NERC Open Research Archive
sharing our research

Centre for Ecology & Hydrology
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Article (refereed) - postprint

Djukic, Ika;… Andrews, Christopher;…Thompson, Jill;… Tóth, Zsolt. 2018. **Early stage litter decomposition across biomes.** *Science of the Total Environment*, 628-629. 1369-1394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.012

© 2018 Elsevier B.V.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/519611/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author’s version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Science of the Total Environment*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Science of the Total Environment*, 628-629. 1369-1394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.012

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at
noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos (‘the Trademarks’) are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

Early stage litter decomposition across biomes

Ika Djukic[1*], Sebastian Kepfer-Rojas[2], Inger Kappel Schmidt[2], Klaus Steenberg Larsen[2], Claus Beier[2], Björn Berg[3], Kris Verheyen[4], TeaComposition[5]

[1]Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL,
Birmensdorf, Switzerland

[2]Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen,
Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark E-Mail: skro@ign.ku.dk; iks@ign.ku.dk;
ksl@ign.ku.dk; cbe@ign.ku.dk

[3]Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Latokartanonkaari 7
00014 Helsinki, Finland E-Mail: bb0708212424@gmail.com

[4]Forest & Nature Lab, Department of Forest and Water Management, Ghent University,
Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, 9090 Gontrode, Belgium E-Mail: Kris.Verheyen@UGent.be

[5]See Table S1 for the list of TeaComposition co-authors

*Corresponding Author:
Ika Djukic
Address: Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL
Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Zürich, Switzerland
e-mail: ika.djukic@umweltbundesamt.at

Abstract

Through litter decomposition enormous amount of carbon is emitted to the atmosphere. Numerous large-scale decomposition experiments have been conducted focusing on this fundamental soil process in order to understand the controls on the terrestrial carbon transfer to the atmosphere. However, previous studies were mostly based on site-specific litter and methodologies, adding major uncertainty to syntheses, comparisons and meta-analyses across different experiments and sites. In the TeaComposition initiative, the potential litter decomposition is investigated by using standardized substrates (Rooibos and Green tea) for comparison of litter mass loss in 336 sites (ranging from -9 to +26 °C MAT and from 60 to 3113 mm MAP) across different ecosystems. In this study we tested the effect of climate (temperature and moisture), litter type and land-use on early stage decomposition (3 months) across nine biomes. We show that litter quality was the predominant controlling factor in early stage litter decomposition, which explained about 65 % of the variability in litter decomposition at a global scale. The effect of climate, on the other hand, was not litter specific and explained < 0.5 % of the variation for Green tea and 5 % for Rooibos tea, and was of significance only under unfavorable decompositioin conditions (i.e. xeric versus mesic environments). When the data were aggregated at the biome scale, climate played a significant role on decomposition of both litter types (explaining 64 % of the variation for Green tea and 72 % for Rooibos tea). No significant effect of land-use on early stage litter decomposition was noted within the temperate biome. Our results indicate that multiple drivers are affecting early stage litter mass loss with litter quality being dominant. In order to be able to quantify the relative importance of the different drivers over time, long-term studies combined with experimental trials are needed.

Keywords: Tea bag, green tea, rooibos tea, carbon turnover, TeaComposition initiative

Introduction

Through litter decomposition more than 50 % of net primary production is returned to the soil (Wardle et al., 2004) and 60 Pg C year^{-1} is emitted to the atmosphere (Houghton, 2007). Depending on the type of ecosystem, the quantity of soil organic carbon (SOC) in the top 1-m depth range from 30 tons/ha in arid climates to 800 tons/ha in organic soils in cold regions, with a predominant range from 50 to 150 tons/ha (Lal, 2004). The amount of SOC is determined by the balance of carbon inputs from primary production and losses through the decomposition of organic matter over time (Olson, 1963). However, there is a large degree of variability in this balance and more research is needed for a better mechanistic understanding of decomposition processes at various scales and for a more accurate estimation of present and future global carbon budgets (Aerts 2006).

Decomposition of plant litter may be divided into at least two stages (e.g. Berg & Mc Claugherty, 2008). The early stage of decomposition (ca. 0 to 40 % mass loss) is characterized by leaching of soluble compounds and by decomposition of solubles and non-lignified cellulose and hemicellulose (Couteaux et al., 1995; Heim and Frey, 2004). The late stage (ca. 40-100 % mass loss) encompasses the degradation of lignified tissue. In general, microbial decomposition of organic substrates is controlled by both biotic factors (substrate quality and microbial community composition) and abiotic factors (temperature and moisture; Gavazov, 2010). Research to understand the impact of global changes such as climate on decomposition processes has typically been conducted at individual sites and/or through cross-site observations and experiments (e.g. Emmett et al., 2004, Heim and Frey, 2004; Garcia-Palacious et al., 2013). This has sometimes lead to controversial conclusions since the observed decomposition may be dependent on local litter quality used in the study and the factors controlling decomposition may be influenced by the methodologies and experimental designs applied. Consequently, comparisons across observations and common conclusions may be hampered. For example, early stage decomposition (mainly microbial) has been reported to be primarily controlled by climate and major nutrients in pine needle litter (Berg & McClaugherty, 2008), by microbial and nematode communities in pine needle litter (Garcia-Palacious et al., 2016), by litter content of water soluble substances (Heim and Frey, 2004) and by soil temperature and soil pH for a maize straw-soil mixture (Djukic et al., 2012). At regional and global scales, litter decomposition has been reported to be controlled by climate and litter quality (explaining about 60-70 % of litter decomposition rates; Parton et al., 2007) and by soil meso-and microfauna communities (explaining about 7 %; Wall et al., 2008). However, at the biome scale the metadata-analysis by Garcia-Palacious et al., (2013) showed that the variables controlling decomposition vary with decomposition in cold and dry biomes being mostly controlled by climatic conditions while soil fauna seemed to have a more defining role in warm and wet biomes. Moreover, Bradford et al., (2014), showed that climate has a main control on decomposition only when local-scale variation is aggregated into mean values. In order to pinpoint the specific drivers of litter decomposition across various litter types with different decomposition rates and across multiple sites, standardized studies across sites and regions are needed (Wickings et al., 2012; Handa et al., 2014; Parsons et al., 2014).

Decomposition studies across multiple sites using standardized methods already exist within observational networks or experimental studies such as GLIDE (Global Litter Invertebrate Decomposition Experiment – Wall et al., 2008), LIDET (Long-term Intersite Decomposition Experiment Team – Adair et al., 2008), CIDET (Canadian Intersite Decomposition Experiment – Trofymow, 1998), DIRT (Detrital Input and Removal Experiment – Nadelhoffer et al., 2004), BioCycle (Biodiversity and biogeochemical cycles: a search for mechanisms across ecosystems -

Makkonen et al., 2012), DECO (European Decomposition project - Johansson et al., 1995), CANIF (Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling in Forest Ecosystems project – Persson et al., 2000), MICS (Decomposition of organic matter in terrestrial ecosystems: microbial communities in litter and soil – Cotrufo et al., 2000), VULCAN (Vulnerability assessment of shrubland ecosystems in Europe under climatic changes - Emmett et al., 2004) and VAMOS (Variation of soil organic matter reservoir – Cotrufo et al, 2000). Results from these have been used by predictive models such as Yasso07 (Tuomi et al., 2009) and in meta-analyses such as the ART-DECO project (Cornwell at al. 2008). These studies have all provided important information on the decomposition of litter, but have been limited to specific biomes or ecosystem types or have used site specific litter.

Therefore, despite the many efforts, a general understanding of the litter decomposition process and its driving factors is hampered by (1) use of site- or network/project-specific litters and methodologies (e.g. different study lengths, litter bag mesh sizes, incubation depths, litter type and litter mixes; Garcia-Palacious et al., 2013), and (2) the low number of global studies that go across all biomes (Bradford et al., 2016). This study presents results from the TeaComposition initiative which uses standard litters (tea bags - Keuskamp et al., 2013) and a common protocol allowing global and long-term application to overcome these limitations by providing standardized litter decomposition measurements across broad spatial scales. The study presents early stage litter mass loss across nine biomes with the aim to determine and compare globally the main drivers of decomposition at present climatic conditions. The early stage decomposition is generally expected to show greater mass loss rates and a dynamic response of mass loss to controlling factors (e.g. Heim and Frey, 2004; Perez-Suarez et al., 2011). Therefore the specific objectives of the study were to estimate the variation in early stage mass loss of two litter types worldwide, to explore the linkage of early stage litter mass loss with key drivers (climate, litter type, land-use), and to explore whether the relative importance of the drivers differ between the litter types. Our research questions are (1) does early stage litter mass losses of Green tea and Rooibos tea vary at the global scale due to the different litter qualities (Didion et al., 2016; Keuskamp at al., 2013), (2) are abiotic drivers controlling the initial stage of mass loss (Bradford et al., 2016) with temperature being the main regulating factor in the cold biomes and precipitation in the warmer biomes (Adair et al., 2008), and (3) does early stage litter mass losses vary between land-use types due to changes in the microclimates (Fig.1).

Figure 1: Conceptual depiction of the main research questions. The temperature dependency across the temperature range (figure b) is arbitrary.



(a) Green tee will decompose faster than Rooibos tea due to different litter quality
(b) Initial litter decomposition will be determined by temperature in the cold biomes and precipitation in warm biomes
(c) Decomposition will differ between different land-use types (e.g. forest versus grassland) due to changes in microclimatic conditions

Material and methods

Background of the TeaComposition initiative

The TeaComposition initiative was started in summer 2016. The main objective is to investigate long-term litter decomposition and its key drivers at present as well as under different future climate scenarios using a common protocol and standard litter (tea) across nine terrestrial biomes. It is one of the first comprehensive global studies on litter decomposition focusing on the litter decomposition in the topsoil and the degradation of the main litter components (lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose) to carbon dioxide and soluble or leachable compounds. As a collaborative network the TeaComposition initiative has involved a large number of international research projects and networks with observational or experimental approaches, which are relevant for increasing our mechanistic understanding of decomposition processes as well as for improving the predicitive power of process-based models.

Study sites

The TeaComposition initiative comprises 450 sites across nine terrestrial biomes (Figure 2). Here "biome" is defined as a region with specific macroclimate and its classification was done according to Walter & Breckle (1999). In this study, data from 336 sites were used for analyses. Some of the sites included manipulation experiments (e.g. including treatment plots such as fertilizer addition or climate manipulation) in which case only the tea bags from the untreated control plots were used in the analyses. Sub-sites with different conditions (e.g. tree species diversity experiments or altitudinal gradients) were considered as single sites.

Figure 2: Map showing the location of the study sites involved in the TeaComposition initiative so far. Data from the sites with the red circles have been used in the present study. Data from Qatar come from Alsafran et al., 2017. See Table 1 and Table S2 for more detailed information. Classification of the biomes was according Walter and Breckle (1999).



Source: Biome map GLORIA network
Sites: TeaComposition network
Layout/Copyright: Djukic/Brendle, 2017

Overall, the sites represented all terrestrial biomes (Table 1) and each site provided information on location (i.e. coordinates), climate (averaged monthly or daily temperature (MAT) and cumulative precipitation (MAP)), vegetation type, and specific land-use (Table S2). Climate data were measured at the site or taken from nearby weather stations. In cases where no climate data were provided, data were extracted from worldclim (Fick and Hijmans 2017). The mean annual air temperature (MAT) in our dataset ranges from -9 to +26 °C and the mean annual precipitation (MAP) from 60 to 3113 mm (Table 1; Site specific data can be found in the table S2). Since sites were assigned to different land-use categories from different classification schemes, we reclassified them into five broader classes: arable, forest, grassland, shrubland and wetland based on the site description.

Table 1: Summarized general characteristics of the study sites used for the analysis within the TeaComposition initiative; Note: Detailed table on the single site characteristics can be found in the supplementary material.

Biomes	Number of sites	Land use	Climate data (MAT / MAP)*
Arctic climate	4	Grassland	-9 to 5 / 237 to 7095
Boreal climate	17	Boreal Forest, Shrubland, Grassland, Bog, Ecotone	-3 to 6 / 293 to 1015
Temperate climate	250	Agriculture, Forest, Shrubland, Grassland (Meadows), Wetland, Ecotone, alpine Grassland	-7 to 14 / 265 to 2140
Warm-temperate climate	13	Forest, Shrubland, Grassland, Wetland	6 to 21 / 955 to 3072
Arid-temperate climate	9	Desert, Shrubland, Grassland steppe, Ecotone	6 to 21 / 174 to 528
Mediterranean climate	13	Agriculture, Forest, Shrubland, Grassland, Wetland, Lake, Subalpine / Alpine Grassland	7 to 25 / 569 to 1627
Subtropical arid climate	15	Forest, Grassland, Wetland	15 to 24 / 60 to 412
Equatorial humid climate	6	Agriculture, Forest, Wetland (Mangrove, Freshwater Swamp), Ecotone	22 to 26 / 1298 to 3113

Semi-arid tropical climate	9	Agriculture, Forest, Shrubland, Grassland (Savanna), Wetland	11 to 26 / 636 to 1268

* MAT = Mean annual temperature; MAP =Mean annual precipitation

Method and study design

The TeaComposition initiative uses tea bags as a standardized metric for decomposition as proposed by Keuskamp et al. (2013), and applies a standardized protocol adapted to match global and long-term applications. The standardized protocol ensures: (i) use of the same batch of tea bags assuring the same substrate quality for all sites, (ii) harmonized start of the decomposition at the same season at the year for northern and southern hemisphere (i.e. start in summer; June-August in northern hemisphere and December-February in southern hemisphere), (iii) comparable incubation depth at the upper 5 cm of the soil relevant for litter decomposition, and (iv) standardized and comparable incubation times covering both short and long term dynamics with incubation times extending to three years (sampling points after 3, 12, 24, and 36 months).

