

# Global gradients in intertidal species richness and functional groups

Jakob Thyrring<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>\*, Lloyd S Peck<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Arctic Research Centre, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Silkeborg, Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Homerton College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup>Marine Ecology, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Silkeborg, Denmark

**Abstract** Whether global latitudinal diversity gradients exist in rocky intertidal  $\alpha$ -diversity and across functional groups remains unknown. Using literature data from 433 intertidal sites, we investigated  $\alpha$ -diversity patterns across 155° of latitude, and whether local-scale or global-scale structuring processes control  $\alpha$ -diversity. We, furthermore, investigated how the relative composition of functional groups changes with latitude.  $\alpha$ -Diversity differed among hemispheres with a mid-latitudinal peak in the north, and a non-significant unimodal pattern in the south, but there was no support for a tropical-to-polar decrease in  $\alpha$ -diversity. Although global-scale drivers had no discernible effect, the local-scale drivers significantly affected  $\alpha$ -diversity, and our results reveal that latitudinal diversity gradients are outweighed by local processes. In contrast to  $\alpha$ -diversity patterns, species richness of three functional groups (predators, grazers, and suspension feeders) declined with latitude, coinciding with an inverse gradient in algae. Polar and tropical intertidal data were sparse, and more sampling is required to improve knowledge of marine biodiversity.

# Introduction

The latitudinal diversity gradient in species richness across ecosystems and various functional groups has been a major research topic that has intrigued scientists since at least **Darwin**, **1859** and **Wallace**, **1878**. Over time, many hypotheses have been proposed to explain this seemingly general ecological pattern in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. Ecological and physical hypotheses dominate the discussions and include drivers such as habitat area, stability, speciation rates, energy availability, and temperature (*Willig et al., 2003; Clarke and Gaston, 2006; Edgar et al., 2017*). However, the geographic, functional, and taxonomic generality of latitudinal diversity gradients remain lively debated as unimodal, bimodal, and inverse gradients emerge across clades, habitats, and latitudes (*Rivadeneira et al., 2002; Waller, 2008; Chaudhary et al., 2016; Kinlock et al., 2018*). In the marine realm, latitudinal gradients in some groups have been shown to be closely related to ocean-ographic covariates, such as water temperature (*Roy et al., 2000*), yet mammal richness peaks at high latitudes (*Grady et al., 2019*), and more species have been reported from polar soft-sediment habitats than at many lower latitudes (*Vause et al., 2019*).

While gradients in species diversity have received most attention, latitudinal changes across different functional groups (evaluated here by food acquisition, see Materials and methods) remain less studied despite their importance for ecosystem functioning. Macroalgal canopies shelter understory species from environmental stress (*Krause-Jensen et al., 2016*; *Sejr et al., 2021*), creating protective microhabitats that increase environmental heterogeneity and biodiversity, thereby maintaining a diversified understory assemblages (*Bulleri et al., 2002*; *Watt and Scrosati, 2013*; *Piazzi et al., 2018*). Suspension feeders are important benthic-pelagic energy couplers (*Gili and Coma, 1998*),

\*For correspondence: jakyrr57@bas.ac.uk

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

#### Funding: See page 11

Received: 02 November 2020 Accepted: 18 March 2021 Published: 19 March 2021

**Reviewing editor:** Detlef Weigel, Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology, Germany

© Copyright Thyrring and Peck. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

and predation is widely accepted as a central structuring process in the composition and abundance of species (*Vermeij, 1987; Stanley, 2008*). Latitudinal studies have shown that suspension feeders dominate benthic systems in fully marine environments at high latitudes (*Gili and Coma, 1998; De Broyer et al., 2014*), while diversity of coastal macroalgae peaks at mid-latitudes (*Keith et al., 2014*), and predation pressure decreases with latitude and depth from shallow shelves to deep oceans (*Taylor and Taylor, 1977; Harper and Peck, 2016*). Most functional group studies have focused on a narrow set of taxa, and global-scale assemblage-wide investigations encompassing both hemispheres are rare. Thus, studies demonstrating global patterns in various functional groups are needed to understand spatial patterns, biological interactions, and ecosystem resilience to climate change.

Intertidal shores rank as one of the most studied marine habitats and are often seen as harbingers for the effects of climate change and invasive species. Regional-scale intertidal studies have found richness gradients of gastropods along coastlines in the eastern Pacific Ocean (*Rivadeneira et al., 2015; Fenberg and Rivadeneira, 2019*). However, no latitudinal diversity gradient of gastropods (*Miloslavich et al., 2013*) or macroalgae (*Konar et al., 2010*) was found on a global scale across oceans, and assemblage-wide studies have found missing (*Blanchette et al., 2008; Cruz-Motta et al., 2010*) or inverse (*Griffiths and Waller, 2016*) gradients. Conflicting and missing gradients suggest that richness is determined by regional or local (and not global) scale processes through biological interactions and small scale overlapping environmental gradients. For example, high habitat heterogeneity creates environmental gradients (*Helmuth et al., 2006; Jurgens and Gaylord, 2018*). However, the large-scale intertidal studies necessary to evaluate global-scale patterns and processes are generally missing (but see *Cruz-Motta et al., 2010; Miloslavich et al., 2013*).

Global assessments of intertidal biodiversity have been hindered by data scarcity from polar intertidal shores, and a lack of estimates of intertidal geographic areas. In fact, only the extent of intertidal mud flats have been quantified on a large scale (Murray et al., 2019). However, in recent decades intertidal diversity data from both polar regions have advanced (Weslawski et al., 2010; Griffiths and Waller, 2016; Thyrring et al., 2017; Thyrring et al., 2021; Peck, 2018), providing novel opportunities to study biodiversity patterns and variation in specific functional groups on a worldwide scale. In this study, we examined latitudinal diversity gradients in local intertidal species richness (termed  $\alpha$ -diversity) and four functional groups from 433 locations throughout the northern and southern hemispheres, from the high Arctic to the Antarctic. Intertidal ecosystems comprises a variety of habitats ranging from tidal flats to mangrove forests, each supporting unique communities and taxa. Therefore, to control for habitat effects, we specifically focus on rocky shores and boulder fields, as they represent the most studied intertidal habitats and are present in all oceans. We aim to examine patterns in intertidal  $\alpha$ -diversity and functional groups across 155° of latitude, and test the following hypotheses: (1)  $\alpha$ -diversity decreases with increasing latitude, as evident in other ecosystems and (2) biodiversity is predicted by local-scale structuring processes rather than global-scale oceanographic features.

## **Results**

#### Latitudinal *a*-diversity gradients

We extracted data from 433 intertidal sites between 74.8°S and 80.5°N (*Figure 1*). Only 11 sites were located at latitudes above 70°, south and north combined (*Supplementary file 1*). A generalized additive mixed effect model (GAMM) indicated a non-linear relationship among  $\alpha$ -diversity and latitude in the northern hemisphere where  $\alpha$ -diversity peaked at mid-latitudes between 30 and 55°N (GAMM, edf = 2.005, p=0.023). While no latitudinal scale environmental driver was significant (*Table 1*),  $\alpha$ -diversity was similar in the tropical and Arctic regions (*Figure 2*). In the southern hemisphere, a generalized linear mixed effect model (GLMM) indicated that  $\alpha$ -diversity was not related to any latitudinal scale environmental drivers (*Table 1*), and displayed a linear latitudinal pattern with a non-significant slight decline at the highest latitude (*Table 1*; *Figure 2*).

