




British
Geological
Survey

3D Geological Model Report for Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam Superficial and Bedrock model

National and International Geoscience Programme

Open Report OR/23/20



BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

National and International Geoscience Programme

OPEN REPORT OR/23/20

3D Geological Model Report for Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam Superficial and Bedrock model

The National Grid and other
Ordnance Survey data © Crown
Copyright and database rights
2023. Ordnance Survey Licence
No. AC0000824781 EUL

Keywords:

Urban 3D geological model,
Liverpool, Warrington.

H Burke, R Terrington, S Thorpe, H Cullen-Gow, T Kearsey

Front cover:

3D view of the Liverpool,
Warrington and Irlam bedrock
and superficial model.

Bibliographical reference:

BURKE, H. TERRINGTON, R.
THORPE, S. CULLEN-GOW, H.
KEARSEY, T. 2023. 3D
Geological Model Report for
Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam
Superficial and Bedrock model.
*British Geological Survey Open
Report*, OR/23/20. 36pp.

Copyright in materials derived
from the British Geological
Survey's work is owned by
UK Research and Innovation
(UKRI) and/or the authority that
commissioned the work. You
may not copy or adapt this
publication without first obtaining
permission. Contact the BGS
Intellectual Property Rights
Section, British Geological
Survey, Keyworth,
e-mail ipr@bgs.ac.uk. You may
quote extracts of a reasonable
length without prior permission,
provided a full acknowledgement
is given of the source of the
extract.

Maps and diagrams in this book
use topography based on
Ordnance Survey mapping.

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

The full range of our publications is available from BGS shops at Nottingham, Edinburgh, London and Cardiff (Welsh publications only) see contact details below or shop online at www.geologyshop.com

The London Information Office also maintains a reference collection of BGS publications, including maps, for consultation.

We publish an annual catalogue of our maps and other publications; this catalogue is available online or from any of the BGS shops.

The British Geological Survey carries out the geological survey of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (the latter as an agency service for the government of Northern Ireland), and of the surrounding continental shelf, as well as basic research projects. It also undertakes programmes of technical aid in geology in developing countries.

The British Geological Survey is a component body of the Natural Environment Research Council.

British Geological Survey offices

BGS Central Enquiries Desk

Tel 0115 936 3143

email enquiries@bgs.ac.uk

Environmental Science Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG

Tel 0115 936 3241

email sales@bgs.ac.uk

The Lyell Centre, Research Avenue South, Edinburgh EH14 4AP

Tel 0131 667 1000

email scotsales@bgs.ac.uk

Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD

Tel 020 7589 4090

Tel 020 7942 5344/45

email bgslondon@bgs.ac.uk

Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT

Tel 029 2167 4280

Maclean Building, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford OX10 8BB

Tel 01491 838800

Geological Survey of Northern Ireland, Department of Enterprise, Trade & Investment, Dundonald House, Upper Newtownards Road, Ballymiscaw, Belfast, BT4 3SB

Tel 028 9038 8462

www.bgs.ac.uk/gsni/

Natural Environment Research Council, Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon SN2 1EU

Tel 01793 411500

Fax 01793 411501

www.nerc.ac.uk

UK Research and Innovation, Polaris House, Swindon SN2 1EU

Tel 01793 444000

www.ukri.ac.uk

Website www.bgs.ac.uk

Shop online at www.geologyshop.com

Foreword

This report is the published product of a study by the British Geological Survey (BGS). The report describes the data and methodologies for the construction of the Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam areas of the Lower Mersey Corridor, NW England.

Acknowledgements

Many individuals in the BGS have contributed to the project. This assistance has been received at all stages of the study. In addition to the collection of data, many individuals have freely given their advice, and provided the local knowledge of the geology Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam. Of the many individuals who have contributed to the project we would particularly like to thank the following:

Simon Price

Gareth Jenkins

Dave Boon

Ian Cooke

Contents

Foreword.....	2
Acknowledgements	2
Contents.....	3
Figures	4
Tables	4
Abbreviations	5
1 Introduction.....	6
2 Bedrock geology.....	8
3 Superficial geology	9
4 Artificially Modified Ground	10
5 Model datasets	12
5.1 List of datasets used in the 3D model.....	12
5.2 Digital Terrain Model	12
5.3 Bathymetry.....	12
5.4 Borehole data.....	13
5.5 Geological map linework	15
5.6 Seismic data.....	15
6 Modelled surfaces and volumes	16
7 Model workflow.....	18
7.1 Software versions.....	18
7.2 Artificially modified ground and superficial deposits 3D geological modelling workflow.....	18
7.3 Bedrock 3D geological modelling workflow.....	19
8 Model assumptions and geological rules	21
9 Model limitations.....	21
9.1 User guidance for the BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D Geological Model.....	21
9.2 Model specific limitations	21
9.3 General modelling limitations	22
10 Model quality assurance	23
11 Model uncertainty	23
12 Three-dimensional model images	25
13 References	28

Figures

Figure 1 Combined BGS Liverpool (west), Warrington (central) and Irlam (east) 3D geological model area. © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. OS AC0000824781 EUL.....	7
Figure 2 BGS Geology 250k – 3D geological model area. BGS © UKRI 2023.....	8
Figure 3 BGS Superficial deposits 50k - 3D geological model area. BGS © UKRI 2023.	9
Figure 4 Top: BGS Artificial Ground Geology 50k. Bottom: extent of AMG captured for the entire area in a detailed desk study. BGS © UKRI 2023.....	11
Figure 5 Bathymetry - 3D geological model area. Contains United Kingdom Hydrographic Office Data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023.	13
Figure 6 DTM with bathymetry and locations of boreholes used to inform the Superficial 3D geological model. Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. Contains United Kingdom Hydrographic Office Data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. BGS © UKRI 2023.	14
Figure 7 Locations of the boreholes used to inform the bedrock model. BGS © UKRI 2023. ...	15
Figure 8 Stratigraphy and unconformities used in the SKUA-GOCAD Bedrock model. BGS © UKRI 2023.	20
Figure 9 3D view of the combined superficial and bedrock model with 10x vertical exaggeration. Legend as per Table 1. BGS © UKRI 2023.	25
Figure 10 3D view of the superficial deposits and artificially modified ground in the Liverpool area, looking from the south, vertical exaggeration x10. Black areas indicate areas of bedrock at surface. Legend as per Table 1. BGS © UKRI 2023.	26
Figure 11 3D view of the superficial deposits and artificially modified ground in the Warrington area, vertical exaggeration x10. Legend as per Table 1. Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. OS AC0000824781 EUL. BGS © UKRI 2023.	26
Figure 12 3D view of the Irlam area from above, showing superficial deposits and artificially modified ground, vertical exaggeration x10. Legend as per Table 1. BGS © UKRI 2023. ...	27

