

#### DATA NOTE

## The genome sequence of the Scorched Wing moth, *Plagodis*

## dolabraria (Linnaeus, 1767)

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Gavin R. Broad<sup>1</sup>, Steph Holt<sup>1</sup>, Laura Sivess<sup>1</sup>, Douglas Boyes<sup>2+</sup>,

Natural History Museum Genome Acquisition Lab,

University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab,

Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team, Tree of Life Core Informatics collective, Darwin Tree of Life Consortium

<sup>1</sup>Natural History Museum, London, England, UK <sup>2</sup>UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, England, UK

+ Deceased author

 First published: 28 Feb 2025, 10:111 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23761.1
 Latest published: 28 Feb 2025, 10:111 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23761.1

#### Abstract

We present a genome assembly from a male specimen of *Plagodis dolabraria* (Scorched Wing; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Geometridae). The genome sequence has a total length of 939.07 megabases. Most of the assembly (99.59%) is scaffolded into 31 chromosomal pseudomolecules, including the Z sex chromosome. The mitochondrial genome has also been assembled and is 16.97 kilobases in length.

#### **Keywords**

Plagodis dolabraria, Scorched Wing, genome sequence, chromosomal, Lepidoptera



This article is included in the Tree of Life

gateway.

# Open Peer Review Approval Status 1 2 version 1 view 28 Feb 2025 view 1. Laurence Despres , Universite Savoie Mont Blanc, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, France 2. Maríndia Deprá, Federal University of Rio

Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

**Corresponding author:** Darwin Tree of Life Consortium (mark.blaxter@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: Broad GR: Investigation, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Holt S: Investigation, Resources; Sivess L: Investigation, Resources; Boyes D: Investigation, Resources;

**Competing interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Grant information:** This work was supported by Wellcome through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (220540) and the Darwin Tree of Life Discretionary Award [218328, https://doi.org/10.35802/218328 ]. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.* 

**Copyright:** © 2025 Broad GR *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Broad GR, Holt S, Sivess L *et al.* The genome sequence of the Scorched Wing moth, *Plagodis dolabraria* (Linnaeus, 1767) [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Wellcome Open Research 2025, 10:111 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23761.1

First published: 28 Feb 2025, 10:111 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23761.1

#### **Species taxonomy**

Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Protostomia; Ecdysozoa; Panarthropoda; Arthropoda; Mandibulata; Pancrustacea; Hexapoda; Insecta; Dicondylia; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Amphiesmenoptera; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Neolepidoptera; Heteroneura; Ditrysia; Obtectomera; Geometroidea; Geometridae; Ennominae; *Plagodis; Plagodis dolabraria* (Linnaeus, 1767) (NCBI:txid934889)

#### Background

*Plagodis dolabraria*, the Scorched Wing, is a striking geometrid moth that cannot be mistaken for any other species in Britain. The fore wings are 'crumpled' and traversed by many fine brown vermiculations (Figure 1). The trailing corners of the fore and hind wings have darkened areas which give rise to the 'scorched' in the English name. At rest, the abdomen points upwards. Emmet (1991) explains that Linnaeus's enigmatic Latin name (Linnaeus, 1767), from *dolabra*, 'pickaxe', refers to the natural shape of the wings at rest, which Linnaeus appreciated as he did not set his Lepidoptera specimens.

Found across the Palaearctic as far East as Japan, *P. dolabraria* is one of only two European *Plagodis* species, the other being the morphologically dissimilar *P. pulveraria* (Linnaeus), but in North America there are several species apparently closely related to *P. dolabraria* (Rupert, 1949). In Britain, *P. dolabraria* is widespread, at least in England and Wales, but seldom numerous (Waring *et al.*, 2017). There has been a very substantial increase in range since the 1970s, into northern England and Scotland, but no change in abundance over time (Randle *et al.*, 2019).

Like many other relatives, the larvae feed on deciduous trees and are superbly camouflaged as twigs. The main foodplants are oaks (*Quercus*) and birches (*Betula*) but a variety of other trees can be eaten (Henwood *et al.*, 2020). The winter is spent as a cocooned pupa at ground level, adults are on the wing from mid-May to early July with larvae feeding from July to September. Although males are readily attracted to light, females are rarely seen (Waring *et al.*, 2017).



Figure 1. Photograph of the *Plagodis dolabraria* (ilPlaDola) 1specimen used for Hi-C sequencing.

We present a chromosome-level genome sequence for *Plagodis dolabraria*, based on a male specimen from Gilbert White's House, Selborne, England, UK.