Two types of tea material with distinct qualities are being used; the Green tea viz. green leaves (*Camellia sinensis*; EAN no.: 8 722700 055525) with high cellulose content and expected fast decomposition, and rooibos tea (*Aspalanthus linearis*; EAN no.: 8 722700 188438) with high lignin content and expected slow decomposition (Keuskamp et al., 2013). The bag material is made of woven nylon and has a mesh size of 0.25 mm allowing access of microfauna (Bradford at al., 2002) in addition to microbes and very fine roots. Before the start of the incubation all tea bags were oven-dried at 70°C for 48 hours and the initial weight was recorded (overall mean = 1.81 g, s.d. = 0.10). Each bag was identified with a unique number and was buried in the upper 5 cm of the top soil layer during summer seasons in both the northern and southern hemisphere. At least two homogenous areas (plots) were selected (at least 1m apart) at each site. Two replicates of the two litter qualities (Green tea and Rooibos tea) were installed in each of the two blocks, resulting in in minimum 4, maximum 250, and in average 8.33 bags of each tea type per site and sampling time. Tea bags were collected at all sites after a field incubation period of three months. The tea bags were cleaned from soil and roots, oven dried (70°C for 48 h), and the weight of the remaining tea (without bag) was recorded. Instead of weighing incubated tea bags (as often demaged, tag dissolved or rope missing) an averaged bag weight (40 empty tea bags; 0.248g per bag) was used to estimate the amount of the tea before the incubation. If the collected tea bags were visibly contaminated with soil, ash content (refers to the mineral residue after removal of organic matter by ignition) was determined by heating in a muffle oven at 500°C for 16 h, in order to correct for the mineral part (Soil Survey Staff, 2004).

Data analyses

Because not all tea bags were incubated for exactly three months (overall mean = 92 days, s.d. = 13.2) we linearly standardized all mass loss data to a fixed period of 90 days prior to data analyses. As such, the reported mass loss data therefore represent a rate of mass loss over 90 days.

(a) Differences in tea mass loss across biomes and between tea types

We quantified differences in remaining litter mass between biomes using linear mixed models with biome and tea type as fixed factors and site as a random factor accounting for the dependence in observations within site. Residual plots were visually inspected for deviations from model assumptions. If the interaction between biome and tea type was significant, multiple comparisons between biomes within each tea type were tested applying *post hoc* contrasts with p-values adjusted for multiplicity with the single-step method (Hothorn et al. 2008).

To quantify the different sources of variation in our data we used a linear mixed effect model with a nested structure (sites nested within biome). Biome and site were set as random factors and tea type as a fixed factor. We then ran separate analyses for each tea type to investigate whether biome, site and individual tea bags accounted differently for the variation for each tea type.

(b) Effects of climate on the initial litter mass loss

To investigate the effects of climatic variables on remaining tea mass after three months of field incubation we applied linear mixed models with locale climate as fixed factors and site as random factor. We used local climate data (average monthly air temperature and total precipitation) measured at nearby weather stations during the period of incubation when data were available (n = 124; Fig. 4; Table 2). For sites with no local climate data, we imputed the monthly averages of temperature and the total precipitation for the corresponding measurement period from Worldclim (Fick and Hijmans 2017). Whereas local climate represent the weather conditions measured at the sites during the incubation period, Worldclim represents the average climate for the period 1970-2000. We assessed the congruency between the two types of climate data by also running models including only the sites where both types of data were available. The results were qualitatively similar to the model including all sites. Moreover, local and Worldclim climate data were highly correlated (precipitation: $r = 0.83$; $P < 0.01$; temperature: $r = 0.87$, $P < 0.01$, Pearson´s product moment correlation).

We modeled the remaining mass as a function of tea type, temperature and precipitation. Differences between litter types were tested by including interaction terms for tea type with both climatic variables. We used backward selection for model simplification until only significant terms remained in the final model. When a significant interaction with tea type was found, we used *post hoc* contrasts to test for significant relationships between the climatic variable and each tea type (i.e. test for slope different from 0); p-values were adjusted for multiplicity using a single-step method based on the joint normal distribution. Goodness of fit for these models were calculated based on marginal and conditional R^2 (Nakagawa and Schielzeth, 2013). Because climatic effects on decomposition can depend on the spatial scale of the observation (Bradford et al. 2014) we conducted a separate analysis, using the average remaining mass, temperature and precipitation, aggregated at the biome level. We tested for effects of climate factors using simple linear models, with temperature, precipitation and their interaction as independent variables. Significant interactions were further tested as described above.

(c) Effects of land-use on the initial litter mass loss

We tested for differences in remaining tea mass between land-use types only for the temperate biome as this was the only biome with enough sites of the different land-use categories. We used a mixed model including land-use, tea type and their interaction as fixed factors and site as random factor. Separate models were used for each tea type to further explore differences. If the interaction between land-use type and tea type was significant, multiple comparisons among land-use types within each tea type were tested using *post hoc* contrasts with p-values adjusted for multiplicity with the single-step method.

All statistical analyses were conducted with R (version 3.1.2; R core team 2014). The level for detecting statistical differences was set at $P<0.05$. The lme4 package (Bates et al. 2015) was used for fitting the mixed models and the multcomp package (Hothorn et al., 2008) was used for multiple comparisons. The percentage of variance explained by the fixed and the different random components was calculated using the “variancePartition” library in R (Hoffman and Schadt, 2016).

Results

(a) Relative importance of litter quality on mass loss across biomes

Across all biomes, tea mass remaining after three months of field incubation (Fig. 3) was higher for Rooibos tea (78 %, SD = 10.31) than for Green tea (38 %, SD = 15.86). Overall, similar mass loss patterns were recorded for both tea types across biomes with tendencies or significantly higher mass loss at warm and humid climates compared to the dry and/or cold biomes. However, there was a significant interaction between biome and tea type (F = 84; P < 0.01) indicating that some differences between biomes depend on tea type. For Rooibos tea, significantly lower remaining mass was found at sites in equatorial-humid climate. For Green tea, we found the highest remaining mass at the sites from the arid-subtropical and mediterranean climates, which were significantly different from the sites found in cooler and more humid biomes (Fig. 3).

Figure 3: Percentage remaining mass for Green and Rooibos teas across climatic biomes. The difference between Tea types was significant (F = 9802; P < 0.01). Red and green circles show the mean and the bars are the standard errors based on the total number of observations. Letters show pairwise comparisons within each tea type: lowercase for rooibos and uppercase for green. Numbers in parantheses are the total number of tea bags for each biome. Biomes are ordered by increasing mean annual precipitation.



The analysis of data variation showed that 65 % of the variation in the remaing litter mass was related to tea type while 13 % was related to biome (Fig. 3). The variation was 11 % within biomes and 11 % within sites.

(b) Effects of climate on the initial litter mass loss

Our final model showed that climatic variables had different effects on early stage decomposition. Remaining mass loss decreased with increasing precipitation. This pattern was similar for both tea types as revealed by the not significant interaction between tea type and precipitation (F = 0.01, P = 0.96). We also found a significant interaction between tea type and temperature (F = 64, P < 0.01) indicating that the response of mass loss to temperature depends on tea type, i.e. litter quality. However, the analyses using *post hoc* contrasts showed that temperature did not have any significant effect on any of the tea types (Table 2; Fig. 4).

Figure 4: Relationship between remaining mass of Green tea and Rooibos tea and temperature (A) and precipitation (B) after the 3-month incubation period. Climatic variables were obtained from local weather stations or from wordclim for sites with no data. Circles show the mean values for each site and bars the standard errors. The regression line from the minimum adequate model is plotted only for the significant effects of precipitation and is obtained using only fixed factors. Band shows 95 confidence bands.



Table 2: Effects of climatic factors on on the site level remaining mass of the two tea types (statistics relates to Fig. 4). Estimates obtained from mixed effect model with site as a random factor. R^2 marginal: 0.74; R^2 conditional = 0.88.

	Est.(SE)	t	P
Green	45.81(1.79)	25.62	< 0.01
Rooibos	79.57(1.80)	44.31	< 0.01
PREC	-8.87(2.68)	-3.32	< 0.01
Green x TEMP	0.14(0.17)	0.88	0.38
Rooibos x TEMP	-0.12(0.17)	-0.74	0.82

*Models were fitted using precipitation/1000 to avoid very small estimates. Est. = estimates, SE = standard error

In contrast, the biome-scale analyses focusing on the mean values for the given biome revealed some variation in remaining litter mass loss from low (equatorial humid climate) to high (arid subtropical and Mediterranean climates) mass losses (Fig. 5a). In the linear models, we found a non- significant interaction between tea type and MAP (F = 0.20, P = 0.66); and between tea types and MAT (F = 0.39, P = 0.54). Whereas MAT had no effect (F = 0.64, P = 0.43), remaining mass decreased with increasing MAP for both tea types (Table 3).

Figure 5: A) Correlation between remaining mass of tea litter of different qualities (green and rooibos tea) after 3 month of incubation during the growing season. Symbols are arithmetic means for each biome and error bars indicate ± standard deviation. **B)** The average remaining mass aggregated by biome of Green tea (dashed line) and Rooibos tea (solid line) plotted against the mean annual precipitation for each biome (Table 1). The regression line is from a simple linear model showing significant effects for Green ($R^2 = 0.40$) and Rooibos ($R^2 = 0.64$).



Table 3: Effects of climatic factors on the biome level remaining mass of the two tea types for data aggregated by biome (statistics relates to Fig. 5). Estimates obtained from simple linear models after backward selection. R^2: 0.84.

	Est.(SE)	t	P
Green	48.94(4.62)	10.60	< 0.01
Rooibos	88.23 (4.62)	19.10	< 0.01
PREC	-12.93(3.64)	-3.64	< 0.01

*Models were fitted using precipitation/1000 to avoid very small estimates. Est. = estimates, SE = standard error

(c) Effects of land-use on the initial litter mass loss

We used the data set from the temperate biome (228 sites out of 250; Table 1) to test the effect of land-use on litter mass loss. The model for land-use effects showed a significant interaction between land-use and tea type (F = 41, P < 0.01). However, *post hoc* contrasts showed no differences among land-use types for either Green or Rooibos tea (all comparisons: P > 0.05).

Discussion

The early stage of litter decomposition is a highly dynamic phase and therefore important for the understanding of litter decay and the controlling factors across biomes and ecosystem types. Here we studied the early stage mass loss of two standardized litter types (Green tea and Rooibos tea) across 336 sites globally and found that the litter type (quality) was the main determinant of the mass loss while climate and land use had little effect.

Substrate quality effects on litter decomposition

The effect of initial litter quality (chemical and physical composition) has been reported to be one of the key drivers of litter decomposition (Bradford et al., 2016, Cornwell et al. 2008; Heim and Frey, 2004). In our study, the litter type also had a strong control on initial decomposition as Green tea consistently decomposed faster than Rooibos tea (Fig. 3). Faster initial decomposition of Green tea is expected due to its higher fraction of water-soluble compounds in contrast to the low content of soluble or hydrolysable compounds in Rooibos tea (Didion et al., 2016). The mass loss of the litter during this early stage may be more related to the leaching losses than to microbial mineralisation of soil organic C at the early stage of decomposition. In a pilot study, we measured changes in the initial weight after 3-4 minutes of cooking (n = 332) and recorded a weight loss of 31 % for Green tea compared to 17 % for Rooibos tea. Similar observation was made within different urban soil habitats by Pouyat et al., (2017). Moreover, Green and Rooibos tea differ in their carbon and nutrient chemistry (Keuskamp et al., 2013) and physical features (Didion et al., 2016). In a meta-analysis of the factors influencing mass loss rates involving 70 published studies, Zhang et al. (2008) demonstrated, similar to our study, the direct influence of litter quality (C:N ratio and total nutrient content) on mass loss rates. The mass loss of both tea types decreased when percipitation increased (Table 2) which is in agreement with several studies showing a positive relationship between moisture availability and decomposition rates (Gholz et al., 2000; Prescott, 2010, Garcia-Palacious et al., 2016).

Overall, litter type explained 65 % of the variability in litter mass loss at the global scale, which in turn implies that potential shifts in the relative abundance of vegetation types in the future caused by climatic changes could have large effects on global carbon budgets alone due to the differences in litter quality and consequently decomposition rates (Cornwell et al., 2008; Cornelissen et al. 2007).

Climate effects on litter mass loss

Across biomes, climatic factors are assumed to have a significant influence on litter decomposition by affecting the activity of decomposer organisms (Bradford et al., 2014); namely for every 10°C increase in temperature a doubling of microbial decomposition is anticipated (Q_{10} =2; Friedlingstein et al., 2006). Here, processes in the topsoil deserve special attention since they are particularly exposed to dynamic changes in environmental conditions.

We analysed the across-site variation in initial litter mass loss at the site and biome scales. In this study, investigated sites are spread across large temperature and moisture gradients. We observed an effect of precipitation on early stage litter mass loss, while temperature did not show any significant effects (Fig.3). Mean annual temperatures of <10°C and moisture contents of <30 % or > 80 % have been suggested as inhibiting thresholds for litter decay (Prescott, 2008). The absense of any significant effect of temperature on litter mass loss in our study may be a consequence of the fact that all sites incubated the tea bags during the "summer" under relatively favourable conditions where temperature values were generally within the "optimal" decay range. Furthermore, large variation in litter mass loss was observed for both litter types within any given biome (Fig. 5a, Table 2) suggesting that local-scale factors (e.g. soil properties, soil water content, disturbances) other than climate had strong controls on regional litter mass loss dynamics (Cornwell et al., 2008). Similarly, Ise & Moorcroft (2006) reported a low temperature sensitivity of decomposition (Q_{10}=1.37) at the global scale. On the other hand, when examined separately, climate explained 40 % of the variation for Green tea and 64 % for Rooibos tea when the mean litter mass loss values were used for the given biome (Fig. 5b, Table 3). A similar finding was reported by Bradford et al. (2014), where the explanatory power of climate was increased to 84 % when analyses were conducted on aggregated data.