Tables

Table 1 Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model units	16
Table 2 Variation in the thickness of bedrock unit observed in boreholes. Note that some units vary by as much as 500m in thickness.....	23

Abbreviations

BGS	British Geological Survey
BNG	British National Grid
DTM	Digital terrain model (land surface)
ERT	Electrical resistivity tomography
GI	Ground investigation
LEX	BGS Lexicon of Named Rock Units (BGS's abbreviated codes for superficial and bedrock units)
mbgl	Metres below ground level
mOD	Metres relative to ordnance datum
OD	Ordnance Datum
OS	Ordnance Survey
QA	Quality assurance
RCS	BGS Rock Classification Scheme (BGS scheme for classifying and naming geological materials)
UKRI	United Kingdom Research and Innovation (non-departmental public body of the Government of the United Kingdom)

1 Introduction

This report describes the data and methodology used to construct the 3D geological model of the artificially modified ground, superficial deposits and bedrock of Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam, NW England (**Figure 1**). These areas form part of the Lower Mersey Corridor linking Liverpool to Manchester. Historically this has been an important transport connection in this region between these cities along the Manchester Ship Canal and more recently by rail and road.

The 3D geological model was developed for the purpose of identifying artificially modified ground beyond what is shown on the BGS geological maps, characterising lithological variability within superficial deposits, depth to rockhead, and depicting the general bedrock geology. It is intended to be used for the assessment of engineering geological and geological ground conditions and their influence on aquifer vulnerability and recharge potential. The 3D geological model is not intended as a replacement for invasive ground investigation. It provides information about the potential ground conditions in Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam.

The model was constructed to be compatible with, and equivalent to, a detailed 1:10 000 scale geological map. The model therefore includes geological units that would normally be resolved at 1:10 000 scale.

The total area of the BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model is approximately 585 km² and ranges in elevation from 400 mOD to -300 mOD and is suitable for use at scales of 1:10 000 to 1:50 000.

The BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model report has been separated into the following sections:

- Bedrock and superficial geology (Sections 2, 3 and 4): provides the geological context of the Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model areas.
- Model datasets (Section 5): a description of the data used to inform the BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model and the processes used on these datasets.
- Modelled surfaces and volumes (Section 6): a description of the surface horizons modelled for the BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model.
- Superficial and bedrock geology modelling workflows (Section 7): the methodology used to model the superficial and bedrock geology in 3D.
- 3D geological model quality assurance (QA), rules and assumptions, and limitations (Sections 8, 9 and 10): a description of the 3D geological model QA procedures; the geological rules and assumptions that were applied to the 3D geological model, and the limitations around the use of the 3D geological model.
- Uncertainty (Section 11): a qualitative assessment of the uncertainty is described.
- BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model images (Section 12): 3D and cross-section images of the 3D geological outputs are provided for the horizons modelled.




Figure 1 Combined BGS Liverpool (west), Warrington (central) and Irlam (east) 3D geological model area. © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. OS AC000824781 EUL

2 Bedrock geology

The BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model area is underlain by formations within the Mercia Mudstone Group, the Sherwood Sandstone Group and underneath both, the Coal Measures Group (**Figure 2**).

The Sherwood Sandstone Group is the main bedrock unit that occurs at surface and under the superficial deposits. Lithologically, this is composed of sandstone which is generally red, yellow and brown. It is part pebbly and conglomeratic in lower part. The pebbles are generally extraformational quartz and quartzite, with some intraformational clasts. Subordinate red mudstone and siltstone exists within the Sherwood Sandstone Group.

The Mercia Mudstone Group overlies the Sherwood Sandstone Group in the east of the model and comprises mudstones, thin evaporate beds and sandstones. All are dominantly brown and red-brown in colour.

Below the Sherwood Sandstone Group, but above the Coal Measures Group, is the Cumbrian Coastal group, Appleby Group and Warwickshire Group. These are thin in this area; the Cumbrian Coastal Group is on average 20 metres thick, and the Appleby Group is on average 100m thick. The Appleby Group is only found east of the western boundary fault although there is some uncertainty due to the challenges of identifying it in boreholes.




Figure 2 BGS Geology 250k – 3D geological model area. BGS © UKRI 2023.

3 Superficial geology

The present-day topography is largely the result of glacial processes that were active during the Pleistocene, but it has been modified by fluvial processes, mass movement and landslides. The Pleistocene deposits are a complex sequence of superficial deposits comprising glacial and post-glacial deposits, the main unit of which is Devensian Till (**Figure 3**). Lithological variability within the Devensian Till is high. Devensian Till is generally a poorly sorted, unstratified mixture of rock fragments in a matrix of stiff, greyish-brown sandy clay. In many places many discrete lenses of glaciolacustrine silt and clay and glaciofluvial sand and gravel occur.




Figure 3 BGS Superficial deposits 50k - 3D geological model area. BGS © UKRI 2023.

4 Artificially Modified Ground

In the Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam areas, the Pleistocene and Holocene deposits are locally covered or altered by Artificially Modified Ground (AMG). AMG represents those areas where the ground surface has been significantly changed by human activity, usually divided into the following categories on BGS geological maps:

Made ground — areas where the natural land surface has been raised by the emplacement of human-made deposits, such as embankments and spoil heaps.

