1 Age and growth rate estimations of the commercially fished gastropod *Buccinum undatum*

Philip R. Hollyman^{1*}, Simon R. N. Chenery², Melanie J. Leng³, Vladimir V. Laptikhovsky⁴, Charlotte N.
 Colvin¹ and Christopher A. Richardson¹.

¹School of Ocean Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB,
 UK.

6 ²Centre for Environmental Geochemistry, British Geological Survey, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK

- 7 ³NERC Isotope Geosciences Facilities, British Geological Survey, Nottingham NG12 5GG, UK and Centre for
- 8 Environmental Geochemistry, School of Biosciences, Sutton Bonington Campus, University of Nottingham,
 9 Loughborough, LE12 5RD, UK.
- ⁴Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk, NR33
 0HT, UK.
- 12

14

21

- 13 *Corresponding author: <u>p.hollyman@bangor.ac.uk</u>
- 15 Abstract

16 Calculating age and growth rate for the commercially important whelk, *Buccinum undatum* in the aid

17 of fishery management has historically been undertaken using growth rings on the organic operculum.

18 This is difficult due to their poor readability and confusion between two different sets of growth lines

19 present. Recent work presented the calcium carbonate statolith as an alternative for age

20 determination of *B. undatum*. Here we compare the use of statoliths and opercula, comparing their

22 we also test the most appropriate growth equation to model this species. Lastly, we use oxygen

readability and creating growth curves for three distinct populations across the UK. Using these data,

23 isotope analysis of the shells to assign accurate ages to several individuals from each site. These data

24 were used to test the accuracy of statolith and operculum ages. Statoliths, whilst more time

25 consuming to process have improved clarity and accuracy compared to the opercula. This improved

26 readability has highlighted that a Gompertz growth function should be used for populations of this

27 species, when in past studies, von Bertalanffy is often used. Statoliths are a viable improvement to

28 opercula when assessing *B. undatum* in the context of fishery monitoring and management.

1. Introduction

The common whelk, *Buccinum undatum*, is a cold-water subtidal marine gastropod occurring in the North Atlantic from western shores of Greenland to New Jersey in North America and from Svalbard (Spitzbergen) to France in Europe (FAO, 2018). It is commercially important over much of its range. 33 The largest fisheries for this species occur in Northern Europe, where the UK leads the production with 34 22,700 tonnes in 2016 (£22.9 million, MMO, 2017), more than half of the worldwide total of over 35 41,000 tonnes (FAO, 2018). This fishery has grown drastically since the early 1990s when an increase 36 in export markets saw a rise in both landings and prices (Fahy et al., 2005). Concerns are growing over 37 the sustainability of whelk populations in certain areas as there were reports on collapses of some 38 fished populations (Jersey - Shrives et al., 2015; Ireland - Fahy et al., 2005; North Sea/Netherlands -39 Ten Hallers-Tjabbes et al., 1996) although it has not been confirmed that these declines are necessarily 40 fishery induced (Ten Hallers-Tjabbes et al., 1996). This has prompted an increase in research 41 concerning B. undatum in recent years covering important topics such regional variation in size at 42 maturity and maturity assessment (Haig et al., 2015; McIntyre et al., 2015; Borsetti et al., 2018; 43 Emmerson et al., 2018); fishery based assessments of catches and population structures (Shrives et 44 al., 2015; Woods & Jonasson, 2017; Emmerson et al., 2018); population genetics (Pálsson et al., 2014); 45 mortality estimations (Laptikhovsky et al., 2016) and age determination (Hollyman et al., 2018a & 2017). 46

The ability to model stock dynamics is the keystone for all fishery management (Hilborn & Walters, 1992). This requires reliable estimates of growth of the target species and population, to allow the estimation of important parameters such as relating ontogeny to reproductive output and responses to change in fishing pressure (Beamish, 1990; Day & Flemming, 1992; Troynikov *et al.*, 1998).

Age determination of molluscs has mostly focussed on bivalves as these contain annually-resolved growth lines visible in sectioned shells (Richardson, 2001) or on the external surface (e.g. *Placopecten magellanicus* [Hart & Chute, 2009]). Annual lines often form as a result of seasonal changes in shell growth rates linked to the availability of food and changes in seawater temperature (see Richardson 2001 for general review). Age determination of gastropods is more difficult as shells which display coiling often cannot be sectioned to reveal the full axis of growth, and *B. undatum* shells do not display external annual growth rings (Gros & Santarelli, 1986; Hollyman, 2017). However, other methods such as operculum and statolith ageing can be used to assess the age of many gastropod species (Hollyman *et al.*, 2018b).

60 1.1 Age estimates based on the operculum

The gastropod operculum is an organic shield like structure found attached to the dorsal side of the foot (Figure 1a). It is used to close off its aperture when the head and foot are retracted, providing protection from both predators and desiccation (Checa & Jiménez-Jiménez, 1998). *B. undatum* display an opercula formed from a protein based secretion from the foot (Hunt, 1969), laid down in concentric rings emanating from a nucleus (Santarelli & Gros, 1985).

66 Growth rings are present on the dorsal (outer) surface of the operculum of B. undatum and have been 67 counted to estimate their age. The rings are thought to form as a result of the periodical slowing of 68 operculum growth during the annual seasonal cycle (Santarelli & Gros, 1985). Secretion of protein 69 layers in the operculum become closer together as growth slows, giving the impression of a distinct 70 band (Figure 1b). Santarelli & Gros (1985) suggested that rings on the operculum surface (OpSR) were 71 annually-deposited and this assumption is widely accepted, although no growth experiments or 72 chemical or isotopic analysis of the opercula were undertaken to confirm it; instead, isotopic analysis 73 of the shell was used as a validating tool for the OpSRs. Their conclusions have been used to apply 74 operculum ageing methods to other populations (e.g. Kideys, 1996; Shelmerdine et al., 2007; Heude-75 Berthelin et al., 2011). However, the use of the operculum growth rings is confounded by several 76 common problems such as clarity over different sets of growth lines. A study by Kideys (1996), 77 exemplified this, in a sample of >10,000 whelk opercula, from the Isle of Man, only 16% had clear 78 readable rings and 36% had readable rings that could be used to estimate the age and growth rate of 79 the population. A study from the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS) 80 found a similar result: only 13% of opercula were readable (all four readers agreeing) plus 28.3% were 81 of 'conventional agreement' when three of four readers provided the same estimate (Lawler, 2013). 82 Problems arises from the presence of an additional set of growth lines on the ventral (inner) surface 83 of each operculum, known as adventitious layers (OpAL). The growth of the operculum is complex 84 with several areas of growth present on a single operculum (Figure 1d, Checa & Jiménez-Jiménez, 85 1998, Vasconcelos et al., 2012). In a concentric operculum (like those found on B. undatum), growth 86 is added to the dorsal (outer) and the structure is also strengthened and thickened over time with the 87 addition of adventitious layers to the ventral side of the operculum (Figure 1c). OpALs on the ventral 88 surface of the operculum appear as clear growth rings (Figure 1c). Possible confusion between OpSRs 89 rings and OpALs could lead to errors in estimating age. Vasconcelos et al. (2012) found that neither 90 the OpSRs or OpALs provided a reliable estimation of age for Hexaplex trunculus, with the OpALs 91 underestimating and the OpSRs overestimating the age. Although a different species, their work on H. 92 trunculus highlights the importance of validating the deposition of growth rings or lines in accreting 93 structures to determine their age. The use of the OpALs as an age estimation tool for *B. undatum* has 94 not previously been validated.

