1	Estimating organic layer depth for peat and peaty soils in an
2	upland Scottish catchment using linear mixed models with
3	topographic and geological covariates
4	A. FINLAYSON ^a *, B. P. MARCHANT ^b , K. WHITBREAD ^a , L. HUGHES ^b & H. F. BARRON ^a
5	^a British Geological Survey, The Lyell Centre, Edinburgh EH14 4AP, UK.
6	^b British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Environmental Science Centre, Nottingham
7	NG12 5GG, UK.
8	*Corresponding Author: Andrew Finlayson. (E-mail: afin@bgs.ac.uk).

Running Title: [Estimating organic layer depth from topography and geology]

11 Abstract

12 In order to evaluate and protect ecosystem services provided by peat and peaty soils, 13 accurate estimations for the depth of the surface organic layer are required. This study 14 uses linear mixed models (LMMs) to test how topographic (elevation, slope, aspect) 15 and superficial geology parameters can contribute to improved depth estimates across 16 a Scottish upland catchment. Mean (n=5) depth data from 284 sites (representing full 17 covariate ranges) were used to calibrate LMMs, which were tested against a validation 18 Models were estimated using maximum likelihood, and the Akaike dataset. 19 Information Criterion was used to test whether the iterative addition of covariates to a 20 model with constant fixed effects was beneficial. Elevation, slope, and certain geology 21 classes were all identified as useful covariates. Upon addition of the random effects 22 (i.e. spatial modelling of residuals), the RMSE for the model with constant-only fixed 23 effects reduced by 24%. Addition of random effects to a model with topographic 24 covariates (fixed effects = constant, slope, elevation) reduced the RMSE by 13%, 25 whereas the addition of random effects to a model with topographic and geological 26 covariates (fixed effects = constant, slope, elevation, certain geology classes) reduced 27 the RMSE by only 3%. Therefore much of the spatial pattern in depth was explained 28 by the fixed effects in the latter model. The study contributes to a growing research 29 base demonstrating that widely available topographic (and also here geological) datsets, 30 which have national coverage, can be included in spatial models to improve organic 31 layer depth estimations.

32

Keywords: [Organic layer depth, topography, superficial geology, linear mixed
 model, Scotland]

36 Introduction

37 Peat and peaty soils provide vital ecosystem services, including carbon storage, 38 retention and regulation of sub-surface water, and support of biodiversity (Bonn et al., 39 2009; Minasny et al., 2019). Baseline information that describes this resource is 40 required to better evaluate the benefits of these functions, and inform land management 41 decisions so these soils are protected (e.g. Chapman et al., 2009). An important 42 parameter to quantify in this process is the depth of the organic layer, which forms the 43 peat or peaty soil. However, in upland landscapes this layer is highly variable and 44 mapping its three-dimensional geometry over extensive areas is extremely challenging 45 due to the unknown and irregular nature of the subsoil topography.

46

47 The Soil Survey of Scotland define peat as an organic soil, which contains more than 48 60% organic matter and exceeds 50 cm in depth (Soil Survey of Scotland, 1984). Peaty 49 soils (also known as organo-mineral soils) are distinguished from peat if the organic 50 horizon is shallower than 50 cm (Soil Survey of scotland, 1984; Smith et al., 2007). 51 They include soils such as peaty rankers, peaty gleys and peaty podzols. These 52 shallower peaty soils extend over large areas, covering 43% of Scotland's land surface 53 (Bruneau & Johnson, 2014), which is almost double the 22% covered by peat (Chapman 54 et al., 2009). With this extensive cover, peaty soils in Scotland have been estimated to 55 represent a carbon store of 754 Mt (Bradley et al., 2005), contributing significantly to 56 national soil carbon stocks. It is therefore important that approaches to understand the 57 variation in organic layer depth include peaty soil types as well as peat, in order to help 58 inform land management decisions.

60 Manual methods (e.g. closely-spaced depth probing and ground penetrating radar) are 61 often used to measure organic layer depth, but are not practical over large areas (Gatis 62 et al., 2019). Recent work has therefore focused on utilising information from digital 63 elevation models (DEMs). Organic soils form under waterlogged conditions, where 64 decomposition of organic material is slower than accumulation. Such conditions are 65 influenced by spatial variations in drainage and moisture input, which are dependent 66 upon topography. This is because slope influences surface and subsurface hydrological 67 pathways and elevation influences factors such as temperature and rainfall. 68 Relationships between slope, elevation and organic layer depth have been used 69 successfully to estimate blanket peat thickness in the Wicklow Mountains, Ireland 70 (Holden & Connolly, 2011) and across land units with different soil and vegetation 71 classifications in Dartmoor, south-west England (Parry et al., 2012; Young et al., 2018). 72 Aspect has also been linked to blanket peat location and peat erosion (Graniero & Price, 73 1999; Foulds & Warburton, 2007), and has been suggested as a potential factor which 74 could influence local precipitation received over blanket peat (Parry et al., 2012).

