1	A new trochoidean gastropod (Vetigastropoda: Skeneidae) discovered
2	from deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the Southern Ocean
3	
4	Chong Chen ^{1*} , Katrin Linse ²
5	
6	¹ Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), 2–15 Natsushima,
7	Yokosuka, Kanagawa, 237–0061, Japan
8 9	² British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Cambridge CB3 0ET, United Kingdom
10	* Corresponding author: cchen@iamstec.go.ip : ORCID: 0000-0002-5035-4021
11	
12	Abstract
13	
14	Hydrothermal vents at the East Scotia Ridge (ESR) were the first vents to be visually
15	confirmed and surveyed in the Southern Ocean. A trochoid snail was recovered from
16	low diffuse flow venting sites of both E2 and E9 segments of the ESR. Taxonomic and
17	systematic investigations revealed it to be a species hitherto unknown to science in the
18	skeneid genus Bruceiella, which is apparently endemic to the chemosynthetic
19	ecosystems. The new species is characterised by a large size for the genus (up to 5 mm
20	shell width), a very broad central tooth with moderately raised horizontal basal ridge,
21	and inner marginal teeth with one single prominent protrusion on the shaft, and is
22	described herein as Bruceiella indurata sp. nov. Described members of the genus are
23	known from the Indian and Pacific oceans, drawing interest to the biogeographic origins
24	of the present new species. Closest relative of the present species is Bruceiella wareni
25	Okutani, Hashimoto & Sasaki, 2004 from Kairei vent field, Central Indian Ridge,
26	further indicating the close relationship between East Scotia Ridge and Indian Ocean
27	vent fauna as has been previously suggested.
28	K ×
29	Keywords: Antarctica, Bruceiella, East Scotia Ridge, Mollusc, New species,
30	Trochoidea
31	This article is registered in ZooBank under: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:D93169F6-
32	9365-4B91-A96D-B5D11B519022
33	Bruceiella indurata sp. nov. is registered in ZooBank under:
34	urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:3E25E398-B9A0-4A04-942D-4E1656756FDA
35	Running Title: New Antarctic vent snail

37 Introduction

38

39 Deep-sea hydrothermal vents host a great abundance of benthic animals thriving under 40 great pressure and near extreme temperatures (Corliss et al. 1979; Van Dover 2000; 41 Vrijenhoek 2013). These animals survive on the basis of chemosynthetic primary production of microbes, deriving energy from oxidation of compounds such as 4243hydrogen sulfide and methane, richly contained within the vent end-member fluid (Cavanaugh et al. 1981; Felbeck 1981). Many vent fauna are endemic to vent 44 45ecosystems (Martin and Haney 2005), Wolff (2005) surveyed the distribution records of 46species recorded from hydrothermal vents and out of 712 recorded species and over 70% of species were apparently endemic to vents. Gastropod molluscs are a major 47composition of such vent benthic animals and so far (Warén and Bouchet 1993; Warén 48and Bouchet 2001; Sasaki et al. 2010), with more than 218 species in 101 genera 4950described, of which 138 species are limited to vents (Sasaki et al. 2010). 5152The first black smoking hydrothermal vents in the Southern Ocean, located in segments E2 and E9 of the East Scotia Ridge (ESR), were explored between 2009 and 2012 by 53the ChEsSo (Chemosynthetically driven ecosystems south of the Polar Front: 54Biogeography and Ecology) Consortium project (Rogers et al. 2012). The faunal 5556community of these vent fields was significantly different from all globally known vents and a new biogeographic vent province declared (Rogers et al. 2012). The ESR vents 57hosted many species new to science, many of which have already been formally 5859described (Rogers et al. 2012; Buckeridge et al. 2013; Marsh et al. 2012; Roterman et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015; Mah et al. 2015; Thatje et al. 2015, Linse et al. 2019a, 60 612019b). A small coiled snail collected from both E2 and E9 vents, in diffuse flow areas, 62 was revealed by follow-up investigations to be a hitherto undescribed skeneid gastropod species of Bruceiella. 63

64

Skeneidae is a family of generally small (<1 cm shell diameter) vetigastropods in the
superfamily Trochoidea (Sasaki et al. 2010; Williams 2012). It has been treated as its
own family, but a series of anatomical and molecular investigations revealed that

- 68 species traditionally classified in Skeneidae were a mixture of various small, featureless
- 69 trochoids, neomphalines, caenogastropods, and even heterobranchs (Heß et al. 2008;
- 70 Kano 2008; Sasaki et al. 2010). This realisation led to a reclassification of many species
- and genera mostly based on molecular data, with a number of genera considered to be

72 'true skeneids' including the type genus *Skenea* moved under family Turbinidae, as

- subfamily Skeneinae (Kano 2008; Williams et al. 2008). A further recent molecular
- study has, however, revealed that 'true skeneids' in fact form a separate clade outside
- and sister to Turbinidae, and thus Skeneidae was resurrected as a valid family (Williams
- 76 2012). At present the genera *Skenea*, *Bruceiella*, *Cirsonella*, *Dillwynella*, *Dikoleps*,
- *Lissospira*, *Protolira* and *Skeneoides* are confirmed as skeneids by molecular analysis
- (Williams 2012) with another 25 genera accepted in the World Register of Marine
- 79 Species. To date, only two skeneid species, *Cirsonella extrema* Thiele, 1912 and
- 80 Liotella endeavourensis Dell, 1990 are known from the Southern Ocean (Bouchet and
- 81 Rosenberg 2015).
- 82

