Can the optimisation of pop-up agriculture in remote communities

help feed the world?

Dylan Gwynn-Jones^{1*}, Hannah Dunne¹, Iain Donisson¹, Paul Robson¹, Giovanni Marco Sanfratello¹, Beatrix Schlarb-Ridley², Kevin Hughes², Peter Convey²

- 1 IBERS, Aberystwyth University, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion. SY23 3DA, UK.
 - 2 British Antarctic Survey, NERC, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK.

Address for correspondence: Dylan Gwynn-Jones, Tel +44(0)1970 622318; E-mail <u>dyj@aber.ac.uk</u> IBERS, F24 Cledwyn Building, Aberystwyth University, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, SY23 3DA, UK. 1 Abstract

2 Threats to global food security have generated the need for novel food production techniques

to feed an ever-expanding population with ever-declining land resources. Hydroponic cultivation has been long recognised as a reliable, resilient and resource-use-efficient alternative to soil-based agricultural practices. The aspiration for highly efficient systems and even city-based vertical farms is starting to become realised using innovations such as aeroponics and LED lighting technology. However, the ultimate challenge for any crop production system is to be able to operate and help sustain human life in remote and extreme locations, including the polar regions on Earth, and in space. Here we explore past research and crop growth in such remote areas, and the scope to improve on the systems used in these areas to date. We introduce biointensive agricultural systems and 3D growing environments, intercropping in hydroponics and the production of multiple crops from single growth systems. To reflect the flexibility and adaptability of these approaches to different environments we have called this type of enclosed system 'pop-up agriculture'. The vision here is built on sustainability, maximising yield from the smallest growing footprint, adopting the principles of a circular economy, using local resources and eliminating waste. We explore plant companions in intercropping systems to supply a diversity of plant foods. We argue that it is time to consume all edible components of plants grown, highlighting that nutritious plant parts are often wasted that could provide vitamins and antioxidants. Supporting human

life via crop production in remote and isolated communities necessitates new levels of
 efficiency, eliminating waste, minimising environmental impacts and trying to wean away
 from our dependence on fossil fuels. This aligns well with tandem research emerging from
 economically developing countries where lower technology hydroponic approaches are
 being trialled reinforcing the need for 'cross-pollination' of ideas and research development
 on pop-up agriculture that will see benefits across a range of environments.

57 26

1. Introduction

An expanding global population is the root cause of fundamental environmental challenges faced today. Global population estimates predict a 35% increase from 7.3 billion to 11.2 billion by 2100 (UNDESA, 2014). With increases in population come amplified anthropogenic pressures on the environment (Harte, 2007), increased pollution (Cole and Neumayer, 2004) and reduced per capita land and resource availability (Sheikh, 2006; Vörösmarty et al., 2000). The cumulative impact of these issues is likely to negatively affect the sustainability of global resources and in turn the longevity of the human population.

By 2050 it is estimated that 66% of the global population will live in urban regions (UNDESA, 2014). In the UK, the Office for National Statistics documented an 8.1% increase in urban populations between 2001 and 2011 (Gower et al., 2013). Urbanisation in western societies further decreases available land as a result of developmental pressure from cities into surrounding agricultural areas (Despommier, 2010).

Anthropogenic climate change compounds the above issues as many tropical and sub-tropical countries, more vulnerable to the impacts of global warming, may see reductions in viable arable land due to the consequences of desertification and sea level rise (Le Houérou, 1996; Rosenzweig et al., 1994; Zhang and Cai, 2011). It is therefore pertinent that innovative and efficient food production techniques are implemented at a significant scale in order to mitigate the disparity between population growth and food production.

101 46 **2. Closed Environment Agriculture**

Whilst efforts are being made globally to mitigate climate change, thus reducing the rate of arable land loss, additional research has been undertaken to actively increase the amount of available space for crop production. This novel thinking has led to the creation of Closed Environment Agriculture (CEA), a term which encompasses a broad range of methods for the production of food within an enclosed environment (Jensen, 2001). The use of closed environments allows for control of many factors in the aerial environment, the root zone, and

in irradiation (Rorabaugh et al., 2002). This can optimise plant growth and resource use efficiency whilst also enabling food production in previously unsuitable or unpredictable locations. Comprehensive control of the growing environment also allows for off-season production, eradicating seasonal time restrictions and generating multiple crops per year (Sabir and Singh, 2013). This technology may also provide an alternative agricultural output for areas affected by climate change, industrialisation and urbanisation, and may also reduce reliance on seasonal agricultural labour.

Soil-less culture is enveloped within the umbrella term of CEA, and consists of aeroponic, aquaponic and hydroponic technologies. The latter pertains to a system of horticulture by which water is used as the primary growth medium, supplied with controlled concentrations of nutrient solution (Jensen and Collins, 1985). Hydroponics is not a novel technology, however, consistent and ongoing research is increasingly revealing the full potential of its applications. More specifically, hydroponics has been identified as a technology for the future as a tool for long-duration space travel (MacElroy et al., 1987; Smith et al., 2005) and disaster relief, as well as aiding climate change mitigation efforts (Despommier, 2013).

Hydroponic techniques vary in design, though the general principles remain similar. As an alternative to soil, plants are cultivated in a water-based solution containing the nutrients essential for plant growth. Aggregate systems replace the traditional medium of soil, with an inert substrate used for structural support and its water retentive properties (e.g. coconut coir, Rockwool, vermiculite, sand, gravel) (Jensen, 1997). Alternatively, liquid (non-aggregate) systems have no supportive growing medium and roots are directly exposed to the nutrient solution (Marr, 1994).

The most commonly employed hydroponic techniques include Deep Flow Techniques (DFT) and Nutrient Film Technique (NFT). Within DFT systems, crops are grown within raft-like structures on the surface of aerated nutrient solution, allowing for complete submersion of

the root zone (Rodríguez-Delfín, 2011). The benefit of this approach is the simplicity of the design and therefore relative ease of implementation. DFT is an 'open system' of hydroponics where nutrient solutions are actively replaced at regular intervals. In contrast, NFT is referred to as a 'closed system' due to the automatic filtration and recirculation of nutrient solutions (Rodríguez-Delfín, 2011). Here we extend the concept of CEA and soil-less culture systems to develop the concept of pop-up agriculture. Such agriculture is flexible in that crops can be grown in relatively small areas as determined by particular environmental limitations such as polar research stations, space capsules, remote offshore platforms or even school canteens, but the approach is not limited to small area agriculture. Pop-up agriculture embodies the aspiration to maximise the potential advantages of a more controlled environment to produce a more efficient circular system in which waste is limited and/or re-used where possible and crops are grown and utilised to achieve maximal nutrient output for minimal resource input.

3. History of hydroponics

Originally, hydroponic techniques were developed for use within botanical research, though not initially known by this name. William F. Gericke coined the term "hydroponics" in the 20th Century after successful cultivation of tomatoes within a simple system comprised of buckets filled with nutrient solution (Gericke, 1937). This innovation inspired the idea that food production via hydroponics was viable on a larger scale. The development of computerised systems during the 1980s allowed for the ultimate control of the enclosed environment, thus leading to the realisation of hydroponics as a commercially viable food production technique (Sardare and Admane, 2013; Sengupta and Banerjee, 2012).

Today, the most common theme in hydroponic research is the development of the technology for efficient control of the microclimate in order to increase productivity and 230 103 reduce costs (Jensen, 1997; Scoccianti et al., 2009). Nested within this general trend lies 232 104 research regarding the specific elements of climatic control, including lighting systems

238 105 (Ebisawa et al., 2008; Genovese et al., 2008; Martineau et al., 2012; McAvoy and Janes, 239 240 1983), nutrient solution composition and pH (Sardare and Admane, 2013; Tyson et al., 2008; 106 241 242 Velázquez et al., 2013), aerial and root zone temperature (Bugbee and White, 1984; 107 243 244 108 Papadopoulos and Tiessen, 1983; Sakamoto and Suzuki, 2015; Wu and Kubota, 2008) and 245 246 electrical conductivity (Cornish, 1992; Velázquez et al., 2013; Wu and Kubota, 2008). This 247 109 248 research couples technological advances with knowledge of plant physiology to produce the 249 110 250 most efficient and productive systems. 251 111

Use of an enclosed environment is both a strength and a weakness; the privilege of being 253 112 254 255 113 able to control environmental variables exhaustively necessitates the use of advanced 256 257 114 computer systems and sensory technology as well as provision of lighting, heating and/or 258 259 cooling, potentially equating to high energy costs (Jensen, 1997). Careful and accurate 115 260 261 regulation of environmental variables can produce yields of up to 20 times that of traditional 116 262 263 Open Field Agriculture (OFA) (Jensen, 1997). However, in order to achieve the full benefits 117 264 265 of ultimate environmental control, hydroponic systems require significant capital investment 118 266 267 268 119 to deliver such high yields (Ferguson et al., 2014; Sengupta and Banerjee, 2012). There are, 269 therefore, concerns that hydroponic systems may not currently be economically viable on a 270 120 271 larger scale and cannot compete with OFA methods (Jensen, 1997; Martineau et al., 2012). 272 121 273 274 122 However, OFA is not an option in certain areas of the world or in certain seasons. Hydroponic 275 systems allow the growing of higher value horticultural produce in areas of otherwise poor 276 123 277 278 124 quality land, or indoors. Also OFA and Hydroponics need to be compared in relation to their 279 280 carbon footprint and environmental sustainability particularly as we try to wean away from 125 281 ²⁸² 126 our dependence on fossil fuels. 283

²⁸⁴ 127
 4. Keeping Control of the Growing Environment

Research and technological advancements ultimately aim to offset the costs of such
 intensive systems via increases in efficiency, productivity and quality of produce (Jensen,
 130 1997; Scoccianti et al., 2009). Much research has been undertaken into how to control

292 293

237

252

294

297 individual variables most efficiently in order to generate the highest crop value (Buck et al., 131 298 299 2004; Martineau et al., 2012; Park and Kurata, 2009). Artificial lighting systems are perhaps 132 300 301 the most energy-demanding element of hydroponic cultivation (Martineau et al., 2012), and 133 302 303 304 134 have generated a considerable body of research. In the past, High Pressure Sodium (HPS) 305 light treatments were used to extend photoperiod and increase yields; however, a large 306 135 307 amount of waste heat was generated (McAvoy and Janes, 1983). More recently, LED lighting 308 136 309 systems have been highlighted as a means of reducing energy costs (Brown et al., 1995; 310 137 311 Martineau et al., 2012) and may also benefit crop growth (Chin and Chong, 2012; Sabzalian 312 138 313 314 139 et al., 2014). Martineau et al. (2012) reported energy savings of up to 33.8% being achieved 315 316 140 through use of LEDs. The ability to control light intensity and photoperiod eliminates 317 318 141 seasonality, allowing for year-round crop production (Rodríguez-Delfín, 2011). In addition, 319 320 142 aerial environmental factors, such as temperature and humidity, must be regulated 321 322 consistently to complement lighting regimes. The effective interaction of these elements can 143 323 324 325 ¹⁴⁴ enhance crop quality, growth and yields (Buck et al., 2004).

