



Article (refereed) - postprint

Whittet, Richard; Lopez, Gustavo; Rosique-Esplugas, Cristina. 2021. Midrotation variation in growth, form and phenology of sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus L.) provenances in field trials in England.

© The Author(s) 2020

This version is available at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/531573

Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research* following peer review. The version of record *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, 94 (5). 704-713 is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpab012

There may be differences between this version and the publisher's version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at https://academic.oup.com/

Contact UKCEH NORA team at <u>noraceh@ceh.ac.uk</u>

The NERC and UKCEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC and UKCEH in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.



2	
3	Clinal genetic variation and phenotypic plasticity in leaf phenology,
4	growth and stem form in common ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.)
5	Rosique-Esplugas, C. ^{1*} , Cottrell, J.E. ² , Cavers, S. ¹ , Whittet, R. ² , and Ennos, R.A. ³
6 7	^{1.} UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian EH26 0QB, Scotland.
8	^{2.} Forest Research, Northern Research Station, Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9SY, Scotland.
9 10	^{3.} Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, Ashworth Laboratories, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3JT, Scotland
11	*Corresponding author: Cristina Rosique-Esplugas; email: crisiq@ceh.ac.uk
12	Genetic variation and phenotypic plasticity play a role in determining the
13	performance of a tree provenance at a planting site. This paper explores
14	their relative importance in determining growth, phenology and tree form
15	in a broad geographic sample of 42 British provenances of common ash
16	(Fraxinus excelsior L.) grown at two contrasting trial sites. We found
17	significant genetic differences for tree height, timing of leaf flushing and
18	leaf senescence, and stem forking among the provenances. These followed
19	a clear latitudinal and climatic cline, where the northern provenances were
20	shorter, their leaves flushed later and senesced earlier than the southern
21	provenances. Provenance explained a much larger proportion of the
22	variance for spring phenology (63%) than for autumn phenology (15%). The
23	effect of the planting site was contrasting between spring and autumn:
24	spring phenology showed very little plasticity, while autumn phenology
25	presented higher levels of phenotypic plasticity. This could indicate that for
26	ash spring phenology is under stronger selective pressure. We found a
27	correlation between tree height, leaf phenology and forking, with early
28	flushing provenances tending to be taller and more forked, which could
29	reflect repeated frost damage. The findings underline the complexity of
30	predicting performance in novel environments and demonstrate that small
31	gains in tree growth may be counteracted by detrimental effects on stem
32	form, a key contributor to timber value, due to susceptibility to the
33	contemporary environment.

35 Introduction

36 The ability of tree populations to cope with climate change has been the subject of considerable

debate in recent years (Hällfors *et al.*, 2017; Maier and Simberloff, 2016; Aitken and Whitlock, 2013).

- 38 Changes in atmospheric composition have resulted in unprecedented increases in global mean
- 39 temperatures (IPCC, 2013) such that climate may be changing faster than the rate at which plants
- 40 can adapt or migrate (Zhu *et al.*, 2012, Gray and Hamann, 2013). There are concerns that tree
- 41 populations may not have the capacity to withstand these environmental changes and so may not
- 42 be able to persist in their current location (Vitasse *et al.*, 2010; Aitken and Bemmels, 2016). Two
- 43 parameters will determine whether tree populations succeed in these novel future environments,
- 44 namely phenotypic plasticity of individuals and genetic variation within populations. Understanding
- 45 the extent, distribution and drivers of these two key variables within species will be crucial for
- 46 managing forest resources through the coming changes, and, in particular for the development of
- 47 evidence-based policies for seed sourcing for establishing new populations (Thomas *et al.*, 2015;
- 48 Whittet *et al.,* 2016).

49 Phenotypic plasticity is the ability of an individual genotype to produce different phenotypes in

50 response to cues from its environment. Although the extent of phenotypic plasticity within species is

51 limited, it provides one of the most significant ways in which plants can react to rapid environmental

52 change (Sultan, 2004; Pigliucci et al., 2006; Valladares et al., 2006; Ghalambor et al., 2007). It may be

- 53 particularly important for trees as it contributes to their ability to cope with the wide range of
- 54 conditions they may experience during typically long lifetimes (Petit and Hampe, 2006). Multi-site
- 55 common-garden trials provide a tool with which to explore the plastic responses of species to
- 56 different environmental conditions. Genetic variation may also contribute to the ability of tree
- 57 populations to survive under future conditions. If local selection has been strong enough,
- 58 populations of trees will have adapted to their local environments via natural selection, so that
- 59 locally sourced individuals will exhibit higher fitness in their home environment than non-local
- 60 individuals (Savolainen *et al.,* 2007). However, tree species are highly effective dispersers of their
- 61 genes and the consequent immigration of genetic diversity (gene flow) into populations acts in
- 62 opposition to local adaptation. As a result, although tree populations may show a local mean
- 63 optimum phenotype, they are typically highly variable around this mean and this variation provides
- 64 the raw material for future adaptation (Davis and Shaw, 2001; Petit and Hampe, 2006). Common
- 65 garden trials have demonstrated that tree populations are frequently differentiated for adaptive
- traits (Whittet *et al.*, 2019) and that an understanding of this variation for a range of key adaptive
- 67 traits is vital to predicting their ability to adapt to future conditions (Alberto *et al.*, 2013).

68 Globally, there are ambitions to increase woodland area in order to mitigate climate change 69 (Verdone and Seidl, 2017; Bastin et al., 2019). An important consideration in this endeavour is the 70 sourcing of well-adapted planting stock. Poleward translocation of genetic material has been 71 proposed as a measure that may help tree populations to keep pace with the rate of change, a 72 practice understood within a suite of conservation actions broadly known as 'assisted migration' 73 (Whitlock and Millspaugh, 2001; Hällfors et al., 2014). In the northern hemisphere, this strategy for 74 the planting of new woodlands would involve sourcing seeds from warmer areas further south, in 75 the expectation that they will be better adapted to the projected future climate of the planting site. 76 However, many uncertainties surround this strategy, not least the fact that southern-sourced

populations may be poorly adapted to current conditions at northern sites. For example, seed

- sourced from a southern population should be adapted to local seasonal timing and at northern
 locations may flush leaves early in spring and senesce late in autumn leaving them vulnerable to
 early or late frosts in contemporary environments (Broadmeadow *et al.*, 2005; Vitasse *et al.*, 2018).
 Damage incurred in early growth stages may not be overcome even if individuals survive. Spring
 phenology is advancing under climate change (Roberts *et al.*, 2015; Fu *et al.*, 2014; Thackeray *et al.*,
 2016) Hawayara advances in the timing of low environments abaralazing averts and a sense.
- 2016). However, advances in the timing of key spring phenological events such as bud-burst can be
 greater than the advance in the date of the latest spring frost (Vitasse *et al.*, 2018; Klein *et al.*, 2018),
- and Zohner *et al.* (2020) found that late-spring frost risk has increased in Europe since 1959.
- 86 Furthermore, some environmental factors, such as photoperiod, will not vary under climate change
- and interactions may be important. Both temperature and photoperiod have a role in controlling the
- timing of bud burst, although the effects vary among species (Vitasse and Basler, 2013; Tansey et al.,
- 89 2017), and there are both genetic and plastic components to leaf phenology variation within species
- 90 (Wilson and Baldocchi, 2000).
- 91 In order to inform the debate on assisted migration, we have gathered growth, phenology and stem
- 92 form data from two common ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) trials each consisting of a large number of
- 93 provenances representative of the British range of the species. The trials are located in Northern
- 94 England and South Wales and were assessed prior to the onset of the current ash dieback epidemic.
- 95 We explore phenotypic plasticity and among-population genetic variation in the traits with the
- 96 objective of evaluating the effects of transferring material from southern to northern locations, such
- 97 as might occur under an assisted migration strategy. In addition, we used the trials to compare
- 98 performance of southern provenances in current conditions at the northern site relative to more
- 99 local provenances, as challenging conditions can expose differences between provenances that may
- remain obscured under favourable conditions (Cundall *et al.,* 1998; Donnelly *et al.,* 2018).

