after a test mining experiment that removed nodules, the biological impacts in many groups of organisms are persistent, although populations of several organisms, including sediment macrofauna, mobile deposit feeders and even large-sized sessile fauna, have begun to re-establish despite persistent physical changes at the seafloor. We also reveal that areas affected by plumes from this small-scale test have limited detectable residual sedimentation impacts with some biological assemblages similar in abundance compared to control areas after 44 years. Although some aspects of the modern collector design may cause reduced physical impact compared to this test mining experiment, our results show that mining impacts in the abyssal ocean will be persistent over at least decadal timeframes and communities will remain altered in directly disturbed areas, despite some recolonization. The long-term effects seen in our study provide critical data for effective management of mining activities, if they occur, including minimizing direct impacts and setting aside an effective network of protected areas^{4,5}.

Recent rapid growth in exploration for polymetallic nodule deposits is raising societal awareness of deep-sea mining⁶. More than 21 billion tonnes of nodules, potato-sized mineral aggregations rich in critical metals such as cobalt and nickel, are estimated to lie on the abyssal seabed of the Clarion–Clipperton Zone (CCZ, North Pacific)⁷. However, Pacific nodule fields also sustain highly specialized animal and microbial communities with low abundance and biomass, but high species diversity compared to other deep-sea sedimented communities⁸⁻¹¹ with most of the species still undescribed¹². Falling beyond national jurisdiction, the seafloor mineral resources of the CCZ are regulated by the International Seabed Authority, which is at present developing the legal, financial and environmental framework to underpin any potential full commercial exploitation, if it occurs. Robust understanding of the effects of mining disturbance is thus urgently needed¹³.

The expected high sensitivity of abyssal communities to change combined with the potential spatial and temporal scales of mining operations (for example, roughly 400 km² yr⁻¹ of mining per operation with expected 20-year mine life^{14,15}) sets them apart from most other anthropogenic stressors in the deep sea. Nodule mining is expected

to cause immediate effects on the seabed surface and habitat in the path of collector vehicles, including mechanical disturbance, hard substratum habitat removal and sediment compaction. It will generate sediment plumes in the water column that can redeposit beyond mined areas² causing biogeochemical alterations of the sediment and increased water turbidity at scales that could have significant impacts on ecosystems^{3,16}. Recent estimates suggest plume redeposition could expand the visible seabed footprint several kilometres beyond the extent of test mining operations^{17,18}. Over the multi-decadal life of a single operation, impacts from direct disturbance and plumes could extend over hundreds of square kilometres¹⁹ and cumulative impacts of many operations could be greater. However, biological effects of these physio-chemical alterations remain poorly understood, particularly over long timescales. Evaluation of the potential resilience of abyssal ecosystems to cumulative effects is largely constrained by the scarcity of full-scale experimental tests, and little is generally known about long-term recovery or succession patterns in abyssal ecosystems⁴. In this study, we define 'recovery' as a return to the original state of the ecosystem stated in terms of the parameter assessed, which includes

¹National Oceanography Centre, European Way, Southampton, UK. ²Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, South Kensington, London, UK. ³School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ⁴Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, European Way, Southampton, UK. ⁵Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, Argyll, UK. ⁶British Geological Survey, The Lyell Centre, Research Avenue South, Edinburgh, UK. ⁷Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh, UK. ⁸Institut de Ciències del Mar (ICM-CSIC), Barcelona, Spain. ⁹Eckerd College, Saint Petersburg, FL, USA. ¹⁰Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁵⁵e-mail: dj1@noc.ac.uk



Fig. 1 | **Maps of the OMCO track area. a**, Multibeam bathymetry map overlaid with interpreted tracks of mining vehicle (in the photograph, d) and epibenthic sleds used for nodule collection in 1978. b, Modelled sediment deposition from plume generated by mining vehicle with central point of mining vehicle track overlaid (black). c, General location of test area (red dot) in the CCZ showing

a range of physical and biological characteristics, such as substratum composition and biological abundance. It does not indicate a full return of the ecosystem and its diversity to predisturbance conditions, which does not always occur in any environment²⁰ and may be impossible with nodule removal⁵.

The most comprehensive recovery studies in the abyssal Pacific have been conducted outside the CCZ. This previous work has focused on the disturbance and recolonization experiment (DISCOL) in the Peru Basin, an area considerably less oligotrophic than the CCZ²¹ and at present not of commercial interest for mining. These studies showed persistent ecological impacts for some parameters 26 years after disturbance²²⁻²⁴ with some evidence of recovery in others²⁵. The lack of information generally and specifically in the CCZ is a key evidence gap that is challenging the development of effective regulations for deep-sea mining that preserve biodiversity and ecosystem processes²⁶. Access to longer-term recovery sites, such as those in the CCZ affected in the 1970s by seafloor collector tests³, is one of the few approaches available to help constrain the potential for recovery and timescales required.

International Seabed Authority designated Areas of Particular Environmental Interest (green) and exploration contract areas (grey). Datum WGS 1984 for all maps. **d**, Photograph of a mining vehicle in the moon pool of the *Hughes Glomar Explorer* vessel in 1979. Scale bar, 2 m. Credit: Photo in **d** from ref. 39, reproduced under a Creative Commons licence CC BY 4.0.

Here we combine recently discovered archive material from the Ocean Minerals Company (OMCO) 1979 mining collector test (including location, engineering details and contemporaneous seafloor photographs) with a detailed field evaluation of the area from March 2023 aimed at assessing long-term environmental responses and recovery trajectories to nodule mining disturbance. These observations of aspects of ecological recovery 44 years after mining disturbance provide essential knowledge to inform conservation management strategies and decision-making for the future of deep-sea mining.

The OMCO test (Fig. 1) set the blueprint for most modern CCZ operations: a roughly 10-m wide, self-propelled remotely operated mining vehicle connected by a riser pipe and pump system to a surface ship^{27,28}. The OMCO collector created three primary types of disturbance: (1) tracks in the seafloor made by the Archimedes screws used for propulsion, (2) removal of sediment and nodules between the screw tracks by the collection equipment and (3) a plume of resuspended sediment released by the action of the collector movement and nodule collection activities. The test created a looping track disturbing an area of roughly

0.4 km² (Fig. 1), which was compared to an undisturbed control area roughly 2 km away (Extended Data Fig. 1).

Collection tracks

Between the two propulsion tracks there was a roughly 4.5-m-wide disturbed area that was passed over by the collector rake, which we refer to as the collection track. The physical impact visible in the OMCO collection tracks is similar in appearance to the collection area impacts made by most modern collection vehicles²⁹. Our observations (Extended Data Fig. 2) indicate that physical changes to the seafloor have been persistent over 44 years. The collector impacts vary visually, from complete collection of all nodules to no apparent impact on the structure of the nodule-covered seafloor (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 3). Still visible in 2023, the documented changes were caused during the test and are linked to hydraulic lifting of the collector rake (the likely cause of Fig. 2i) and the depth that the mining machine sank into the seafloor. Some epibenthic sled tracks created in 1978 occur in the same area as the collection tracks and are small in comparison with the collection tracks (Fig. 2j). In the areas where nodules were removed, the sediments within the tracks in 2023 had a similar grain size but generally lower and more variable organic carbon content (Fig. 3) compared with undisturbed areas outside the tracks.

Sediment-dwelling macrofauna, dominated by annelids (43% of total abundance in control), arthropods (34%) and molluscs (18%), were present in slightly lower, but broadly similar, total densities (numbers per unit area) in the disturbed sites in 2023 to the undisturbed control site (Fig. 3, Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1). Note that the disturbed macrofaunal samples include both the collection track and area immediately adjacent, so our sampling may underestimate the impacts on the track. However, our results correspond with evidence from the more eutrophic DISCOL site, 4,760 km to the south-east of the OMCO site, where sediment-dwelling macrofauna had largely recovered to predisturbance densities in disturbed areas after 7 years (ref. 25). Nodule-dwelling macrofauna had similar density in the disturbed area in 2023 compared with the sampled control area, although numbers of nodule dwellers would be expected to reduce if more nodules were removed (only 2 of the 13 disturbed samples had no nodules present and hence no nodule fauna). In a typical mining scenario near complete removal of nodules would probably lead to further reductions in nodule-dwelling faunal density in the tracks.