#### **Genome sequence report**

#### Sequencing data

The genome of a specimen of *Plagodis dolabraria* was sequenced using Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads, generating 89.08 Gb from 9.53 million reads. GenomeScope analysis of the PacBio HiFi data estimated the haploid genome size at 931.66 Mb, with a heterozygosity of 0.83% and repeat content of 36.69%. These values provide an initial assessment of genome complexity and the challenges anticipated during assembly. Based on this estimated genome size, the sequencing data provided approximately 90.0x coverage of the genome. Chromosome conformation Hi-C sequencing produced 133.17 Gb from 881.95 million reads.

Table 1 summarises the specimen and sequencing information, including the BioProject, study name, BioSample numbers, and sequencing data for each technology.

#### Assembly statistics

The primary haplotype was assembled, and contigs corresponding to an alternate haplotype were also deposited in INSDC databases. The assembly was improved by manual curation, which corrected 14 misjoins or missing joins and removed 8 haplotypic duplications. These interventions reduced the total assembly length by 0.67% and decreased the scaffold count by 4.76%. The final assembly has a total length of 939.07 Mb in 59 scaffolds, with 82 gaps, and a scaffold N50 of 32.42 Mb (Table 2).

The snail plot in Figure 2 provides a summary of the assembly statistics, indicating the distribution of scaffold lengths and other assembly metrics. Figure 3 shows the distribution of scaffolds by GC proportion and coverage. Figure 4 presents a cumulative assembly plot, with separate curves representing different scaffold subsets assigned to various phyla, illustrating the completeness of the assembly.

Most of the assembly sequence (99.6%) was assigned to 31 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 30 autosomes and the Z sex chromosome. These chromosome-level scaffolds, confirmed by Hi-C data, are named according to size (Figure 5; Table 3). During curation, the Z chromosome was assigned by synteny to *Agriphila geniculea* (GCA\_950108535.1) (Boyes *et al.*, 2023).

The mitochondrial genome was also assembled. This sequence is included as a contig in the multifasta file of the genome submission and as a standalone record in GenBank.

#### Assembly quality metrics

The estimated Quality Value (QV) and k-mer completeness metrics, along with BUSCO completeness scores, were calculated for each haplotype and the combined assembly. The QV reflects the base-level accuracy of the assembly, while k-mer completeness indicates the proportion of expected k-mers

Project information			
Study title	Plagodis dolabraria (scorched wing)		
Umbrella BioProject	PRJEB66013		
Species	Plagodis dolabraria		
BioSpecimen	SAMEA111457906		
NCBI taxonomy ID	934889		
Specimen information			
Technology	ToLID	<b>BioSample accession</b>	Organism part
Technology PacBio long read sequencing	ilPlaDola2	<b>BioSample accession</b> SAMEA111458674	Organism part thorax
Technology PacBio long read sequencing Hi-C sequencing	IlPlaDola2       ilPlaDola1	BioSample accession SAMEA111458674 SAMEA7520626	Organism part thorax Head and thorax
Technology PacBio long read sequencing Hi-C sequencing Sequencing information	ToLID ilPlaDola2 ilPlaDola1	BioSample accession SAMEA111458674 SAMEA7520626	<b>Organism part</b> thorax Head and thorax
Technology PacBio long read sequencing Hi-C sequencing Sequencing information Platform	ToLID iIPIaDola2 iIPIaDola1 Run accession	BioSample accession SAMEA111458674 SAMEA7520626 Read count	Organism part thorax Head and thorax Base count (Gb)
Technology PacBio long read sequencing Hi-C sequencing Sequencing information Platform Hi-C HiSeq X Ten	ToLID iIPIaDola2 iIPIaDola1 Run accession ERR12071220	BioSample accession           SAMEA111458674           SAMEA7520626           Read count           8.82e+08	Organism part thorax Head and thorax Base count (Gb) 133.17

#### Table 1. Specimen and sequencing data for Plagodis dolabraria.

#### Table 2. Genome assembly data for *Plagodis dolabraria*.

Genome assembly			
Assembly name	ilPlaDola2.1		
Assembly accession	GCA_963854805.1		
Alternate haplotype accession	GCA_963854775.1		
Assembly level for primary assembly	chromosome		
Span (Mb)	939.07		
Number of contigs	141		
Number of scaffolds	59		
Longest scaffold (Mb)	44.36		
Assembly metrics	Measure	Benchmark	
Contig N50 length	13.27 Mb	$\geq 1 Mb$	
Scaffold N50 length	32.42 Mb	= chromosome N50	
Consensus quality (QV)	Primary: 64.2; alternate: 63.7; combined 63.9	≥ 40	
k-mer completeness	Primary: 81.30%; alternate: 80.98%; combined: 99.01%	≥ 95%	
BUSCO*	C:98.6%[S:97.7%,D:0.8%], F:0.3%,M:1.1%,n:5,286	S > 90%; D < 5%	
Percentage of assembly mapped to chromosomes	99.6%	≥90%	
Sex chromosomes	Z	localised homologous pairs	
Organelles	Mitochondrial genome: 16.97 kb	complete single alleles	

\* BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera\_odb10 BUSCO set using version 5.5.0. C = complete [S = single copy, D = duplicated], F = fragmented, M = missing, n = number of orthologues in comparison.