83 The genus Bruceiella is currently considered to be a representative of such true skeneids, although some have questioned in the past that it may require a new family of 84 its own (Warén and Bouchet 1993). The type species Bruceiella globulus Warén & 85 86 Bouchet, 1993 first described from the North Fuji and Lau Basins in 1750 – 2000 m depth. Then two apparently closely related species were discovered from a whale fall 87 88 off New Zealand and placed in the same genus (Marshall 1994). These are B. laevigata Marshall, 1994 from 1242 m deep off Chatham Islands and B. pruinosa Marshall, 1994 89 from the Challenger Plateau, 908 – 912 m deep. Later another species, *B. athlia* Warén 90 & Bouchet, 2001, was recovered from a hydrocarbon seep in the Aleutian Trench, at 9192about 4800 m deep (Warén and Bouchet, 2001). Furthermore, a further species clearly belonging to the same genus was found in Kairei hydrothermal vent field, Central 93 Indian Ridge, Indian Ocean (Van Dover et al. 2001; Okutani et al. 2004), and was 9495 described as B. wareni Okutani, Hashimoto & Sasaki, 2004. Bruceiella, therefore, appears to be a deep-sea genus endemic to chemosynthetic ecosystems but can be found 96 97 across vents, seeps, and food-falls (Sasaki et al. 2010); widely ranging from the Western Pacific to Indian Ocean. 98 99

100 The purpose of the present paper is to characterise the new species both

- 101 morphologically and genetically, to provide its formal description under the name
- 102 Bruceiella indurata sp. nov., and to discuss its phylogenetic and biogeographic
- 103 relationship with other closely related species.
- 104
- 105

106 Materials and Methods

108 Sample collections

109

110

111 vehicle (ROV) Isis at two vent fields in the ridge segments E2 and E9 of the ESR 112(Rogers et al. 2012; Marsh et al. 2012) (Fig. 1, Table 1). The skeneids were collected 113 from the sediment surfaces in diffuse flow areas when either actinostylid anemones, yeti 114 crabs (Kiwa tyleri) or eolepadid barnacles (Neolepas scotiaensis) were actively sampled 115(Fig. 2). The specimens of Bruceiella were found sorting the sediment reminder from 116 the bioboxes and suction sampler ends and preserved in 96% ethanol. 117 118In-situ images of the vent sites and diffuse flow habitats were taken using the imaging 119 systems of ROV Isis; a Scorpio digital still camera with flash unit was used as well as

Skeneid gastropods were sampled using the suction sampler of the remote operating

120 two high definition video cameras (1080i) (Marsh et al. 2013) (Fig. 2).

121122 *Morphology*

123

Morphological investigation and dissection were carried out under a Zeiss Stemi SV6 124125dissection microscope. Radulae were dissected from specimens preserved in 96% ethanol. Tissues around the radula were dissolved with commercial bleach solution 126127(length varied from specimen to specimen). Both radula and dissoconch shells were air-128dried overnight for Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). SEM imaging was undertaken using a Hitachi TM3000 SEM (British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge). Shell 129130 morphometric measurements were carried out using an eyepiece graticule in the Stemi 131SV6.

132

133 Materials examined, including type specimens, are deposited in the invertebrate

134 collections at the Natural History Museum, London (NHMUK), the University Museum

135 of Zoology, Cambridge (CAMZM), the Museum National de Historie Naturelle, Paris,

- 136 France (MNHN), and the University Museum, the University of Tokyo (MNHN).
- 137

138 Genetics

- 140 Genomic DNA was extracted using whole specimens with the DNeasy Tissue
- 141 Extraction Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN, Crawley, UK).
- 142 Quality checks of extractions were carried out using a Nanodrop 2000
- 143 spectrophotometer. DNA amplification was carried out using the polymerase chain

reaction (PCR) with standard reagents in 25 µl total volume (2.5 µl of 10x buffer

- 145 containing 15 mM Mg++, 0.5 μ l of 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 μ l of each 10 μ M primer, 0.125
- μl od 2.5U Taq DNA Polymerase, 5 μl of 'Q' solution, 10.875 μl double-distilled water,
- 147 l μl genomic DNA).
- 148

149The barcoding fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was amplified using the universal primer pair LCO 1490 and HCO 2198 (Folmer 150151et al. 1994), with the PCR amplification carried out as follows: 94°C for 2 minutes to denature initially, followed by 94°C for 20 seconds, 50°C for 20 seconds and 72°C for 1521531-2 minutes. After 35 cycles the reaction was held at 72°C for 7 minutes. Amplification 154was confirmed with 1% agarose gel electrophoresis using cyber green. PCR purification and DNA sequencing of forward and reverse strands was performed at LGC Berlin 155156Germany from the successfully amplified PCR products.

157

In addition to the ESR skeneid gastropods, a specimen of *Bruceiella wareni* was also
sequenced for comparison, collecting data as follows: Kairei hydrothermal vent field,
25°19.2249'S 70°2.4123'E, 2434 m deep, Central Indian Ridge (CIR), DSV *Shinkai*6500 dive #1450, R/V *Yokosuka* cruise YK16-E02 (PI: Ken Takai), 2016/ii/14,

162 preserved in 99% ethanol.

163

Alignment and editing of genetic sequences were carried out using the software 164 Geneious R11 (https://www.geneious.com/), and reads were further manually quality-165166 checked and corrected by eye. In downstream analyses, only those sequences with both 167 good quality matching forward and reverse reads were used. The software MEGA X 168(Kumar et al. 2018) was used to calculate the pairwise distances of COI sequences. 169 Prior to phylogenetic analyses the programme PartitionFinder 2 (Lanfear et al. 2012; 170 2016) was used to find the most suitable evolutionary model, selected by scores for the Akaike Information Criterion. This selected the GTR+I+R model for the first and 171172second codon positions and GTR+G model for the third codon position. Tree 173reconstruction was carried out with Bayesian inference using the programme MrBayes 1743.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012). The total sequence length used in the final analyses was 580 175bp. The Metropolis-coupled Monte Carlo Markov Chains were run for two million 176 generations in the final analyses. Topologies were sampled once every 100 generations, with the first 20% discarded as "burnin" so to ensure the chains sampled a stationary 177178position. TRACER v1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond 2009) was used to assess the 179convergence, split frequencies were less than 0.01 before the analyses were terminated.