The collection tracks and propulsion tracks both had abundant xenophyophores 44 years after the test (Fig. 3; primarily an undescribed reticulated spherical species with maximum densities of roughly eight individuals per m²) that had colonized even the most visibly disturbed areas of the tracks. These xenophyophores reached sizes of more than 50 mm in diameter and appear to be the first sessile megafaunal-sized organisms recolonizing the mining track disturbance in this area. The role of xenophyophores as early colonists has been predicted³⁰ and xenophyophores are able to grow relatively quickly in abyssal sedimentary environments (estimated 1-2 years to reach 50 mm diameter)³¹ but they were not noted in other shorter-term abyssal recovery assessments²². The succession dynamics in the mining tracks here may mimic natural disturbance events in the deep ocean associated with extreme sedimentation, for example ash falls³², which are also colonized by xenophyophores. Despite the presence of new colonizers in 2023, the overall xenophyophore assemblage was reduced in density in the track areas compared with elsewhere, as several morphotypes common in control areas were present in much lower numbers in the tracks.

In areas of the seafloor visibly disturbed by the collector there were very few sessile megafaunal metazoans present in 2023, despite these being regularly observed in the control area in 2023 and in 1978, before disturbance. Two large (greater than 100 mm) hexactinellid sponges were observed on the collection tracks in 2023, but both were living on nodules that appear to have been undisturbed in 1979 as the collector passed over them. Mobile megafaunal deposit feeders, such as the holothurian *Psychronaetes hanseni* (Pawson, 1983) and the echinoid *Plesiodiadema globulosum* (A. Agassiz, 1898), were observed on the collection tracks in 2023 but overall megafaunal densities were very low on tracks (always less than 0.1 individuals per m²) and significantly different from control areas (mean 0.33 individuals per m²) and precollection test (mean 0.28 individuals per m²; Fig. 3, Supplementary Data 1 and Extended Data Table 1).

Our data indicate that microbial biomass was similar inside and outside the tracks in 2023 (mean 92 mg C m⁻² (range 32–154) inside versus 52 mg C m⁻² outside (range 28–77)). Initial estimates suggest total carbon assimilation was considerably higher on the track (mean 0.032 mg C m⁻² day⁻¹ (range 0.026–0.038)) but not statistically different from outside (mean 0.012 mg C m⁻² day⁻¹ (range 0.011–0.014); probably because of the low replication, n = 2).

Vehicle propulsion tracks

The tracks are very similar in physical appearance between 1979 and 2023 (Fig. 2), which is also observed in other long-term abyssal disturbance experiments²² and related to low abyssal sedimentation rates $(1.5-11 \text{ mm kyr}^{-1})^{33}$. The propulsion created furrows in the seafloor observed to be 0.2-0.8 m deep and 1-3 m wide in 2023 (Extended Data Fig. 4). The action of the propulsion system during the test displaced sediment and created berms on either side of each track, reaching up to 0.5 m in height and extending laterally between 0.5 and 2 m. These berms covered the central area of the track in places and 2023 boxcore samples show that the original surface layer of nodules was still present in places under the raised berm sediments. These propulsion tracks appear to create a considerably larger level of disturbance than planned modern tank-track propelled collection vehicles^{17,34}. The OMCO vehicle propulsion tracks appear to trap particulate material in some areas, with visible accumulations of organic detritus and occasional macro plastic items such as plastic bags in 2023. This trapping of material was also observed at DISCOL35.

The propulsion tracks harboured a distinct invertebrate megafaunal community in 2023 based on image data, composed of only 35 taxa from three phyla (20 echinoderms, nine cnidarians and six arthropods) and five bony fish morphotypes, compared with undisturbed locations also surveyed in 2023 (total 76 taxa from nine phyla, particularly echinoderms, cnidarians and poriferans). The propulsion track assemblage in 2023 was largely dominated by P. globulosum (42% of total abundance; mostly in higher sections at the track edges) and the holothurian Elpidiidae sp. indet. (33%, mostly in central sections at the bottom of the track). At least five taxa were aggregated in the tracks in 2023 (Fig. 2g), particularly Elpidiidae sp. indet. and Actiniaria fam. indet., potentially benefiting from enhanced organic material patchily deposited across the track³⁵. A few specimens of the sessile black coral Schizopathes affinis sp. inc. longer than 50 mm were observed growing directly on sediment in the middle of the track 44 years after disturbance. Most nodules were removed, probably through displacement or burial, but a few of the remaining nodules were populated in 2023 by two anemone species that were common in non-affected areas. Densities of megafauna in the propulsion tracks in 2023 were intermediate between the collection track and the similar levels at the control and preimpact areas (map in Extended Data Fig. 1). This shift in propulsion track assemblage community structure since disturbance, with low richness and high dominance, is consistent with a classic disturbance and organic enrichment scenario³⁶, a local pattern not yet seen in other areas of the CCZ¹⁰. The potential organic enrichment found in these experimental test tracks is unlikely to be as obvious with the more extensive track formation in a future exploitation scenario. First, collector propulsion at present appears to be favouring



Fig. 2 | Images of the tracks made by the 1979 OMCO mining test taken in 2023 unless stated otherwise. a, Typical photograph of undisturbed seabed at the control site. b, Still frame from a video of track taken from the seabed collector in 1979. c, Typical view of collector track in 2023, note the low collection efficiency of nodules in the central area. d, Area of track with complete removal of nodules in the centre and deeper propulsion tracks either side. e, Hexactinellid sponge in the collector track. Sponge in the black circle is roughly 270 mm in height. f, Xenophyophores (in the black circle, each 20–50 mm in diameter) growing in the track. g, Aggregation of Elpidiid holothurians, anemones and particulate organic matter in the propulsion track. h, Area immediately adjacent to the tracks (tracks visible in the background) showing typical nodule densities in area modelled to have been affected by the plume. **i**, Image showing an abrupt change in the nodule collection, probably caused by raising the collector rake. **j**, Epibenthic sled track, probably from 1978, made near the mining collector track. Note scale varies with perspective and each image was taken in a different location so has a different scale. Minor processing of colour balance has been applied to images to correct for differential absorption of light in water. Following image integrity standards, corrections have been applied equally across the entire image and are applied equally to control conditions. Scale bars, 0.5 m (**a**-**d**,**h**,**i**), 0.1 m (**e**-**g**,**j**).



Fig. 3 | Response of key parameters in 2023 to OMCO disturbance at the track centre (vermillion), plume (roughly 10 m away from track, sky blue), control (roughly 2 km away from track, green) and predisturbance data from 1978 (dark blue). These include megafauna and xenophyophores (both greater than 20 mm) determined from photographic transects (n = 4 transects per treatment, covering a total of 5,963 m²). Meiofaunal foraminifera from the surface sediments (n = 6 cores per treatment). Mean grain size in the surface (top 10 mm) sediments (n = 4 cores total). Total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen in the surface (top 5 mm) sediments (n = 38 cores total). Sediment and nodule macrofaunal samples (n = 6 cores control, n = 13 cores disturbed) from

tracked design, which will not cause such deep propulsion tracks. Second, the available organic material will be spread between all depressions in the area, meaning that each gets a smaller amount with many disturbances. the upper 150 mm of the sediment. Note for macrofaunal samples some of the boxcore locations could not be located accurately enough to determine whether they landed on the track or plume area so those in the track area were classified as disturbed (grey). Box plots represent median values, upper and lower quartiles and range with outliers removed. Actual data points are plotted as black circles. Results from the vehicle propulsion tracks were only available for megafauna so were not included on this figure. Note cartoons of disturbance regimes are not to scale. All data used in this figure are available in Supplementary Data 1.

