

# Improving understanding of shallow urban groundwater: the Quaternary groundwater system in Glasgow, UK

Brigid Ó Dochartaigh<sup>1\*</sup>, Helen Bonsor<sup>1</sup> and Stephanie Bricker<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> British Geological Survey, The Lyell Centre, Research Avenue South, Edinburgh EH14 4AP, UK.

<sup>2</sup> British Geological Survey, Environmental Science Centre, Nicker Hill, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, UK.

\*corresponding author

Running head: Improving understanding Quaternary groundwater, Glasgow

**ABSTRACT:** Although many European cities use urban aquifers for water supply, groundwater from shallow urban aquifers is not widely exploited. Nevertheless, shallow urban groundwater is a key environmental resource: for example, in maintaining healthy urban river flows and attenuating some pollutants; or can be a threat, such as through groundwater flooding. However, shallow urban groundwater is frequently overlooked or ineffectively managed, in large part because it is often poorly understood. This paper demonstrates how understanding of the shallow groundwater system can be improved in a city where shallow groundwater is not widely abstracted and, consequently, relatively little groundwater data exist. Like many UK cities, Glasgow is underlain by complex unconsolidated Quaternary deposits, which form a heterogeneous shallow aquifer system that has been extensively impacted by urban activities, typical of a former industrial city. Balancing the potential benefits of, and risks from, shallow groundwater in Glasgow requires a better understanding of Quaternary hydrogeology in order to support the transition to a more sustainable city. This paper presents an improved conceptual model of Glasgow's shallow groundwater system, within a sequence of Quaternary deposits in the Clyde valley, drawing heavily on data collected during major site investigations for land development in the city. Post-glacial Quaternary sediments in Glasgow form an elongate, variably thick (up to 30m) and variably permeable aquifer system. High permeability, high storage aquifer units are partially separated by lower permeability, but still hydrogeologically active units. Groundwater in the system is hydraulically connected with the River Clyde. Groundwater flow occurs both longitudinally down-valley, and convergent from the edge of, the valley aquifer towards the river. There has been extensive anthropogenic alteration to the urban surface and shallow subsurface, which has modified the natural physical and chemical groundwater system. Pollution associated with historical industry has also extensively impacted the quality of Quaternary groundwater.

**KEY WORDS:** conceptual model, aquifer characteristics, groundwater flow, groundwater chemistry, groundwater-surface water interaction, pollution

Across the UK and the rest of Europe, recognition of the importance of shallow groundwater in the urban environment is increasing, driven by the need to protect water supplies and by environmental legislative and policy developments, in particular the EU Water Framework Directive (reference?), and growing interest in sustainable urban drainage schemes. Many European cities use urban aquifers for water supply (e.g. cities in Russia, Germany, Slovenia, the UK, Wolf *et al.* 2006), and although these are typically deeper aquifers, the potential impact of overlying shallow groundwater on deeper groundwater resource use is significant. However, the Water Framework Directive broadened the scope of groundwater management by highlighting the significance, and requiring the protection, of small, local and/or low productivity aquifers, and of groundwater that is not necessarily currently used as an abstractive resource (SEPA 2007; UK TAG 2011). Urban groundwater can provide a wide range of ecosystem services in cities, including: maintaining healthy urban river flows; supporting surface water ecosystems and habitats; attenuating some pollutants; mitigating the impacts of extreme rainfall events, including surface flooding, through sustainable drainage systems; and a thermal resource for heating and cooling (e.g. in the USA, Kaufman *et al.* 2011; in Germany, Kessler *et al.* 2010; Lundy & Wade 2011; in Australia, Mitchell 2006; in London, Birks *et al.* 2013; and in Cardiff, Farr *et al.* 2017). Urban groundwater can also be a threat, for example, as a source and/or pathway of contamination to surface waters (e.g. Lerner 2003; in Birmingham, Shepherd *et al.* 2006; and in Glasgow, Wade *et al.* 2013), or through groundwater flooding at the ground surface or within underground assets (e.g. in Oxford, Macdonald D *et al.* 2012; and in London, Boni *et al.* 2016). Urbanisation initially tends to increase recharge to urban aquifers, with reductions in natural rainfall recharge compensated by leakage from water mains and/or wastewater returns via leaking sewers (e.g. in Hong Kong, Munich and Merida, Mexico, Lerner 1997). However, in post-industrial cities there can be a net reduction in recharge resulting from changing ground conditions, drainage patterns and leakage rates.

Urbanisation also typically increases contaminant loading of aquifers (Foster & Vairavamoorthy 2013).

Urban surface and subsurface conditions are inherently heterogeneous, such that the urban water environment is extensively altered compared to its pre-urbanised state. Urban alterations can significantly impact on groundwater systems (Marsalek *et al.* 2008; e.g. as demonstrated for London by Bricker *et al.* 2017), including: extensive areas of impermeable surface sealing; below ground construction that can impact on recharge and groundwater flows; artificial ground, which can be a barrier or a preferential route for recharge, discharge and contamination, and/or a contaminant source; engineered drainage; enhanced recharge from leakage of imported water from outside city boundaries (in water mains and/or sewers); reduced recharge where sewers ‘drain’ groundwater; areas of relatively ‘natural’ recharge in open parkland and gardens; and contamination from a wide range of sources (e.g. as demonstrated for Brighton, Liverpool, Birmingham and London in the UK by Lerner & Barratt 1996; for cities worldwide in Lerner 2003; for cities in Europe, Australia, the USA and Africa by Drangert & Cronin 2004; and for Bucharest, Romania by Boukhemacha *et al.* 2015). In the UK, complexity in the urban built environment is often matched by complex bedrock and Quaternary geology. This is the case in Glasgow, as with many other industrial cities overlying extensively mined Carboniferous rocks in central Scotland, the north and Midlands of England, and south Wales. Groundwater systems below urban areas are typically significantly more hydrogeologically complex than rural systems (Schirmer *et al.* 2013). Understanding the complexity of shallow urban groundwater systems and their interaction with surface water and land is critical if we are to better benefit and manage any threats from urban groundwater (Howard 2002; Shepherd *et al.* 2006; Wolf *et al.* 2006).

This paper illustrates how conceptual understanding of a shallow urban groundwater system can be improved in a city where shallow groundwater is not widely abstracted and, consequently, relatively little groundwater data are available. We describe the hydrogeology

of the Quaternary aquifer system beneath Glasgow, drawing on the results of projects carried out by the British Geological Survey (BGS) as part of the Clyde Urban Super Project (CUSP) between 2004 and 2015. This work was driven by Glasgow City Council's commitment to ensuring that the city's physical and chemical water environment is not adversely impacted by development, and to incorporating an effective understanding of the subsurface, including groundwater, in planning for effective and sustainable urban regeneration and development (Glasgow City Council 2015). Within CUSP, the studies that have most contributed to improving hydrogeological understanding in Glasgow are: the development of numerical 3D geological models of Quaternary sediments (Monaghan *et al.* 2014; Kearsley *et al.* 2015; Kearsley *et al.* this volume); collating and interpreting available groundwater data and piloting a monitoring network for shallow groundwater heads in E Glasgow (Bonsor *et al.* 2010); the development of a tool to assess threats to shallow groundwater from soil pollutants (Fordyce *et al.* this volume); improving the management of subsurface data (Bonsor *et al.* 2013); collating and using geotechnical data to model Quaternary hydraulic conductivity (Williams *et al.*, this volume); and developing a simple groundwater flow model for the Quaternary aquifer system, used to test conceptual hydrogeological understanding (Turner *et al.* 2014).

Glasgow is Scotland's largest city and former primary industrial centre, covering an area of approximately 400 km<sup>2</sup> on the Clyde estuary in W-central Scotland, at the NW extent of the 3100 km<sup>2</sup> River Clyde catchment (Figure 1). Glasgow has a legacy of industrially polluted land and of coal and other mineral mining, and is currently undergoing extensive regeneration and development. Upstream from the city, the Clyde catchment is predominantly rural and upland, with land use dominated by moorland, grassland and arable farming, and extensive commercial forestry in some areas. The city has a temperate maritime climate with an average precipitation of 1100 mm/year and potential evaporation rates in the order of 500 mm/year (Turner *et al.* 2014). Within Glasgow, a number of open or partially-culverted tributaries drain into the River Clyde.