180	
181	New sequences generated from this study are deposited in GenBank under accession
182	numbers MK533011-MK533013.
183	
184	
185	Results
186	
187	Taxonomy
188	
189	Subclass Vetigastropoda Salvini-Plawen, 1980
190	Superfamily Trochoidea Rafinesque, 1815
191	Family Skeneidae Clark, 1851
192	Genus Bruceiella Warén & Bouchet, 1993
193	Type species Bruceiella globulus Warén & Bouchet, 1993
194	
195	Bruceiella indurata sp. nov.
196	(Fig. 3-4)
197	
198	Diagnosis: A large Bruceiella with a shell diameter up to 5 mm. Shell skeneiform, up to
199	3 whorls but often corroded to only 1 left. Final 0.5 whorls loosens in coiling and
200	becoming disjunct in adults. Central tooth with very wide, triangular base and
201	moderately raised horizontal ridge near base and inner marginal teeth with a single
202	protrusion on shaft.
203	
204	Type material:
205	Holotype (Fig. 3a-d, NHMUK 20190515), shell width (SW) 2.9 mm, aperture
206	height (AH) 1.6 mm, live collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol, 'Anemone Field',
207	E2 segment hydrothermal vent site, 56° 5.27' S 30° 19.10' W, East Scotia Ridge, 2603-
208	2605 m deep, RRS James Cook expedition JC42, ROV Isis Dive #134, 2010/i/24.
209	Paratype #1, (Fig. 3e-f, MNHN-IM-2014-7031), SW 2.1 mm, AH 1.2 mm, live
210	collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol, E2 segment hydrothermal vent site, 56°
211	5.33' S 30° 19.11' W, East Scotia Ridge, 2619 m deep, RRS James Cook expedition
212	JC42, ROV <i>Isis</i> Dive #133, 2010/i/23.
213	Paratype #2, (Fig. 3g-h, MNHN-IM-2014-7032), SW 3.4 mm, AH 1.9 mm, live
214	collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol, same lot as the holotype.
215	Paratype #3, (Fig. 3i-l, UMZC 2019.3), SW 4.6 mm, AH 2.2 mm, live

216	collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol, same lot as the holotype.
217	Paratype #4, (Fig. 3m-p, MNHN-IM-2014-7033), SW 5.0 mm, AH 2.8 mm,
218	live collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol, same lot as the holotype.
219	Paratype #5, (Fig. 3q-r, NHMUK 20190516), SW 4.0 mm, AH 2.2 mm, live
220	collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol, same lot as the holotype.
221	Paratype #6, (Fig. 4a-c, NHMUK 20190517), live collected, fixed in 96%
222	ethanol, dissected for radula SEM and stored dry on SEM stub, same lot as the
223	holotype.
224	Paratype #7, (Fig. 4d, NHMUK 20190518), live collected, fixed and stored in
225	96% ethanol, dissected for operculum SEM and stored dry on SEM stub, same lot as the
226	holotype.
227	
228	Etymology: 'Indurato' (Latin) meaning 'to endure', 'to harden'. This is in reference to
229	how the snail endures continuous dissolution of its shell from vent fluid by persistent
230	shell repairing. It is used as an adjective.
231	
232	Further materials used for morphometrics:
233	6 specimens (NHMUK 20190519), live collected, fixed and stored in 96%
234	ethanol, same lot as holotype.
235	5 specimens (MNHN-IM-2014-7034), live collected, fixed and stored in 96%
236	ethanol, same lot as paratype #1.
237	1 specimen (UMZC 2019.4), live collected, fixed and stored in 96% ethanol,
238	E2 segment hydrothermal vent site, 59° 5.37' S 30° 19.06' W, East Scotia Ridge, 2641
239	m deep, RRS James Cook expedition JC42, ROV Isis Dive #134, 2010/i/24.
240	2 specimens (MNHN-IM-2014-7035), live collected, E9 segment hydrothermal
241	vent site, 60° 2.81' S 29° 58.71' W, East Scotia Ridge, 2395 m deep, RRS James Cook
242	expedition JC42, ROV Isis Dive #141, 2010/i/30. Both specimens fixed in 96% ethanol
243	with one stored in 96% ethanol and one mounted dry on SEM stub.
244	1 specimen (MNHN-IM-2014-7036), live collected, fixed and stored in 96%
245	ethanol, E9 segment hydrothermal vent site, 60° 2.81' S 29° 58.71' W, East Scotia
246	Ridge, 2394 m deep, RRS James Cook expedition JC42, ROV Isis Dive #142, 2010/ii/2.
247	
248	Description. Shell (Fig. 3). Teleoconch depressed to tall skeneiform, up to 4.5 whorls.
249	Early whorls more tightly coiled than later ones, with ultimate 0.5 whorls in adults
250	becoming disjunct in coiling. Surface smooth except very fine axial growth lines, often
251	encrusted by dark coloured mineral deposits. Periostracum thin, semi-transparent and

- 252greenish when present. In adults surface almost always very severely corroded with 253earlier whorls removed entirely and outer calcareous layers removed (Fig. 3m). In such specimens only a thin, translucent layer remain to cover volume occupied by animal (ca. 2541 whorl), showing numerous undulating lines resulting from corrosion of shell layers. 255256Such shells are effectively open coiling in appearance. In extreme cases holes protrude 257through body whorl. Protoconch not known due to all specimens having heavily 258corroded apex. Umbilicus deep, moderate in width. Aperture large, circular, not 259interrupted by the previous whorl.
- 260

261Radula (Fig. 4a-c). Rhipidoglossate, with a formula of n-4-1-4-n. Central tooth with a 262rectangular shaft which abruptly expands into very broad triangular, arrow-like laterobasal processes, possessing wing-like lateral projections on both sides. Cutting 263264edge of the sole cusp triangular with smooth cutting edge, tip sharply pointed. A central 265ridge weakly projects out horizontally where laterobasal processes begin to expand near 266shaft. Four laterals similar in form with shallowly serrated cusps, shafts slightly convex, 267sturdy with a strong and abrupt bend near the base, shape interlocking with adjacent 268teeth. Basal support of laterals strongest at first or innermost tooth. Shaft of marginal 269teeth rather slender, flattened laterally. Cusps of inner marginals truncated, evenly serrated into ca. 7-8 denticles on the distal end. A prominent protrusion is present 270271beneath cusps of a few innermost marginals. Towards outer edge outer marginals become decreased in sturdiness as well as having gradually smaller, more finely serrated 272273cusps.