Local-scale models revealed significant relationships between  $\alpha$ -diversity and the four local-scale variates ice scour, macroalgal canopy cover, salinity, and wave exposure (**Table 2**). We focused



Figure 1. Locations of rocky intertidal sampling sites. Some sites are not visible because of close proximity. Functional diversity data were available in green sites.

exclusively on canopy-forming algae because canopies provide living space and protection from predation and extreme temperatures, thereby increasing  $\alpha$ -diversity and coverage of understory organisms. A positive relationship was identified between  $\alpha$ -diversity and salinity and macroalgal cover (*Figure 3a,c*), with salinity having the strongest effect (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.19, 95% Cl = 4.82–8.52, *Figure 4*). Wave exposure and ice scour had negative effects on  $\alpha$ -diversity (*Figure 3b,d*). The non-linear relationship between wave exposure and  $\alpha$ -diversity showed that high levels of wave exposure were

#### **Table 1.** Results of the mixed effect models for rocky intertidal $\alpha$ -diversity.

The estimate, standard error (SE), z-value, and p-value are presented for each variable. The estimated degree of freedom (ed), and p-value are presented for the smoother in the northern hemisphere\*.

	Estimates	SE	z-Value	p-Value
Northern hemisphere				
(Intercept)	3.086	0.283	10.92	<0.001
Chlorophyll a	-0.004	0.088	0.05	0.959
Phosphate	-0.163	0.288	-0.56	0.573
Sea temperature	0.024	0.015	1.64	0.101
Southern hemisphere				
(Intercept)	3.199	0.443	7.225	< 0.001
Latitude	0.006	0.007	0.899	0.369
Chlorophyll a	-0.006	0.007	-0.829	0.407
Phosphate	-0.240	0.234	-1.029	0.303
Sea temperature	-0.010	0.016	-0.653	0.514

\*Approximate significance of cubic spline regression smoother: Estimated df = 2.005, p-value=0.023.



**Figure 2.** Latitudinal patterns in rocky intertidal  $\alpha$ -diversity plotted against latitude. Data are split into southern and northern hemispheres. A linear regression line (southern hemisphere) and a best-fit locally weighted scatterplot smoother (northern hemisphere) was added with 95% confidence intervals to aid visual interpretation.

necessary to affect levels of  $\alpha$ -diversity (*Figure 3b*). Fewer species inhabited ice-scoured shores compared to ice-free shores (95% CI = -0.57 - -0.23, *Table 2*), although the model only explained around 5% (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.05) of the variation due to large differences in the number of species on both ice-scoured and ice-free shores (*Figure 3c*).

# **Table 2.** Local-scale model summaries with individual models indicated for each model. Estimated parameters, standard error (SE), bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), z-values, and p-values are reported for the relationship between $\alpha$ -diversity and environmental covariates.

R = 0.19 (GLIVI)					
	Estimates	SE	95% CI	z-Value	p-Value
(Intercept)	-3.201	0.891	-4.94; -1.47	-3.6	<0.0001
Salinity	6.676	0.946	4.82; 8.52	7.06	<0.0001
Wave exposure (G $R^2 = 0.12$	AM)				
	Estimates	SE		z-Value	p-Value
(Intercept)*	2.435	0.029		82.4	< 0.0001
lce scour (GLM) $R^2 = 0.05$					
	Estimates	SE	95% CI	z-Value	p-Value
(Intercept)	2.353	0.055	2.24; 2.46	42.7	< 0.0001
lce scour	-0.401	0.087	-0.57; -0.23 -4.59		< 0.0001
Macroalgal cover ( R <sup>2</sup> = 0.14	GLM)				
	Estimates	SE	95% CI	z-Value	p-Value
(Intercept)	6.133	0.386	5.37; 6.89	15.9	<0.0001
Cover	-2.35	0.547	-3.42; -1.28	-4.3	< 0.0001

\*Approximate significance of locally weighted scatterplot wave exposure smoother: Estimated df = 8.40, p-value<0.0001.

Salinity R<sup>2</sup> = 0.19 (GLM)



cover on  $\alpha$ -diversity. A best-fit locally weighted scatterplot smoother (LOESS) and 95% confidence intervals (panel b) and boxplots (panels c,d) has been added to aid visual interpretation.

#### Latitudinal gradients in functional groups

Four studied functional groups (algae, grazers, predators, and suspension feeders) displayed different latitudinal patterns (Figure 5). Predators, grazers, and suspension feeders declined with latitude in both hemispheres, coinciding with an increase in algal richness (Figure 5). In general, the relative distribution of the functional groups changed faster across latitudes in the southern hemisphere where rapid changes were observed around 20°S, while northern hemisphere communities were more consistent from 25 to 60°N (Figure 5). We were unable to describe functional groups at latitudes 15-25°N as no data were available (indicated as white bars in Figure 5).

In the southern hemisphere, the proportion of predators and grazers declined beyond around 20°S, together forming 6.9% (±6.6 s.d.) of species accounted for between 20 and 55°S, but constituting 18.3% (±15.1 s.d.) of species in communities at latitudes less than 20°S (Figure 5). Suspension feeders were common in low- to mid-latitudes, constituting 34.6% (±9.6 s.d.) of the species present between 20 and 45°S



Figure 4. Local-scale mean effect sizes and direction of ice scour, macroalgal cover, and salinity on  $\alpha$ -diversity estimated from individual models. Significance of regression parameters is identified as bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals (error bars) not crossing zero (\* indicates significance).

Figure 3. Local-scale relationships between (a) salinity, (b) wave exposure, (c) ice scour, and (d) macroalgal canopy



Figure 5. Latitudinal variation in the relative number of species from four functional groups in the rocky intertidal between 74.8°S and 80.5°N, where negative values denote southern hemisphere latitudes. No data were available within the 5° latitudinal bands where bars are missing.

(*Figure 5*). The relative proportion of algal species increased with latitude, with a small decline at sites between 60 and 65°S (*Figure 5*). In the northern hemisphere, predators were abundant at lowand mid-latitudes, accounting on average for 18.2% ( $\pm$ 5.8 s.d.) of the populations from 0 to 60°N, before declining to 4.7% ( $\pm$ 4.1 s.d.) at high latitudes between 61 and 80°N. The number of grazers decreased markedly from around 15°N, but stabilized at 25.4% ( $\pm$ 6.4 s.d.) across 25°–80°N (*Figure 5*). Suspension feeders were the dominant functional group on shores in the northern hemisphere, especially between 25 and 60°N, but decreased to 21.4% ( $\pm$ 1.8 s.d.) at high latitudes between 60 and 80° N (*Figure 5*). Algal species was the most dominant group at high latitudes (*Figure 5*). In both hemispheres, the assemblage composition at the highest latitudes was substantially different from elsewhere; on these high polar shores, suspension feeders were absent and only a few predators were present, while grazers and algae dominated.

# Discussion

## Latitudinal *a*-diversity gradients

Latitudinal diversity gradients have been recognized for centuries, and the generality of this phenomenon has been investigated ever since. Recent state-of-the-art meta-analyses have provided support for latitudinal gradients in various marine and terrestrial ecosystems (*Hillebrand, 2004*; *Kinlock et al., 2018*), and latitudinal gradients in assemblage composition and biological interactions have also been identified (*Qian and Ricklefs, 2007*; *Schemske et al., 2009*; *Harper and Peck, 2016*). Although latitudinal diversity gradients are widely quoted as one of the basic laws in ecology (*Lomolino, 2004*), studies investigating these patterns on a global scale have largely overlooked intertidal ecosystems, despite their global range and importance as unique environments. An intertidal study in the north eastern Pacific Ocean has reported no latitudinal gradients in species richness (*Blanchette et al., 2008*). It has been suggested that the latitudinal diversity gradient is

biogeographically structured (Roy et al., 1994), and since the above investigations were conducted in a temperate biogeographic region, diversity gradients might not occur. For example, while gastropod richness is relatively stable in the temperate region, a steep rise in richness occurs in the tropical region (Fenberg and Rivadeneira, 2019). However, the few published global-scale intertidal studies have revealed contradictory latitudinal patterns with richness of macroalgae (Konar et al., 2010) and small echinoderms peaking at higher latitudes, while large echinoderms peaking at low latitudes (Iken et al., 2010). Consequently, no latitudinal trend in intertidal diversity was demonstrated across 13 marine regions, as overall assemblage richness was similar everywhere despite strong species-specific patterns (Cruz-Motta et al., 2010). While the studies above benefited from analyzing data collected using a standardized protocol across latitudes, they were limited by only including a smaller number of sampling sites (~70 sites), which may have restricted their capacity to demonstrate large-scale trends, or to separate these from locally entrained patterns. Compared to these studies, we expanded the spatial resolution sixfold and present  $\alpha$ -diversity data from 433 rocky shore sites across 155° of latitude, yet there was still no support for the latitudinal  $\alpha$ -diversity gradient hypothesis. Latitudinal patterns were, however, markedly different between hemispheres, with a mid-latitude peak in the north and a non-significant unimodal trend in the south. We also demonstrated that different functional groups had different patterns (see later discussion).