**Figure 2. Genome assembly of** *Plagodis dolabraria*, **ilPlaDola2.1: metrics.** The BlobToolKit snail plot provides an overview of assembly metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The circumference represents the length of the whole genome sequence, and the main plot is divided into 1,000 bins around the circumference. The outermost blue tracks display the distribution of GC, AT, and N percentages across the bins. Scaffolds are arranged clockwise from longest to shortest and are depicted in dark grey. The longest scaffold is indicated by the red arc, and the deeper orange and pale orange arcs represent the N50 and N90 lengths. A light grey spiral at the centre shows the cumulative scaffold count on a logarithmic scale. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated, and missing BUSCO genes in the lepidoptera\_odb10 set is presented at the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA\_963854805.1/dataset/GCA\_963854805.1/snail.

identified in the assembly. BUSCO scores provide a measure of completeness based on benchmarking universal single-copy orthologues.

The primary haplotype has a QV of 64.2, and the combined primary and alternate assemblies achieve an estimated QV of 63.9. The *k*-mer completeness for the primary haplotype is 81.30%, and for the alternate haplotype it is 80.98%. The combined primary and alternate assemblies achieve a *k*-mer completeness of 99.01%. BUSCO analysis using the lepidop-tera\_odb10 reference set (n = 5,286) indicated a completeness score of 98.6% (single = 97.7%, duplicated = 0.8%).

Table 2 provides assembly metric benchmarks adapted from Rhie *et al.* (2021) and the Earth BioGenome Project Report on Assembly Standards September 2024. The assembly achieves the EBP reference standard of 7.C.Q64.

#### Methods

#### Sample acquisition and DNA barcoding

An adult male *Plagodis dolabraria* (specimen ID NHMUK014536885, ToLID ilPlaDola2) was collected from Selborne, Gilbert White's House, England, UK (latitude 51.09, longitude –0.94) on 2021-06-10, using a light trap. The specimen was collected by Steph Holt, Gavin Broad and Laura Sivess (Natural History Museum), identified by Gavin Broad and preserved by dry freezing (–80 °C). The specimen used for Hi-C sequencing (specimen ID Ox0410a, ToLID ilPlaDola1), was collected and identified by Douglas Boyes (University of Oxford) from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK on 2020-05-22.

The initial identification by morphology was verified by an additional DNA barcoding process according to the framework developed by Twyford *et al.* (2024). A small sample was dissected from each specimen and stored in ethanol, while the



**Figure 3. Genome assembly of** *Plagodis dolabraria*, **ilPlaDola2.1: BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot.** Blob plot showing sequence coverage (vertical axis) and GC content (horizontal axis). The circles represent scaffolds, with the size proportional to scaffold length and the colour representing phylum membership. The histograms along the axes display the total length of sequences distributed across different levels of coverage and GC content. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA\_963854805.1/blob.

remaining parts were shipped on dry ice to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) (Pereira *et al.*, 2022). The tissue was lysed, the COI marker region was amplified by PCR, and amplicons were sequenced and compared to the BOLD database, confirming the species identification (Crowley *et al.*, 2023). Following whole genome sequence generation, the relevant DNA barcode region was also used alongside the initial barcoding data for sample tracking at the WSI (Twyford *et al.*, 2024). The standard operating procedures for Darwin Tree of Life barcoding have been deposited on protocols.io (Beasley *et al.*, 2023).

Metadata collection for samples adhered to the Darwin Tree of Life project standards described by Lawniczak *et al.* (2022).

#### Nucleic acid extraction

The workflow for high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) Tree of Life Core Laboratory includes a sequence of procedures: sample preparation and homogenisation, DNA extraction, fragmentation and purification. Detailed protocols are available on protocols. io (Denton *et al.*, 2023b). The ilPlaDola2 sample was prepared for DNA extraction by weighing and dissecting it on dry ice (Jay *et al.*, 2023). Tissue from the thorax was homogenised using a PowerMasher II tissue disruptor (Denton *et al.*, 2023a).