Interestingly, early-stage litter mass losses of both litter types were comparable across all biomes (Fig. 3). The relative mass losses observed in the arctic sites may seem surprisingly high relative to the other warmer biomes. However, the study was carried out in the “summer season” where climatic conditions, even at the arctic sites are rather mild and warm and therefore favourable for decomposition (Couteaux et al., 1995). On the contrary, sites in the warmer biomes received less precipitation in the summer often being below potential evapotranspiration and leading to soil moisture deficit which again may result in lower mass losses. However, it has to be kept in mind that the results for arctic and arid-temperate biomes are based on a lower number of sites and should be interpreted with caution.

The data in this study collected during the growing season revealed that direct climatic control on early stage decomposition is of relatively minor importance. Instead, indirect climatic effects (e.g. plant community structure and associated microclimate, soil organic matter quality and structure of decomposer communities) may play a relatively stronger role in the early stage decomposition and may mask any importance of direct climatic controls (Aerts, 1997).

Land-use effects on litter mass loss

Long-term prevailing climatic conditions together with human activities define plant species composition and ecosystem structure, which in turn may affect decomposition rates. We did not observe any significant effects of land-use or managment practices on the initial litter decomposition in the temperate biome. This may be caused by microbial decomposition not being limited by nutrients during the growing season. Another reason may be that in the early stage decomposition mineralization of labile C compounds is carried out by many groups of microorganisms while in the later stage of decomposition, decomposer groups may become more selected due to increased substrate complexity which in turn might lead to differences in litter mass loss between the land-use types (McGuire & Treseder, 2010). Hence, home-field advantage (Gholz et al., 2000) is expected to explain a fraction of the remaining variability at later and more advanced stages of decomposition. A detailed definition of different land-use categories would be necessary in order to be able to run more specific data analyses across all biomes.

Conclusions

Our study showed that litter type has the strongest influence on mass loss globally in the early stage of decomposition, while the effect of climate was only important under less favorable climatic conditions and when data were agregated at the biome scale. This finding is particularly relevant for the general understanding of litter and carbon dynamics in relation to biosphere-atmosphere feedback, since the early stage litter decay is responsible for a significant fraction of the carbon loss from litter, and because the lack of site specific climate control for this decomposition phase should be reflected in soil carbon models. The short-term period of just three month incubations used in this study provides insight into the short mass loss dynamics of plant litter. On the other hand the results cannot be extrapolated to capture a reliable signal of the long term nature of the decomposition rates, because long term decomposition involves other litter components and the drivers are likely to vary at spatial and temporal scales (Couteaux et al., 1995; Berg, 2014). Therefore caution should be payed when extrapolating from short-term to long-term rates (Moor et al., 2017). Therefore, the TeaComposition initiative includes additional sampling points after 12, 24, and 36 months, which will provide long term litter decomposition dynamics globally. Repeated observations over time (medium to long-term data) are essential for improving our understanding of the long term decay process of plant litter. Further, in addition to the observational networks included in this study (e.g. ILTER – see Mirtl et al., this issue), the TeaComposition initiative includes studies across collaborative experiments which are needed to identify and quantify the relative importance of multiple drivers (Verheyen et al., 2016; Borer et al., 2014).

Acknowledgements:

This work was performed within the TeaComposition initiative, carried out by 190 institutions worldwide. We thank Gabrielle Drozdowski for her help with the packaging and shipping of tea, Zora Wessely and Johannes Spiegel for the creative implementation of the acknowledgement card, Josip Dusper for creative implementation of the graphical abstract, Christine Brendle for the GIS editing, and Marianne Debue for her help with the data cleaning. Further acknowledgements go to Adriana Principe, Melanie Köbel, Pedro Pinho, Margarida Santos-Reis, Thomas Parker, Steve Unger, Jon Gewirtzman and Margot McKleeven for the implementation of the study at their respective sites. We are very grateful to UNILEVER for sponsoring the Lipton tea bags and to the COST action ClimMani for scientific discussions, adoption and support to the idea of TeaComposition as a common metric. The initiative was supported by the following grants: ILTER Initiative Grant, ClimMani Short-Term Scientific Missions Grant (COST action ES1308), INTERACT (EU H2020 Grant No.730938), and Austrian Environment Agency (UBA). Franz Zehetner acknowledges the support granted by the Prometeo Project of Ecuador's Secretariat of Higher Education, Science, Technology and Innovation (SENESCYT). Ana I. Sousa, Ana I. Lillebø and Marta Lopes thanks for the financial support to CESAM (UID/AMB/50017/2013), to FCT/MEC through national funds, and the co-funding by the FEDER, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and Compete 2020.

Authorship: ID designed and coordinated the study with extensive input from CB. IKS, SKR, KSL accomplished data collection and preparation. SKR conducted statistical analyses. KV and

BB provided inputs for manuscript concept. ID wrote the manuscript with contribution from all authors. The TeaComposition team implemented the study and provided site specific and climatic data. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Bibliography

Adair, E. C., Parton, ROOIBOS. J., Del Grosso, S. J., Silver, W. L., Harmon, M. E., Hall, S. A., & Hart, S. C. (2008). Simple three pool model accurately describes patterns of long- term litter decomposition in diverse climates. *Global Change Biology*, *14*(11), 2636-2660.

Aerts, R. (1997). Climate, leaf litter chemistry and leaf litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems: a triangular relationship. *Oikos*, 439-449.

Aerts, R. (2006). The freezer defrosting: global warming and litter decomposition rates in cold biomes. *Journal of Ecology*, *94*(4), 713-724.

Alsafran, M. H., Sarneel, J., & Alatalo, J. M. (2017). Variation in Plant Litter Decomposition Rates across Extreme Dry Environments in Qatar. *The Arab World Geographer*. In press.

Barua, D. N., & Roberts, E. H. (1940). Methods for the volumetric estimation of tea tannin in green-leaf and black tea. A new alkaline permanganate method. *Biochemical Journal*, *34*(12), 1524.

Bates,D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1), 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Berg, B., & McClaugherty, C. (2008). *Plant litter: decomposition, humus formation, carbon sequestration*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Berg, B. (2014). Decomposition patterns for foliar litter–a theory for influencing factors. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 78, 222-232.

Borer, E. T., Harpole, W. S., Adler, P. B., Lind, E. M., Orrock, J. L., Seabloom, E. W., & Smith, M. D. (2014). Finding generality in ecology: a model for globally distributed experiments. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *5*(1), 65-73.

Bradford, M. A., Tordoff, G. M., Eggers, T., Jones, T. H., & Newington, J. E. (2002). Microbiota, fauna, and mesh size interactions in litter decomposition. *Oikos*, *99*(2), 317-323.

Bradford, M. A., Ii, R. J. W., Baldrian, P., Crowther, T. W., Maynard, D. S., Oldfield, E. E., & King, J. R. (2014). Climate fails to predict wood decomposition at regional scales. *Nature Climate Change*, *4*(7), 625.

Bradford, M. A., Berg, B., Maynard, D. S., Wieder, W. R., & Wood, S. A. (2016). Understanding the dominant controls on litter decomposition. *Journal of Ecology*, *104*(1), 229-238.

Cornelissen, J. H., Van Bodegom, P. M., Aerts, R., Callaghan, T. V., Van Logtestijn, R. S., Alatalo, J., & Hartley, A. E. (2007). Global negative vegetation feedback to climate warming responses of leaf litter decomposition rates in cold biomes. *Ecology letters*, *10*(7), 619-627.

Cornwell, W. K., Cornelissen, J. H., Amatangelo, K., Dorrepaal, E., Eviner, V. T., Godoy, O., & Quested, H. M. (2008). Plant species traits are the predominant control on litter decomposition rates within biomes worldwide. *Ecology letters*, *11*(10), 1065-1071.

Cotrufo, M. E., Miller, M., & Zeller, B. (2000). Litter decomposition. In Carbon and nitrogen cycling in European forest ecosystems (pp. 276-296). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Couteaux, M. M., Bottner, P., & Berg, B. (1995). Litter decomposition, climate and liter quality. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, *10*(2), 63-66.

Didion, M., Repo, A., Liski, J., Forsius, M., Bierbaumer, M., & Djukic, I. (2016). Towards Harmonizing Leavs Litter Decomposition Studies Using Standard Tea Bags—A Field Study and Model Application. *Forests*, *7*(8), 167.

Djukic, I., Zehetner, F., Watzinger, A., Horacek, M., & Gerzabek, M. H. (2012). In situ carbon turnover dynamics and the role of soil microorganisms therein: a climate warming study in an Alpine ecosystem. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *83*(1), 112-124.

Emmett, B. A., Beier, C., Estiarte, M., Tietema, A., Kristensen, H. L., Williams, D., ... & Sowerby, A. (2004). The response of soil processes to climate change: results from manipulation studies of shrublands across an environmental gradient. Ecosystems, 7(6), 625-637.

Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., Von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., & Bala, G. (2006). Climate–carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C4MIP model intercomparison. *Journal of Climate*, *19*(14), 3337-3353.

García Palacios, P., Maestre, F. T., Kattge, J., & Wall, D. H. (2013). Climate and litter quality differently modulate the effects of soil fauna on litter decomposition across biomes. *Ecology letters*, *16*(8), 1045-1053.

García Palacios, P., Shaw, E. A., Wall, D. H., & Hättenschwiler, S. (2016). Temporal dynamics of biotic and abiotic drivers of litter decomposition. *Ecology letters*, *19*(5), 554-563.

Gavazov, K. S. (2010). Dynamics of alpine plant litter decomposition in a changing climate. *Plant and soil*, *337*(1-2), 19-32.

Gholz, H. L., Wedin, D. A., Smitherman, S. M., Harmon, M. E., & Parton, W. J. (2000). Long-term dynamics of pine and hardwood litter in contrasting environments: toward a global model of decomposition. *Global Change Biology*, *6*(7), 751-765.

Handa, I. T., Aerts, R., Berendse, F., Berg, M. P., Bruder, A., Butenschoen, O., & McKie, B. G. (2014). Consequences of biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across biomes. *Nature*, *509*(7499), 218-221.

Heim, A. & Frey, B. (2004) Early stage litter dcomposition rates for Swiss forests. Biogeochemistry, 70, 299-313.

Hoffman, G.E.& Schadt, E.E. (2016). “variancePartition: Interpreting drivers of variation in complex gene expression studies.” BMC Bioinformatics, 17.

Hothorn, T., Bretz, F. & Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models. *Biometrical Journal* 50(3), 346--363.

Fick, S.E. and R.J. Hijmans, (2017). Worldclim 2: New 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*.

Houghton, R. A. (2007). Balancing the global carbon budget. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, *35*, 313-347.

Hobbie, S., & Gough, L. (2004). Litter decomposition in moist acidic and non-acidic tundra with different glacial histories. *Oecologia*, *140*(1), 113-124.

Ise, T., & Moorcroft, P. R. (2006). The global-scale temperature and moisture dependencies of soil organic carbon decomposition: an analysis using a mechanistic decomposition model. Biogeochemistry, 80(3), 217-231.

Johansson, M. B., Berg, B., & Meentemeyer, V. (1995). Litter mass-loss rates in late stages of decomposition in a climatic transect of pine forests. Long-term decomposition in a Scots pine forest. IX. Canadian Journal of Botany, 73(10), 1509-1521.

Keuskamp, J. A., Dingemans, B. J., Lehtinen, T., Sarneel, J. M., & Hefting, M. M. (2013). Tea Bag Index: a novel approach to collect uniform decomposition data across ecosystems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4(11), 1070-1075.

Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304(5677), 1623-1627.

Makkonen, M., Berg, M. P., Handa, I. T., Hättenschwiler, S., Ruijven, J., Bodegom, P. M., & Aerts, R. (2012). Highly consistent effects of plant litter identity and functional traits on decomposition across a latitudinal gradient. Ecology letters, 15(9), 1033-1041.

McGuire, K. L., & Treseder, K. K. (2010). Microbial communities and their relevance for ecosystem models: decomposition as a case study. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *42*(4), 529-535.

Mirtl, M., Borer, E., Burns, E., Djukic, I., Forsius, M., Haase, P., Haubold, H., Hugo, W., Jourdan, J., Lindenmayer, D., McDowell, W.H., Muraoka, H., Orenstein, D., Peterseil, J., Shibata, H., Wohner, C., Yu, X., Pauw, J.(this issue). Genesis, Goals and Achievements of Long-Term Ecologicial Research at the golobal scale: A critical review of ILTER and future implications. *Science of the Total Environment*.

Moore, T. R., Trofymow, J. A., Prescott, C. E., Titus, B. D., & CIDET Working Group. (2017). Can short-term litter-bag measurements predict long-term decomposition in northern forests? *Plant and Soil*, 1-8.

Nadelhoffer, K. J. (2004). The DIRT experiment: litter and root influences on forest soil organic matter stocks and function. Chapter 15 In: Foster D. and J. Aber. Synthesis Volume of the Harvard Forest LTER Program. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4: 133–142.

Olson, J. S. (1963). Energy storage and the balance of producers and decomposers in ecological systems. *Ecology*, *44*(2), 322-331.

Parsons, S. A., Congdon, R. A., & Lawler, I. R. (2014). Determinants of the pathways of litter chemical decomposition in a tropical region. *New Phytologist*, *203*(3), 873-882.

Parton, W., Silver, W. L., Burke, I. C., Grassens, L., Harmon, M. E., Currie, W. S., & Fasth, B. (2007). Global-scale similarities in nitrogen release patterns during long-term decomposition. *Science*, *315*(5810), 361-364.

Pérez-Suárez, M., Arredondo-Moreno, J.T. & Huber-Sannwald, E. (2012) Early stage of single and mixed leaf-litter decomposition in semiarid forest pine-oak: the role of rainfall and microsite. *Biogeochemistry*, 108, 245-258

Persson, T., Van Oene, H., Harrison, A. F., Karlsson, P. S., Bauer, G. A., Cerny, J., ... & Matteucci, G. (2000). Experimental sites in the NIPHYS/CANIF project. In Carbon and nitrogen cycling in European forest ecosystems (pp. 14-46). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Pouyat, R. V., Setälä, H., Szlavecz, K., Yesilonis, I. D., Cilliers, S., Hornung, E., ... & Whitlow, T. H. (2017). Introducing GLUSEEN: a new open access and experimental network in urban soil ecology. Journal of Urban Ecology, 3(1).