Plume area

Obvious clouds of sediment were observed in the underwater video obtained from the collector in 1979 but no quantitative measurements

were made. The local deposition pattern of the sediment plume caused by the operations of the OMCO collector was estimated from a turbidity current model similar to that validated by observations¹⁷. For the typical scenario, the model results (Fig. 1) predict deposition thicknesses ranging from 0 to 10 mm over a distance of tens of metres away from the tracks (for the range, see Extended Data Fig. 5). The highest deposition thicknesses occur between the two tracks and at the turn at the northern extent of the track, where there is overlapping deposition coming from neighbouring track segments.

In 2023, the area adjacent to the tracks and between pairs of tracks was covered in nodules and was not visually discernible from any other areas outside the track. If we assume that this area would have been covered by resuspended sediments from a plume, then in the 44 years since the test the sediments have either been redistributed in the sediment surrounding the nodules or laterally dispersed, potentially during increased current events³⁷ or by bioturbation³³. Photogrammetric assessment of the 3D nodule surface suggests that there has been significant sediment infill (up to around 10 mm) between the nodules in the area adjacent to the tracks (less than 10 m) compared with the control area (Extended Data Fig. 6). The plume area had similar levels of surface organic material to the control area samples (total organic carbon and total nitrogen in dry sediment; Fig. 3). The plume area had elevated densities of megafauna in 2023, particularly bryozoans (largely Cyclostomatida fam. indet.) and echinoderms (ophiuroids, echinoids and holothurians) compared with both the track and control areas (Fig. 3).

Background environment

The near-seabed oceanographic conditions are similar between the OMCO test and control areas, with typical CCZ temperature (1.48 °C in situ), oxygen (151 μ mol kg⁻¹) and absolute salinity (34.87 g kg⁻¹) and current speeds twice exceeding the typical tidal range for this area of the CCZ (the major axes of semi- and diurnal M2, K1 components are 13.8, 7.4 mm s⁻¹; mean velocity from three lowered Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) profiles 8 m above the seabed: 40 ± 13 mm s⁻¹, direction $170 \pm 81^{\circ}$ was parallel the isobath and roughly aligned with the track orientation). No comparable data are available from 1979. Nodules in the collector area were abundant (from 14 boxcore samples, mean 155 nodules per m^2 and 18.6 kg nodules per m^2 , counts of 542 nodules) and relatively large (largest dimension, mean 72 ± 19 mm s.d.; maximum observed 152 mm) ranges comparable to other exploration areas within the CCZ³⁸. Most nodules were found at the sediment surface. There are no obvious differences in nodule appearance between the 1978 photos and the observations in 2023. Natural sedimentation rates across the CCZ are low (1.5-11.5 mm kyr⁻¹)³³.

Conclusions

This study is one of the few studies on the impacts caused by an abyssal polymetallic-nodule-mining collector vehicle, and represents a long duration study to determine the extent of recovery from mining disturbance in the CCZ. The areal extent of disturbance in the OMCO test was small relative to a commercial scale mine, with limited distance travelled by the collector and areas with incomplete nodule collection. Compared with current designs of nodule-harvesting vehicles, the OMCO vehicle had a similar mechanical collection approach to some but distinctly different impacts in the propulsion tracks, with Archimedes screws penetrating far more deeply into the seafloor than modern tracked vehicle designs. We show that visible physical disturbance remains in the abyss 44 years after this test with very little visible sign of physical remediation. However, we demonstrate that mobile organisms, including megafauna and macrofauna, are living in the most disturbed areas. As far as we can measure them, sediment and nodule macrofauna densities and microbial biomass

are similar in and out of disturbed areas. We also provide evidence of early stages of re-establishment of some sessile megafaunal-sized species after four decades, although megafaunal communities are still very different from past or undisturbed conditions. The depressions in the seabed created by the propulsion tracks appear to have aggregated organic matter and attract mobile megafaunal deposit feeders. Sediments from the plume created by the OMCO collector are no longer obvious but are detectable in photogrammetric measurements of sediment infill between nodules and appear to support elevated densities of megafauna compared with undisturbed areas. Upscaling the observations to the spatial scales and impacts of a full commercial mine will require further work and would also require a better understanding of the natural variability of the CCZ¹⁰. However, our results show that, if mining were to take place, efforts to reduce the direct collector impact could be effective in limiting the overall ecological effects of mining operations on seafloor biota, but the visible physical effects of the collection can be assumed to last for at least many decades.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08921-3.

- Pickens, C., Lily, H., Harrould-Kolieb, E., Blanchard, C. & Chakraborty, A. From what-if to what-now: status of the deep-sea mining regulations and underlying drivers for outstanding issues. *Mar. Policy* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2023.105967 (2024).
- Jones, D. O. B., Amon, D. J. & Chapman, A. S. A. In Natural Capital and Exploitation of the Deep Ocean (eds Baker M. et al.) Ch. 5. 91–110 (Oxford Univ. Press, 2020).
- Jones, D. O. B. et al. Biological responses to disturbance from simulated deep-sea polymetallic nodule mining. PLoS ONE 12, e0171750 (2017).
- Vanreusel, A., Hilario, A., Ribeiro, P. A., Menot, L. & Arbizu, P. M. Threatened by mining, polymetallic nodules are required to preserve abyssal epifauna. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 26808 (2016).
- Van Dover, C. L. et al. Biodiversity loss from deep-sea mining. Nat. Geosci. 10, 464–465 (2017).
- Kaikkonen, L. & van Putten, I. We may not know much about the deep sea, but do we care about mining it? *People Nat.* 3, 843–860 (2021).
- Hein, J. R., Koschinsky, A. & Kuhn, T. Deep-ocean polymetallic nodules as a resource for critical materials. Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 1, 158–169 (2020).
- Stewart, E. C. D. et al. Biodiversity, biogeography, and connectivity of polychaetes in the world's largest marine minerals exploration frontier. *Divers. Distrib.* 29, 727–747 (2023).
- Gooday, A. J. et al. Five new species and two new genera of xenophyophores (Foraminifera: Rhizaria) from part of the abyssal equatorial Pacific licensed for polymetallic nodule exploration. *Zool. J. Linn.* Soc. **183**, 723–774 (2017).
- Simon-Lledó, E. et al. Carbonate compensation depth drives abyssal biogeography in the northeast Pacific. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 7, 1388–1397 (2023).
- Snelgrove, P. V. R. & Smith, C. R. A riot of species in an environmental calm: the paradox of the species-rich deep-sea floor. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 40, 311–342 (2002).
- 12. Rabone, M. et al. How many metazoan species live in the world's largest mineral exploration region? *Curr. Biol.* **33**, 2383–2396.e5 (2023).
- Danovaro, R. et al. Ecological variables for developing a global deep-ocean monitoring and conservation strategy. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 4, 181–192 (2020).
- Sharma, R. In Deep-Sea Mining: Resource Potential, Technical and Environmental Considerations (ed. Sharma, R.) 229–256 (Springer, 2017).
- Madureira, P., Brekke, H., Cherkashov, G. & Rovere, M. Exploration of polymetallic nodules in the area: reporting practices, data management and transparency. *Mar. Policy* 70, 101–107 (2016).
- Stenvers, V. I. et al. Experimental mining plumes and ocean warming trigger stress in a deep pelagic jellyfish. Nat. Commun. 14, 7352 (2023).
- Muñoz-Royo, C., Ouillon, R., El Mousadik, S., Alford, M. H. & Peacock, T. An in situ study of abyssal turbidity-current sediment plumes generated by a deep seabed polymetallic nodule mining preprototype collector vehicle. Sci. Adv. 8, eabn1219 (2022).
- Muñoz-Royo, C. et al. Extent of impact of deep-sea nodule mining midwater plumes is influenced by sediment loading, turbulence and thresholds. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 2, 148 (2021).
- Weaver, P. P. E. et al. Assessing plume impacts caused by polymetallic nodule mining vehicles. Mar. Policy 139, 105011 (2022).
- Vos, M. et al. The Asymmetric Response Concept explains ecological consequences of multiple stressor exposure and release. Sci. Total Environ. 872, 162196 (2023).
- Lutz, M. J., Caldeira, K., Dunbar, R. B. & Behrenfeld, M. J. Seasonal rhythms of net primary production and particulate organic carbon flux to depth describe the efficiency of biological pump in the global ocean. J. Geophys. Res. 112, C10011 (2007).