95

1.2 Age estimates based on the statolith

96 Statoliths are small (~300µm) calcium carbonate structures found in the nervous system of many 97 gastropod species which are used for gravity perception. Statoliths can contain clear growth rings 98 which represent key life history events such as settlement from the water column (*Tritia* (=*Nassarius*) 99 reticulatus [Barroso et al., 2005]), hatching from egg capsules (B. undatum [Hollyman et al., 2018a]) 100 and annual growth rings, representing slowing of growth due to annual temperature cycles (Neptunea 101 antiqua [Richardson et al., 2005]; Tritia (=Nassarius) reticulatus [Barroso et al., 2005; Chatzinikolau & 102 Richardson, 2007]; Polinices pulchellus [Richardson et al., 2005]). The annual periodicity of statolith 103 growth rings in B. undatum has been validated previously using laboratory growth experiments and 104 analysis of shell material (Hollyman et al, 2018a) as well as direct chemical analysis of the statoliths 105 (Hollyman et al., 2017). It was shown that when statolith rings form in juvenile specimens, a 106 colouration change is also evident, helping to distinguish the annual ring from disturbance rings.

107

1.3 Age estimates based on oxygen isotope analysis

108 The oxygen isotope composition of mollusc shells often has a strong relationship with the surrounding 109 seawater temperature at the time of mineralisation (Epstein et al., 1953; Leng & Lewis, 2016). Due to this relationship, oxygen isotope ratios (described as δ^{18} O) can be reconstructed at regular intervals 110 111 over the growth axis of a shell to retrospectively calculate seawater temperature cycles over the life 112 of the animal. In this way, information about not only seawater temperature over time (e.g. Patella 113 vulgata [Gutiérrez-Zugasti et al., 2017]) but also age and growth rates (e.g. Conus ermenius [Sosdian 114 et al., 2006]) can be reconstructed. B. undatum shells have been analysed in several previous papers 115 as a means of validating growth increments in the operculum (Santarelli & Gros, 1986) and the 116 statolith (Hollyman et al., 2018a).

117 Here we assess the viability of three sets of growth lines found on the accreted structures on B. undatum (statolith growth rings [StR], operculum surface rings [OpSR] and adventitious layers [OpAL]) 118 119 for reconstructing the population age structure and growth rates of wild populations. Alongside this, 120 different models for growth parameter estimation for this species are also investigated to determine 121 the most appropriate. Whilst the classic von Bertalanffy equation had been used in previous studies 122 for this species (e.g. Shelmerdine et al., 2007), sigmoidal growth equations such as logistic and 123 Gompertz have been shown to successfully reconstruct the growth of other similar gastropod species (e.g. *Neptunea arthritica* [Miranda *et al.*, 2008]). Growth rate data derived from δ^{18} O of several shells 124 125 from each sample site are compared to the statolith and operculum derived growth curves to 126 investigate their accuracy. It was hypothesized that the statoliths would produce the most accurate 127 growth curve estimation, whilst displaying the best clarity when compared to both of the operculum 128 derived age estimations, and that a sigmoidal growth equation would best model population growth 129 for this species.

130

131 **2. Methods**

Samples of whelks were collected from three locations across the UK (Shetland, the Menai Strait and Jersey; Figure 2) between February and June 2014 using baited whelk pots soaked for 24 hours. Upon collection, whelks were not sorted (i.e. riddled) and a random sample (of varying amounts depending on site, see Table 1) was collected and frozen at -20°C for later processing.

136 2.1 Opercula sampling and ageing

Once thawed, the Total Shell Length (TSL) of each whelks was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using Vernier callipers. Opercula were then removed using forceps, rinsed in freshwater and left to dry overnight at room temperature. Operculum surface rings (OpSR) were counted using transmitted light from either a lamp or a dissecting microscope. Adventitious layers (OpAL) were counted under a dissecting microscope using reflected light as they are more difficult to count without magnification.

142 2.2 Statolith sampling and ageing

One statolith from each specimen was extracted using the methodology detailed in Hollyman *et al.* (2018a). Once the statoliths had air-dried they were mounted on a microscope slide using Crystalbond[™] 509 thermoplastic resin and imaged under a Meiji Techno MT8100 microscope with a Lumenera Infinity 3 microscope camera at 20× magnification. Resulting photomicrographs were then analysed using ImageJ v.1.48 (Ferreira & Rasband 2012), to count and measure the width of each Statolith Ring (StR) from the hatching ring outwards.

149 2.3 Operculum growth line formation

The timing of operculum growth line formation (OpSR and OpAL) was monitored at the same time as annual StR formation was confirmed during the analysis outlined in Hollyman *et al.* (2018a). Operculum growth line reading was undertaken using the above described methods at regular intervals over the first 2.5 years of life for animals hatched from egg masses (collected from the Menai Strait) and reared in ambient seawater (see Hollyman *et al.* (2018a), for experimental details). The numbers of OpSR and OpAL were then compared with the number of StR from the same specimens.

156 2.3 Growth ring clarity assessment

157 The clarity of each of the three sets of growth rings (StR, OpSR and OpAL) was assessed using a 158 modified methodology from Kideys (1996). In order to apply to all 3 sets of growth rings we moved to 159 a 4 tier system.

160 C1 - No growth rings discernible

- 161 C2 Two or more growth rings unclear
- 162 C3 One growth ring unclear
- 163 C4 All growth rings clear

A comparison of specimens from each of the clarity rankings can be seen in Figure 3. A similar approach to the discarding of unclear specimens was also used with only specimens ranked 3 and 4 in the subsequent analysis. Any samples which were missing were classed as 'not available' (n/a), for statoliths this often constituted the loss of the statolith by the researcher during extraction, for opercula this meant that the sample was lost during potting/collection. This methodology was tested by two of the authors (PRH & CNC) using a random sample of 150 specimens from the Menai Strait for all three sets of growth rings; the results between both readers were then compared.

171 172 2.5 Growth curve estimation

173 Three growth curve equations were fitted to each dataset, using FISHPARM (Prager *et al.*, 1994).

174 Gompertz (1825):

$$L_t = L_0 \exp^{(G(1 - \exp(-gt)))}$$

Where Lt is the mean length at t age (mm), t is age (years), Lo is the length at to (hatching). G is the
instantaneous rate at to and g describes the decrease in the rate of G (Pryzbylski & Garcia-Berthou,
2004). Gg is therefore the specific instantaneous rate of growth at to (Prager *et al.*, 1994).

179 von Bertalanffy (1934):

$$L_t = L_{\infty}(1 - exp^{-k(t-t0)})$$

181 Where L_t is the mean length at age t (mm), t is age (years), L_{∞} is the asymptotic length (mm), t₀ is the 182 origin of the growth curve and K is considered a stress factor (Moreau & Moreau, 1987; Rodriguez-183 Sánchez *et al.*, 2009).

184 Logistic (Verhulst, 1838):

185
$$L_t = \frac{K}{1 + (\frac{K - L_0}{L_0})\exp(-rt)}$$

Where L_t is the mean length (mm) at age t. L₀ is the mean length at t₀, r is the growth rate and K is the
asymptotic length (mm) (Prager *et al.*, 1994).

The von Bertalanffy equation was chosen as it has been used in past studies investigating the growth of *B. undatum* (e.g. Shelmerdine *et al.*, 2007). The logistic and Gompertz equations were chosen as some studies investigating the growth of marine gastropods have found sigmoidal growth (Miranda *et al.*, 2008), which is best modelled by these equations (Windsor, 1932).

The "goodness of fit" of each curve was compared by calculating the R² value, the mean squared residual error (MSR_e) and Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), which explicitly penalizes usage of superfluous parameters to achieve a better fit of a particular statistical model (Crawley, 2007). The AIC was calculated using the following equation (Akaike, 1973):

196
$$AIC = n * ln\left(\frac{SS_{error}}{n}\right) + 2k$$

Where n is the number of observations, SSerror is the sum of squares of the residual of the model output and k is the number of parameters fit within the model. The AIC calculation takes into account both the complexity of the model (i.e. how many parameters are estimated) as well as the residual sum of squares. Once the best fitting model with the least penalized loglikelihood had been chosen, the resulting growth parameters for each site were compared both between sites and between eachof the three sets of growth rings within a site.