Prescott, C. E. (2010). Litter decomposition: what controls it and how can we alter it to sequester more carbon in forest soils? *Biogeochemistry*, *101*(1-3), 133-149.

Soil Survey Staff (2004): Soil survey laboratory methods manual. Soil Survey Investigations Rep. 42, USDA – NRCS, Washington, DC, USA.

Trofymow, J. A., & CIDET Working Group. (1998). The Canadian Intersite Decomposition ExperimenT (CIDET): project and site establishment report (Vol. 378).

Tuomi, M., Thum, T., Järvinen, H., Fronzek, S., Berg, B., Harmon, M., & Liski, J. (2009). Leaf litter decomposition—estimates of global variability based on Yasso07 model. *Ecological Modelling*, *220*(23), 3362-3371.

Verheyen, K., De Frenne, P., Baeten, L., Waller, D. M., Hédl, R., Perring, M. P., & De Lombaerde, E. (2016). Combining biodiversity resurveys across regions to advance global change research. *BioScience*, *67*(1), 73-83.

Walter, H., Breckle, S. W. (1999). Vegetation und Klimazonen. *Ulmer, Stuttgart*, *544*.

Wall, D.H., Bradford, M.A., St. John, M.G., Trofymow, J.A., Behan-Pelletier, V., Bignell, D.E., Dangerfield, J.M., Parton, W.J., Rusek, J., Voigt, W., Wolters, V., Gardel, H.Z., Ayuke, F.O., Bashford, R., Beljakova, O.I., Bohlen, P.J., Brauman, A., Flemming, S., Henschel, J.R., Johnson, D.L., Jones, T.H., Kovarova, M., Kranabetter, J.M., Kutny, L., Lin, K.-C., Maryati, M., Masse, D., Pokarzhevskii, A., Rahman, H., Sabará, M.G., Salamon, J.-A., Swift, M.J., Varela, A., Vasconcelos, H.L., White, D., Zou, X. (2008). Global decomposition experiment shows soil animal impacts on decomposition are climate-dependent. *Global Change Biology*, 14 (11), pp. 2661-2677.

Wardle, D. A., Bardgett, R. D., Klironomos, J. N., Setälä, H., Van Der Putten, W. H., & Wall, D. H. (2004). Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science*, *304*(5677), 1629-1633.

Wickings, K., Grandy, A. S., Reed, S. C., & Cleveland, C. C. (2012). The origin of litter chemical complexity during decomposition. *Ecology letters*, *15*(10), 1180-1188.

Zhang, D., Hui, D., Luo, Y., & Zhou, G. (2008). Rates of litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems: global patterns and controlling factors. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, *1*(2), 85-93.

Supplementary material:

Table 1s:. The list of the TeaComposition co-authors.

Name	**Email**	**Affilation**
Adriano Caliman	caliman21@gmail.com	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte - Departamento de Ecologia - 59078-900, Natal, RN, Brazil
Alain Paquette	alain.paquette@gmail.com	Université du Québec à Montréal - Centre for Forest Research - P.O. Box 8888, Centre-ville Station, Montreal, QC H3C 3P8, Canada
Alba Gutiérrez-Girón	algutier@ucm.es	Dpto. Biología Vegetal II. Facultad de Farmacia. Universidad Complutense. E-28040 Madrid. Spain
Alejandro Valdecantos	a.valdecantos@ua.es	CEAM Foundation (Mediterranean Center for Environmental Studies). Department of Ecology, University of Alicante. Carretera San Vicente del Raspeig s/n 03690. San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante (Spain)
Alessandro Petraglia	alessandro.petraglia@unipr.it	Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, della Vita e della Sostenibilità ambientale, Parco Area delle Scienze 11/A, I-43124 Parma, Italia
Heather Alexander	heather.alexander@msstate.edu	Mississippi State University, Department of Forestry, 327 Thompson Hall, 775 Stone Blvd., P.O. Box 9681, MS 39762, USA
Algirdas Augustaitis	algirdas.augustaitis@asu.lt	Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Forest Monitoring Laboratory, Kaunas dstr., Studentu 13, LT-53362, Lithuania
Amélie Saillard	amelie.saillard@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr	Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, LECA, LTSER Zone Atelier Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France

Ana Carolina Ruiz Fernández	caro@ola.icmyl.unam.mx	Unidad Académica Mazatlán, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Calz. Joel Montes Camarena s/n, 82040 Mazatlan, Sinaloa, Mexico
Ana I. Sousa	anaisousa@ua.pt	Department of Biology & CESAM, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
Ana I. Lillebø	lillebo@ua.pt	Department of Biology & CESAM, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
Anderson da Rocha Gripp	dimgripp@gmail.com	Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) - Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biologia, CCS, Bloco A, Ilha do Fundão, Rio de Janeiro, RJ. Brasil. CEP: 21.941-590
André-Jean Francez	andre-jean.francez@univ-rennes1.fr	ECOBIO, CNRS-Université de Rennes 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Armorique, avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes cedex, France
Andrea Fischer	andrea.fischer@oeaw.ac.at	Institute for Interdisciplinary Mountain Research, Technikerstrasse 21a, ICT Gebäude, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
Andreas Bohner	andreas.bohner@raumberg-gumpenstein.at	8952 Irdning-Donnersbachtal, Raumberg 38, Austria
Andrey Malyshev	andrey.malyshev@uni-greifswald.de	Experimental Plant Ecology, Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, University of Greifswald, Soldmannstr. 15; Room 1.02, 17487 Greifswald, Germany
Andrijana Andrić	prelempos@gmail.com	BioSense Institute, Dr Zorana Djindjica 1, Novi Sad, Serbia

Andy Smith	a.r.smith@bangor.ac.uk	Thoday Building, School of Environment, Natural Resources & Geography, Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK.
Angela Stanisci	stanisci@unimol.it	EnvixLab, Dipartimento di Bioscienze e Territorio, Università degli Studi del Molise, Via Duca degli Abruzzi s.n.c., 86039 Termoli, Italy
Anikó Seres	seres.aniko@mkk.szie.hu	Dept. Zoology and Animal Ecology, Fac. of Environmental Sciences, SZIE University, 2100 Gödöllő, Páter K. 1., Hungary
Anja Schmidt	a.schmidt@ufz.de	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Straße 4, 06120 Halle, Germany
Anna Avila	anna.avila@uab.cat	CREAF; Campus Universitat Autonoma Barcelona, Edifici C, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain
Anne Probst	anne.probst@ensat.fr	ECOLAB, CNRS-UPS-INPT, ENSAT Avenue de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, Auzeville-Tolosane, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France
Annie Ouin	ouin@ensat.fr	ECOLAB, CNRS-UPS-INPT, ENSAT Avenue de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, Auzeville-Tolosane, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France
Anzar A Khuroo	anzarak@gmail.com	Centre for Biodiversity & Taxonomy, Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190 006, Jammu and Kashmir, India
Arne Verstraeten	arne.verstraeten@inbo.be	Instituut voor Natuur- en Bosonderzoek (INBO), Gaverstraat 4, 9500 Geraardsbergen, Belgium

Artur Stefanski	stefa066@umn.edu	Forest Resources Department, University of Minnesota, 1530 Cleavland Ave. N, St. Paul, MN, 55720, USA
Aurora Gaxiola	agaxiola@bio.puc.cl	Dept. Ecología-Pontificia Universdiad Católica de Chile & Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad. Alameda 340, Santiago, Chile
Bart Muys	bart.muys@kuleuven.be	KU Leuven, Division of Forest, Nature and Landscape, Celestijnenlaan 200E, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
Bernard Bosman	b.bosman@ulg.ac.be	University of Liège, Plant and Microbial Ecology, Botany B22, Quartier Vallée 1, Chemin de la Vallée 4, 4000 Liège, Belgium
Bernd Ahrends	bernd.ahrends@nw-fva.de	Northwest German Forest Research Institute, Grätzelstr. 2, D-37079 Göttingen, Germany
Bill Parker	bill.parker@ontario.ca	Ontario Forest Research Institute, 1235 Queen St. E., Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, P6A 2E5, Canada
Birgit Sattler	birgit.sattler@uibk.ac.at	University of Innsbruck, Institute of Ecology, Technikerstrasse 25, 60 Innsbruck, Austria
Björn Berg	bb0708212424@gmail.com	Department of Forest Sciences, POBox 27,00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
Bo Yang	yangbomvp@aliyun.com	1Key Laboratory of Plant Resources and Biodiversity of Jiangxi Province, Jingdezhen University, 838 Cidu Avenue, Jingdezhen, Jiangxi 333000, China; 2Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle, Germany;

Bohdan Juráni	jurani@fns.uniba.sk	Katedra pedológie, Prírodovedecká fakulta UK, Mlynská dolina, Ilkovičova 6, 842 15 Bratislava 4 Slovakia
Brigitta Erschbamer	brigitta.erschbamer@uibk.ac.at	Institute of Botany, Sternwartestr. 15A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria
Carmen Eugenia Rodriguez Ortiz	cerortiz@yahoo.com.br	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Botânica, Av. Fernando, Corrêa da Costa, nº 2367, Bairro Boa Esperança, CEP 78060-900, Cuiabá, MT, Brasil
Casper T. Christiansen	casper.christiansen@uni.no	Uni Research Climate, Jahnebakken 5, 5007 Bergen, Norway
E. Carol Adair	carol.adair@uvm.edu	University of Vermont, Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources, Aiken Forestry Science Lab, 705 Spear Street, South Burlington, Vermont 05403, USA
Céline Meredieu	celine.meredieu@inra.fr	INRA Biogeco, Pierroton, 33612 Cestas, France
Cendrine Mony	cendrine.mony@univ-rennes1.fr	ECOBIO, CNRS-Université de Rennes 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Armorique, avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes cedex, France
Charles Andrew Nock	charles.nock@biologie.uni-freiburg.de	University of Freiburg, Geobotany, Schänzlestr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany
Chi-Ling Chen	Chiling@tari.gov.tw	Division of Agricutural Chemistry, Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute (TARI), Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan, No.189, Zhongzheng Rd., Wufeng Dist., Taichung City 41362, Taiwan

Chiao-Ping Wang	cpwang@tfri.gov.tw	Division of Silviculture, Soil Lab. Nan-Hai Rd. No. 53, Taipei, Taiwan
Christel Baum	christel.baum@uni-rostock.de	Lehrstuhl für Bodenkunde, Agrar- und Umweltwiss. Fakultät, Justus-von-Liebig Weg 6, D-18059 Rostock
Christian Rixen	rixen@slf.ch	WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Fluelastrasse 11, 7260 Davos Dorf, Switzerland
Christine Delire	christine.delire@meteo.fr	CNRM, CNRS - Météo France, 42 av. G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse cedex, France
Christophe Piscart	christophe.piscart@univ-rennes1.fr	ECOBIO, CNRS-Université de Rennes 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Armorique, avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes cedex, France
Christopher Andrews	chan@ceh.ac.uk	CEH, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB, UK
Claus Beier	cbe@ign.ku.dk	Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark
Corinna Rebmann	corinna.rebmann@ufz.de	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Department Computational Hydrosystems, Permoser Str. 15, 05318 Leipzig, Germany
Cristina Branquinho	cmbranquinho@fc.ul.pt	Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

Dana Polyanskaya	nau-stolby@yandex.ru	State Nature Reserv "Stolby",Kariernaya Str. 26a, Krasnoyarsk, RU-660006, Russia
David Fuentes Delgado	david.fuentes@ua.es	CEAM Foundation (Mediterranean Center for Environmental Studies). Department of Ecology, University of Alicante. Carretera San Vicente del Raspeig s/n 03690. San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante (Spain)
Dirk Wundram	wundram@uni-bonn.de	Department of Geography, University of Bonn, Meckenheimer Allee 166, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
Diyaa Radeideh	Dradeideh@gmail.com	Soil & Hydrology Research, AL-Quds University , P.O BOX 89, Bethlehem ,palestine / Salah Al-Din st., East Jerusalem, Tel: +972508573714, +970599294093 ,P.O. Box:67743, Israel
Eduardo Ordóñez-Regil	eduardo.ordonez@inin.gob.mx	Departamento de Química, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares, Carr. Mexico-Toluca S/N, La Marquesa, Ocoyoacac, Estado de Mexico, C.P.
Edward Crawford	ercrawford@vcu.edu	Virginia Commonwealth University Rice Rivers Center, 3701 John Tyler Memorial Hwy, Charles City County, VA 23030, USA
Elena Preda	elena.preda@g.unibuc.ro	Research Centre in Systems Ecology and Sustainability, Faculty of Biology, University of Bucharest, Splaiul Independentei 91-95, 050095, district 5, Bucharest, Romania
Elena Tropina	nau-stolby@yandex.ru	State Nature Reserv "Stolby",Kariernaya Str. 26a, Krasnoyarsk, RU-660006, Russia
Elli Groner	elli@adssc.org	Dead Sea and Arava Science Center, P.O. box 262, Mitzpe Ramon, Israel

