# BIOCHAR APPLICATION IMPROVES SOIL BULK DENSITY, AGGREGATION AND MICROBIAL BIOMASS CARBON

# **H.M. Al-Amin1&2, M.M. Rahman1\*, M.S. Alam1, J. Smith3, M.A. Sutton4, M.G. Miah1, M.R. Islam<sup>1</sup>**

*1 Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur 1706, Bangladesh*

*2 Bangladesh Sugarcrop Research Institute, Ishwardi, Pabna, Bangladesh*

*3 University of Aberdeen, Scotland, United Kingdom*

*4 Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom*

*\* Corresponding author: mizan@bsmrau.edu.bd*

## Abstract

Nitrogen (N) is an essential nutrient element for crop production, nevertheless too much or too little N is harmful for soil, environment and crops. Over the last century the reactive N (Nr) in the environment has become doubled from industrial and agricultural activities. Such Nr may impact soil physical, chemical and biological characteristics especially at farm level due to improper fertilizer management. Therefore, an experiment was conducted at the farmer's �ield of Tokenagar village of Kapasia upazila under Gazipur district to evaluate the effects of various N management practices including biochar application on bio-physicochemical properties of soils in the Boro-T. Aman rice pattern. The experiment consisted of five treatments:  $T_i$ -, control (zero nitrogen),  $T_2$  - farmer's practice (FP) of N as prilled urea (PU),  $T_3$ - recommended dose of N (RDN) as PU,  $T_4$  biochar (2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) + RDN (BRDN), and T<sub>5</sub> - deep placement of urea super granule (USG). Soil samples were collected after each crop harvest s and analyzed for different physical and chemical parameters. Results indicated that biochar + RDN  $(T_{4})$  treatment positively contributed to decreased soil bulk density and increased water-holding capacity. The same treatment increased soil pH by 4.9% and the USG treatment  $(T_5)$  did increase by 2.8% compared to the initial level. Compared to the control, water stable soil aggregates markedly increased in biochar (148-157%) and USG (54-111%) treatments. Smaller size soil particles (0.25 mm) were found more stable than larger sized particles (0.50 mm) size particles. Improvement of soil fertility is also evidenced, however, increment of 18% carbon for the biochar treatment is notable in terms of carbon-negative economy. Furthermore, the highest microbial biomass C and N due to biochar treatment confirms its effectiveness for sustained soil quality and environment.

**Keywords:** Biochar, Soil properties, Farmer �ield, Biomass carbon, Reactive nitrogen

# 1. Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) serves as the primary staple food for half of the global population. As the world's population is projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050, meeting the rising demand for food will be a signi�icant challenge (UN, 2015). The current population in Bangladesh is 173 million which is expected to reach 220 million in 2050 (UNFPA, 2024). It is crucial to produce food for the ever-burgeoning population from a limited land resource. Nitrogen (N) fertilization plays a vital role in ful�illing the growing food requirements of the rapidly expanding global population. It influences key physiological processes in plants, including photosynthesis, protein synthesis and grain yield (Ladha *et al.,* 2020). In many cropping systems, especially in tropical and subtropical climates, soil N is de�icient which seriously limits crop yields (Rahman *et al.,* 2022a). Due to de�iciency of N in agricultural land and cropping systems across the world, external supply of N as fertilizer is necessary to meet the increasing demand of food. However, the excessive use of synthetic N fertilizers adversely affects soil health and the environment. Despite the over application of chemical N fertilizers, a substantial portion is either lost or remains unavailable to plants, leading to issues such as groundwater contamination, increased greenhouse gas emissions, and soil fertility degradation (Islam *et al.,* 2024). Therefore, effective N management practices are crucial for improving soil health and maintaining soil fertility for future generations.