75

76 Airborne gamma radiometrics are another data source that have been used to infer peat 77 thickness, because peat attenuates naturally occurring radiation emitted from 78 underlying bedrock (Gatis et al., 2019). However, such surveys have limited ability to 79 infer peat thicknesses that are >50 cm (the required depth for classification of peat in 80 Scotland), and the radiometric signal can vary with bedrock type (Minasny et al., 2019). 81 Additionally, while national radiometric surveys have been carried out in some 82 countries (e.g. Airo et al., 2014), more limited data coverage elsewhere currently 83 prevents wider application of the approach.

This study explores the use of linear mixed models (LMMs), with topographic 85 86 (elevation, slope, aspect) and superficial geology covariates, derived from datasets with 87 UK-wide coverage, to estimate organic layer depth across a catchment in upland 88 western Scotland. LMMs are often used in model-based geostatistical studies (e.g. 89 Lark et al., 2006; Rawlins et al., 2009) and divide the variation of calibration data into 90 fixed and random effects. The fixed effects are a linear function of environmental 91 covariates, and the random effects describe spatially correlated fluctuations in the soil 92 property that cannot be explained by the fixed effects. Superficial geology (formerly 93 known as 'drift') maps describe the nature of the near-surface geology (usually formed 94 by unconsolidated sediments). Superficial geology classes are included in this study as 95 a potential fixed effect because they are characterised by materials or depositional 96 processes that may influence peat forming conditions (e.g. susceptibility to 97 waterlogging). A further motivation for testing the use of superficial geology data is 98 that 1:50,000 scale British Geological Survey (BGS) DiGMapGB-50 data (BGS, 2016) 99 has national coverage and could therefore be used more widely to contribute to organic 100 layer depth estimates.

101

102 Setting

The study was undertaken in the 9.2 km² Gleann a' Chlachain catchment, which forms part of Scotland's Rural College's (SRUC) Hill and Mountain Research Farm, in the western central Scottish Highlands, UK (Fig. 1, S1A). Gleann a' Chlachain ranges in elevation from 265 m above sea level (a.s.l.) at the outlet in the south, to 1025 m a.s.l. at the highest point, on the summit of Beinn Challuim.

109 The geology and geomorphology of the catchment is representative of larger expanses 110 of the western central Scottish highlands. The bedrock belongs to the Ben Lui and Ben 111 Lawers Schist formations, which generally consist of pelites and semipelites with minor 112 amounts of psammite (BGS, 2004). The surface geomorphology is a mix of exposed 113 bedrock on ridges and some upper slopes, with glacial, fluvial and slope deposits 114 mantling the mid and lower slopes and the valley floor (BGS, 2012). The national soil 115 map of Scotland (Soil Survey of Scotland Staff, 1981) indicates the catchment is 116 predominantly covered by peaty gleyed podzols and peaty gleys, with the upper slopes 117 of Ben Challuim and Beinn Chaorach being covered by dystrophic blanket peat and 118 subalpine podzols.

119

120 Superficial Geology Classes

121 In this study the superficial geology classes within the catchment are used as categorical 122 variables in the modelling (Fig. 2A). These classes are adapted from published 123 superficial geology map data (BGS, 2012), and are defined by surface morphology and 124 subsurface materials. The classes are listed below (further descriptions are given in 125 Table S1 of the Supporting Information, and McMillan et al., 1999).

126

Bedrock: areas where unconsolidated sediment cover is interpreted to be thin (<1 m) or absent.

- Till and colluvium: generally comprises poorly-sorted, dense clayey or silty
 sand with gravel and rare boulders, mantling mid- and lower-valley slopes.
- Moraines: areas of broad mounds comprising poorly-sorted clayey or silty sand
 with gravel and frequent boulders, and intervening basins with finer grained
 sediments.

Talus slopes and solifluction lobes: an openwork accumulation of gravel, with
a sandy matrix at depth, occupying the upper slopes of Ben Challuim.
Alluvium: sorted cobbles, gravel, and sand adjacent to the river.
Peat: BGS maps include peat as an organic deposit where it is interpreted to be
1 m or more in thickness.
Methods

141 Sampling design and field measurements

The NEXTMap Britain (Intermap Technologies) digital elevation data were subsampled at 10 m resolution and used to derive slope and aspect values. Organic layer depth sampling was undertaken at 323 locations; measurements from 283 of these sites were used to calibrate the LMMs and the remaining locations were used for validation (Fig. 1B). A stratified sampling approach ensured that covariates were represented across their full ranges within the calibration and validation datasets (Fig. 2), in line with recent recommendations (Young et al., 2018).

149

150 Depth was measured by pushing probes into the organic layer until they met resistance 151 at the surface of the underlying mineral layer or rock. Exposed sections in the field 152 revealed that the base of the organic layer was characterised by a sharp boundary, which 153 was easily detected by the probes (Fig. S1B). At each of the sample locations, five depth 154 measurements were taken: one at a central point and four at corners 2.5 m from the 155 centre. This was carried out to account for the local (sampling site scale) variability 156 caused by undulations at the surface of the bedrock or mineral layer (e.g. Fig. S1B), 157 and to reduce any potential impact of obstructions causing measurements to under 158 represent the true depth (Parry et al., 2012; 2014). The average of the five points was

used in the statistical modelling. Data were recorded into an attribute table in ARCGIS
in the field using a rugged tablet computer with an inbuilt GPS, which with an accuracy
of approximately 3 m, was considered to be suitable for making predictions with 10 m
resolution (differential GPS would have allowed investigation with a higher resolution
DEM).