Eric Lucot	eric.lucot@univ-fcomte.fr	Chrono-Environnement, CNRS-Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté & LTSER Zone Atelier Arc Jurassien, 16 route de Gray, 25030 Besançon cedex, France
Erzsébet Hornung	elisabeth.hornung@gmail.com	Dept. Ecology, Inst. Biology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Rottenbiller u. 50, 1077 Budapest, Hungary
Esperança Gacia	gacia@ceab.csic.es	Centre d'Estudis Avançats de Blanes-CSIC, Ctra Accés Cala St. Francesc 14, 17300 Blanes, Spain
Esther Lévesque	esther.levesque@uqtr.ca	Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Trois-Rivières, Quebec, Canada
Evanilde Benedito	eva@nupelia.uem.br;	Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Nupelia, Av. Colombo, 5790, 87020-900 Maringá-PR, Brazil
Evgeny A. Davydov	eadavydov@yandex.ru	Altai State University, Lenina Ave. 61, Barnaul, RU-656049, Russia; Tigirek State Reserve, Nikitina Str. 111-42, Barnaul, RU-656043, Russia
Evy Ampoorter	Evy.Ampoorter@UGent.be	Ghent University, Forest & Nature Lab, Campus Gontrode, Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, 9090 Melle-Gontrode, Belgium
Fabio Padilha Bolzan	fabiobolzan@gmail.com	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, 79070-900, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil
Felipe Varela	felipe.varela89@gmail.com	Herbario QCA, Departamento de Biología Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Av. 12 de Octubre, entre Patria y Veintimilla, Apartado 17-01-2184, Quito, Ecuador

Ferdinand Kristöfel	ferdinand.kristoefel@bfw.gv.at	Federal Research and Training Centre for Forests, Natural Hazards and Landscape (BFW), 1131 Wien, Seckendorff-Gudent-Weg 8, Austria
Fernando Maestre	fernando.maestre@urjc.es	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos Departamento de Biología y Geología, Física y Química Inorgánica Escuela Superior de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología C/ Tulipán s/n, Móstoles, 28933 SPAIN
Florence Maunoury-Danger	florence.maunoury-danger@univ-lorraine.fr	LIEC, CNRS-Université de Lorraine & LTSER Zone Atelier du Bassin de la Moselle, Campus Bridoux - Avenue du général Delestraint, 57070 Metz, France
Florian Hofhansl	florian.hofhansl@univie.ac.at	Department of Botany & Biodiversity Research, Division of Conservation Biology, Vegetation- and Landscape Ecology, University of Vienna, Austria
Florian Kitz	Florian Kitz@student.uibk.ac.at	Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Ökologie, Sternwartestr. 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
Flurin Sutter	flurin.sutter@wsl.ch	Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Zuercherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland
Francisco Cuesta	francisco.cuesta@condesan.org	1) Biodiversity Department - Consorcio para el Desarrollo Sostenible de la Ecorregión Andina (CONDESAN). Germán Alemán E12-123. Quito, Ecuador. 2)Palaeoecology & Landscape Ecology, Institute for Biodiversity & Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), University of Amsterdam
Francisco de Almeida Lobo	fdealobo@gmail.com	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Faculdade de Agronomia, Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Departamento de Solos e Engenharia Rural, Av. Fernando Corrêa, n° 2367, Campus Universitário, Bairro Boa Esperança, CEP: 78060-900, Cuiabá-MT, Brasil
Franco Leandro de Souza	cariama007@gmail.com	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, 79070-900, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

Frank Berninger	Frank.Berninger@Helsinki.fi	Department of Forest Sciences, POBox 27,00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
Franz Zehetner	franz.zehetner@boku.ac.at	1: Institute of Soil Research, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Peter-Jordan-Str. 82, 1190 Vienna, Austria; 2: Galapagos National Park Directorate, Puerto Ayora, Santa Cruz Island, Galapagos, Ecuador
Georg Wohlfahrt	Georg.Wohlfahrt@uibk.ac.at	Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Ökologie, Sternwartestr. 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
George Vourlitis	georgev@csusm.edu	Department of Biology, California State University, 333 S. Twin Oaks Valley Road, San Marcos, California, 92096, USA
Geovana Carreño-Rocabado	geovana.carreno@catie.ac.cr	CATIE, Agroforesteria, DID. Cratago, Turrialba, Turrialba. 30501, Costa Rica; The World Agroforestry Centre, Latin America Regional Office, Central America, CATIE 7170, Turrialba 30501, Cartago, Costa Rica
Gina Arena	arenag14@gmail.com	Plant Conservation Unit, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, Cape Town, South Africa
Gisele Daiane Pinha	pinha.gd@gmail.com	Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Nupelia, Av. Colombo, 5790, 87020-900 Maringá-PR, Brazil
Grizelle González	ggonzalez@fs.fed.us	USFS International Institute of Tropical Forestry, 1201 Calle Ceiba, San Juan, 00926 Puerto Rico
Guylaine Canut	guylaine.canut@meteo.fr	CNRM, CNRS - Météo France, 42 av. G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse cedex, France

Hanna Lee	hanna.lee@uni.no	Uni Research Climate, Jahnebakken 5, 5007 Bergen, Norway
Hans Verbeeck	Hans.Verbeeck@UGent.be	Computational and Applied Vegetation Ecology lab, Department of Applied Ecology and Environmental Biology, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Gent, Belgium
Harald Auge	harald.auge@ufz.de	Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany; German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research, (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
Harald Pauli	harald.pauli@oeaw.ac.at	1) GLORIA-coordination, Austrian Academy of Sciences (IGF) 2) University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (ZgWN), Silbergasse 30/3, 1190 Vienna, Austria
Hassan Bismarck Nacro	nacrohb@yahoo.fr	Université Nazi Boni; Institut du développement rural; Laboratoire d'étude et de recherche sur la fertilité du sol; BP 1091 Bobo-Dioulasso Burkina Faso
Héctor Bahamonde	bahamonde.hector@inta.gob.ar	INTA, Casilla de Correo 332 (CP 9400), Río Gallegos, Santa Cruz, Argentina
Heike Feldhaar	feldhaar@uni-bayreuth.de	Animal Ecology I, Bayreuth Center for Ecology and Environmental Research (BayCEER), University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany
Heinke Jäger	heinke.jaeger@fcdarwin.org.ec	Charles Darwin Foundation, Puerto Ayora, Galápagos Islands , Ecuador
Helena C. Serrano	hcserrano@fc.ul.pt	Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

Hélène Verheyden	Helene.Verheyden@toulouse.inra.fr	CEFS, INRA, 24 Chemin de Borde Rouge, Auzeville, CS 52627, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France
Helge Bruelheide	helge.bruelheide@botanik.uni-halle.de	1) Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle (Saale), Germany, 2) German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
Henning Meesenburg	henning.meesenburg@nw-fva.de	Northwest German Forest Research Institute, Grätzelstrasse 2, 37079 Göttingen, Germany
Hermann Jungkunst	jungkunst@uni-landau.de	1) University of Landau, Fortstr. 7, 76829 Landau, Germany
Hervé Jactel	herve.jactel@inra.fr	INRA Biogeco, Pierroton, 33612 Cestas, France
Hideaki Shibata	shiba@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp	Forest Research Station, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Kita-9, Nishi-9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0809, Japan
Hiroko Kurokawa	hirokokurokawa@gmail.com	National Research and Development Agency, Forest Research and Management Organization, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, 1 Matsunosato, Tsukuba, 305-8687 Japan
Hugo López Rosas	hugo.loper@gmail.com	Estación El Carmen, ICMyL, UNAM. km 9.5 Carretera Carmen-Puerto Real, Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, 24157, Mexico
Hugo L. Rojas Villalobos	hlrojas@uacj.mx	Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez (UACJ) Sede Cuauhtemoc-Programa de Geoinformática Km. 3.5 Carretera Anáhuac, Municipio de Cuauhtémoc Chihuahua, México. CP 31600

Ian Yesilonis	iyesilonis@fs.fed.us	United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 5523 Research Park, Suite 350, Baltimore, MD 21228
Ika Djukic	ika.djukic@umweltbundesamt.at	Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Zürich, Switzerland
Inara Melece	inara.melece@lu.lv	Institute of Biology, University of Latvia, Miera str. N3, Salaspils, LV-2169, Latvia
Inge Van Halder	inge.van-halder@inra.fr	INRA Biogeco, Pierroton, 33612 Cestas, France
Inger Kappel Schmidt	iks@ign.ku.dk	Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark
Inmaculada Garcia Quiros	garcia.quiros@ufz.de	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Department Computational Hydrosystems, Permoser Str. 15, 05318 Leipzig, Germany
Isaac Makelele	isaacmakelele1@gmail.com	Plant department, Faculty of Science, University of Kisangani, Democratic republic of Congo
Issaka Senou	issakasenou@gmail.com	Centre Universitaire Polytechnique de Dédougou-UO I Pr Joseph KI-ZERBO; Laboratoire d'étude et de recherche sur la fertilité du sol (UNB); 01 BP 7021 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso
István Fekete	feketeistani@gmail.com	Institute of Environmental Sciences, University of Nyíregyháza,4400 Nyíregyháza Sóstói u. 31./B., Hungary

Ivan Mihal	mihal@savzv.sk	Institute of Forest Ecology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, L.Stura 2, 96053 Zvolen, Slovakia
Ivika Ostonen	ivika.ostonen@ut.ee	Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Vanemuise 46, 51014 Tartu, Estonia
Jana Borovská	jana.borovska@savba.sk	Ústav krajinnej ekológie SAV, Pobočka Nitra, Akademická 2, P. O. BOX 22, 949 10 Nitra, Slovakia
Javier Roales	javier.roales@gmail.com	Departamento de Sistemas Fisicos, Quimicos y Naturales, Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Ctra. Utrera km. 1, 41013 Sevilla, Spain
Jawad Shoqeir	jhassan.aqu@gmail.com	Soil & Hydrology Research, AL-Quds University , P.O BOX 89, Bethlehem ,palestine / Salah Al-Din st., East Jerusalem, Tel: +972508573714, P.O. Box:67743, Israel
Jean-Christophe.Lata	jean-christophe.lata@upmc.fr	Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, INRA, IRD, Univ Paris Diderot Paris 07, UPEC, UMR 7618, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences – Paris, France
Jean-Paul Theurillat	Jean-Paul.Theurillat@unige.ch	Centre Alpien de Phytogéographie, Fondation J.-M. Aubert, 1938 Champex-Lac, Switzerland & Section de Biologie, Université de Genève, Case postale 60, 1292 Chambésy, Switzerland
Jean-Luc Probst	jean-luc.probst@ensat.fr	ECOLAB, CNRS-UPS-INPT, ENSAT Avenue de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, Auzeville-Tolosane, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France
Jess Zimmerman	jesskz@ites.upr.edu	College of Natural Sciences, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Puerto Rico-Río Piedras, P.O. Box 70377, San Juan, 00936-8377, Puerto Rico

Jeyanny Vijayanathan	jeyanny@frim.gov.my	Forest Plantation Programme, Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM), 52109 Kepong Selangor, Malaysia
Jianwu Tang	jtang@mbl.edu	Marine Biological Laboratory, 7 MBL Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA
Jill Thompson	jiom@ceh.ac.uk	Centre Ecology &Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK
Jiri Dolezal	jiriddolezal@gmail.com	Institute of Botany, Dukelská 135, CZ-37982 Trebon, Czech Republic
Joan-Albert Sanchez-Cabeza	jasanchez@cmarl.unam.mx	Unidad Académica Procesos Oceánicos y Costeros, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Ciudad de México, México
Joël Merlet	Joel.Merlet@toulouse.inra.fr	CEFS, INRA, 24 Chemin de Borde Rouge, Auzeville, CS 52627 , 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France
Joh Henschel	henschel@saeon.ac.za	South African Environmental Observation Network, Arid Lands Node, Kimberley, 8306, South Africa
Johan Neirynck	johan.neirynck@inbo.be	Instituut voor Natuur- en Bosonderzoek (INBO), Gaverstraat 4, 9500 Geraardsbergen, Belgium
Johannes Knops	jknops2@unl.edu	Cedar Point Biological Station, 100 Cedar Point Road, Ogallala, NE 69153, USA

John Loehr	john.loehr@helsinki.fi	Lammi Biological Station, John Loehr, Pääjärventie 320, 16900 LAMMI, Finland
Jonathan von Oppen	Jonathan-vOppen@web.de	WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Fluelastrasse 11, 7260 Davos Dorf, Switzerland
Jónína Sigríður Þorláksdóttir	jonina@rifresearch.is	Rif Field Station,Aðalbraut 16, 675 Raufarhöfn, Iceland
Jörg Löffler	joerg.loeffler@uni-bonn.de	Department of Geography, University of Bonn, Meckenheimer Allee 166, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
José Gilberto Cardoso Mohedano	gcardoso@cmarl.unam.mx	CONACYT - Estación el Carmen, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Carretera Carmen-Puerto Real km. 9.5, 24157 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, Mexico
José-Luis Benito-Alonso	jolube@jolube.net	Jolube Consultor Botánico y Editor. E-22700 Jaca (Huesca), Spain
Jose Marcelo Torezan	jmtorezan@gmail.com	Universidade Estadual de Londrina, CCB, BAV, Caixa Postal 10.011, 86.057-970 Londrina, PR Brazil
Joseph C. Morina	morinajc@vcu.edu	VCU Department of Biology, 1000 West Cary St., Richmond, VA 23284, USA
Juan J. Jiménez	jjimenez@ipe.csic.es	Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE-CSIC), Avda. Llano de la Victoria 16, Jaca 22700 (Huesca), Spain

Juan Dario Quinde	juandar1994@gmail.com	Programa de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Recursos Ecosistémicos, Universidad Nacional de Loja, Ciudadela Universitaria, sector La Argelia, EC110101 Loja, Ecuador.
Juha Alatalo	jalatalo@qu.edu.qa	Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, P.O. Box 2713, Doha, Qatar
Julia Seeber	Julia.Seeber@eurac.edu	Eurac, Institut für Alpine Umwelt, Drususallee 1, 39100 - Bozen, Italien
Jutta Stadler	jutta.stadler@ufz.de	Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany
Kaie Kriiska	kaie.kriiska@ut.ee	Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Vanemuise 46, 51014 Tartu, Estonia
Kalifa Coulibaly	kalifacoul1@yahoo.fr	Université Nazi Boni; Institut du développement rural; Laboratoire d'étude et de recherche sur la fertilité du sol; BP 1091 Bobo-Dioulasso Burkina Faso
Karibu Fukuzawa	caribu@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp	Nakagawa Experimental Forest, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University. 483 Otoineppu, Otoineppu 098-2501, Japan
Katalin Szlavecz	szlavecz@jhu.edu	Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
Katarína Gerhátová	katarina.gerhatova@savba.sk	Ústav krajinnej ekológie SAV, Pobočka Nitra, Akademická 2, P. O. BOX 22, 949 10 Nitra, Slovakia