326 327 ¹⁴⁵ Containment has the additional benefit of considerably decreasing the chances of 328 exposure to pests and diseases (Sardare and Admane, 2013). A lack of soil equates to a 329 146 330 reduction in the risk of soil-borne plant pathogens (Biebel, 1960). In turn, pesticide and 331 147 332 333 148 herbicide requirements are reduced, thus minimising environmental pollution and waste 334 production (Sardare and Admane, 2013). However, counter to this, where containment and 335 149 336 337 150 biosecurity procedures are breached, disease and pest outbreaks can spread rapidly within 338 339 the facility, as well as leading in turn to risks of their release or escape into the neighbouring 151 340 341 152 natural environment. In some parts of the world, such as in Antarctica, such introductions of 342 343 153 alien species and pathogens into ecosystems that currently host no, or few, alien species, 344 345 are recognised as one of the greatest threats to native biodiversity and ecosystem function, 154 346 347 348 ¹⁵⁵ as well as to the regulatory framework governing the continent (Frenot et al., 2005; 349 350 156 Greenslade et al., 2006; Hughes and Convey, 2012).

351 352

296

356 The consistency and efficiency of regulation of the microclimate will be subject to the 157 357 358 robustness of containment of the system. Such systems also often require ventilation and 158 359 360 gas exchange to the outside and this must be considered when implementing such 159 361 362 363 160 technologies in areas where the climate is considered to be unsuitable for food production. 364 The design of the system will vary dependant on location as no one system is cost effective 365 161 366 for every climate (Jensen, 2001). Its structural integrity must be sufficient to provide 367 162 368 protection from the elements, factors that are specific to each location. If inadequate 369 163 370 consideration is given to maintaining structural integrity and optimum environmental 371 164 372 373 165 conditions, then the system will not be economically viable (Jensen, 2001).

376 166 **5. The Future of Hydroponics**

378 167 Maximising efficiency and productivity is key for the successful future of hydroponic 379 380 168 technology. Although primarily a technique for high value food production, applications are 381 382 169 still expanding, providing solutions to issues far removed from the general principles of the 383 384 170 technique. For instance, it has been suggested that hydroponic cultivation could be the key 385 386 to large-scale implementation of urban vertical farms (Despommier, 2013; Martellozzo et al., 171 387 388 389 172 2014). Vertical farming in itself is a novel concept whereby crops are grown within stacked 390 391 ¹⁷³ hydroponic units, hence utilising the large amounts of vertical space within urban areas 392 393 174 where ground space is limited (Martellozzo et al., 2014). This concept aims to provide an 394 395 175 alternative source of food into the future and reduce, possibly drastically, the need for 396 reliance on traditional agriculture (Despommier, 2013). Despommier (2010) also suggested 397 176 398 that this approach may clear surplus agricultural land leading to increased biodiversity levels 399 177 400 and attenuating global warming through higher carbon sequestration. 401 178

A number of studies have also suggested that governmental inputs would benefit
the advancement of hydroponic technology (Jensen, 1997; Sardare and Admane, 2013;
the advancement of Banerjee, 2012). Jensen (1997) explains the role of the US government in
assisting co-generation projects where excess heat from power generation plants was

7

412 413

411

402

355

374 375

 $\frac{415}{416}$ 183 used to heat greenhouses. A number of facilities were considered but development was

⁴¹⁷⁴¹⁸ 184 constrained by the complexity of such integration.

419 420 185 **6. Growing food in remote communities**

421 422 186 Each natural environment presents its own specific challenges. Therefore, it is the 423 overarching aim of CEA technology to be a sufficient and consistent method of food 424 187 425 production within a range of environments. Current research ultimately aims to reduce 426 188 427 resource requirements by means of educated system design and integration of the 428 189 429 technology with the surrounding environmental conditions. Capitalising on the beneficial 430 190 431 432 191 aspects of a given climate (e.g. greater light intensity) and using these gains to offset and 433 434 192 minimise antagonistic aspects (e.g. low water availability) will allow development of 435 ⁴³⁶ 193 economically viable systems which may minimise resource use and, in turn, the associated 437 438 194 environmental impacts. 439

440
4411956.1 Pop-up food production in polar regions

442 443 196 Conventional agriculture is not possible within the polar regions due to unfavourable soil 444 445 197 conditions, temperature limitations and highly variable seasonal light conditions. Indigenous 446 447 198 populations have survived within the Arctic on a hunter-gatherer diet since soon after the 448 retreat of the northern ice sheets after the last ice age, living a more nomadic lifestyle to 449 199 450 451 200 ensure the sustainability of food sources (Kuhnlein and Receveur, 1996). Nowadays, a shift 452 in food availability and supply logistics has led to a divergence from a traditional diet to one 453 201 454 which is mostly imported from lower latitudes, and traditional food sources now account for 455 202 456 457 only 10-36% of the average adult diet (Kuhnlein et al., 2004). In the Canadian Arctic, this 203 458 ⁴⁵⁹ 204 has been accredited to colonialism and the introduction of Hudson's Bay stores in the late 460 461 205 19th Century (Kuhnlein et al., 2004). In turn, there has been a lifestyle shift to a more 462 463 sedentary way of living, also generating diet-related health concerns (Young, 1996). 206 464 465

Unlike the Arctic, the Antarctic has no history of indigenous human population. Human Unlike the Arctic, the Antarctic has no history of indigenous human population. Human exploration of the continent and surrounding isolated islands commenced in the last 1-3

- 469
- **470** 471
- 472

474 centuries, with human occupation associated with research stations starting after the Second 209 475 476 World War. Contemporary human presence on the continent relies entirely on imported food, 210 477 478 211 including fresh fruit and vegetables. Due to extreme environmental conditions during the 479 480 481 212 austral winter, resupply ships are only able to bring food and other resources to the continent 482 within a maximum 5 month window during the summer (Bamsey et al., 2015). After the final 483 213 484 resupply of the summer season, overwintering staff must survive on mostly frozen, canned 485 214 486 and dried foods once fresh food stores have been depleted (Potter, 2010). In some stations, 487 215 488 this diet is supplemented by greenhouse or hydroponically grown produce (Potter, 2010). 489 216 490 491 217 Hydroponics systems in these stations not only provide benefits to physical health via the 492 ⁴⁹³ 218 availability of fresh food, but also aid mental wellbeing during the dark isolated winter months 494 495 219 (Bates et al., 2009). 496

497 Hydroponics has been in use within Antarctica since the 1960s (Scoccianti et al., 220 498 499 2009). Hill (1967) provides a description of an attempt to grow salad crops on the Brunt Ice 221 500 501 shelf using hydroponics and motivated by what was possible. From the 1960s onwards more 222 502 503 504 223 than 46 different crop growth facilities have been or are currently in operation in the Antarctic, 505 with a total of nine research stations still operating hydroponics systems (Bamsey et al., 506 224 507 2015). In the past, crops were also grown within traditional greenhouses and wooden 508 225 509 510 226 structures, often affixed to the outside of existing buildings (Bamsey et al., 2015), although 511 both these and more formal hydroponics systems have proved repeatedly to be a source of 512 227 513 514 228 biosecurity concerns, both in terms of alien species being introduced to and existing 515 516 synanthropically within the facilities, and instances of their escape into the surrounding 229 517 ⁵¹⁸ 230 environment, in some cases further becoming established (Frenot et al., 2005). A good 519 520 231 example of a non-native micro-arthropod species being introduced via a hydroponic system 521 522 and subsequently contained is that of Xenylla sp., a collembolan discovered in 2014 at Davis 232 523 524 525 233 Station, East Antarctica (Bergstrom et al., 2017). The incursion was identified and 526 527 ²³⁴ eradicated, but the event also highlighted the need for several levels of control. The Antarctic

528 529

473

⁵³³ 235 Treaty System is the agreed legislative framework for the region. Alongside the Treaty itself, 534 535 which says little about Antarctic conservation, the Protocol on Environmental Protection to 236 536 537 237 the Antarctic Treaty (entered into force 1998) is the instrument concerned with general 538 539 540 ²³⁸ Antarctic protection and conservation (Blay, 1992). Mindful of the region's pristine nature, 541 the low level of species introductions at present, and its importance for scientific research, 542 239 543 those negotiating the Protocol set some of the highest legislative standards found globally 544 240 545 concerning non-native species (Hughes and Pertierra, 2016). Annex II 'Conservation of 546 241 547 Antarctic Fauna and Flora' states that non-native plants and animals shall not be introduced 548 242 549 550 243 to Antarctica without a permit (with the exception of imported foods) and that any species 551 552 244 found shall be removed or disposed of unless it is shown that they pose no risk to native 553 554 245 biota (ATS, 2009). However, it is not clear whether or how the Protocol applies to species 555 556 introduced accidentally rather than deliberately, or where liability for consequential costs 246 557 558 247 might lie (see Hughes and Convey, 2014, for discussion of these issues). To help with 559 560 248 implementation of Annex II, the Treaty Parties developed the 'Non-native Species Manual' 561 562 in 2011, which was substantially revised in 2017 (ATS, 2017). The manual provided Parties 249 563 564 with advice on biosecurity issues generally, and included specific but basic guidelines on 250 565 566 how to minimise and contain any biosecurity risks associated with hydroponic systems in 567 251 568 569 252 Antarctica (Australia and France, 2012; Grewal et al., 2011).