- Simon-Lledó, E. et al. Biological effects 26 years after simulated deep-sea mining. Sci. Rep. 9, 8040 (2019).
- Stratmann, T. et al. Abyssal plain faunal carbon flows remain depressed 26 years after a simulated deep-sea mining disturbance. *Biogeosciences* 15, 4131–4145 (2018).
- 24. de Jonge, D. S. W. et al. Abyssal food-web model indicates faunal carbon flow recovery and impaired microbial loop 26 years after a sediment disturbance experiment. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **189**, 102446 (2020).
- Borowski, C. Physically disturbed deep-sea macrofauna in the Peru Basin, southeast Pacific, revisited 7 years after the experimental impact. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 48, 3809–3839 (2001).
- Levin, L. A., Amon, D. J. & Lily, H. Challenges to the sustainability of deep-seabed mining. Nat. Sustain. 3, 784–794 (2020).
- Welling, C. G. An advanced design deep sea mining system. In Proc. Offshore Technology Conference https://doi.org/10.4043/4094-MS (1981).
- Welling, C. G. et al. Ocean mining system and process. US patent 4232903 A (1980).
 Kang, Y. & Liu, S. The development history and latest progress of deep-sea polymetallic
- nodule mining technology. Minerals 11, 1132 (2021).
 Gooday, A. J., Durden, J. M. & Smith, C. R. Giant, highly diverse protists in the abyssal Pacific: vulnerability to impacts from seabed mining and potential for recovery. Commun. Integr. Biol. 13, 189–197 (2020).
- Gooday, A. J., Bett, B. J. & Pratt, D. N. Direct observation of episodic growth in an abyssal xenophyophore (Protista). *Deep-Sea Res. I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* **40**, 2131–2143 (1993).
- Hess, S. et al. Monitoring the recolonization of the Mt Pinatubo 1991 ash layer by benthic foraminifera. Mar. Micropaleontol. 43, 119–142 (2001).
- Volz, J. B. et al. Natural spatial variability of depositional conditions, biogeochemical processes and element fluxes in sediments of the eastern Clarion–Clipperton Zone, Pacific Ocean. Deep-Sea Res. J 140, 159–172 (2018).
- Zhang, X. et al. A review on underwater collection and transportation equipment of polymetallic nodules in deep-sea mining. J. Marine Sci. Eng. 12, 788 (2024).

- Hoving, H.-J. et al. Major fine-scale spatial heterogeneity in accumulation of gelatinous carbon fluxes on the deep seabed. *Front. Marine Sci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023. 1192242 (2023).
- Pearson, T. H. & Rosenberg, R. Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 16, 229–311 (1978).
- Aleynik, D., Inall, M. E., Dale, A. & Vink, A. Impact of remotely generated eddies on plume dispersion at abyssal mining sites in the Pacific. Sci. Rep. 7, 16959 (2017).
- Jones, D. O. B. et al. Environment, ecology, and potential effectiveness of an area protected from deep-sea mining (Clarion Clipperton Zone, abyssal Pacific). Prog. Oceanogr. 197, 102653 (2021).
- Cheng, Y., Dai, Y., Zhang, Y., Yang, C. & Liu, C. Status and prospects of the development of deep-sea polymetallic nodule-collecting technology. Sustainability 15, 4572 (2023).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2025

Methods

Mining test

Between 15 and 18 March 1979 an experimental mining machine was deployed on a site centred 13° 44' N 126° 13.5' W. The 9-m wide, 14-m long, 4.5-m high machine⁴⁰ was lowered to the seafloor at around 4,700 m deep from the moon pool of the Hughes Glomar Explorer vessel by extending a steel riser, made up of 60-foot (18-m) pipe sections²⁷. This riser was attached to a 150-ton buffer⁴¹, which was then connected to the vehicle by a flexible linkage (umbilical) with electrical cable, hydraulic lines and a nodule slurry hose. On the seafloor, the buffer was positioned roughly 20 m above and ahead of the mining vehicle. When it landed on the seafloor, the collector vehicle was used to mine an unknown quantity of nodules over the 4 days of the experiment. The collector was self-propelled, using two Archimedes screws of around 2 m diameter, which achieved speeds over the seabed of $0.25-1 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ (ref. 42). The vehicle was driven around 1 nautical mile (1.85 km) in a roughly northerly direction. It did a 180° looped turn and proceeded southwards, doing some manoeuvrability tests. The vehicle collected nodules mechanically using a rotating seabed rake that picked up nodules and transferred them by using a conveyor to a crusher. The crushed nodule slurry was pumped through the flexible linkage to temporary storage in the buffer. The nodule slurry could then be lifted through the riser pipe string to the surface vessel using an airlift, electric pump and pressurized water system²⁸. On at least one occasion, the nodules formed a blockage and the rake was lifted stopping nodule collection. Previous nodule collection had been carried out with epibenthic sleds at the site. The vehicle position was recorded with reference to a long baseline acoustic array, informed by eight transponder beacons around the site. The array provided good relative navigation: absolute navigation was provided by an early satellite navigation system. The location of the test estimated at the time was accurate to within roughly 500 m of the known modern position.

Predisturbance photography

Photographs were obtained of the seafloor in the area of the collector test during three cruises of the RV *Governor Ray*⁴³. Two cruises were carried out before the test (June 1978, GR7801; November 1978, GR7804) and one after (October 1979, GR7904). Monochrome images were collected using a Benthos 35 mm film camera, mounted vertically on a towed frame. Height above the seabed was determined with a Benthos Model 211 altimeter and recorded on each photographic frame. Images in which seafloor was visible were collected at altitudes ranging from 0.6 to 9 m. Only images collected at altitudes less than 3.5 m were included in the analysis as this allowed reliable detection of megafaunal specimens larger than 20 mm. Overlapping images were removed through manual inspection (leaving a total of 1,929 images that could be analysed, available from ref. 44). Although the tracks were not imaged in this survey, the photographs provide important context about change in the baseline environment over time and are used to assess megafaunal communities.

Sample collection

Samples, imagery and other data were collected during RRS *James Cook* expedition JC241 between 14 February and 12 March 2023 (see Supplementary Data 1 for metadata, data summary and full data). The expedition was centred on the area of the 1979 collector test. This area is not within any of the current International Seabed Authority exploration contract areas but is located 1–3 km south of the central of the three areas now contracted to the Cook Islands Investment Corporation. Four treatment classes were chosen for assessment in 2023, each expected to have a different type of disturbance during the OMCO test: (1) collection tracks, 4.5-m wide areas effectively mined by the collector rake with evident mechanical disturbance, flat surface and nodule depleted; (2) vehicle propulsion tracks, 0.2–0.8-m deep and

up to 2-m-wide parallel furrows each side of the collection tracks with severe mechanical disturbance still evident, concave-shaped and very few nodules visible; (3) plume areas, adjacent (5–10 m) to vehicle tracks, with no apparent disturbance, mostly flat and harbouring high nodule abundance and (4) the control site, which assumed the non-affected area located roughly 2 km east from the OMCO test area but with similar terrain features, particularly being mostly flat and harbouring high nodule abundance. The deep propulsion tracks could not be physically sampled as the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) had insufficient reach to core them or pick up faunal specimens.