101 Common ash is a medium-sized European tree species prized for its timber and ecological value, 102 which is widespread and native to Britain. It is tolerant of drought but intolerant of spring frosts 103 (Thomas, 2016). The climate in Britain is predicted to warm by 3-5 °C by 2080 (Murphy et al., 2009), 104 which could result in a lengthening of the annual growing period through earlier leaf flushing and 105 later leaf senescence, but with a consequent risk of exposure to late frost. The risk is particularly 106 acute in ash, which has a terminal bud above a pair of lateral buds so that loss of the terminal bud 107 inevitably results in forking, poor form and ultimately a reduction in survival and/or the value of the 108 crop (Kerr, 1995). In addition, mistiming of leaf senescence, an essential process of nutrient 109 remobilisation, can have a detrimental effect on growth during the subsequent year. Late leaf 110 senescence may permit storage of more of the products of photosynthesis accumulated over a 111 growing season, but it comes with the risk of greater losses if an early frost causes premature leaf 112 fall (Keskitalo et al., 2005). We hypothesised that traits would covary to reveal a trade-off between 113 maximum exploitation of the growing season (long period in leaf leading to greater annual growth rates but greater incidence of damage) and conservative growth (shorter period in leaf, lower 114 115 growth rates, but less frequent frost damage).

116

117 Methods

119 Experimental design

Seed was collected from a minimum of 20 mother trees in each of 42 self-sown semi-natural British 120 121 populations of common ash (Fraxinus excelsior L.) (populations hereafter referred to as 122 provenances) (Table 1 Suppl. and Figure 1). In order to optimise the chances of sampling native 123 stands the seed collection sites were selected "as being ancient semi-natural woodland under 124 continuous cover since 1600 by reference to old maps and land management records" (Sutherland 125 et al., 2010). Care was taken not to bias selection of mother trees towards superior phenotypes, and, 126 where possible, mother trees were located at least 100 m apart. Seed from each provenance was 127 combined to constitute the provenance sample and was grown in nurseries located near the trial 128 sites at Whixley, Yorkshire (53.99°, -1.32°) and Carmarthen, South Wales (51.83°, -4.23°).

129 In 2007, one-year-old seedlings were planted in two common garden experiments (hereafter 130 referred to as trial sites). The two trial sites (Table 1 and Figure 1) are located in South Wales 131 (Llandovery) and Yorkshire (North York Moors). The Llandovery trial contains trees from the 42 132 provenances, the one in the North York Moors has 40 provenances (Table 1 Suppl.).

At each trial site the provenances were grown in a randomised block experiment. Each provenance was present as a single plot in each of three blocks. The plots consisted of 36 (6 x 6) trees in Llandovery and 30 (6 x 5) trees in North York Moors. Trees were planted with a spacing of 2 x 2 m.

136 Figure 1 here please (Map)

137 Table 1 here please

138 Measurements and scorings

The trees were assessed for height at 5 years old, measured to the nearest centimetre with an extendable measuring rod. Stem diameter was assessed using DBH (diameter at a breast height of around 1.35 m) when trees were 8 years old. Stem forking was assessed at age 8 years, counting the number of forks (i.e. number of times the main stem lost apical dominance). This was assessed by following the main stem from the ground up, including the subsequent branches originated from each fork up to the crown, excluding the small twigs.

145 Spring phenology was assessed using a six-stage ordinal morphological scale. The top bud of each 146 tree was visually assessed to determine which of the six stages best described the development 147 stage of the leaf (leaf flushing). In this scoring system stage 1 corresponds to a dormant fully closed 148 bud while stage 6 corresponds to a fully expanded bud (Table 2 Suppl., Figure 2). The terminal bud of 149 each tree was assessed and scored on each visit. If the terminal bud was damaged, missing or dead 150 then the next highest bud on the main stem was assessed. If the tree had more than one leading 151 stem (i.e., was forked), then the bud on the stem with the largest diameter was assessed. Where the 152 two stems were found to be equal in diameter, the highest bud was assessed. If forks were equal in height and diameter then the bud giving the highest score was recorded. The trees were assessed at 153 age 7 years old between April and June 2013, on 13 occasions in Llandovery and on 15 occasions in 154 North York Moors. 155

Assessments of autumn leaf senescence phenology were based on foliage throughout the entire crown rather than a single leaf or a sub-set of leaves. The crown of the tree was visually inspected, and assessment was based on the proportion of the crown that was visible to the assessor standing on the south-facing side of each tree. Leaf senescence was measured as the percentage of the canopy which had shed its leaves (Table 2 Suppl.). This ranged from stage 1 (0% leaf loss) to stage 6 (100% leaf loss). Both trials were assessed between September and November 2014, on 20 occasions in Llandovery and 23 in North York Moors.

163 Measurements were made for individual trees, with missing and dead trees excluded when

164 calculating plot mean values. All trees per plot were measured in the case of height and spring

165 phenology. For the rest of the traits (DBH, forking and autumn phenology) only the trees at the

166 centre of the plot were assessed, 16 (4 x 4) trees in Llandovery and 12 (3 x 4) trees in North York

167 Moors. All measurements in the Llandovery trial were taken before the trial showed any signs of

168 infection by ash dieback, causing pathogen *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* (T. Kowalski) Baral, Queloz and

169 Hosoya. In North York Moors all the measurements were collected before the trees were infected

170 with the exception of DBH and forking, which were measured in the same year when a small number

of trees had started showing some signs of infection. Since 2016, both trial sites have shown high

172 levels of infection.

173 Figure 2 here please (Leaf flushing drawing)

174 Data analysis

All data analyses were performed in the R statistical environment (R version 3.2.3, Core Team, 2015). To determine the effects of provenance, site and their interaction on each trait the plot mean values were analysed in analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a linear model. Missing and dead trees were excluded in the calculation of plot mean values. Two types of ANOVA were used for each trait. The first type of analysis of variance was done by individual trial site, where provenance was a fixed factor and block was a random factor:

181
$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + Prov_i + Block_j + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

The second type of analysis of variance included all trial sites combined where site, provenance, andsite by provenance interaction were fixed effects and block nested within site was a random factor:

184
$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + Prov_i + Site_j + (Prov^*Site)_{ij} + Block_{k(j)} + \epsilon_{ijkl}$$

Logarithmic transformation was applied to the forking data to ensure that they fitted a normal distribution. An Arcsine transformation was applied for survival data. For the analysis of variance across sites the provenances that were absent from one of the trial sites were excluded, which meant excluding two provenances (Table 1 Suppl.). With the ANOVA table of the analysis of variance across sites the proportion of variance explained by each factor was calculated for each trait.

For each trait at each site, univariate linear regressions were applied to test the relationship between plot mean values and latitude, longitude and climatic variables of the provenance's home site (adjusted for block). Values of climatic covariates were extracted from long-term average climate data provided by the Met Office. The datasets interpolate observations from weather stations onto a 5 x 5 km grid for the whole of Great Britain for the period 1960-2000. Full details of 195 generation of the long-term average data are provided in Perry and Hollis (2005). The following 196 predictors were taken forward for use in the analyses: Growing degree days (GDD), as the annual 197 accumulated temperature \sum (daily mean temperature – 5.5) whenever daily mean temperature > 5.5 198 °C); the growing season length (GSL) of the provenance site was measured as the annual sum of days where temperature mean is over 5 °C for over five consecutive days; Ground frost days (GFD), the 199 annual count of days when the minimum temperature is below 0 °C; Mean temperature of February, 200 201 the coldest month of the year (MTF); and the mean temperature of July, one of the warmest months 202 of the year (MTJ). To test for covariation between traits, Pearson's correlation coefficients were 203 calculated using provenance means from the individual sites.