In the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, groundwater, abstracted largely from Carboniferous bedrock aquifers in and around Glasgow, was widely used in industries that included distilling, brewing and other food and drink manufacture, dye works, iron works, sawmills, chemical manufacture, printing, shipbuilding and laundries (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2011). Extensive groundwater abstraction also occurred from coal-bearing Carboniferous rocks during mine dewatering operations, with an estimated total abstraction at peak dewatering activity of approximately 215,000 m<sup>3</sup> /day (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2007). As industrial activity declined during the 20<sup>th</sup> century, so did groundwater abstraction, and by the early 21<sup>st</sup> century there were only a handful of groundwater abstraction boreholes within the city boundary, all from bedrock. Groundwater from the Quaternary aquifer system in Glasgow has not historically been widely abstracted. The availability of abundant, if often highly mineralised, groundwater in bedrock aquifers below the city, which was later replaced by surface water sources outside the city; combined with actual and/or perceived poor shallow urban groundwater quality, mean there has been little investigation of Quaternary groundwater in Glasgow. Prior to the Clyde Urban Super Project, research in the 1990s highlighted shallow groundwater contamination in parts of eastern Glasgow, historically one of its most intensively industrial areas, particularly related to chromium contamination (Whalley *et al.* 1998; Farmer *et al.* 2002). Since then, few dedicated groundwater studies have been undertaken of the Quaternary aquifer system in Glasgow. A pilot groundwater monitoring network, implemented as part of the CUSP project, allowed the collection of new groundwater head data for the Quaternary aquifer system in parts of E Glasgow (Bonsor *et al.* 2010), and an investigation of chromium attenuation in the hyporheic zone of a stream in SE Glasgow provided new groundwater chemistry data for a small area (Palumbo-Roe *et al.* 2017). However, many subsurface site investigations, some extensive, have been carried out for the purposes of land regeneration, particularly in E Glasgow. These private sector investigations typically include the collection of targeted groundwater data, in particular contaminant groundwater chemistry, sometimes groundwater

heads and less commonly hydraulic conductivity. However, the data are rarely used beyond the immediate purposes of the site development project, and are not generally in the public domain (Bonsor *et al.* 2013). Glasgow City Council has also carried out some groundwater head monitoring adjacent to the River Clyde. As part of CUSP, these groundwater data have been collated and used to help develop an improved conceptual model of the shallow Quaternary groundwater system in Glasgow.

## **1. Geology**

Glasgow's geology is typical of many other UK cities in central Scotland, the north and Midlands of England, and south Wales; and of many cities outwith the UK that overlie coal bearing rocks. A heterogeneous, complex and often thick sequence of unconsolidated Quaternary deposits overlies Carboniferous bedrock that is often coal-bearing and was extensively mined in the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries.

### **1.1. Bedrock geology**

The bedrock geology in Glasgow is dominated by Carboniferous sedimentary rocks, dominantly the Clackmannan and the Scottish Coal Measures groups (Figure 2) of Upper Carboniferous age. The older Clackmannan Group comprises the Limestone Coal, Upper Limestone, Lower Limestone and Passage formations. The younger Coal Measures are subdivided into Lower, Middle and Upper. These consist primarily of cyclical fluvial, deltaic and marine sedimentary sequences of sandstones, mudstones, limestones, coals and ironstones in varying proportions. Limestone, ironstone and coal seams within the sequence are typically thin, often less than 1 m, but sandstone and mudstone beds are often tens of metres thick. The Passage Formation is the only unit in the sequence that is not coal-bearing. The Clackmannan Group beneath Glasgow ranges from 670 to 830 m in thickness, and the Coal Measures Group is 360 m thick (Hall *et al.* 1998). There is a long history of coal mining from Carboniferous

sedimentary rocks in Glasgow and the surrounding region. Scottish coal production, almost entirely in the Central Belt of Scotland, peaked in 1913 at approximately 44 million tonnes annually (Younger 2001). Coal mining in Glasgow began over 300 years ago, and ended with the closure of the last colliery in the city in 1966 (Hall *et al.* 1998). The Limestone Coal Formation was the principal source of coal in Glasgow, but coal seams in other formations have also been extensively mined, particularly the Middle and Lower Coal Measures and the Upper Limestone Formation (Hall *et al.* 1998).

Basaltic-felsic lava flows of the Clyde Plateau Volcanic Formation, produced during large scale volcanism in the Lower Carboniferous, form high ground to the S and N of the city (Figure 2). The volcanic rocks occur in discrete, largely fault-bounded blocks within the dominantly sedimentary sequence (Hall *et al.* 1998). Intrusive igneous rocks of late Carboniferous and early Permian age also crop out in Glasgow (Figure 2), mostly taking the form of doleritic sills and dykes (Browne *et al.* 1999, Hall *et al.* 1998).

## **1.2. Quaternary geology**

Quaternary deposits in Glasgow comprise a complex, heterogeneous sequence of glacial and postglacial sediments with diverse depositional histories. BGS developed a detailed 3D model of the Quaternary geology across the whole of Glasgow, which includes 21 distinct lithostratigraphic units, including anthropogenic deposits, which is described in Monaghan *et al.* (2014) and Kearsley *et al.* (this volume). The model was developed in GSI3D™, a three dimensional geological framework modelling methodology that uses information from borehole geological logs and captures geologists' conceptualisation of geological structure (Kessler & Mathers 2004). A subsequent stochastic geological model was developed to investigate the lithological variability of the Quaternary sequence in central Glasgow (Kearsley *et al.* 2015), using GOCAD® modelling software and information from geotechnical borehole logs.

A simplified 2D map output from the 3D model shows the surface outcrop of key Quaternary units and their subsurface expression is illustrated in cross sections (Figure 3). They are described below and summarised in Table 1.

The most laterally extensive Quaternary deposit across Glasgow is glacial till of the Wilderness Till Formation, which crops out at the ground surface across much of the city (Figure 3), and rests on bedrock across much of the area (Kearsey *et al.* 2015; Monaghan *et al.* 2014). It is typically less than 5m thick, but can be thicker in drumlins, and becomes thinner and sometimes absent on higher ground (Figure 3, Table 1) (Kearsey *et al.* 2015, Monaghan *et al.* 2014).

In the central part of the Clyde valley in Glasgow, along the lower reaches of the present course of the River Clyde, the Wilderness Till Formation is overlain by a sequence of postglacial sand, gravel, silt and clay deposits, of (glacio) marine, estuarine, deltaic and alluvial origin (Table 1, Figure 3). These include a number of geological units of different thickness and composition, the oldest of which is the Bridgeton Sand Member and the youngest the Law Sand and Gravel Member (Figure 3, Table 1,). The total thickness of these deposits in the centre of the Clyde valley commonly exceeds 30m (Browne & McMillan 1989; Kearsey *et al.* 2015, Monaghan *et al.* 2014). The geological units are typically highly heterogeneous, reflecting the wide variety and intrinsic heterogeneity of depositional environments. For example, Browne & McMillan (1989) describe the depositional environment of the Bridgeton Sand Member as a glaciomarine delta, where highly heterogeneous glacial meltwater flows entered the sea and were subject to marine currents, resulting in a fining-up succession of sands and silts with discrete palaeochannels and multiple scales and types of sedimentary bedding structures; and infer post-depositional evolution slumping, folding and faulting at micro to metres scale. A similar degree of heterogeneity occurs in other geological units Glasgow, including the Gourock Sand and Paisley Clay members (Browne & McMillan 1989).

In common with all historical urban areas, there are extensive anthropogenic deposits across Glasgow. These are highly variable in origin, nature and thickness, and include all types of

artificial (made) ground including worked, infilled, landscaped and disturbed ground. Excavated voids include clay or sand extraction quarries or pits and shallow mine workings, many of which have subsequently been filled with waste from mining (coal, ironstone and other minerals), refining (including chemical waste, particularly from chromite ore processing), manufacturing (including cement, iron and steel and chemicals) and construction. Artificial ground in Glasgow is generally less than 2.5 m thick, but in the most industrialised areas are frequently up to 10 m thick, and less frequently more than 20 m thick (Monaghan *et al.* 2014).

## **2. Bedrock hydrogeology**

Little information is available on the hydrogeology of Carboniferous sedimentary bedrock aquifers in Glasgow, or of hydraulic connectivity and interaction between them and the overlying Quaternary aquifer system. Hydrogeological data, such as aquifer properties, groundwater heads or groundwater chemistry, were not routinely recorded during the period of peak groundwater abstraction from these aquifers in the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries. Available local groundwater data from this period are largely restricted to occasional one-off records of borehole yield and, even more rarely, groundwater heads and limited chemical analyses.