Alternatively, biochar application is an effective method for enhancing soil physicochemical properties, such as soil porosity, bulk density, moisture content, soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, and other micronutrients (Islam *et al.,* 2024). Biochar, a carbon-rich material formed through the thermal decomposition of biomass and organic wastes, has demonstrated a signi�icant improvement in soil quality (Rahman *et al.,* 2020). Its use bene�its soil properties due to its high cation exchange capacity, large surface area, and rich nutrient composition. By increasing nutrient availability, improving soil chemical properties, and boosting soil microbial biomass carbon (C) and N, biochar can promote plant growth (Rahman, 2014). The slow decomposition rate of biochar allows it to have a lasting effect on soil properties and helps restore soil fertility (Rahman *et al.,* 2020). Research has shown that combining biochar with synthetic N fertilizers can enhance crop yields in acidic soils (Ullah *et al.,* 2021). Additionally, biochar can enhance plant growth by improving soil quality, increasing soil aggregate stability, increasing water retention, enhancing nutrient availability, promoting bene�icial microbial activity, and reducing soil-borne diseases. Therefore, biochar is considered a valuable soil amendment for crop production (Glaser *et al.,* 2015). While recent studies suggest that using urea super granules (USG) through deep placement significantly improves N use efficiency (NUE) and rice yield but farm level adoption of this technology is low in Bangladesh (Rea *et al.,* 2019; Alam *et al.* 2023). Therefore, the present study was conducted in farmer's fields in Bangladesh to investigate the impact of applying urea fertilizer along with biochar, or applying the N fertilizers by deep placement of USG on soil physico-chemical properties of rice �ield. The study aims to offer guidance on effective N management practices that improve soil health and preserve soil fertility for sustainable agriculture.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### **2.1 Site description**

The study was conducted at Tokenagar village under Kapasia upazila of Gazipur district in Bangladesh. This village is located 24º02' and 24º16' N and in between 90º30' and 90º42' E. The village is under the agro-ecological zone (AEZ) of Old Brahmaputra Floodplain (AEZ 9). Rice is the main crop for the farmers in this village. Most of the farmers follow *Boro*-Fallow-*T. Aman* (Transplanted Aman) rice cropping system. The yield of rice in the study village is low because of dependency on only synthetic fertilizers without use of organic fertilizers, which has resulted in a decline in soil fertility.

#### **2.2 Treatments, experimental design and fertilization**

The study consisted of five treatments: T<sub>1</sub>: zero N (control), T<sub>2</sub>: normal farmer practice (FP), where prilled urea was used,  $T_3$ : soil test-based recommended dose of prilled urea (RDN),  $T_4$ : biochar 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + RDN (BRDN), and  $T_5$ : deep placement of urea supper granules (USG). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The size of individual plot was  $16 \text{ m}^2 (4 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ m})$ . A 0.5 m irrigation channel separated the blocks from each other, while each plot was separated by a 0.5 m wide levee to prevent horizontal seepage of water and fertilizer between the plots.

Prilled urea (PU) was used in all the N treatments except the USG. Soil samples at 0-15 cm were collected before the experiment was started and analyzed to determine soil test-based N rates which were 163 kg and 82 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in *Boro* and *T. Aman* rice seasons, respectively while in normal farmer-practice, the application rates of prilled urea were 103 kg and 62 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. For USG treatment, the rates were 104 kg and 69 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Rice husk biochar was used in the BRDN treatment at the rate of 3.2 kg plot<sup>1</sup> (air-dry basis) equivalent to a dose of 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This was applied 7 days before final land preparation and transplanting. Biochar was produced using rice husk in a burner developed by the Department of Soil Science, BSMRAU.

The study was conducted in the *Boro*-Fallow-*T. Aman* cropping system during 2022–2023. Boro is the dry season rice was grown with full irrigation, while *T. Aman* was grown under rainfed condition with supplementary irrigation, if needed. In the PU treatments, N was applied in three equal splits at 15, 35, and 55 days after transplantation (DAT). Urea super granules were applied as a single application at 15 DAT. Based on soil test results P, K and S were applied at 18 kg, 77 kg and 13 kg ha-1, respectively for *Boro* rice as basal and mixed with soil on the day of transplanting in all the treatments including zero-N control.

For *T. Aman* rice (15 August–15 November 2023) variety Binadhan-17 was used. The soil test-based rates for P, K, and S were  $6 \text{ kg}$ ,  $52 \text{ kg}$ , and  $8 \text{ kg}$  ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. In the PU treatments, N was applied in three equal splits on 10, 25, and 40 DAT, while USG was applied at a single dose at 10 DAT. Triple super phosphate (TSP), muriate of potash (MoP), and gypsum served as sources for P, K, and S, respectively, in both the *Boro* and *T. Aman* seasons. Crop and plot management practices were uniform across all plots, encompassing consistent approaches to irrigation, weed control, and pest management.