Kate Lajtha	kate.lajtha@oregonstate.edu	Dept. Crop and Soil Science. Oregon State University, Corvallis OR 97330 USA
Kathrin Käppeler	kathrin.kaeppeler@googlemail.com	Soil Science and Geomorphology, Institute of Geography, University of Tübingen, Rümelinstrasse 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany
Katie A. Jennings	Katie.Jennings@unh.edu	475 Morse Hall, 8 College Road, Durham NH, 03824 USA
Katja Tielbörger	Katja.Tielboerger@uni-tuebingen.de	Plant Ecology Group, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 5, 72076 Tübingen, Germany
Kazuhiko Hoshizaki	khoshiz@akita-pu.ac.jp	Department of Biological Environment, Akita Prefectural University. Shimoshinjo, Akita 010-0195, Japan
Ken Green	kenneth.green@environment.nsw.gov.au	National Parks and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 2228, Jindabyne, NSW 2627 Australia
Klaus Steenberg Larsen	ksl@ign.ku.dk	Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark
Kris Verheyen	Kris.Verheyen@UGent.be	Forest & Nature Lab, Department of Forest and Water Management, Ghent University, Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, 9090 Gontrode, Belgium
Lambiénou Yé	ylambienou@yahoo.fr	Centre Universitaire Polytechnique de Dédougou-UO I Pr Joseph KI-ZERBO; Laboratoire d'étude et de recherche sur la fertilité du sol (UNB); 01 BP 7021 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

Laryssa Helena Ribeiro Pazianoto	lary.pazianoto@hotmail.com	Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Nupelia, Av. Colombo, 5790, 87020-900 Maringá-PR, Brazil
Laura Dienstbach	laura.dienstbach@ufz.de	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Department Computational Hydrosystems, Permoser Str. 15, 05318 Leipzig, Germany
Laura Williams	will3972@umn.edu	Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN 55108, USA
Laura Yahdjian	yahdjian@agro.uba.ar	IFEVA, Catedra de Ecologia. Facultad de Agronomia, UBA. Av. San Martin 4453. 1417, CABA. Argentina
Laurel M. Brigham	laurel.brigham@colorado.edu	SUNY-ESF, Marshall Hall, 1 Forestry Drive, Syracuse NY 13210 USA
Liesbeth van den Brink	LiesbethvandenBrink@hotmail.com	Plant Ecology Group, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
Lindsey Rustad	lrustad@fs.fed.us	USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station, 271 Mast Rd, Durham, NH 03824
Lipeng Zhang	2277519159@qq.com	Key Laboratory of Plant Resources and Biodiversity of Jiangxi Province, Jingdezhen University, 838 Cidu Avenue, Jingdezhen, Jiangxi Province, 333000, China
Lourdes Morillas	lourdesmorillas@msn.com	Univeristà degli studi di Sassari, Dipartimento di Scienze per la Natura e il Territorio, via Enrico de Nicola 9, 07100, Sassari, Italy

Luciana Silva Carneiro	lscarnei@gmail.com	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte - Departamento de Ecologia - 59078-900, Natal, RN, Brazil
Luciano Di Martino	luciano.dimartino@parcomajella.it	Majella Seed Bank, Majella National Park, Colle Madonna, 66010 Lama dei Peligni, Italy
Luis Villar	lvillar@ipe.csic.es	Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE-CSIC), Avda. Llano de la Victoria 16, Jaca 22700 (Huesca), Spain
Maaike Y. Bader	maaike.bader@uni-marburg.de	Ecological Plant Geography, Faculty of Geography, University of Marburg, Deutschhausstraße 10, DE-35032 Marburg, Germany
Madison Morley	msm0997@gmail.com	SUNY-ESF, Marshall Hall, 1 Forestry Drive, Syracuse NY 13210 USA
Marc Lebouvier	marc.lebouvier@univ-rennes1.fr	ECOBIO CNRS- Université de Rennes 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Antarctique et Subantarctique, Station Biologique, 35380 Paimpont France
Marcello Tomaselli	marcello.tomaselli@unipr.it	Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, della Vita e della Sostenibilità ambientale, Parco Area delle Scienze 11/A, I-43124 Parma, Italia
Marcelo Sternberg	marcelos@tauex.tau.ac.il	Tel Aviv University, School of Plant Sciences and Food Security, Tel Aviv, Israel
Marcus Schaub	marcus.schaub@wsl.ch	Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Zuercherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

Margarida Santos-Reis	mmreis@fc.ul.pt	Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
Maria Glushkova	m_gluschkova@abv.bg	Forest Research Institute - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,132 "St. Kl. Ohridski" blvd., 1756 Sofia, Bulgaria
María Guadalupe Almazán Torres	guadalupe.almazan@inin.gob.mx	Departamento de Química, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares, Carr. Mexico-Toluca S/N, La Marquesa, Ocoyoacac, Estado de Mexico, C.P.
Marie-Andrée Giroux	marie-andree.giroux@umoncton.ca	K.-C.-Irving Chair in Environmental Sciences and Sustainable Development, Université de Moncton, Moncton, NB, Canada E1A 3E9
Marie-Anne de Graaff	Marie-annedegraaff@boisestate.edu	1910 University drive, Boise ID 83703
Marie-Noëlle Pons	marie-noelle.pons@univ-lorraine.fr	LRGP, CNRS-Université de Lorraine & LTSER Zone Atelier du Bassin de la Moselle, 1 rue Grandville, BP 20451, 54001 Nancy cedex, France
Marijn Bauters	Marijn.Bauters@UGent.be	Isotope Bioscience Laboratory - ISOFYS, Department of Applied Analytical and Physical Chemistry, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Gent, Belgium
Marina Mazón	marinamazonmor@gmail.com	Programa de Biodiversidad y Servicios Ecosistémicos, Universidad Nacional de Loja, Ciudadela Universitaria, sector La Argelia, EC110101 Loja, Ecuador
Mark Frenzel	mark.frenzel@ufz.de	Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany

Markus Didion	Markus.Didion@wsl.ch	Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Forest Resources and Management, Birmensdorf CH-8903, Switzerland
Markus Wagner	markus.wagner@nw-fva.de	Northwest German Forest Research Institute, Grätzelstr. 2, D-37079 Göttingen, Germany
Maroof Hamid	hamidmaroofmudasir@gmail.com	Centre for Biodiversity & Taxonomy, Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190 006, Jammu and Kashmir, India
Marta L. Lopes	martalopes@ua.pt	Department of Biology & CESAM, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
Martha Apple	mapple@mtech.edu	Department of Biological Sciences, Montana Tech of the University of Montana, Butte, Montana, 59701, USA
Martin Schädler	martin.schaedler@ufz.de	Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany; German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research, (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
Martin Weih	martin.weih@slu.se	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Crop Production Ecology, PO Box 7043, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden
Matteo Gualmini	gualmini@tiscali.it	Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, della Vita e della Sostenibilità ambientale, Parco Area delle Scienze 11/A, I-43124 Parma, Italia
Matthew A. Vadeboncoeur	matt.vad@unh.edu	Earth Systems Research Center, 475 Morse Hall, 8 College Road, Durham NH, 03824 USA

Michael Bierbaumer	beertree75@hotmail.com	Reichergasse 48, 3411 Klosterneuburg-Weidling, Austria
Michael Danger	michael.danger@univ-lorraine.fr	LIEC, CNRS-Université de Lorraine & LTSER Zone Atelier du Bassin de la Moselle, Campus Bridoux - Avenue du général Delestraint
Michael Liddell	michael.liddell@jcu.edu.au	TERN, College of Science & Engineering, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia
Michael Mirtl	michael.mirtl@umweltbundesamt.at	Meynertgasse 32, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria
Michael Scherer-Lorenzen	michael.scherer@biologie.uni-freiburg.de	University of Freiburg, Geobotany, Schänzlestr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany
Michal Ruzek	michal.ruzek@geology.cz	Czech geological survey, Geologicka 6, Prague 5, 152 00, Czech Republic; Department of physical geography and geoecology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Albertov 6, 128 43, Prague, Czech Republic
Michele Carbognani	michele.carbognani@unipr.it	Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, della Vita e della Sostenibilità ambientale, Parco Area delle Scienze 11/A, I-43124 Parma, Italia
Michele Di Musciano	michele.dimusciano@graduate.univaq.it	Department of Life Health and Environmental Sciences - University of L’Aquila, Via Vetoio, loc. Coppito, 67100 L’Aquila, Italy.
Michinari Matsushita	mats.m.michi@gmail.com	Forest Tree Breeding Center, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute. Hitachi, Ibaraki 319-1301, Japan

Miglena Zhiyanski	miglena.zhiyanski@gmail.com	Forest Research Institute - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 132 "St. Kl. Ohridski" blvd., 1756 Sofia, Bulgaria
Mihai Pușcaș	mihai.puscas@ubbcluj.ro	Department of Taxonomy and Ecology, Faculty of Biology and Geology, "A. Borza" Botanical Garden, Babeș-Bolyai University, 42 Republicii Street, 400015 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Milan Barna	barna@savzv.sk	Institute of Forest Ecology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, L.Stura 2, 96053 Zvolen, Slovakia
Mioko Ataka	teshimamioko@yahoo.co.jp	Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute (FFPRI), 68 Nagaikyutaroh, Momoyama, Fushimi, Kyoto, 612-0855 Japan
Mohammed Alsafran	m.alsafran@qu.edu.qa	Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, P.O. Box 2713, Doha, Qatar
Monique Carnol	m.carnol@ulg.ac.be	University of Liège, Plant and Microbial Ecology, Botany B22, Quartier Vallée 1, Chemin de la Vallée 4, 4000 Liège, Belgium
Nadia Barsoum	nadia.barsoum@forestry.gsi.gov.uk	Forest Research, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham, Surrey, GU10 4LH United Kingdom
Naoko Tokuchi	tokuchi@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp	Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
Nico Eisenhauer	nico.eisenhauer@idiv.de	German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany, Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

Nicolas Lecomte	nicolas.lecomte@umoncton.ca	Canada Research Chair in Polar and Boreal Ecology, Department of Biology, Université de Moncton, Moncton, NB, Canada E1A 3E9
Nina Filippova	filippova.courlee.nina@gmail.com	Yugra State University, 628508, Stroiteley street, 2, Shapsha village, Khanty-Mansiyskiy rayon, Tyumen region, Russia
Norbert Hölzel	norbert.hoelzel@uni-muenster.de	Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Muenster, Heisenbergstraße 2, 48149 Münster, Germany
Olga Ferlian	olga.ferlian@idiv.de	German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany, Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
Oscar Romero	oscaradolfo.romeromojica@gmail.com	Programa de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Recursos Ecosistémicos, Universidad Nacional de Loja, Ciudadela Universitaria, sector La Argelia, EC110101 Loja, Ecuador.
Pablo Peri	peri.pablo@inta.gob.ar	INTA, Casilla de Correo 332 (CP 9400), Río Gallegos, Santa Cruz, Argentina
Paige Weber	pweber@brynmawr.edu	Bryn Mawr College, 101 N. Merion Ave., Bryn Mawr, PA 19010, USA
Pascal Vittoz	pascal.vittoz@unil.ch	Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, University of Lausanne, Géopolis, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
Pavel-Dan Turtureanu	pavel.turtureanu@ubbcluj.ro	"A. Borza" Botanical Garden, Babeș-Bolyai University, 42 Republicii Street, 400015 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Peter Fleischer	pfleischer@lesytanap.sk	Research station and Museum of Tatra National Park, Tatranska Lomnica, 059 60 Vysoke Tatry, Slovakia
Peter Macreadie	p.macreadie@deakin.edu.au	School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Centre for Integrative Ecology, Deakin University, Victoria 3216 Australia
Peter Haase	peter.haase@senckenberg.de	Department of River Ecology and Conservation, Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum Frankfurt, Gelnhausen, Germany, Faculty of Biology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
Peter Reich	preich@umn.edu	Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, 1530 Cleveland Ave. N, St. Paul, MN, 55108, USA and Hawkebury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2751, Australia
Petr Petřík	petrik@ibot.cas.cz	Institute of Botany, The Czech Academy of Sciences, Zámek 1, 25243 Průhonice, Czech Republic
Philippe Choler	philippe.choler@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr	Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, LECA, LTSER Zone Atelier Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France
Pierre Marmonier	pierre.marmonier@univ-lyon1.fr	LEHNA, CNRS-Université Claude Bernard 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Bassin du Rhône, 43 Boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France
Priscilla Muriel	priscilla.muriel@gmail.com	Herbario QCA, Departamento de Biología Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Av. 12 de Octubre, entre Patria y Veintimilla, Apartado 17-01-2184, Quito, Ecuador
Quentin Ponette	quentin.ponette@uclouvain.be	Earth and Life Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Croix du Sud 2 - Box L7.05.09, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Rafael Dettogni Guariento	rafaguariento@gmail.com	Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, 79070-900, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil
Rafaella Canessa	rafacanessa@gmail.com	Ecological Plant Geography, Faculty of Geography, University of Marburg, Deutschhausstraße 10, DE-35032 Marburg, Germany
Ralf Kiese	ralf.kiese@kit.edu	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK-IFU). Kreuzeckbahnstr. 19, 82467, Garmisch-Partenkirchen. Germany
Rebecca Hewitt	rebecca.hewitt@nau.edu	Center for Ecosystem Science and Society, Northern Arizona Univeristy, P.O. Box 5620, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA
Regin Rønn	rronn@bio.ku.dk	Arctic Station, University of Copenhagen, 3953 Qeqertarsuaq, Greenland
Rita Adrian	adrian@igb-berlin.de	Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries Berlin, Müggelseedamm 301, 12587 Berlin, Germany
Róbert Kanka	robert.kanka@savba.sk	Ústav krajinnej ekológie SAV, Štefánikova 3, 814 99 Bratislava, Slovakia
Robert Weigel	robert.weigel@uni-greifswald.de	Experimental Plant Ecology, Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, University of Greifswald, Soldmannstr. 15, 17487 Greifswald, Germany
Roberto Cazzolla Gatti	robertocgatti@gmail.com	Bio-Clim-Land Centre, Biological Institute, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