203

2.6 Calculation of size for missing age classes

204 One limiting factor of the data collection was the absence of juvenile specimens from most of the 205 sample sites. A similar problem was also found by Shelmerdine et al. (2007) with whelk populations 206 from Shetland and the South coast of England. This resulted in a poor fit for most of the growth curves, 207 for each set of growth rings, as no juvenile data was available to 'pin' the lower estimates for each 208 curve, resulting in unrealistic asymptotic estimates. One option was to force the growth line through 209 0, this was not appropriate as *B. undatum* enter the water column as fully formed juveniles with a size 210 at t_0 that varies depending on a range of factors, such as egg capsule size and mother size (Nasution 211 et al., 2010; Smith & Thatje, 2013). Instead, the typical size at hatching and at 1 year old was modelled 212 for each site by measuring the width of the hatching and 1st annual ring in ImageJ for a random sample 213 of 20 statoliths per site. These measurements were then converted into estimated Total Shell Length 214 (TSL) measurements using the power relationship between statolith width and shell height calculated 215 for combined data from all sites (Hollyman, 2017, pp 183; $R^2 = 0.96$, n = 1719):

216
$$y = 43.439 * x^{0.4259}$$

The reconstructed TSL measurements were then added to the growth curve estimation. As this was not possible for the opercula (due to the poor clarity of early year growth rings) the estimates from the statolith growth rings were also used in the growth curve estimation for both OpSR and OpAL. For the Menai Strait site estimate reliability was improved as, the sizes of the laboratory grown *B. undatum* of known age were used to 'pin' the lower age estimates.

222 2.7 Oxygen isotope analysis

Shells of three adult male whelks were chosen at each site (plus three females from the Menai Strait),
the shells were then cleaned and dried at room temperature. Powder samples were acquired at a set

225 resolution (variable 2 – 4 mm) around the whorls of each shell to reconstruct the δ^{18} O profile from the 226 entire life history of each specimen, using a Dremel 4000 multitool with a 1mm diamond burr 227 attachment. 50–100 μ g of each powder sample was analysed using an Isoprime dual inlet mass 228 spectrometer and Multiprep device at the British Geological Survey (See Hollyman et al., 2018a and 229 Hollyman, 2017 for full experimental details). In the context of this paper, the data were not used to 230 reconstruct annual temperature but instead to calculate the annual growth of each animal over its 231 entire life (by calculating the total distance in terms of shell growth between each annual δ^{18} O cycle). 232 This was done by calculating the relationship between total shell length (TSL) and total lip extension 233 (TLE; i.e. the full coiled 'distance' of growth) for several animals from each site which produced a 234 significant linear relationship (TSL = 0.2421*TLE + 2.7766; R² = 0.99; p < 0.001). This allowed the 235 conversion of isotope data (taken at a set resolution around the TLE) into TSL values. The annual 236 growths of each specimen were then averaged over each year for each site for a comparison of annual 237 growth rates.

238 **3. Results**

239 3.1 Operculum and statolith growth line formation

240 Whilst the StRs are clear and unequivocal, the rings on the OpSRs and the OpAls rarely corresponded 241 with the number of statolith rings. The examples shown in Figure 4 are from two 27 month old 242 laboratory reared juvenile B. undatum and illustrate the lack of correspondence between the rings in 243 statolith and operculum structures. The statoliths show two annual rings (Figure 4a & d), albeit with 244 several disturbance lines visible. Annual rings are distinguishable from the disturbance lines as they 245 elicit a change in colour, where disturbance rings do not (Hollyman et al., 2018a). The corresponding 246 opercula (Figure 4b & c and 4e & f) have many more rings. The OpSRs (Figure 4b & e) have respectively, 247 two and three clear rings with two and one possible (disputed) rings. The OPALs similarly over estimate 248 the number of rings. Figure 4c & f display respectively, four and four rings with an additional possible 249 (disputed) ring in Figure 4e.

250 In a sample of thirty 27 month old laboratory reared juvenile whelks, 84% displayed two clear statolith 251 rings (the remaining 16% displayed at least two with one or more prominent disturbance rings). By 252 contrast when the corresponding opercula were examined, only 20% displayed two operculum surface 253 rings. Many of the opercula displayed considerable operculum growth after the second ring which 254 likely represents more than 3 months growth (e.g. Figure 4b). Forty percent of opercula had no 255 discernible operculum surface rings and none of the 30 opercula displayed the expected two 256 adventitious layers, with the minimum number of layers being three and the maximum number being 257 six.

258

3.2 Growth ring clarity assessment

259 When statoliths and opercula from whelks from all the sites were examined clear differences in the 260 clarity of the growth rings were seen. Figure 5 compares the clarity scores of growth rings from each 261 structure at each site. The statolith rings were clearest at all sites with high percentages scoring 3 and 4 on the clarity scale. The second clearest structure (score 3 & 4) was the OpAL in the opercula with 262 263 the least clear being the OpSR. Both these structures had a frequency of ≈25% for the clarity score of 1, i.e. no growth rings visible. From a sample of 150 randomly selected statoliths and opercula the 264 265 agreement in age between two readers was 89.2% agreement for counting the StR, 75.7% agreement 266 in counting the OpAL and 45.1% agreement in counting the OpSR.

267 3.3 Direct comparison of statolith rings and operculum growth lines

Summary Table 2 presents the average relationships between the ages from each structure at each site (sum of (ageing method 1 / ageing method 2) / n). A number >1 indicates an underestimation of age when compared to the statolith rings, values <1 indicate an overestimation of age. All sites except Jersey show an underestimation of age using the operculum surface rings and an overestimation of age using the adventitious layers. The values in Table 2 also display significance (denoted by *) of pairwise comparison t-tests between each set of age data at each site. Interestingly, the comparison
of StR and OpSR at Jersey is the only one which was not significantly different.

275

3.4 Growth curve equation choice

Due to the superior clarity of the growth rings and confidence in their annual periodicity, it was only StR data that was used for growth equation choice. The results displayed in Table 3 show that for the statolith size at age data, for all sites, Gompertz growth curves with the highest R² and the lowest MSR_e and AIC values best described the data. For all sites, the Gompertz and logistic equations resulted in a similar goodness of fit, this is unsurprising since both equations model sigmoidal growth (Windsor, 1932), which *B. undatum* seems to display. Therefore for all subsequent analyses the Gompertz growth equation was applied.

283 3.5 Site growth curve construction

284 The clarity of the statolith rings was generally good so it was relatively easy to estimate the age of 285 whelks from all the sites and then fit the three growth curves to the size at age data (Table 4). 286 However, the clarity of the OpSRs quickly became an issue when growth curves were initially fitted to 287 all the data. To improve the growth modelling, age estimates based on the OpSRs and OpALs, where 288 there was uncertainty in the data because of the clarity of the rings, were removed. When opercula 289 with a clarity of '1' and '2', were removed from the data, the number of age estimates dropped to 290 unusable levels. To improve this, data where the opercula had a clarity of '2' were again included in 291 order to produce growth curves that could be compared with the statolith growth curves.

The data shown in Figure 6 compare the variance associated with the size at age data and the fitted Gompertz growth curves for the Menai Strait StR, OpSR and OpAL data. The OpSR and OpAL data variance is larger than the variance around the statolith data and reflects the greater accuracy of age estimates using statolith rings. Fitted Gompertz growth curves using both the statolith and opercula generated size at age data for each site are shown in Figure 7, a) using StR, b) using OpSR and c) using 297 OpAL. For clarity of the growth curves the standard error bars have been omitted in the plots. Using 298 StR data, the whelks from Jersey reached the smallest size whilst the Shetland whelks reached the 299 largest size, which fits with size distribution data. Similar patterns of site specific growth rates was 300 seen in the OpSR and OpAL although the shapes of the sigmoidal curves were different.