Worked ground — areas where the ground has been cut away, such as quarries and road cuttings.

Infilled ground — areas where the ground has been cut away, and then wholly or partially backfilled, such as an infilled pit or quarry.

Landscaped ground — areas where the surface has been reshaped on a large scale, such as a golf course.

Disturbed ground — areas of ill-defined shallow or near surface mineral workings where it is impracticable to map Made Ground and Worked Ground separately, such as areas of bell pit workings.

Only prominent artificially modified ground features have traditionally been mapped on the BGS geology maps (e.g. quarries, or rail and road embankments) and the more laterally persistent veneer of artificially modified ground has not been mapped in urban areas, such as shown on topographical maps. Further information on artificially modified ground mapping in BGS can be found on the BGS web site under BGS Geology themes: <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/datasets/bgs-geology/bgs-geology-themes/#artificial>

AMG is important but often under-represented in geological maps and models (Aldiss et al., 2014; Ford et al., 2014). It was not routinely mapped by the BGS until the 1980s, and modern AMG mapping has focused primarily on mineral workings, industrial areas and transport routes.

For the Liverpool-Warrington-Irlam model area AMG was inconsistently mapped, with partial coverage in Liverpool and Irlam and no coverage for most of the model area. To address this a detailed desk study was undertaken using historic Ordnance Survey maps, terrain models and borehole records to identify AMG and improve its representation in the 3D geological model. This study revealed hundreds of shallow pits, many of which are backfilled; the original, now infilled route of the River Irwell before it was canalised; and large spoil heaps where dredgings from the Manchester Ship Canal were deposited. As well as the classes of AMG described earlier in this section, the Manchester Ship Canal was captured as a separate worked ground unit. **Figure 4** (top) shows the original mapped distribution of AMG from BGS Geology 50K and the modelled extent following the desk study (bottom).





Figure 4 Top: BGS Artificial Ground Geology 50k. Bottom: extent of AMG captured for the entire area in a detailed desk study. BGS © UKRI 2023.

5 Model datasets

5.1 LIST OF DATASETS USED IN THE 3D MODEL

The following section describes the datasets used to inform the Liverpool-Warrington-Irlam 3D geological model.

- Digital terrain model:
 - OS Terrain 50 DTM (Ordnance Survey, 2023) <https://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/products/os-terrain-50>
- Bathymetry
 - United Kingdom Hydrographic Office (United Kingdom Hydrographic Office, 2023) <https://www.admiralty.co.uk/access-data/seabed-mapping>
- Borehole data:
 - Historical boreholes held by the BGS (Accessed via the BGS GeolIndex (British Geological Survey, 2022c) <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/map-viewers/geoindex-onshore/>
- Geological map linework:
 - BGS Geology 10K superficial geology map linework (British Geological Survey, 2012) <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/datasets/bgs-geology-10k/>
 - BGS Geology 50K superficial geology map linework (British Geological Survey, 2016a) <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/datasets/bgs-geology-50k-digmapgb/>
 - BGS Geology 50K bedrock geology map linework (British Geological Survey, 2016b) <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/datasets/bgs-geology-50k-digmapgb/>
 - BGS Geology 50K linear features (British Geological Survey, 2016c) <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/datasets/bgs-geology/bgs-geology-themes/#linear>
 - Structural data - digitised
- BGS National Superficial Thickness Model (Lawley and Garcia-Bajo, 2009) <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/datasets/superficial-thickness-model/>
- Seismic interpretations (Andrews 2013)
- BGS historical 3D geological models:
 - Lower Mersey Corridor – Regional cross-sections – unpublished

5.2 DIGITAL TERRAIN MODEL

The Ordnance Survey Terrain 50 DTM was used as the land surface model cap. This was mosaicked with the bathymetry to provide a complete cap to the 3D geological model (see Section 5.3)

5.3 BATHYMETRY

Two surveys were downloaded from the United Kingdom Hydrographic Office:

- 2004 2006-361304 River Mersey New Brighton to Warrington
- 1998 2006-357964 River Mersey Crosby Channel to New Brighton

These were download as XYZ data and then calculated as a surface (**Figure 5**). The OS Terrain 50 DTM and bathymetry were mosaicked to give a combined coverage of elevation data (land surface and estuary bed surface). See **Figure 6** for the combined surface model.




Figure 5 Bathymetry - 3D geological model area. Contains United Kingdom Hydrographic Office Data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023.

5.4 BOREHOLE DATA

Boreholes were digitised using the bedrock coding scheme following the [BGS Lexicon of Name Rock Units](#) (LEX (British Geological Survey, 2022a)) and the [BGS Rock Classification Scheme](#) (RCS) to log lithostratigraphy (British Geological Survey, 2022b) where possible. The unlithified coding scheme was used to record lithology (Cooper et al, 2006).

In total 7288 boreholes were downloaded from the BGS borehole database. 2783 boreholes were used directly in the cross-section interpretation for the 3D geological modelling (**Figure 6**), and a further 4505 boreholes were considered within the 3D geological model project area.

The bedrock units are constrained by 282 boreholes (**Figure 7**).




Figure 6 DTM with bathymetry and locations of boreholes used to inform the superficial 3D geological model. Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. Contains United Kingdom Hydrographic Office Data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. BGS © UKRI 2023.




Figure 7 Locations of the boreholes used to inform the bedrock model. BGS © UKRI 2023.