204 For analysis of the leaf phenology data, calendar dates were transformed into Julian days from 205 January 1 (JD). The large numbers of observations of spring and autumn phenology allowed us to 206 construct an accurate model of leaf phenology of the trees. To predict the timing of transition 207 between ordinal stages, ordinal logistic regression was applied using 'cumulative link models'. Using 208 these models, we estimated the number of JD until 50% of trees per plot were at the fully flushed 209 stage (Stage 6), hereafter 'JD50 Flush', and the JD until 50% of the trees in the plot reached full 210 defoliation (100% leaf loss), hereafter 'JD50_Senesce'. For each plot, curves were modelled with a common slope, which mirrored the raw data distribution. For each trait, the mean JD50 per 211 212 provenance was the average of fitted JD50 from three plots per provenance. Cumulative link models 213 were processed using the 'clm' command in the 'ordinal' package in R (Christensen, 2015).

214

215 Results

216 Growth

There was a significant provenance effect for tree height differences at both trial sites, less 217 218 significant at North York Moors due to a large block effect (Table 2). The range of provenance mean 219 heights was larger at Llandovery than North York Moors, however in both sites the tallest 220 provenance was 45% taller than the shortest provenance. Provenance explained 13% of the 221 variation while site explained 70% (Figure 3). There was a significant site effect: all provenances 222 were shorter at North York Moors than Llandovery. The average height was 50.2% greater at 223 Llandovery than at North York Moors. There was a significant site by provenance effect for height, 224 due to the larger range of heights among provenances in the southern trial. The range of height at 225 Llandovery was from 1.7m - 4.1m (2.4m), while at North York Moors it was 0.6m - 1.9m (1.3m).

For the stem diameter, there was a significant provenance effect in Llandovery but not in North York Moors (Table 2). There was a significant site effect (p<0.001), but no significant site by provenance interaction. DBH was on average 54.7% higher at Llandovery than North York Moors. There was a very big Block effect for stem diameter, which was larger in North York Moors.

230 Spring phenology

Significant differences were found among provenances for the timing of spring leaf flush
 (JD50_Flush) at both sites. The difference between JD50_Flush of the earliest and latest provenances
 was 18 days at Llandovery (May 9th to June 6th) and 11 days at North York Moors (May 20th to May

31st). The site effect was significant (Table 2). However, provenance explained over 64% of the
variance, while site explained 13% (Figure 3). All provenances, except two, flushed earlier at North
York Moors, with the site mean JD50_Flush being three days earlier (24th of May) than it was at
Llandovery (27th of May). Only nine provenances flushed on average more than 3 days later in
Llandovery than North York Moors, the largest difference being 7 days for the same provenance
between sites. No significant interaction was found between provenance and trial site.

240 Autumn phenology

241 The differences among provenances for the timing of leaf senescence (JD50_Senesce) were only significant at North York Moors (Table 2). The difference between the earliest and latest 242 provenances was larger at Llandovery (37 days, Oct 5th to Nov 11th) than North York Moors (24 days, 243 244 Oct 6th to Oct 30th). There was a significant site effect, with senescence occurring on average 8 days 245 earlier at North York Moors than Llandovery. All provenances except one (from a northern latitude) lost their leaves earlier at the North York Moors trial than at Llandovery. Over half of the 246 247 provenances (twenty-one) senesced >8 days on average earlier in North York Moors compared to Llandovery, the largest difference between sites being 22 days. There was no significant interaction 248 249 between provenance and trial site. Site effect explained 30% of the variance while provenance 250 explained 15% (Figure 3).

251 Figure 3 here please (proportion of variance explained)

252 Forking

There was a significant provenance effect in stem forking among provenances at North York Moors but not at Llandovery (Table 2). The difference between the most and least forked provenances was much greater at North York Moors (79% more) than Llandovery (57% more). There was a significant site effect but no significant provenance by site interaction. Incidence of forking was 18.8% higher at Llandovery than North York Moors. All provenances, apart from four (from the south of England), forked less at North York Moors.

259 Survival

At the time of the measurement of the traits, which was before the symptoms of Ash dieback infection appeared in any of the trees in the trials, survival on average was very high at both trial sites (92% survival at Llandovery and 90% at North York Moors) and no significant differences among provenances were found.

264 Geographic variation and relation with climatic variables

We found significant patterns of geographic variation. For all traits there were significant regressions 265 266 with latitude (Table 2; Figure 4), but not with longitude or with multiple regression for latitude and 267 longitude. For all traits, except for stem forking and survival, the latitude of provenance origin 268 explained around 40% of the variation in both trial sites. Northern provenances were shorter and 269 had smaller DBH than southern provenances in both trials. In both trials southern provenances 270 flushed earlier and senesced later than northern provenances. For stem forking, we found a 271 significant association with latitude only in North York Moors, where the northern provenances were 272 less forked.

- 273 The annual cumulative temperature, measured as GDD (growing degree days), was found to explain
- 274 more variation than any of the other variables tested (Table 3 Suppl.). The GDD of the provenances'
- origin explained around 40% of the variation for height and the leaf phenology traits, for both sites,
- in regressions with the opposite sign of the slope coefficient from latitude (Table 2). In Great Britain,
 temperature is strongly correlated with latitude (Figure 1 Suppl., Table 4 Suppl.), the higher the
- 278 latitude the colder the climate and the shorter the length of the growing season for trees to grow.
- The GDD and the latitude of the provenance's site were strongly correlated (GDD~Lat, R: -0.77, p <
- 280 0.001). Latitude proved to be a very good explanatory variable, aligning well with both the strong
- 281 climatic and photoperiod clines in Great Britain.

282 Table 2 here please

283 Figure 4 here please (data graphs)

284 Correlations between traits

Height and DBH were significantly correlated with leaf phenology at both trial sites. The tallest provenances flushed earlier (Llandovery: R = -0.82, p < 0.001; North York Moors: -0.58, p < 0.001), and senesced later (Llandovery: R = 0.52, p < 0.001; North York Moors: R = 0.58, p < 0.001). The provenances with larger stem diameter flushed earlier (Llandovery: R = -0.73, p < 0.001; North York Moors: R = -0.51, p < 0.001) and senesced later (Llandovery: R = 0.54, p < 0.001; North York Moors: R = 0.72, p < 0.001). Tree height and DBH were significantly positively correlated (Llandovery: R = 0.89, p < 0.001; North York Moors: R = 0.88, p < 0.001).

There was a significant negative correlation between leaf flushing and leaf senescence for both trial sites (Llandovery: R = -0.50, p < 0.001; North York Moors: R = -0.35, p < 0.05), where provenances that flushed earlier senesced later.

For stem forking there were only significant correlations at North York Moors. There were more forks in the tallest trees (R = 0.76, p < 0.001), the trees that flushed earliest (R = 0.60, p < 0.001), and the trees that senesced latest (R = 0.42, p < 0.01). However, DBH showed a significant positive correlation with forking in both trial sites (Llandovery: R = 0.31, p < 0.05; North York Moors: R = 0.68, p < 0.001).