164

165 Statistical Analyses

166 A model-based geostatistical approach consisting of LMMs was used to both model the 167 relationship between the organic layer depth measurements and the covariate 168 information, and to map organic layer depth across the catchment. The model-based 169 approach was required when calibrating relationships with covariates because the data 170 were not collected according to a simple random design and therefore it was necessary 171 to account for spatial correlation amongst the data (Brus & de Gruijter, 1997). Many 172 authors have recently adopted a machine learning approach when utilising covariate 173 information to map soil properties (Hengl et al, 2018). However, such approaches can 174 lead to complex models which are not easily interpretable.

175

176 Instead this study represents the variation of organic layer depth by the LMM:

177

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tag{1}$$

178 where **z** is a length *n* vector of observations, **x** is a length *n* vector of locations where 179 the observations were made, **M** is a $n \times p$ matrix containing the values of *p* spatially 180 varying covariates (elevation, slope, aspect, superficial geology) at each of the 181 observation locations, **β** is a length *p* vector of regression coefficients and ϵ is a length 182 *n* vector containing the spatially correlated residuals at each sampling location. The 183 **Mβ** are referred to as fixed effects and the ϵ as random effects. The fixed effects are a 184 linear function of the covariates. The random effects are assumed to be realized from a 185 multivariate Normal distribution with zero mean and covariance matrix **C**. The elements 186 of **C** are calculated from a covariance function that relates the covariance between the 187 residual term for a pair of observations to the distance between the observation 188 locations. Here, the Matérn function was used (Minasny & McBratney, 2005) because 189 of the flexibility in how it behaves for small distances.

190

191 Marchant (2018) described how regression coefficients and parameters of the 192 covariance function can be estimated by maximum likelihood. This approach uses a 193 numerical optimization procedure to determine parameter values that maximize the 194 probability that observed data would have arisen from the proposed model. This 195 probability is referred to as the likelihood.

196

Some caution is needed when deciding which covariates to include as fixed effects. If too many covariates are included there is a danger of the model being over fitted. If an additional covariate is added to an existing LMM then the resultant maximised likelihood will be at least as large as that from the existing linear model since this likelihood could be achieved by setting the new regression coefficient to zero. Thus increased likelihood is not sufficient evidence to indicate that an additional covariate should be included.

204

The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1973) was used to compare models with different numbers of covariates and to decide which model was the most parsimonious representation of the data. The AIC is defined as:

AIC = 2k - 2L, (2)

where k is the number of parameters in the model and L is the logarithm of the maximised likelihood. The preferred model is the one with the smallest AIC value. This model is thought to be the best compromise between quality of fit (likelihood) and complexity (number of parameters).

213

214 Initially LMMs with constant fixed effects were estimated by setting **M** as a vector of 215 ones. Covariates were added iteratively and tested for a decrease in AIC and better fit. 216 Models were first tested utilising the topographic covariates. Once the individual 217 covariates that led to the smallest AIC were determined, models with two or more 218 topographic covariates and products of covariates were tested. Finally, adjustments to 219 the fixed effects were made if sampling locations were situated within specified 220 superficial geology classes, and the models were tested for further improvements. 221 Continuous variables (e.g. elevation, slope) were added by including those variables as 222 columns of **M**. Since the aspect is a cyclic property, the sine and cosine of the aspect 223 were each added as new columns of **M**. The superficial geology classes were included 224 as categorical variables with a one indicating that the class is present at a location and 225 a zero that it is absent.

226

227 Once the optimal model had been identified and calibrated, the empirical best linear 228 unbiased predictor (often referred to as regression kriging) was used to predict organic 229 layer depth across the catchment (Lark et al., 2006). These predictions included the 230 influence of the covariates and the spatial correlation of the organic layer depth 231 measurements.

232

Each model was fitted using just the calibration data and the expected organic layer depth was predicted at the validation locations using (i) just the fixed effects and (ii) the entire LMM. Since the set of validation data only consists of 40 observations, a 10fold cross-validation procedure was also conducted. Here, the entire dataset (including both calibration and validation observations) were randomly allocated to 10 groups or folds. Each fold was treated as a validation dataset and the remaining nine folds as calibration data.

240

241 **Results**

242 Peat depth observations

The observed organic layer depths are summarized in Table 1. The mean depth measured across the catchment was 30.9 cm, with a high standard deviation of 34.7 cm. The maximum depth (303 cm) was measured in the area classified as peat. The mean depth measured in this category was 75.6 cm, which is less than the 1 m that is assumed in BGS geology maps. The shallowest organic layers were measured over the areas classified as solifluction lobes and talus slopes.