5.5 GEOLOGICAL MAP LINEWORK

The following geological map data were used to constrain the artificial, superficial and bedrock outcrops:

- BGS Geology 10K superficial geology map linework (British Geological Survey, 2012)
- BGS Geology 50K superficial geology map linework (British Geological Survey, 2016a)
- BGS Geology 50K bedrock geology map linework (British Geological Survey, 2016b)
- BGS Geology 50K linear features (British Geological Survey, 2016c)

5.6 SEISMIC DATA

The lack of boreholes that penetrate deeper than the base of Sherwood Sandstone Group meant that there were issues controlling the bedrock model below that level. As a result, the depth converted seismic pick for the Variscan Unconformity (UVAR) from Andrews (2013) were used to help constrain the top of the Warwickshire Group in the model.

6 Modelled surfaces and volumes

Table 1 below summarises the superficial deposits included in the Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model.

Table 1 Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model units

	Geological unit	Model unit	Lithology	Environment (inferred)	Model notation
Holocene	Worked Ground	WORKED GROUND	N/A	Anthropogenic (Artificial ground)	wgr
		WORKED GROUND (MANCHESTER SHIP CANAL)	N/A		wgr_cnl
	Made Ground	MADE GROUND	Mixed		mgr
	Landscaped Ground	LANDSCAPED GROUND	Mixed		lsgr
	Worked and Made Ground (Infilled) Ground	WORKED AND MADE (INFILLED) GROUND	Mixed		wmgr
	Alluvium	OVERBANK FLOODPLAIN DEPOSITS	Silt, clay,	Fluvial	alv_1
		RIVER CHANNEL DEPOSITS	Sand, gravel	(may include glaciofluvial element)	alv_2
	Tidal Flat Deposits (*note colour has modified for the 3D geological model to distinguish it from Alluvium)	ESTUARINE ALLUVIUM WHERE IT OVERLIES PEAT DEPOSITS	Clay, silt, sand, peat	Tidal flat	tfd_1
	Peat (lowland bog)	PEAT	Peat	Organic	peat_1
	Tidal Flat Deposits (*note colour has modified for the 3D geological model to distinguish it from Alluvium)	ESTUARINE ALLUVIUM (INCLUDING DOWNHOLLAND SILT)	Clay, silt, sand, peat	Tidal flat	tfd
PEAT LENS WITHIN TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS		Peat	Organic	Peat_3	
Pleistocene (Devenian)	Aeolian Deposits	SHIRDLEY HILL SAND FORMATION	Sand, peat	Aeolian	ssa
	Glaciofluvial Deposits: Sheet deposits (formerly Late Glacial Flood Gravels)	1. REWORKED SHEET DEPOSITS IN TIDAL REACHES OF THE RIVER MERSEY	Sand, gravel	High level terrace Sub-till glaciofluvial deposits	gfsd_1
		2. SHEET DEPOSITS	Sand, gravel		gfsd
		3. GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS	Sand, gravel, clay		gfd_u_b
	Ice-contact Deposits	1. Ice-marginal deposits	Sand, gravel, silt	Moraine?	gfc
2. Intra-till channel deposits (major)		Sand, gravel	Sub/supra glacial drainage	gfd_u_1	
3. Intra-till lenses and sheet deposits (minor)			Sub/supra/en-glacial drainage	gfc_13_t to gfc_110_t, gfc_b	
Glaciolacustrine Deposits	1 Ice-marginal deposits 2. Sub-till deposits (restricted distribution)	Laminated silt and clay	Ice-marginal Ice-proximal	gld_1 gld_2	
Till	TILL	Till, interbedded sands, impersistent laminated clays	Lodgement and melt-out tills, undivided	till_1	

	Geological unit	Model unit	Lithology	Environment (inferred)	Model notation
Triassic	Mercia Mudstone Group	MERCIA MUDSTONE GROUP	Dominantly red, less commonly green-grey, mudstones and subordinate siltstones with thick halite-bearing units in some basinal areas. Thin beds of gypsum/anhydrite are widespread; thin sandstones are also present.		
	Sherwood Sandstone Group	SHERWOOD SANDSTONE GROUP	Sandstone, pebbly; conglomeratic in lower part; subordinate red mudstone and siltstone.		
Permian	Cumbrian Coast Group	CUMBRIAN COAST GROUP (COLLYHURST SANDSTONE FORMATION)	Red sandstones	Aeolian dunes	
	Appleby Group	APPLEBY GROUP (MANCHESTER MARLS FORMATION)	Red calcareous mudstone and siltstone with thin beds of fossiliferous marine limestone and dolomite		
Carboniferous	Warwickshire Group	WARWICKSHIRE GROUP (ETRURIA FORMATION)	Mottled mudstone, with lenticular sandstones and conglomerates referred to as 'espleys'.		
	Pennine Coal Measures Group	PENNINE COAL MEASURES GROUP	Interbedded grey mudstone, siltstone and pale grey sandstone, commonly with mudstones containing marine fossils in the lower part, and more numerous and thicker coal seams in the upper part.	Deltaic	
	Millstone Grit Group	MILLSTONE GRIT GROUP	Interbedded sandstones, grey mudstone, siltstone	Deltaic	

7 Model workflow

7.1 SOFTWARE VERSIONS

The BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model was constructed in two parts.

The superficial deposits were modelled using of GSI3D (v2013) software for the AMG and superficial deposits. The Bedrock was model was built using SKUA GOCAD (v22) and the faults were constructed first using LOOP3D (Grose et al 2021, Jessell et al. 2021)

Sections 7.2 and 7.3 describe the workflow applied to the BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological modelling of the superficial deposits and bedrock.

7.2 ARTIFICIALLY MODIFIED GROUND AND SUPERFICIAL DEPOSITS 3D GEOLOGICAL MODELLING WORKFLOW

The standard GSI3D modelling workflow (Kessler, 2009) was used for the superficial deposits. GSI3D software utilises a range of data such as boreholes, DTMs and geological linework to enable the geologist to construct a series of interlocking cross-sections. Borehole data is represented in GSI3D by two proprietary files: a borehole identification file, that contains 'index'-level information including location and start-heights; a borehole log file, that contains the borehole interpretation. Constructing cross-sections is intuitive and flexible, combining borehole data whereby bases and minimum depths are identified and digitised between considering outcrop data with the geologist's experience to refine the interpretation. Explanations for base depth and minimum depths are provided below:

The base of a stratigraphical unit was proven where the geological unit sits on another geological unit in the borehole, e.g. Alluvium on River Terrace Deposits.