Multibeam sonar data (Reson 7125), photographic (Insite Super Scorpio and National Oceanography Centre (NOC) AESA Camera) and video (Insite Mini Zeus Mk2, Kongsberg Eyeball Cam) imagery and samples of seafloor sediments, nodules and animals were acquired using the UK ROV *ISIS*. ROV relative navigation used RDI Navigator 300 kHz bottom tracking Doppler velocity log (DVL). Lowered gear was equipped with a Sonardyne Ranger2 Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) acoustic beacon to ensure accurate positioning. ROV multibeam echosounder data were recorded in PDS2000 and processed using Qimera v.2.4.3. USBL positions were updated with DVL navigation and tidal corrections were applied. Multibeam echosounder backscatter processing was carried out in FMGT v.7.10.1, based on the processed bathymetry files. Further navigational adjustments to compensate for DVL drift were applied in ArcMap v.10.6, where final merged grids were created.

Sediment samples were obtained with a 50 × 50 cm USNEL Boxcore $(n = 19)^{45}$, Bowers and Connelly Megacore (n = 8; 100-mm-diameter tubes), ROV push cores (n > 38; 55 mm diameter) and a 5-m gravity core (n = 6; 70 mm diameter) targeted at random within specific features. The position of some boxcores, megacores and gravity cores relative to the tracks was determined from ROV images of their imprints but not all could be located this way. Megafauna were sampled by direct collection with the ROV. Fishes were sampled using a fish trap lander⁴⁶.

Boxcores provided quantitative samples of nodule and sediment macrofauna. The boxcore was equipped with a USBL positioning beacon and every effort was made to precisely target specific features. The position of some boxcores relative to the tracks was determined from ROV images but not all could be located this way. Because there was some uncertainty in positioning (estimated ± 5 m), we could not accurately determine whether each boxcore had landed within the collector track. As a result, we grouped together all samples that were obtained within 10 m from the track as being 'disturbed' and compared them to control conditions. This was a different approach from the other analyses, where the samples could be accurately positioned relative to the track with the ROV imagery and precision collections.

To process the boxcores, nodules were removed and nodule fauna immediately sorted and preserved. Sediment fauna were extracted from the upper 100 mm of sediment from the entire core and overlying water by sieving through a 300-µm sieve with cold filtered seawater. A 15 × 15-cm subcore was taken and sliced in two layers, 0-20 and 20-50 mm, before being sieved through a 300-µm sieve, live sorted, photographed and preserved individually in 80% non-denatured ethanol. All remaining sediment in the boxcore was sliced in 0-20, 20-50 and 50-100-mm layers and sieved on 300-µm sieves, before being bulk fixed in 100% non-denatured ethanol⁴⁷. For the quantitative analysis, all data from the 15 × 15-cm subcore were combined with the main sample. Individuals were identified to phylum level for this analysis. Arthropods and annelids were only counted if the head was present, and echinoderms only if the oral disc was present. For the macrofaunal densities, the numbers of organisms in the whole boxcore were presented (adding the live sort numbers to those counted from the rest of the core). Any nodule-dwelling fauna recovered in any of the sediment layers were excluded from both the sediment and nodule fauna analyses to avoid inconsistency. For the sediment macrofauna, any pelagic (for example, chaetognaths, appendicularians, ctenophores) or meiofauna (for example, halicarids, ostracods and copepods) were excluded from the analyses.

Meiofaunal foraminifera were sampled using ROV push cores to enable precise sampling in the collection track, plume area and the control site. For meiofaunal foraminifera assessment, cores were extruded on board at 10-mm intervals and preserved in 70% non-denatured ethanol. Samples were sieved through a 63-µm mesh, and foraminifera from the top 10 mm were identified, counted, photographed and differentiated as live or dead using a Leica M205c microscope with a 32MP sensor camera.

Total bacterial biomass (mg C m⁻²) and assimilation (mg ¹³C m⁻²) of ¹³C were assessed through stable-isotope pulse chase experiments in 2023. Four benthic incubation chambers were deployed: two on the track and two roughly 20 m away on sediment with no visible disturbance. The off-track samples were within the area expected to have been affected by the plume. A total of 0.2 g of isotope-labelled Phaeodactvlum tricornutum (grown in media with 25% 13C and 15N) was injected into each chamber. After roughly 70 h, the incubation chambers were removed and ROV push cores were used to sample the sediments in the imprint (n = 3 per chamber). The upper 20 mm of sediment were sampled and frozen. The total bacterial biomass and assimilation were calculated from the concentration of and label incorporation into the bacterial fatty acids (IC_{15:0}) following standard methods for extraction and processing⁴⁸. These used an average fraction-specific bacterial to phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) ratio encountered in sediment dominated by bacteria (0.017). The ¹³C assimilation values (mg ¹³C m⁻²) for bacteria were then converted to daily C assimilation rates (mg C m⁻² day⁻¹) by accounting for the fractional abundance of ¹³C in the added algae (3.9 atom%) as follows: (Cassimilation = 13 C incorporated (mg 13 C m ${}^{-2}$)/ fractional abundance of ${}^{13}C$ in algae)/2.8.

Assessment of total nitrogen, total carbon and total organic carbon was done from the top 5 mm of sediment megacores and ROV push cores. These cores were sliced, stored in foil lined Petri dishes and frozen (-20 °C). In the laboratory sediments were lyophilized and homogenized before analyses. Samples were analysed following acid vapour treatment (HCl; 12 h), using a FlashSmart elemental analyser (Thermo Scientific). A four-point daily calibration was performed using differing weights of High Organic Sediment Standard OAS and Low Organic Soil Standard OAS (Elemental Microanalysis Ltd, NIST certified values). The standards were then analysed as unknowns during the beginning, middle and end of the run to check for precision. The results of the unknowns were within the uncertainty limits of the certified values that are high organic standard (carbon $1.65 \pm 0.02\%$, nitrogen $0.14 \pm 0.01\%$), with detection limits of 100 ppm for both C and N.

Sediment grain size was measured by laser diffraction (Malvern Mastersizer) following the same approach as ref. 49.

Measurements and samples of the water column were made using a CTD Rosette with 24 10-l Niskin Bottles, the Seabird SBE 9plus CTD Unit and RDI 300 kHz lowered ADCP.

Plume modelling

A turbidity current box model with settling⁵⁰ was used to calculate plume deposition on the basis of the operational parameters of the OMCO test and the results of studies into gravity currents from moving sources⁵¹. A range of particle settling speeds and sediment mobilization were considered based on the sediment characteristics measured at the site, to investigate the possible range of deposition patterns. Three amounts of suspended sediment across the range of scenarios were considered. These corresponded to the upper 3, 5 and 7 cm of the seabed being resuspended by the rake along with 1, 5 and 10% of the furrow area created by the Archimedes screws, to cover a range of potential scenarios. This equated to discharge concentrations of 2.31, 2.6 and 5.1 kg m⁻³. In addition, three distributions of settling velocities around 0.1 mm s⁻¹ and the fastest scenario dominated by velocities around 3.5 mm s⁻¹. The results presented (Fig. 1) correspond to an

intermediate scenario across all parameters. The model deposition thickness did not exceed 15 mm or extend more than 100 m from the tracks in any scenarios investigated (Extended Data Fig. 5) and so the intermediate scenario was considered a reasonable representation.

Photography and track assessment in 2023

Scalable, high-resolution image and video transects of the seafloor were obtained using several camera systems mounted on the ROV*ISIS* across the OMCO test and control areas (Extended Data Figs. 1–3). Vertically facing photographs were collected using a Grasshopper2 GS2-GE-50S5C camera in the collection tracks, plume area and control site⁵² while high-definition oblique video transects were collected using a Super-SCORPIO HDR-CX56OV camera to characterize the community within vehicle propulsion tracks⁵³. All image and video data were collected at a target altitude of 2.5 m above the seabed.