Data from beyond Glasgow across central Scotland shows that similar extensively mined Carboniferous sedimentary formations typically form moderate productivity, multi-layered aquifers, in which sandstones act as discrete aquifer units, separated by lower permeability siltstones, mudstones and unmined coals (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2011; Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2015a, b). Faults have been shown to subdivide the Carboniferous sedimentary aquifer in some areas: some faults are permeable, and can act as preferential flow pathways; others act as barriers to groundwater flow (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2015b). The impacts of mining – both where mine voids remain open and where they have subsequently collapsed, causing increased fracturing – tend to increase, often dramatically, the permeability and, to a lesser degree the

storage capacity, of the Carboniferous aquifer, both in and immediately adjacent to mining activities (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2015b).

The limited available data for Glasgow itself indicate that the city may have been a focus for the discharge of groundwater flowing through Carboniferous rocks from the E, NE and SE (Hall *et al.* 1998, Robins 1990). The natural chemistry of groundwater in the Carboniferous aquifer in Glasgow has been reported as typically moderately to highly mineralised, with abundant iron and manganese in solution (Hall *et al.*, 1998; Ball, 1999), and mining impacts are thought to have led to significant deterioration in groundwater quality (Hall *et al.* 1998). Data from a small number of new groundwater boreholes drilled in Glasgow since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century indicate typical yields from unmined strata of less than 1 l/s, with a handful of boreholes providing higher yields of up to 9 l/s (Hall *et al.* 1998). Confined conditions can occur in sandstone units in Carboniferous aquifers and can lead to artesian water heads – naturally overflowing at the ground surface – and a small number of artesian boreholes were recorded historically in the Coal Measures and Clackmannan groups in Glasgow.

### **3. Results of investigations into Quaternary hydrogeology**

#### **3.1. Aquifer structure and hydraulic properties**

The new 3D geological models developed by BGS (Figure 3) allow detailed visualisation of the complex Quaternary sediments below Glasgow and support interpretation of their likely aquifer hydraulic properties, including lateral and vertical variations in hydraulic conductivity, and hydraulic connectivity between different units. The most accurate way to characterise aquifer hydraulic conductivity is through *in situ* testing (Williams *et al.* this volume). However, it is expensive to collect such data, and is particularly difficult to collect enough data for effective characterisation in Quaternary deposits because of their intrinsic heterogeneity, the more so in urban areas where the subsurface has been extensively altered (Bricker & Bloomfield 2014; Schirmer *et al.* 2013; Williams *et al.* this volume). Limited *in situ* hydraulic

conductivity field test data for Glasgow, previously collected during land regeneration site investigations carried out by private sector organisations, were available through the BGS National Geotechnical Properties Database, measured by falling head, packer and constant head tests (Figure 4A). Between 5 and 17 individual hydraulic conductivity values are available for each of the four most widespread Quaternary units in Glasgow: the Gourrock Sand, Paisley Clay and Bridgeton Sand members and the Wilderness Till Formation (Table 1).

To supplement this limited dataset we sourced two supporting proxy datasets for hydraulic conductivity for Quaternary deposits in Glasgow. The first is a series of hydraulic conductivity values derived from particle size and relative density data by Williams *et al.* (*this volume*) (Figure 4B). The relationship between particle size and hydraulic conductivity is well documented (Bricker & Bloomfield 2014; MacDonald *et al.* 2012; Williams *et al.* *this volume*), as are the limitations of the various formulae and methodologies available for estimating hydraulic conductivity from particle size (Bricker & Bloomfield 2014; Williams *et al.* *this volume*). The formula used to derive the hydraulic conductivity values in this dataset was developed by MacDonald *et al.* (2012) for highly heterogeneous superficial deposits, and is well suited to urban environments because it uses standard geotechnical parameters that are near-ubiquitous in urban investigations (Williams *et al.* *this volume*).

The second supporting dataset is a qualitative assessment of aquifer permeability based on lithology, which assumes that the higher the proportion of sand and gravel in a unit, the higher its overall permeability will be, and vice versa (e.g. Lewis *et al.* 2006). The proportion of (i) coarse grained (sand and gravel) and (ii) fine grained (silt and clay) deposits in each geological unit was calculated from lithological analysis of the large number of available borehole logs for central Glasgow (Turner *et al.* 2014; Figure 4C). More detailed lithological analysis was done on data from the same borehole logs by Kearsey *et al.* (2015), with comparable results.

The Gourock Sand Member has the highest median measured (0.84 m/day) and derived (1.03 m/day) hydraulic conductivity values (Figure 4A, B). Lithological analysis supports this, indicating that the unit is dominated by sand and gravel deposits.

Only six measured hydraulic conductivity values are available for the Bridgeton Sand Member, all of which are for silty fine-grained sand horizons. The measured median value (0.12 m/day) is low, comparable to the Paisley Clay Member, but the range in measured values indicates a skew towards higher hydraulic conductivity values (Figure 4A). There are significantly more derived than measured hydraulic conductivity values, and these indicate higher values (median 0.54 m/day; Figure 4B). Lithological analysis indicates the unit overall is dominated by coarse grained deposits (Figure 4C), so that the measured hydraulic conductivity values may not be representative. Differences in hydraulic conductivity between units may not be wholly controlled by lithology but, for example, by local differences in depositional history. However, this evidence suggests that the overall hydraulic conductivity of the Bridgeton Sand Member may be similar to that of the Gourock Sand Member, although the observed presence of horizons with lower hydraulic conductivity means anisotropy is likely to be higher.

More (17) measured hydraulic conductivity values are available for the Paisley Clay Member. This has the lowest median measured hydraulic conductivity (0.068 m/day), but the largest range in values ( $1.36E^{-5}$  – 164.2 m/day) (Figure 4A). The derived values show a similar pattern (Figure 4B). Lithological analysis indicates the unit is dominated by fine grained deposits (Figure 4C), which is at odds with the number of higher hydraulic conductivity values reported. However, stochastic lithological modelling indicates that the Paisley Clay Member contains a significant proportion of sand (Kearsey *et al.* 2015), which is supported by the number of relatively high derived hydraulic conductivity values. It may be that there are frequent, possibly thin, sand-dominated horizons, which can influence the local permeability of the unit, but which are not recorded in the borehole logs selected for lithological analysis. This probable interbedding of fine and coarse grained lenses or layers means that the Paisley Clay Member

may exhibit significantly more anisotropy in hydraulic conductivity than the other two aquifer units.

Only five measured hydraulic conductivity values are available for the Wilderness Till Formation, and they are similar to the significantly larger dataset of calculated values, indicating low average values (Figure 4A, B). Lithological analysis indicates the unit is dominated by fine-grained deposits (Figure 4C).

### **3.2. Groundwater heads**

Time series groundwater head (level) data were collated from 20 monitoring boreholes in the Quaternary aquifer system in Glasgow along a 7 km long stretch of the River Clyde (Figure 5). Data were measured by automatic pressure transducer, corrected for atmospheric pressure variations. Most of the boreholes lie in a series of transects sited from 20 to 290 m and more or less perpendicularly away from the river (Figure 5, inset). Five are upstream and the others are downstream of the nominal tidal limit of the River Clyde (defined for navigation purposes on the river) (Figure 5, Table 2). The aquifer unit monitored by each borehole was identified by comparing borehole lithology with the recorded position of the borehole screen (the open portion of the borehole). Sixteen of the boreholes monitor the Gourock Sand and/or the Bridgeton Sand Member, either alone or where the two aquifer units are in direct contact (not separated by the intervening Paisley Clay Member); and four of the boreholes monitor the Paisley Clay Member (Table 2).

The monitoring period in each borehole ranged from 15 to 34 months. The monitoring frequency was 15 minute intervals in most cases, but occasionally 5 minute intervals. Fifteen boreholes downstream of the River Clyde tidal limit were monitored for between 15 and 34 months between 2003 and 2006, although none were monitored continuously throughout this time (Figure 6, Table 2). Five boreholes, all upstream of the tidal limit, were monitored continuously for between 16 and 24 months between 2011 and 2013 (Figure 7, Table 2).

Groundwater head elevations (as height above Ordnance Datum) are highest at the upstream end of the study area, with an overall fall in elevation down-valley from SE to NW (Figure 5B).

The relationship between groundwater head and lateral distance away from the River Clyde is less direct (Figure 5C). Groundwater heads in individual borehole transects show evidence of hydraulic gradients both towards and away from the river, over distances of 100 to 150 m. In two transects (P9/P10/P11 and P20/P19/P18), groundwater heads are higher further from the river (e.g. P20>P18; P9<P11, Figure 6B). However, in transect (P15/P14/P13) further downstream, groundwater heads further from the river are lower than closer to the river (e.g. P1, P14>15, Figure 6D).