249

Local-scale depth variability was represented by the five measurements taken at each sample site (Fig. S2). The average variation in depth resulting from local irregularities of the mineral-organic interface was 10-15 cm or less for all of the classes except peat, which was slightly higher. However, when considered as a percentage of the local mean depth, the local variation is smallest in the peat class and largest in the solifluction and talus class (Fig. S2B).

256

257 Statistical modelling

258 The overall distribution of depth measurements is highly skewed (skewness=3.48) and 259 inconsistent with the Gaussian assumptions of a LMM (Figure 3A). Therefore the 260 logarithm of organic layer depth plus one was used for modelling the spatial variation 261 in depth (Figure 3B). The skewness of this variable is -0.74. Figure 4 shows a spatial 262 plot of log transformed organic layer depths, and Figure 5 shows these depth values 263 alongside the topographic variables. The results of the LMMs using different 264 combinations of predictors are given in Table 2. Errors upon validation are shown both 265 for the fixed effects only and where random effects are included in the predictions.

266

267 The LMM with constant fixed effects led to an AIC value of 737.85. When an elevation 268 term was added, the AIC reduced to 734.02 and the fixed effects suggested that organic 269 layer depth decreased with elevation (Figs. 5A,6A). Addition of a slope term to the 270 constant model led to a larger reduction in AIC than for the constant plus elevation 271 model, and suggested that peat depth decreases with slope (Figs. 5B,6B). The fixed 272 effects of the LMM with the constant plus aspect term suggested a small increase in 273 organic layer depth on north-facing slopes (Fig. 6C); however, this model had a higher 274 AIC than the constant-only model indicating that aspect was not a beneficial parameter. 275 Overall, when only the topographic covariates were considered, the lowest AIC 276 (709.18) was achieved when the fixed effects included a constant, an elevation and a 277 slope term (Fig. 7A).

278

The AIC values reduced further when adjustments for locations within individual superficial geology classes were combined with the topographic covariates. Separate LMMs where the fixed effects included an adjustment for the peat, alluvium, and talus and solifluction classes all produced lower AICs than models based solely on

283 topographic covariates. However, addition of adjustments for the moraine, till and 284 colluvium, and bedrock classes, each resulted in a higher AIC than the topographic 285 covariates alone. A final model was therefore produced with fixed effects including a 286 constant, an elevation and slope term, and adjustments for locations in the peat, alluvium, and talus and solifluction classes. This model achieved the lowest AIC value 287 288 (678.61) and the fixed effects suggested that organic layer depths decreased with slope 289 and elevation, increased in the peat geology class, and decreased in the alluvium and 290 talus and solifluction classes (Figure 7B).

291

292 All the models had small mean errors upon validation and were approximately 293 unbiased. The RMSE generally decreased in line with the improvements in AIC. For 294 the models with solely topographic fixed effects, there was an 11-30% reduction in 295 RMSE when the random effects were included in predictions. This indicates that a 296 substantial proportion of the spatial pattern in the data was still not explained by the 297 covariates. When random effects were included in the model with the lowest AIC (Fig. 298 9C), which included topography terms and adjustments for certain geology classes, the 299 improvement in RMSE in the predictions was reduced to 3%. Therefore more of the 300 spatial pattern was explained by the fixed effects. The output of this model, with depths 301 shown in cm, is shown in Figure 8.

302

303 Similar results are seen upon 10-fold cross-validation (Table S2). The errors for the 304 entire dataset are slightly larger than those for the validation dataset reflecting that 305 depths in the validation set have relatively low variability. The same pattern of 306 improvements in errors upon the addition of covariates is observed, with the largest 307 decrease in errors occurring upon the addition of the slope information. Again, the most

accurate fixed effects model results from including slope, elevation and three geological
 classes, and the addition of random effects only leads to a small further improvement.

310

311 Discussion

312 Previous studies have demonstrated that slope and elevation can be used as explanatory 313 variables to inform peat depth estimates (Holden & Connolly, 2011; Parry et al., 2012). 314 The LMMs in this study also indicate that slope and elevation are beneficial parameters 315 for explaining spatial variations in organic layer depth. The LMMs suggest that 316 organic layer depth decreases with altitude in Glean a' Chlachain. This pattern is 317 consistent with the relationship observed by Holden & Connolly (2011) in the Wicklow 318 Mountains, but is opposite to the positive depth-elevation relationship observed over 319 Dartmoor (Parry et al., 2012). This could be due to two reasons. First, the Wicklow 320 Mountains and Glean a' Chlachain rise to higher elevations than Dartmoor; therefore 321 the associated lower temperatures at these sites may play a more important role in 322 limiting growth of peat forming vegetation. At some elevated locations freeze-thaw 323 processes will also loosen the soil and any underlying sediment, influencing drainage. 324 Second, the hypsometry (proportion of surface area at different elevations) of sites 325 could be important. The flatter summits at higher elevations in Dartmoor contrast with 326 narrower, steep-sided summits and ridges which dominate the higher elevations in the 327 Wicklow Mountains and Glean a' Chlachain, (where glacial erosion has played a 328 greater role in shaping the landscape). These contrasts may affect the nature of organic 329 layer depth and elevation relationships and would need to be considered in up-scaled 330 estimates.