571 253 6.2. Food in Space

During the 20th Century, it was suggested that hydroponics may be used within space travel 573 254 574 575 and habitation (MacElroy et al., 1987). Food for crew members aboard the International 255 576 577 256 Space Station (ISS) is pre-prepared, packaged and then sent in unmanned resupply vessels 578 579 257 along with scientific equipment and other necessary supplies. It is vitally important that the 580 581 nutritional requirements of crew members are met via a varied diet, especially for future long-258 582 583 584 ²⁵⁹ duration space missions (Smith et al., 2005). Long-duration space missions will not have the 585 586 ²⁶⁰ luxury of regular resupply, and systems such as hydroponics will necessarily form part of

587 588

570

572

532

⁵⁹² 261 life-support systems, providing dietary support as well as water recycling, atmospheric 593 594 regeneration and waste processing (Mitchell, 1994). Biosecurity, health and food standards 262 595 596 263 are clearly implicit in the design and development of such systems to mitigating any possible 597 598 599 264 risks. For plant production, hydroponic crop generation is integrated with supplementary life 600 support systems, improving system sustainability and reliability (Wheeler et al., 1996). Such 601 265 602 systems are known as Bioregenerative Life Support Systems (BLSS) and were initially 603 266 604 studied by the U.S. Air Force during the 1950s and 1960s (Wheeler and Sager, 2006). The 605 267 606 National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) began conducting research within 607 268 608 this field independently during the 1960s and by 1985 had initiated their Controlled 609 269 610 611 270 Ecological Life Support System (CELSS) project (Wheeler and Sager, 2006). The CELSS 612 613 project involved the use of atmospherically sealed containers, formerly hypobaric test 271 614 615 chambers, for simulated bio-regenerative crop production (Prince and Knott III, 1989) known 272 616 617 as Biomass Production Chambers (BPCs). 273 618

619 620 274 During the 1990s, NASA, in collaboration with the National Science Foundation Office 621 622 ²⁷⁵ of Polar Programmes, developed a testbed for the CELSS programme. The CELSS Antarctic 623 Analog Project (CAAP) was undertaken at the Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station and was 624 276 625 designed to determine feasibility and further develop the technologies for life support 626 277 627 systems (Straight et al., 1994). This analogue was chosen due to similarities in 628 278 629 developmental and design limitations between polar stations and spacecraft, including 630 279 631 632 280 energy and resource constraints, biosecurity concerns, and isolation and space limitations 633 634 (Bubenheim et al., 2003). BPCs contained 20 m² of growing area and 113 m³ of atmospheric 281 635 ⁶³⁶ 282 volume, which was designed to support only one individual (Wheeler and Sager, 2006). 637 638 283 Though innovative at the time, this research highlighted issues surrounding space availability 639 640 and area-use efficiency. The CAAP was primarily developed to investigate methods by which 284 641 642 643 285 energy efficiency, productivity and area utilisation could be maximised (Bubenheim et al., 644 645 ²⁸⁶ 2003). During the 2000s International Space Station crew members have grown edible

646 647

591

651 plants such as peas in a space garden, including in the Lada space greenhouse system in 287 652 653 the Russian segment (Sychev et al., 2007). A range of crops for cultivation in space have 288 654 655 been suggested including lettuce, tomato, cabbage, radish, carrot, chard, green onion, 289 656 657 290 pepper, strawberry, mizuna and several herbs (Wheeler, 2009). Recently, NASA crew have 658 659 used a plant growth system called Veggie (Massa et al., 2016) developed by Orbital 660 291 661 Technologies Corporation (ORBITEC) to grow such edible plants. The Veggie system is 662 292 663 designed to have low power consumption, low launch mass and minimal operator 664 293 665 intervention. In addition, therapeutic plant care is likely to be a benefit for crew member 666 294 667 668 295 health and wellbeing through the restorative effect of contact with nature, as has been 669 670 296 reported in studies on Earth (Schebella et al., 2017). 671

672 297 7. What to Grow in Antarctica, and in Space?

674 Few stations currently operate hydroponics units within Antarctica; however, between them 298 675 676 a wide range of crops are cultivated. The Australian Antarctic Division (AAD) currently 299 677 678 operate three of the nine existing hydroponics systems at their Casey, Mawson and Davis 300 679 680 research stations. These facilities grow a range of crops including lettuce, celery, cucumbers, 301 681 682 tomatoes, chilies, onions, silver beet and a variety of herbs (Bamsey et al., 2015). During 683 302 684 the austral summer of 2012–2013, the Davis facility produced a total edible yield of 237 kg. 685 303 686 However, 420 kg of green waste was also incinerated (Sheehy, 2013; as cited in Bamsey et 687 304 688 al., 2015). 689 305

691 306 At an Italian Station at Terra Nova Bay in Victoria Land, lettuce, zucchini and 692 693 cucumber were grown during the original experiments and were cultivated only during the 307 694 ⁶⁹⁵ 308 austral summer, as the station is not a wintering station (Bamsey et al., 2015). Lettuce plants 696 697 performed well and, during the second trial season, approximately 2.5 kg/m² was harvested 309 698 699 (Campiotti et al., 2000). Zucchini and cucumber plants grew well but, due to the short period 310 700 701 702 311 of cultivation (40 days), were unable to fruit (Campiotti et al., 2000). During the 2001–2002

703 704

690

- 705
- 706

summer season, fruit crops, such as tomatoes and strawberries, were successfully
 introduced to the system (Scoccianti et al., 2009).

714 The vast majority of crops cultivated within Antarctica are tall fruiting crops, lettuce 314 715 716 varieties, leafy greens and herbs, due to their ease of cultivation. Although these provide 717 315 718 vital minerals and vitamins to staff, a lack of crops high in carbohydrates and fat means that 719 316 720 current produce serves primarily as a supplement to a mostly canned and dry food diet. 721 317 722 Though this does not pose much of an issue for staff on Antarctic research stations, in order 723 318 724 for these systems be viable for space missions, further advances must be made in order to 725 319 726 reduce the high inputs required for more nutritionally valuable crops. 727 320 728

729 321 It is pertinent to cultivate 'staple' crops which are considered more nutritious and will 730 731 contribute to a higher proportion of overall dietary requirements (Wheeler et al., 1996). 322 732 733 323 However, higher output requires greater input and so a balance must be achieved between 734 735 324 harvest index, nutritional requirements, processing and horticultural needs (Wheeler, 2017). 736 737 During the course of the CELSS programme, researchers at the Kennedy Space Centre 325 738 739 740 326 cultivated a mixture of leafy greens, starchy vegetables, grains and fruits. Most commonly 741 used were wheat, rice, potato, sweet potato, soybean, peanut and lettuce (Hoff et al., 1982). 742 327 743 Additional benefits of growing crop plants within the Biomass Production Chambers included 744 328 745 746 329 removal of CO_2 , generation of O_2 and waste water purification (Stutte, 2006).

During the CAAP program, crops were chosen based on nutritional content, versatility 748 330 749 750 331 and processing requirements (Bubenheim et al., 2003). Crop lists for these experiments 751 752 332 varied slightly from previous BLS experiments, consisting primarily of leafy vegetables, herbs 753 ⁷⁵⁴ 333 and salad vegetables with minimal carbohydrate contribution. Two hydroponic studies were 755 756 334 undertaken within the CAAP testbed crop production chamber which both aimed to 757 758 demonstrate production capacity of the system; the first was a batched lettuce crop trial and 335 759 760 761 336 the second a continuous mixed crop trial (Bubenheim et al., 2003). Results of these two 762 763 337 studies suggested that although the lettuce crop had a greater production efficiency, the high

764 765

747

709

diversity of the mixed crop trial offered an increased calorific contribution, offsetting the lower
vields (Bubenheim et al., 2003). This suggests that the nutritional benefits offered by a higher
variety crop list would offset the reduced yields.

8. Learning to produce more with less: a blueprint for the future

7793428.1 Space availability

768

777 778

780

Space is a major limitation for hydroponic systems in urban areas, and even more so in 781 343 782 polar stations and spacecraft. In Antarctica, hydroponics units have ranged from a 0.8 m² 783 344 784 benchtop system at Scott Base to the 50 m² South Pole Food Growth Chamber (SPFGC) 785 345 786 at Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station (Bamsey et al., 2015). Space available within the 787 346 788 789 347 SPFGC was deemed sufficient to provide 100% of the vegetable requirements for 35 over-790 791 348 wintering station staff (Straight et al., 1994). The average size of current systems is 792 ⁷⁹³ 349 approximately 24 m² and, although this is not of sufficient size or efficiency to substantially 794 795 350 influence a station's logistics, these systems are still considered beneficial (Bamsey et al., 796 797 2015). 351 798

799 800 352 In addition to the CAAP in Antarctica, research for agriculture in space has been 801 802 353 undertaken by numerous countries, all aiming to provide sufficient life support systems within 803 804 354 limited space (Wheeler, 2017). During the 1990s, Japanese scientists developed the 805 Controlled Environment Experiment Facility which contained 150 m² of growing space, 806 355 807 providing sufficient food, air and water supplies for two people and two goats (Tako et al., 808 356 809 810 357 2010). Most recently, Chinese researchers at Beihang University were able to provide 100% 811 812 358 of oxygen needs and 55% of food requirements for three people using only 69 m² of growing 813 814 359 space (Fu et al., 2016). These advances in space utilisation were achieved via research into 815 816 novel technologies such as LEDs, vertical farming, innovative water delivery systems and 360 817 818 361 novel waste recycling processes (Wheeler, 2017). Research into hydroponics in space as 819 820 well as in terrestrial systems is mutually beneficial for progress with regards to space 362 821 822 823 363 utilisation practices for both applications (Wheeler, 2017).

14

825 826

827 828 .