## **2.3 Soil sampling and analysis**

Before setting up the experiment and after completion of two-season rice harvesting, random composite soil samples (3 per plot) from 0-15 cm depth were taken using an auger. The soil samples were left to dry naturally in a shaded area and then pulverized with a mortar and ground to attain a particle size capable of passing through a 2 mm sieve. Nitrogen concentration in soil was determined by Kjeldahl method (Douglas *et al.,* 1980). Soil samples were analysed for pH by glass electrode pH meter using a soil and water ratio of 1: 2.5 (Jackson, 1958), organic carbon by wet oxidation method (Walkley and Black 1934), available P by Olsen method (Olsen and Sommers, 1982), S by turbidimetric method (Chesnin and Yien, 1950) and K by ammonium acetate extraction method (Barker and Surh, 1982). The fumigation extraction procedure was used to measure soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and soil microbial biomass nitrogen (SMBN) in the soil as previously described by Brookes *et al.* (1985). Soil bulk density (Bd) and water holding capacity (WHC) were measured according to methods described by Page *et al.* (1982). For water stable soil aggregates (WSA), collected soil was air dried and divided into 0.50 mm and 0.25 mm aggregates and water stable soil aggregates were determined by wet sieving method (Castellanos-Navarrete, 2013).

## **2.4 Statistical analysis**

Statistix version 10.0 statistical software was used for the statistical analysis of the data. ANOVA and univariate analyses were conducted to assess all parameters, and the separation of treatment means was accomplished through the least signi�icant difference (LSD) method. Various graphs were generated using Microsoft Excel (Office 2007).

# 3. Results and Discussion

Effects of different treatments on soil properties are presented in table and graphs, and discussed below.

#### **3.1 Bulk density**

Effects of different treatments on bulk density (Bd) of post-harvest soil are summarized in Fig.  $1(a)$ . Significant interactive effect was found from the combination of biochar and N fertilizer on soil bulk density. The biochar treatments recorded the lowest soil Bd value (1.32  $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) which was probably due to the less dense and highly porous nature of rice husk biochar. When applied as a soil conditioner, biochar supports proliferation of soil faunas under these treatments, which in turn helps in maintaining the soil structure with proper soil aeration and water movement contributing to lowering of soil Bd (Burrell *et al.,* 2016). Celik *et al.* (2010) found alike information that compared to mineral fertilization, different organic amendment reduced the bulk density at 0-15 cm soil depth.



**Fig. 1** *Effects of different treatments on (a) bulk density and (b) water holding capacity of post-harvest soil; Vertical bar on the column indicates standard error. (Different letters on the bar of respective parameter indicate signi�icant difference)*

#### **3.2 Water holding capacity**

Similar to bulk density, a signi�icant effect was observed from the combined application of biochar and N fertilizer on soil water-holding capacity (WHC) of post-harvest soil, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The treatment demonstrated the highest WHC of 41%, the reason can be attributed to the large surface area and macro- and micropores structure on the external and internal surface of rice husk biochar which possibly helped water storing in the pore network. Also, the less hydrophobicity and polarity of rice husk biochar due to its conditioning after pyrolysis possibly in�luenced soil aggregation (Busscher *et al.,* 2010), which could have potentially contributed to the enhanced WHC. On the other hand, the N-treated plot exhibited an inconsistent change in water-holding capacity, might be due to N increased microbial activity and accelerated the decomposition rate. Findings from our

study are corroborated by Burrell *et al.* (2016) who reported similar results with an increase in WHC upon wood residue biochar application.

## **3.3 Water stable soil aggregates (WSA)**

Treatments exhibited signi�icant effects on soil aggregate stability (Fig. 2). The signi�icantly highest WSA were attributed in the biochar treatment for both 0.50 mm (318%) and 0.25 mm (348%) sized soil particles. It is evident that smaller sized soil particles are more stable than that of the larger particles. Roy *et al.* (2019) also found that smaller sized soil particles contributed to a higher degree of aggregate stability. Soil aggregate stability largely depends on different agronomic management practices which may affect and breakdown larger aggregates, while the smaller aggregates may exist in high percentage (Simansky, 2013).