331

332 This work only considered basic topographic variables (elevation, slope, aspect). 333 Additional topographic derivatives may also be beneficial in estimation of organic layer 334 depth. Aitkenhead (2017) identified slope curvature as an input variable that has an 335 effect on the predicted presence of peat in Scotland. In British Columbia, Scarpone et 336 al. (2017) found that topographic roughness, valley bottom flatness, and ridge top 337 flatness were all important variables in predicting exposed bedrock. These types of 338 variables could therefore be associated with the presence or absence, and potential 339 thickness of an organic layer.

340

341 The inclusion of certain superficial geology classes to the topography-only model 342 reduced the RMSE of the fixed effects and lowered the proportion of spatial variation 343 that needed to be explained by the random effects. These models suggested that 344 organic layer depth decreased over the alluvium and the talus and solifluction classes. 345 This could be because the sediments in these deposits (cobbles, gravel and sand) are 346 characterized by intergranular water flow with high permeability indices (Lewis et al., 347 2006). Such conditions would reduce waterlogging, promoting less favorable 348 conditions for peat formation. The remaining superficial geology classes comprise 349 materials that are characterised by mixed (intergranular and fracture) flow with low 350 permeability indices (Lewis et al., 2006). The LMMs also suggested that depths 351 increased over the peat category (which is expected since these areas had been 352 interpreted to contain peat >1 m in depth). As the superficial geology underneath peat is not shown on geological maps, this is more difficult to explain in terms of the 353 354 geological properties. In places (e.g. where peat basins are surrounded by moraines) 355 very low permeability silts and clays may be present below the peat.

356

357 Given that national coverage of superficial geology maps exist at scales of 1:50,000 or 358 higher, the identification of superficial geology as a beneficial variable is valuable, as 359 data could be used to aid depth predictions elsewhere. Information from soil or land 360 cover maps has not been used in this work; however, these datasets have been 361 incorporated in recent models that successfully map the occurrence of peat in Scotland 362 (Aitkenhead, 2017). Soil and land cover maps are available at a national scale in 363 Scotland (Soil Survey of Scotland Staff, 1981; MLURI, 1988), and future investigations 364 could also test these parameters as covariates for estimating organic layer depth.

365

366 The study provides an example where the depth of the organic surface layer across the 367 entire catchment has been modelled at high spatial resolution, and includes areas of 368 both peat and peaty soil. The complex mix of peat and shallow peaty soil types in the 369 landscape is considered a challenge for the assessment of carbon stocks (Chapman et 370 al., 2009). Presenting continuous depth estimations for the organic layer offer a way to 371 visualize this mix (Fig. 8), potentially enabling more detailed mapping of carbon stocks, 372 which could inform how ecosystem services across different parts of a landscape are 373 valued. Recent analyses from environmental impact assessments in Scotland have 374 identified limitations in the existing practices of peat depth reporting (Wawrzyczek et 375 al., 2018). The approach adopted here could contribute to improvements, particularly 376 where decisions are made at a catchment scale. This information is also relevant for 377 land management where knowledge of peat depth informs decisions, such as forest 378 establishment or siting upland infrastructure (Scottish Government, 2013; Forestry 379 Commission 2017). Presenting peat depth in this way could also be of benefit for 380 assessing peat landslide hazards, where depth is an important parameter (Scottish 381 Government, 2017).

383	Figure 8 shows the modelled organic surface layer depths with contours indicating the
384	10 cm, 40 cm, and 50 cm intervals. This information enables identification of areas
385	that would be classified as peat under different schemes. For example, in England and
386	Wales, soils are classified as peat where the organic layer is >40 cm deep (Avery, 1980),
387	and the World Soil Reference Base for Soil Resources (WRB) and USDA taxonomy
388	also use >40 cm as a condition for classification of histosols. Interestingly, using the
389	40 cm criteria would triple the area classified as peat in Gleann a' Chlachain (from
390	0.245 sq km to 0.721 sq km). The future development of up-scaled maps that can be
391	readily transferred between different classification schemes may therefore be useful,
392	for example in developing coherent international estimates relating to peat deposits (e.g.
393	Tanneberger et al., 2017).

394

395 Conclusion

396 There is a growing body of work that demonstrates topographic parameters can be used 397 to help estimate blanket peat depth. The LMMs in this study provides one of the first 398 examples demonstrating that these covariates are beneficial for estimating organic layer 399 depth for peat and peaty soils in upland Scotland – a country with > 60 % cover of these 400 soil types, and which contains the largest proportion of UK soil carbon stocks (Bradley 401 et al., 2005; Bruneau & Johnson, 2014). This work has also shown that widely 402 available superficial geology map data has potential to be included as covariate data. 403 The model outputs could help inform land management decisions, particularly where 404 detailed depth estimates are required over large upland sites or catchment scales.