300

301 Discussion

302 Genetic differences

303 We have shown significant differences among provenances of common ash in Great Britain for growth traits, stem form, and leaf phenology. The pattern of trait variation was clinal, with greater 304 305 growth, earlier leaf flushing and later senescence, and higher frequency of stem defects (one site 306 only) in southern provenances compared to northern provenances. Differences among provenances, 307 and the strength of the clinal trend were stronger at the southern site (Llandovery). Other studies on 308 common ash across its natural range have found significant genetic differences at population or 309 family level in these traits (Cundall et al., 2003; Mwase et al., 2008; Pliura and Baliuckas, 2007, Pliura et al., 2011, Savill et al., 1999). However, the clear latitudinal cline found in our study was not 310

previously detected in provenance tests of ash within Britain, perhaps due to insufficient numbers of provenances or sampling from a more limited geographic range (Cundall *et al.*, 2003). In our study, plants from southern latitudes were taller and had greater DBH than those from more northerly latitudes at both trial sites, although the differences between provenances were more evident at the southern trial site. These differences may be due to the longer growing season achieved by southern provenances through earlier flushing and later leaf senescence.

The significant differences among provenances for leaf flushing and leaf senescence showed a 317 318 geographical pattern. For both sites and both traits latitude explained between 33 and 44% of the 319 variation, where the southern provenances flushed earlier and senesced later than the northern 320 ones when grown under the same environmental conditions. This demonstrates genetic variation in 321 these traits and, as variation was correlated with latitude and climatic variables (such as growing 322 season length and growing degree days), suggests adaptation to conditions at site of origin. Reviews 323 by Alberto et al. (2013) and Aitken and Bemmels (2016) found that genetic differentiation along 324 clines is generally stronger for autumn phenology than for spring phenology, both in broadleaved 325 and conifer trees, although the effect varies among species. However, we found the opposite was 326 the case in our study, where provenance explained a much larger proportion of the variance for 327 spring phenology (63%) than for autumn phenology (15%). Several studies in common garden 328 experiments in deciduous trees showed a genetic component in leaf phenology. Vitasse et al. 329 (2009c) showed that among population differences in spring flushing time of ash and oak were 330 related to the climate in origin, where the trees from warmer climates flushed earlier. Similarly, 331 Ducousso et al. (1996) showed timing of bud burst in oak populations followed geographical pattern 332 related to the site of origin (both latitude and altitude) and spring frost tolerance, where the 333 northern provenances flushed later than the southern ones, in accordance with our results. 334 However, other tree species follow the opposite pattern for bud flush (Vitasse et al., 2009a; Whittet 335 et al., 2021) or show no pattern for spring phenology but instead exhibit a pattern for autumn 336 phenology (e.g. Populus deltoides, Friedman et al., 2011). Our results show that the effect of 337 provenance in ash leaf flushing is stronger than for leaf senescence.

Ash is very prone to frost damage and even though leaf emergence in ash is late in relation to other 338 339 species, damage by winter cold, and autumn and spring frost can occur for two reasons. Firstly, 340 when the tree is dormant, air temperatures below its cold hardiness tolerance will result in 'winter 341 injury'. The cold hardiness tolerance threshold reduces as the winter progresses towards spring when it rises from -27 °C in midwinter to -3 °C at the bud swelling stage in spring (Etherington, 342 343 1982). The second basis for damage is unseasonal frosts before the tree has become dormant in 344 autumn or after it has flushed in spring. For example, Wardle (1961) reported that young ash leaves 345 could be killed if they are subjected to long periods in which air temperatures are only as low as -3 346 °C. Evidence of a genetic effect on forking has been provided by provenance and progeny trials and 347 Kerr (1995) concluded that there was both a genetic and an environment effect on forking. 348 Kleinschmit et al. (1996) and Sminita (1995) both stressed the importance of correct choice of 349 provenance when planting ash in mainland Europe. Both demonstrated that provenances from 350 latitudes from further south than the planting site were less cold hardy or flushed too early and resulted in forking. Similarly, Baliuckas et al. (1999) discovered a positive and significant relationship 351 352 between early bud flushing and frost damage in a four-year-old ash trial in southern Sweden based 353 on Swedish provenances. Our results at North York Moors agree with this observation, where 354 provenances from more southerly latitudes showed a higher incidence of forking than those from

more northerly latitudes. As with the results of Kleinschmit *et al.* (1996) and Sminita (1995) our findings demonstrate that the southern provenances at North York Moors flush earlier and lose their leaves later than northern provenances and this longer growing season may leave them vulnerable to exposure to the damaging environmental events mentioned earlier. Clearly, therefore, although transfer of southern provenances to more northerly planting sites as a strategy for rapid adaptation to climate change may result in higher relative growth rates, it may have negative side-effects for traits of economic importance.

362 Phenotypic plasticity

Growth, form, phenology and survival varied substantially among sites. Site effect contributed most to overall variation (Figure 3) in height and leaf senescence. All provenances attained considerably greater height (on average 50%) and diameter at the southern site, Llandovery, where the climate was generally warmer and wetter. Results from an earlier multi-site provenance trial series in Britain attributed 60% of total variation in five-year height to the effect of trial site (Cundall *et al.* 2003).

368 Site also had an effect on leaf phenology, but this effect was much greater in leaf senescence (site 369 contributed to 33% of the variance) than flushing (site contributed to 13% of the variance). Nearly 370 all provenances flushed slightly later in Llandovery than in North York Moors (on average 2.6 days 371 later, up to 7 days). On the other hand, leaf senescence took place eight days earlier on average (up 372 to 22 days) at North York Moors than Llandovery. The slight earlier flushing in the southern site was 373 contrary to our expectations and many examples in other species (such as Vitasse et al. 2010; 374 Salmela et al., 2013; Rosique-Esplugas, 2018), we had expected trees to flush earlier at the warmer 375 Llandovery site than in North York Moors.

376 Our results show that autumn senescence was more plastic than spring flushing for ash in the 377 different years in which each trait was recorded. This contrasts with the results of in situ studies 378 (along an altitudinal gradient, not latitudinal gradient, therefore photoperiod was constant) that 379 found that ash presented the highest sensitivity to temperature in flushing compared to other 380 species (Vitasse et al. (2009a), while temperature did not have a significant effect on ash trees leaf 381 senescence (Vitasse et al, 2009b). A possible explanation for our results is that photoperiod at the 382 trial sites influenced plasticity in autumn phenology. Photoperiod is a driver for autumn phenology in 383 several deciduous tree species (including white ash, Fraxinus americana L.) (Thomas and Vince-Prue, 384 1997; Gill et al., 2015; Liang, 2019) but was shown to have had no effect on spring phenology in 385 common ash (Basler and Körner, 2012). Other autumn phenology events (cold hardiness and bud 386 set) have been shown to have a lower phenotypic plasticity than spring events in many tree species 387 (Howe et al., 2003; Savolainen et al., 2007). Vitasse et al. (2013) found that bud set in ash occurred 388 later at higher altitudes. Leaf senescence is a key phenological process which protects the trees from 389 frost by remobilisation of nutrients such as nitrogen and photosynthates out of the leaves prior to 390 leaf shedding. The timing can influence growth in the subsequent year and late senescence involves 391 a risk-benefit trade-off. It can provide a longer season during which photosynthates can be produced 392 by the leaves, but it can result in loss of accumulated photosynthates if autumn frosts arrive before 393 these have been moved out of the leaves (Keskitalo et al., 2005). The higher plasticity we have 394 shown for leaf senescence compared to leaf flushing may suggest that, for ash in Britain, the damage 395 from a spring frost exerts stronger selective pressure than autumn frost.