In terms of WSA, the treatments followed the order BRDN>USG>RDN>FP>Control (Fig. 2). Biochar is efficient in increasing soil aggregate stability of its larger surface area, and being organic materials, it acts as glue in binding soil particles together and makes soil aggregates stronger. Thus, the improved soil structure might contribute to nutrient and water retention, and also it becomes stronger to resist disruptive force and control soil erosion.



**Fig. 2** *Soil aggregate stability (SAS) as affected by different N management practices (Different letters on the bar of respective parameter indicate signi�icant difference)*

## **3.4 Soil pH**

Nitrogen management practices significantly influence the chemical properties of post-harvest soil. After two consecutive rice growing seasons, soil pH was found significantly increased compared to initial soil status (Table 1). A significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) was identi�ied in the pH of initial and post-harvest soil in BRDN, USG, and FP treatments in a paired T-test (Table 1). Non-significant difference was found in pH of initial soil. Whereas, the pH value was significantly highest in the BRDN treatment  $(6.41)$  followed by USG  $(6.32)$ , RDN (6.27), FP (6.25) and control (6.17) treatment in post-harvest soil. Application of biochar resulted in a signi�icant increase in soil pH, which was in agreement with He *et al.* (2017). As the total basic content was higher in biochar, it increased the pH of post-harvest soil (Chintala *et al.,* 2014).

<b>Treatments</b>	Soil pH		Organic $C(\%)$		Total N $(%)$	
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final
Control	6.12	$6.17_c$	0.80	$0.81$ <sub>b</sub>	0.078	$0.079_a$
FP	$6.13^{B}$	$6.25A_{bc}$	0.83	0.84 <sub>b</sub>	0.081 <sup>B</sup>	0.093 <sup>A</sup>
<b>RDN</b>	6.16	$6.27_{\text{bc}}$	0.80	0.85 <sub>b</sub>	$0.083^{B}$	$0.102A_{h}$
<b>BRDN</b>	6.11 <sup>B</sup>	6.41 <sup>A</sup>	0.82 <sup>B</sup>	0.97 <sup>A</sup>	$0.078^{\rm B}$	$0.106A_{h}$
<b>USG</b>	6.15 <sup>B</sup>	$6.32A_{ab}$	0.81	0.83 <sub>b</sub>	0.082 <sup>B</sup>	$0.136A_{a}$
LSD(5%)	<b>NS</b>	0.11	<b>NS</b>	0.11	<b>NS</b>	0.008

**Table 1.** Effects of different treatments on soil pH, organic C and total N contents of post-harvest soil

*Control: zero nitrogen, FP: prilled urea used as farmer's practice, RDN: recommended dose of N, BRDN: RDN + biochar 2 tha-1 and USG: deep placement of urea supper granules. Different superscript capital*  letters in the rows under each parameter differ significantly, while different subscript small letters in the *column under each parameter differ signi�icantly. A and B Indicate significant difference between the initial and �inal properties*

# **3.5 Organic Carbon**

Soil organic carbon increased signi�icantly only in the BRDN (0.82% to 0.97%) treatment in post-harvest soil compared to the initial soil carbon (Table 1). Non-significant difference was found in organic carbon of initial soil. Whereas, the significantly highest organic carbon was estimated in the BRDN treatment (0.97%) compared to other treatments in post-harvest soil. In the present investigation, 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> biochar was added in both Boro and Aman rice seasons in the biochar-treated plots, so the significantly highest soil organic  $C (\%)$ was observed on that treatment. Lorenz and Lal (2014) and Bromm and Glaser (2021) also reported that the application of biochar increased soil organic carbon status.

## **3.6 Soil nutrients**

A significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) was identified in the total N (%) of initial and post-harvest soil (Table 1). Total N (%) increased in all the treatments in post-harvest soil except the control treatment. No significant difference was found in the total N (%) of the initial soil. However, the significantly highest total  $N$  (%) was recorded in the USG treatment (0.136%), while the lowest was in the control treatment (0.079%) in the post-harvest soil. Husan *et al.* (2014) also reported that deep placement of USG increases total N % of paddy soil.

A signi�icant difference in available P (Olsen-P) levels between the initial and post-harvest soil was observed (Table 2). The available P content in the post-harvest soil signi�icantly increased in the USG, BRDN, and FP treatments compared to the initial soil P levels. Non-signi�icant difference in this element level was found initial soil. However, the highest available soil P (15.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the RDN treated plot, while the lowest was in the control  $(12.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  treatment.