274

Operculum (Fig. 4). Multispiral, concentric, circular. Thin, greenish brown, semitransparent. Often completely encrusted by dark mineral deposits.

277

278 *Dimensions*: Known specimens ranging from 2.1 - 5 mm in shell diameter and 1.1 - 2.8279 mm in aperture height (Fig. 6, Table 2). Shell height up to 4.4 mm with 44 whorls in 280 specimen JC42-F-176.4 with 3.7 mm shell diameter (Table 2). Shell height is not an 281 accurate measure for size as the apex and subsequent whorls are usually very heavily 282 corroded.

283

284 Distribution: So far only known from low diffuse flow hydrothermally influenced areas

of segments E2 and E9, East Scotia Ridge. Often lives in close proximity with

286 Provanna sp., actinostylid anemones, and Neolepas scotiaensis stalked barnacles (Fig.

287 2a-b).

- Remarks: Although unfortunately the characteristic protoconch of the genus Bruceiella 289290which carry characteristic sculptures consisting of dendric threads and granules aligned 291in fine spirals and also ends in a large, strong varix (Warén and Bouchet 1993; Marshall 2921994; Warén and Bouchet 2001) could not be observed in Bruceiella indurata sp. nov., 293like in B. wareni. The radula configurations, however, strongly support the placement of 294B. indurata sp. nov. in the genus Bruceiella. Bruceiella indurata sp. nov. is 295morphologically distinct from all five other described Bruceiella species by its radular characteristics, especially the central tooth. The central tooth differs from Bruceiella 296 297globulus Warén & Bouchet, 1993 by having very broad laterobasal processes or lateral 298'wings'. The horizontal central ridge near the base of central tooth is lacking in both B. 299globulus and B. wareni. In addition, B. wareni has five lateral teeth (Okutani et al. 2004) 300 but B. indurata sp. nov. only has four. The two New Zealand species, B. laevigata 301 Marshall, 1994 and B. pruinosa Marshall, 1994 have very similar radular morphology, 302the central tooth of which is easily differentiated from that of *B. indurata* sp. nov. by 303 being much narrower and more delicate in comparison. Bruceiella athlia Warén & 304 Bouchet, 2001 is the most similar in radular morphology to B. indurata sp. nov., but the 305 basal central ridge of the central tooth is much stronger and raised in B. athlia. Furthermore, the inner marginals of *B. athlia* are characterised by having distinct two 306 307 projections halfway towards the distal end, whereas B. indurata sp. nov. only has one. 308
- 309 The shell of *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov. also differs from the five other described
- 310 Bruceiella species by being larger in size. The final half whorl is moderately but
- noticeably disjunct in growth from the previous whorls, which is also seen in various
- degrees in other *Bruceiella* species. The suture of *B. indurata* sp. nov. is deeper than all
- 313 species except *B. wareni*. These, however, may not be as useful as the radular
- 314 characteristics in identification as the shell of *Bruceiella* species is often heavily
- 315 corroded leaving only the final whorl (Warén and Bouchet 2001). Furthermore, *B*.
- 316 *indurata* sp. nov. is geographically isolated from all other known *Bruciella* species and
- only known from the East Scotia Ridge in the Southern Ocean.
- 318
- 319 *Molecular phylogeny: Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov. was recovered as nesting within the
- 320 genus *Bruceiella* with three other congeners in the Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction
- using 580 bp of the mitochondrial COI gene (Fig. 6), confirming its placement in genus
- 322 Bruceiella. The two sequences of Bruceiella indurata sp. nov. obtained were identical
- 323 haplotypes, and together were recovered as a well-supported lineage (Bayesian

324 Posterior Probability, PP=1) clearly distinct from *B. wareni* of the Indian Ocean vents which was recovered as its sister taxa among those sampled. The uncorrected P-distance 325326 between B. indurata sp. nov. and B. wareni was 14.9% in 580 bp of the COI gene, 327 supporting that *B. indurata* is indeed a separate species. These two species formed a 328 fully supported clade within Skeneidae (BPP=1), which was in turn sister to another 329 clade consisting of B. globulus and Bruceiella sp. Lau 1925 m (sensu Kano 2008). The genus Bruceiella, containing these four taxa, was recovered as monophyletic with full 330 331support (BPP=1). Furthermore, the family Skeneidae was recovered as a strongly supported (BPP=0.99) monophyletic clade, as well as the whole superfamily Trochoidea 332333 (BPP=1).

334 335

336 Discussion

337

338 Until the present study, Cirsonella extrema and Liotella endeavourensis were the only 339 two skeneids known from the Southern Ocean (Bouchet and Rosenberg 2015), and B. 340 indurata sp. nov. is now the third. Bruceiella indurata sp. nov., from our current 341knowledge has a distribution restricted to two vent sites in the ESR, in comparison the other two known skeneids in the Southern Ocean show with ranging, circum-Antarctic 342distributions: C. extrema is known from the shelf to upper slope of Antarctica and the 343344South Sandwich Islands in 15 to 870 m in depth (Aldea and Troncoso 2008) and L. endeavourensis from the Bellingshausen, Weddell and Ross Seas (Aldea and Troncoso 3452008; Williams 2012) in 362 to 1324 m. Although this is likely due to the fact that other 346 347 Southern Ocean vent sites (e.g., Hahm et al. 2015) remain undiscovered and unsampled, 348 it is notable that all currently discovered species of Bruceiella appear to have restricted 349 distributions, and known from only a few sites within a local scale (Bouchet and Warén 350 2001; Sasaki et al. 2010). Other known ESR vent species (Rogers et al. 2012) including 351the yeti crab Kiwa tyleri Thatje in Thatje et al., 2015, the stalked barnacle Neolepas scotiaensis (Buckeridge, Linse & Jackson, 2013), the peltospirid snail Gigantopelta 352353chessoia Chen et al., 2015, the abyssochrysoidean snail Provanna cooki Linse, Nye, 354Copley & Chen 2019,, the vent limpet Lepetodrilus concentricus Linse, Roterman & Chen 2019,, and the three sea spiders in genus Sericosura are only known from the ESR 355356 and the nearby Kemp Caldera (Arango and Linse 2015). The sea star Paulasterias tyleri Mah et al., 2015 appears to have a circum-Antarctic distribution, being found at these 357sites but also in the Australian-Antarctic Ridge, in a location implied to be near vents 358 359 (Mah et al. 2015; Hahm et al. 2015). The two polychaetes, including the siboglinid