Northern hemisphere  $\alpha$ -diversity displayed a distinct mid-latitude peak, a pattern also reported for open water biodiversity (Chaudhary et al., 2016). The cause behind this remains elusive but hypotheses include a mid-dominance effect where high- and low-latitude species ranges overlap (Powell et al., 2012), and that high temperatures near the equator, and low temperatures and ice cover at the poles, may limit diversity outside of the temperate zone. However, we did not see this pattern in the temperate zone in the southern hemisphere, and we show that water temperatures do not control intertidal  $\alpha$ -diversity. Instead, the open water mid-latitudinal richness peak has recently been suggested to be an artifact of limited sampling in less studied tropical regions (Menegotto and Rangel, 2018). This asymmetric sampling holds true for the northern hemisphere rocky intertidal ecosystem as well. While we show data from 11 sites at latitudes above 70° (south and north combined), 44% (n = 193) of all studies were from mid-latitude rocky shores in Europe and North America between 24 and 60°N. Data from low-latitude regions is furthermore limited because rocky shorelines are scarce in the tropics (Fenberg and Rivadeneira, 2019), and the majority of tropical studies have focused on specific taxonomic groups, and not assemblage-wide patterns (e.g., Hartnoll, 1976; Chelazzi and Vannini, 1980; Mille-Pagaza et al., 2002; Konar et al., 2010; Flores-Rodríguez et al., 2014; Rivadeneira et al., 2015; Gbedemah, 2017; Hendrickx et al., 2019, but see Lourenco et al., 2020). Thus, mid-latitudinal rocky shores, especially in the northern hemisphere, are among the most sampled globally, and future tropical collections may change understanding of biodiversity patterns. This disproportionate sampling pattern is also present in other marine habitats, where sampling intensity is highest at mid-latitudes in the northern hemisphere (Menegotto and Rangel, 2018). Polar data were also limited in the southern hemisphere where species diversity was relatively constant across latitudes with a non-significant dip at the highest latitude. A factor that powerfully affects intertidal diversity in the high polar regions is that most intertidal areas are encased in ice for large parts, if not all of the year, which reduces intertidal biodiversity to zero in these areas. Thus, the Arctic permafrost coastline represents around 34% of the world's coastline (Lantuit et al., 2012), and Antarctica accounts for around 2.7% (45,317 km). However, in the Antarctic only around 12% of that is ice-free in summer (5468 km) and at both poles much less, if any, is ice-free in winter (Peck, 2018). This lack of ice-free intertidal areas in high polar sites restricts the capacity for communities to establish, and limits the development of macroalgal species (Miller and Pearse, 1991; Mystikou et al., 2014). However, high polar shores are seldom studied (Thyrring et al., 2021), and in this study high-latitude Antarctic shorelines were only represented by a single study at a single site, thus this depression in number of species should be interpreted with caution. In ice-free areas, and at lower Antarctic latitudes, previous biodiversity studies have shown intertidal species richness to increase with latitude from the southern Atlantic ocean to the Antarctic Peninsula (Waller, 2008; Griffiths and Waller, 2016). The situation is clearly complex and, in general, more information on the influence of geographic sampling biases across latitudes are, together with more data from more sites, especially the polar and tropical regions, required to understand and model large-scale biodiversity patterns.

Latitudinal diversity gradients have been identified in subtidal habitats (e.g., Roy et al., 2000; Edgar et al., 2017), and the contrasting patterns in biodiversity gradients between intertidal and subtidal ecosystems may originate from differences in the factors controlling  $\alpha$ -diversity. Subtidal benthic habitats experience relatively homogenous environmental conditions across small-to-moderate spatial scales, and large-scale latitudinal diversity gradients are therefore less impacted by local factors and reflect larger-scale changes in environmental conditions. For instance, decreasing water temperatures profoundly affect physiological performance of marine ectotherms (e.g., growth, reproduction and activity, muscle performance) and special adaptations are required to live in cold waters (Peck, 2016; Peck, 2018). Latitudinal biodiversity gradients in ectotherms have, therefore, been attributed to changes in water temperatures in subtidal habitats (Roy et al., 2000; Edgar et al., 2017). Our data run contrary to this and indicate that organisms inhabiting the intertidal do not conform to classic LDG patterns, or any of the correlated environmental drivers (e.g., water temperature). Instead, we demonstrate significant effects of local-scale variation in salinity, wave exposure, ice scour, and biogenic habitats (i.e., macroalgal canopy cover), indicating that  $\alpha$ diversity is determined by small-scale processes through biological interactions and overlapping environmental gradients. Indeed, the importance of microhabitats; biological interactions; and local physical parameters on intertidal community assemblage, density, and diversity has been studied extensively on rocky intertidal shores (Paine, 1974; Archambault and Bourget, 1996; Coleman et al., 2006; Scrosati and Heaven, 2007; Piazzi et al., 2018; Sejr et al., 2021), and high spatial variable in intertidal community coverage and structure between closely adjacent areas are well known (Underwood and Chapman, 1996; Benedetti-Cecchi and Cinelli, 1997; Sejr et al., 2021). For example, algal canopies provide food, predation refugium, and a moist understory environment where species sensitive to desiccation stress can survive during low tides (Dayton, 1975), thus the understory community may be rich and distinct from the surrounding open shoreline (Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2001; Bulleri et al., 2002; Watt and Scrosati, 2013). Canopies and surface topography also shelter organisms from extreme air temperatures, thereby increasing local coverage and diversity, and facilitating recolonization of the substrate by secondary species (Blanchard and Bourget, 1999; Watt and Scrosati, 2013; Ørberg et al., 2018). Moreover, wave exposure decreases diversity, especially at high latitude where floating ice is pushed on the shore by waves, amplifying the impacts of ice scour on exposed coastlines (Scrosati and Heaven, 2007). Even wave splashing and low water timing (i.e., low tides occurring in the tropics during the hottest time of the day are more harmful than low tides in the middle of the night [Helmuth et al., 2002]) have been shown to be important. All these factors interact and change in non-latitudinally related patterns, creating highly localized unique environmental conditions that, in combination, functionally override latitudinal stress or energy gradients. Thus, although the strength and direction of environmental stressors change across latitudes (i.e., from ice scour and extreme sub-zero temperature in polar regions to desiccation and acute heat stress in the tropics), the combined stress experienced by resident populations may roughly balance out across most latitudes and produce no clear gradient. In a recent study in South America,  $\alpha$ -diversity generally did not conform to latitudinal diversity gradient predictions for either the Atlantic or Pacific coast (Cruz-Motta et al., 2020), and we demonstrate similar patterns on a global scale. A conclusion from this research is that instead of focusing on large-scale drivers that implicitly assumed patterns and mechanisms are scale invariant, focus should be on how scales relevant to the organisms affect distribution patterns, as these factors outweigh latitudinal drivers of biodiversity. Notably, intertidal y-diversity, which may in addition be controlled by regional drivers (e.g., upwelling, ocean currents) may display different latitudinal patterns than described here (Cruz-Motta et al., 2020), but global y-diversity patterns remain to be explored in depth. In polar regions where glaciers or a persistent ice foot covers the intertidal, no communities are present (Dayton, 1990; Peck, 2018), and where the intertidal is characterized by a seasonal icefoot formation, colonization is only possible during spring and summer (Barnes, 1999), although in these areas it is possible that a few species might persist in highly saline brine pools in winter (Clarke and Beaumont, 2020). Any future reduction of glaciers, ice shelves, and ice foots in these regions will therefore permit organisms to colonize the intertidal zone (Wesławski et al., 2011; Kennicutt et al., 2014; Kennicutt et al., 2015; Kennicutt et al., 2019). This will result in increased biomass and  $\alpha$ -diversity as is evident from the high Arctic (Weslawski et al., 2010; Kortsch et al., 2012; Thyrring et al., 2021). Given that most studies fail to consider the aspects discussed above, and that data available from tropical and polar regions are sparse or absent, the relative impacts of multiple stressors ranging from local scale to large scale cannot be explored in depth for coastal biodiversity. However, our data show that latitudinal patterns in intertidal biodiversity differ significantly from subtidal studies. They also highlight the need for re-evaluating conservation efforts and climate change predictions for this unique global ecosystem.