Groundwater heads in the monitoring boreholes fluctuate at daily (including tidal), rainfall event and seasonal timescales, correlating with rainfall events and fluctuations in River Clyde stage (Figures 6, 7 and 8). The maximum seasonal fluctuation in individual boreholes over the monitored period ranges from 0.5 to 3.5 m, with a median fluctuation across all boreholes of 1.4 m. Only one borehole (P3, Figure 7) shows any evidence of a falling trend in groundwater head over the monitored period, and none show any evidence of a rising groundwater head. Following individual rainfall events, groundwater heads – and river stage – rise in all monitored aquifer units. In most cases, groundwater head increases and recessions coincide with river stage fluctuations, but with distinct differences in groundwater head response in different boreholes. The largest groundwater head rises are seen in borehole P5 in the Gourock Sand Member at the upstream end of the study area (Figure 7A), with smaller rises in P6, P7, P9, P11 (Gourock Sand/Bridgeton Sand members) and P16 (Paisley Clay Member) (Figure 6A & 6B). In a few boreholes, particularly P1 and P4 (both Paisley Clay Member), groundwater head rises following individual rainfall events are more muted (Figure 7A). Groundwater head recessions are in most cases slower than river stage recession (Figure 6, Figure 7). However, occasionally rapid groundwater head recessions occur at broadly similar times in a number of

boreholes (e.g. in September 2004 in P14 and P16, and December 2004 in P6, P14 and P17) (Figure 6A). These typically occur at relatively, but not extremely, low river stage. The boreholes affected are in all three main aquifer units and spaced over an area of up to 2 km. The reasons for this are not yet clear: there is no evidence for pumping or dewatering from the Quaternary aquifer system in the study area, and in any case, pumping effects would not be expected to cause rapid drawdown across such large areas.

Groundwater head fluctuations of different magnitudes also occur in response to tidal forcing (Figure 8). Downstream of the tidal limit, groundwater heads show a strong tidal response of up to approximately 1 m within 20 to 30 m of the river, falling typically to 0.1 to 0.2 m between 50 and 170 m, and reducing to zero by approximately 200 m from the river (Figure 8C). Upstream of the tidal limit, there is no sign of tidal influence on groundwater heads in most boreholes, except for borehole P5 (30 m from the river) in which bi-daily fluctuations occur, coinciding with tidal fluctuations, on days when the tidal range at Renfrew is greater than 3 m (Figure 8A).

There is some evidence for confined conditions in parts of the Quaternary aquifer system, most often in the lower aquifer units. Table 2 highlights boreholes in which monitored minimum groundwater head lies above the monitored aquifer unit – i.e., the aquifer unit is confined. All three of the boreholes that solely monitor the lowermost Bridgeton Sand Member appear to be confined, as are two of the four boreholes monitoring only the Gourock Sand Member and one of the three boreholes monitoring the Paisley Clay Member. Of the ten boreholes monitoring both the Gourock Sand and Bridgeton Sand members where these units are in direct contact, all show groundwater heads in the Gourock Sand Member, suggesting these two aquifer units are in hydraulic continuity.

### **3.3. Groundwater chemistry**

A dataset of 690 inorganic chemistry analyses of groundwater samples from 136 boreholes in artificial ground or natural Quaternary sediments in Glasgow was collated from data from a number of sites along a transect through central Glasgow (Figure 9). This is compared to a separate, baseline, dataset of 111 chemistry analyses of groundwater samples from 110 boreholes or springs in Quaternary aquifers in rural areas across Scotland. The major ion chemistry of the baseline and Glasgow groundwaters is illustrated by Piper plots (Figure 10). The range in concentration of selected groundwater chemical parameters in the baseline and Glasgow datasets is shown by box plots (Figure 11) and their spatial distribution is shown on maps (Figure 9).

**3.3.1. Baseline Quaternary groundwater chemistry.** The baseline dataset, of 111 analyses of inorganic groundwater chemistry from 110 boreholes or springs in Quaternary aquifers in rural areas across Scotland, was collated during a project that characterised the baseline chemistry of groundwaters in all Scotland's aquifers (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2015a or b?). The samples were collected between 1983 and 2007 from a range of Quaternary aquifer lithologies, particularly alluvium, glaciofluvial and raised marine deposits. The dataset was subject to consistent sample collection, laboratory analysis and quality checking procedures. A total of 89% of the analyses had an ionic charge balance error of less than  $\pm 5\%$ , which is the standard criteria for assessing analytical quality; the mean ionic error was  $+0.39\%$ , and the total error range was  $-8$  to  $+9\%$ .

The broad geographic and geological range of the samples makes this dataset a useful indicator of likely baseline Quaternary groundwater conditions across Scotland, against which the chemistry of the urban Glasgow Quaternary groundwaters can be compared.

Quaternary groundwaters in Scotland are typically weakly mineralised, of Ca-HCO<sub>3</sub> to Ca-Cl type (Figure 10), with slightly acidic pH and low to moderate specific electrical conductivity (SEC) (Figure 11) (Ó Dochartaigh *et al.* 2015b; Robins 2002). They show a relatively wide range in concentration of many major and minor ions, in particular calcium, bicarbonate,

nitrate, iron, manganese and nickel, but a narrow range in concentration of most trace ions, such as arsenic and many metals (Fig. 11).

**3.3.2. Glasgow Quaternary groundwater chemistry.** The Glasgow dataset, of 690 analyses of inorganic groundwater chemistry from 136 boreholes in artificial ground (440 analyses from 68 boreholes) and natural Quaternary aquifer units (250 analyses from 68 boreholes), was collated from the results of a number of land contamination and regeneration investigations carried out by different private sector organisations. Analyses of organic contaminants in groundwater were also available from many of these boreholes but are not examined in this paper, which focuses on inorganic groundwater chemistry, for which baseline data from rural areas are available for comparison.

The groundwater samples were collected between 2003 and 2013, but principally between 2007 and 2010, from boreholes that lie along a 7 km long transect in the Clyde valley in central and eastern Glasgow, dominantly from the Gourock Sand, Bridgeton Sand and Paisley Clay members (Figure 9). All the samples are from areas of Glasgow that were formerly heavily industrialised, and many are from known historical industrial sites. Some of the boreholes sampled are known to intercept artificial ground derived from chemical waste. It is possible, perhaps probable, that the chemistry of groundwater in at least some of the natural Quaternary aquifers is also impacted by overlying artificial ground. Detailed location data are available for around half of the boreholes sampled (38% of the analyses) (Figure 9). The exact location of the remainder of the boreholes is unknown, but they all lie along the same transect through the central part of the Clyde valley.

The parameters determined in groundwater are not consistent across the area, as the samples were collected for different purposes during site investigations. Values for pH and specific electrical conductivity (SEC) are available for almost 90% of the sites; a full suite of major ions, except bicarbonate, is available for approximately 50% of the samples; and bicarbonate is available (either alone or together with other major ions) for approximately 56% of the

samples. A full ionic charge balance (possible only with a full suite of major ions including bicarbonate) could be derived for 10% of Quaternary and 51% of artificial ground samples. Of these, 22% have a charge balance error of less than  $\pm 5\%$ ; the mean ionic balance is  $+4.42\%$ ; and the total error range is  $-65$  to  $+86\%$ .

The groundwaters in artificial ground tend to be of Ca-HCO<sub>3</sub> to Ca-SO<sub>4</sub> type, with some Na/K dominated waters (Figure 10). There are fewer available values for groundwaters in natural Quaternary aquifers in Glasgow, but these tend to be of Ca or Na/K-HCO<sub>3</sub> type (Figure 10). Glasgow groundwaters, in both natural Quaternary aquifers and artificial ground, are more mineralised than baseline Quaternary groundwaters. In particular, compared to the baseline Quaternary groundwaters, Glasgow groundwaters have: higher conductivity (typically greater than 1000  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ); higher pH (and groundwaters in artificial ground particularly show a much greater range in pH values); higher average concentrations of most major ions and many trace metals, including As, Cd, Cr, Hg and Pb; and greater variability in many ions, particularly many trace metals and the major ions Na and SO<sub>4</sub> (Figure 11). Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) is the only major ion that is lower in concentration (based on median values) in Glasgow groundwaters than in the rural baseline dataset (Figure 11).