Image processing

Overlapping seabed areas surveyed during the two ROV imaging surveys were removed from analyses, based on USBL and DVL navigation data, so faunal counts were not repeated. Overlapping areas of still and video images were checked before removal. For the video analysis the area surveyed was a fixed width of 2 m, and only sections where the full width of the propulsion track was visible were retained for subsequent numerical analyses. Only still images from the collection tracks where nodules had been cleared were used to calculate 'Track' densities. Megafauna specimens larger than 20 mm could be consistently detected and were counted in both still image sets and videos. These were counted, measured and identified using BIIGLE v.2.0 software⁵⁴ from both the precollection and 2023 images in the same way by the same annotator. Animals were identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible (morphotype, typically genus or family level in undescribed species) in accordance with the code-based Abyssal Pacific Standardized Megafauna Atlas v.1. (APSMA, available in ref. 55). The APSMA catalogue follows open nomenclature⁵⁶ to report the taxonomic resolution reached in each taxon but all morphotypes identified from the catalogue were deemed as sufficiently different by taxonomic experts to be confidently considered separate species. Taxa living in a closed shell or tube (for example, most polychaetes) were excluded from analysis as it is not possible to determine whether these are alive from imagery data. Image annotations were quality controlled by random checks of previously annotated images and several checks for consistency of identifications were made using the Label Review Grid Overview tool. Sample units were composed of images randomly selected without replacement until a consistent seabed area was reached (1,200 m², equating to roughly 1,060 images).

Photogrammetry

To evaluate whether plume deposition could reduce nodule elevation, micro-relief differences were tested in the control, and 5 m to the east and west of the tracks. For each location, three random sets of 100 consecutive images were selected to produce replicates of 10-m seabed portions with 3D photogrammetric models of the seabed (millimetre resolution, Agisoft Metashape, v.2.0.1). Models were scaled with navigation, altitude and attitude of the ROV. For each model, a centimetre-resolution georeferenced digital terrain model (DTM) was imported in R as raster. Local standard deviation of bathymetry (that is, micro-relief) was calculated with a moving window of 9×9 cm² (that is, roughly the area of a single nodule) using the function adjSD (package MultiscaleDTM, v.O.8.3, ref. 57; Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). For each transect, DTM micro-relief was randomly binned into 20 subsamples per transect without replacement. Maximum micro-relief value was retrieved from each subsample (n = 180) to isolate the larger height difference between seabed sediment and nodules. Maximum micro-relief differences among locations were tested with non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis

and post hoc Wilcoxon tests. Micro-relief may be associated with plume material discharged by the OMCO collector (shown as ref. 39 in Fig. 1).

Inclusion and ethics statement

All collaborators of this study fulfilling the criteria for authorship required by Nature Portfolio journals have been included as authors, as their participation was essential for the design and implementation of the study. This work was carried out in the Area, beyond national jurisdiction, and has no clear local partners. Local and regional research relevant to our study was taken into account in citations.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Data generated for this study are available in the Supplementary Information. The images of the seafloor used for analysis are available at https://doi.org/10.5285/2392b266-126b-db3f-e063-7086abc0fe00 (ref. 52, images taken in 2023) and https://doi.org/10.5285/27e550f2-81ff-6bf8-e063-7086abc04f4f (ref. 44, images taken in the 1970s). Highlight images from the expedition, including many views of the seafloor, tracks and fauna are provided at https://doi.org/10.5285/2e5a5010-4abd-2beb-e063-7086abc0b159 (ref. 58). The APSMA image-based taxonomical catalogue used in the identification of organisms in this study is available at Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7765164)⁵⁵. Data handling and analyses were implemented using standard methods, software tools and functions detailed in the Methods.

- Cromie, W. J. Vacuuming the ocean floor. Technology Illustrated 33–39 (August/September, 1982).
- Brink, A. N. & Chung, J. S. Automatic position control of A30,000 tons ship during ocean mining operations. In Proc. Offshore Technology Conference https://doi.org/10.4043/ 4091-MS (1981).
- Chung, J. S. Manganese nodule miners on 18,000-ft deep seabed: touchdown, trackkeeping control and disturbed seabed track history. *Int. J. Offshore Polar Eng.* **31**, 385–394 (2021).
- Morgan, C. L., Nichols, J. A., Selk, B. W., Toth, J. R. & Wallin, C. Preliminary analysis of exploration data from Pacific deposits of manganese nodules. *Mar. Georesour. Geotechnol.* 11, 1–25 (1993).
- Benthic Images Recorded by a Towed Camera in 1978-79 in the Ocean Minerals Company (OMCO) area of the Clarion Clipperton Zone (Pacific Ocean). Published Data Library (National Oceanography Centre, British Oceanographic Data Centre, 2025); https://doi. org/10.5285/27e550f2-81ff-6bf8-e063-7086abc04f4f.
- Hessler, R. R. & Jumars, P. A. Abyssal community analysis from replicate box cores in the central North Pacific. Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr. 21, 185–209 (1974).
- Hartl, M. G. J., Baumann, L. M. & Sweetman, A. K. At-sea application of the comet assay to a deep-sea fish. Deep-Sea Res. J 208, 104298 (2024).
- Glover, A., Dahlgren, T., Wiklund, H., Mohrbeck, I. & Smith, C. An end-to-end DNA taxonomy methodology for benthic biodiversity survey in the Clarion–Clipperton Zone, Central Pacific Abyss. J. Marine Sci. Eng. 4, 2 (2016).

- Sweetman, A. K. et al. Impacts of exotic mangrove forests and mangrove deforestation on carbon remineralization and ecosystem functioning in marine sediments. *Biogeosciences* 7, 2129–2145 (2010).
- Simon-Lledó, E. et al. Megafaunal variation in the abyssal landscape of the Clarion Clipperton Zone. Prog. Oceanogr. 170, 119–133 (2019).
- Harris, T. C., Hogg, A. J. & Huppert, H. E. Polydisperse particle-driven gravity currents. J. Fluid Mech. 472, 333–371 (2002).
- Ouillon, R., Kakoutas, C., Meiburg, E. & Peacock, T. Gravity currents from moving sources. J. Fluid Mech. 924, A43 (2021).
- Benthic Images Recorded by a Remotely Operated Vehicle Stills Camera During Cruise JC241 in the Clarion–Clipperton Zone (Pacific Ocean, 2023). Published Data Library (National Oceanography Centre, British Oceanographic Data Centre, 2025); https://doi. org/10.5285/2392b266-126b-db3f-e063-7086abc0fe00.
- Benthic Images Recorded by a Remotely Operated Vehicle Video Camera During Cruise JC241 in the Eastern Clarion–Clipperton Zone (Pacific Ocean, 2023). Published Data Library (National Oceanography Centre, British Oceanographic Data Centre, 2025); https://doi.org/10.5285/2de087c9-cee3-87f4-e063-7086abc0f9a9.
- Langenkämper, D., Zurowietz, M., Schoening, T. & Nattkemper, T. W. BIIGLE 2.0—browsing and annotating large marine image collections. *Front. Marine Sci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2017.00083 (2017).
- Simon-Lledó, E. et al. Abyssal Pacific Seafloor Megafauna Atlas (version 1). Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7765164 (2023).
- Horton, T. et al. Recommendations for the standardisation of open taxonomic nomenclature for image-based identifications. *Front. Marine Sci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2021.620702 (2021).
- Ilich, A. R., Misiuk, B., Lecours, V. & Murawski, S. A. MultiscaleDTM: an open-source R package for multiscale geomorphometric analysis. *Trans. GIS* 27, 1164–1204 (2023).
- Highlight seabed images taken by ROV in the Ocean Minerals Company (OMCO) during expedition JC241 in the Clarion–Clipperton Zone (Pacific Ocean, 2023). Published Data Library (National Oceanography Centre, British Oceanographic Data Centre, 2025); https://doi.org/10.5285/2e5a5010-4abd-2beb-e063-7086abc0b159.