#### Latitudinal gradients in functional groups

In contrast to overall  $\alpha$ -diversity, we identified strong latitudinal patterns in assemblage composition. The relative dominance of the four functional groups changed with latitude, with predators displaying the strongest gradient. In the northern hemisphere, the number of predators decline at latitudes above 60°N, supporting local-scale studies showing that although intertidal predators, such as crabs and starfish, live on mid-latitude intertidal shores (Paine, 1974; Jenkins et al., 2008), they are missing or rare on exposed Arctic shorelines (Thorson, 1934; Weslawski et al., 2010; Høgslund et al., 2014). A latitudinal reduction in the number of predators is consistent with the hypothesis of a general reduced level of predation in the polar regions (Aronson et al., 2007; Schemske et al., 2009; Peck, 2018), and similar latitudinal predation clines are found in subtidal benthic habitats (Taylor and Taylor, 1977; Harper and Peck, 2016). Several explanations have been proposed for this latitudinal decline, including the lack of durophagous predators in Antarctica, which has been attributed to the increased solubility of calcium carbonate ( $CaCO_3$ ) with latitude, increasing the cost of shell production (Vermeij, 1987; Watson et al., 2017). The power muscles generation is also strongly affected by temperature and has been proposed as another reason why durophages are absent in high polar regions (Aronson et al., 2007; Peck, 2018), but there is still no full consensus on the processes behind these patterns, and the mechanisms explaining predation gradients remain debated. Predators are important for organizing food webs and community composition. For example, the habitat-forming mussel Mytilus californianus expanded and excluded more than 25 species after removal of its main predator, the starfish Pisaster, in Western North America (Paine, 1974), and in the absence of predators, a tropical seagrass community can support 10 times more species than with predators present (Freestone et al., 2011). Thus, the low proportion of predators at high latitudes indicates that the importance of top-down biological interactions in assemblages decreases with latitude in marine ecosystems, and that species composition in polar regions may primarily be controlled by the physical environment (Barnes, 2002; Schemske et al., 2009; Høgslund et al., 2014; Peck, 2018). However, it should be noted that we only studied benthic intertidal predators collected during low tides. Therefore, we cannot estimate impacts of mobile predators (e.g., fish and birds) or the predation pressure from epibenthic species during submersion of the shoreline, which can be significant (Bertness et al., 1981; Ellis et al., 2007).

Algae formed the most abundant functional group at high latitudes, consisting of both encrusting species surviving in surface depressions, protected from ice scour and extreme temperatures, and larger macrophytes. Some macrophytes found in polar regions are able to vegetatively regenerate tissue after substantial ice damage to fronds and fastholds, and can survive extreme sub-zero air temperatures during emersion (*Kiirikki and Ruuskanen, 1996*). Indeed air temperature is the prime stressor in polar intertidal systems (*Peck et al., 2006; Thyrring et al., 2020*), and microhabitats created by macroalgal fronds are important on intertidal shores across latitudes as they provide protection that produces microclimates permitting survival during temperature extremes. The highest latitude shores contain fewer visually obvious species (*Waller, 2008*), but coralline algae and encrusting species are capable of surviving extreme temperatures in microhabitats, and can survive the winter underneath the intertidal ice foot, likely in protected air pockets or saline brine tidepools reached occasionally and/or tidally by water (*Thyrring et al., 2017; Clarke and Beaumont, 2020*).

In this study, grazers occurred across all latitudes. Grazing can have powerful effects on algal biomass and distribution at low- to mid-latitudes (*Jenkins et al., 2008; Hawkins et al., 2019*), and grazing is an important driver of microalgal assemblage structure on King George Island in Antarctica (*Zacher et al., 2007*). Contrary to this, no patellid limpet has been reported from south Greenland, suggesting grazing is of little importance in this sub-Arctic region (*Høgslund et al., 2014*). Canopyforming macroalgae are key structuring species at high latitudes (*Ørberg et al., 2018*), yet data on grazing impacts in polar intertidal habitats are currently too limited to make conclusions on the ecological implications. Indeed, more quantitative data are needed to fully understand the ecological implications of temporal and spatial changes in functional diversity, but overall our research demonstrates that small-scale local environmental factors dictate the biodiversity patterns observed and overwhelm any large-scale patterns investigated.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Data collection**

In 2019 we searched the literature using Web of Science, Google Scholar, and Scopus for publications devoted to rocky intertidal local species richness, community composition, assemblage composition or  $\alpha$ -diversity across all latitudes from the Antarctic to Arctic. Reports were considered valid when authors presented assemblage-wide data on ' $\alpha$ -diversity', 'richness', or 'number of species' in terms of species lists, tables, or graphs. Specifically, we excluded studies that focused exclusively on algae (e.g. *Konar et al., 2010; Gbedemah, 2017*), invertebrates (e.g. *Hartnoll, 1976; Mille-Pagaza et al., 2002*), or individual taxonomic groups. Full species lists were extracted whenever possible, and WebPlotDigitizer was used to extract graphic data (*Drevon et al., 2017*). Additional data were obtained from the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network (pacificrockyintertidal.org), the Alaska Ocean Observing System (aoos.org), and the South American Research Group on Coastal Ecosystems (SARCE) via the Ocean Biogeographic Information System (obis.org) website. The supplementary Appendix 1 – data sources provides a complete literature list and is available from the Zenodo repository (*Thyrring and Peck, 2021*).

In any of the sources used in this study to abstract data, the fraction of species sampled at a given site (inventory completeness) depends on the sampling effort by the collector and local conditions. There are statistical methods to minimize the influence of sampling biases, such as the jackknife estimator of species richness (*Smith and Pontius, 2006*), which has been developed to estimate regional  $\gamma$ -diversity from subsamples and abundance (*Cruz-Motta et al., 2010 Chao and Chiu, 2016*). However, we focused on  $\alpha$ -diversity obtained from published records, tables, and figures, and these data were often presented as a single value without supplementary information on subsamples or abundances. Thus, we were unable to verify and compare sampling efforts within sites, but instead we collected data from 433 sites to give very large spatial resolution. To further minimize sampling bias among sites, we only considered studies where all species were collected according to described proscribed set of methodologies.

We validated taxonomic status by checking all the names in the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS; http://www.marinespecies.org). Whenever species lists were available, we allocated species to functional groups (*Figure 1*). Species were allocated to one of four functional groups based on food acquisition; algae (all primary producers), grazers (including scrapers), predators (including scavengers), and suspension feeders. Finally, distribution of algae, grazers, predators, and suspension feeders were analyzed and presented as the relative percentage .