829

364

8.2 Aeroponics

830 A variation of hydroponics called aeroponics, in which the water and nutrient solution is 365 831 832 delivered to the plant root system as an aerosol, was reviewed for crop growth by Gopinath 366 833 834 835 367 et al. (2017). The advantage of such a system being that the root zone remains highly 836 aerated and no separate aeration system is required. Aeroponics has received attention in 837 368 838 areas such as the development of seed potatoes where aeroponics allows the advantages 839 369 840 of hydroponics in developing tubers in a clean nutritious environment with fewer potential 841 370 842 soil borne contaminants while not requiring tubers to be immersed in water (Buckseth et 843 371 844 al., 2016: Margaret Chiipanthenga, 2012). Aeroponics shares the improvement in water 845 372 846 847 373 use efficiency attributed to hydroponic systems (Barbosa et al., 2015), and of particular 848 849 374 note for efficient production of crops in pop-up systems, aeroponics allows spatial flexibility 850 851 375 in the design of growth areas with the possibility to improve crop density. In particular in 852 853 combination with flexible point sources of illumination, such as that possible using LEDs. 376 854 855 the delivery of water by aerosol allows plants to be grown across different shaped 377 856 857 surfaces, for instance an early example of aeroponics illustrated growing plants on two 378 858 859 sides of a triangle (Abou-Hadid et al., 1994). Such flexibility will allow different spatial 860 379 861 orientations of plants and lights to be optimised, in particular such designs have the 862 380 863 potential to provide highly novel solutions for crops grown under microgravity in space 864 381 865 capsules. 866 382 867

869 383 8.3 Bic

868

870

883

884 885

8.3 Bio-intensive Agriculture (BIA)

BIA is one method which uses space-saving agricultural techniques and mixed planting to 871 384 872 maximise space use efficiency (Jeavons, 2001). A similar approach is taken in SPIN (small 873 385 874 875 386 plot intensive) farming for use in backyards and small (less than one acre) urban spaces 876 877 (Christensen, 2007), and may be traced back to prehistoric intensive midden cultivation 387 878 879 388 (Guttmann, 2005). Although BIA is a soil-based technique, several of the broader principles 880 881 are transferable to hydroponics, including companion planting, 389 intensive planting 882

arrangements and 3D structuring (Jeavons, 2001). This design has shown great potential,
and was described by Glenn et al., (1990) during the Biosphere II trials. These principles are
not novel and originated from Alan Chadwick's 'Biodynamic French Intensive Method' during
the 1960's (Chadwick, 2008).

896 394 8.4 Intercropping Systems

886

895

897

918

An additional method for maximising productivity is the space utilisation method of 898 395 899 intercropping. This technique describes the cultivation of two or more crop species together 900 396 901 in the same space (Li et al., 2014). Shorter crops, such as lettuce varieties, can be planted 902 397 903 904 398 interspersed between taller crops, such as tomatoes, utilising the space between larger 905 906 399 plants which would usually remain unoccupied. The interspecific interactions between 907 908 400 intercropped plants have been suggested to positively influence below-ground resource use 909 910 401 efficiency (Hauggaard-Nielsen and Jensen, 2005) and pest management (Fagan et al., 911 912 402 2014: Parker et al., 2013) in addition to space utilisation. However, the vast majority of 913 914 investigations in this area has involved traditional soil-based systems, with little reference to 403 915 916 hydroponics. 404 917

919 405 Certain crops have been shown to either positively or negatively affect the growth and 920 921 406 survival of neighbouring plants. Commercial horticultural texts provide basic information on 922 which combinations of crops work best when planted together but do not provide the 923 407 924 underlying scientific principles behind such companionships. Information is largely based on 925 408 926 927 409 circumstantial evidence with little academic evidence. However, there has been an increase 928 929 410 in research since the turn of the century to more comprehensively determine the credibility 930 931 of these suggestions (Bomford, 2009; Li et al., 2014; Parolin et al., 2015). With regards to 411 932 933 hydroponics, these effects may be encountered when utilising recirculating or dual-culture 412 934 935 hydroponic systems. These systems reduce environmental and economic costs via recycling 413 936 937 938 414 and recirculation of the nutrient solution (Bugbee, 2004). In some cases, the production of 939 940 415 bioactive root exudates may offer the benefit of increased growth (Stutte, 2006).

- 941
- 942 943
- 944

⁹⁴⁶ 416 Organic compounds exuded by plant roots may increase the uptake of micronutrients 947 948 by other plants (Mackowiak et al., 2001); however, the mode of action of this process 417 949 950 remains little understood (Stutte, 2006). For example, a bioactive compound produced in 418 951 952 hydroponically grown potatoes, known as TIF (Tuber Inducing Factor), was found to 953 419 954 enhance the harvest index of several crop species, showing potential within dual culture 955 420 956 systems (Edney et al., 2001). Similarly, research conducted by Schuerger and Laible (1994) 957 421 958 on the biocompatibility of wheat and tomatoes within a dual-culture system showed that there 959 422 960 were no significantly adverse effects on either species. Their results indicated that 961 423 962 intercropping of multiple species is a viable space utilisation method. It was also suggested 963 424 964 965 425 that root zone competition may have led to a slight increase in wheat yield. Mixed cropping 966 967 has also been assessed for space exploration and no negative effects detected when 426 968 969 growing radish, lettuce and bunching onion together hydroponically (Edney et al., 2006). 427 970

971 Alternatively, bioactive root exudates may have allelopathic effects, negatively 428 972 973 affecting growth and productivity (Lee et al., 2006; Li et al., 2010; Mortley et al., 1998). 429 974 975 Mortley et al. (1998) showed that allelopathic compounds released into the nutrient solution 430 976 977 by sweet potato inhibited the growth and yield of peanut plants. Therefore, it is necessary to 978 431 979 understand which species are viable companion species when considering multi-culture 980 432 981 982 433 systems. This information is widely available for traditional agriculture (Cunningham, 2000), 983 but it is yet to be determined whether it is transferrable to hydroponic systems, and so as 984 434 985 986 435 multispecies plant systems increase in popularity, biocompatibility must be carefully 987 988 436 considered (Schuerger and Laible, 1994).

990 437 **8.5 Root-to-Shoot Diets**

⁹⁹² 438 In Antarctic hydroponic units a large proportion of green waste is produced, generating
⁹⁹⁴ 439 losses in productivity and additional practical challenges and costs in disposal (Bamsey et
⁹⁹⁶ 440 al., 2015). All waste (with the exception of sewage and grey water) must be either incinerated
⁹⁹⁸ 999 441 (which uses fuel) or stored and then removed from the Antarctic Treaty area. In order to

1000 1001

989

945

1002

maximise the output it is beneficial to minimise biological waste via the cultivation of crops 1007 443 which are high in edible biomass. Cultivation of high edible value crops such as lettuce varieties, cabbages, leafy greens and herbs would maximise the productivity of hydroponic systems. However, as mentioned previously, these crops have a lower overall nutritional contribution to diets than fruiting crops and root vegetables (Bubenheim et al., 2003). Alternatively, green waste could be reduced via consumption of edible by-products which would traditionally be disposed of. This "Root to Shoot" ideology addresses the need to reduce commercial and domestic food waste, and aims to find novel uses for what are typically regarded as 'waste products' (Youngman, 2016).

451 Many food crops have secondary edible parts in addition to the commonly edible 1027⁴⁵² 1028 portion, which are not generally consumed due to comparatively unfavourable flavour or texture (Stephens, 2005). This includes stems, leaves, flowers and roots. Culinary professionals invent novel ways in which to incorporate these by-products into the common diet to increase their palatability (Youngman, 2016). However, some plant parts may be inedible and possibly even poisonous. For example, vegetables of the 'Nightshade' 1037₄₅₇ (Solanaceae) family, including tomato, potato, eggplants and peppers, contain toxic ¹⁰³⁹458 glycoalkaloids (Carman Jr et al., 1986). Also referred to as solanine, concentrations of this 459 chemical are lowest in the fruits/tubers and so are non-toxic; however, high concentrations

1044⁴⁶⁰ are present in the foliage which should therefore not be consumed (Slanina, 1990). In contrast, the phenolic compounds found in the roots, stalks and leaves of some plants are high in antioxidants (Otles and Yalcin, 2012). For example, nettle roots (Urtica dioica) have high phenolic and antioxidant activity (Otles and Yalcin, 2012). The same is true for the Indian pennywort (Centella asciatica), native to Asian wetlands and used to treat a range of ailments including kidney problems, cancer and bronchitis (Jaganath and Ng, 2000; Kan, ¹⁰⁵⁶466 1986; Zainol et al., 2003).

1061

1065 1066 468 in hydroponics as all plant components are clean and accessible. During space exploration, 1067 1068 1069469 uneaten plant parts could have considerable potential for conversion to bio-based materials 1070 or use as a feedstock for bioreactors. There is significant scope to harvest and utilise 1071470 1072 biomass and plant components that would otherwise be discarded, and even scope for 1073471 1074 bioprospecting novel compounds. However, detailed analyses of nutrition, potential toxicity 1075472 1076 1077473 and contamination are required in order to minimise any potential risks to human health. 1078

The "Root to Shoot" principle needs further investigation and is particularly attractive

1079₄₇₄ 8.6 Circular economics

1063 ¹⁰⁶⁴467

1080

1081 475 Recent innovations in energy, nutrient solutions and lighting sensors can now be exploited 1082 1083 476 to assemble automated crop growing systems based on the principles of the circular 1084 1085 economy. Circular economics was first introduced by David Pearce and R. Kerry Turner in 1086477 1087 1990 (Pearce and Turner, 1990) and attempts to integrate the energy and resource cycling 1088478 1089 principles of natural systems into industrial and economic systems (Geng and Doberstein, 1090479 1091 2008). A link is created between waste and primary resources in a similar way to that of 1092480 1093 1094481 natural systems; for example, nutrient recycling of waste plant biomass back into the soil. 1095 1096482 These techniques have been developed in an effort to promote resource minimisation and 1097 ¹⁰⁹⁸483 generate more environmentally sustainable development (Andersen, 2007). This principle 1099 1100 484 revolves around the notion that a closed system is one in which resources can be more 1101 1102

sustainably maintained than that of traditional linear industrial systems.