396 We show a site effect for stem form, where trees had higher stem forking in the southern site 397 (Llandovery) than North York Moors, despite the fact that since 2007 (the date when the trees were planted) the incidence of frosts has been higher in the North York Moors area than in Llandovery, 398 399 and the date of the last spring frost has been later. Winter dormancy in forest trees starts with a rest 400 phase during which buds remain inactive even if they are exposed to growth promoting conditions. 401 To break dormancy a chilling period is needed, this is followed by transition from rest to quiescence 402 after which buds can respond to environmental cues (Hannerz et al., 2003, Robson et al., 2013). A 403 series of studies on 15 UK tree species (Murray et al., 1989) showed that higher winter temperatures 404 resulted in a delay in bud break in many species because trees need a certain number of chilling 405 hours below +5°C in order to be able to break bud when conditions permit in the spring. Ash has the 406 longest rest requirement and the shortest quiescence requirement of eight native European tree 407 species (Kramer, 1994). The higher winter temperatures (and hence slower accumulation of chilling 408 hours) experienced at Llandovery could explain the observed delay in bud flush. However, despite 409 having a slightly later date of bud flushing, trees at Llandovery showed a 19% higher incidence of 410 forking compared to North York Moors. From the 40 provenances common to both sites, only four 411 provenances (which were from the most southern latitudes) were more forked in North York Moors 412 than Llandovery. The higher forking in Llandovery suggests that the delayed onset of bud flush in the 413 milder southern site was still insufficient to avoid the late frosts that are a known risk in northern 414 oceanic climates. Indeed, Kerr (1995) recommends that sites which experience late frosts should not 415 be planted with ash. It has been suggested that once trees meet a threshold height their terminal 416 buds may be above the level of damaging frost (Kerr and Boswell, 2001). However, despite the 417 Llandovery trees being taller than those at North York Moors they exhibited a greater degree of 418 forking. The reason is therefore more likely to be due to the occurrence of frost when the buds are 419 at a vulnerable stage in Llandovery. Another explanation would be (not measured) micro-climatic 420 conditions in both our trial sites, as Llandovery is in a NW facing slope and North York Moors 421 experiment in flat valley next to a river.

422 Implications for forest management and assisted migration

423 Growth traits, such as height and diameter are regularly used as indirect measures of fitness in 424 provenance and progeny trials as they are both relatively easy to measure and are of clear 425 silvicultural importance (Whittet et al., 2019). Their use as a proxy for fitness is justified for two reasons. Firstly, that bigger individuals have survived and grown well and so have competed 426 427 successfully for resources. Secondly, because large stature provides a reproductive advantage, both 428 in terms of possible number of inflorescences and the capacity to catch pollen and disperse pollen 429 and seed (Petit and Hampe, 2006; Ying and Yanchuk, 2006). For these reasons, growth data are often 430 used as a basis on which to recommend particular provenances, define seed transfer limits or make 431 inferences about patterns of local adaptation (Ying and Yanchuk, 2006). Our results show a strongly 432 clinal latitudinal genetic pattern of variation in growth, phenology and forking, with trees from lower 433 latitudes showing greater growth but a larger number of stem defects. We hypothesise that this 434 represents a trade-off between growth and frost avoidance which is associated with timing of leaf 435 flushing and senescence. Therefore, growth considered in isolation may not be an appropriate single 436 measure of fitness or adaptability, highlighting the need to assess a range of traits at appropriate 437 ages and explore interactions and covariances among them to guide seed transfer limits (Viherä-438 Aarnio et al., 2013). In our case, trait covariance reveals a clear risk in assisted migration. We show 439 that spring phenology changes very little across the two sites, while autumn phenology is more 440 affected by the planting site. If, as suggested by Vitasse et al. (2009c), temperature has little 441 influence on leaf senescence in ash, it may be that photoperiod plays a more prominent role. This is potentially important as much of the justification for moving plants northwards to prepare for 442 443 climate change is based on temperature being the major driver of trait variation. In conclusion, we recommend that care is taken in assessing transfer, by using species-specific analyses that 444 incorporate multiple traits and drivers, and by favouring the use of conservatively adapted 445 446 provenances, especially in frost-prone sites.

447 The medium-term future for ash across Europe is uncertain due to the devastating impact of ash 448 dieback disease. Resistance breeding efforts so far have found moderate to high heritability values 449 for variation in dieback tolerance in young ash trials (Lobo et al., 2015; McKinney et al., 2011; 2012; 450 Muñoz et al., 2016; Pliura et al., 2011; Stener, 2013), and have proposed that early leaf senescence 451 may be a source of exapted resilience to dieback due to shortening the window in which infection 452 can take hold and invade stem tissues (McKinney et al., 2012; Stener, 2013; Landolt et al., 2016). We 453 found consistently earlier leaf senescence in trees from northern populations that had been 454 transferred south. In parallel with this, lower ash dieback susceptibility scores of material from Central Scotland have been observed in mass screening trials growing in East Anglia (Stocks et al., 455 456 2017). If early leaf senescence does play a role in avoidance or tolerance of ash dieback, there could 457 be an argument for targeting selection of putatively tolerant trees from the north of Britain for 458 inclusion within a resistance-breeding population. Finally, the clear geographical pattern of genetic 459 variation observed in ash suggests that responses to natural selection in the species are efficient. 460 Genetic variation, coupled with the species' capability for plastic responses, offers some hope for the long-term persistence of ash in the landscape. 461

462

463 Funding

This work was supported by funding from The Forestry Commission; Forest Research; and UK Centrefor Ecology and Hydrology.

466 Acknowledgements

467 The trials were organised and planted by Jason Hubert with the help of the Forest Research 468 Technical Support Unit. We are grateful to Liz Ackroyd and Lee Cooper of Forest Research Technical 469 Support Unit for their diligence in gathering phenological data. We are grateful to Gabriel Hemery 470 for granting permission to use and reproduce his scale for scoring of ash flushing.

471 Supplementary material

The following supplementary material is available at Forestry online: a table with the location of the provenances and their climatic conditions; a table with detailed description of the scores used to assess leaf phenology; a table with regressions between each trait and climatic variables of the provenances' origin; a table of these climatic variables and their correlation with latitude; and a scatterplot (latitude in y axis, temperature in x axis) of the natural distribution of ash in Great Britain with the study provenances and sites locations.