301 Growth curves constructed using the OpSR displayed a steeper rise than those constructed by either 302 the StR or OpAL, with all 3 of the curves demonstrating almost at asymptotic maximum by 6 years of age. This suggests that the OpSRs overestimate the age of each whelk in its early years. Whelks from 303 304 Jersey had a slow rate of growth after year 2/3 compared to the other populations with the growth 305 rate estimate from the statoliths. None of the plotted growth curves based on the OpAL attained their 306 asymptote by the end of the 10 year period. This suggests that the OpAL likely overestimate the age 307 of the whelks and underestimate annual shell growth. The differences between males and females 308 was investigated for samples from Menai Bridge as this site had the highest sample number, the to 309 values are clearly different with males appearing to hatch larger. Later the male whelks appear to 310 attain a greater size (L_{∞}) than the females. Summary of the calculated growth curve parameters 311 together with the goodness of fit at each site for the three growth structures are shown in Table 4. At 312 every site the StR curves fitted the size at age data generated from the statoliths more closely and 313 with less variability than the OpSR and OpAL data. The calculated L_0 (size at hatching) values also 314 appear to be more realistic using the StR, with most sites ranging between 2.07 mm and 4.85 mm TSL 315 at the time of hatching, which is similar to observed hatchlings. In Table 5, the calculated L_{∞} values 316 are compared to the maximum TSL measured in whelks collected from each site. The data show that 317 for all populations the statolith growth rings produced L_{∞} values that were closest to the maximum 318 specimen TSL within the sample.

319

3.6 Oxygen isotope derived age and growth rates

The annual growth rates derived from oxygen isotope analysis (Figure 8a) and the cumulative growth (Figure 8b) highlight the changes in growth rate between the sites over time. The maximum age of each specimen (calculated from these data) were also compared to the number of growth rings in
both the statolith and the operculum (Table 6). Overall it shows that the statolith rings have a better
reflection of the true age than either of the operculum rings.

325

4. Discussion and Conclusions

326 Using a variety of criteria it has been demonstrated that the Statolith rings (StR) provide a more 327 accurate and reliable estimation of age than either the Opercilum Surface Rings (OpSR) or Operculum 328 Adventitious Layers (OpAL). This is likely due to the unreliable formation of operculum growth rings 329 (demonstrated through growth experiments), as well as poor clarity of OpSRs and non-annual 330 formation of OpALs. To our knowledge this is the first study to directly compare operculum, statolith 331 and oxygen isotope ageing techniques to improve age determination of a commercially important gastropod species. The findings of which should result in adoption of StR ageing for fishery 332 333 assessments of *B. undatum*.

334 4.1 Clarity of growth rings

The clarity and readability of all 3 sets of growth rings varied between sites, however, the statoliths were the clearest to read at all sites. The statolith growth rings from the juvenile laboratory reared animals were also the clearest when directly compared with the opercula. Two readers were used to assess the number of rings in this part of the research and ages were compared at one site (the Menai Strait), both of the readers (authors PRH & CNC) had extensive experience in mollusc ageing techniques. It is therefore encouraging to find that there was 89.2% agreement between both readers when the StRs were counted, however poor, (45.1%), agreement was achieved in counting the OpSRs.

In the future it is recommended that when statoliths from gastropod populations are investigated, an initial assessment of the accuracy of reading is undertaken routinely so that confidence can be placed in the accuracy of age estimates. It is also highly recommended that for routine use of statolith ageing techniques, multiple readers are used where possible. For this study, the main readers' (PRH) data was checked for consistency regularly (by CNC). The clarity of operculum surface rings from the whelks
that were investigated in this study was found to be worse than that in the published literature (41%
- 52% readable, Kideys, 1996; Lawler, 2013). Here using the clarity values of '3' and '4', clarity values
that were considered to be reasonable to analyse, the reliability ranged between 10 and 40%. In order
to provide enough data for constructing growth curves, age estimates from opercula with a clarity of
'2' were also included.

352

4.2 Comparison of statolith and operculum ages

353 Through direct comparison of the statolith ages with the operculum ages taken from the same 354 animals, it appears that the OpAL consistently overestimate the age of the animal. For Shetland, the 355 Menai Strait and Jersey, an offset linear relationship is seen when compared to the 1:1 lines plotted. 356 The relationships between the OpSRs and StRs appears to change with ontogeny with linear 357 relationships showing underestimation of age in older specimens and overestimation in younger 358 whelks. This could again be linked to the clarity of low age OpSRs discussed earlier. With the 359 knowledge of how adventitious layers are formed, it appears that their function is to thicken and 360 strengthen the operculum over time. If so, then it is unlikely that the adventitious layers would have 361 a clear annual pattern and are simply a weak proxy for increased thickening during periods of shell 362 growth. However, in similar species they do appear to show an annual periodicity e.g. Coralliophila 363 violacea (Chen & Soong, 2002), Buccinum isaotakii (Ilano et al., 2004) and Neptunea antiqua 364 (Richardson *et al.*, 2005). The oxygen isotope ages (which are reflective of annual changes in seawater 365 temperature, and are assumed here to be the most accurate age determination method) clearly match 366 the StR ages much better than either of the operculum derived ages (Table 6). This adds further 367 support to the more reliable use of StRs.

368

4.3 Growth modelling

369 In several previous studies, B. undatum growth curves were constructed using OpSR ages, modelled 370 growth using the von Bertalanffy equation (e.g. Hancock, 1963; Santarelli & Gros, 1985; Fahy et al., 371 1995; Kideys, 1996; Shelmerdine et al., 2007; Heude-Berthelin et al., 2011; Lawler, 2013). In this study, 372 it was apparent that the growth of *B. undatum* is sigmoidal and that the von Bertalanffy equation did 373 not fit the growth data as well as the Gompertz growth equation. Using the Gompertz equation 374 resulted in a growth curve with a much better goodness of fit to the data from all sites. The likely 375 explanation for the difference between previous studies and the current study is a combination of a 376 lack of juvenile whelks from samples coupled with the poor clarity and inaccurate estimates of age 377 from the operculum growth rings. The lack of juvenile whelks is something that was discussed by 378 Shelmerdine et al. (2007), who found no whelks < 3 years of age (i.e. no whelks below 30 mm TSL) for 379 sample sites around Shetland. Lawler, (2013) also had minimum sizes of between 20 mm and 30 mm 380 for most of his sampled sites around England and Heude-Berthelin et al. (2011), seemingly had no 381 samples below ≈45 mm TSL from west Cotentin, near Jersey. The lack of juvenile (<20 mm) whelks was 382 overcome in the current study by the inclusion of growth data from laboratory reared whelks over the 383 first two years of growth along with the estimation of size at early age classes by back calculating TSL 384 from statolith ring diameter. It is possible that the absence of small size class individuals from many 385 catches represents either a difference in food preference of juvenile whelks (i.e. they are not attracted 386 to the pot bait); this is unlikely as juveniles can be caught in many areas with identical catch methods 387 (Pers. Obs.). Alternatively, this could indicate that juveniles are occupying different habitats to adult 388 whelks, this may be determined by either temperature, food availability and/or predator interactions. 389 This may be indicative of nursery grounds for juvenile whelks that migrate to 'adult' populations at 390 maturity, if so this may represent important management considerations for fisheries. Future work 391 should focus on determining drivers of the presence/absence of juvenile animals from catches to 392 better understand population dynamics.