Acknowledgements We thank the captain and crew of RRS James Cook, the UK Marine Autonomous Robotic Systems ISIS ROV team as well as the team of technical experts on board for help in data collection. This work was part of the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Seabed Mining And Resilience To EXperimental impact (SMARTEX) project (Grant Reference NE/TO03537/1). The plume modelling work was carried out by atdepth LLC under a contract to Loke Minerals (as the current owner of UK Seabed Resources Ltd). B.F.M.F. and E.C.D.S. were funded through the NERC INSPIRE Doctoral Training Programme (grant number NE/S007210/1). The seafloor photographs from the 1970s were provided for analysis by UK Seabed Resources Ltd. The funders and external data providers had no role in the design, execution, analysis, interpretation of the data or decision to submit results from this study.

Author contributions D.O.B.J., A.G.G., J.T.C., V.A.I.H., R.M.J., A.K.S., T.S., D.A. and A.D. conceived the study and obtained funding. D.O.B.J. and A.G.G. led the seagoing expeditions, which also included M.B.A., L.V.A., G.B.-C., S.E., B.F.M.F., H.G., M.G.J.H., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.O.M., E.C.D.S., A.K.S., C.W. and D.A. D.O.B.J., M.B.A., L.V.A., S.B., C.B., G.B.-C., J.T.C., A.D., S.E., B.F.M.F., A.R.G., H.G., M.G.J.H., V.A., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.C.M., S.C., S.G., M.G.J.H., V.A.I.H., R.M.J., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.O.M., T.P., T.S., E.C.D.S., A.K.S., C.W., D.A. and A.G.G. carried out data analysis and interpretation. D.O.B.J. wrote the paper with contributions, reviews and improvements from M.B.A., L.V.A., S.B., C.B., G.B.-C., J.T.C., A.D., S.E., B.F.M.F., A.R.G., H.G., M.G.J.H., V.A.I.H., R.M.J., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.O.M., T.L., S.L., T.C., S.B., C.B., G.B.-C., J.T.C., A.D., S.E., B.F.M.F., A.R.G., H.G., M.G.J.H., V.A.I.H., R.M.J., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.O.M., T.P., T.S., E.C.D.S., A.K.S., C.W., D.A. and A.G.G. P.C., J.T.C., A.D., S.E., B.F.M.F., A.R.G., H.G., M.G.J.H., V.A.I.H., R.M.J., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.O.M., T.P., T.S., B.C.M., T.P., T.S., E.C.D.S., A.K.S., C.W., D.A. and A.G.G. P.C., J.T.C., A.D., S.E., B.F.M.F., A.R.G., H.G., M.G.J.H., V.A.I.H., R.M.J., P.J., L.D.K., E.S.-L., T.L.B., L.N., B.O.M., T.P., T.S., E.C.D.S., A.K.S., C.W., D.A. and A.G.G. P.Iume modelling was coordinated by T.P.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08921-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Daniel O. B. Jones. Peer review information *Nature* thanks Miquel Canals, Virginia Edgcomb, Jacquomo Monk and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints.



Extended Data Fig. 1 | **Map of study area showing tracks and control area.** Top: ROV and shipboard multibeam bathymetry map with interpreted miner and epibenthic sled tracks. Bottom: Shipboard bathymetry map overlaid with multibeam backscatter from ROV survey, clearly showing the mining vehicle and epibenthic sled tracks. Position in degrees minutes seconds (WGS84).







Extended Data Fig. 3 | Photomosaic of track. Oblique view of 3-dimensional model.



Extended Data Fig. 4 | **Cross section of multibeam of track area.** Units meters. Distance across track shown in meters on x-axis. Depth on y-axis. Figure shows two collector tracks separated by approximately 60 m.

 $Cross section from \, 800604 \, E \, 1519645 \, N \, to \, 800759 \, E \, 1519645 \, N \, (WGS \, 84 \, Universal \, Transverse \, Mercator \, Zone \, 9 \, N).$





furrow area of Archimedes screws resuspended (2.6 kg m⁻³). C. Deposition after sediment from upper 3 cm and 1% furrow area of Archimedes screws resuspended (2.31 kg m⁻³). Intermediate deposition scenario with resuspension from settling velocities of D. 0.1 mm s⁻¹, E.1 mm s⁻¹ and F. 3.5 mm s⁻¹. Coordinates are eastings and northings (meter units) WGS 84 UTM Zone 9 North.



Extended Data Fig. 6 | **Nodule infill.** A. Mapping of micro-relief over 3 photogrammetric transects in locations 5-m west and east to the plume and in the control area. B. Zoom on micro-relief maps showing the presence of elliptic nodules of 5 to 10 cm diameter. C. Distribution of micro-relief values over the digital terrain models (DTM). D. Dispersion of maximum micro-relief per locations. Boxplots represent median values, upper and lower quartiles and range with outliers removed. The (n = 180) individual maximum micro-relief values are plotted as points overlying the boxes. Overall micro-relief in the

control area was higher (average 5.9 mm) than the west and east plume areas (average 3.8 and 4.7 mm respectively). Maximum micro-relief was significantly higher in the control (average 24.1 mm) than the east and west plume areas (average 14.4 and 15.3 mm respectively; Kruskal Wallis χ^2 (2) = 89.52, df=2, p < 0.001, n = 180). Wilcoxon post-hoc tests further indicated significant differences between the control and both plume locations (p Bonferonni <e-11, n = 120), but not between plume locations.

Extended Data Table 1 | Results of statistical tests for environmental parameters quantified in OMCO collector tracks, plume area and control site

			1		-	-	
Variable	Units	Comparison	Test and	Test	Degrees	P	Significant
			Generalised	(Chi	freedom	value (two	differences and
			Error type	(CIII-	needoni	(lwo-	test name
Meiofaunal	Numbers	Track (n=6)	GLM Quasi-	2 36	2	NS	NA Note that
foraminifera	per cm ²	plume $(n=6)$	Poisson	2.00	2	(0.31)	there is a
loraminora	seafloor	and control	1 0100011			(0.01)	significant
	o cuile ci	(n=6)					difference (GLM
		(Test stat. = 4.26 .
							$d_{1}f_{1} = 1, p < 0.05$
							between the track
							and control if the
							plume data are
							omitted from the
							test.
Sediment-	Numbers	Disturbed	GLM Quasi-	1.19	1	NS	NA
dwelling	per m ²	(n=13) and	Poisson			(0.27)	
macrofauna	seafloor	control (n=6)					
Nodule-dwelling	Numbers	Disturbed	GLM Quasi-	0.03	1	NS (0.07)	NA
macroiauna	per m-	(n-13) and $(n-6)$	Poisson			(0.07)	
Megafaunal sized	Numbers	Track (n=4)	GLM Quasi	41.05	2	-	Plume vs track
xenonhvonhores	ner m ²	nlume $(n=4)$,	Poisson	41.00	2	0.001	and control vs
Kenephyophoree	seafloor	and control	1 0100011			0.001	track (Tukev)
		(n=4)					
Megafaunal	Numbers	Track (n=4),	GLM Quasi-	191.74	3	<	All except control
density	per m ²	plume (n=4),	Poisson			0.001	vs pre (Tukey)
	seafloor	control (n=4)					
		and pre-					
		disturbance					
O a diverse to Tastal	0/	(n=4)		10.00	0	10.01	Dhuman una tura alu
Sediment Total	%	rack (n=18),	GLM	10.30	2	< 0.01	Plume vs track
		plume (n=9)	Gaussian				track (Tukov)
		(n=10)					liack (Tukey)
Sediment Total	%	Track (n=18)	GLM	8 95	2	< 0.05	Plume vs track
Nitrogen	70	plume $(n=9)$	Gaussian	0.00	2		(Tukev)
. the egot		and control	Caucolan				(1010))
		(n=10)					
Carbon uptake		Inside (n=2)	Kruskal-	2.40	1	NS	NA
		and outside	Wallis			(0.12)	
	u	tracks (n=2)					
Microbial biomass		Inside (n=2)	Kruskal-	0.60	1	NS	NA
	mg C m ⁻²	and outside	Wallis			(0.44)	
		tracks (n=2)				1	