The minimum depth of a geological unit is determined where the base of a stratigraphical unit was not proven i.e. where the borehole terminated within the depth of the stratigraphical unit, rather than reaching the base of the unit. These are referred to as minimum depth markers and show the minimum depth to the base of a unit. The minimum depth markers were used to ensure the base of a particular horizon went below the marker in the 3D geological model.

Using both the information from the cross-sections and the distribution of each unit (combination of outcrop from geological maps and subcrop predicted by cross-section interpretation) triangulated surfaces for the top and base of each unit are calculated. To control the relative vertical ordering of the calculation, a generalised vertical section file is created (Section 6). The modeller can view all the units in 3D and iteratively return to the cross-section to make amendments or add further cross-sections to refine the model. This process is a standard methodology within BGS for modelling Quaternary and simple bedrock horizons and is fully documented in Kessler et al (2009).

Artificially Modified Ground (AMG) was modelled according to a semi-automated methodology defined during the modelling. The methodology produced scattered data point files (XYZ) for areas defining the AMG classes. Made Ground (MGR) and Landscaped Ground (LSGR), and all instances of artificial material (made ground including engineered fill) proved in borehole and trial pit records and digitised in the project database were selected. Boreholes that had AMG recorded, but not included along cross-section interpretation but within the project area were also selected. The thickness was calculated using the start elevation of the record (in mOD)

where it was present. In all other instances, the start elevation was derived from the OS Terrain 50 DTM elevation data.

For Worked Ground (WGR) and Worked and Made Ground (WMGR, also known as infilled ground), the depth of excavation was taken to be 0.2 m and 2.9 m below ground level respectively. This depth was selected to provide consistent visualisation of WGR and WMGR as it was beyond the scope of modelling activity to identify individual records of depth of excavation for each instance of worked ground. The Manchester Ship Canal was modelled to its excavation depth of 8.5 m.

7.3 BEDROCK 3D GEOLOGICAL MODELLING WORKFLOW

The bedrock model was capped by a rockhead surface generated from the superficial thickness model using the calculated surfaces described in Section 7.2. The bedrock model was constructed in two parts. First the 1:50 000 bedrock map outcrop and fault data (British Geological Survey, 2016b, 2016c), along with the dip and strike data from the published map were run through the Map2Loop application (Jessell et al. 2021). This was used to calculate the thickness of the units (**Table 2**) and the throw of faults. Faults less than 5 km were removed because it was too complex to calculate the model containing all the faults using the software.

All the data described above was imported into the SKUA-GOCAD modelling software and using the Structure & Stratigraphy implicit workflow (Newell 2018b) a model was calculated. All faults were assumed to be vertical, although SKUA-GOCAD did adjust these based on information from borehole data. Many of the stratigraphic boundaries in the BGS borehole database were revised using re-interpretations because of this modelling process. The final borehole stratigraphic interpretations are shown in Appendix 1. Many of the boundaries between units are unconformities. **Figure 8** shows the nature of the unconformities used in the final model.




Figure 8 Stratigraphy and unconformities used in the SKUA-GOCAD Bedrock model. BGS © UKRI 2023.

SKUA-GOCAD created a volumetric grid with a horizontal cell size of 500 m and 10 m cell in the vertical plane which vary depending on the thickness of the unit (c.f. Newell 2018a). These were the default values suggested by modelling workflow in SKUA-GOCAD because of the depth and lateral extent of the model. The bedrock model was constructed down to -1000 mOD to calculate the structural deformation in the Carboniferous. However, the final model cut-off depth is -300 mOD because below this depth the model uncertainty increased rapidly.

8 Model assumptions and geological rules

The interpolated structural data is representative of the geology at the scale of the model.

The bedrock model was limited to Group level. It was not possible to resolve the formational variation in this project because of the lack of data to do so.

During 3D geological modelling, historical boreholes held by the BGS were re-interpreted in context with other evidence when visualising them together in cross-section and in 3D.

All sand and gravel deposits where they were proved within the main till were assigned to individual lenses and interpreted as ice-contact deposits.

AMG was modelled according to a semi-automated methodology defined during the process of 3D geological modelling. Assumptions were made on thickness based on the averages and median values obtained from the borehole records.

9 Model limitations

9.1 USER GUIDANCE FOR THE BGS LIVERPOOL, WARRINGTON AND IRLAM 3D GEOLOGICAL MODEL

Users should note that a 3D geological model is a generalisation of reality, and the full complexity of the geology may not be represented. The model is constrained by the data available at the time of construction; other interpretations may be valid. The extents of the geological units shown on the approved geology maps ([GeologyGB](#)) may have been modified during the modelling process using evidence such as borehole logs that may not have been available at the time of mapping survey. Users of the 3D geological model outputs use it at their own risk.

The 3D geological model is an interpretation only and actual ground conditions encountered may be different from those shown in the BGS Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam 3D geological model.

9.2 MODEL SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS

A 50 m cell size DTM (OS Terrain 50) was used to provide consistent model calculation across the Lower Mersey Corridor area including Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam. The DTM resolution may not resolve detailed landforms of Quaternary significance within urban areas.

The following thicknesses were applied to the AMG classes using a combination of median and average thickness values taken from the interpreted borehole data.

Made Ground (MGR) = 2.2 m

Landscaped Ground (LSGR) = 1.5 m

Worked and Made Ground (WMGR) = 2.9 m

Worked Ground (WGR) = 0.2 m (this was to show ground disturbance in the 3D geological model)

These depths were selected to provide consistent visualisation of worked, and worked and made ground as it was beyond the scope of modelling activity to identify individual records of depth of excavation for each instance of worked ground.