478 Data Availability Statement

479 The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

480 Conflict of interest statement

- 481 None declared.
- 482 References
- Aitken, S.N. and Bemmels, J.B. 2016 Time to get moving: assisted gene flow of forest trees. *Evol. Appl.* 9, 271–90.
- Aitken, S.N. and Whitlock, M.C. 2013 Assisted geneflow to facilitate local adaptation to climate
 change. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 44, 367-388.
- 487 Alberto, F.J., Aitken, S.N., Alía, R., González-Martínez, S.C., Hänninen, H., Kremer, A., Lefèvre, F.,
- 488 Lenormand, T., Yeaman, S., Whetten, R. and Savolainen, O. 2013 Potential for evolutionary
- responses to climate change evidence from tree populations. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **19**, 1645–61.
- Baliuckas, V., Ekberg, I., Eriksson, G. and Norell, L. 1999 Genetic variation among and within
 populations of four Swedish hardwood species assessed in a nursery trial. *Silvae Genet.* 48, 17-24.
- Basler, D. and Körner, C. 2012. Photoperiod sensitivity of bud burst in 14 temperate forest tree
 species. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 165, 73-81.
- Bastin, J. F., Finegold, Y., Garcia, C., Mollicone, D., Rezende, M., Routh, D., Zohner, C. and Crowther,
 T. W. 2019 The global tree restoration potential. *Science*, **365**, 76-79.
- Broadmeadow, M.J.S., Ray, D. and Samuel, C.J.A. 2005. Climate change and the future for
 broadleaved tree species in Britain. *Forestry* **78**, 145-161.
- Christensen, R.H B. 2015 Ordinal Regression Models for Ordinal Data. R package version 2015.6-28.
 http://www.cran.r-project.org/package=ordinal/.
- 500 Cundall, E.P., Cahalan, C.M. and Connolly, T. 2003 Early results of ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.)
- provenance trials at sites in England and Wales. *Forestry* **76**, 385-400.
- 502 Cundall, E.P., Cahalan, C.M. and Plowman, M.R. 1998 Early results of sycamore (Acer
- 503 *pseudoplatanus*) provenance trials at farm-forestry sites in England and Wales. *Forestry* **71**, 237–45.
- Davis, M.B. and Shaw, R.G. 2001 Range shifts and adaptive responses to Quaternary climate change.
 Science 292, 673-679.
- 506 Donnelly, K., Cavers, S., Cottrell, J.E. and Ennos, R.A. 2018 Cryptic genetic variation and adaptation to 507 waterlogging in Caledonian Scots pine, *Pinus sylvestris* L.. *Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 8665–8675.
- 508 Ducousso, A., Guyon, J. P. and Krémer, A. 1996 Latitudinal and altitudinal variation of bud burst in
- western populations of sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt) Liebl). *Ann. For. Sci.* **53**, 775-782.
- 510 Etherington, J.R. 1982. *Environment and Plant Ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.

- 511 Friedman, J. M., Roelle, J. E. and Cade, B. S. 2011 Genetic and environmental influences on leaf
- phenology and cold hardiness of native and introduced riparian trees. *Int. J. Biometeorol* 55, 775787.
- 514 Fu, Y.S.H., Campioli, M., Vitasse, Y., De Boeck, H.J., Van den Berge, J., AbdElgawad, H., Asard, H.,
- 515 Piao, S., Deckmyn, G., Janssens, I.A., 2014 Variation in leaf flushing date influences autumnal
- 516 senescence and next year's flushing date in two temperate tree species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 7255, 7260
- 517 7355–7360.
- 518 Ghalambor, C.K., McKay, J.K., Carroll, S.P. and Reznick, D.N. 2007 Adaptive versus non-adaptive
- phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. *Funct. Ecol.* 21, 394-407.
- 521 Gill, A. L., Gallinat, A. S., Sanders-DeMott, R., Rigden, A. J., Short Gianotti, D. J., Mantooth, J. A. and
- 522 Templer, P. H. 2015 Changes in autumn senescence in northern hemisphere deciduous trees: a
- 523 meta-analysis of autumn phenology studies. *Ann. Bot.* **116**, 875-888.
- Gray, L.K. and Hamann, A. 2013 Tracking suitable habitat for tree populations under climate change
 in western North America. *Clim. Change* 117, 289-303.
- 526 Hällfors, M.H., Vaara, E.M., Hyvärinen, M., Oksanen, M., Schulman, L.E., Siipi, H. and Lehvävirta, S.
- 527 2014 Coming to terms with the concept of moving species threatened by climate change–a
- 528 systematic review of the terminology and definitions. *PloS One* **9**, e102979.
- Hällfors, M.H., Aikio, S. and Schulman, L.E. 2017 Quantifying the need and potential of assisted
 migration. *Biol. Conserv.* 205, 34-41.
- Hannerz, M., Ekberg, I. and Norell, L. 2003 Variation in chilling requirements for completing bud rest
 between provenances of Norway spruce. *Silvae Genet.* 52, 161-168.
- Howe, G. T., Aitken, S. N., Neale, D. B., Jermstad, K. D., Wheeler, N. C. and Chen, T. H. 2003 From
 genotype to phenotype: unraveling the complexities of cold adaptation in forest trees. *Can. J. Bot.*, **81**, 1247-1266.
- 536 IPCC 2013: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis.
- 537 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 538 Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y.
- 539 Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and
- 540 New York, NY, USA.
- 541 Kerr, G. 1995 The silviculture of ash in Southern England. *Forestry* **68**, 63-70.
- 542 Kerr, G. and Boswell, R.C. 2001 The influence of spring frosts, ash bud moth (*Prays fraxinella*) and site
- 543 factors on forking of young ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) in southern Britain. *Forestry* **74**, 30-41.
- Keskitalo, J., Bergquist, G., Gardestrom, P. and Jansson S. 2005 A cellular timetable of autumn
 senescence. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 1635–1648.

- Klein, G., Rebetez, M., Rixen, C. and Vitasse, Y. 2018 Unchanged risk of frost exposure for sub-alpine
 and alpine plants after snowmelt in Switzerland despite climate warming. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 62,
 1755-1762.
- 549 Kleinschmit, J., Svolba, J., Enescu, V., Franke, A., Rau, H.M. and Reutz, W. 1996 First results of 550 provenance trials in *Fraxinus excelsior* established in 1982. *Forstarchiv*. **67**, 114-122.
- 551 Kramer, K. 1994 A modelling analysis of the effects of climatic warming on the probability of spring 552 frost damage to tree species in The Netherlands and Germany. *Plant Cell Environ.* **17**, 367-377.
- Landolt, J., Gross, A., Holdenrieder, O. and Pautasso, M., 2016 Ash dieback due to *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*: what can be learnt from evolutionary ecology? *Plant Pathol* **65**, 1056-1070.
- Lobo, A., McKinney, L. V., Hansen, J. K., Kjær, E. D. and Nielsen, L. R. 2015 Genetic variation in
 dieback resistance in *Fraxinus excelsior* confirmed by progeny inoculation assay. *For. Pathol.* 45, 379387.
- Liang, L. (2019). A spatially explicit modeling analysis of adaptive variation in temperate tree phenology. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **266**, 73-86.
- Maier, D.S. and Simberloff, D. 2016 Assisted migration in normative and scientific context. *J. Agric. Environ. Ethics.* 29, 857-882.
- McKinney LV, Nielsen LR, Hansen JK and Kjær ED. 2011 Presence of natural genetic resistance in
 Fraxinus excelsior (Oleraceae) to *Chalara fraxinea* (Ascomycota): an emerging infectious disease.
 Heredity 106, 788–797.
- McKinney LV, Thomsen IM, Kjær ED and Nielsen LR. 2012 Genetic resistance to *Hymenoscyphus pseudoalbidus* limits fungal growth and symptom occurrence in *Fraxinus excelsior*. *For. Pathol.* 42:
 69–74.
- Muñoz F, Marçais B, Dufour J, and Dowkiw A. 2016 Rising out of the ashes: additive genetic variation
 for crown and collar resistance to *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* in *Fraxinus excelsior*. *Phytopathology* **106**, 1535–1543.
- 571 Murphy, J.M., Sexton, D.M.H., Jenkins, G.J., Boorman, P.M., Booth, B.B.B., Brown, C.C., Clark, R.T.,
- 572 Collins, M., Harris, G.R., Kendon, E.J., Betts, R.A., Brown, S.J., Howard, T. P., Humphrey, K. A.,
- 573 McCarthy, M. P., McDonald, R. E., Stephens, A., Wallace, C., Warren, R., Wilby, R., Wood, R. A. 2009
- 574 UK Climate Projections Science Report: Climate change projections. Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter,
 575 p 21-35.
- 576 Murray, M.B., Cannell, M.G.R. and Smith, R.I. 1989 Date of budburst of fifteen tree species in Britain 577 following climatic warming. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **26**, 693-700.
- 578 Mwase, W.F., Savill, P.S. and Hemery G. 2008 Genetic parameter estimates for growth and form
- traits in common ash (Fraxinus excelsior L.) in a breeding seedling orchard at Little Wittenham in
- 580 England. New Forests. **36**, 225–238.