Antarctic research stations operating during the austral winter represent the ideal 1105486 model for closed systems. They have limited access to the outside world and the importing 1107487 of goods and exporting of waste are both largely impossible. Circular economic principles 1109488 implemented at the stations can optimise resource use during the winter, and this also 1111489 1113490 applies within hydroponic facilities. For temperature control, intelligent building design ¹¹¹⁵491 could be used to exploit heat sources and sinks (Agoudjil et al., 2011). Waste water could ¹¹¹⁷492 be filtered recirculated using the Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) which is a closed system

19

1120 1121

1103⁴⁸⁵ 1104

1106

1108

1110

1112

1114

1116

¹¹²³493 of hydroponics (Rodríguez-Delfín, 2011). In addition, local precipitation could be harvested 1124 1125 494 and recycled (Helmreich and Horn, 2009; Kurunthachalam, 2014) and even integrated 1128⁴⁹⁵ energy could be captured locally (e.g. solar, wind). This can be combined with efficient LED technology which has high energy efficiency a long life-cycle and low maintenance 1130496 costs (Singh et al., 2015) and provides a safe working environment with no glass 1132497 1133 coverings, low touch temperatures and no mercury to dispose (Massa et al., 2016). 1134498

9. How we share and exploit this knowledge to design crop production systems

1138₅₀₀ that respond to food security threats in economically developing countries? 1139 ¹¹⁴⁰501 Growing crops using the minimum of resources to sustain human life clearly has the greatest 1141 1142 502 value and potential impact in economically developing countries. Research is already 1143 1144 emerging within such countries using what Orsini et al. (2013) describe as 'simple 1145⁵⁰³ 1146 hydroponics'. In stark contrast to polar and space research, access to advanced growing 1147504 1148 resources and strategies represents the most significant challenge here (McCartney and 1149505 1150 Lefsrud, 2018). However, charitable aid could and should be directed specifically towards 1151506 1152 plant growing facilities (e.g. seeds, containers, LEDs, solar power, indoor systems etc.) or 1153507 1154 1155₅₀₈ even outdoor systems that use solar radiation. 1156

1157₅₀₉ Hydroponics is space and water efficient but energy inefficient compared to soil-1158 ¹¹⁵⁹510 based horticulture (Barbosa et al., 2015). The balance of cost benefit in adopting popup 1160 1161 systems will likely depend on which resources are limiting and/or costly in the local 1162⁵¹¹ 1163 environment and which can be provided, perhaps by sustainable technologies. Therefore 1164512 1165 equatorial regions with low water availability, degraded soils and high sunlight may favour a 1166513 1167 form of hydroponics/aeroponics if solar panels can be used for energy. McCartney and 1168514 1169 Lefsrud (2018) also recently reviewed protected agriculture systems 1170515 in extreme 1171 1172₅₁₆ environments and highlight the need for cooling and ventilation systems in tropical regions 1173 ¹¹⁷⁴517 but heating in polar regions (McCartney and Lefsrud, 2018). 1175

1176

1122

1126 1127

1129

1131

1135

1136499 1137

- 1177
- 1178
- 1179

¹¹⁸²518 Social capital is high in economically developing countries so some technological 1183 1184 519 aspects of plant husbandry might be by-passed via human collaboration. However, there is 1185 1186 a need for knowledge to be communicated about the value of hydroponic systems. Also the 1187⁵²⁰ 1188 control of such systems often relies on information and communications technology (ICT). 1189521 1190 There is evidence that mobile phones are being used widely as the core ICT in economically 1191522 1192 developing countries. For example, in a study of 202 South African universities, 36% of 1193523 1194 students tested used a mobile phone for health information (Cilliers et al., 2017). Also a study 1195524 1196 1197₅₂₅ in Uganda showed that in women there was a link between mobile phone ownership and 1198 ¹¹⁹⁹526 dietary diversity and empowerment (Sekabira and Qaim, 2017). Research is also emerging 1200 1201 527 from developing countries on the use of mobile phones to operate sensors for hydroponics 1202 1203 (Ibayashi et al., 2016; Peuchpanngarm et al., 2016; Ruengittinun et al., 2017; Sihombing et 1204⁵²⁸ 1205 al., 2018). Hence, mobile phone technology may be a central vehicle that facilitates 1206529 1207 information about new crop production systems also useful for sensor and system control in 1208530 1209 economically developing countries. 1210531

1181

1211

1232

1234

1236 1237

1238 1239

1212532 A further challenge to growing crops in economically developing countries is access 1213 1214₅₃₃ to inorganic sources of fertilizer. This is not an issue for polar and space crop production but 1215 1216₅₃₄ finding alternative sources of nutrients is a necessity if crop production systems are ever to 1217 1218 535 become sustainable. Fertilizers from organic origin (animal and even human sources) 1219 1220 1221536 represent a resource to grow plants and aligns well with the principle of circular economics 1222 promoted in this review. Research in economically developing countries already highlights 1223537 1224 the potential of exploiting animal manures in hydroponics for plant growth (Abd-Elmoniem et 1225538 1226 al., 2001; Capulín-Grande et al., 2000). Further, human urine may be exploitable as a plant 1227539 1228 fertilizer (Andersen, 2007; Andersson, 2015; Chrispim et al., 2017; Mnkeni et al., 2008). 1229540 1230

1231₅₄₁ For both polar/space and economically developing countries there is a need to focus 1233₅₄₂ more on staple crops. Previously the CELLS space programme tested some starchy 1235 543 vegetables including potato. Crops high in carbohydrate would also be particularly valuable

¹²⁴¹544 in economically developing countries and some research has already developed looking at 545 potato and yam propagation in aeroponic systems (Margaret Chiipanthenga, 2012; Maroya et al., 2014). Further, research is also needed on the use of hydroponics to deliver high 1246⁵⁴⁶ protein crops (e.g. pulses and legumes) and there may even be benefits if plants can fix their own nitrogen. For economically developing countries, crops high in proteins could potentially supplement the use of livestock maybe using manure as a plant resource.

Conclusions

Polar/space research on crop science versus 'simple hydroponics' in economically 1260₅₅₂ developing countries may be complete opposites in terms of access to resources and ¹²⁶²553 research investment. Clearly space and polar research activities have been historically well 1264 554 resourced but highlight the potential to grow crops in environments limited in resources. The 1267⁵⁵⁵ 1268 challenge now is to build on this research, to develop technologies, systems and methods that are sustainable, inexpensive and more widely applicable. Hydroponic and LED efficacy and the application of circular economic principles, exploiting local renewable resources and valuing waste can bring new efficiency and opportunity into crop production. BIA principles and intensive planting of 3D arrangements combined with intercropping in hydroponics provides diversity of food and may increase community efficiency in terms of light, water and ¹²⁷⁹561 nutrient utilisation. Plant assemblages of course enhance the possibility of risks from pests 562 and pathogens so this need to considered in relation to system design and operation.

Tandem research emerging from economically developing countries highlights how 1284⁵⁶³ 1285 some elements of technology could be by-passed or even replaced to grow soil-less crops in such regions. These including using human effort in place of automation, mobile phones for ICT and organic sources of nutrients. The time is now ripe to look for 'cross-pollination' of ideas on soilless crops, novel 'pop up' growing systems, finding value in all edible crop components, using simple and accessible technologies and turning our waste into resource.

¹³⁰⁰569 Our future depends on our capacity to innovate, to challenge what we see as agriculture, ¹³⁰²570 and learn to get more from less by living and what we have.

1306⁵⁷¹ Acknowledgements

The Institute of Biological Environmental and Rural Sciences receives strategic funding from the and Biological Sciences Biotechnology Research Council. The authors also acknowledge the financial support of the Welsh Assembly Government and Higher Education Funding Council for Wales through the Sêr Cymru National Research Network for Low Carbon, Energy and Environment for the Plants and Architecture Project; and of the 1318₅₇₇ European Union through the Welsh European Funding Office for the BEACON project.

¹³²⁰₅₇₈ PC, KH and BS-R are supported by NERC core funding to the BAS 'Biodiversity, Evolution

and Adaptation' Team, BAS Environmental Office, and the Aurora Innovation Centre,
 respectively. We thank C.D. Martin (BAS) for helpful discussions.