Rodrigo Lemes Martins	rodr.lemes@gmail.com	NUPEM, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Av. São José do Barreto, 764, B. São José do Barreto, Postal Code 27965-045, Macaé - RJ, Brazil
Romain Georges	romain.georges@univ-rennes1.fr	ECOBIO, CNRS-Université de Rennes 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Armorique, avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes cedex, France
Rosa Isela Meneses	rosaiselameneses11@gmail.com	Museo Nacionalde Historia Natural, Herbario Nacional de Bolivia. Campus Universitario UMSA, Cota Cota calle 27. La Paz, Bolivia.
Rosario G. Gavilán	rgavilan@ucm.es	Dpto. Biología Vegetal II. Facultad de Farmacia. Universidad Complutense. E-28040 Madrid. Spain
Sabyasachi Dasgupta	sdhnbgu@gmail.com	Department of Forestry and Natural Resources; Chauras Campus, H.N.B. Garhwal University, A central University); Post Office: Kilkleshwar, Kirtinagar; Tehri Garhwal, Uttarakhand; - 249161, India
Sally Wittlinger	salwitt@asu.edu	Wrigley Global Institute of Sustainability, Arizona State University, PO Box 875402 (800 S. Cady Mall), Tempe, AZ 85287-5402, USA
Sara Puijalon	sara.puijalon@univ-lyon1.fr	LEHNA, CNRS-Université Claude Bernard 1 & LTSER Zone Atelier Bassin du Rhône, 43 Boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France
Sarah Freda	sfreda@brynmawr.edu	Bryn Mawr College, 101 N. Merion Ave., Bryn Mawr, PA 19010, USA
Satoshi Suzuki	s-suzuki@uf.a.u-tokyo.ac.jp	The University of Tokyo Chichibu Forest, the University of Tokyo, 1-1-49 Hinoda-machi, Chichibu, Saitama 368-0034, Japan

Sean Charles	schar056@fiu.edu	Florida International University Biology Department, OE 00148, 11200 SW 8th Street, Miami, FL, 33199, USA
Sébastien Gogo	sebastien.gogo@univ-orleans.fr	Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers en région Centre – OSUC, Service d'Observation Tourbières, Campus Géosciences, 1A rue de la Férolerie, 45071 Orléans cedex, France
Sebastian Kepfer-Rojas	skro@ign.ku.dk	Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark
Simon Drollinger	simon.drollinger@univie.ac.at	Geoecology, Department of Geography and Regional Research, University of Vienna, Althanstraße 14, AT-1090 Vienna, Austria
Simone Mereu	si.mereu@gmail.com	Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change, Impacts on Agriculture, Forests and Natural Ecosystems (IAFES) Division, Sassari, Italy
Sonja Wipf	wipf@slf.ch	WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Fluelastrasse 11, 7260 Davos Dorf, Switzerland
Stacey Trevathan-Tackett	s.trevathantackett@deakin.edu.au	Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, 221 Burwood Hwy, Burwood, VIC 3125 Australia
Stefan Löfgren	Stefan.Lofgren@slu.se	Department of Environmental Science and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences SLU, P.O. Box 7050, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden
Stefan Stoll	s.stoll@umwelt-campus.de	1) University of Landau, Fortstr. 7, 76829 Landau, Germany, 2) University of Applied Sciences Trier, Umwelt-Campus Birkenfeld, Postbox 1380, 57761 Birkenfeld, Germany

Stefan Trogisch	stefan.trogisch@botanik.uni-halle.de	1) Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle (Saale), Germany, 2) German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
Stefanie Hoeber	stefanie.hoeber@slu.se	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Crop Production Ecology, PO Box 7043, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden
Steffen Seitz	steffen.seitz@uni-tuebingen.de	Soil Science and Geomorphology, Institute of Geography, University of Tübingen, Rümelinstrasse 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany
Stephan Glatzel	stephan.glatzel@univie.ac.at	Geoecology, Department of Geography and Regional Research, University of Vienna, Althanstraße 14, AT-1090 Vienna, Austria
Sue J. Milton	renukaroo@gmail.com	DST ⁄ NRF Centre of Excellence at the Percy FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa
Sylvie Dousset	sylvie.dousset@univ-lorraine.fr	LIEC, CNRS-Université de Lorraine & LTSER Zone Atelier du Bassin de la Moselle, BP 70239, Bd des Aiguillettes, 54506 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France
Taiki Mori	taikimori7@gmail.com	South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xingke Road #723, Tianhe District, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510650, China
Takanori Sato	satot@uf.a.u-tokyo.ac.jp	Ecohydrology Research Institute, The University of Tokyo Forests, 11-44 Goizuka, Seto, Nagoya, Aichi, 489-0031, Japan
Takeshi Ise	ise@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp	Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan

Takuo Hishi	hishi@forest.kyushu-u.ac.jp	Shiiba Research Forest, Kyushu University, 949 Ohkawauchi, Shiiba Village, Miyazaki Prefecture 883-0402, Japan
Tanaka Kenta	kenta@sugadaira.tsukuba.ac.jp	1278-294 Sugadaira-kogen, Ueda, 386-2204, Japan
Tatsuro Nakaji	nakaji@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp	Tomakomia Experimental Forest, Hokkaido University, Takaoka, Tomakomai, Hokkaido, 053-0035, Japan
Thaisa Sala Michelan	thaisamichelan@gmail.com	Laboratório de Ecologia de Comunidades, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Pará. Rua Augusto Correia, Nº 1. P.O. Box: 479 - Zip Code 66075-110 Bairro Guamá, Belém, Pará, Brazil.
Thierry Camboulive	thierry.camboulive@univ-tlse3.fr	ECOLAB, INPT-ENSAT, Avenue de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet Tolosan Cedex
Thomas J. Mozdzer	tmozdzer@brynmawr.edu	Bryn Mawr College, 101 N. Merion Ave., Bryn Mawr, PA 19010, USA
Thomas Scholten	thomas.scholten@uni-tuebingen.de	Soil Science and Geomorphology, Institute of Geography, University of Tübingen, Rümelinstrasse 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany
Thomas Spiegelberger	thomas.spiegelberger@irstea.fr	Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Irstea, EMGR, LTSER Zone Atelier Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France
Thomas Zechmeister	thomas.zechmeister@bgld.gv.at	Biological Station Lake Neusiedl, 142 Illmitz, Seevorgelände 1, Austria

Till Kleinebecker	till.kleinebecker@uni-muenster.de	Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Muenster, Heisenbergstraße 2, 48149 Münster, Germany
Tsutom Hiura	hiura@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp	Tomakomia Experimental Forest, Hokkaido University, Takaoka, Tomakomai, Hokkaido, 053-0035, Japan
Tsutomu Enoki	enoki@forest.kyushu-u.ac.jp	Kasuya Research Forest, Kyushu University, 394 Tsubakuro, Sasaguri, Fukuoka 811-2415, Japan
Tudor-Mihai Ursu	tudor.ursu@icbcluj.ro	Institute of Biological Research, Department of Taxonomy and Ecology, National Institute of Research and Development for Biological Sciences, 400015 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Umberto Morra di Cella	u.morradicella@arpa.vda.it	Regional Environmental Protection Agency - Aosta Valley, Loc. Grande Charrière, 44 - Saint-Christophe (11020 - I) Italien
Ute Hamer	ute.hamer@uni-muenster.de	Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Muenster, Heisenbergstraße 2, 48149 Münster, Germany
Valentin Klaus	valentin.klaus@uni-muenster.de	Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Muenster, Heisenbergstraße 2, 48149 Münster, Germany (current adress: Institut of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Universitätsstr. 2, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland)
Vanessa Mendes Rêgo	vanessa.m.rego@hotmail.com	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Instituto de Biociências, Doutoranda PPG Ecologia e Conservação da Biodiversidade. Av. Fernando Corrêa da Costa, nº 2367, Bairro Boa Esperança, CEP 78060-900, Cuiabá, MT, Brasil
Valter Di Cecco	v.dicecco@gmail.com	Majella Seed Bank, Majella National Park, Colle Madonna, 66010 Lama dei Peligni, Italy

Verena Busch	verena.busch@uni-muenster.de	Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Muenster, Heisenbergstraße 2, 48149 Münster, Germany
Veronika Fontana	Veronika.Fontana@eurac.edu	Eurac, Institut für Alpine Umwelt, Drususallee 1, 39100 - Bozen, Italien
Veronika Piscová	Veronika.Piscova@savba.sk	Ústav krajinnej ekológie SAV, Pobočka Nitra, Akademická 2, P. O. BOX 22, 949 10 Nitra, Slovakia
Victoria Carbonell	victoria.carbonell@kit.edu	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK-IFU).Kreuzeckbahnstr. 1982467 Garmisch-Partenkirchen. Germany Mazingira Centre, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), P.O. Box 30709, 00100 Nairobi, Kenya
Victoria Ochoa	victoria.ochoa@urjc.es	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos Departamento de Biología y Geología, Física y Química Inorgánica Escuela Superior de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología C/ Tulipán s/n, Móstoles, 28933 Spain
Vincent Bretagnolle	breta@cebc.cnrs.fr	CEBC-CNRS & LTSER Zone Atelier Plaine et Val de Sèvre, 79360, Beauvoir sur Niort, France
Vincent Maire	vincent.maire@uqtr.ca	Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Trois-Rivières, Quebec, Canada
Vinicius Farjalla	vinicius.farjalla@gmail.com	Dept. Ecologia, Inst. Biologia, CCS, Bloco A, Sala A0-008, Ilha do Fundão, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 21941-590, Brazil
Wenjun Zhou	zhouwj@xtbg.ac.cn	88th, Xuefu Road, Kunming, Yunnan Province, 650223, China

Wentao Luo	wentaoluo@iae.ac.cn	Erguna Forest-Steppe Ecotone Research Station, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, 110164,China
William H. McDowell	bill.mcdowell@unh.edu	Natural Resources and the Environment, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire 03824 USA
Yalin Hu	huyl@iae.ac.cn	Fujian Agricultural & Forestry University, No. 15 Shangxiadian Road, Fuzhou 350002, China
Yasuhiro Utsumi	utsumi@forest.kyushu-u.ac.jp	Ashoro Research Forest, Kyushu University, 1-85 Kita 5, Ashoro, Ashoro-gun, Hokkaido, 089-3705 Japan
Yuji Kominami	kominy@ffpri.affrc.go.jp	Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute (FFPRI), 68 Nagaikyutaroh, Momoyama, Fushimi, Kyoto, 612-0855 Japan
Yulia Zaika	yzaika@inbox.ru	Khibiny Research and Educational station of the Faculty of Geography, Lomonosov Moscow State University ul.Zheleznodorozhnaya 10, Kirovsk 184250, Murmansk region, Russia
Yury Rozhkov	olekmazap-nauka@yandex.ru	State Nature Reserve "Olekminsky", Filatova Str. 6, Olekminsk, Yakutia Ru-678100, Russia
Zsolt Kotroczó	kotroczo.zsolt@gmail.com	Department of Soil Science and Water Management, Szent István University of Budapest, H-1118 Budapest, Villányi út. 29-43, Hungary
Zsolt Tóth	zsolt.toth87@gmail.com	Dept. Ecology, Inst. Biology, University of Veterinary Medicine Rottenbiller u. 50, 1077 Budapest, Hungary

Table 2s: General characteristics of the study sites within the TeaComposition initiative.

Site ID	Site	Country	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude (m asl)	MAT (°C)	MAP (mm)	Biome	Type of biotope	Contact
4,01	Zöbelboden-IP1	Austria	47,836	14,443	950	6,9	1061	Temperate climate	Spruce forest, initial Cardamino trifoliae-Fagetum sensu Willner 2002	Ika Djukic
4,02	Zöbelboden-IP2	Austria	47,841	14,442	950	6,9	1061	Temperate climate	Mixed beech, spruce, maple, ash forest. Potential naural vegetation:Adeno stylo glabrae-Fagetum sensu Willner 2002	Ika Djukic
4,03	Zöbelboden-IP3	Austria	47,839	14,444	950	6,9	1061	Temperate climate	Mixed spruce-beech forest	Ika Djukic
4,04	Zöbelboden-nutrient addition	Austria	47,841	14,435	950	6,9	1061	Temperate climate	Spruce forest; initial carbonate spruce-fir-beech forest	Ika Djukic
6	Klausen-Leopoldsdorf	Austria	48,108	16,075	510	8,1	724	Temperate climate	Beech forest	Ferdinand Kristöfel
7	Mondsee	Austria	47,881	13,350	860	7,2	1353	Temperate climate	Mixed spruce-broadleaved forest	Ferdinand Kristöfel
8	Mürzzuschlag	Austria	47,633	15,658	715	5,2	978	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Ferdinand Kristöfel
9	Murau	Austria	47,061	14,111	1540	3,3	1366	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Ferdinand Kristöfel