Spatially, the distribution of available inorganic chemistry data for Quaternary Glasgow groundwaters (Figure 9) shows little evidence of any chemical evolution across the aquifer system, but does indicate areas of particular shallow groundwater contamination. Highly mineralised groundwaters (indicated by SEC values greater than 1000  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ) and higher than baseline concentrations of major ions, including Ca, SO<sub>4</sub>, Na and Cl, occur across the study area of central and E Glasgow (Figure 9). Most of the Glasgow groundwaters show alkaline pH, contrasting with the baseline waters, and in some areas, particularly in the SE of the study area, very alkaline pH (Figure 9). Trace ions, such as Cr, As and Pb, tend to show elevated concentrations only in specific zones, in particular the same area in the SE of the study area (Figure 9). Iron concentrations are high across much of the study area, but are also particularly

elevated in some sites, including the same area in the SE. Naturally high iron concentrations are common in groundwater in Scotland, linked to low pH, organic-rich, low oxygen conditions, but are rarer in the alkaline conditions present in most of the Glasgow groundwaters, and so the high values seen in Glasgow are likely to be linked to contamination. This area in the SE of the study area has a concentration of significant historical pollution associated with industrial metal processing sites, including a large steelworks, which produced alkaline lime waste, and a Cr ore processing plant that is associated with extensive local deposition of Cr waste (also rich in other trace metals, including Fe). This area also has a significant extent and thickness of artificial ground formed of building rubble and cement, which tends to be alkaline in nature, and is associated with elevated concentrations of many trace metals in soils, including As, Cr, Pb and Fe, (Fordyce *et al*, *this volume*).

#### **4. A conceptual model of the Quaternary groundwater system in Glasgow**

The Quaternary geological sequence in the central Clyde valley in Glasgow forms a shallow complex aquifer system that comprises a series of hydrogeologically heterogeneous lithostratigraphic units, with variable hydraulic connectivity between different aquifer units, and between the Quaternary aquifer system and surface waters.

Three Quaternary geological formations – the Bridgeton Sand, Gourock Sand and Paisley Clay members – together form a linear aquifer system approximately 2 to 3 km wide and 10 to 30 m thick (Figure 3) beneath Glasgow. This groundwater system is highly heterogeneous. Natural variations arise from lithological differences and the changing influence of the tidal River Clyde on groundwater with distance from the river. Anthropogenic heterogeneity arises from urban influences such as altered surface permeability and subsurface flowpaths, and urban recharge.

The uppermost of these three aquifer units, the Gourock Sand Member, and the lowermost Bridgeton Sand Member, are dominated by coarse grained sediment (gravel and/or sand) and have moderately to locally high hydraulic conductivities. The intervening Paisley Clay

Member (which sometimes overlies the Bridgeton Sand Member with no overlying Gourock Sand Member), is dominated by fine grained sediment (silt and/or clay), but contains significant layers or lenses of coarser grained sediment, and as a consequence appears to show locally moderately high hydraulic conductivity, although at a city scale it is less permeable than the other two units.

The Gourock Sand and Bridgeton Sand members appear to form a single hydraulically connected aquifer unit where the former directly overlies the latter. The Paisley Clay Member, although less permeable overall than the other two aquifer units, is also hydraulically active, and where it separates the two other aquifer units, groundwater in all three units is likely to be in hydraulic connectivity.

Other Quaternary formations in Glasgow are likely to be less significant hydrogeologically, because they are typically thinner, laterally restricted and/or have relatively low permeability. Where small but permeable gravel and sand-dominated units occur they may allow local groundwater storage and flow, and where these directly over- or underlie one of the main three aquifer units they may contribute to overall groundwater storage and flow in the main aquifer, but they are not likely to be significant at a city scale.

The Quaternary aquifer system is overlain by widespread, heterogeneous anthropogenic (artificial) ground, and the surface land cover is heavily urbanised, which will have variable impacts on natural hydrogeological processes. The generally high permeability and widely unconfined nature of the upper parts of the natural Quaternary aquifer system mean that it is likely to accept potential recharge reaching its upper surface via any artificial ground. Preliminary groundwater modelling estimated average long term recharge to the aquifer system at 275 mm/year (Turner *et al.* 2014).

Groundwater flow direction through the Quaternary aquifer system at a city scale is dominantly down-valley, from SE to NW. Groundwater head and river level monitoring data also show a component of groundwater flow convergent towards the River Clyde from the edge of the

Quaternary aquifer system. Local reversal of this convergent flow in one area in centre-W Glasgow has also been observed, driven by a groundwater head gradient from the river into the aquifer system for at least 50 m distance away from the river. The causes of this variation in groundwater flow direction are unclear, but may be linked to local scale hydrogeological heterogeneities, or to the influence of buried urban infrastructure, such as building and quay walls and engineered river banks.

Two mechanisms drive the strong observed relationship between groundwater head response, and rainfall and river stage fluctuations. Rapid groundwater head change – on a scale of hours – is driven primarily by pressure changes in the aquifer system as it responds to rising and falling piezometric stage in the River Clyde. Slower, longer lasting groundwater head changes – on a scale of days to weeks – occur in response to the physical flow of water through the aquifer system, from the infiltration of rainfall at the ground surface and/or the infiltration of river water through the river bed. These responses are sometimes termed *celerity* and *velocity*, respectively (McDonnell & Beven 2014). The rapid, pressure-driven connection between river and groundwater is clearly demonstrated by tidal forcing of groundwater heads. This effect is evident throughout the tidal zone of the River Clyde in Glasgow, in all the monitored aquifer units, and at distances of up to 200 m from the river, although the greatest effects are seen closest to the river. This agrees with observations from other large Quaternary valley aquifers, such as in the Findhorn valley in NE Scotland, where the direct influence of the river on groundwater is greatest within 250 m of the river (MacDonald *et al.* 2014). A small tidal influence on groundwater head is also seen some 3 km (straight-line distance) upstream of the navigational tidal limit of the River Clyde, indicating that the tidal impact on groundwater extends further upstream than this nominal limit.

The consistently more mineralised groundwaters in Glasgow than in rural areas, with higher concentrations of most major and trace ions, indicate widespread urban, including industrial, contamination. Widespread elevated concentrations of major ions such as Ca, K and SO<sub>4</sub> are

likely to be linked to contamination from urban waste material, such as cement, metals, mine spoil or chemicals from activities such as building, manufacturing, mining and industrial processes such as chromite ore processing. The generally relatively low NO<sub>3</sub> values in urban groundwater indicate that sewage contamination of groundwater is not widespread. The highly elevated concentrations of trace metals, including As, Cr and Pb, in particular an area in the SE of the study area, indicates that historical industrial contamination at specific sites, from activities including mining, chromite ore processing and the manufacture of iron and steel, is still impacting on the quality of groundwater in the Quaternary aquifer system.

Based on the spatial distribution of data available to this study, there is no evidence of evolution of groundwater chemistry along the dominant down-valley groundwater flow line through Glasgow. The effects of contamination on groundwater chemistry are likely to be far stronger than any evolutionary changes with groundwater flow.

The presence and active flow of groundwater observed in the Carboniferous bedrock and the overlying Quaternary aquifers means there is likely to be hydraulic connection between the two. Previous authors have speculated that regional groundwater flow through the Carboniferous aquifer may be towards Glasgow (Hall *et al.* 1998, Robins 1990), which suggests that within Glasgow there may be upward groundwater flow through the Carboniferous aquifer towards the Quaternary aquifer system. However, the lack of groundwater data for the Carboniferous bedrock aquifer means it is not yet possible to characterise or quantify any such connection. This is a key gap in our understanding of Glasgow's hydrogeology. A better understanding is needed of the connectivity of groundwater in Quaternary and bedrock aquifers, and of surface water and groundwater interactions. This will enable a more effective assessment of groundwater status, and of specific issues such as the relationship between shallow groundwater contamination and the chemistry of groundwater in the bedrock aquifer, or the impacts of future activities, such as the potential effect of

abstracting thermal energy from minewaters on groundwater in the overlying Quaternary aquifer system.

## **5. Conclusions**

Understanding the complexity of shallow urban groundwater systems, and their interaction with surface water and land systems, is critical if we are to better benefit, and manage any threats, from urban groundwater. This paper illustrates how conceptual understanding of a shallow urban groundwater system can be improved in cities where shallow groundwater is not widely abstracted and, consequently, little groundwater information exists. The conceptual model of the Quaternary groundwater system in Glasgow described here has been developed through a series of dedicated groundwater field and modelling studies, which made extensive use of third party private sector and local authority data originally collected for a range of purposes. The success of this approach in collating and synthesising groundwater information from many sources is demonstrated by the significant improvement of our knowledge of the Quaternary hydrogeology of Glasgow, which would not have been possible by relying solely on new, dedicated groundwater data collection. A similar approach can be recommended in other cities with similarities in hydrogeology, history and data availability.