<b>Treatments</b>	Available P $(mg kg-1)$		Exchangeable K $(c$ -mol $kg^{-1}$		Available S $(mg kg-1)$	
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final
Control	12.1	12.2 <sub>a</sub>	0.17	0.17 <sub>b</sub>	20.3	21.3 <sub>h</sub>
FP	12.2 <sup>B</sup>	$14.0^{A}$ <sub>c</sub>	0.17	0.18 <sub>b</sub>	20.3 <sup>B</sup>	$21.2^{A}$
<b>RDN</b>	12.1	15.8 <sub>a</sub>	0.16	0.18 <sub>b</sub>	21.1	23.8 <sub>a</sub>
<b>BRDN</b>	12.0 <sup>B</sup>	$15.3A_{h}$	0.15 <sup>B</sup>	0.21 <sup>A</sup>	22.2	22.2 <sub>b</sub>
<b>USG</b>	12.1 <sup>B</sup>	$15.1A_{h}$	0.17	$0.19_{ab}$	21.3 <sup>B</sup>	$24.2^{A}$
LSD(5%)	<b>NS</b>	0.2	<b>NS</b>	0.02	01.4	1.1

**Table 2.** Effects of different treatments on available P, exchangeable K and available S contents of post- harvest soil

*Control: zero nitrogen, FP: prilled urea used as farmer's practice, RDN: recommended dose of N, BRDN: RDN + biochar 2 tha-1 and USG: deep placement of urea supper granules. Different superscript capital letters in the rows under each parameter differ significantly, while different subscript small letters in the column under each parameter differ signi�icantly. A and B Indicate significance between the initial and post-harvest chemical properties.*

After two consecutive rice growing seasons, exchangeable K in the post-harvest (0.21 c-mol kg<sup>-1</sup>) soil increased significantly than that of the initial soil (0.15 c-mol kg<sup>-1</sup>) only in the BRDN treatment (Table 2). There was no significant difference in exchangeable K content of initial soil. Exchangeable K content in the post-harvest soils was found significantly higher in the BRDN (0.21 c-mol kg<sup>-1</sup>) and USG (0.19 c-mol kg<sup>-1</sup>) treated plots. Application of biochar treatment also led to a notable rise in the exchangeable K content which is in line with the �indings of He *et al.* (2017). The process of pyrolyzing plant biomass resulted in the majority of K from the plants being transferred to the biochar component, as noted by Lehmann and Joseph (2009). Consequently, the direct incorporation of biochar into the soil had a positive impact on certain nutrient levels.

Available sulphur (S) content significantly increased in the BRDN and FP treatments in post-harvest soil compared to the initial soil (Table 2). Non-signi�icant difference was found in available S levels of initial soil. However, soil S content was observed the significantly higher in the USG (24.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and RDN (23.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) treated plots. While the lowest S content was observed in the FP  $(21.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  treated plot which was statically similar to the control (21.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and BRDN (22.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot.

### **3.7 Soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen**

Different treatments had a notable effect on soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) in both initial and post-harvest soils (Fig. 3a). The highest soil MBC was observed in BRDN (320 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) followed by USG (291 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), RDN (270 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and FP (251 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) treatments while the lowest was noted in the control treatment  $(201 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ . It was observed that the combined application of biochar and RDN signi�icantly increased SMBC compared to the use of RDN alone. So, combined application of biochar and inorganic fertilizer had a positive effect of increasing MBC. Similar results were found by Ali *et al.* (2021). Biochar addition to soil alters soil organic carbon, soil organic matter (Bruun and EL-Zehery 2012), and soil enzymatic activities i.e., catalase, invertase, alkaline phosphates and urease (Oladele, 2019), which consequently might have influenced microbial biomass in paddy soil.



**Fig. 3** Effects of different treatments on (a) soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and (b) soil microbial biomass nitrogen (SMBN) of post-harvest soil. *Vertical bar on the column indicates standard error. (Different letters on the bar of respective parameter indicate signi�icant different)*

Similar to soil MBC, the imposed treatments had a notable impact on soil microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) in both initial and post-harvest soils (Fig. 3b). The highest soil MBN was observed in BRDN treatment  $(31 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  while the lowest was observed in the control treatment (22 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically similar to FP treatment (24 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). It was also observed that the combined application of biochar and RDN signi�icantly increased MBN compared to the use of RDN alone. So, combined application of biochar and inorganic fertilizer had a positive effect of increasing MBN. On the other hand, nitrogen is an essential element for microbial growth and development. So, MBN increased in all treatments compared to control. Similar results were found by Ali *et al.* (2021).