Only 20% of laboratory reared juveniles displaying the correct age after 27 month, as judged from theoperculum. During the course of the research it was observed that OpSR formed during the first few

395 years of growth from field collected adults were the most difficult to read. It is entirely possible that 396 they may be degraded over time as the operculum is composed of organic material which is exposed 397 throughout the life of the animal. The combination of a lack of juveniles and poor clarity of the early 398 age growth rings on the operculum surface likely masked the characteristic initial bend at the lower 399 end of the sigmoidal Gompertz growth curves. The poor clarity of the early growth rings also likely 400 resulted in a proportion of larger incorrectly aged whelks in the lower size classes (i.e. the first one or 401 two annual rings were not counted because they were not visible). This effect can clearly be seen in 402 the growth curves created by Kideys (1996) who had a TSL range of between ≈10 mm and ≈55 mm for 403 whelks that he placed in an age class of 0.5 years. The widest variation in a single age class reported 404 by Kideys was seen at year 3 which spanned from ≈25 mm to ≈120 mm TSL. Although the growth of 405 B. undatum does appear to be widely variable within a single population, this finding does seem 406 extreme and unlikely. Subsequent studies have produced more comparable growth curves using 407 OpSR, such as Heude-Berthelin et al. (2011) who sampled B. undatum in the West English Channel, 408 close to our samples site of Jersey. The growth curves they produced estimated a size of ~47mm at 409 year two (range 45 - 49) and a size of 55mm at year 4 (range 52 - 60) which were similar to the 410 estimations of our StR curve for Jersey (~40mm and ~60mm for years 2 and 4 respectively).

411 The choice of the Gompertz growth equation is in line with several other studies that have found 412 sigmoidal growth and fitted Gompertz growth curves to marine gastropod populations (e.g. Troynikov 413 et al., 1998 - Haliotis rubra; Rodriguez et al., 2001 – Concholepas concholepas; Chen & Soong, 2002 – 414 Coralliophila violacea; Bigatti et al., 2007 - Odontocymbiola magellanica; Miranda et al., 2008 -Neptunea arthritica). The annual growth rates derived from oxygen isotope analysis shown in Figure 415 416 8a, also support the use of a Gompertz growth curve as all sites show the maximum growth rate in 417 either the second (Menai Strait and Jersey) or third year of growth (Shetland), as opposed to the first 418 year of growth which is characteristic of a von Bertalanffy curve.

419 4.4 Growth curve comparisons

420 The StR derived growth curves were shown to have the best goodness of fit in comparison to the OpSR 421 and OpAL derived growth curves from all sites. The OpAL appear to greatly overestimate the age, 422 something that was also seen in the laboratory reared animals, and OpSRs seem to underestimate. The OpSR derived curves displayed faster rates of growth (K) than StR at all sites, however the L_{∞} 423 424 values were lower for all sites. This likely suggests that inaccurately aged whelks are creating an 425 artificial increase in K between one and three years of age for OpSR data and this leads into an under-426 estimation of L_{∞} . The underestimated L_{∞} is likely due to the difficulty in distinguishing between 427 OpSRs that are compressed together at the edge of the opercula in older whelks. OpSRs are formed 428 from a decrease in the distance between layered organic matter (which forms the growth ring during 429 periods of slow growth), as the growth lines get closer together (through ontogenetic decreases in 430 growth) the ability to differentiate between these layers decreases. Alternatively, whilst the growth 431 rings at the edge of statoliths become closer together, they still appear to be discernible in the oldest 432 statoliths as they are not comprised of stacked layers of organic material but significantly are a continuously forming structure. The values of the growth constant K estimated from the adventitious 433 434 layers are the lowest at all sites, this is due to the overestimation of age resulting in slow rises in the 435 growth curves.

Differences were also seen between sexes, L_{∞} was higher for males which could potentially reflect the repeated greater energy expenditure of females during reproduction over a lifetime (Brokordt *et al.*, 2003). The size at hatching (t0) is also greater for males, as this was likely dependent on reconstructed juvenile size classes (from StR measurements) it is unclear whether this difference is genuine, further work determining the sex of newly hatched juveniles should be undertaken to investigate this.

Reported values of L_{∞} and K from OpSR in the literature are comparable with those calculated during this study. Shelmerdine *et al.* (2007) calculated values for L_{∞} between 99 mm and 157 mm for sites around Shetland, which is comparable with the L_{∞} values for the Shetland site found in this study (StR - 122.2 mm, OpSR – 106.71 mm, OpAL – 105.55 mm). The values of K differed from the values of 0.09
and 0.4 day⁻¹ reported by Shelmerdine *et al.*, the StR and OpAL estimations were very close to these
values (0.42 and 0.55 day⁻¹ respectively) however, the OpSR value was much higher (0.97day⁻¹). The
average growth profiles calculated from oxygen isotope data (Figure 8b) also display a sigmoidal
growth curve which is most similar to the patterns displayed by the statolith growth rings, rather than
either of the operculum growth rings.

451 There are clear limitations regarding the use of operculum derived age data which likely stem from 452 unreliable formation of growth rings in early years and poor clarity of OpSRs. The growth of OpALs 453 outlined in Figure 1 does not have any clear reason to be annual and is likely representative of 454 strengthening in the operculum. The addition of TSL data from year 0 and year 1, derived from StR 455 measurements represents a novel way of retrospectively adding crucial size data for often missing size 456 classes. Without these data, the Gompertz nature of the growth curves may have been overlooked. 457 Whilst it is conceivable to undertake this practice for the StR data sets (provided the relationship 458 between statolith diameter and TSL for a particular site is known), in this case the year 0 and year 1 459 statolith data were also included in operculum derived growth curves. Without it, the growth curves 460 for operculum derived ages gave unrealistic estimates of most parameters at all sites. In short, the 461 analysis of the opercula would not have been possible without the use of statolith-derived size at age 462 data and the inclusion of low-clarity operculum specimens. This is more evidence in the preferential 463 use of statoliths in age determination of B. undatum. One drawback to the use of statoliths in 464 comparison to opercula is the time taken to extract and process the specimens, 5-10 minutes as 465 opposed to 1-2 minutes. However, the clear advantages to the use of statoliths described here 466 undoubtedly outweigh the collection and processing time.

467 One issue with the sites from Jersey also needs to be addressed. Many statoliths from the three 468 sample sites displayed extra weaker growth rings between the annual growth lines (Figure 9). The 469 initial inclusion of these extra weak growth rings in age estimations led to an overestimation of the 470 age resulting in values for size at age roughly half of those observed in the Menai Strait population. 471 The Sea Surface Temperature (SST) minima at these two sites are similar, although Jersey reaches 472 higher summer SST values; this finding was a clear anomaly that led to further investigation of the 473 weaker growth rings. Their formation is likely due to a slowing of growth during the summer maximum 474 temperatures at this site. The extra lines were more of an issue in samples from Jersey which has 475 higher maximum annual SST that the other two sites and is nearby of the southern limit of the species 476 range so its thermal tolerance of summer temperatures. This suggests that B. undatum has an 477 optimum growth temperature range, and that whelks in Jersey may experience deviations from both 478 the optimal temperature minima and maxima during the annual cycle. With practice it is simple to 479 discount these extra lines, which often do not remain clearly visible as disturbance lines around the 480 whole circumference of the statolith (Figure 9). This issue raises the importance of fully understanding 481 the environmental setting of locations from which whelk samples are collected to better aid in the 482 interpretation of their statolith rings.

483 In conclusion, the statoliths of *B. undatum* provide a more reliable method of age estimation than the 484 currently used operculum surface rings. The statolith rings are superior in both their clarity and the 485 variability of the resulting growth curves. The growth of *B. undatum* was shown for the first time to 486 follow a sigmoidal development that is most accurately modelled using a Gompertz growth function. 487 With further refinement and observation the statolith ageing techniques presented here hold great 488 promise for improving the feasibility of stock and population structure assessments for the currently 489 difficult to assess yet commercially important B. undatum populations around the U.K and from 490 European waters.