NS = not significant (p>0.05). NA = Not applicable. Generalized linear (GLM) statistical models were independently developed using R (version 4.4.1) to examine whether the variable under investigation (e.g. faunal density) could be explained using the explanatory variable of disturbance type (categorical, e.g. track, plume and control). Quasi-Poisson errors were used for count variables, where variance appeared to be related to the mean. Gaussian errors were used for continuous data and selected after verifying normality (by visual examination of quantile-quantile plot) and homogeneity of variance (by visual examination of conditional boxplots). Kruskal-Wallis was used where variables were not clearly normally distributed. Numbers of observations (n) are indicated in the comparisons column. Note very low replication (n=2) in microbial carbon uptake and biomass, where tests should be treated with caution. All measurements were made from distinct samples.

nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Daniel Jones

Last updated by author(s): 3 July 2024

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

 Data collection
 Data collecting and handling were implemented using standard methods, software tools and functions detailed in the Methods.

 Data analysis
 Data analyses were implemented using standard methods, software tools and functions detailed in the Methods.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Data generated for this study are available in the supplementary material. The images of the seafloor used for analysis are available at https:// doi.org/10.5285/2392b266-126b-db3f-e063-7086abc0fe00 (images taken in 2023) and https://doi.org/10.5285/27e550f2-81ff-6bf8-e063-7086abc04f4f (images taken in 1970s). The Abyssal Pacific Seafloor Megafauna Atlas (APSMA image-based taxonomical catalogue) used in the identification of organisms in this study is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7765164. Data handling and analyses were implemented using standard methods, software tools and functions detailed in the Methods.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation),</u> <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race, ethnicity and racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender	N/A
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	N/A
Population characteristics	N/A
Recruitment	N/A
Ethics oversight	N/A

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	The study collected quantitative data from a site disturbed by a mining vehicle in 1979, 44 year prior to the expedition. There were up to five treatment factors used: 1) "collection tracks" on the vehicle track, 2) "propulsion tracks" impacted by the vehicle propulsion system, 3) "plume areas" adjacent to the track which were assumed from plume models to be impacted by sedimentation disturbance, 4) a "control" site ~2 km east from the OMCO test area, and 5) "pre-disturbance" obtained prior to the mining vehicle test. The number of sampling units obtained was variable depending on the analysis, but ranged between 3 and 12 for each treatment, except for the carbon uptake measurements (n = 2).
Research sample	For faunal samples, the research samples consisted of all animals within a set size fraction: megafauna (>10mm) and macrofauna (>300 μ m). These are commonly used and standard groups used in deep-water studies and recognised by the International Seabed Authority.
Sampling strategy	The size of sampling units was set based on standard practice for macrofauna (a 0.25m2 boxcore; e.g. DOI: 10.3390/jmse4010002) and based on sampling unit size evaluation (e.g. DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2018.11.003) for photographic samples of megafauna. Sediment samples were obtained using standard approaches.
Data collection	Data were collected on a seagoing expedition on the Research Vessel James Cook. A range of methods were used for data collection, including remotely operated vehicles and sediment samplers as detailed in the paper. BF, ESL and LVA analysed images and provided megafaunal data with input from BOM, AG and DJ. BA, CB, GBC, LK, ES and AG analysed macrofaunal data. SB, LM and RJ analysed the sediment biogeochemistry data. CW, TLB and VH collected and processed seafloor acoustic data. AS collected and analysed microbial data. LM and SE collected and processed water samples. AD and DA analysed physical oceanography data.
Timing and spatial scale	All data were obtained within 10 km of the mining collector test site centred 13°44′N 126°13.5′W. Samples, imagery and other data were collected during RRS James Cook expedition JC241 between 14 February and 12 March 2023. Photographs were also obtained in the area of the collector test during three cruises of the RV Governor Ray 31. Two cruises were carried out before the test (June 1978: GR7801; November 1978: GR7804) and one after (October 1979: GR7904).
Data exclusions	No data were excluded from analysis.
Reproducibility	The original dataset generated and used to run the analyses is provided as supplementary material, the software and standard code functions used are all open-sourced, the images used for analysis are publically-accessible and the taxonomic identification catalogue used to standardize specimen identifications is available on-line.
Randomization	Physical samples were obtained at randomised positions within each treatment area. Photographic data were collected over wider areas and randomly subsampled to create sampling units used for analysis.
Blinding	We used BIIGLE 2.0 to blind the location of the images containing each of the specimen occurrences during image re-analysis to

minimize potential observer-bias. Several spatially-blind reviews were conducted to the whole dataset to ensure a robust taxonomic alignment between data from different sites, consisting in side-by side visualization of all specimens classified under the same catalogue label using the 'Label Review Grid Overview' tool in BIIGLE 2.0.

nature portfolio | reporting summary

Did the study involve field work?	X Yes	No.
-----------------------------------	-------	-----

Field work, collection and transport

Field conditions	The near-seabed oceanographic conditions are similar between the OMCO test and control areas, with typical Clarion Clipperton Zone (CCZ) seabed temperature (1.48°C in situ), oxygen (151 μ mol/kg) and absolute salinity (34.87 g kg-1) and current speeds (mean velocity from three lowered ADCP profiles 8 m above the seabed: 40 mm/s, direction 170°). Nodules in the collector area were abundant (from boxcore samples: mean 155 nodules m-2, 18.6 kg nodules m-2) and relatively large (largest dimension: mean 72± 19 mm S.D., maximum observed 152 mm) but within the range of other exploration areas within the CCZ. Most nodules were found at the sediment surface. Natural sedimentation rates across the CCZ are low (1.5 – 11.5 mm kyr-1).
Location	All data were obtained within 10 km of the collector test site centred 13°44′N 126°13.5′W. Water depth was around 4700 m.
Access & import/export	All samples and data were obtained as part of permitted marine scientific research in areas beyond national jurisdiction (the high seas and seabed beyond the limits of the continental shelf). Appropriate diplomatic clearance was obtained for the expedition transits. Some areas sampled during the expedition were licenced for deep-sea mining exploration, in all cases the relevant contract holder was notified prior to the expedition as was the International Seabed Authority. All CITES species obtained during the expedition were licence no 627172/01 (Antipatharia) and 627172/02 (Scleractinia) issued by the Animal and Plant Health Agency, UK on 13 January 2023.
Disturbance	Disturbance caused by the study was minimal, with most operations being done using remote sensing. We followed the UK NERC's Marine Environment Interaction Policy (MEIP). The impact of acoustic data collection was assessed using a NERC Marine Environmental Mitigation Plan, which included marine mammal observations by trained marine mammal observers prior to start of data acquisition and soft-start procedures to mitigate potential impacts.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems	Methods	
n/a Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
X Antibodies	ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging	
Animals and other organisms		
🔀 🔲 Clinical data		
Dual use research of concern		
Plants		

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals	No laboratory animals were used in this study
Wild animals	The study included the capture of invertebrate organisms (no cephalopods) in the field. Animals were recovered by remotely operated vehicle or collected with sediment or water samples. Organisms recovered to the ship are killed by the temperature and pressure change between the seafloor and the surface. Most organisms obtained for this study were small < 10 mm and included polychaetes, arthropods, bryozoans, echinoderms, molluscs, priapulids and nemerteans. The species, strains and ages of these animals are not known.
Reporting on sex	N/A
Field-collected samples	No laboratory work on field-collected samples was carried out for this study
Ethics oversight	No ethical approval or guidance

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Plants

Talits		_
Seed stocks	N/A)
Novel plant genotypes	N/A	
Authentication	N/A	