# 4. Conclusions

Different nitrogen management practices had a notable impact on the physico-chemical properties of post-harvest soil. After two consecutive rice-growing seasons, SOC, pH, total N, available P, and exchangeable K contents of the post-harvest soils were found to have significantly increased compared to their initial status in soil. The combined application of biochar and recommended dose of urea-N fertilizer decreased bulk density and significantly increased water-holding capacity compared to other treatments. Signi�icant improvement was also observed in soil pH, organic C, total N, available P, and exchangeable K in the biochar plus RDN treatment. The highest soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen were also observed in the biochar containing-treatment. Therefore, the combination of biochar and the recommended dose of urea-N is likely to be the most ef�icient management practice for improving soil health and maintaining soil fertility.

# **Acknowledgements**

We express our gratitude to UK Research and Innovation for supporting this research through the South Asian Nitrogen Hub (SANH) under the Global Challenge Research Fund (Grant Ref. Number NE/S009019/1).

# **Con�licts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding publication of this paper.

# References

- Alam, M.S., Khanam, M.,d Rahman, M.M. 2023. Environment Friendly Nitrogen Management Practices in Wetland Paddy Cultivation. Front. Sustain. Food. Syst. 7: 94. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1020570
- Ali, I., Zhao, Q., Wu, K., Ullah, S., Iqbal, A., Liang, H., Jiang, L. 2021. Biochar in combination with nitrogen fertilizer is a technique: to enhance physiological and morphological traits of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) by improving soil physio-biochemical properties. J. Plant Growth Regul. 1-15.
- Barker, D.E., Surh, N.H. 1982. Atomic absorption and flame emission spectroscopy. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part, 2, 13-26.
- Brookes, P.C., Landman, A., Pruden, G., Jenkinson, D.S. 1985. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil. Biol. Biochem. 17(6): 837-842.
- Bruun, S., EL-Zehery, T. 2012. Biochar effect on the mineralization of soil organic matter. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 47: 665-671.
- Burrell, L.D., Zehetner, F., Rampazzo, N., Wimmer, B., Soja, G. 2016. Long-term effects of biochar on soil physical properties. Geoderma. 282: 96-102.
- Busscher, W.J., Novak, J.M., Evans, D.E., Watts, D.W., Niandou, M.A.S., Ahmedna, M. 2010. In�luence of pecan biochar on physical properties of a Norfolk loamy sand. Soil Sci. 175(1): 10-14.
- Castellanos-Navarrete, A., Chocobar, A., Cox, R.A., Fonteyne, S., Govaerts, B., Jespers, N., Verhulst, N. 2013. Soil aggregate stability by wet sieving: A practical guide for comparing crop management practices. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Spain. pp. 1-4.
- Celik, I., Gunal, H., Budak, M., Akpinar, C. 2010. Effects of long-term organic and mineral fertilizers on bulk density and penetration resistance in semi-arid Mediterranean soil conditions. Geoderma. 160(2): 236-243.
- Chesnin, L., Yien, C.H. 1950. Turbidimetric Determination of Available Sulphates. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 15:149-151.
- Douglas, L.A., Riazi, A., Smith, C.J. 1980. A semi-micro method for determining total nitrogen in soils and plant material containing nitrite and nitrate. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 44(2): 431-433.
- Glaser, B., Wiedner, K., Seelig, S., Schmidt, H.P. and Gerber, H. 2015. Biochar organic fertilizers from natural resources as substitute for mineral fertilizers. Agron. Sustain. Develop. 35: 667-678.
- Gross, A., Bromm, T., Glaser, B. 2021. Soil organic carbon sequestration after biochar application: a global meta-analysis. Agron. 11(12): 2474.
- He, G., Liu, X., Cui, Z. 2017. Achieving global food security by focusing on nitrogen ef�iciency potentials and local production. Global Food Sec. 29: 100536.