491 Acknowledgements

This project funded by a Bangor University-CEFAS PhD scholarship awarded to PRH. The authors
would like to thank Gwyne Parry-Jones (School of Ocean Sciences, (SOS), Bangor University), Mark
Hamilton (NAFC) and Jon Shrives (DoE Jersey) for all their help in sample collection. Thanks also

- to Berwyn Roberts who helped maintain juvenile whelks in the aquarium. All stable isotope
- 496 sampling was undertaken following a successful facility grant application to the NERC Isotope
- 497 Geoscience Facility Steering Committee (NIGFSC) (IP–1527–0515), for which we thank Hilary
- 498 Sloane processing the samples. We also thank Dr. Alexander Arkhipkin and three anonymous
- 499 reviewers for their comments and editorial feedback which greatly improved the paper.

500 **References**

- Akaike, H. 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. *In* 2nd
 International Symposium on Information Theory. Ed. By B. N. Petrov, F. Csáki. Tsahkadsor,
 Armenia, USSR, September 2-8, 1971, Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 451 pp.
- Beamish, R. J. 1990. The importance of accurate ages in fisheries sciences. *In* The Measurement of Age
 and Growth in Fish and Shellfish. Ed. By D. A. Hancock. Proceedings No. 12. Bureau of Rural
 Resources, Canberra, Australia. 320 pp.
- 507 Bertalanffy V, L. 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth. Human Biology, 10(2): 181-213.
- Barroso, C. M., Nunes, M., Richardson, C.A., and Moreira, M.H. 2005. The gastropod statolith: a tool
 for determining the age of *Nassarius reticulatus*. Marine Biology, 146: 1139–1144.
- Bigatti, G., Penchaszadeh, P. E., and Cledón, M. 2007. Age and growth in *Odontocymbiola magellanica*(Gastropoda: Volutidae) from Golfo Nuevo, Patagonia, Argentina. Marine Biology, 150: 11991204.
- Borsetti, S., Munroe, D., Rudders, D. B., Dobson, C., and Bochenek, E. A. 2018. Spatial variation in life
 history characteristics of waved whelk (*Buccinum undatum* L.) on the U.S. Mid-Atlantic
 continental shelf. Fisheries Research, 198: 129-137.
- Brokordt, K., Guderley, H., Guay, M., Gaymer, C. F., Himmelman, J. H. 2003. Sex differences in
 reproductive investment: maternal care reduces escape response capacity in the whelk
 Buccinum undatum. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 291: 161-180
- 519 Chatzinikolaou, E., and Richardson, C. A. 2007. Evaluating growth and age of netted whelk *Nassarius* 520 *reticulatus* (Gastropoda: Nassariidae) using statolith growth rings. Marine Ecology Progress
 521 Series, 342: 163-176.
- 522 Checa, A. G., and Jiménez-Jiménez, A. P. 1998. Constructional Morphology, Origin, and Evolution of
 523 the Gastropod Operculum. Paleobiology, 24(1): 109-132.
- 524 Chen, M. H., and Soong, K. 2002. Estimation of age in the sex-changing, coral-inhabiting snail
 525 *Coralliophila violacea* from the growth striae on the opercula and a mark-recapture experiment.
 526 Marine Biology, 140: 337-342.
- 527 Crawley, M. J. 2007. The R book. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, U.K. 942 pp.
- Day, R. W., and Fleming, A. E. 1992. The determinants and measurement of abalone growth. pp. 141168. *In* Abalone of the World: Biology. Fisheries, and Culture. Ed. By Shepherd, S. A., Tegner,
 M. J., and Guzmin del Prao, S. A. Fishing News Books, Oxford, UK. 608 pp.

- Emmerson, J. A., Haig, J. A., Bloor, I. S. M., and Kaiser, M. J. 2018. The complexities and challenges of
 conserving common whelk (*Buccinum undatum* L.) fishery resources: Spatio-temporal study
 of variable population demographics within an environmental context. Fisheries Research,
 204: 125-136.
- Epstein, S., Buchsbaum, J. R., Lowenstam, H. A. & Urey, H. C. 1953. Revised carbonate-water isotopic
 temperature scale. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 64: 1315-1326.
- Fahy, E., Yalloway, G., and Gleeson, P. 1995. Appraisal of the whelk *Buccinum undatum* fishery of the
 Southern Irish Sea with proposals for a management strategy. Irish Fisheries Investigations
 Series B, 42.
- Fahy E, Carroll J, O'Toole M, Barry C, Hother-Parkes L (2005) Fishery associated changes in the whelk
 Buccinum undatum stock in the southwest Irish Sea, 1995-2003. Irish Fisheries Investigations,
 15.
- 543 Food and Agriculture Organization species distribution maps.
- 544 http://www.fao.org/figis/geoserver/factsheets/species.html
- 545 Ferreira, T., and Rasband, W. 2012. ImageJ User Guide 1.46r. ImageJ/Fiji. 198 pp.
- Gompertz, B. 1825. On the Nature of the Function Expressive of the Law of Human Mortality, and on
 a New Method of Determining the Value of Life Contingencies. Philosophical Transactions of
 the Royal Society, 115: 513-585.
- Gros, P., and Santarelli, L. 1986. Methode d'estimation de la surface de pêche d'un casier á l'aide d'une
 filiere experimentale. Oceanologica Acta, 9: 81-87.
- Gutiérrez-Zugasti, I., Suárez-Revilla, R., Clarke, L. J., Schöne, B. R., Bailey, G. N., and González-Morales,
 M. R. 2017. Shell oxygen isotope values and sclerochronology of the limpet *Patella vulgata* Linnaeus 1758 from northern Iberia: Implications for the reconstruction of past seawater
 temperatures. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 475: 162-175.
- Haig, J. A., Pantin, J. R., Murray, L. G., and Kaiser, M. J. 2015. Temporal and spatial variation in size at
 maturity of the common whelk (*Buccinum undatum*). ICES Journal of Marine Science, 72 (9):
 2707-2719.
- Hancock, D. A. 1963. Marking experiments with the commercial whelk (*Buccinum undatum*). ICNAF
 Special Publication, 4:176-187.
- Hart, D. R., and Chute, A. S. 2009. Verification of Atlantic sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) shell
 growth rings by tracking cohorts in fishery closed areas. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
 Aquatic Sciences, 66: 751-758.
- Heude-Berthelin, C., Hégron-Macé, L., Legrand, V., Jouaux, A., Adeline, B., Mathieu, M., and Kellner,
 K. 2011. Growth and reproduction of the common whelk *Buccinum undatum* in west Cotentin
 (Channel), France. Aquatic living resources, 24: 317–327.
- Hilborn, R., and Walters, C. J. 1992. Quantitative fisheries stock assessment: choices, dynamics and
 uncertainty. New York: Chapman and Hall. Chicago. 570 pp.
- Hollyman, P. R., Leng, M. J., Chenery, S. R. N., Laptikhovsky, V. V., and Richardson, C. A. 2018a.
 Statoliths of the whelk *Buccinum undatum*: a novel age determination tool. Marine Ecology
 Progress Series, 598: 261–272. doi: 10.3354/meps12119.