HMW DNA was extracted in the WSI Scientific Operations core using the Automated MagAttract v2 protocol (Oatley *et al.*, 2023). The DNA was sheared into an average fragment size of



**Figure 4. Genome assembly of** *Plagodis dolabraria*, **ilPlaDola2.1: BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot.** The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA\_963854805.1/dataset/GCA\_963854805.1/cumulative.

12–20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system (Bates *et al.*, 2023). Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation, using AMPure PB beads to eliminate shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA (Strickland *et al.*, 2023). The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

#### Hi-C sample preparation

Tissue from the head and thorax of the sample was processed for Hi-C sequencing at the WSI Scientific Operations core, using the Arima-HiC v2 kit. In brief, 20–50 mg of frozen tissue (stored at -80 °C) was fixed, and the DNA crosslinked using a TC buffer with 22% formaldehyde concentration. After crosslinking, the tissue was homogenised using the Diagnocine Power Masher-II and BioMasher-II tubes and pestles. Following the Arima-HiC v2 kit manufacturer's instructions, crosslinked DNA was digested using a restriction enzyme master mix. The 5'-overhangs were filled in and labelled with biotinylated nucleotides and proximally ligated. An overnight incubation was carried out for enzymes to digest remaining proteins and for crosslinks to reverse. A clean up was performed with SPRIselect beads prior to library preparation. Additionally, the biotinylation percentage was estimated using the Qubit Fluorometer v4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Qubit HS Assay Kit and Arima-HiC v2 QC beads.

#### Library preparation and sequencing

Library preparation and sequencing were performed at the WSI Scientific Operations core.

#### PacBio HiFi

At a minimum, samples were required to have an average fragment size exceeding 8 kb and a total mass over 400 ng to proceed to the low input SMRTbell Prep Kit 3.0 protocol (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA), depending on genome size



**Figure 5. Genome assembly of** *Plagodis dolabraria*: **Hi-C contact map of the ilPlaDola2.1 assembly, visualised using HiGlass.** Chromosomes are shown in order of size from left to right and top to bottom. An interactive version of this figure may be viewed at https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=B\_zdvPqKT-aqIIvoyvTb0g.

INSDC accession	Name	Length (Mb)	GC%
OY978441.1	1	40.63	37
OY978442.1	2	38.9	37
OY978443.1	3	37.05	36.5
OY978444.1	4	37.04	37
OY978445.1	5	36.2	36.5
OY978446.1	6	36.06	36.5
OY978447.1	7	35.86	37
OY978448.1	8	35.8	37
OY978449.1	9	34.63	37
OY978450.1	10	34.36	36.5
OY978451.1	11	32.47	37
OY978452.1	12	32.42	37
OY978453.1	13	31.94	37
OY978454.1	14	31.61	37
OY978455.1	15	31.53	37

INSDC accession	Name	Length (Mb)	GC%
OY978456.1	16	30.8	37
OY978457.1	17	30.73	37
OY978458.1	18	30.44	37
OY978459.1	19	30.24	37
OY978460.1	20	29.89	37
OY978461.1	21	29.02	37
OY978462.1	22	27.05	37
OY978463.1	23	23.61	37
OY978464.1	24	22.78	37
OY978465.1	25	20.39	37
OY978466.1	26	20.26	36.5
OY978467.1	27	18.29	38
OY978468.1	28	18.04	37
OY978469.1	29	16.87	36.5
OY978470.1	30	16.03	37
OY978440.1	Z	44.36	37
OY978471.1	MT	0.02	18

Table 3. Chromosomal pseudomolecules inthe genome assembly of *Plagodis dolabraria*,ilPlaDola2.

and sequencing depth required. Libraries were prepared using the SMRTbell Prep Kit 3.0 (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The kit includes the reagents required for end repair/A-tailing, adapter ligation, post-ligation SMRTbell bead cleanup, and nuclease treatment. Following the manufacturer's instructions, size selection and clean up was carried out using diluted AMPure PB beads (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). DNA concentration was quantified using the Qubit Fluorometer v4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Qubit 1X dsDNA HS assay kit and the final library fragment size analysis was carried out using the Agilent Femto Pulse Automated Pulsed Field CE Instrument (Agilent Technologies) and gDNA 55kb BAC analysis kit.

Samples were sequenced on a Revio instrument (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). Prepared libraries were normalised to 2 nM, and 15  $\mu$ L was used for making complexes. Primers were annealed and polymerases were hybridised to create circularised complexes according to manufacturer's instructions. The complexes were purified with the 1.2X clean up with SMRTbell beads. The purified complexes were then diluted to the Revio loading concentration (in the range 200–300 pM), and spiked with a Revio sequencing internal control. Samples were sequenced on Revio 25M SMRT cells (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). The SMRT link software, a PacBio web-based end-to-end workflow manager, was used to set-up and monitor the run, as well as perform primary and secondary analysis of the data upon completion.