Sclerolinum contortum Smirnov, 2000 and the maldanid Nicomache lokii Kongsrud &
Rapp, 2012 have wide distributions to the Arctic and the Pacific (Eilertsen et al. 2018).

362

363 When describing the genus Bruceiella, Bouchet and Warén (1993) only placed it 364 tentatively in Skeneidae, and suggested that it probably belongs in a separate family due 365to anatomical differences such as the absence of the propoial penis and the fact that the snout expanded distally. The phylogenetic reconstruction including Bruceiella indurata 366 367 sp. nov. in the present study, however, agrees with previous phylogenetic 368 reconstructions (Kano 2008; Williams 2012) that the genus Bruceiella is nested within 369 Skeneidae and is a true skeneid. Our phylogenetic tree also shows that *Cirsonella* is 370 paraphyletic, which was already pointed out by Williams (2012), but as the three species 371in the same clade other than C. extrema are undescribed, we make no attempt to resolve 372this.

373

374Six mollusc species have been collected from the ESR vent ecosystems to date, all are 375 gastropods and all in different families (Rogers et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2015; Roterman 376 et al. 2016). Bruceiella indurata sp. nov. was only present in weak diffuse flow areas, 377 where they co-occur mostly with Provanna cooki (Linse et al. 2019a), actinostolid anemones, and Neolepas scotiaensis stalked barnacles (Fig. 2a-b; Linse et al. 2019a). 378 379 Compared to Provanna cooki, it was much rarer and was less visible during video 380 surveys partly due to their small size and partly due to the black sulphide coverage on their shells. In some relatively more hydrothermally active diffuse flow localities, such 381 as the SW field in E9 (Fig. 2c), it also co-occurred with the holobiont peltospirid snail 382383 Gigantopelta chessoia and the vent limpet Lepetodrilus concentricus (Linse et al. 384 2019b), but in these sites, it was extremely rare with only 1-2 specimens collected per 385site despite intensive sampling of the fauna there. This strongly suggests that B. 386 indurata sp. nov. prefers a weak diffuse flow environment, which corresponds also to 387 the habitat of the closely related B. wareni from Indian Ocean vents (Okutani et al. 388 2004). Perhaps this is unsurprising given that *Bruceiella* is one of few genera of 389 gastropods that occurs across vents, seeps, and organic falls, and clearly do not require a high flow of vent fluid (Marshall 1994; Warén and Bouchet, 2001; Sasaki et al. 2010). 390 391

392 Despite living in a habitat relatively weakly influenced by hydrothermal fluids, the

393 shells of *B. indurata* are usually covered in sulfide deposits and/or highly corroded, to

the degree where no part of the original periostracum and shell surface remain (Fig.

395 3m). Similar levels of corrosion are also known in *B. wareni* and *B. athlia* (Warén and

- Bouchet 2001; Okutani et al. 2004). The shell *Provanna cooki* which often co-occurs
- 397 with it usually only has corroded apex but retains a periostracum over most parts (Linse
- et al. 2019a), indicating that the shell of *B. indurata* sp. nov. is much more prone to
- 399 corrosion. As hydrothermal vents are known to be acidic (Van Dover 2001), gastropods
- 400 with a strong, thick periostracum such as Gigantopelta chessoia, Lepetodrilus
- 401 *concentricus*, and *Provanna cooki* are more likely to tolerate against shell corrosion.
- 402 The periostracum of *B. indurata* sp. nov. is very thin (Fig. 3a-d), which may be the
- 403 reason they show a high degree of corrosion.
- 404
- The phylogeographic relationship between *Bruceiella* species sampled (Figs. 6,7) shows that *B. indurata* sp. nov. from the ESR is more closely related to *B. wareni* from the
- 407 Indian Ocean, than the two western Pacific species (*B. globulus* from North Fiji and Lau
- 408 basins and another undescribed species from Lau Basin). This is a similar biogeographic
- 409 pattern seen with *Neolepas* stalked barnales (Herrera et al. 2015; Watanabe et al. 2018),
- 410 *Gigantopelta* snails (Chen et al. 2015), and *Kiwa* yeti crabs (Roterman et al. 2013;
- 411 Roterman et al. 2018) with the ESR and Indian Ocean species being sisters while the
- 412 genus itself appears to have a Pacific provenance. Future multi-locus phylogeny of
- 413 *Bruceiella* with better taxon sampling would help to confirm the origin of the genus.
- 414 415

416 Acknowledgements

- 417
- The authors would like to thank the master and crew of the RRS James Cook on-board 418 419 the expedition JC42 for their professionalism and support of scientific activities during 420 the cruise. This research would not have been possible without the tireless logistic and 421shipboard support of the pilots and technical team of the ROV Isis as well as the staff of the UK National Marine Facilities at NOCS, for which we cannot thank enough. We are 422423very grateful to Prof. Paul Tyler (SOES) for leading the ChEsSO consortium and we 424also thank Prof. Alex D. Rogers (University of Oxford) as the PSO of cruise JC42. 425Sincere gratitude is directed to Dr Ken Takai (JAMSTEC), PI of the R/V Yokosuka 426cruise YK16-E02 for providing the specimen of *Bruceiella wareni* used herein; captain 427and crew of R/V Yokosuka and pilots of DSV Shinkai 6500 is thanked for their efforts in 428 collecting the specimen. Ms Ryoko Yamazaki (JAMSTEC), Mr Taiga Kijima
- 429 (JAMSTEC), and Dr Hiromi Kayama Watanabe (JAMSTEC) for their help in obtaining
- 430 genetic sequences of *Bruceiella wareni*. The fieldwork in the East Scotia Sea during
- 431 JC42 was undertaken under the permit S3-3/2009 issued by the Foreign and