#### **Statistical analysis**

The full dataset included latitude, longitude, country, and five latitudinal-scale oceanographic drivers (chlorophyll *a*, nitrate, phosphate, sea surface temperature, and silicate) available from NASA Earth Observations (NEO; https://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov) and US National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) World Ocean Atlas (https://www.nodc.noaa.gov) as 1° latitudinal  $\times$  1° longitudinal cells. For each of the 433  $\alpha$ -diversity sites, we extracted values of chlorophyll *a*, nitrate, phosphate, sea surface temperature, and silicate from the 1° cell (latitudinal  $\times$  longitude) in which the site was found. If data was unavailable from the specific cell, we used the value from the nearest available cell within the same latitudinal band (data was unavailable from the specific 1° cell for 5% of the sites).

Relationships between co-variates were assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficients (*Zuur et al., 2010*). This revealed collinearity between nitrate and phosphate (Pearson r = 0.94), silicate and temperature (Pearson r = 0.73), and oxygen and temperature (Pearson r = 0.99). Based on the correlation coefficients and the variance inflation factor (VIF; threshold <10) we excluded nitrate, oxygen, and silicate from models to avoid inference from correlation between covariates (*Montgomery and Peck, 1992; Zuur et al., 2010*) The final models therefore included latitude, chlorophyll *a*, sea surface temperature, and phosphate. Latitudinal  $\alpha$ -diversity patterns in the northern and southern hemispheres were analyzed using R (*R Development Core Team, 2019*). Initial data

exploration revealed a non-linear residual pattern in the northern hemisphere, and we therefore analyzed this data using a GAMM with a negative binomial distribution ( $\theta = 9$ ; Link function = log) from the gamm4 R package (**Wood and Scheipl, 2020**). The smoother for latitude was fitted with a cubic spline regression (cs), and the optimal amount of smoothing was selected using cross-validation (**Zuur et al., 2014**). The southern hemisphere  $\alpha$ -diversity pattern was analyzed using a GLMM with a negative binomial distribution ( $\theta = 15.58$ ; Link function = log). In both models, negative binomial distributions were fitted to ensure acceptable residual patterns and avoid over-dispersion. We included the factor 'country' as a random intercept. The final models were validated by plotting residuals versus fitted values, versus each covariate in the model, and versus each covariate not in the model (**Zuur et al., 2014**; **Zuur and leno, 2016**). Bubble plots, and variograms from the R package gstat (**Gräler et al., 2016**), were used to inspect model residuals for spatial correlations, and showed no indication of spatial autocorrelation in either model.

To investigate the general influence of local environmental stressors (stressors relevant to species at site-level), data on four local-scale environmental drivers (ice scour, macroalgal cover, salinity, and wave exposure) were extracted from the published literature (see Materials and method, described above) when available through text, tables, or direct author correspondence. We focused on 'macroalgal cover' of canopy-forming algae (i.e., non-canopy algae were excluded from this dataset) because canopies create understory living space and a predation refugia that increase  $\alpha$ -diversity (Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2001; Bulleri et al., 2002; Watt and Scrosati, 2013). This data extraction resulted in four individual datasets, which were analyzed separately as most papers only investigated one of the oceanographic drivers; effects of salinity and wave exposure were separately analyzed using fitted standardized input variables (scale from 0 to 1) to estimate effect sizes of data originating from different scales, depending on the literature source (Schielzeth, 2010). We analyzed salinity effects using a generalized linear model (GLM) with a Poisson distribution, while ice scour and macroalgal cover effects were analyzed using a GLM with a negative binomial distribution because  $\alpha$ diversity was characterized by over-dispersion (determined after visual inspection of residual patterns), but showed no zero-inflation (Hilbe, 2011). Wave exposure effects were initially analyzed using a GLM, but model residuals showed a non-linear pattern, and the data were re-analyzed using a generalized additive model (GAM) with a negative binomial distribution to ensure acceptable residual patterns (Zuur, 2012). All models were finally validated by inspection of standardized residual patterns plotted against fitted values. Effect sizes for GLM models were estimated using biascorrected parametric bootstrap methods (10,000 iterations) (Zuur and leno, 2016), and the effect of the variable was considered significant when 95% CI did not overlap zero.

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank Brenda Konar, Takashi Noda, Tan Koh Siang, Robin Elahi, Valdivia Nelson, and Megan Dethier for providing intertidal data. We gratefully acknowledge the Valdivia Nelson's projects FONDECYT (grant #1141037) and CONICYT-PIA (ANILLO; grant #ART1101) for providing data from Chile and Antarctic, respectively. This study further utilized data collected by the South American Research Group on Coastal Ecosystems (SARCE) sponsored by TOTAL foundation and data collected by the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network (MARINe): a long-term ecological consortium funded and supported by many groups. Please visit pacificrockyintertidal.org for a complete list of the MARINe partners responsible for monitoring and funding these data. Data management has been primarily supported by BOEM (Bureau of Ocean Energy Management), NPS (National Parks Service), The David and Lucile Packard Foundation, and United States Navy. This work is a contribution to 'The future of Arctic biodiversity in a climate change era' project.

# **Additional information**

#### Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Danmarks Frie Forskningsfond	7027-00060B	Jakob Thyrring
Natural Environment Research Council		Lloyd S Peck

Jakob Thyrring

Ecology

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### **Author contributions**

Jakob Thyrring, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing review and editing; Lloyd S Peck, Conceptualization, Supervision, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - review and editing

#### Author ORCIDs

Jakob Thyrring D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1029-3105

#### **Decision letter and Author response**

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64541.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64541.sa2

# **Additional files**

#### Supplementary files

- Supplementary file 1. Latitudinal distribution of the intertidal study sites.
- Transparent reporting form

#### Data availability

Data used in this study are freely available from the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network (MARINe; pacificrockyintertidal.org), the Ocean Biogeographic Information System (OBIS; obis.org) and the Alaska Ocean Observing System (AOOS; https://gulf-of-alaska.portal.aoos.org). Publications obtained from the literature search can be found on the Zenodo data repository (Thyrring & Peck, 2021).

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Thyrring J, Peck LS	2021	Literature and data for "Global gradients in intertidal species richness and functional groups"	https://zenodo.org/re- cord/3942062#.YFRg9a- wmUk	Zenodo, 10.5281/ zenodo.3942061

The following previously published dataset was used:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Miloslavich P, Cruz- Motta JJ, Klein E, Caputo M, Castro EG, Herrera C, Hernández A, Carranza A, Gobin J, Romero L, Navarrete S, Sepúlveda R, Macaya E, Londoño-Cruz E, Mora C, da Rocha R, Lotufo T, Krull M, Nunes JLS, Barros F, Pellizari F, Flores A, Gutiérrez J, Bigatti G,	2019	Building a marine biodiversity observation network: an example from South America.	https://obis.org/dataset/ 81db945e-d319-4237- b49e-cd3e78937393	Ocean Biodiversity Information System, sarce_rockyshores

#### Palomo G

#### References

- Archambault P, Bourget E. 1996. Scales of coastal heterogeneity and benthic intertidal species richness, diversity and abundance. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **136**:111–121. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps136111
- Aronson RB, Thatje S, Clarke A, Peck LS, Blake DB, Wilga CD, Seibel BA. 2007. Climate change and invasibility of the antarctic benthos. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 38:129–154. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095525
- Barnes DKA. 1999. The influence of ice on polar nearshore benthos. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom **79**:401–407. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315498000514
- Barnes DKA. 2002. Polarization of competition increases with latitude. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 269:2061–2069. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2105
- Benedetti-Cecchi L, Pannacciulli F, Bulleri F, Moschella PS, Airoldi L, Relini G, Cinelli F. 2001. Predicting the consequences of anthropogenic disturbance: large-scale effects of loss of canopy algae on rocky shores. Marine Ecology Progress Series 214:137–150. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps214137
- Benedetti-Cecchi L, Cinelli F. 1997. Spatial distribution of algae and invertebrates in the rocky intertidal zone of the strait of magellan: are patterns general? *Polar Biology* 18:337–343. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s003000050197
- Bertness MD, Garrity SD, Levings SC. 1981. Predation pressure and gastropod foraging: a tropical-temperate comparison. *Evolution* **35**:995–1007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1981.tb04965.x
- Blanchard D, Bourget E. 1999. Scales of coastal heterogeneity:influence on intertidal community structure. Marine Ecology Progress Series **179**:163–173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps179163
- Blanchette CA, Melissa Miner C, Raimondi PT, Lohse D, Heady KEK, Broitman BR. 2008. Biogeographical patterns of rocky intertidal communities along the Pacific coast of north america. *Journal of Biogeography* **35**: 1593–1607. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.01913.x