#### Hi-C

For Hi-C library preparation, DNA was fragmented using the Covaris E220 sonicator (Covaris) and size selected using SPRISelect beads to 400 to 600 bp. The DNA was then enriched using the Arima-HiC v2 kit Enrichment beads. Using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs) for end repair, a-tailing, and adapter ligation. This uses a custom protocol which resembles the standard NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep protocol but where library preparation occurs while DNA is bound to the Enrichment beads. For library amplification, 10 to 16 PCR cycles were required, determined by the sample biotinylation percentage. The Hi-C sequencing was performed using paired-end sequencing with a read length of 150 bp on an HiSeq X Ten instrument.

## Genome assembly, curation and evaluation *Assembly*

Prior to assembly of the PacBio HiFi reads, a database of k-mer counts (k = 31) was generated from the filtered reads using FastK. GenomeScope2 (Ranallo-Benavidez *et al.*, 2020) was used to analyse the k-mer frequency distributions, providing estimates of genome size, heterozygosity, and repeat content.

The HiFi reads were first assembled using Hifiasm (Cheng *et al.*, 2021) with the --primary option. Haplotypic duplications were identified and removed using purge\_dups (Guan *et al.*, 2020). The Hi-C reads were mapped to the primary contigs using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019). The contigs

were further scaffolded using the provided Hi-C data (Rao *et al.*, 2014) in YaHS (Zhou *et al.*, 2023) using the --break option for handling potential misassemblies. The scaffolded assemblies were evaluated using Gfastats (Formenti *et al.*, 2022), BUSCO (Manni *et al.*, 2021) and MERQURY.FK (Rhie *et al.*, 2020).

The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva *et al.*, 2023), which runs MitoFinder (Allio *et al.*, 2020) and uses these annotations to select the final mitochondrial contig and to ensure the general quality of the sequence.

#### Assembly curation

The assembly was decontaminated using the Assembly Screen for Cobionts and Contaminants (ASCC) pipeline (article in preparation). Flat files and maps used in curation were generated in TreeVal (Pointon *et al.*, 2023). Manual curation was primarily conducted using PretextView (Harry, 2022), with additional insights provided by JBrowse2 (Diesh *et al.*, 2023) and HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018). Scaffolds were visually inspected and corrected as described by Howe *et al.* (2021). Any identified contamination, missed joins, and mis-joins were corrected, and duplicate sequences were tagged and removed. Sex chromosomes were identified by synteny. The curation process is documented at https://gitlab.com/wtsi-grit/rapid-curation (article in preparation).

#### Assembly quality assessment

The Merqury.FK tool (Rhie *et al.*, 2020), run in a Singularity container (Kurtzer *et al.*, 2017), was used to evaluate *k*-mer completeness and assembly quality for the primary and alternate haplotypes using the *k*-mer databases (k = 31) that were computed prior to genome assembly. The analysis outputs included assembly QV scores and completeness statistics.

A Hi-C contact map was produced for the final version of the assembly. The Hi-C reads were aligned using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019) and the alignment files were combined using SAMtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2021). The Hi-C alignments were converted into a contact map using BEDTools (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) and the Cooler tool suite (Abdennur & Mirny, 2020). The contact map was visualised in HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018).

The blobtoolkit pipeline is a Nextflow port of the previous Snakemake Blobtoolkit pipeline (Challis *et al.*, 2020). It aligns the PacBio reads in SAMtools and minimap2 (Li, 2018) and generates coverage tracks for regions of fixed size. In parallel, it queries the GoaT database (Challis *et al.*, 2023) to identify all matching BUSCO lineages to run BUSCO (Manni *et al.*, 2021). For the three domain-level BUSCO lineages, the pipeline aligns the BUSCO genes to the UniProt Reference Proteomes database (Bateman *et al.*, 2023) with DIAMOND blastp (Buchfink *et al.*, 2021). The genome is also divided into chunks according to the density of the BUSCO genes from the closest taxonomic lineage, and each chunk is aligned to the UniProt Reference Proteomes database using DIAMOND blastx. Genome sequences without a hit are chunked using seqtk

and aligned to the NT database with blastn (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). The blobtools suite combines all these outputs into a blobdir for visualisation.

The blobtoolkit pipeline was developed using nf-core tooling (Ewels *et al.*, 2020) and MultiQC (Ewels *et al.*, 2016), relying on the Conda package manager, the Bioconda initiative (Grüning *et al.*, 2018), the Biocontainers infrastructure (da Veiga Leprevost *et al.*, 2017), as well as the Docker (Merkel, 2014) and Singularity (Kurtzer *et al.*, 2017) containerisation solutions.