- Husan, M.R., Islam, M R., Faried, K., Mian, M.H. 2014. Nitrogen use efficiency and rice yield as influenced by the application of prilled urea and urea super granule with or without organic manure. J. Bangladesh Agricult. Uni. 12(1): 37-43.
- Islam, M., Rahman, M.M., Alam, M.S., Rees, R.M., Rahman, G.M., Miah, M.G., Drewer J., Bhatia, A., Sutton, M.A. 2024. Leaching and volatilization of nitrogen in paddy rice under different nitrogen management. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 1-19.
- Jackson, M. 1958. Soil chemical analysis prentice Hall. Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 498(1958):183-204.
- Ladha, J.K., Jat, M.L., Stirling, C.M., Chakraborty, D., Pradhan, P., Krupnik, T.J., Gerard, B. 2020. Achieving the sustainable development goals in agriculture: The crucial role of nitrogen in cereal-based systems. Adv. Agron. 163: 39-116.
- Lebron, I., Suarez, D.L., Yoshida, T. 2002. Gypsum effect on the aggregate size and geometry of three sodic soils under reclamation. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 66(1): 92-98.
- Lorenz, K., Lal, R. 2014. Biochar application to soil for climate change mitigation by soil organic carbon sequestration. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 177(5): 651-670.
- Oladele, S.O. 2019. Effect of biochar amendment on soil enzymatic activities, carboxylate secretions and upland rice performance in a sandy clay loam Alfisol of Southwest Nigeria. Scientific African. 4, e00107.
- Olsen, S. R., Sommers, E. L. 1982. Phosphorus soluble in sodium bicarbonate. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part, 2, 404-430.
- Page, A.L., Miller R.H., Keeney D.R. 1982. Methods of Soil Analysis. Agronomy Monograph 9, 2nd edn. ASA and SSSA, Madison quality parameters. J. Environ. Manage. 192: 271–280.
- Rahman, M.M. 2014. Carbon and nitrogen dynamics and carbon sequestration in soils under different residue management. The Agriculturists. 12(2): 48-55.
- Rahman, G.M., Rahman, M.M., Alam, MS., Kamal, M. Z., Mashuk, H.A., Datta, R., Meena, R.S. 2020. Biochar and organic amendments for sustainable soil carbon and soil health. R. Datta et al. (eds.), Carbon and Nitrogen Cycl. Soil. 45-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7264-3\_3
- Rahman, M.M., Alam, M.S., Islam, M.M., Kamal, M.Z.U., Rahman, G.M., Haque, M.M., Biswas, J.C. 2022a. Potential of legume-based cropping systems for climate change adaptation and mitigation. In Advances in Legumes for Sustainable Intensi�ication (pp. 381-402). Academic Press.
- Rahman, M.M., Kamal, M.Z.U., Ranamukhaarachchi, S., Alam, M.S., Alam, M.K. et al. 2022b. Effects of organic amendments on soil aggregate stability, carbon sequestration and energy use efficiency in wetland paddy cultivation. Sustainability. 14:4475. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14084475
- Rea, R.S., Islam, M.R., Rahman, M.M., Mix, K. 2019. Study of nitrogen use efficiency and yield of rice influenced by deep placement of nitrogen fertilizers. SAARC J. Agric. 17(1): 93-103.
- Roy, S., Rahman, M.M., Rahman, G.K.M.M., Miah, M.G., Kamal, M.Z.U. 2019. Structural stability under different organic fertilizers management in paddy soil. Ann. Bangladesh Agric. 23: 15-24.
- Simansky, V. 2013. Soil organic matter in water-stable aggregates under different soil management practices in a productive vineyard. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci. 59(9): 1207-1214.
- Ullah, S., Ali, I., Liang, H., Zhao, Q., Wei, S., Muhammad, I., Jiang, L. 2021. An approach to sustainable agriculture by untangling the fate of contrasting nitrogen sources in double-season rice grown with and without biochar. GCB Bioen. 13(3): 382-392.
- UN. 2015. World Population Prospects: 2015 Revision. United Nations Economic and Social Affairs. 33(2): 1–66.
- UNFPA. 2024. Population Trends. UNFPA in Bangladesh. https://bangladesh.unfpa.org/en/topics/population-trends#:~:text=In%20Bangladesh%2 0young%20people%20between,level%20fertility%20is%20met%20today.
- Walkley, A., Black, I.A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 37(1): 29-38.