There are several areas where artificial ground is no longer present or relevant to the current land surface and the Digital Terrain Model (DTM). For example, some artificial ground areas are derived from historical maps, and more recent activity in these areas will have obliterated the original units and replaced them with other artificial ground types.

Natural superficial deposits can appear over-thickened where the DTM picks out artefacts such as bridges. Traditionally artificial ground is not mapped through the spans of bridges because the land level remains unchanged and artificial ground is only present in the bridge footings. However, the DTM may use the elevation of the bridge rather than the natural land surface beneath.

Some boreholes take their ground surface elevation values (start heights) from the tops of soil heaps, sometimes around 10m above the natural ground level/base of artificial ground. Initially the borehole start heights were honoured, with no artificial ground modelled in these areas. MGR was later added to accommodate the spoil heaps, and the bases of natural superficial deposits were lowered to maintain their original thicknesses.

9.3 GENERAL MODELLING LIMITATIONS

- Geological interpretations are made according to the prevailing understanding of the geology at the time. The quality of such interpretations may be affected by the availability of new data, by subsequent advances in geological knowledge, improved methods of interpretation, improved databases and modelling software, and better access to sampling locations. Therefore, geological modelling is an empirical approach.
- The geological map linework in the model files may be modified during the modelling process to remove detail or modify the interpretation where new data is available. Hence, in some cases, faults or geological units that are shown in the BGS approved digital geological map data ([GeologyGB](#)) may not appear in the geological model or vice versa. Modelled units may be coloured differently to the equivalent units in the published geological maps.
- Best endeavours (detailed quality checking procedures) are employed to minimise data entry errors but given the diversity and volume of data used, it is anticipated that occasional erroneous entries will still be present (e.g. borehole locations, elevations etc.). Any raw data considered when building geological models may have been transcribed from analogue to digital format. Such processes are subjected to quality control to ensure reliability; however undetected errors may exist. Borehole locations are obtained from borehole records or site plans.
- DTMs are sourced externally by BGS and are used to cap geological models. DTMs may have been processed to remove surface features including vegetation and buildings. However, some surface features or artefacts may remain, particularly those associated with hillside forests. The digital terrain model may be sub-sampled to reduce its resolution and file size; therefore, some topographical detail may be lost.
- Borehole coding (including observations and interpretations) was captured in a corporate database before the commencement of modelling and any lithostratigraphic interpretations may have been re-interpreted in the context of other evidence during cross-section drawing

and modelling, resulting in a mismatch between BGS databases and modelled interpretations.

10 Model quality assurance

For a geological model to be approved for publication or delivery to a client, a series of quality assurance (QA) checks is carried out. This includes:

- an inspection of the input data
- the modelling methods used
- the associated model metadata report
- visual examination of the model outputs and modelled geological surfaces, which are checked for artefacts such as spikes and thickness anomalies

The naming convention of the modelled geological units is checked to ensure that recognised entries in the BGS Lexicon of Named Rock Units (British Geological Survey 2020a) and the BGS Rock Classification Scheme (British Geological Survey, 2020b). Further details of this procedure can be found in Gow et al. (2013).

11 Model uncertainty

There has been no attempt to establish quantitative model uncertainty up to the time the model and metadata report were published. However, qualitatively, it is evident that there is plenty of scope for uncertainty in the model to arise.

In general, as the depth of the model increases, the accuracy of the projected surfaces decreases because most boreholes terminate in the top 20 m or so below ground surface. This makes predicting the strata at depth particularly difficult. Experiences of 3D geological modelling in the Palaeozoic elsewhere in the UK (Burkin and Kearsley, 2019) has shown that the variation in thickness is a good proxy for the maximum error on any surface (**Table 2**). Therefore, it appears likely that the maximum error on the surfaces is of that order but will vary with the terrain and how active any faults were during deposition.

Table 2 Variation in the thickness of bedrock unit observed in boreholes. Note that some units vary by as much as 500m in thickness.

Stratigraphic Unit	Number Of Boreholes that intersect unit	Min thickness of unit (m)	Max thickness of unit (m)
Mercia Mudstone Group	8	total thickness not proven	total thickness not proven
Sherwood Sandstone Group	43	447	1125
Cumbrian Coast Group	27	14	410
Appleby Group	26	15	193
Warwickshire Group	35	16	334
Pennine Coal Measures Group	6	163	547

The bedrock model tried to match the borehole data as best it can. In most cases it managed to do this at the scale of the model. However, for the Mercia Mudstone, Appleby and Warwickshire groups it reported an average vertical error of ~10 m.

12 Three-dimensional model images

Figures 9 to 12 are 3D views of the model. **Figure 9** shows the full extent of the model with all units shown. **Figures 10, 11 and 12** focus on the Liverpool, Warrington and Irlam areas.




Figure 9 3D view of the combined superficial and bedrock model with 10x vertical exaggeration. Legend as per Table 1. BGS © UKRI 2023.




Figure 10 3D view of the superficial deposits and artificially modified ground in the Liverpool area, looking from the south, vertical exaggeration x10. Black areas indicate areas of bedrock at surface. Legend as per Table 1. BGS © UKRI 2023.




Figure 11 3D view of the superficial deposits and artificially modified ground in the Warrington area, vertical exaggeration x10. Legend as per Table 1. Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database rights 2023. OS AC0000824781 EUL. BGS © UKRI 2023.




Figure 12 3D view of the Irlam area from above, showing superficial deposits and artificially modified ground, vertical exaggeration x10. Legend as per Table 1. BGS © UKRI 2023.

13 References

British Geological Survey holds most of the references listed below, and copies may be obtained via the library service subject to copyright legislation (contact libuser@bgs.ac.uk for details). The library catalogue is available at: <http://geolib.bgs.ac.uk>.