Bulleri F, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Acunto S, Cinelli F, Hawkins SJ. 2002. The influence of canopy algae on vertical patterns of distribution of low-shore assemblages on rocky coasts in the northwest mediterranean. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **267**:89–106. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(01)00361-6

Chao A, Chiu C-H. 2016. Nonparametric Estimation and Comparison of Species Richness. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902.a0026329

Chaudhary C, Saeedi H, Costello MJ. 2016. Bimodality of latitudinal gradients in marine species richness. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **31**:670–676. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.06.001, PMID: 27372733

Chelazzi G, Vannini M. 1980. Zonation of intertidal molluscs on rocky shores of southern Somalia. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science* **10**:569–583. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0302-3524(80)80076-4

- Clarke A, Beaumont JC. 2020. An extreme marine environment: a 14-month record of temperature in a polar tidepool. *Polar Biology* **43**:2021–2030. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02762-8
- Clarke A, Gaston KJ. 2006. Climate, energy and diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**:2257–2266. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3545
- Coleman RA, Underwood AJ, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Aberg P, Arenas F, Arrontes J, Castro J, Hartnoll RG, Jenkins SR, Paula J, Della Santina P, Hawkins SJ. 2006. A continental scale evaluation of the role of limpet grazing on rocky shores. *Oecologia* 147:556–564. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0296-9, PMID: 16450182
- Cruz-Motta JJ, Miloslavich P, Palomo G, Iken K, Konar B, Pohle G, Trott T, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Herrera C, Hernández A, Sardi A, Bueno A, Castillo J, Klein E, Guerra-Castro E, Gobin J, Gómez DI, Riosmena-Rodríguez R, Mead A, Bigatti G, et al. 2010. Patterns of spatial variation of assemblages associated with intertidal rocky shores: a global perspective. PLOS ONE 5:e14354. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014354, PMID: 21179546
- Cruz-Motta JJ, Miloslavich P, Guerra-Castro E, Hernández-Agreda A, Herrera C, Barros F, Navarrete SA, Sepúlveda RD, Glasby TM, Bigatti G, Cardenas-Calle M, Carneiro PBM, Carranza A, Flores AAV, Gil-Kodaka P, Gobin J, Gutiérrez JL, Klein E, Krull M, Lazarus JF, et al. 2020. Latitudinal patterns of species diversity on south american rocky shores: local processes lead to contrasting trends in regional and local species diversity. *Journal* of Biogeography 47:1966–1979. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13869

**Darwin CR**. 1859. The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. John Murray.

Dayton PK. 1975. Experimental evaluation of ecological dominace in a rocky intertidal algal community. *Ecological Monographs* 1:137–159. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/1942404

Dayton PK. 1990. Polar benthos. In: Smith W (Ed). Polar Oceanography. Academic Press. p. 631-685.

**De Broyer C**, Koubbi P, Griffiths HJ, Raymond B, Udekem d'Acoz C, Van de Putte AP, Danis B. 2014. Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H. J, Raymond B, Udekem d'Acoz C, Van de Putte AP, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Ropert-

Coudert Y (Eds). Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research. International Science Council. p. 3–7. **Drevon D**, Fursa SR, Malcolm AL. 2017. Intercoder reliability and validity of WebPlotDigitizer in extracting graphed data. Behavior Modification **41**:323–339. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445516673998,

PMID: 27760807

- Edgar GJ, Alexander TJ, Lefcheck JS, Bates AE, Kininmonth SJ, Thomson RJ, Duffy JE, Costello MJ, Stuart-Smith RD. 2017. Abundance and local-scale processes contribute to multi-phyla gradients in global marine diversity. *Science Advances* **3**:e1700419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700419, PMID: 29057321
- Ellis JC, Shulman MJ, Wood M, Witman JD, Lozyniak S. 2007. Regulation of intertidal food webs by avian predators on new England rocky shores. *Ecology* **88**:853–863. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1890/06-0593, PMID: 17536702
- Fenberg PB, Rivadeneira MM. 2019. On the importance of habitat continuity for delimiting biogeographic regions and shaping richness gradients. *Ecology Letters* 22:664–673. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13228, PMID: 30734458
- Flores-Rodríguez P, Flores-Garza R, García-Ibáñez S, Torreblanca-Ramírez C, Galeana-Rebolledo L, Santiago-Cortes E. 2014. Mollusks of the rocky intertidal zone at three sites in Oaxaca, Mexico. *Open Journal of Marine Science* 04:326–337. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4236/ojms.2014.44029
- Freestone AL, Osman RW, Ruiz GM, Torchin ME. 2011. Stronger predation in the tropics shapes species richness patterns in marine communities. *Ecology* **92**:983–993. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1890/09-2379.1, PMID: 2166155 9
- Gbedemah ST. 2017. Current patterns in intertidal macro-algal diversity and zonation of two sites on Ghana's coast. Journal of Oceanography and Marine Research 5:159. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4172/2572-3103.1000159
- Gili JM, Coma R. 1998. Benthic suspension feeders: their paramount role in littoral marine food webs. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **13**:316–321. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01365-2, PMID: 21238320
- Grady JM, Maitner BS, Winter AS, Kaschner K, Tittensor DP, Record S, Smith FA, Wilson AM, Dell AI, Zarnetske PL, Wearing HJ, Alfaro B, Brown JH. 2019. Metabolic asymmetry and the global diversity of marine predators. *Science* **363**:eaat4220. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat4220, PMID: 30679341
- Gräler B, Pebesma E, Heuvelink G. 2016. Spatio-Temporal interpolation using gstat. The R Journal 8:204–218. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2016-014
- Griffiths HJ, Waller CL. 2016. The first comprehensive description of the biodiversity and biogeography of antarctic and sub-antarctic intertidal communities. *Journal of Biogeography* **43**:1143–1155. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12708
- Harper EM, Peck LS. 2016. Latitudinal and depth gradients in marine predation pressure. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **25**:670–678. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12444
- Hartnoll RG. 1976. The ecology of some rocky shores in tropical east africa. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science 4:1–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0302-3524(76)90002-5
- Hawkins SJ, Pack KE, Firth LB, Mieszkowska N, Evans AJ, Martins GM, Åberg P, Adams LC, Arenas F, Boaventura DM, Bohn K, Borges CDG, Castro JJ, Coleman RA, Crowe TP, Cruz T, Davies MS, Epstein G, Faria J, Ferreira JG, et al. 2019. The Intertidal Zone of the North-East Atlantic Region. In: Hawkins S. J (Ed). Interactions in the Marine Benthos. Cambridge University Press. p. 7–46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/ 9781108235792.003
- Helmuth B, Harley CD, Halpin PM, O'Donnell M, Hofmann GE, Blanchette CA. 2002. Climate change and latitudinal patterns of intertidal thermal stress. *Science* 298:1015–1017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1076814, PMID: 12411702
- Helmuth B, Broitman BR, Blanchette CA, Gilman S, Halpin P, Harley CDG, O'Donnell MJ, Hofmann GE, Menge B, Strickland D. 2006. Mosaic patterns of thermal stress in the rocky intertidal zone: implications for climate change. *Ecological Monographs* 76:461–479. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(2006)076[0461:MPOTSI] 2.0.CO;2
- Hendrickx ME, Salgado-Barragán J, Cordero-Ruiz M. 2019. Moluscos litorales (Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Polyplacophora, cephalopoda) de playas rocosas de la región de Guaymas, golfo de california, méxico = littoral mollusks (Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Polyplacophora, cephalopoda) from rocky beaches in the area of Guaymas, gulf of California, Mexico. Geomare Zoológica 1:51–88.
- Hilbe JM. 2011. Negative Binomial Regression. Cambridge University Press . DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/ CBO9780511811852
- Hillebrand H. 2004. On the generality of the latitudinal diversity gradient. The American Naturalist 163:192–211. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/381004, PMID: 14970922
- Høgslund S, Sejr MK, Wiktor J, Blicher ME, Wegeberg S. 2014. Intertidal community composition along rocky shores in South-west Greenland: a quantitative approach. *Polar Biology* 37:1549–1561. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00300-014-1541-7
- Iken K, Konar B, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Cruz-Motta JJ, Knowlton A, Pohle G, Mead A, Miloslavich P, Wong M, Trott T, Mieszkowska N, Riosmena-Rodriguez R, Airoldi L, Kimani E, Shirayama Y, Fraschetti S, Ortiz-Touzet M, Silva A. 2010. Large-scale spatial distribution patterns of echinoderms in nearshore rocky habitats. *PLOS ONE* 5: e13845. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013845, PMID: 21079760
- Jenkins SR, Moore P, Burrows MT, Garbary DJ, Hawkins SJ, Ingólfsson A, Sebens KP, Snelgrove PV, Wethey DS, Woodin SA. 2008. Comparative ecology of north Atlantic Shores: do differences in players matter for process? *Ecology* **89**:S3–S23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1155.1, PMID: 19097481
- Jurgens LJ, Gaylord B. 2018. Physical effects of habitat-forming species override latitudinal trends in temperature. *Ecology Letters* **21**:190–196. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12881, PMID: 29164789
- Keith SA, Kerswell AP, Connolly SR. 2014. Global diversity of marine macroalgae: environmental conditions explain less variation in the tropics. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 23:517–529. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/geb.12132