- Hollyman, P. R., Chenery, S. R. N., EIMF, Ignatyev, K., Laptikhovsky, V. V., and Richardson, C. A. 2017.
 Micro-scale geochemical and crystallographic analysis of *Buccinum undatum* statoliths reveals
 annual periodicity of visible growth rings. In Press, Chemical Geology,
- 574 doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.09.034
- Hollyman, P. R., Laptikhovsky, V. V., and Richardson, C. A. 2018b. Techniques for estimating the
 growth of molluscs. I: Gastropods. In press: Journal of Shellfish Research.
- Hollyman, P. R. 2017. Age, growth and reproductive assessment of the whelk, *Buccinum undatum*, in
 coastal shelf seas. PhD thesis, Bangor University. 404 pp. http://e.bangor.ac.uk/9872/
- Hunt, S. 1969 Characterization of the operculum of the gastropod mollusc *Buccinum undatum*.
 Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 207: 347-360.
- Ilano, A. S., Fujinaga, K., and Nakao, S. J. 2004. Reproductive cycle and size at sexual maturity of the
 commercial whelk *Buccinum isaotakii* in Funka Bay, Hokkaido, Japan. Journal of the Marine
 Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 83: 1287–1294.
- 584 Kideys, A. E. 1996. Determination of age and growth of *Buccinum undatum* L. (Gastropoda) off 585 Douglas, Isle of Man. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen, 50: 353–368.
- Laptikhovsky, V., Barrett, C., Firmin, C., Hollyman, P., Lawler, A., Masefield, R., McIntyre, R., Palmer,
 D., Soeffker, M., Parker-Humphreys, M. 2016. A novel approach for estimation of the natural
 mortality of the common whelk, *Buccinum undatum* (L.) and role of hermit crabs in its shell
 turnover. Fisheries Research, 183: 146-154.
- Lawler, A. 2013. Determination of the size of maturity of the whelk *Buccinum undatum* in English
 waters Defra Project MF0231. 39 pp.
- Leng, M. J., & Lewis, J. P. 2016. Oxygen isotopes in Molluscan shell: Applications in environmental
 archaeology. Environmental Archaeology, 21(3): 295-306.
- McIntyre, R., Lawler, A., and Masefield, R. 2015. Size of maturity of the common whelk, *Buccinum undatum*: Is the minimum landing size in England too low? Fisheries Research, 162: 53–57.
- 596 Miranda, R. M., Fujinaga, K., and Nakao, S. 2008. Age and growth of *Neptunea arthritica* estimated
 597 from growth marks in the operculum. Marine Biology Research, 4: 224-235.
- Marine Management Organisation. 2017. UK Sea Fisheries Statistics 2016. Office for National
 Statistics, London, UK. 174 pp.
- Moreau, J. and Moreau, I. 1987. Fitting of von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) with two growth
 checks per year. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 3: 56-60. doi:10.1111/j.14390426.1987.tb00453.x
- Nasution, S., Roberts, D., Farnsworth, K., Parker, G. A., and Elwood, R. W. 2010. Maternal effects on
 offspring size and packaging constraints in the whelk. Journal of Zoology, 281: 112-117.

Pálsson, S., Magnúsdóttir, H., Reynisdóttir, S., Jónsson, Z., and Ornólfsdóttir, E. B. 2014. Divergence
 and molecular variation in common whelk *Buccinum undatum* (Gastropoda: Buccinidae) in
 Iceland: a trans-Atlantic comparison. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 111: 145–159.

- Prager, M. H., Saila, S. B., and Recksiek, C. W. 1994. FISHPARM: A microcomputer program for
 parameter estimation of non-linear Models in fishery science. Old Dominion University
 Oceanography Technical Report 87–10. 22 pp.
- Przybylski, M., and García-Berthou, E. 2004. Age and growth of European bitterling (*Rhodeus sericeus*)
 in the Wieprz-Krzna Canal, Poland. Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology, 4(2): 207–213.
- Richardson, C. A. 2001. Molluscs as archives of environmental change. Oceanography and Marine
 Biology: an Annual Review, 39: 103–164.
- Richardson, C. A., Saurel, C., Barroso, C. M., and Thain, J. 2005. Evaluation of the age of the red whelk
 Neptunea antiqua using statoliths, opercula and element ratios in the shell. Journal of
 Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 325: 55–64.
- Rodriguez, L., Daneri, G., Torres, C., León, M., and Bravo, L. 2001. Modeling the growth of the Chilean
 loco, *Concholepas concholepas* (Bruguiere, 1789) using a modified Gompertz-type function.
 Journal of Shellfish Research, 20: 309–315.
- Rodriguez-Sánchez, V., Encina, L., Rodríguez-Ruiz, A., and Sánchez-Carmona, R. 2009. Largemouth
 bass, *Micropterus salmoides*, growth and reproduction in Primera de Palos' lake (Huelva, Spain).
 Folia Zoologica, 58(4): 436-446.
- Santarelli, L., and Gros, P. 1985. Age and growth of the whelk *Buccinum undatum* L. (Gastropoda:
 Prosobranchia) using stable isotopes of the shell and operculum striae. Oceanologica Acta, 8:
 221–229.
- Shelmerdine, R. L., Adamson, J., Laurenson, C. H., and Leslie, B. 2007. Size variation of the common
 whelk, *Buccinum undatum*, over large and small spatial scales: Potential implications for micro management within the fishery. Fisheries Research, 86: 201-206.
- Shrives, J. P., Pickup, S. E., and Morel, G. M. 2015. Whelk (*Buccinum undatum* L.) stocks around the
 Island of Jersey, Channel Islands: Reassessment and implications for sustainable management.
 Fisheries Research, 167: 236–242.
- Smith, K. E., and Thatje, S. 2013. Nurse egg consumption and intracapsular development in the
 common whelk *Buccinum undatum* (Linnaeus 1758). Helgoland Marine Research, 67: 109120.
- Ten Hallers-Tjabbes, C. C., Everaarts, J. M., Mensink, B. P., and Boon, J. P. 1996. The decline of the
 North Sea whelk (*Buccinum undatum* L.) between 1970 and 1990: a natural or humaninduced event? Marine Ecology, 17: 333–343.
- Sosdian, S., Gentry, D. K., Lear, C. H., Grossman, E. L., Hicks, D., and Rosenthal, Y. 2006. Strontium to
 calcium ratios in the marine gastropod *Conus ermineus*: growth rate effects and temperature
 calibration. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 7(11): 1525-2027.
- Troynikov, V. S., Day, R. W., and Leorke, A. M. 1998. Estimation of seasonal growth parameters using
 a stochastic Gompertz model for tagging data. Journal of Shellfish Research, 17(3): 833-838.
- Vasconcelos, P., Gharsallah, I. H., Moura, P., Zamouri-Langar, L., Gaamour, A., and Missaoui, H. 2012.
 Appraisal of the usefulness of operculum growth marks for ageing *Hexaplex trunculus*(Gastropoda: Muricidae): Comparison between surface striae and adventitious layers. Marine
 Biology Research, 8: 141-53.

648 649	Verhulst, P. F. 1838. Notice sur la loi que la population suit dans son accroissement. Current Maths and Physics, 10: 113.
650 651 652	Vovelle, J. 1967. Sur l'opercule de <i>Gibbula magus</i> L. gastéropode Prosobranch: édification, nature proteique et durcissement par tannage quinonique. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, 264: 141-144.
653	Windsor, C. P. 1932. The Gompertz curve as a growth curve. PNAS, 18(1): 1-8.
654 655	Woods, P., and Jonasson, J. P. 2017. Bayesian hierarchical surplus production model of the common whelk Buccinum undatum in Icelandic waters. Fisheries Research, 194: 117-128.
656	
657	
658	
659	
660	
661	

- - -

671 Figure legends



672
673 Figure 1. a) the location of the operculum on a whole whelk highlighting the exposed dorsal surface, b) a view
674 of the operculum dorsal surface, c) a view of the operculum ventral surface, growth rings are highlighted with

blue dots. d) a diagrammatic representation of the growth of the concentric operculum of *B. undatum*. Red lines

676 indicate the direction of growth. Adapted from Vovelle, (1967) and Checa and Jiménez-Jiménez (1998).



Figure 2. The localities of all three sampling sites used in this study.



Figure 3. *Buccinum undatum* statoliths and opercula. A comparison of four levels of clarity of StR (top row), OpSR viewed in transmitted light (middle row) and OpAL viewed
 in reflected light (bottom row). Red lines indicate 50 μm scale bars, black lines represent 5 mm scale bars. Black arrows represent clear growth lines, red arrows
 represent unclear growth lines and green arrows represent the hatching ring of the statoliths



Figure 4. Photomicrographs of two 27 month old laboratory reared *Buccinum undatum* statoliths (a & d) with corresponding operculum, external surface (b & e) and
 operculum inner surface showing the adventitious layers (c & f). Hatching rings are represented by green arrows (a & d), clear growth rings by black arrows and disputed
 rings by red arrows. The statolith rings and operculum surface rings (a & d and b & e respectively) were imaged with transmitted light whereas the adventitious layers on the
 inner surface of each operculum (c & f) were imaged using reflected light. Red lines indicate 50 μm scale bars, black lines represent 5 mm scale bars.