- Pliura, A. and Baliuckas, V. 2007 Genetic variation in adaptive traits of progenies of Lithuanian and
 western European populations of *Fraxinus excelsior* L. *Baltic Forestry*, **13**, 28-38.
- 583 Pliura, A., Lygis, V., Suchockas, V. and Bartkevicius, E. 2011 Performance of twenty four European

584 *Fraxinus excelsior* populations in three Lithuanian progeny trials with a special emphasis on 585 resistance to *Chalara fraxinea*. *Baltic Forestry*, **17**, 17-34.

- Perry, M. and Hollis, D. 2005 The generation of monthly gridded datasets for a range of climatic
 variables over the UK. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **25**, 1041-1054.
- Petit, R.J. and Hampe, A. 2006 Some evolutionary consequences of being a tree. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 37, 187-214.
- Pigliucci, M., Murren, C.J. and Schlichting, C.D. 2006 Phenotypic plasticity and evolution by genetic
 assimilation. *J. Exp. Biol.* 209, 2362-2367.
- R Development Core Team. 2015. R A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Roberts, A.M.I., Tansey, C., Smithers, R. and Phillimore, A.B. 2015 Predicting a change in the order of
 spring phenology in temperate forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 2603-2611.
- 596 Robson, T.M., Rasztovits, E., Aphalo, P.J., Alia, R. and Aranda, I. 2013 Flushing phenology and fitness
- of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) provenances from a trial in La Rioja, Spain, segregate
- according to their climate of origin. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **180**, 76-85.
- 599 Rosique-Esplugas, C. 2018 Can native woodlands cope with climate change? Measuring genetic
- variation & phenotypic plasticity in British populations of ash, rowan and silver birch. PhD thesis
- 601 University of Edinburgh pp 248.
- 602 Salmela, M.J., Cavers, S., Cottrell, J.E., Iason, G.R. and Ennos R.A. 2013 Spring phenology shows
- 603 genetic variation among and within populations in seedlings of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in the
- 604 Scottish Highlands. *Plant. Ecol. Divers.* **6**, 523-536.
- Savill, P.S., Spencer, R., Roberts, J.E. and Hubert, J.D. 1999 Sixth year results from four ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) breeding seedling orchards. Silvae Genet. 48, 92-100.
- Savolainen, O., Pyhäjärvi, T. and Knürr, T. 2007 Gene flow and local adaptation in trees. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evo. Syst.* 38, 595–619.
- 609 Sminita, I. 1995 Fifteen years of European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) provenance trials in Romania. In:
- 610 Caring for the Forest. Research in a changing world. IUFRO meeting, Tampere, Poster abstracts p 72.
- Stener L-G. 2013. Clonal differences in susceptibility to the dieback of *Fraxinus excelsior* in southern
 Sweden. *Scand. J. For. Res.* 28: 205–216.
- 613 Stocks, J.J., Buggs, R.J. and Lee, S.J. 2017 A first assessment of *Fraxinus excelsior* (common ash)
- 614 susceptibility to Hymenoscyphus fraxineus (ash dieback) throughout the British Isles. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1-7.

- Sultan, S.E. 2004 Promising directions in plant phenotypic plasticity. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.*6, 227-233.
- 617 Sutherland, B. G., Belaj, A., Nier, S., Cottrell, J. E., Vaughan, S. P., Hubert, J. and Russell, K. 2010.
- 618 Molecular biodiversity and population structure in common ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) in Britain:
- 619 implications for conservation. *Mol. Ecol.* **19**, 2196-2211.
- Tansey, C.J., Hadfield, J.D. and Phillimore A.B. 2017 Estimating the ability of plants to plastically track
 temperature-mediated shifts in spring phenological optimum. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23, 3321-3334.
- 622 Thackeray, S. J., Henrys, P. A., Hemming, D., Bell, J.R., Botham, M.S., Burthe, S., Helaouet, P., Johns,
- D.G., Jones, I.D., Leech, D.I., Mackay, E.B., Massimino, D., Atkinson, S., Bacon, P.J., Brereton, T.M.,
- 624 Carvalho, L., Clutton-Brock, T.H., Duck, C., Edwards, M., Elliott, J.M., Hall, S.J.G., Harrington, R.,
- 625 Pearce-Higgins, J.W., Høye, T.T., Kruuk, L.E.B., Pemberton, J.M., Sparks, T.H., Thompson, P.M.,
- 626 White, I., Winfield, I.J., Wanless, S. 2016 Phenological sensitivity to climate across taxa and trophic
- 627 levels. *Nature* **535**, 241–245.
- 628 Thomas, B. and Vince-Prue, D. 1996. *Photoperiodism in plants*. Elsevier.
- 629 Thomas, E., Jahonen, R., Loo, J. and Bozzano, M. 2015 Avoiding failure in forest restoration: the
- 630 importance of genetically diverse and site-matched germplasm. Unasylva **66**, 29-36.
- Thomas, P.A. 2016 Biological Flora of the British Isles: *Fraxinus excelsior. J. Ecol.* **104**, 1158-1209.
- 632 Valladares, F., Sanchez-Gomez, D. and Zavala, M. A. 2006 Quantitative estimation of phenotypic
- 633 plasticity: bridging the gap between the evolutionary concept and its ecological applications. J.
- 634 Ecol. 94, 1103-1116.
- 635 Verdone, M. and Seidl, A. 2017 Time, space, place, and the Bonn Challenge global forest restoration
 636 target. *Restor. Ecol.* 25, 903-911.
- Viherä-Aarnio, A., Kostiainen, K., Piispanen, R., Saranpää, P. and Vapaavuori, E. 2013 Effects of seed
 transfers on yield and stem defects of silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth). *For. Ecol. Manag.* 289, 133142.
- Vitasse, Y., Delzon, S., Dufrêne, E., Pontailler, J. Y., Louvet, J. M., Kremer, A. and Michalet, R. 2009a
 Leaf phenology sensitivity to temperature in European trees: Do within-species populations exhibit
 similar responses? *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 149, 735-744.
- Vitasse, Y., Porte, A.J., Kremer, A., Michalet, R. and Delzon, S. 2009b Responses of canopy duration
 to temperature changes in four temperate tree species: relative contributions of spring and autumn
 phenology. *Oecologia* 161, 187-198.
- Vitasse, Y., Delzon, S., Bresson, C. C., Michalet, R. and Kremer, A. 2009c Altitudinal differentiation in
 growth and phenology among populations of temperate-zone tree species growing in a common
 garden. *Can. J. For. Res.* **39**, 1259-1269.
- Vitasse, Y., Bresson, C.C., Kremer, A., Michalet, R.and Delzon, S. 2010 Quantifying phenological
 plasticity to temperature in two temperate tree species. *Funct. Ecol.* 24, 1211-1218.