405

406 Acknowledgements

- 407 John Holland and Davy McCracken are thanked for guidance on access and discussions
- 408 at SRUC's Hill and Mountain Research Centre. John Lee (BGS) and the journal
- 409 reviewers are thanked for comments that improved this manuscript.

410 **References**

- 411 Akaike, H., 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood
 412 principle. In: Second International Symposium on Information Theory (eds
 413 B.N. Petrov and F. Csaki), pp 267—281, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.
- Airo, M.-L., Hyvönen, E., Lerssi, J., Leväniemi, H., Ruotsalainen, A., 2014. Tips and
 tools for the application of GTK's airborne geophysical data. In: Geological
 Survey of Finland, Report of Investigation. vol. 215. pp. 33.
- 417 Aitkenhead, M. J., 2017. Mapping peat in Scotland with remote sensing and site
 418 characteristics. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 68, 28-38.
- Avery, B.W., 1980. Soil classification for England and Wales [higher categories]. Soil
 classification for England and Wales. Harpenden, Technical Monograph, 14.
- BGS. 2004. Crianlarich. Scotland Sheet 46W. Bedrock. 1:50,000 Geology Series.
 British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham.
- BGS. 2012. Sheet NN33. Superficial. 1:25,000 Geology Series. British Geological
 Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham.
- 425 BGS. 2016. BGS Geology 50k (DiGMapGB-50) Superficial version 8. British
 426 Geological Survey.
- Bonn, A., Holden, J., Parnell, M., Worrall, F., Chapman, P.J., Evans, C.D. ... Tsuchiya,
 A. 2009. Ecosystem services of peat Phase 1. Unpublished report to DEFRA,
 London. DEFRA. Project code: SP0572.
- Bradley, R.I., Milne, R., Bell, J., Lilly, A., Jordan, C. & Higgins, A. 2005. A soil carbon
 and land use database for the United Kingdom. *Soil Use and Management*, 21,
 363–369.
- Bruneau, P.M.C & Johnson, S.M. 2014. Scotland's peatland definitions & information
 resources. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report, No 701.
- Brus, D.J. & de Gruijter, J., 1997. Random sampling or geostatistical modelling?
 Choosing between design-based and model-based sampling strategies for soil
 (with discussion). *Geoderma* 80, 1-44.

- Chapman, S.J., Bell, J., Donnelly, D. & Lilly, A., 2009b. Carbon stocks in Scottish
 peatlands. *Soil Use and Management*, 25, 105-112.
- Forestry Commission, 2017. The UK Forestry Standard: the Government's approach to
 sustainable forestry (4th Edition). Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.
- Foulds, S.A. & Warburton, J., 2007. Significance of wind-driven rain (wind-splash) in
 the erosion of blanket peat. *Geomorphology*, 83, 183-192.
- Gatis, N., Luscombe, D.J., Carless, D., Parry, L.E., Fyfe, R.M., Harrod, T.R., Brazier,
 R.E. & Anderson, K., 2019. Mapping upland peat depth using airborne
 radiometric and lidar survey data. *Geoderma*, 335, 78-87.
- Graniero, P.A. & Price, J.S., 1999. The importance of topographic factors on the
 distribution of bog and heath in a Newfoundland blanket bog complex. *Catena*,
 36, 233-254.
- Hengl, T., Nussbaum, M., Wright, M.N., Heuvelink, G. & Gräler, B., 2018. Random
 forest as a generic framework for predictive modeling of spatial and spatiotemporal variables. *PeerJ* 6:e5518.
- Holden, N.M. & Connolly, J., 2011. Estimating the carbon stock of a blanket peat
 region using a peat depth inference model. *Catena*, 86, 75-85.
- Lark, R.M., Cullis, B.R. & Welham, S.J., 2006. On spatial prediction of soil properties
 in the presence of a spatial trend: the empirical best linear unbiased predictor
 (E-BLUP) with REML. *European Journal of Soil Science* 57, 787–799.
- Lewis, M.A., Cheney, C.S. & O Dochartaigh, B.E., 2006. Guide to permeability
 indices. *British Geological Survey Open Report*, CR/06/160.
- Marchant, B.P. 2018. Model-based geostatistics. In: McBratney, A.B., Minasny, B.,
 Stockmann, U. (eds), Pedometrics: A system of quantitative soil information.
 Springer.
- McMillan, A. & Powell, J., 1999. BGS rock classification scheme. Volume 4,
 classification of artificial (man-made) ground and natural superficial deposits:
 applications to geological maps and datasets in the UK. British Geological
 Survey Research Report, Keyworth, Nottingham.