1358 1359 ₅₈₂ 1360	References
1300	
¹³⁶¹ 583	Abd-Elmoniem, E.M., El-Shinawy, M.Z., Abou-Hadid, A.F., Helmy, Y.I., 2001. Response of
1362 584	lettuce plant to feeding with unconventional sources under hydroponic system. Acta Hortic.
1363 1364 ⁵⁸⁵	559, 549–554. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2001.559.80
1364	
1365 ⁵⁸⁶	Abou-Hadid, A.F., Medany, M.A., EI-Shinawy, M.Z., 1994. Preliminary Studies on the Use of
1366 ⁵⁸⁷	Fenbendazole in Non-domestic Animals 1–4. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1994.361.40
1367 ⁵⁸⁸	Agoudjil, B., Benchabane, A., Boudenne, A., Ibos, L., Fois, M., 2011. Renewable materials to
1368 ⁵⁸⁹	reduce building heat loss: Characterization of date palm wood. Energy Build. 43, 491–497.
1369590	Andersen, M.S., 2007. An introductory note on the environmental economics of the circular
1370591	economy. Sustain. Sci. 2, 133–140.
1371592	Andersson, E., 2015. Turning waste into value: Using human urine to enrich soils for sustainable
1372593	food production in Uganda. J. Clean. Prod. 96, 290–298.
1373594	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.070
1374595	ATS, 2017. Non-native Species Manual [WWW Document]. Secr. Antarct. Treaty. Comm. Environ.
1375596	Prot. URL http://www.ats.aq/documents/atcm34/ww/atcm34_ww004_e.pdf (accessed 3.14.17).
1376 ₅₉₇	ATS, 2009. Annex II: Conservation of Antarctic Fauna and Flora (Amended 2009) [WWW
1377 ₅₀₀	Document]. Secr. Antarct. Treaty. Protoc. Environ. Prot. Antarct. Treaty. URL
1378 ₅₉₉ 1379 ₆₀₀ 1380 ₆₀₁ 1381	http://www.ats.aq/devAS/info_measures_listitem.aspx?lang=e&id=433(accessed 3.15.17).
1379 600	Australia; France, 2012. Guidelines to minimise the risks of non-native species and disease
1380 601	associated with Antarctic hydroponics facilities-Antarctic Treaty, Antarctic Treaty Consultative
1381	
1382 ⁶⁰²	Meeting XXXV. Hobart. Hobart, Australia.
1383 ⁶⁰³	Bamsey, M.T., Zabel, P., Zeidler, C., Gyimesi, D., Schubert, D., 2015. Antarctic Plant Production
1384 ⁶⁰⁴	Facility Review 1–36.
1385605	Barbosa, G.L., Almeida Gadelha, F.D., Kublik, N., Proctor, A., Reichelm, L., Weissinger, E.,
1386606	Wohlleb, G.M., Halden, R.U., 2015. Comparison of land, water, and energy requirements of
1387607	lettuce grown using hydroponic vs. Conventional agricultural methods. Int. J. Environ. Res.
1388608	Public Health 12, 6879–6891. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120606879
1389609	Bates, S., Gushin, V., Bingham, G., Vinokhodova, A., Marquit, J., Sychev, V., 2009. Plants as
1390610	countermeasures: a review of the literature and application to habitation systems for humans
1391611	living in isolated or extreme environments. Habitation 12, 33–40.
1392 ₆₁₂	Bergstrom, D.M., Sharman, A., Shaw, J.D., Houghton, M., Janion-Scheepers, C., Achurch, H.,
1393 ₆₁₃	Terauds, A., 2017. Detection and eradication of a non-native Collembola incursion in a
1394	hydroponics facility in East Antarctica. Biol. Invasions 1–6.
1393615	Biebel, J.P., 1960. Hydroponics: the science of growing crops without soil. Florida Dep. Agric.
1396	Bull. 180.
1396 1397 1398 1398	Blay, S.K.N., 1992. New trends in the protection of the Antarctic environment: the 1991 Madrid
1398	Protocol. Am. J. Int. Law 86, 377–399.
1399 ⁶¹⁸	Romford MK 2000 Do tomotoos love basil but hate Prussels enroute? Competition and land use
1400 ⁶¹⁹	Bomford, M.K., 2009. Do tomatoes love basil but hate Brussels sprouts? Competition and land-use
1401620	efficiency of popularly recommended and discouraged crop mixtures in biointensive
1402621	agriculture systems. J. Sustain. Agric. 33, 396–417.
1403622	Brown, C.S., Schuerger, A.C., Sager, J.C., 1995. Growth and photomorphogenesis of pepper plants
1404623	under red light-emitting diodes with supplemental blue or far-red lighting. J. Am. Soc. Hortic.
1405624	Sci. 120, 808–813.
1406625	Bubenheim, D.L., Schlick, G., Wilson, D., Bates, M., 2003. Performance of the CELSS Antarctic
1407626	Analog Project (CAAP) crop production system. Adv. Sp. Res. 31, 255–262.
1408627	Buck, J.S., Kubota, C., Wu, M., 2004. Effects of nutrient solution EC, plant microclimate and
1409628	cultivars on fruit quality and yield of hydroponic tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum), in: VII
1410 ₆₂₉	International Symposium on Protected Cultivation in Mild Winter Climates: Production, Pest
1411 ₆₃₀	Management and Global Competition 659. pp. 541–547.
1412 ₆₃₁ 1413 ₆₃₂	Buckseth, T., Sharma, A.K., Pandey, K.K., Singh, B.P., Muthuraj, R., 2016. Methods of pre-basic
632	seed potato production with special reference to aeroponics-A review. Sci. Hortic.
1415	24

- 1417 ¹⁴¹⁸633 (Amsterdam). 204, 79-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2016.03.041 1419 1420 1420 Bugbee, B., 2004. Nutrient management in recirculating hydroponic culture. Acta Hortic. 648, 99-112. 635 1421 1422⁶³⁶ Bugbee, B., White, J.W., 1984. Tomato growth as affected by root-zone temperature and the addition of gibberellic acid and kinetin to nutrient solutions. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 109, 121– 1423637 1424638 125. 1425639 Campiotti, C., Balducchi, R., Incrocci, L., Pardossi, A., Popovski, K., Popovska, S. V, 2000. Hydroponics technology as a tool for plant fresh food support in Antarctica, in: World 1426640 Congress on Soilless Culture: Agriculture in the Coming Millennium 554. pp. 279–284. 1427641 Capulín-Grande, J., Nuñez-Escobar, R., Etchevers, J.D., Baca, G.A., 2000. Liquid cattle manure 1428642 1429643 extract used as a plant nutrient source in hydroponics., in: Animal, Agricultural and Food Processing Wastes. Proceedings of the Eighth International Symposium, Des Moines, Iowa, 1430644 1431645 USA, 9-11 October, 2000. 1432646 Carman Jr, A.S., Kuan, S.S., Ware, G.M., Francis Jr, O.J., Kirschenheuter, G.P., 1986. Rapid high-1433647 performance liquid chromatographic determination of the potato glycoalkaloids. alpha.-1434₆₄₈ 1435₆₄₉ 1436₆₅₀ 1437₆₅₁ 1438 solanine and, alpha,-chaconine, J. Agric, Food Chem, 34, 279–282. Chadwick, A., 2008. Performance in the Garden: A Collection of Talks on Biodynamic French Intensive Horticulture. Logosophia. Chin, L.-Y., Chong, K.-K., 2012. Study of high power light emitting diode (LED) lighting system in 1439⁶⁵² accelerating the growth rate of Lactuca sativa for indoor cultivation. Int. J. Phys. Sci. 7, 1773– 1440⁶⁵³ 1781. 1441654 Chrispim, M.C., Tarpeh, W.A., Salinas, D.T.P., Nolasco, M.A., 2017. The sanitation and urban agriculture nexus: urine collection and application as fertilizer in São Paulo, Brazil. J. Water 1442655 Sanit. Hyg. Dev. washdev2017163. https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2017.163 1443656 Christensen, R., 2007. SPIN-Farming: advancing urban agriculture from pipe dream to populist 1444657 movement. Sustain. Sci. Pract. Policy 3, 57-60. 1445658 Cilliers, L., Viljoen, K.L.-A., Chinyamurindi, W.T., 2017. A study on students' acceptance of 1446659 mobile phone use to seek health information in South Africa. Heal. Inf. Manag. J. 47, 1447660 1448661 183335831770618. https://doi.org/10.1177/1833358317706185 1449662 Cole, M.A., Neumayer, E., 2004. Examining the impact of demographic factors on air pollution. 1450663 Popul. Environ. 26, 5–21. 1451664 Cornish, P.S., 1992. Use of high electrical conductivity of nutrient solution to improve the quality of 1452₆₆₅ 1453₆₆₆ 1454₆₆₇ 1455 salad tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum) grown in hydroponic culture. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 32, 513–520. Cunningham, S.J., 2000. Great Garden Companions: A Companion-Planting System for a Beautiful, 1456⁶⁶⁸ Chemical-Free Vegetable Garden. Rodale. 1457⁶⁶⁹ Despommier, D., 2013. Farming up the city: the rise of urban vertical farms. Trends Biotechnol 31, 1458⁶⁷⁰ 388-389. Despommier, D., 2010. The vertical farm: feeding the world in the 21st century. Macmillan. 1459671 Ebisawa, M., Shoji, K., Kato, M., Shimomura, K., Goto, F., Yoshihara, T., 2008. Effect of 1460672 supplementary lighting of UV-B, UV-A, and blue light during the night on growth and coloring 1461673 in red-leaf lettuce [Lactuca sativa]. J. Sci. High Technol. Agric. 20, 158–164. 1462674 Edney, S.L., Richards, J.T., Sisko, M.D., Yorio, N.C., Stutte, G.W., Wheeler, R.M., 2006. (33) 1463675 1464676 Evaluation of Salad Crop Growth under Environmental Conditions for Space Exploration using 1465677 Mixed Crop Versus Monoculture Hydroponic Systems. HortScience 41, 1076–1077. 1466678 Edney, S.L., Yorio, N.C., Stutte, G.W., 2001, Evaluation of a potential potato tuber-inducing factor 1467679 on seedling growth of several species, in: PROCEEDINGS-PLANT GROWTH ¹⁴⁶⁸680 **REGULATION SOCIETY OF AMERICA-ANNUAL MEETING-. pp. 94–96.** ¹⁴⁶⁹681 Fagan, T., O'Halloran, T., Przybylski, R., Rentschler, A., 2014. Companion planting effects of 1470₆₈₂ insecticidal marigolds and nitrogen fixing legumes on growth and protection. Gen. Ecol. 1–13. 1471₆₈₃ Ferguson, S.D., Saliga III, R.P., Omaye, S.T., 2014. Investigating the effects of hydroponic media 1472₆₈₄ on quality of greenhouse grown leafy greens. Int. J. Agric. Ext. 2, 227–234. 25 1474