Table 4. Software tools: versions and sources.

Table 4 contains a list of relevant software tool versions and sources.

#### Wellcome Sanger Institute - Legal and Governance

The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner. The submission of materials by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner is subject to the **'Darwin Tree of Life Project Sampling Code of Practice'**, which can be found in full on the Darwin Tree of Life website here. By agreeing with and signing up to the

Software tool	Version	Source
BEDTools	2.30.0	https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools2
BLAST	2.14.0	http://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/
BlobToolKit	4.3.9	https://github.com/blobtoolkit/blobtoolkit
BUSCO	5.5.0	https://gitlab.com/ezlab/busco
bwa-mem2	2.2.1	https://github.com/bwa-mem2/bwa-mem2
Cooler	0.8.11	https://github.com/open2c/cooler
DIAMOND	2.1.8	https://github.com/bbuchfink/diamond
fasta_windows	0.2.4	https://github.com/tolkit/fasta_windows
FastK	427104ea91c78c3b8b8b49f1a7d6bbeaa869ba1c	https://github.com/thegenemyers/FASTK
Gfastats	1.3.6	https://github.com/vgl-hub/gfastats
GoaT CLI	0.2.5	https://github.com/genomehubs/goat-cli
Hifiasm	0.19.5-r587	https://github.com/chhylp123/hifiasm
HiGlass	44086069ee7d4d3f6f3f0012569789ec138f42b84 aa44357826c0b6753eb28de	https://github.com/higlass/higlass
MerquryFK	d00d98157618f4e8d1a9190026b19b471055b22e	https://github.com/thegenemyers/MERQURY.FK
Minimap2	2.24-r1122	https://github.com/lh3/minimap2
MitoHiFi	3	https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi
MultiQC	1.14, 1.17, and 1.18	https://github.com/MultiQC/MultiQC
NCBI Datasets	15.12.0	https://github.com/ncbi/datasets
Nextflow	23.04.1	https://github.com/nextflow-io/nextflow
PretextView	0.2.5	https://github.com/sanger-tol/PretextView
purge_dups	1.2.5	https://github.com/dfguan/purge_dups
samtools	1.19.2	https://github.com/samtools/samtools
sanger-tol/ascc	-	https://github.com/sanger-tol/ascc
sanger-tol/blobtoolkit	0.5.1	https://github.com/sanger-tol/blobtoolkit
Seqtk	1.3	https://github.com/lh3/seqtk
Singularity	3.9.0	https://github.com/sylabs/singularity
TreeVal	1.2.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/treeval
YaHS	1.2a.2	https://github.com/c-zhou/yahs

Sampling Code of Practice, the Darwin Tree of Life Partner agrees they will meet the legal and ethical requirements and standards set out within this document in respect of all samples acquired for, and supplied to, the Darwin Tree of Life Project.

Further, the Wellcome Sanger Institute employs a process whereby due diligence is carried out proportionate to the nature of the materials themselves, and the circumstances under which they have been/are to be collected and provided for use. The purpose of this is to address and mitigate any potential legal and/or ethical implications of receipt and use of the materials as part of the research project, and to ensure that in doing so we align with best practice wherever possible. The overarching areas of consideration are:

- Ethical review of provenance and sourcing of the material
- Legality of collection, transfer and use (national and international)

Each transfer of samples is further undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Darwin Tree of Life Partner, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute), and in some circumstances other Darwin Tree of Life collaborators.

#### Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: Plagodis dolabraria (scorched wing). Accession number PRJEB66013; https://identifiers.org/ ena.embl/PRJEB66013. The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *Plagodis dolabraria* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated using available RNA-Seq data and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1 and Table 2.

#### Author information

Members of the University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12157525.

Members of the Natural History Museum Genome Acquisition Lab are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12159242.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12158331.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12162482.

Members of Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.12165051.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.12160324.

Members of the Tree of Life Core Informatics collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12205391.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Consortium are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4783558.