- 467 Minasny, B., Berglund, Ö., Connolly, J., Hedley, C., de Vries, F., Gimona, A. ...
 468 Widyatmanti, W. 2019. Digital mapping of peatlands–A critical review. Earth469 Science Reviews, 196, 102870.
- 470 Minasny, B. & McBratney, A.B., 2005. The Matérn function as a general model for soil
 471 variograms. *Geoderma*, **128**, 192–207.
- 472 MLURI. 1998. *The Land Cover of Scotland*. Macaulay Land Use research Institute,
 473 Aberdeen.
- 474 Parry, L.E., Charman, D.J. & Noades, J.P.W., 2012. A method for modelling peat depth
 475 in blanket peatlands. *Soil Use and Management*, 28, 614-624.
- 476 Parry, L.E., West, L.J., Holden, J. & Chapman, P.J., 2014. Evaluating approaches for
 477 estimating peat depth. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 119,
 478 567-576.
- 479 Rawlins, B.G., Lark, R.M. and Webster, R., 2007. Understanding airborne radiometric
 480 survey signals across part of eastern England. *Earth Surface Processes and*481 *Landforms*, **32**, 1503-1515.
- 482 Scarpone, C., Schmidt, M.G., Bulmer, C.E. and Knudby, A., 2017. Semi-automated
 483 classification of exposed bedrock cover in British Columbia's Southern
 484 Mountains using a Random Forest approach. *Geomorphology*, 285, 214-224.
- 485 Scottish Government. 2013. Wind Farm Developments on Peat Land: Planning Advice.
 486 Obtainable from: <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/wind-farm-developments-</u>
 487 on-peat-land-planning-advice/
- 488 Scottish Government. 2017. Proposed electricity generation developments: peat
 489 landslide hazard best practice guide. Obtainable from:
 490 <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/peat-landslide-hazard-risk-assessments-</u>
 491 <u>best-practice-guide-proposed-electricity/</u>
- Smith, P., Smith, J., Flynn, H., Killham, K., Rangel-Castro, I., Foereid, B. ... Falloon,
 P. 2007. ECOSSE Estimating Carbon in Organic Soils Sequestration and
 Emissions. Scottish Executive Environment and Rural Affairs Department,

495	Edinburgh.	Obtainable	from:
496	http://www.scotland.g	gov.uk/Publications/2007/03/16170508/0	<u>)</u>
497	Soil Survey of Scotland Staf	f. 1981. Soil Maps of Scotland at a sca	ale of 1:250 000.
498	Macaulay Institute for	r Soil Research, Aberdeen.	
499	Soil Survey of Scotland Staff	1984. Organisation and Methods of the	ne 1:250 000 Soil
500	Survey of Scotland. N	Acaulay Institute for Soil Research, Abe	erdeen.
501	Tannenberger, F., Tegetmey	ver, C., Busse, S., Barthelmes, A., Shu	umka, S., Moles
502	Mariné, A., Jooster	n, H. 2017. The peatland map of Europe.	. Mires and Peat,
503	19 , 1-17.		
504	Wawrzyczek, J., Lindsay, R	R., Metzger, M.J. & Quétier, F., 2018.	. The ecosystem
505	approach in ecologic	al impact assessment: Lessons learned	from windfarm
506	developments on pea	atlands in Scotland. Environmental Im	pact Assessment
507	<i>Reviews</i> 72 , 157-165.		
508	Young, D.M., Parry, L.E., L	ee, D. & Ray, S., 2018. Spatial models	s with covariates
509	improve estimates of p	peat depth in blanket peatlands. PloS one	, 13 , p.e0202691.
510			

TABLES

Table 1. Summary statistics for organic layer depth from calibration sites across Gleann

514 a' Chlachain.

Class	n	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Standard deviation (cm)	Minimum (cm)	Maximum (cm)
All	283	30.9	22.1	34.7	0	303
Peat	30	75.6	52.3	67.5	15.2	303
Alluvium	30	23.3	22.4	17.9	0	72.6
Talus slopes and solifluction						
lobes	30	4.7	1.2	6.6	0	22.4
Moraines	38	40.5	21.2	36.3	7	151
Till and						
colluvium	66	32	27.6	19.5	2.7	86
Bedrock	89	22.4	16	19.1	0	94.4

517	Table 2. Log-likelihood (L), AIC, mean error upon validation of the fixed effects (FE
518	ME), root mean squared error upon validation of the fixed effects (FE RMSE), mean
519	error upon validation of the linear mixed model (LMM ME), root mean squared error
520	upon validation of the linear mixed model (LMM RMSE), and reduction in RMSE upon
521	inclusion of the random effect for each estimated linear mixed model. All units of errors
522	are $log_e(cm)$ unless otherwise stated. Predictors are: co constant, el elevation, as aspect,
523	pe peat geology class, al alluvium geology class, ta talus and solifluction geology class,
524	mo moraine geology class, ti till and colluvium geology class, br bedrock geology class.