- 1477₆₈₅ Frenot, Y., Chown, S.L., Whinam, J., Selkirk, P.M., Convey, P., Skotnicki, M., Bergstrom, D.M., 1478 686 2005. Biological invasions in the Antarctic: extent, impacts and implications. Biol. Rev. 80, 1479 1480⁶⁸⁷ 45-72.
- 1481⁶⁸⁸ Fu, Y., Li, L., Xie, B., Dong, C., Wang, M., Jia, B., Shao, L., Dong, Y., Deng, S., Liu, H., others, 1482⁶⁸⁹ 2016. How to establish a bioregenerative life support system for long-term crewed missions to the Moon or Mars. Astrobiology 16, 925–936. 1483690
- Geng, Y., Doberstein, B., 2008. Developing the circular economy in China: Challenges and 1484691 opportunities for achieving'leapfrog development'. Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol. 15, 231– 1485692 1486693 239.
- Genovese, A., Alonzo, G., Catanese, V., Incrocci, L., Bibbiani, C., Campiotti, C., Dondi, F., 2008. 1487694 Photovoltaic as sustainable energy for greenhouse and closed plant production system, in: 1488695 1489696 International Workshop on Greenhouse Environmental Control and Crop Production in Semi-1490697 Arid Regions 797. pp. 373–378.
- 1491698 Gericke, W.F., 1937. Hydroponics—Crop production in liquid culture media. Science (80-.). 85, 1492699 177-178.
- ¹⁴⁹³700 Glenn, E., Clement, C., Brannon, P., Leigh, L., 1990. Sustainable food production for a complete diet. HortScience 25, 1507–1512.
- 1494₇₀₁ 1495₇₀₂ 1496₇₀₃ 1497 Gopinath, P., Vethamoni, P.I., Gomathi, M., 2017. Aeroponics Soilless Cultivation System for Vegetable Crops. Chem Sci Rev Lett 6, 838-849.
- 1498704 Gower, T.L., 2013. 2011 Census Analysis-Comparing Rural and Urban Areas of England and 1499705 Wales.
- Greenslade, P., others, 2006. The invertebrates of Macquarie island. Australian Antarctic Division. 1500706
- Grewal, H.S., Maheshwari, B., Parks, S.E., 2011. Water and nutrient use efficiency of a low-cost 1501707 hydroponic greenhouse for a cucumber crop: An Australian case study. Agric. Water Manag. 1502708 98, 841-846. 1503709
- Guttmann, E.B.A., 2005. Midden cultivation in prehistoric Britain: arable crops in gardens. World 1504710 Archaeol. 37, 224–239. 1505711
- Harte, J., 2007. Human population as a dynamic factor in environmental degradation. Popul. 1506712 1507713 Environ. 28, 223–236.
- Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Jensen, E.S., 2005. Facilitative root interactions in intercrops, in: Root 1508714 1509715 Physiology: From Gene to Function. Springer, pp. 237–250.
- 1510716 Helmreich, B., Horn, H., 2009. Opportunities in rainwater harvesting. Desalination 248, 118–124.
- ¹⁵¹¹717 Hill, J., 1967. An experiment in growing salad vegetables at an Antarctic station. Br. Antarct. Surv. Bull. 13, 47-69.
- 1512 1513718 1513719 1514 Hoff, J.E., Howe, J.M., Mitchell, C.A., 1982. Nutritional and cultural aspects of plant species 1515⁷²⁰ selection for a controlled ecological life support system.
- 1516⁷²¹ Hughes, K.A., Convey, P., 2014. Alien invasions in Antarctica—is anyone liable? Polar Res. 33, 1517⁷²² 22103.
- Hughes, K.A., Convey, P., 2012. Determining the native/non-native status of newly discovered 1518723 terrestrial and freshwater species in Antarctica--current knowledge, methodology and 1519724 1520725 management action. J. Environ. Manage. 93, 52-66.
- Hughes, K.A., Pertierra, L.R., 2016. Evaluation of non-native species policy development and 1521726 1522727 implementation within the Antarctic Treaty area. Biol. Conserv. 200, 149–159.
- Ibayashi, H., Kaneda, Y., Imahara, J., Oishi, N., Kuroda, M., Mineno, H., 2016. A reliable wireless 1523728 1524729 control system for tomato hydroponics. Sensors (Switzerland) 16. 1525730 https://doi.org/10.3390/s16050644
- 1526731 Jaganath, I.B., Ng, L.T., 2000. Herbs. Green Pharm. Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur, Vinpress Malaysia 1527732 Agric. Res. Dev. Inst. 95–99.
- 1528733 Jeavons, J.C., 2001. Biointensive sustainable mini-farming: II. Perspective, principles, techniques ¹⁵²⁹734 and history. J. Sustain. Agric. 19, 65-76.
- ¹⁵³⁰735 Jensen, M.H., 2001. Controlled Environment agriculture in deserts, tropics and temperate regions-A ¹⁵³¹736 World Review, in: International Symposium on Design and Environmental Control of Tropical

26

1536₇₃₇ 1537₇₃₈ 1538₇₃₉ 1539 and Subtropical Greenhouses 578. pp. 19–25. Jensen, M.H., 1997. Hydroponics worldwide, in: International Symposium on Growing Media and Hydroponics 481. pp. 719–730. 1540⁷⁴⁰ Jensen, M.H., Collins, W.L., 1985. Hydroponic vegetable production. Hortic. Rev. 7, 483–558. 1541⁷⁴¹ Kan, W.S., 1986. Pharmaceutical botany 416. 1542⁷⁴² Kuhnlein, H. V, Receveur, O., 1996. Dietary change and traditional food systems of indigenous peoples. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 16, 417–442. 1543743 Kuhnlein, H. V, Receveur, O., Soueida, R., Egeland, G.M., 2004. Arctic indigenous peoples 1544744 experience the nutrition transition with changing dietary patterns and obesity. J. Nutr. 134, 1545745 1447-1453. 1546746 1547747 Kurunthachalam, S.K., 2014. Water conservation and sustainability: an utmost importance. Hydrol. 1548748 Curr. Res. 5, 117. 1549749 Le Houérou, H.N., 1996. Climate change, drought and desertification. J. Arid Environ. 34, 133–185. 1550750 Lee, J.G., Lee, B.Y., Lee, H.J., 2006. Accumulation of phytotoxic organic acids in reused nutrient 1551751 solution during hydroponic cultivation of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.). Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 1552752 1553753 110.119–128. Li, L., Tilman, D., Lambers, H., Zhang, F.-S., 2014. Plant diversity and overyielding: insights from ¹⁵⁵⁴754 1555 755 1556 belowground facilitation of intercropping in agriculture. New Phytol. 203, 63–69. Li, Z.-H., Wang, Q., Ruan, X., Pan, C.-D., Jiang, D.-A., 2010. Phenolics and plant allelopathy. 1557⁷⁵⁶ 1558⁷⁵⁷ Molecules 15, 8933-8952. MacElroy, R.D., Smernoff, D.T., Rummel, J.D., 1987. Controlled ecological life support system. 1559⁷⁵⁸ Design, development, and use of a ground-based plant growth module NASA CP 24. Mackowiak, C.L., Grossl, P.R., Bugbee, B.G., 2001. Beneficial effects of humic acid on 1560759 micronutrient availability to wheat. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 65, 1744–1750. 1561760 1562761 Margaret Chiipanthenga, 2012. Potential of aeroponics system in the production of quality potato (Solanum tuberosum l.) seed in developing countries. African J. Biotechnol. 11, 3993–3999. 1563762 1564763 https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB10.1138 Maroya, N.G., Balogun, M., Asiedu, R., Aighewi, B., Lava Kumar, P., Joao, A., 2014. Yam 1565764 1566765 Propagation Using 'Aeroponics' Technology. Annu. Res. Rev. Biol. 4, 3894–3903. 1567766 Marr, C.W., 1994. Greenhouse Vegetable Production: Hydroponic Systems. Kansas State Univ. 1568767 Agric. Exp. Stn. Coop. Ext. Serv. ¹⁵⁶⁹768 Martellozzo, F., Landry, J.S., Plouffe, D., Seufert, V., Rowhani, P., Ramankutty, N., 2014. Urban 1570₇₆₉ 1571₇₇₀ 1572₇₇₁ 1573 agriculture: a global analysis of the space constraint to meet urban vegetable demand. Environ. Res. Lett. 9, 64025 (8pp). Martineau, V., Lefsrud, M., Naznin, M.T., Kopsell, D.A., 2012. Comparison of light-emitting diode 1574⁷⁷² 1575⁷⁷³ 1576⁷⁷⁴ and high-pressure sodium light treatments for hydroponics growth of Boston lettuce. HortScience 47, 477–482. Massa, G.D., Wheeler, R.M., Morrow, R.C., Levine, H.G., 2016. Growth chambers on the International Space Station for large plants, in: VIII International Symposium on Light in 1577775 Horticulture 1134. pp. 215–222. 1578776 McAvoy, R.J., Janes, H.W., 1983. The use of high pressure sodium lights in greenhouse tomato 1579777 crop production, in: III International Symposium on Energy in Protected Cultivation 148. pp. 1580778 877-888. 1581779 McCartney, L., Lefsrud, M.G., 2018. Protected agriculture in extreme environments: A review of 1582780 1583781 controlled environment agriculture in Tropical, arid, polar, and urban locations. Appl. Eng. 1584782 Agric. 34, 455–473. https://doi.org/10.13031/aea.12590 1585783 Mitchell, C.A., 1994. Bioregenerative life-support systems. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 60, 820S-824S. 1586₇₈₄ Mnkeni, P.N.S., Kutu, F.R., Muchaonyerwa, P., Austin, L.M., 2008. Evaluation of human urine as a 1587785 source of nutrients for selected vegetables and maize under tunnel house conditions in the 1588 1589 786 1590 Eastern Cape, South Africa. Waste Manag. Res. 26, 132–139. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X07079179 Morrow, R.C., 2008. LED lighting in horticulture. HortScience 43, 1947–1950. 788 27 1592 1593