432	Commonwealth Office, London to section 3 of the Antarctic Act 1994.
433	
434	
435	Funding
436	
437	This study was funded by the U.K. NERC Consortium Grant NE/DO1249X/1 and is
438	part of the ChEsSO consortium. CC was supported by a Japan Society for the
439	Promotion of ScienceGrant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (18K06401).
440	
441	
442	Conflict of interest
443	
444	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
445	
446	Ethical approval
447	
448	All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use
449	of animals were followed by the authors. All necessary permits for sampling and
450	observational field studies have been obtained by the authors from the competent
451	authorities and are mentioned in the acknowledgements, if applicable. The study is
452	compliant with CBD and Nagoya protocols.
453	
454	Data availability
455	
456	The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available in
457	the NCBI GenBank repository, with accession numbers MK533011-MK533013.
458	Specimens used in the present study are deposited in the following museums: NHMUK
459	(20190515-20190519), MNHN (MNHN-IM-2014-7031-7036), and UMZC (2019.3-
460	2019.4).
461	
462	

463	References
+ 00	11010101000

464	
465	Aldea C, Troncoso J (2008) Systematics and distribution of shelled molluscs (Gastropoda, Bivalvia
466	and Scaphopoda) from the South Shetland Islands to the Bellingshausen Sea, West
467	Antarctica. Iberus 26:43-117
468	Arango CP, Linse K (2015) New Sericosura (Pycnogonida: Ammotheidae) from deep-sea
469	hydrothermal vents in the Southern Ocean. Zootaxa 3995:37-50.
470	https://doi.org/doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3995.1.5
471	Bouchet P, Rosenberg G (2015) <i>Liotella</i> Iredale, 1915 - MolluscaBase (2015).
472	http://www.marinespecies.org/rams/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=196947 Accessed Feb 15
473	2019
474	Buckeridge JS, Linse K, Jackson JA (2013) Vulcanolepas scotiaensis sp. nov., a new deep-sea
475	scalpelliform barnacle (Eolepadidae: Neolepadinae) from hydrothermal vents in the Scotia
476	Sea, Antarctica. Zootaxa 3745:551-568. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3745.5.4
477	Cavanaugh CM, Gardiner SL, Jones ML, Jannasch HW, Waterbury JB (1981) Prokaryotic cells in
478	the hydrothermal vent tube worm Riftia pachyptila Jones: possible chemoautotrophic
479	symbionts. Science 213:340-342. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.213.4505.340
480	Chen C, Linse K, Roterman CN, Copley JT, Rogers AD (2015) A new genus of large hydrothermal
481	vent-endemic gastropod (Neomphalina: Peltospiridae). Zool J Linn Soc 175:319-335.
482	https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12279
483	Clark W (1851) On the classification of the British marine testaceous Mollusca. Ann Mag Nat Hist,
484	Ser 2 7:469-481
485	Corliss JB, Dymond J, Gordon LI, Edmond JM, von Herzen RP, Ballard RD, Green K, Williams D,
486	Bainbridge A, Crane K, van Andel TH (1979) Submarine thermal springs on the Galápagos
487	Rift. Science 203:1073-1083. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.203.4385.1073
488	Dell RK (1990) Antarctic Mollusca with special reference to the fauna of the Ross Sea. Bull Roy
489	Soc N Z 27:1-311
490	Eilertsen MH, Georgieva MN, Kongsrud JA, Linse K, Wiklund H, Glover AG, Rapp HT (2018)
491	Genetic connectivity from the Arctic to the Antarctic: Sclerolinum contortum and
492	Nicomache lokii (Annelida) are both widespread in reducing environments. Sci Rep 8:4810.
493	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23076-0
494	Felbeck H (1981) Chemoautotrophic potential of the hydrothermal vent tube worm, Riftia pachyptila
495	Jones (Vestimentifera). Science 213:336. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.213.4505.336
496	Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of
497	mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol
498	Mar Biol Biotechnol 3:294-299

499Hahm D et al. (2015) First hydrothermal discoveries on the Australian-Antarctic Ridge: Discharge 500sites, plume chemistry, and vent organisms. Geochem Geophys Geosyst 16:3061-3075. 501https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GC005926 502Herrera S, Watanabe H, Shank TM (2015) Evolutionary and biogeographical patterns of barnacles 503from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Mol Ecol 24:673-689. 504https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13054 505Heß M, Beck F, Gensler H, Kano Y, Kiel S, Haszprunar G (2008) Microanatomy, shell structure and 506molecular phylogeny of Leptogyra, Xyleptogyra and Leptogyropsis (Gastropoda: 507 Neomphalida: Melanodrymiidae) from sunken wood. J Mollus Stud 74:383-401. 508 https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyn030 509Kano Y (2008) Vetigastropod phylogeny and a new concept of Seguenzioidea: independent 510evolution of copulatory organs in the deep-sea habitats. Zool Scr 37:1-21. 511https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2007.00316.x 512Kongsrud JA, Rapp HT (2012) Nicomache (Loxochona) lokii sp. nov. (Annelida: Polychaeta: 513Maldanidae) from the Loki's Castle vent field: an important structure builder in an Arctic 514vent system. Polar Biol 35:161-170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-011-1048-4 Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics 515516Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547-1549. 517https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096 518Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S (2012) Partitionfinder: Combined selection of 519partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Mol Biol Evol 52029:1695-1701. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss020 521Lanfear R, Senfeld T, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Calcott B (2016) PartitionFinder 2: New Methods 522for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological 523Phylogenetic Analyses. Mol Biol Evol 34:772-773. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260 524Linse K, Nye V, Copley JT, Chen C (2019a). On the systematics and ecology of two new species of 525Provanna (Abyssochrysoidea: Provannidae) from deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the 526Caribbean Sea and Southern Ocean. J Moll Stud (Early View). 527 https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyz024 528Linse K, Roterman CN, Chen C (2019b). A new vent limpet in the genus Lepetodrilus (Gastropoda: 529Lepetodrilidae) from Southern Ocean hydrothermal vent fields showing high phenotypic 530plasticity. Front Mar Sci 6: 381. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00381 531Mah C, Linse K, Copley J, Marsh L, Rogers A, Clague D, Foltz D (2015) Description of a new 532family, new genus, and two new species of deep-sea Forcipulatacea (Asteroidea), including 533the first known sea star from hydrothermal vent habitats. Zool J Linn Soc 174:93-113. 534https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12229