Predictors	L	AIC	FE ME	FE RMSE	LMM ME	LMM RMSE	LMM RMSE reduction (%)	LMM RMSE (cm)
со	-367.92	737.85	-0.35	0.96	0.01	0.73	23.96	22.12
co+el	-365.01	734.02	0	0.81	0.01	0.72	11.11	22.04
co+sl	-353.73	711.47	-0.45	0.88	-0.03	0.62	29.55	18.39
co+as	-367.86	741.72	-0.35	0.95	0.01	0.73	23.16	22.3
co+el+sl	-351.59	709.18	-0.11	0.72	-0.03	0.61	15.28	18.6
co+el+sl+el×sl	-350.68	709.36	-0.13	0.71	-0.02	0.6	15.49	18.85
co+el+s+as	-350.66	711.32	-0.11	0.69	-0.02	0.6	13.04	17.73
co+el+sl+pe	-346.64	701.27	-0.12	0.66	-0.01	0.59	10.61	16.91
co+el+sl+al	-343.73	695.45	-0.2	0.69	-0.04	0.6	13.04	19.21
co+el+sl+ta	-345.62	699.25	-0.11	0.68	0	0.62	8.82	18.64
co+el+sl+mo	-351.1	710.2	-0.11	0.73	-0.03	0.61	16.44	19.1
co+el+sl+ti	-350.33	708.65	-0.1	0.72	-0.02	0.63	12.50	19.6
co+el+sl+br	-351.15	710.29	-0.1	0.73	-0.02	0.61	16.44	18.96
co+el+sl+pe+al+ta	-333.3	678.61	-0.17	0.6	0	0.58	3.33	16.8

531 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. (A) Location of Gleann a Chlachainn catchment in western Scotland. (B)
Catchment map together with sampling sites. Yellow circles are the sites used for model
calibration and red circles are the sites used for validation. Position 'X' marks the
location from where the photograph in Figure S1A (Supporting Information) was taken.
The elevation plot in (A) and the hillshade base map in (B) were built from Intermap
Technologies NEXTMap Britain elevation data.

Figure 2. Plots of (A) superficial geology classes, (B) elevation, (C) slope angle, and (D) aspect in the Gleann a' Chlachain catchment. Representative organic soil depth sampling was carried out across each superficial geology class and across the topographic variables. The topographic variables were derived from Intermap Technologies NEXTMap Britain elevation data.

543 Figure 3. Histograms showing (A) observed organic layer depth measurements and
544 (B) observed log_e (organic layer depth+1).

Figure 4. Spatial pattern of observed log_e (organic layer depth+1). Coordinates are
relative to (235787, 730277).

547 Figure 5. The relationship between organic layer depth and (A) elevation, (B) slope,548 (C) the sine of aspect, and (D) the cosine of aspect.

549 **Figure 6.** Predicted log_e (organic layer depth+1) according to fixed effects consisting

550 of: (A) a constant and elevation, (B) a constant and slope, and (C) constant and aspect.

551 Units are \log_e (cm). Coordinates are relative to (235787, 730277).

Figure 7. Predicted log_e (organic layer depth+1) according to fixed effects consisting
of: (A) a constant, slope and elevation; and (B) a constant, slope, elevation, and of peat,

- alluvium and talus and solifluction geology classes. (C) Predicted log_e (organic layer
- 555 depth+1) according to a linear mixed model where fixed effects are a constant, slope,
- 556 elevation, and presence of peat, alluvial deposits and talus and solifluction superficial
- 557 geology classes. Units are $log_e(cm)$.
- 558 Figure 8. Predicted organic layer depth (in cm) across the catchment. Thick contour
- lines are shown at 50 cm (white), 40 cm (black), and 10 cm (light grey).



Figure 1. (A) Location of Gleann a Chlachainn catchment in western Scotland. (B) Catchment map together with sampling sites. Yellow circles are the sites used for model calibration and red circles are the sites used for validation. Position 'X' marks the location from where the photograph in Figure S1A (Supporting Information) was taken. The elevation plot in (A) and the hillshade base map in (B) were built from Intermap Technologies NEXTMap Britain elevation data.



Figure 2. Plots of (A) superficial geology classes, (B) elevation, (C) slope angle, and (D) aspect in the Gleann a' Chlachain catchment. Representative organic soil depth sampling was carried out across each superficial geology class and across the topographic variables. The topographic variables were derived from Intermap Technologies NEXTMap Britain elevation data.



Figure 3. Histograms showing (A) observed organic layer depth measurements and (B) observed log_e (organic layer depth+1).



Figure 4. Spatial pattern of observed log_e (organic layer depth+1). Coordinates are relative to (235787, 730277).



Figure 5. The relationship between organic layer depth and (A) elevation, (B) slope, (C) the sine of aspect, and (D) the cosine of aspect.



Figure 6. Predicted log_e (organic layer depth+1) according to fixed effects consisting of: (A) a constant and elevation, (B) a constant and slope, and (C) constant and aspect. Units are log_e (cm). Coordinates are relative to (235787, 730277).



Figure 7. Predicted \log_e (organic layer depth+1) according to fixed effects consisting of: (A) a constant, slope and elevation; and (B) a constant, slope, elevation, and of peat, alluvium and talus and solifluction geology classes. (C) Predicted \log_e (organic layer depth+1) according to a linear mixed model where fixed effects are a constant, slope, elevation, and presence of peat, alluvial deposits and talus and solifluction superficial geology classes. Units are \log_e (cm).



Figure 8. Predicted organic layer depth (in cm) across the catchment. Thick contour lines are shown at 50 cm (white), 40 cm (black), and 10 cm (light grey).