¹⁵⁹⁵ 789	Mortley, D.G., Loretan, P.A., Hill, W.A., Bonsi, C.K., Morris, C.E., Hall, R., Sullen, D., 1998.
1596 ₇₉₀	Biocompatibility of sweetpotato and peanut in a hydroponic system. HortScience 33, 1147–
1597 1598 ⁷⁹¹	1149.
	Orsini, F., Kahane, R., Nono-Womdim, R., Gianquinto, G., 2013. Urban agriculture in the
1599 ⁷⁹²	developing world: A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 33, 695–720.
1600 ⁷⁹³	https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0143-z
1601 ⁷⁹⁴	
1602795	Otles, S., Yalcin, B., 2012. Phenolic compounds analysis of root, stalk, and leaves of nettle. Sci.
1603796	World J. 2012.
1604797	Papadopoulos, A.P., Tiessen, H., 1983. Root and air temperature effects on the flowering and yield
1605798	of tomato [Lycopersicon esculentum, soil heating, hydroponics, energy conservation]. J. Am.
1606799	Soc. Hortic. Sci.
1607800	Park, JS., Kurata, K., 2009. Application of microbubbles to hydroponics solution promotes lettuce
1608801	growth. Horttechnology 19, 212–215.
1609 ₈₀₂	Parker, J.E., Snyder, W.E., Hamilton, G.C., Rodriguez-Saona, C., 2013. Companion planting and
1610 ₈₀₃	insect pest control, in: Weed and Pest Control-Conventional and New Challenges. InTech.
1611 ₈₀₄	Parolin, P., Bresch, C., Poncet, C., Suay-Cortez, R., Van Oudenhove, L., 2015. Testing basil as
1612 ₈₀₅	banker plant in IPM greenhouse tomato crops. Int. J. pest Manag. 61, 235–242.
1613	Pearce, D.W., Turner, R.K., 1990. Economics of natural resources and the environment. JHU Press.
1610 1614 1615	Peuchpanngarm, C., Srinitiworawong, P., Samerjai, W., Sunetnanta, T., 2016. DIY sensor-based
1616 ⁸⁰⁸	automatic control mobile application for hydroponics. Proc. 2016 5th ICT Int. Student Proj.
1617 ⁰⁰⁹	Conf. ICT-ISPC 2016 57-60. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT-ISPC.2016.7519235
1618 ⁸¹⁰	Potter, S., 2010. Australian Antarctic Division: Leading Australia's Antarctic Program [WWW
1619811	Document]. Aust. Gov. Dep. Environ. Energy. Hydroponicsa Gov. Dep. Environ. Energy.
1620812	Hydroponics.URL http://www.antarctica.gov.au/living-and-working/station-life-and-
1621813	activities/food/hydroponics (accessed 3.15.17).
1622814	Prince, R.P., Knott III, W.M., 1989. CELSS breadboard project at the Kennedy Space Center.
1623815	Rodríguez-Delfín, A., 2011. Advances of hydroponics in Latin America, in: II International
1624816	Symposium on Soilless Culture and Hydroponics 947. pp. 23–32.
1625817	Rorabaugh, P., Jensen, M., Giacomelli, G., 2002. Introduction to Controlled Environment
1626 ₈₁₈	Agriculture and Hydroponics. Pgs.
1627 ₈₁₉	Rosenzweig, C., Parry, M.L., others, 1994. Potential impact of climate change on world food
1628 ₈₂₀	supply. Nature 367, 133–138.
¹⁶²⁹ 821	Ruengittinun, S., Phongsamsuan, S., Sureeratanakorn, P., 2017. Applied internet of thing for smart
1630	hydroponic farming ecosystem (HFE). Ubi-Media 2017 - Proc. 10th Int. Conf. Ubi-Media
1631 ₈₂₃	Comput. Work. with 4th Int. Work. Adv. E-Learning 1st Int. Work. Multimed. IoT Networks,
1632	Comput. Work. with 4th Int. Work. Adv. E-Learning 1st Int. Work. Multimed. 101 Networks,
1633 ⁸²⁴	Syst. Appl. https://doi.org/10.1109/UMEDIA.2017.8074148
1634 ⁸²⁵	Sabir, N., Singh, B., 2013. Protected cultivation of vegetables in global arena: A review. Indian J.
1635 ⁸²⁶	Agric. Sci. 83, 123–135.
1636 ⁸²⁷	Sabzalian, M.R., Heydarizadeh, P., Zahedi, M., Boroomand, A., Agharokh, M., Sahba, M.R.,
1637828	Schoefs, B., 2014. High performance of vegetables, flowers, and medicinal plants in a red-blue
1638829	LED incubator for indoor plant production. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 34, 879–886.
1639830	Sakamoto, M., Suzuki, T., 2015. Elevated root-zone temperature modulates growth and quality of
1640831	hydroponically grown carrots. Agric. Sci. 6, 749.
1641832	Sardare, M.D., Admane, S. V, 2013. A review on plant without soil-hydroponics. Int J Res Eng
1642833	Technol 2, 299–304.
1643 ₈₃₄	Schebella, M.F., Weber, D., Lindsey, K., Daniels, C.B., 2017. For the Love of Nature: Exploring the
1644 ₈₃₅	Importance of Species Diversity and Micro-Variables Associated with Favorite Outdoor
1645836	Places. Front. Psychol. 8.
1646	Schuerger, A.C., Laible, P.D., 1994. Biocompatibility of wheat and tomato in a dual culture
1647 838	hydroponic system. HortScience 29, 1164–1165.
1645836 1646837 1647838 1648839	Scoccianti, M., Di Carlo, F., Bibbiani, C., Alonzo, G., Incrocci, L., Campiotti, C., Dondi, F., 2009.

1653	
1654 1655 1655	Technology for Greenhouse Systems: GreenSys2009 893. pp. 453–460.
1655 1656 842 1657	Sekabira, H., Qaim, M., 2017. Mobile money, agricultural marketing, and off-farm income in
1656 843	Uganda. Agric. Econ. (United Kingdom) 48, 597–611. https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12360
1657	
1658 ⁸⁴⁴	Sengupta, A., Banerjee, H., 2012. Soil-less culture in modern agriculture. World J. Sci. Technol 2,
1659 ⁸⁴⁵	103–108.
1660 ⁸⁴⁶	Sheikh, B.A., 2006. Hydroponics: Key to sustain agriculture in water stressed and urban
1661 ⁸⁴⁷	environment. Pakistan J. Agric. Agric. Eng. Vet. Sci. 22, 53–57.
1662848	Sihombing, P., Karina, N.A., Tarigan, J.T., Syarif, M.I., 2018. Automated hydroponics nutrition
1663849	plants systems using arduino uno microcontroller based on android. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 978.
1664850	https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/978/1/012014
1665851	Singh, D., Basu, C., Meinhardt-Wollweber, M., Roth, B., 2015. LEDs for energy efficient
1666852	greenhouse lighting. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 49, 139–147.
1667853	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.117
1668 ₈₅₄	Slanina, P., 1990. Solanine (glycoalkaloids) in potatoes: toxicological evaluation. Food Chem.
1669 ₈₅₅	Toxicol. 28, 759–761.
1670 ₈₅₆	Smith, S.M., Zwart, S.R., Block, G., Rice, B.L., Davis-Street, J.E., 2005. The nutritional status of
1671 ₈₅₇	astronauts is altered after long-term space flight aboard the International Space Station. J. Nutr.
1672 ₀₅₀	135, 437–443.
1672 1673 859 1674	Stephens, M., 2005. "Secondary Edible Parts of Vegetables" [WWW Document]. URL
1674	
1675 ⁸⁶⁰	https://aggie-
1676 ⁸⁶¹	horticulture.tamu.edu/newsletters/hortupdate/hortupdate_archives/2005/may05/SecVeget.html
1677 ⁸⁶²	(accessed 6.14.17).
1678863	Straight, C.L., Bubenheim, D.L., Bates, M.E., Flynn, M.T., 1994. The CELSS Antarctic Analog
1679864	Project: an advanced life support testbed at the Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station, Antarctica.
1680865	Life Support Biosph. Sci. Int. J. earth Sp. 1, 52.
1681866	Stutte, G.W., 2006. Process and product: Recirculating hydroponics and bioactive compounds in a
1682867	controlled environment. HortScience 41, 526–530.
1683868	Sychev, V.N., Levinskikh, M.A., Gostimsky, S.A., Bingham, G.E., Podolsky, I.G., 2007.
1684869	Spaceflight effects on consecutive generations of peas grown onboard the Russian segment of
1685870	the International Space Station. Acta Astronaut. 60, 426–432.
1686871	Tako, Y., Arai, R., Tsuga, S., Komatsubara, O., Masuda, T., Nozoe, S., Nitta, K., 2010. CEEF:
1687 ₈₇₂	closed ecology experiment facilities. Gravitational Sp. Res. 23.
1688 ₈₇₃ 1689 ₈₇₄ 1690	Tyson, R. V, Simonne, E.H., Treadwell, D.D., Davis, M., White, J.M., 2008. Effect of water pH on
1690 874	yield and nutritional status of greenhouse cucumber grown in recirculating hydroponics. J.
1690 1690 1691	Plant Nutr. 31, 2018–2030.
1692 ⁸⁷⁶	UNDESA, 2014. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision [WWW Document]. United
1693 ⁸⁷⁷	Nations Dep. Econ. Soc. Aff. URL https://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/Publications/Files/WUP2014-
1693 1694 ⁸⁷⁸	Report.pdf (accessed 3.21.18).
1695879	Velázquez, L.A., Hernández, M.A., Leon, M., Dominguez, R.B., Gutierrez, J.M., 2013. First
1696880	advances on the development of a hydroponic system for cherry tomato culture, in: Electrical
1697881	Engineering, Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE), 2013 10th International
1698882	Conference On. pp. 155–159.
1699883	Vörösmarty, C.J., Green, P., Salisbury, J., Lammers, R.B., 2000. Global water resources:
1700884	vulnerability from climate change and population growth. Science (80). 289, 284–288.
1701885	Wheeler, R., 2009. Roadmaps and Strategies for Crop Research for Bioregenerative Life Support
1702886	Systems. NASA Tech. Memo. 214768.
1703887	Wheeler, R.M., 2017. Agriculture for space: people and places paving the way. Open Agric. 2, 14–
1704888	32.
1705889	
1706 ₈₈₉ 1706 ₈₉₀	Wheeler, R.M., Mackowiak, C.L., Stutte, G.W., Sager, J.C., Yorio, N.C., Ruffe, L.M., Fortson,
1707	R.E., Dreschel, T.W., Knott, W.M., Corey, K.A., 1996. NASA's biomass production chamber:
1707 1708 ⁸⁹¹	a testbed for bioregenerative life support studies. Adv. Sp. Res. 18, 215–224.
892	Wheeler, R.M., Sager, J.C., 2006. Crop production for advanced life support systems.
1710	29

Wu, M., Kubota, C., 2008. Effects of high electrical conductivity of nutrient solution and its application timing on lycopene, chlorophyll and sugar concentrations of hydroponic tomatoes during ripening. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 116, 122–129.

Young, T.K., 1996. Obesity, central fat patterning, and their metabolic correlates among the Inuit of the central Canadian Arctic. Hum. Biol. 245–263.

Youngman, A., 2016. 'Stem-to-root' cooking trend opens new market for produce cuttings [WWW
 Document]. Prod. Bus. UK. URL
 http://www.producebusinessuk.com/purchasing/stories/2016/05/05/stem-to-root-cooking-trend

http://www.producebusinessuk.com/purchasing/stories/2016/05/05/stem-to-root-cooking-trend-opens-new-market-for-produce-cuttings (accessed 6.14.17).

- Zainol, M.K., Abd-Hamid, A., Yusof, S., Muse, R., 2003. Antioxidative activity and total phenolic compounds of leaf, root and petiole of four accessions of Centella asiatica (L.) Urban. Food Chem. 81, 575–581.
- Tr26905 Zhang, X., Cai, X., 2011. Climate change impacts on global agricultural land availability. Environ.
 Res. Lett. 6, 14014.

1728₉₀₇

Conflict of interest:

All authors have approved the manuscript and agreed to this submission and its future publication. There are no conflict of interests declared and this work is original. This manuscript nor any part of it has not been previously published and is not being considered for publication anywhere else.