535Marsh L et al. (2012) Microdistribution of faunal assemblages at deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the 536Southern Ocean. PLoS One 7:e48348. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048348 537Marshall BA (1994) Deep-sea gastropods from the New Zealand region associated with Recent 538whale bones and an Eocene turtle. Nautilus 108:1-8 539Martin JW, Haney TA (2005) Decapod crustaceans from hydrothermal vents and cold seeps: a 540review through 2005. Zool J Linn Soc 145:445-522. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-5413642.2005.00178.x 542Okutani T, Hashimoto J, Sasaki T (2004) New gastropod taxa from a hydrothermal vent (Kairei 543Field) in the Central Indian Ocean. Venus 63:1-11 544Rafinesque CS (1815) Analyse de la nature: or, Tableau de l'univers et des corps organisés vol 1815. 545Aux dépens de l'auteur, Palerme 546Rogers AD, Tyler PA, Connelly DP, Copley JT, James R, Larter RD, Linse K, Mills RA, Garabato 547AN, Pancost RD, Pearce DA, Polunin NVC, German CR, Shank T, Boersch-Supan PH, 548Alker BJ, Aquilina A, Bennett SA, Clarke A, Dinley RJJ, Graham AGC, Green DRH, 549Hawkes JA, Hepburn L, Hilario A, Huvenne VAI, Marsh L, Ramirez-Llodra E, Reid WDK, 550Roterman CN, Sweeting CJ, Thatje S, Zwirglmaier K (2012) The discovery of new deep-sea 551hydrothermal vent communities in the Southern Ocean and implications for biogeography. 552PLoS Biol 10:e1001234. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001234 553Roterman CN, Copley JT, Linse KT, Tyler PA, Rogers AD (2013) The biogeography of the yeti 554crabs (Kiwaidae) with notes on the phylogeny of the Chirostyloidea (Decapoda: Anomura). 555Proc Biol Sci 280(1764):20130718. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.0718 556Roterman CN, Copley JT, Linse KT, Tyler PA, Rogers AD (2016) Connectivity in the cold: the 557comparative population genetics of vent-endemic fauna in the Scotia Sea, Southern Ocean. 558Mol Ecol 25:1073-1088. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13541 559Roterman CN, Lee W-K, Liu X, Lin R, Li X, Won Y-J (2018) A new yeti crab phylogeny: Vent 560origins with indications of regional extinction in the East Pacific. PLoS One 13:e0194696. 561https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194696 562Salvini-Plawen L (1980) A reconsideration of systematic in the Mollusca (Phylogeny and higher 563classification). Malacologia 19:249-278 564Sasaki T, Warén A, Kano Y, Okutani T, Fujikura K, Kiel S (2010) Gastropods from recent hot vents 565and cold seeps: systematics, diversity and life strategies. In: Kiel S (ed) The Vent and Seep 566Biota, vol 33. Topics in Geobiology. Springer Netherlands, pp 169-254. 567 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9572-5 7 568Smirnov RV (2000) Two new species of Pogonophora from the arctic mud volcano off northwestern 569Norway. Sarsia 85:141-150. https://doi.org/10.1080/00364827.2000.10414563 570Thatje S, Marsh L, Roterman CN, Mavrogordato MN, Linse K (2015) Adaptations to hydrothermal

571	vent life in Kiwa tyleri, a new species of yeti crab from the East Scotia Ridge, Antarctica.
572	PLOS ONE 10:e0127621. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127621
573	Thiele J, Deutsche S-E (1912) Sonderabdruck aus Deutsche Südpolar-Expedition 1901-1903, Bd.
574	13., Zoologie V: Die antarktischen Schnecken und Muscheln. G. Reimer, Berlin
575	Van Dover CL (2000) The Ecology of Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vents. Princeton University Press,
576	Princeton
577	Van Dover CL, Humphris SE, Fornari D, Cavanaugh CM, Collier R, Goffredi SK, Hashimoto J,
578	Lilley MD, Reysenbach AL, Shank TM, Von Damm KL, Banta A, Gallant RM, G7htz D,
579	Green D, Hall J, Harmer TL, Hurtado LA, Johnson P, McKiness ZP, Meredith C, Olson E,
580	Pan IL, Turnipseed M, Won Y, Young CR, Vrijenhoek RC (2001) Biogeography and
581	ecological setting of Indian Ocean hydrothermal vents. Science 294:818-823.
582	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064574
583	Vrijenhoek RC (2013) On the instability and evolutionary age of deep-sea chemosynthetic
584	communities. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr 92:189-200.
585	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.12.004
586	Warén A, Bouchet P (1993) New records, species, genera, and a new family of gastropods from
587	hydrothermal vents and hydrocarbon seeps. Zool Scr 22:1-90.
588	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.1993.tb00342.x
589	Warén A, Bouchet P (2001) Gastropoda and Monoplacophora from hydrothermal vents and seeps:
590	New taxa and records. Veliger 44:116-231
591	Watanabe Hiromi K, Chen C, Marie Daniel P, Takai K, Fujikura K, Chan Benny KK (2018)
592	Phylogeography of hydrothermal vent stalked barnacles: a new species fills a gap in the
593	Indian Ocean 'dispersal corridor' hypothesis. R Soc Open Sci 5:172408.
594	https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.172408
595	Williams ST (2012) Advances in molecular systematics of the vetigastropod superfamily
596	Trochoidea. Zool Scr 41:571-595. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2012.00552.x
597	Williams ST, Karube S, Ozawa T (2008) Molecular systematics of Vetigastropoda: Trochidae,
598	Turbinidae and Trochoidea redefined. Zool Scr 37:483-506. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-
599	6409.2008.00341.x
600	Wolff T (2005) Composition and endemism of the deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna. Cah Biol Mar
601	46:97-104
602	
603	
604	