- Kennicutt MC, Chown SL, Cassano JJ, Liggett D, Massom R, Peck LS, Rintoul SR, Storey JW, Vaughan DG, Wilson TJ, Sutherland WJ. 2014. Polar research: six priorities for antarctic science. *Nature* **512**:23–25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/512023a, PMID: 25100467
- Kennicutt MC, Chown SL, Cassano JJ, Liggett D, Peck LS, Massom R, Rintoul SR, Storey J, Vaughan DG, Wilson TJ, Allison I, Ayton J, Badhe R, Baeseman J, Barrett PJ, Bell RE, Bertler N, Bo S, Brandt A, Bromwich D, et al. 2015. A roadmap for antarctic and southern ocean science for the next two decades and beyond. Antarctic Science 27:3–18. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102014000674
- Kennicutt MC, Bromwich D, Liggett D, Njåstad B, Peck L, Rintoul SR, Ritz C, Siegert MJ, Aitken A, Brooks CM, Cassano J, Chaturvedi S, Chen D, Dodds K, Golledge NR, Le Bohec C, Leppe M, Murray A, Nath PC, Raphael MN, et al. 2019. Sustained antarctic research: a 21st century imperative. One Earth 1:95–113. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.oneear.2019.08.014
- Kiirikki M, Ruuskanen A. 1996. How does Fucus vesiculosus survive ice scraping? Botanica Marina **39**:133–139. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1996.39.1-6.133
- Kinlock NL, Prowant L, Herstoff EM, Foley CM, Akin-Fajiye M, Bender N, Umarani M, Ryu HY, Şen B, Gurevitch J. 2018. Explaining global variation in the latitudinal diversity gradient: meta-analysis confirms known patterns and uncovers new ones. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 27:125–141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/geb. 12665
- Konar B, Iken K, Cruz-Motta JJ, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Knowlton A, Pohle G, Miloslavich P, Edwards M, Trott T, Kimani E, Riosmena-Rodriguez R, Wong M, Jenkins S, Silva A, Pinto IS, Shirayama Y. 2010. Current patterns of macroalgal diversity and biomass in northern hemisphere rocky shores. PLOS ONE 5:e13195. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013195, PMID: 20949030
- Kortsch S, Primicerio R, Beuchel F, Renaud PE, Rodrigues J, Lønne OJ, Gulliksen B. 2012. Climate-driven regime shifts in arctic marine benthos. PNAS 109:14052–14057. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1207509109, PMID: 22891319
- Krause-Jensen D, Marbà N, Sanz-Martin M, Hendriks IE, Thyrring J, Carstensen J, Sejr MK, Duarte CM. 2016. Long photoperiods sustain high pH in arctic kelp forests. *Science Advances* 2:e1501938. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.1501938, PMID: 27990490
- Lantuit H, Overduin PP, Couture N, Wetterich S, Aré F, Atkinson D, Brown J, Cherkashov G, Drozdov D, Forbes DL, Graves-Gaylord A, Grigoriev M, Hubberten H-W, Jordan J, Jorgenson T, Ødegård RS, Ogorodov S, Pollard WH, Rachold V, Sedenko S, et al. 2012. The arctic coastal dynamics database: a new classification scheme and statistics on arctic permafrost coastlines. *Estuaries and Coasts* 35:383–400. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-010-9362-6
- **Lomolino MV**. 2004. Conservation biogeography. In: Lomolino M. V, Heaney L. R (Eds). *Frontiers of Biogeography: New Directions of the Geography of Nature. Sinauer Associates, Inc. p. 293–296.*
- Lourenço CR, Nicastro KR, McQuaid CD, Krug LA, Zardi GI. 2020. Strong upwelling conditions drive differences in species abundance and community composition along the Atlantic coasts of Morocco and western sahara. *Marine Biodiversity* **50**:1–18. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-019-01032-z
- Menegotto A, Rangel TF. 2018. Mapping knowledge gaps in marine diversity reveals a latitudinal gradient of missing species richness. Nature Communications 9:4713. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07217-7, PMID: 30413710
- Mille-Pagaza S, Carrillo-Laguna J, Pérez-Chi A, Sánchez-Salazar ME. 2002. Abundancia y diversidad de los invertebrados litorales de isla socorro, archipielago revillagigedo, méxico. Revista De Biologia Tropical 50:97– 105.
- Miller KA, Pearse JS. 1991. Ecological studies of seaweeds in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. American Zoologist **31**:35–48.
- Miloslavich P, Cruz-Motta JJ, Klein E, Iken K, Weinberger V, Konar B, Trott T, Pohle G, Bigatti G, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Shirayama Y, Mead A, Palomo G, Ortiz M, Gobin J, Sardi A, Díaz JM, Knowlton A, Wong M, Peralta AC. 2013. Large-scale spatial distribution patterns of gastropod assemblages in Rocky Shores. *PLOS ONE* 8: e71396. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071396, PMID: 23967204
- Montgomery DC, Peck EA. 1992. Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis. Wiley-Interscience.
- Murray NJ, Phinn SR, DeWitt M, Ferrari R, Johnston R, Lyons MB, Clinton N, Thau D, Fuller RA. 2019. The global distribution and trajectory of tidal flats. Nature 565:222–225. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0805-8, PMID: 30568300
- Mystikou A, Peters AF, Asensi AO, Fletcher KI, Brickle P, van West P, Convey P, Küpper FC. 2014. Seaweed biodiversity in the south-western antarctic peninsula: surveying macroalgal community composition in the Adelaide Island/Marguerite Bay Region over a 35-year time span. *Polar Biology* **37**:1607–1619. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-014-1547-1
- Ørberg SB, Krause-Jensen D, Mouritsen KN, Olesen B, Marbà N, Larsen MH, Blicher ME, Sejr MK. 2018. Canopy-Forming macroalgae facilitate recolonization of Sub-Arctic intertidal fauna and reduce temperature extremes. Frontiers in Marine Science 5:332. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00332
- Paine RT. 1974. Intertidal community structure : experimental studies on the relationship between a dominant competitor and its principal predator. *Oecologia* 15:93–120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00345739, PMID: 28308255
- Peck LS, Convey P, Barnes DK. 2006. Environmental constraints on life histories in antarctic ecosystems: tempos, timings and predictability. *Biological Reviews* 81:75–109. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006871, PMID: 16293196