#### References

Abdennur N, Mirny LA: Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics*. 2020; 36(1): 311–316. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, et al.: MitoFinder: efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2020; **20**(4): 892–905. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, et al.: Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990; 215(3): 403–410.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, *et al.*: **UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023**. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2023; **51**(D1): D523–D531. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text** 

Bates A, Clayton-Lucey I, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA fragmentation: diagenode Megaruptor®3 for LI PacBio. protocols.io. 2023. Publisher Full Text

Beasley J, Uhl R, Forrest LL, et al.: DNA barcoding SOPs for the Darwin Tree of Life project. protocols.io. 2023; [Accessed 25 June 2024]. Publisher Full Text

Boyes D, University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab,

Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective, *et al.*: **The genome sequence of the Elbow-stripe Grass-veneer**, *Agriphila geniculea* (Haworth, 1811) [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Res*. 2023; **8**: 86. **PubMed Abstract** | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** 

Buchfink B, Reuter K, Drost HG: Sensitive protein alignments at Tree-of-Life scale using DIAMOND. *Nat Methods*. 2021; **18**(4): 366–368. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Challis R, Kumar S, Sotero-Caio C, *et al.*: Genomes on a Tree (GoaT): a versatile, scalable search engine for genomic and sequencing project metadata across the eukaryotic Tree of Life [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2023; 8: 24.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, et al.: BlobToolKit - interactive quality

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, *et al.*: Haplotype-resolved *de novo* assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nat Methods.* 2021; **18**(2): 170–175.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Crowley L, Allen H, Barnes I, et al.: A sampling strategy for genome

sequencing the British terrestrial arthropod fauna [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. Wellcome Open Res. 2023; 8: 123. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

da Veiga Leprevost F, Grüning BA, Alves Aflitos S, et al.: BioContainers: an open-source and community-driven framework for software standardization. *Bioinformatics*. 2017; **33**(16): 2580–2582. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, et al.: Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience. 2021; 10(2): giab008. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Denton A, Oatley G, Cornwell C, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life sample homogenisation: PowerMash. protocols.io. 2023a. **Publisher Full Text** 

Denton A, Yatsenko H, Jay J, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life wet laboratory protocol collection V.1. protocols.io. 2023b.

**Publisher Full Text** 

Diesh C, Stevens GJ, Xie P, et al.: JBrowse 2: a modular genome browser with views of synteny and structural variation. Genome Biol. 2023; 24(1): 74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Emmet AM: The scientific names of the British Lepidoptera - their History and Meaning. Colchester: Harley Books, 1991. Reference Source

Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, et al.: MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics*. 2016; **32**(19): 3047–3048.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ewels PA, Peltzer A, Fillinger S, et al.: The nf-core framework for community-curated bioinformatics pipelines. Nat Biotechnol. 2020; 38(3): 276-278

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Formenti G, Abueg L, Brajuka A, et al.: Gfastats: conversion, evaluation and manipulation of genome sequences using assembly graphs. Bioinformatics. 2022; 38(17): 4214-4216.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Grüning B, Dale R, Sjödin A, et al.: Bioconda: sustainable and comprehensive software distribution for the life sciences. Nat Methods. 2018; 15(7): 475-476. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(9) 2896-2898.

#### PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Harry E: PretextView (Paired REad TEXTure Viewer): a desktop application for viewing pretext contact maps. 2022. **Reference Source** 

Henwood B, Sterling P, Lewington R: Field guide to the caterpillars of Great Britain and Ireland. London: Bloomsbury, 2020. **Reference Source** 

Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, et al.: Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation. *GigaScience*. 2021; **10**(1): giaa153. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Jay J, Yatsenko H, Narváez-Gómez JP, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life sample preparation: triage and dissection. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text** 

Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. Genome Biol. 2018; 19(1): 125

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kurtzer GM, Sochat V, Bauer MW: Singularity: scientific containers for mobility of compute. PLoS One. 2017; 12(5): e0177459. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Lawniczak MKN, Davey RP, Rajan J, et al.: Specimen and sample metadata standards for biodiversity genomics: a proposal from the Darwin Tree of Life project [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]. Wellcome Open Res. 2022; 7: 187. **Publisher Full Text** 

#### Li H: Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34(18): 3094-3100.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Linnaeus C: Systema naturae per regna tria naturae: secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Ed. 12. 1., Regnum Animale. 1 & 2. Holmiae [Stockholm]: Laurentii Salvii, 1767.

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, et al.: BUSCO update: novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. Mol Biol Evol. 2021; 38(10): 4647-4654.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Merkel D: Docker: lightweight Linux containers for consistent development and deployment. Linux J. 2014; 2014(239): 2. [Accessed 2 April 2024].

**Reference Source** 

Oatley G, Denton A, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA extraction: automated MagAttract v.2. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text** 

Pereira L, Sivell O, Sivess L, et al.: DToL Taxon-specific Standard Operating Procedure for the terrestrial and freshwater arthropods working group. 2022.

#### Publisher Full Text

Pointon DL, Eagles W, Sims Y, et al.: sanger-tol/treeval v1.0.0 - Ancient Atlantis. 2023.