Accepted manuscript

606	Figure Legends
607	
608	Fig. 1 Distribution map of Bruceiella indurata sp. nov., in the East Scotia Ridge
609	
610	Fig. 2 In-situ diffuse flow venting habitats of Bruceiella indurata sp. nov. at E2 and E9
611	hydrothermal fields in the East Scotia Ridge: a , Anemone Field, 56°5.27'S 30°19.10'W,
612	2605 m depth (type locality), E2; b, Diffuse flow, 56°5.33'S 30°19.11'W, 2605 m depth,
613	E2; c, Deep Castle, 56°5.37'S 30°19.06'W, 2641 m depth, E2; d, SW Field, 60°2.82'S
614	29°58.69'W, 2396 m depth, E9
615	
616	Fig. 3 Bruceiella indurata sp. nov.: a-d, Holotype (NHMUK 20190515), shell width
617	(SW) 2.9 mm, aperture height (AH) 1.6 mm; e-f, Paratype #1 (MNHN-IM-2014-7031),
618	SW 2.1 mm, AH 1.2 mm; g-h, Paratype #2 (MNHN-IM-2014-7032), SW 3.4 mm, AH
619	1.9 mm; i-l, Paratype #3 (CAMZM XXXXX), SW 4.6 mm, AH 2.2 mm; m-p, Paratype
620	#4 (MNHN-IM-2014-7033), SW 5.0 mm, AH 2.8 mm; q-r , Paratype #5 (NHMUK
621	20190516), SW 4.0 mm, AH 2.2 mm
622	
623	Fig. 4 Bruceiella indurata sp. nov., SEM micrographs: a, Middle section of an adult
624	radula ribbon overview (Paratype #6, NHMUK 20190517); b, Radula, close-up of
625	central and lateral teeth (Paratype #6, NHMUK 20190517); c, Radula, close-up of
626	marginal teeth (Paratype #6, NHMUK 20190517); d, Operculum (Paratype #7,
627	NHMUK 20190518). Scale bars: $\mathbf{a} = 50 \ \mu \text{m}$; $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c} = 20 \ \mu \text{m}$; $\mathbf{d} = 500 \ \mu \text{m}$
628	
629	Fig. 5 Shell morphometrics of Bruceiella indurata sp. nov.
630	
631	Fig. 6 Beyesian phylogenetic tree of Skeneidae constructed using 580 bp of the
632	mitochondrial COI gene showing the systematic position of <i>Bruceiella indurata</i> sp. nov.
633	within genus Bruceiella. GenBank accession numbers are shown in brackets
634	
635	Fig. 7 The global distribution of genus Bruceiella, including Bruceiella indurata sp.
636	nov.
637	



639 Fig. 1 Distribution map of *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov., in the East Scotia Ridge

- 643 **Fig. 2** *In-situ* diffuse flow venting habitats of *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov. at E2 and E9
- 644 hydrothermal fields in the East Scotia Ridge: **a**, Anemone Field, 56°5.27'S 30°19.10'W,
- 645 2605 m depth (type locality), E2; **b**, Diffuse flow, 56°5.33'S 30°19.11'W, 2605 m depth,
- 646 E2; **c**, Deep Castle, 56°5.37'S 30°19.06'W, 2641 m depth, E2; **d**, SW Field, 60°2.82'S
- 647 29°58.69'W, 2396 m depth, E9



- **Fig. 3** *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov.: **a-d**, Holotype (NHMUK 20190515), shell width
- 652 (SW) 2.9 mm, aperture height (AH) 1.6 mm; e-f, Paratype #1 (MNHN-IM-2014-7031),
- 653 SW 2.1 mm, AH 1.2 mm; **g-h**, Paratype #2 (MNHN-IM-2014-7032), SW 3.4 mm, AH
- 1.9 mm; i-l, Paratype #3 (CAMZM XXXXX), SW 4.6 mm, AH 2.2 mm; m-p, Paratype
- 655 #4 (MNHN-IM-2014-7033), SW 5.0 mm, AH 2.8 mm; **q-r**, Paratype #5 (NHMUK
- 656 20190516), SW 4.0 mm, AH 2.2 mm



 $\begin{array}{c} 657 \\ 658 \end{array}$

- **Fig. 4** *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov., SEM micrographs: **a**, Middle section of an adult
- radula ribbon overview (Paratype #6, NHMUK 20190517); **b**, Radula, close-up of
- 661 central and lateral teeth (Paratype #6, NHMUK 20190517); c, Radula, close-up of
- 662 marginal teeth (Paratype #6, NHMUK 20190517); **d**, Operculum (Paratype #7,
- 663 NHMUK 20190518). Scale bars: $\mathbf{a} = 50 \ \mu \text{m}$; $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{c} = 20 \ \mu \text{m}$; $\mathbf{d} = 500 \ \mu \text{m}$



 $\begin{array}{c} 664 \\ 665 \end{array}$



Fig. 5 Shell morphometrics of *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov.

- 669 Fig. 6 Bayesian phylogenetic tree of Skeneidae constructed using 580 bp of the
- 670 mitochondrial COI gene showing the systematic position of *Bruceiella indurata* sp. nov.
- 671 within genus *Bruceiella*. GenBank accession numbers are shown in brackets



Fig. 7 The global distribution of genus *Bruceiella*, including *Bruceiella indurata* sp.nov.