Andrews, I.J. 2013. The Carboniferous Bowland Shale gas study: geology and resource estimation. British Geological Survey for Department of Energy and Climate Change, London, UK

British Geological Survey. 2022a. The BGS Lexicon of Named Rock Units [online]. Keyworth, Nottingham. Available from <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/technologies/the-bgs-lexicon-of-named-rock-units/>

British Geological Survey. 2022b. The BGS Rock Classification Scheme [online]. Keyworth, Nottingham. Available from <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/technologies/bgs-rock-classification-scheme/>

British Geological Survey, 2022c. Onshore GeoIndex. Date accessed – May 2020. <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/map-viewers/geoindex-onshore/>

British Geological Survey, 2016a, BGS Geology 50K superficial maps. Version 8.24.

British Geological Survey, 2016b, BGS Geology 50K bedrock maps. Version 8.24.

British Geological Survey, 2016c, BGS Geology 50K linear features. Version 8.24.

British Geological Survey, 2012, BGS Geology 10K superficial maps. Version 2.18.

Cooper, A H, Kessler, H and Ford, J. 2006. A revised scheme for coding unlithified deposits (also applicable to engineering soils). British Geological Survey Internal Report IR/05/123

Gow, H, Monaghan, A, Thorpe, S, and Terrington, R. 2013. Model approval data management: NGM. *British Geological Survey Open Report* OR/13/035. (Nottingham, UK: British Geological Survey)

Grose, L., Ailleres, L., Laurent, G. and Jessell, M., 2021. LoopStructural 1.0: time-aware geological modelling. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 14(6), pp.3915-3937.

Jessell, M., Ogarko, V., De Rose, Y., Lindsay, M., Joshi, R., Piechocka, A., Grose, L., De La Varga, M., Ailleres, L. and Pirot, G., 2021. Automated geological map deconstruction for 3D model construction using map2loop 1.0 and map2model 1.0. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 14(8), pp.5063-5092.

Kessler et al., (2009): The capture and dissemination of integrated 3D geospatial knowledge at the British Geological Survey using GSI3D software and methodology. *Computers & Geoscience*. Vol 35, pt 6, pp 1311-1321.

Lark, R M, Lawley, R S, Barron, A J M, Aldiss, D T, Ambrose, K, Cooper, A H, Lee, J R, and Waters, C N. 2015. Uncertainty in mapped geological boundaries held by a national geological survey: eliciting the geologists' tacit error model. *Solid Earth*, Vol. 6(2), 727–745. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-6-727-2015>

Lawley, R, and Garcia-Bajo, M. 2009. The National Superficial Deposit Thickness Model (Version 5). *British Geological Survey Open Report* OR/09/049. (Nottingham, UK: British Geological Survey)

Newell, A. J. 2018 Implicit geological modelling: a new approach to 3D volumetric national-scale geological models. Nottingham, UK, British Geological Survey, 37pp. (OR/19/004) (Unpublished)

Newell, A. J. 2018 Rifts, rivers and climate recovery: a new model for the Triassic of England. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, 129 (3). 352-371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgeola.2017.04.001>

Appendix 1 Model development log

Three versions of the model have been created.

1. Individual models constructed for Liverpool (2011), Warrington (2009) and Irlam (2012)
2. These were joined in 2015 as one 3D geological model but using a NextMap DTM as the capping surface
3. The model was rectified using the checking documentation from a QA of the individual models and updated using the OS Terrain 50 DTM/bathymetry as the capping surface

Appendix 2 Boreholes used in the bedrock model.

The stratigraphic bases used in the bedrock model were interpreted directly in SKUA GOCAD. Below are the stratigraphic bases that were used in the 3D model.

Borehole number (BGS_ID)	Easting	Westing	Elevation of base (m OD)	Measured depth in borehole (m)	Stratigraphic base
503	332585	401299	-675.12	687	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
503	332585	401299	-754.08	765.96	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
152086	328340	390880	-272.49	281.63	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
152086	328340	390880	-682.8	691.94	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
157835	335120	389130	-5.21	29.3	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
163782	349750	386900	-345.8	371.8	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
163782	349750	386900	-559	585	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
163799	345390	388162	-232.15	243	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
163799	345390	388162	-368.15	379	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
163800	348030	387290	-368.87	386.27	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
163801	346640	385530	-400.86	412	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
163801	346640	385530	-503.86	515	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
163802	348290	386520	-380.35	398.5	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
163802	348290	386520	-529.85	548	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
164241	344850	386310	-53	51.21	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
164607	347964	384935	-331.2	344	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
164607	347964	384935	-481.2	494	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
164887	347490	399680	-316.94	317.3	PENNINE_COAL_MEASURES_GROUP
164888	349052	399345	-280.69	281	PENNINE_COAL_MEASURES_GROUP
164889	345780	399330	-120.54	121	PENNINE_COAL_MEASURES_GROUP
164988	340604	396135	-86.83	85.04	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
164988	340604	396135	-121.11	119.32	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
164988	340604	396135	-189.55	187.76	APPLEBY_GROUP
164988	340604	396135	-223.53	221.74	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
164988	340604	396135	-386.14	384.35	PENNINE_COAL_MEASURES_GROUP
165701	348630	390509	-31	100	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
165701	348630	390509	-90	159	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
166803	359950	376030	-639.91	640	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
166870	353350	379100	-188.062	195.072	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
167131	355310	386160	-404.92	405	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
167138	356635	389020	-192.07	206	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
167138	356635	389020	-291.45	305.38	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
167138	356635	389020	-453.35	467.28	APPLEBY_GROUP
167138	356635	389020	-597.651	611.5812	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
168308	353666	387969	-241.7	264.26	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168310	352550	387310	-22.68	55.8	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168310	352550	387310	-105.48	138.6	APPLEBY_GROUP
168321	351094	386980	-51.6	82.6	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP

168321	351094	386980	-147	178	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168321	351094	386980	-243.6	274.6	APPLEBY_GROUP
168321	351094	386980	-364	395	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
168324	354723	388975	-56	77.4	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168324	354723	388975	-270	291.4	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168324	354723	388975	-285.6	307	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
168324	354723	388975	-445.7	467.1	APPLEBY_GROUP
168324	354723	388975	-603.4	624.8	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
168354	353451	386782	-373.11	396	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168354	353451	386782	-707.11	730	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
168358	353220	387930	-219.22	256	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168358	353220	387930	-420.22	457	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
168762	354140	389400	-191.46	214	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168762	354140	389400	-207.46	230	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
168762	354140	389400	-327.46	350	APPLEBY_GROUP
168762	354140	389400	-485.46	508	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
168763	354321	388514	-237.6	256	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
168763	354321	388514	-252.6	271	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
168763	354321	388514	-394.6	413	APPLEBY_GROUP
168763	354321	388514	-539.6	558	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
171237	355660	393490	-98.36	98.7	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
171237	355660	393490	-112.46	112.8	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
171237	355660	393490	-135.96	136.3	APPLEBY_GROUP
171240	359010	394790	-209.22	209.4	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
171240	359010	394790	-246.38	246.56	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
171240	359010	394790	-261.41	261.59	APPLEBY_GROUP
171240	359010	394790	-325.42	325.6	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
171293	357617	391651	-273.6	288	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
171293	357617	391651	-319.6	334	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
171293	357617	391651	-437.6	452	APPLEBY_GROUP
171293	357617	391651	-619.9	634.3	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
171294	359061	392324	-305.7	319	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
171294	359061	392324	-344.7	358	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
171294	359061	392324	-428.7	442	APPLEBY_GROUP
171294	359061	392324	-716.7	730	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
171295	357197	393549	-251.8	277	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
171295	357197	393549	-276.8	302	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
171295	357197	393549	-342.8	368	APPLEBY_GROUP
171295	357197	393549	-370.8	396	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
172994	354860	393500	-53.01	53.44	APPLEBY_GROUP
172994	354860	393500	-156.57	157	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
639643	377373	383541	-275.83	276.15	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
639646	376961	382331	-138.31	138.68	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
749082	370269	377851	-713.4	753	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
749082	370269	377851	-1838.4	1878	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
749082	370269	377851	-2225.9	2265.5	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP

761783	361991	395730	-126.03	160.02	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
761783	361991	395730	-159.86	193.85	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
761783	361991	395730	-251.91	285.9	APPLEBY_GROUP
761783	361991	395730	-267.914	301.9044	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
765870	374640	397875	-58.64	80.47	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
765870	374640	397875	-105.58	127.41	APPLEBY_GROUP
765870	374640	397875	-360.542	382.3716	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
765872	372121	397572	-240.24	240.49	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
765872	372121	397572	-257.31	257.56	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
765872	372121	397572	-304.63	304.88	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
765872	372121	397572	-420.247	420.497	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
765947	371810	396285	-260.21	287	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
765947	371810	396285	-281.21	308	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
765947	371810	396285	-331.21	358	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
765947	371810	396285	-416.21	443	APPLEBY_GROUP
765947	371810	396285	-571.21	598	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
792791	368990	396820	-287.4	310.02	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
792791	368990	396820	-330	352.62	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
792791	368990	396820	-414.38	437	APPLEBY_GROUP
792791	368990	396820	-507.38	530	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
792796	366271	395281	-495.2	522	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
792796	366271	395281	-544.2	571	APPLEBY_GROUP
792796	366271	395281	-744.2	771	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
793123	369985	395356	-401.51	428	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
793123	369985	395356	-421.51	448	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
793123	369985	395356	-475.51	502	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
793123	369985	395356	-619.51	646	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
793124	368248	398907	-134.53	159	APPLEBY_GROUP
793124	368248	398907	-201.53	226	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
819276	362910	383410	-107.8	108.5	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP
822636	367516	389865	-531.76	555	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
822636	367516	389865	-576.76	600	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
822636	367516	389865	-681.76	705	APPLEBY_GROUP
822636	367516	389865	-698.76	722	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
943687	362720	390200	-140.22	150	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
943687	362720	390200	-504.33	514.11	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
943687	362720	390200	-631.93	641.71	APPLEBY_GROUP
943687	362720	390200	-862.22	872	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
943688	360330	390010	-463.77	473	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
943688	360330	390010	-493.77	503	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
943688	360330	390010	-527.77	537	APPLEBY_GROUP
943688	360330	390010	-699.27	708.5	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
943689	363620	392540	-259.17	276	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
943689	363620	392540	-273.17	290	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
943689	363620	392540	-292.17	309	APPLEBY_GROUP
943689	363620	392540	-473.17	490	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP

943692	364470	394130	-356.37	393	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
943692	364470	394130	-409.37	446	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
943692	364470	394130	-602.37	639	APPLEBY_GROUP
943712	362131	391574	-251.25	268	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
943712	362131	391574	-277.25	294	APPLEBY_GROUP
943712	362131	391574	-485.25	502	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
945047	364381	390995	-423	438	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
945047	364381	390995	-461	476	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
945047	364381	390995	-553	568	APPLEBY_GROUP
945047	364381	390995	-749	764	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
954339	376271	399139	-89.8234	116.1034	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
954340	375407	398565	-82.32	103.02	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
954340	375407	398565	-152.73	173.43	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
954849	376400	399770	-38.81	65.1	PENNINE_COAL_MEASURES_GROUP
19206308	346211	376439	-267.318	277.368	SHERWOOD_SANDSTONE_GROUP
19206308	346211	376439	-387.714	397.764	WARWICKSHIRE_GROUP
19206308	346211	376439	-934.829	944.879	PENNINE_COAL_MEASURES_GROUP
19206308	346211	376439	-1270.11	1280.159	MILLSTONE_GRIT_GROUP
19415659	374200	399800	-1.55	22.55	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
19415665	374300	399770	-2.23	23.83	CUMBRIAN_COAST_GROUP
20742309	377343	388001	12.92	15.62	MERCIA_MUDSTONE_GROUP