- Vitasse, Y. and Basler, D. 2013 What role for photoperiod in the bud burst phenology of European
 beech. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 132, 1–8.
- Vitasse, Y., Hoch, G., Randin, C.F., Lenz, A., Kollas, C., Scheepens, J. F.and Körner, C. 2013 Elevational
 adaptation and plasticity in seedling phenology of temperate deciduous tree species. *Oecologia* 171,
 663-678.
- Vitasse, Y., Schneider, L., Rixen, C., Christen, D. and Rebetez, M. 2018 Increase in the risk of exposure
- of forest and fruit trees to spring frosts at higher elevations in Switzerland over the last fourdecades. *Agr. For. Meteorol.* 248, 60-69.
- Wardle, P. 1961 Biological flora of the British Isles. *Fraxinus excelsior* L. J. Ecol. 49, 739-751.
- Whitlock, C. and Millspaugh, S. H. 2001 A paleoecologic perspective on past plant invasions in
 Yellowstone. *West. N. Am. Naturalist* 61, 316-327.
- 662 Whittet, R., Cottrell, J., Cavers, S., Pecurul, M. and Ennos, R. 2016 Supplying trees in an era of
- 663 environmental uncertainty: Identifying challenges faced by the forest nursery sector in Great Britain.
 664 Land Use Policy 58, 414-426.
- Whittet, R. Cavers, S., Ennos, R. and Cottrell, J. 2019 Genetic considerations for provenance choice of
 native trees under climate change in England. Forestry Commission Research Report, Forestry
 Commission, Edinburgh pp 44.
- Whittet, R., Lopez, G. and Rosique-Esplugas, C. 2021. Mid-rotation variation in growth, form and
 phenology of sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus* L.) provenances in field trials in England, *Forestry* 00,
 1–10.
- Wilson, K.B. and Baldocci, D.D. 2000. Seasonal and interannual variability of energy fluxes over a
 broadleaved temperate deciduous forest in North America. *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* 100, 1–18.
- 673 Ying, C.C. and Yanchuk, A.D. 2006. The development of British Columbia's tree seed transfer
- 674 guidelines: purpose, concept, methodology, and implementation. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **227**, 1-13.
- Zhu, K.A.I., Woodall, C.W. and Clark, J.S. 2012. Failure to migrate: lack of tree range expansion in
 response to climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 1042-1052.
- 200 Zohner, C. M., Mo, L., Renner, S. S., Svenning, J. C., Vitasse, Y., Benito, B. M., Ordonez A, Baumgarten
- 678 F., Bastin J-F., Sebald V. et al. 2020 Late-spring frost risk between 1959 and 2017 decreased in North
- 679 America but increased in Europe and Asia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **117**, 12192-12200.
- 680 Table and Figure captions
- Figure 1. Locations from which ash provenances were sourced (grey circles) and the twotrial site locations (black triangles).
- Figure 2. Stages of bud flushing and their corresponding score. Drawing provided by GabrielHemery (gabrielhemery.com)

- Figure 3. Proportion of variance explained by each factor of the model (provenance, site,
 site by provenance, block and residual) for Height, DBH, forking, flushing and senescence.
 These were calculated with the analysis of variance across sites.
- **Figure 4.** Linear regression with latitude for height (a), leaf flushing (b), leaf senescence (c), and stem forking (d); by trial site (Llandovery and North York Moors). Each dot represents a provenance mean with lines showing confidence intervals. All regressions are statistically significant except for forking in Llandovery (Table 1). Vertical dotted line indicates the latitude of the trial site.
- Table 1. Coordinates and associated environmental information for the trial sites atLlandovery and North York Moors.
- Table 2. Summary of analysis of trait variation, by trial site (LLAN=Llandovery, NYM=North
 York Moors). The table provides: significance of the provenance effect (Prov), the site effect
 (Site) and the provenance by site interaction (Prov x Site), where p is the p-value for
 statistical significance and "ns" means not significant. Also the significance (p), R-squared
 (R2) and slope of the regressions are given for individual univariate regressions of latitude
 and growing degree days (GDD, the annual accumulative sum of degrees (daily mean
 temperature 5.5) in days when mean temperature > 5.5 °C) at provenance site of origin
 against each assessed trait.

724 **Table 1.** Coordinates and associated environmental information for the trial sites at

725	Llandovery	/ and	North	York	Moors.
,25	Liunaover	, una	110101	1011	1010013.

Trial Site	Code	Lat	Lon	Alt	СТ	AP	GSL	GDD	GFD
Llandovery	Llan	51.9	-3.8	215	8	1372	294	1433	104
North York Moors	NYM	54.3	-1.9	113	9	960	251	1158	130

726 Lat = latitude, in degrees; Lon = longitude, in degrees; Alt = altitude, in metres; and CT = continentality, which 727 corresponds to the Conrad Index (Conrad, 1946) (CT = 1.7 [A/sin ($_{\phi}$ +10)] - 14, where A is the difference 728 between the mean temperature of the warmest and coldest month in degrees Celsius and $_{\phi}$ is latitude in 729 degrees; lower values indicate more oceanic climates). The other variables are extrapolated climatic data 730 provided by Met Office, 5x5 km polygons, annual averages for 1970-2011 (Perry and Hollis, 2005): AP = annual 731 precipitation (in mm); GSL= growing season length (days where temperature mean is over 5 °C for over 5 732 consecutive days); GDD = growing degree days (\sum (daily mean temperature – 5.5) whenever daily mean 733 temperature > 5.5 °C); GFD = ground frost days (Count of days when the minimum temperature is below 0 °C).

734 Table 2. Summary of analysis of trait variation, by trial site (LLAN=Llandovery, NYM=North York Moors). The table provides: significance of the provenance effect (Prov), the site effect 735 (Site) and the provenance by site interaction (Prov x Site), where p is the p-value for 736 statistical significance and "ns" means not significant. Also the significance (p), R-squared 737 (R^2) and slope of the regressions are given for individual univariate regressions of latitude 738 and growing degree days (GDD, the annual accumulative sum of degrees (daily mean 739 temperature – 5.5) in days when mean temperature > 5.5 °C) at provenance site of origin 740 against each assessed trait. 741

	Trial site	ANOVA			REGRESSION		
Trait		Prov	Site	Prov x Site	Latitude	GDD	
Height	LLAN	p<0.001	n<0.001	n<0.05	R ² : 0.46, p<0.001 slope: -0.13	R ² : 0.44, p<0.001 slope: 0.11	
	NYM	p<0.05	μ<0.001	μ<0.05	R ² : 0.40, p<0.001 slope: -0.05	R ² : 0.33, p<0.001 slope: 0.03	
DBH	LLAN	p<0.001	n<0.001	5	R ² : 0.36, p<0.001 slope: -0.2184	R ² : 0.33, p<0.001 slope: 0.001	
	NYM	ns	ρ<0.001	115	R ² : 0.39, p<0.001 slope: -0.16	R ² : 0.17, p<0.01 slope: -0.003	
Number of	LLAN	ns			ns	ns	
forks	NYM	p<0.001	p<0.01	ns	R ² : 0.23, p<0.001 slope: -0.11	R ² : 0.19, p<0.01 slope: 2.2e-04	
Flushing (JD50_Flush)	LLAN	p<0.001	n <0 001	ns	R ² : 0.43, p<0.001 slope: 1.09	R ² : 0.43, p<0.001 slope: -9.4e-03	
	NYM	p<0.001	μ<0.001		R ² : 0.39, p<0.001 slope: 0.84	R ² : 0.42, p<0.001 slope: -7.4e-03	
Senescence (JD50_Senesce)	LLAN	p<0.001	p<0.001	ns	R ² : 0.33, p<0.001 slope: -1.27	R ² : 0.33, p<0.001 slope: 0.01	
	NYM	p<0.01			R ² : 0.44, p<0.001	R ² : 0.44, p<0.05	





Figure 1. Locations from which ash provenances were sourced (grey circles) and the twotrial site locations (black triangles).





- **Figure 2.** Stages of bud flushing and their corresponding score. Drawing provided by Gabriel
- 752 Hemery (gabrielhemery.com)





Figure 3. Proportion of variance explained by each factor of the model (provenance, site,
site by provenance, block and residual) for Height, DBH, forking, flushing and senescence.
These were calculated with the analysis of variance across sites.

a. Height





c. JD50_Senesce







d. Stem forking