Figure 5. Comparison of stacked bar plots showing the % frequency of clarity scores (C4 is best) for a) statoliths,
b) operculum surface rings and c) adventitious layers from *Buccinum undatum* collected from all sites. n/a
represents samples where one or more structures were lost or were not collected during sampling processing.



Figure 6. Gompertz growth curves for *Buccinum undatum* from the Menai Strait (red lines) for (a) statolith ring
 data, (b) operculum surface rings and (c) adventitious layers. Note that the x-axis for graph c) is almost twice the
 size of a) and b) due to the high age estimations of adventitious layers. Dotted lines represent 95% confidence
 intervals. Blue dots represent data from wild caught animals, green diamonds represent aquarium growth data,
 purple trianglesrepresent retrospectively calculated size at age from statoliths rings.



703

Figure 7. Fitted Gompertz growth curves for *Buccinum undatum* from the Shetland Isles (blue lines), the Menai Strait (brown lines), Jersey (black lines). The data in a) were fitted using data generated from statolith rings, the data in b) were fitted using operculum surface rings and the data in c) were fitted using adventitious layers. Vertical dotted red lines represent 1, 2, 4 and 6 year marks.



708

Figure 8. a) Annual growth rates of individual field collected whelks from Jersey, the Menai Strait and Shetland
 whelks. Average profiles are shown from all sampled shells from each site, error bars represent +/- 1SE. b)
 Average cumulative growth over time, derived from isotope growth rate data.



- Figure 9. A *Buccinum undatum* statolith from a 2 year old male specimen from JD5. The hatching and annual
 growth rings are clearly visible (green and black arrows respectively). The weaker mid-annual lines are shown
 with red arrows.

722 Tables

723 Table 1. The locations, date of collection, depth and number of samples from each sample site. Site name Latitude Longitude Date Depth (m) Number of whelks Menai Strait 53.2338889 -4.143055556 Feb '14 - Jul '15 10 - 11.5 50/month (900) Jersey 49.193889 -1.858611 Feb '15 14 91 18 - 20 Shetland 60.64333 -0.969444 Feb '15 218 724 725 726 727 728 729 Table 2. The average differences between corresponding statolith ring ages and operculum derived ages for 730 each site. Values >1 indicate an underestimation of age, values <1 indicate an overestimation of age. * 731 denotes a p value < 0.001 for pairwise comparison t tests between groups. OpSR vs. StR OpAL vs. StR OpSR vs. OpAL Shetland 1.31* 0.66* 0.40* 1.03* Menai Strait 0.54* 0.54* Jersey 0.89 0.40 * 0.45* 732 733 734 735 736 737 Table 3. Goodness of fit indicators for the three growth models (Gompertz, von Bertalanffy and Logistic) 738 applied to the statolith growth ring size at age data from each site. Bold text indicates the best fitting model 739 for each site.

Model	Parameter	Jersey	Menai Strait (All)	Menai Strait Female	Menai Strait Male	Shetland
	R ²	0.90	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.99
Gompertz	MSRe	26.9	27.1	28.9	25.9	20.7
	AIC	3.30	3.30	3.37	3.26	3.05
	R ²	0.88	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.98
von Bertalanffy	MSRe	30.0	31.1	38.2	29.8	24.9
Bertalahiry	AIC	3.42	3.44	3.65	3.4	3.24
	R ²	0.89	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.98
Logistic	MSRe	27.8	29.4	30.4	29.3	24.7
	AIC	3.34	3.38	3.42	3.38	3.23

740

Table 4. Parameter outputs and goodness of fit indicators from Gompertz growth curves fitted to size at age
 data generated using StR data (top table), OpSR data (middle table) and OpAL data (bottom table) for all sites.

743 Bold text indicates the best fitting model at each site.

Jersey Menai Strait Menai Strait Shetland female male			Statolith Rings		
	Jersey	Menai Strait	Menai Strait female	Menai Strait male	Shetland

L0 (mm)	2.07 ±0.55	2.45 ±0.33	2.35 ±0.29	3.31 ±0.3	4.85 ±0.56	
L_∞ (mm)	68.57	80.04	79.14	83.57	122.2	
К	0.97 ±0.06	0.88 ±0.02	0.87 ±0.02	0.74 ±0.01	0.55 ±0.02	
R ²	0.89	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.98	
MSR _e	26.90	27.18	28.88	25.90	20.67	
n	217	871	398	473	153	
		Оре	erculum surface	rings		
	Jersey	Menai Strait	Menai Strait female	Menai Strait male	Shetland	
L0 (mm)	1.02 ±0.81	1.66 ±0.41	0.51 ±0.25	1.59 ±0.38	0.9 ±0.59	
L_∞ (mm)	51.10	77.45	75.43	79.34	106.71	
К	1.58 ±0.2	1.22 ±0.05	1.37 ±0.09	1.07 ±0.04	0.97 ±0.08	
R ²	0.72	0.89	0.94	0.94	0.91	
MSR _e	69.17	52.50	51.44	44.82	131.14	
n	244	646	251	395	121	
	Adventitious layers					
	Jersey	Menai Strait	Menai Strait female	Menai Strait male	Shetland	
L0 (mm)	2.92 ±1.65	4.15 ±0.52	3.33 ±0.45	4.33 ±0.56	0.13 ±0.29	
L_∞ (mm)	54.92	78.79	75.38	79.73	105.55	
К	0.57 ±0.08	0.51 ±0.02	0.66 ±0.04	0.49 ±0.02	0.42 ±0.08	
R ²	0.71	0.92	0.97	0.95	0.89	
MSR _e	66.19	40.30	26.60	38.15	122.83	
n	218	553	245	308	136	

763	Table 5. Summary of the Total Shell Length (TSL) data for each site along with a comparison between the
764	maximum TSL values and the L_∞ value produced by the Gompertz equation using each of the 3 structures at
765	each site. Bold text indicates the best fit at each site. Maximum differences were calculated by subtracting the
766	maximum Total Shell Length (TSL) measurement at each site from the L_∞ calculated at each site.

TSL (m	nm) Jersey	Menai Strait	Menai Strait female	Menai Strait male	Shetland
Mea	in 44.40	75.05	74.00	75.98	92.26

Max.	70.56	97.87	97.51	97.87	115.30
Min.	22.84	27.82	34.74	27.82	44.25
Maximum difference from L_{∞} (statolith rings)	1.99	17.83	18.37	14.30	-6.90
Maximum difference from L_{∞} (operculum surface rings)	19.46	20.42	22.08	18.53	8.59
Maximum difference from L_∞ (adventitious layers)	15.64	19.08	22.13	18.14	9.75

Table 6. Comparison of age and shell isotope data for all sampled specimens. Grey boxes denote a miss-match

between the highlighted value and the number of shell oxygen isotope cycles. * indicate that the statolith

sample contained 1 or more disturbance rings. ? indicate where an operculum has poor clarity.

Location	Sample	No. of $\delta^{18}O$	No. of statolith	No. of operculum
Location	Sample	cycles in shell	rings	surface rings
Laboratory	T1	2	2	0
reared	T2	2	2	3
animals	Т3	2	2	2
	Pilot shell	3	3	4
Menai Strait	MS13-7	3	3*	2?
remale	MS13-23	4	4	3?
	MS13-3	5	5*	3
Menai Strait	MS13-13	4	4	2?
IVIAIE	MS13-33	4	4	4
	JF4-4	5	5*	4?
Jersey Male	JF4-5	5	5*	4
	JF4-9	5	5*	3?
	SH-19	6	6	3?
Shetland	SH-31	5	5	3?
ividle	SH-32	5	5	4?