**Publisher Full Text** 

Quinlan AR, Hall IM: BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26(6): 841-842. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ranallo-Benavidez TR, Jaron KS, Schatz MC: GenomeScope 2.0 and Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. Nat Commun. 2020; 11(1): 1432. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Randle Z, Evans-Hill LJ, Parsons MS, et al.: Atlas of Britain and Ireland's Larger Moths. Newbury: Pisces Publications, 2019. **Reference Source** 

Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durand NC, et al.: A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell*. 2014; **159**(7): 1665–1680.

#### PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, et al.: Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature. 2021; 592(7856): 737-746

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, et al.: Mergury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biol. 2020; 21(1): 245

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rupert LR: A revision of the North American species of the genus Plagodis (Lepidoptera, Geometridae, Ennominae). J New York Entomol Soc. 1949; 57: 19-49.

#### **Reference Source**

Strickland M, Cornwell C, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life fragmented DNA clean up: manual SPRI. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text** 

Twyford AD, Beasley J, Barnes I, *et al.*: **A DNA barcoding framework for taxonomic verification in the Darwin Tree of Life project [version 1; peer** review: 2 approved]. Wellcome Open Res. 2024; 9: 339. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Uliano-Silva M, Ferreira JGRN, Krasheninnikova K, et al.: MitoHiFi: a python pipeline for mitochondrial genome assembly from PacBio high fidelity reads. BMC Bioinformatics. 2023; 24(1): 288. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Vasimuddin M, Misra S, Li H, et al.: Efficient architecture-aware acceleration of BWA-MEM for multicore systems. In: 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS). IEEE, 2019; 314-324. **Publisher Full Text** 

Waring P, Townsend M, Lewington R: Field guide to the Moths of Great Britain and Ireland: third edition. Bloomsbury Wildlife Guides, 2017. **Reference Source** 

Zhou C, McCarthy SA, Durbin R: YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool. Bioinformatics. 2023; 39(1): btac808. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

# **Open Peer Review**

## Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 March 2025

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.26209.r119964

© **2025 Deprá M.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



## Maríndia Deprá

Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

The data note titled "The genome sequence of the Scorched Wing moth, *Plagodis dolabraria* (Linnaeus, 1767)" presents a well-structured genome assembly from a male specimen of Plagodis dolabraria. The study generated nuclear and mitochondrial genome sequences using advanced technologies, ensuring robust chromosomal assembly and accurate gene annotation.

The haploid genome size is 931.66 Mb, assigned to 31 chromosomal pseudomolecules, including the Z sex chromosome. The mitochondrial genome is 16.97 kb long.

The genomic data are publicly accessible through the NCBI database (GCA\_963854805.1), ensuring transparency and availability for further research. Additionally, the curation pipeline is available on GitHub, providing an open-source resource for validation and improvement. The study's protocols are appropriate, and the methodologies employed are technically sound. The detailed description of methods and materials allows for reproducibility, facilitating further research in this area. Moreover, the datasets are clearly presented in a usable and accessible format, enhancing their utility for the scientific community.

#### Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

## Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

*Reviewer Expertise:* genetics and genomics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 10 March 2025

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.26209.r119961

© **2025 Despres L.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



## Laurence Despres 匝

Universite Savoie Mont Blanc, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, France

The authors report on the chromosome-level genome assembly of an individual male specimen of *Plagodis dolabraria* (the Scorched Wing; Geometridae). The initial identification by morphology of the two collected specimens was verified by an additional DNA barcoding process. Given the distinctiveness of the Scorched Wing, this additional sequencing step could have been avoided. I have some reserves regarding the systematic inclusion of a barcode process in the standardized DToL procedures when it is not necessary; my main concern is keeping science as sober as possible.

The genome sequence is 939 megabases in span. Most of the assembly is scaffolded into 31 chromosomal pseudomolecules, including the Z sex chromosome. The Z chromosome was assigned by synteny to *Agriphila geniculea* (GCA\_950108535.1). The assembly was performed using appropriate methods : Pac Bio HiFi long reads (90-fold coverage) and Hi-C data to refine scaffolding, manual curation of the final assembly, and contamination check, following the analysis pipeline and high standard of the Darwin Tree of Life Project for results presentation.

The mitogenome was also assembled. The quality of the assembly was further assessed by the proportion of complete BUSCO genes recovered, which is very high (>98% of Lepidoptera database BUSCO genes lepidoptera\_odb10 set). Annotation was apparently not performed, although protein-coding predictions would be a useful addition to this high quality genome.

This high quality genome assembly will provide a reference genome for further genomic studies on this geometrid moth.

#### Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

#### Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

# Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

### *Reviewer Expertise:* evolutionary biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.