- Peck LS. 2016. A cold limit to adaptation in the sea. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **31**:13–26. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.09.014, PMID: 26552514
- Peck LS. 2018. Antarctic marine biodiversity: adaptations, environments and responses to change. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 56:2–133. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1201/ 9780429454455-3
- Piazzi L, Bonaviri C, Castelli A, Ceccherelli G, Costa G, Curini-Galletti M, Langeneck J, Manconi R, Montefalcone M, Pipitone C, Rosso A, Pinna S. 2018. Biodiversity in canopy-forming algae: structure and spatial variability of the mediterranean Cystoseira assemblages. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 207:132–141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2018.04.001
- **Powell MG**, Beresford VP, Colaianne BA. 2012. The latitudinal position of peak marine diversity in living and fossil biotas. *Journal of Biogeography* **39**:1687–1694. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2012.02719.x
- Qian H, Ricklefs RE. 2007. A latitudinal gradient in large-scale beta diversity for vascular plants in North America. Ecology Letters 10:737–744. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01066.x, PMID: 17594429
- R Development Core Team. 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria, R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.r-project.org
- Rivadeneira MM, Fernández M, Navarrete SA. 2002. Latitudinal trends of species diversity in rocky intertidal herbivore assemblages: spatial scale and the relationship between local and regional species richness. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 245:123–131. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps245123
- **Rivadeneira MM**, Alballay AH, Villafaña JA, Raimondi PT, Blanchette CA, Fenberg PB. 2015. Geographic patterns of diversification and the latitudinal gradient of richness of rocky intertidal gastropods: the 'into the tropical museum' hypothesis. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **24**:1149–1158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12328
- Roy K, Jablonski D, Valentine JW. 1994. Eastern pacific molluscan provinces and latitudinal diversity gradient: no evidence for "Rapoport's rule". PNAS 91:8871–8874. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.19.8871, PMID: 11607494
- Roy K, Jablonski D, Valentine JW. 2000. Dissecting latitudinal diversity gradients: functional groups and clades of marine bivalves. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 267:293–299. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2000.0999
- Schemske DW, Mittelbach GG, Cornell HV, Sobel JM, Roy K. 2009. Is there a latitudinal gradient in the importance of biotic interactions? Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 40:245–269. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173430
- Schielzeth H. 2010. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. *Methods in Ecology* and Evolution **1**:103–113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00012.x
- Scrosati R, Heaven C. 2007. Spatial trends in community richness, diversity, and evenness across rocky intertidal environmental stress gradients in eastern canada. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **342**:1–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps342001
- Sejr MK, Mouritsen KN, Krause-Jensen D, Olesen B, Blicher ME, Thyrring J. 2021. Small scale factors modify impacts of temperature, ice scour and waves and drive rocky intertidal community structure in a Greenland fjord. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **7**:607135. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.607135
- Smith CD, Pontius JS. 2006. Jackknife estimator of species richness with S-PLUS. *Journal of Statistical Software* **15**:1–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v015.i03
- Stanley SM. 2008. Predation defeats competition on the seafloor. Paleobiology 34:1–21. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1666/07026.1
- Taylor JD, Taylor CN. 1977. Latitudinal distribution of predatory gastropods on the eastern Atlantic shelf. *Journal of Biogeography* **4**:73–81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/3038130
- Thorson G. 1934. Contributions to the animal ecology of the scoresby sound fjord complex (East Greenland). Meddelelser Om Grønland 100:67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.16.423020
- Thyrring J, Blicher ME, Sørensen JG, Wegeberg S, Sejr MK. 2017. Rising air temperatures will increase intertidal mussel abundance in the arctic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **584**:91–104. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12369
- Thyrring J, Tremblay R, Sejr MK. 2020. Local cold adaption increases the thermal window of temperate mussels in the arctic. *Conservation Physiology* **7**:coz098. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coz098
- Thyrring J, Wegeberg S, Blicher ME, Krause-Jensen D, Høgslund S, Olesen B, Wiktor Jr. J, Mouritsen KN, Peck LS, Sejr MK. 2021. Latitudinal patterns in intertidal ecosystem structure in west Greenland suggest resilience to climate change. *Ecography*. In press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05381
- Thyrring J, Peck LS. 2021. Literature and data for "Global gradients in intertidal species richness and functional groups" (Version 1) [Data set]. Zenodo. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3942062
- Underwood AJ, Chapman MG. 1996. Scales of spatial patterns of distribution of intertidal invertebrates. Oecologia 107:212–224. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00327905, PMID: 28307307
- Vause BJ, Morley SA, Fonseca VG, Jażdżewska A, Ashton GV, Barnes DKA, Giebner H, Clark MS, Peck LS. 2019. Spatial and temporal dynamics of antarctic shallow soft-bottom benthic communities: ecological drivers under climate change. BMC Ecology 19:27. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-019-0244-x, PMID: 31262299
- Vermeij GJ. 1987. Evolution and Escalation: An Ecological History of Life. Princeton University Press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1988.1040369.x

Wallace AR. 1878. Tropical Nature and Other Essays. Macmillan Publishers Limited.

Waller CL. 2008. Variability in intertidal communities along a latitudinal gradient in the southern ocean. *Polar Biology* **31**:809–816. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-008-0419-y

- Watson SA, Morley SA, Peck LS. 2017. Latitudinal trends in shell production cost from the tropics to the poles. *Science Advances* **3**:e1701362. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701362, PMID: 28948224
- Watt CA, Scrosati RA. 2013. Regional consistency of intertidal elevation as a mediator of seaweed canopy effects on benthic species richness, diversity, and composition. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 491:91–99. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10521
- Weslawski JM, Wiktor J, Kotwicki L. 2010. Increase in biodiversity in the arctic rocky littoral, Sorkappland, Svalbard, after 20 years of climate warming. *Marine Biodiversity* **40**:123–130. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0038-z
- Wesławski JM, Kendall MA, Włodarska-Kowalczuk M, Iken K, Kędra M, Legezynska J, Sejr MK. 2011. Climate change effects on arctic fjord and coastal macrobenthic diversity—observations and predictions. *Marine Biodiversity* 41:71–85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0073-9
- Willig MR, Kaufman DM, Stevens RD. 2003. Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity: pattern, process, scale, and synthesis. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics **34**:273–309. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.012103.144032
- Wood S, Scheipl F. 2020. Gamm4: Generalized Additive Mixed Models Using "Mgcv" and "Lme4". R package version 0.2-6.https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gamm4
- Zacher K, Hanelt D, Wiencke C, Wulff A. 2007. Grazing and UV radiation effects on an Antarctic intertidal microalgal assemblage: a long-term field study. *Polar Biology* **30**:1203–1212. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-007-0278-y
- Zuur AF, leno EN, Elphick CS. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1:3–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x
- **Zuur AF**. 2012. A Beginner's Guide to Generalized Additive Models with R. Highland Statistics Ltd . **Zuur AF**, Saveliev AA, Ieno EN. 2014. A Beginner's Guide to Generalised Additive Mixed Models with R.
  - Highland Statistics Ltd.
- Zuur AF, leno EN. 2016. A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type analyses. *Methods* in Ecology and Evolution **7**:636–645. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12577