This work has allowed us to identify many remaining gaps in understanding of the Quaternary groundwater system in Glasgow, which can only be addressed by future collection of relevant data, including groundwater head and quality monitoring in targeted aquifers and locations across the city. One key area for groundwater investigations, to help reduce urban flooding and surface water contamination, is to better characterise groundwater-surface water interactions along surface water tributaries (including culverted reaches) and more widely along the River Clyde. Another key area, which would underpin potential future use of groundwater from the underlying bedrock aquifer as well as the Quaternary aquifer system, is to characterise and

quantify the hydraulic connectivity between the Quaternary and underlying Carboniferous bedrock aquifers.

Around the world, as the regeneration of post-industrial cities continues, and pressures on urban environments continue to grow; for example, through climate change and the increasing frequency of extreme weather events, the drivers for better management of shallow urban groundwater will become even stronger. More effective management of all urban water flows and quality is needed in order to optimise the role that shallow groundwater can play in providing benefits such as maintaining healthy urban river flows, mitigating the impact of extreme rainfall events by receiving additional recharge, or a low-carbon heating and cooling resource; and minimising any potential threats, such as groundwater flooding. This will rely on a good understanding of shallow groundwater and how it interacts with the wider urban environment.

## **6. Acknowledgements**

The authors thank Glasgow City Council for kindly providing access to groundwater monitoring data and the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) for kindly providing access to river stage data, and Keith Henderson of BGS for assistance with diagrams. The two reviewers are thanked for their very constructive comments that helped us improve the manuscript. This paper is published with the permission of the Executive Director of the British Geological Survey.

## **7. References**

Ball, D. F. 1999. *An overview of groundwater in Scotland*. Technical Report WD/99/44.

Keyworth: British Geological Survey.

- Birks, D., Whittall, S., Savill, I., Younger, P. L. & Parkin, G. 2013. *Groundwater cooling of a large building using a shallow alluvial aquifer in Central London. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology* **46**, 189-202. doi:10.1144/qjegh2012-059
- Boni, R., Cigna, F., Bricker, S., Meisina, C. & McCormack, H. 2016. *Characterisation of hydraulic head changes and aquifer properties in the London Basin using Persistent Scatter Interferometry ground motion data. Journal of Hydrology*, **540**, 835-849. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.06.068
- Bonsor, H. C., Bricker, S. H., Ó Dochartaigh, B. É. & Lawrie, K. I. G. 2010. *Project progress report 2010-11: groundwater monitoring in urban areas – a pilot study in Glasgow, UK. Internal Report IR/10/087. Keyworth: British Geological Survey* <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/15773/>
- Bonsor, H. C., Entwisle, D. C., Watson, S., Lawrie, K., Bricker, S., Campbell, S., Lawrence, S., Barron, H., Hall, I. & Ó Dochartaigh, B. É. 2013. *Maximising past investment in subsurface data in urban areas for sustainable resource management: a pilot in Glasgow. Technical Note, UK Ground Engineering*, **46**, (2), pp 25-28. Institute of Civil Engineers. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/502014/>
- Boukhemacha, M. A., Gogu, C. R., Serpescu, I., Gaitanaru, D. & Bica, I. 2015. *General aspects on urban hydrogeology and highlights from Bucharest, Romania. Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, **14**, 6.
- Bricker, S. H. & Bloomfield, J. P. 2014. *Controls on the basin-scale distribution of hydraulic conductivity of superficial deposits: a case study from the Thames Basin, UK. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, **47**, (3), 223-236. doi:10.1144/qjegh2013-072
- Bricker, S. H., Banks, V. J., Galik, G., Tapete, D. & Jones, R. 2017. Accounting for groundwater in future city visions. *Land Use Policy*, **69**, 618-630, ISSN 0264-8377, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.09.018>.

- Browne, M. A. E., Dean, M. T., Hall, H. I. S., McAdam, A. D., Monro, S. K. & Chisholm, J. I. 1999. *A lithostratigraphical framework for the Carboniferous rocks of the Midland Valley of Scotland, Version 2*. Research Report RR/88/07, Keyworth: British Geological Survey <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/3229/>
- Browne, M. A. E. & McMillan, A. A. 1989. *Quaternary geology of the Clyde valley*. Research Report SA/89/1. Keyworth: British Geological Survey.
- Drangert, J-O. & Cronin, A. A. 2004. *Use and abuse of the urban groundwater resource: Implications for a new management strategy*. *Hydrogeology Journal*, **12**, 94-102.
- Farmer, J. G., Thomas, R. P., Graham, M. C., Geelhoed, J. S., Lumsdon, D. G. & Paterson, E. 2002. *Chromium speciation and fractionation in ground and surface waters in the vicinity of chromite ore processing residue disposal sites*. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, **4**, 235-243.
- Farr, G. F., Patton, A. M., Boon, D. P., James, D. R., Williams, B. & Schofield, D. I. 2017. *The first city-wide map of shallow groundwater temperatures in the UK to support the development of ground source heat systems*. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, **50**, 187-198. doi:10.1144/qjegh2016-058
- Fordyce, F. M., Ó Dochartaigh, B. É., Bonsor, H. C., Ander, E. L., Graham, M. T., McCuaig, R. & Lovatt, M. *This volume. Assessing threats to shallow groundwater quality from soil pollutants in Glasgow, UK: development of a new screening tool*.
- Foster, S. F. & Vairavamoorthy, K. 2013. *Urban Groundwater – Policies and Institutions for Integrated Management*. Global Water Partnership Perspectives Paper. Published by Global Water Partnership.
- Glasgow City Council. 2015. *Glasgow: Proposed City Development Plan*. <https://www.glasgow.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=16186>. Accessed 7 April 2016.


- Hall, I. H. S., Browne, M. A. E. & Forsyth, I. H. 1998. *Geology of the Glasgow district: Memoir for 1: 50 000 Geological Sheet 30E (Scotland)*. Keyworth: British Geological Survey. ISBN 0-11-884534-9.
- Howard, K. W. F. 2002. *Urban groundwater issues: an introduction*. In Howard, K. W. F. & Israfilov, R. G. (eds) *Current problems of hydrogeology in urban areas*. Urban Agglomerates and Industrial Centres, 1-15. Nato Science Series: IV, **8**, Springer: Netherlands. doi: 10.1007/978-94-010-0409-1
- Kaufman, M. M., Rogers, D. T. & Murray, K. S. 2011. *Urban Watersheds: Geology, Contamination and Sustainable Development*. CRC Press.
- Kearsey, T., Williams, J., Finlayson, A., Williamson, P., Dobbs, M., Marchant, B., Kingdon, A. & Campbell, D. 2015. *Testing the application and limitation of stochastic simulations to predict the lithology of glacial and fluvial deposits in Central Glasgow, UK*. *Engineering Geology*, **187**, 98 - 112.
- Kearsey, T. I., Whitbread, K., Arkley, S. L. B., Finlayson, A., Monaghan, A. A., McLean, W. S., Terrington, R. L., Callaghan, E., Millward, D. & Campbell, S. D. G. *This volume. Creation and delivery of a complex 3D geological survey for the Glasgow area and its application to urban geology*.
- Kessler, H. & Mathers, S. 2004. *Maps to models*. *Geoscientist*, **14**, (10), 4-6.
- Kessler, H., Terrington, R.L., Sobisch, H-G., Gunnink, J., Ludwig, R., Harms, E., Turner, K. & Moore, R. 2010. *The need for improved management of the subsurface. Conference proceedings*. In: *First International Conference on Frontiers in Shallow Subsurface Technology, Delft, the Netherlands, 20-22 Jan 2010*. 43-50.
- Lerner, D. N. & Barrett, M. H. 1996. *Urban groundwater issues in the United Kingdom*. *Hydrogeology Journal*, **4**, (1), 80-89
- Lerner, DN. 1997. *Too much or too little: Recharge in urban areas*. In Chilton et al. (eds) *Groundwater in the Urban Environment: Problems, Processes and Management*.

- Proceedings of the XXVII IAH Congress on Groundwater in the Urban Environment/Nottingham/UK/21-27 September 1997. Rotterdam: Balkema.
- Lerner, D. (ed.). 2003. *Urban Groundwater Pollution: IAH International Contributions to Hydrogeology*, **24**. Rotterdam: Balkema.
- Lundy, L. & Wade, R. 2011. *Integrating sciences to sustain urban ecosystem services. Progress in Physical Geography*, **35**, (5), 653-669.
- Lewis, M. A., Cheney, C. S. & Ó Dochartaigh, B. É. 2006. *Guide to permeability indices*. Open Report CR/06/160N. Keyworth: British Geological Survey <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/7457/>
- MacDonald, A. M., Maurice, L., Dobbs, M. R., Reeves, H. J. & Auton, C. A. 2012. *Relating in situ hydraulic conductivity, particle size and relative density of superficial deposits in a heterogeneous catchment. Journal of Hydrology*, **434-435**, 130-141.
- MacDonald, A.M., Lapworth, D.J., Hughes, A.G., Auton, C.A., Maurice, L., Finlayson, A., Goody, D.C. 2014. *Groundwater, flooding and hydrological functioning in the Findhorn floodplain, Scotland. Hydrology Research*, **45**, (6), 755-773. doi:10.2166/nh.2014.185
- Macdonald, D., Dixon, A., Newell, A. & Hallaways, A. 2012. *Groundwater flooding within an urbanised flood plain. Journal of Flood Risk Management*, **5**, (1), 68-80. doi:10.1111/j.1753-318X.2011.01127.x
- Marsalek, J., Cisneros, B.J., Karamouz, M., Malmquist, P.A., Goldenfum, J.A. & Chocat, B. 2008. *Urban Water Cycle Processes and Interactions: Urban Water Series-UNESCO-IHP (Vol. 2)* : CRC Press. ISBN 9780415453479.
- McDonnell, J.J. & Beven, K. 2014. Debates – *The future of hydrological sciences: A (common) path forward? A call to action aimed at understanding velocities, celerities and residence time distributions of the headwater hydrograph. Water Resources Research* **50**, 5342-5350. doi:10.1002/2013WR015141
- Mitchell, V. G. 2006. *Applying Integrated Urban Water Management Concepts: A Review of Australian Experience. Environmental Management*, **37**, (5), 589-605.


- Monaghan, A. A., Arkley, S. L. B., Whitbread, K. & McCormac, M. 2014. *Clyde superficial deposits and bedrock models released to the ASK Network 2014 : a guide for users, version 3*. Nottingham, UK. Open Report OR/14/013, Keyworth: British Geological Survey. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/505554/>
- Ó Dochartaigh, B. É., Graham, M. T. & MacDonald, A. M. 2007. *A summary of groundwater work within the Clyde Basin project, 2005-06*. Internal Report IR/07/042. Keyworth: British Geological Survey. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/7568/>
- Ó Dochartaigh, B. É., Smedley, P. L., MacDonald, A. M., Darling, W. G. & Homoncik, S. 2011. *Baseline Scotland: groundwater chemistry of the Carboniferous sedimentary aquifers of the Midland Valley*. Open Report OR/11/021. Keyworth: British Geological Survey. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/14314/>
- Ó Dochartaigh, B. É., Diaz Doce, D., Rutter, H. K. & MacDonald, A. M. 2015a. *User guide : Aquifer Productivity (Scotland) GIS Datasets. Version 2, revised report*. Open Report OR/15/003. Keyworth: British Geological Survey. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/509619/>
- Ó Dochartaigh, B. É., MacDonald, A. M., FitzSimons, V. & Ward, R. 2015b. *Scotland's aquifers and groundwater bodies*. Open Report OR/015/028. Keyworth: British Geological Survey. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/511413/>
- Palumbo-Roe, B., Banks, V. J., Bonsor, H. C., Hamilton, E. M., Watts, M. J. 2017. *Limitations on the role of the hyporheic zone in chromium natural attenuation in a contaminated urban stream*. *Applied Geochemistry*, **83**, 108-120. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.02.011
- Robins, N. S. 1990. *Hydrogeology of Scotland*. London: HMSO for the British Geological Survey.
- Robins, N. S. 2002. *Groundwater quality in Scotland: major ion chemistry of the key groundwater bodies*. *Science of the Total Environment*, **294**, (1-3), 41-56

- Schirmer, M., Leschik, S. & Musolff, A. 2013. *Current research in urban hydrogeology – a review. Advances in Water Resources* **51**, 280-291. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.06.015
- SEPA. 2007. *Scotland's WFD aquatic monitoring strategy. Version 1.* [http://www.sepa.org.uk/water/monitoring\\_and\\_classification/monitoring.aspx](http://www.sepa.org.uk/water/monitoring_and_classification/monitoring.aspx). Accessed August 2013.
- Shepherd, K.A., Ellis, P.A. and Rivett, M.O. 2006. *Integrated understanding of urban land, groundwater, baseflow and surface-water quality – The City of Birmingham, UK. Science of the Total Environment*, **360**, (1-3), 180-195
- Turner, R. J., Mansour, M. M., Dearden, R., Ó Dochartaigh, B. É. & Hughes, A. G. 2014. *Improved understanding of groundwater flow in complex superficial deposits using 3D geological framework and groundwater models: an example from Glasgow, Scotland. Hydrogeology Journal*, **23**, (3), 493-506. doi:10.1007/s10040-014-1207-0
- UK TAG. 2011. *Defining and Reporting on Groundwater Bodies Guidance Paper.* V6.21/Mar/2011, Final 300312. UK Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive.
- Wade, R., Lundy, L., Fordyce, F., Berwick, N., Jeffries, C., Lass-Evans, S., Ó Dochartaigh, B. & Garcia-Haba, E. 2013. *Research summary. A critical review of urban diffuse pollution control: methodologies to identify sources, pathways and mitigation measures with multiple benefits.* CRW2012/1. Aberdeen: CREW, the James Hutton Institute. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/502911/>
- Whalley, C., Hursthouse, A., Rowlatt, S., Iqbal-Zahid, P., Vaughan, H. & Durant, R. 1998. *Chromium speciation in natural waters draining contaminated land, Glasgow, UK. Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, **112**, (3-4), 389-405.
- Williams, J. D. O, Dobbs, M. R., Kingdon, A., Lark, R. M., Williamson, J. P., MacDonald, A.M. & Ó Dochartaigh, B.É. *This volume. Stochastic modelling of hydraulic conductivity derived from geotechnical data: an example applied to Central Glasgow.*


- Wolf, L., Held, I., Klinger, J. & Hötzl, H. 2006. *Integrating groundwater into urban water management. Water Science and Technology*, **54**, 395-403.
- Younger, P, L. 2001. *Mine water pollution in Scotland: nature, extent and preventative strategies. The Science of the Total Environment*, **265**, 309-326.




**Figure 1** Main map: Location of Glasgow; Glasgow City Council boundary, major rivers and location of River Clyde stage gauges referred to in text. Inset: Glasgow City Council boundary (black) and River Clyde catchment (grey). Contains Ordnance Survey data, including British National grid (m) © Crown Copyright and Database Rights 2016.



**Figure 2** Simplified bedrock geology of Glasgow. Geological Data (DigMap625), BGS Copyright, NERC. Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright and Database Rights, 2016. Other legend as Figure 1.




**Figure 3** A: simplified surface Quaternary geology map of Glasgow showing key hydrogeological units and lines of cross sections in Figure 4. Geology exported from 3D geological model (Monaghan et al. 2014). Geological Data, BGS Copyright, NERC. Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright and Database Rights, 2016. Other legend as Figure 1. B: lithostratigraphic cross sections along the lines shown on the map, extracted from 3D Quaternary geological model (Monaghan et al. 2014)




**A: Measured hydraulic conductivity**

**B: Derived hydraulic conductivity**




**C: Lithological variability**


**Figure 4** A, B: Range of hydraulic conductivity values (m/day) for the four most common Quaternary geological formations in Glasgow. Box plots show minimum, Q25, median, Q75 and maximum values for each formation; the number of samples shown in brackets. A: measured values from the BGS National Geotechnical Properties Database. B: derived values from Williams et al. (*this volume*). C: Lithological variability (proportion of coarse and fine grained sediment) in the most common Quaternary geological formation in Glasgow (after Turner et al. 2014).




A




B







**A**



**B**




**C**




**D**

**Figure 6** A, B: Groundwater heads in the Glasgow Quaternary aquifer downstream of nominal River Clyde tidal limit, 2004-06, and river stage at Daldowie (Figure 1, Figure 5) and Renfrew (western gauge, Figure 1, Figure 5). \*Renfrew river stage, P11 and P18 are monthly running means, to remove

**tidal record. C: Measured rainfall at Strathclyde County Park (8 km upstream from eastern edge of Glasgow City Council boundary). Rainfall data from UK Meteorological Office Integrated Data Archive System (MIDAS), provided via the NERC Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA). D: Groundwater heads in piezometers P13, P14 & P15 (Figure 6) over 5 months in 2003**




A



B

**Figure 7** A: Groundwater heads in the Glasgow Quaternary aquifer upstream of nominal River Clyde tidal limit, 2012-13, and river stage at Daldowie (Figure 1, Figure 5). River data provided courtesy of SEPA. B: Measured rainfall at Strathclyde County Park (8 km upstream from eastern edge of Glasgow City Council boundary) (rainfall data missing in Jan-Feb 2012). Rainfall data from UK Meteorological Office Integrated Data Archive System (MIDAS), provided via NERC Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA).



**Figure 8** Groundwater heads and River Clyde stage (A, C) and rainfall (B, D) over a 2 week period from 1-14 August. A, B: 2012; C, D: 2005. Groundwater heads show a variable response to tidal fluctuations in the River Clyde upstream (A, B) and downstream (C, D) of the nominal tidal limit (Figure 6).






Figure 9 Spatial distribution of selected chemical parameters in Glasgow. Map background shows main Quaternary aquifer units (geology legend as Figure 3)



**Figure 10** Trilinear (Piper) plots showing major ion chemistry of groundwater from Baseline, Glasgow natural Quaternary and Glasgow Artificial Ground



**Figure 11 Summary of selected chemical parameters in baseline Quaternary groundwaters across Scotland and groundwater in natural Quaternary deposits and artificial ground in Glasgow (number of analyses in brackets).**

**Table 1 Stratigraphic table showing the main Quaternary geological sequence in Glasgow (after Monaghan et al. 2014, Kearsley et al. 2015). The most significant geological units in terms of groundwater flow and storage in the Clyde valley in Glasgow are highlighted in bold.**

| Age                      | Lithostratigraphic units             |   | Dominant lithology   | Thickness (m) & geometry          | Depositional environment  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Flandrian                |                                      | Artificial ground                         | Variable, from fine grained ash/silty material to coarse rubble  | 0->20; highly variable            | Anthropogenic   |
|                          | Clyde Valley Formation               | Law Sand and Gravel Member                | Fine-coarse grained sand with some silt and fine gravel  | 0->18 (typically <3)              | Alluvial  |
|                          |                                      | Strathkelvin Clay and Silt Member         | Clay and silt  | 0->9                              |   |
|                          | Clydebank Clay Formation             | <b>Gourock Sand Member</b>                | Fine-coarse grained sand with some silt, clay and locally gravel   | 0.5-<10 (typically ~5)            | Dominantly marine, estuarine or deltaic; occasionally alluvial              |
|                          |                                      | Erskine Clay Member                       | Clay   | 0->4                              |   |
|                          |                                      | Longhagh Sand and Gravel Member           | Silty sand with some gravel and boulders near base   | 1~10                              |   |
| Late Devensian           | Clyde Clay Formation                 | Innerleven Gravel Member                  | Boulders, cobbles and gravel in a sandy clay matrix  | 0.5-2.5                           |   |
|                          |                                      | Killearn Sand and Gravel Member           | Fine-medium grained sand with some silt and clay layers and some gravel                                    | 0->10; patchy lenses              |   |
|                          |                                      | Linwood Clay Member                       | Clay and silty clay with some sandy lenses and very sparse gravel  | 0-35                              |   |
|                          |                                      | <b>Paisley Clay Member</b>                | Finely layered sands, silts and clays, sometimes gravelly  | <5->30 (<5)                       |   |
|                          |                                      | <b>Bridgeton Sand Member</b>              | Fine-medium grained sand; locally fine-coarse gravel & boulders in a sandy matrix                          | <20-30                            |   |
| Late Devensian           | Broomhouse Sand and Gravel Formation | Ross Sand Member                          | Fine-medium sand with silt, clays and locally thin gravel layers   | 0->10 (typically 2-5)             | Glacial (including glaciolacustrine and glaciofluvial ice-contact deposits) |
|                          |                                      | Broomhouse Sand and Gravel Member         | Mostly sand with some gravel and occasional cobbles, silt and clay   | 0-25.                             |   |
|                          |                                      | Bellshill Clay Member                     | Silty clay with bands of silt and sometimes sand   | Lenses                            |   |
|                          | Wilderness Till Formation            |   | Diamicton, boulders, gravel and pebbles in a sandy, silty to clayey matrix                                 | Typically <5; occasionally to ~20 |   |
|                          | Cadder Sand and Gravel Formation     | Cadder Sand and Gravel Formation          | Dominantly dense sand or silty sand with gravel and some cobbles; rarely clay or silty clay with some sand | 0-60                              |   |
| Broomhill Clay Formation |                                      | Laminated clayey silt with sandy partings | <5->10, often lenses   |                                   |   |

|                       |                              |                 |   |        |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---|--------|--|
| Pre Late<br>Devensian | Ballieston Till<br>Formation | Ballieston Till | Silty, sandy clay with gravel, cobbles, boulders and some sand layers | <1->20 |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---|--------|--|

**Table 2 Summary of groundwater head and river level monitoring stations in Glasgow**

| Site                               | Monitored aquifer unit <sup>1</sup> |  | Depth (m)   | Approximate direct line distance downstream from tidal limit of River Clyde (km) | Distance from river (m) | Monitoring period <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| River Clyde, Daldowie <sup>2</sup> | n/a (river, non-tidal)              |  | n/a         | -8.1   | 0                       | <2003-current                  |
| P1                                 | PAIS                                |  | 20          | -2.5   | 20                      | 11/2011-12/2013                |
| P2                                 | PAIS                                |  | 9.7         | -2.6   | 30                      | 01/2012-12/2013                |
| <b>P3</b>                          | <b>GUF</b>                          |  | <b>21.7</b> | <b>-2.8</b>  | <b>90</b>               | <b>11/2011-10/2013</b>         |
| <b>P4</b>                          | <b>PAIS</b>                         |  | <b>15</b>   | <b>-2.5</b>  | <b>290</b>              | <b>05/2012-09/2013</b>         |
| P5                                 | GUF                                 |  | 14          | -2.9   | 30                      | 11/2011-11/2012                |
| <b>P6</b>                          | <b>GUF</b>                          |  | <b>11</b>   | <b>4.1</b>   | <b>55</b>               | <b>05/2003-04/2005</b>         |
| P7                                 | GUF                                 |  | 7.9         | 3.5  | 44                      | 05/2003-02/2006                |
| <b>P8</b>                          | <b>BRON</b>                         |  | <b>11.2</b> | <b>2.5</b>   | <b>78</b>               | <b>05/2003-02/2006</b>         |
| <b>P9</b>                          | <b>BRON</b>                         |  | <b>10.4</b> | <b>0.6</b>   | <b>133</b>              | <b>05/2003-02/2006</b>         |
| <b>P10</b>                         | <b>BRON</b>                         |  | <b>11.7</b> | <b>0.6</b>   | <b>89</b>               | <b>05/2003-02/2006</b>         |
| P11                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 11.7        | 0.5  | 16                      | 05/2003-01/2006                |
| P12                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 11          | 0.3  | 131                     | 05/2003-04/2005                |
| P13                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 10          | 4.3  | 12                      | 05/2003-02/2006                |
| P14                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 8.3         | 4.3  | 48                      | 06/2003-02/2005                |
| P15                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 9.4         | 4.3  | 97                      | 06/2003-09/2004                |
| P16                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 11.9        | 3.3  | 68                      | 06/2003-09/2005                |
| P17                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 11.3        | 2.3  | 105                     | 06/2003-09/2005                |
| P18                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 11.9        | 0.6  | 19                      | 06/2003-09/2005                |
| P19                                | GUF/BRON                            |  | 11.9        | 0.6  | 82                      | 06/2003-09/2005                |

|                                   |                    |  |     |     |     |                 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|
| P20                               | GUF/BRON           |  | 12  | 0.6 | 167 | 06/2003-09/2005 |
| River Clyde, Renfrew <sup>2</sup> | n/a (river, tidal) |  | n/a | 9.3 | 0   | <2003-current   |

<sup>1</sup> GUF Gourock Sand Member BRON Bridgeton Sand Member

PAIS Paisley Clay Member

<sup>2</sup> River Clyde stage data monitored and kindly provided by Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)

<sup>3</sup> Boreholes P7–P20 not monitored continuously during these periods

In the boreholes highlighted in bold, the monitored aquifer unit is likely to be confined (groundwater head is in an overlying unit).

The screen length varies between boreholes, but each borehole is only screened adjacent to the specified monitored aquifer unit.