10	Jochberg	Austria	47,331	12,406	1050	3	1143	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Ferdinand Kristöfel
11	AREC Raumberg-Gumpenstein	Austria	47,499	14,153	720	9,1	1088	Temperate climate	Meadow	Andreas Bohner
12	Neustift im Stubaital	Austria	47,117	11,300	970	6,5	852	Temperate climate	Managed grassland	Georg Wohlfahrt
13	Illmitz	Austria	47,767	16,750	113	10,1	599	Temperate climate	Managed grassland	Thomas Zechmeister
14	Pürgschachen Moor	Austria	47,581	14,346	632	7,3	1248	Temperate climate	Peat bog	Simon Drollinger
15	Jamtalferner	Austria	46,850	10,150	2960	-4,4	1374	Temperate climate	High alpine	Andrea Fischer
16	Jalhay-La Robinette	Belgium	50,550	6,067	500	7,7	1134	Temperate climate	Forest	Monique Carnol
17	Waroneu	Belgium	50,567	6,100	420	7,7	1134	Temperate climate	Forest	Monique Carnol
18	Brasschaat	Belgium	51,308	4,520	14	10	785	Temperate climate	Scots pine forest	Arne Verstraeten
19	Zoniënwoud	Belgium	50,746	4,413	129	9,9	823	Temperate climate	Beech forest	Arne Verstraeten
20	Gontrode	Belgium	50,975	3,804	26	10	776	Temperate climate	Pedunculate oak - Beech forest	Arne Verstraeten
21	Ravels	Belgium	51,402	5,054	35	9,5	799	Temperate climate	Corsican pine forest	Arne Verstraeten
22	Wijnendale	Belgium	51,070	3,037	31	10,1	708	Temperate climate	Beech forest	Arne Verstraeten
23	Beklemeto	Bulgaria	42,783	24,609	1420	7,5	682	Temperate climate	Beech forest	Miglena Zhiyanski
24	Sofia-FRI	Bulgaria	42,630	23,353	650	8,6	602	Temperate climate	Cedrus atlantica trees	Maria Glushkova

25	Sofia-FRI	Bulgaria	42,631	23,204	650	8,6	581	Temperate climate	Grassland	Maria Glushkova
26	Govedarci	Bulgaria	42,233	23,439	1310	5,9	658	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Miglena Zhiyanski
27	Govedarci	Bulgaria	42,238	23,438	1320	5,6	658	Temperate climate	Grassland	Miglena Zhiyanski
28	Mata dos Godoy State Park	Brazil	-23,433	-51,233	620	20,6	1486	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Forest fragment and restoration site	Jose Marcelo Torezan
29	Congonhas Farm	Brazil	-22,733	-51,183	340	22,2	1285	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Forest fragment and restoration site	Jose Marcelo Torezan
30	Alvorada Farm	Brazil	-22,983	-50,933	340	22	1271	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Forest fragment and restoration site	Jose Marcelo Torezan
31,01	Natal Restinga Forest	Brazil	-5,896	-35,168	40	25,7	1298	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Restinga forest	Adriano Caliman
31,02	Natal Restinga Shrubs	Brazil	-5,910	-35,179	50	25,7	1298	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Restinga shrubland	Adriano Caliman

32,01	Bodoquena	Brazil	-20,986	-56,517	378	22,4	1353	Subtropical arid	Savannah forested	Franco Leandro de Souza
32,02	Bodoquena	Brazil	-20,998	-56,505	367	22,4	1353	Subtropical arid	Savannah forested	Franco Leandro de Souza
32,03	Bodoquena	Brazil	-21,000	-56,512	358	22,4	1353	Subtropical arid	Riparian forest	Franco Leandro de Souza
33,01	Restinga de Jurubatiba - Forest	Brazil	-22,264	-41,606	20	25,7	1298	Equatorial humid climate	Restinga forest	Rodrigo Lemes Martins
33,02	Restinga de Jurubatiba - Shrubs	Brazil	-22,264	-41,606	30	25,7	1298	Equatorial humid climate	Restinga shrubland	Rodrigo Lemes Martins
34,01	Floodplain Paraná River	Brazil	-22,799	-53,541	250	22,8	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate	Atlantic forest	Evanilde Benedito
34,02	Floodplain Paraná River	Brazil	-22,857	-53,600	250	22,8	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate	Atlantic forest and grassland	Evanilde Benedito
34,03	Floodplain Paraná River	Brazil	-22,721	-53,303	250	22,8	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate	Shrubland	Evanilde Benedito
34,04	Floodplain Paraná River	Brazil	-22,711	-53,276	250	22,8	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate	Shrubland and grassland	Evanilde Benedito
34,05	Floodplain Paraná River	Brazil	-22,774	-53,332	250	22,8	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate	Shrubland	Evanilde Benedito
34,06	Floodplain Paraná River	Brazil	-22,724	-53,218	250	22,8	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate	Atlantic forest	Evanilde Benedito

35	Tijuca National Park	Brazil	-22,963	-42,266	350	23	1157	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	NA	Vinicius Farjalla
36	Fazenda Miranda	Brazil	-15,731	-56,071	184	26	1268	Semi-arid tropical climate	Native forest	Francisco Lobo
37	Baia das Pedras	Brazil	-28,375	-68,276	127	26,2	1245	Subtropical arid climate	Native forest	Francisco Lobo
38	Parque Estadual do Utinga	Brazil	-1,431	-48,420	18	26,8	2369	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	NA	Thaisa Sala Michelan
39	Flashline Mars Arctic Research Station	Canada	75,431	-89,823	225	-17,3	131	Arctic climate	NA	Susan Holden Martin
40	Pan de Azúcar, fog zone	Chile	-26,150	-70,651	814	18	16	Subtropical arid climate	Desert with fog influence	Rafaella Canessa
41	Pan de Azúcar, interior zone	Chile	-26,150	-70,651	533	18	16	Subtropical arid climate	Desert	Rafaella Canessa
42	Reserva Quebrada de Talca	Chile	-30,011	-71,037	648	13,5	92	Mediterranean climate	Shrubland	Rafaella Canessa
43	Parque Nacional La Campana	Chile	-32,917	-71,150	726	13,4	377	Mediterranean climate	Mediterranean Forest	Rafaella Canessa
44	Parque Nacional Nahuelbuta	Chile	-37,783	-72,983	1205	8,1	1525	Temperate climate	Temperate Rain Forest	Rafaella Canessa
45	Monumento Nacional Contulmo	Chile	-38,017	-73,233	350	11	1544	Temperate climate	Temperate Rain Forest	Rafaella Canessa
46	Fray Jorge National Park	Chile	-30,667	-71,667	450	15,7	134	Temperate climate	Temperate Fog Forest	Aurora Gaxiola

47	LTSER Senda Darwin Biological Station	Chile	-42,467	-74,117	200	8,4	2140	Temperate climate	NA	Aurora Gaxiola
48	Punta Arenas	Chile	-53,167	-71,617	100	4,9	795	Temperate climate	Native Forest	Aurora Gaxiola
49	Fundo San Martin, Valdivia	Chile	-39,817	-73,150	115	11,7	2011	Temperate climate	Native Forest	Aurora Gaxiola
50	Omora Biosphere Reserve	Chile	-54,933	-67,317	50	4,7	480	Subantartic climate	Native Forest	Aurora Gaxiola
51	Parque Nacional Nahuelbuta	Chile	-37,783	-72,983	1205	8,1	1525	Mediterranean climate	Temperate Rain Forest	Liesbeth van den Brink
52	Parque Nacional La Campana	Chile	-32,966	-71,084	721	13,4	377	Mediterranean climate	Mediterranean Forest	Liesbeth van den Brink
53	Reserva Quebrada de Talca	Chile	-30,011	-71,037	636	13,5	92	Mediterranean climate	Shrubland	Liesbeth van den Brink
54	Parque Nacional Pan de Azucar	Chile	-26,150	-70,651	511	18	16	Subtropical arid climate	Desert	Liesbeth van den Brink
55	Hulunbeier grassland, Inner Monglia	China	50,167	119,367	516	-1,8	374	Arid-temperate climate	Managed grassland	Wentao Luo
56,01	CATIE, Turrialba	Costa Rica	9,891	-83,648	600	22,4	3113	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Mature secondary forest and mature disturbed forest	Geovana Carreno
56,02	CATIE, Turrialba	Costa Rica	9,896	-83,667	615	22,4	3113	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Coffee agroforestry	Geovana Carreno

57	Nature Reserve Červený kříž	Czech Republic	49,993	13,931	420	7,5	584	Temperate climate	Oak forest	Petr Petřík
58	Bad Lauchstädt	Germany	51,395	11,876	119	9	492	Temperate climate	Grassland	Jutta Stadler
59	Bayreuth	Germany	49,973	11,514	336	7	720	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Jutta Stadler
60,01	Rhine-Main-Observatory	Germany	50,154	9,002	115	9,5	665	Temperate climate	Grassland, intensively use	Marlen Mährlein
60,02	Rhine-Main-Observatory	Germany	50,174	9,064	115	9,5	662	Temperate climate	Grassland, intensively use	Marlen Mährlein
60,03	Rhine-Main-Observatory	Germany	50,132	8,965	130	9,9	644	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Marlen Mährlein
60,04	Rhine-Main-Observatory	Germany	50,183	9,085	135	9,5	662	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Marlen Mährlein
61	Landau	Germany	49,254	7,962	200	8,7	644	Temperate climate	Plot forest: mixed beech forest; vineyard: vineyard; stream floodplain: alluvial stream floodplain	Stefan Stoll
62	Hiddensee	Germany	54,550	13,100	1	8,2	545	Temperate climate	Coastal heath	Andrey Malyshev
63	Mols	Denmark	56,394	10,956	57	7,8	573	Temperate climate	Grass, heath	Inger Kappel Schmidt
64	Brandbjerg	Denmark	55,258	11,272	5	8,3	591	Temperate climate	Grass, heath	Klaus Steenberg Larsen
66	Odsherred	Denmark	55,833	11,700	30	8,2	602	Temperate climate	Forest	Inger Kappel Schmidt

67	Mattrup	Denmark	55,163	10,038	110	7,2	796	Temperate climate	Forest	Inger Kappel Schmidt
68	Valloe	Denmark	55,417	12,050	46	8,3	596	Temperate climate	NA	Inger Kappel Schmidt
69	Nørholm	Denmark	56,133	9,017	52	7,5	803	Temperate climate	NA	Inger Kappel Schmidt
70	Kragelund	Denmark	56,167	9,417	85	7,3	748	Temperate climate	NA	Inger Kappel Schmidt
71	Saarejärve-1	Estonia	58,657	26,757	56	4,9	606	Temperate climate	Pine forest	Ivika Ostonen
72	Saarejärve-2	Estonia	58,651	26,760	45	4,9	606	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Ivika Ostonen
73	Vilsandi	Estonia	58,387	21,844	2	6	586	Temperate climate	Pine forest	Ivika Ostonen
74	Tõravere	Estonia	58,275	26,460	67	5	598	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Ivika Ostonen
75	Sagadi	Estonia	59,562	26,046	45	4,8	624	Temperate climate	Pine forest	Ivika Ostonen
76	Vihula	Estonia	59,578	26,133	14	4,8	624	Temperate climate	Pine forest	Ivika Ostonen
77	Vändra	Estonia	58,708	25,064	43	5,2	672	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Ivika Ostonen
78	Kuusnõmme	Estonia	58,307	21,971	5	6	592	Temperate climate	Mixed pine and spruce forest	Ivika Ostonen
79	Järvselja-1	Estonia	58,307	27,332	33	5	604	Temperate climate	Drained pine forest, monoculture	Ivika Ostonen

80	Järvselja-2	Estonia	58,303	27,287	31	5	604	Temperate climate	Drained spruce forest, monoculture	Ivika Ostonen
81	Järvselja-3	Estonia	58,289	27,316	33	5	604	Temperate climate	Drained birch forest	Ivika Ostonen
82	Lammi Biological Station	Finland	61,054	25,040	112	3,7	645	Boreal climate	Native broad-leaf and spruce forests	John Loehr
83	83c - Landemarais	France	49,002	-1,182	145	10,6	636	Temperate climate	Restored peatland	André-Jean Francez
84	Arboretum Champenoux, 54	France	48,751	6,338	256	9,4	765	Temperate climate	Exotic and local trees	Marie-Noëlle Pons
85	La Bouzule, 54	France	48,739	6,322	225	9,4	765	Temperate climate	Grassland	Marie-Noëlle Pons
86	Garden 1, Fléville-devant-Nancy	France	48,626	6,208	236	9,4	775	Temperate climate	Vegetable garden	Marie-Noëlle Pons
87	GISFI station, Homécourt, 54	France	49,222	6,003	231	9,5	795	Temperate climate	Afforested grassland	Florence Maunoury-Danger
88	Temperate Forest 1, Hémilly, 57	France	49,033	6,500	280	9,2	789	Temperate climate	Mixedforest	Florence Maunoury-Danger
89	Riparian forest, Liverdun, 54	France	48,756	6,058	200	9,3	743	Temperate climate	Alluvial forest	Michael Danger
90	Settling pond 1, Pompey, 54	France	48,769	6,136	207	9,3	743	Temperate climate	Afforested settling pond	Florence Maunoury-Danger
91	Settling pond 2, Russange, 54	France	49,483	5,931	378	8,9	818	Temperate climate	Afforested settling pond	Florence Maunoury-Danger
92	Gravel pit 1, Corny, 57	France	49,013	6,048	167	9,6	736	Temperate climate	Alluvial forest	Michael Danger
93	Gravel pit 2, Dieulouard, 54	France	48,829	6,084	177	9,3	743	Temperate climate	Alluvial forest	Michael Danger

94	Chitelet Botanical Garden, 88	France	48,042	7,003	1225	9,3	1344	Temperate climate	Wetland	Sylvie Dousset
95	JM Pelt Botanical Garden, 54	France	48,867	6,183	245	11,1	618	Temperate climate	Botanical garden	Sylvie Dousset
96	Forest soil SBL, Haye Forest, 54	France	48,639	6,122	382	11,1	618	Temperate climate	Mixed forest	Sylvie Dousset
97	Forest soil Rendzine, Haye Forest, 54	France	48,640	6,097	402	11,1	618	Temperate climate	Mixed forest	Sylvie Dousset
98	Haut Jacques - Podzol, 88	France	48,275	6,863	600	9,3	1344	Temperate climate	Mixed forest	Sylvie Dousset
99	Haut Jacques - SBA, 88	France	48,275	6,863	600	9,3	1344	Temperate climate	Mixed forest	Sylvie Dousset
100	Rudlin - SOP, 88	France	48,122	7,042	600	9,3	1344	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Sylvie Dousset
101	Rudlin - SBA, 88	France	48,122	7,042	600	9,3	1344	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Sylvie Dousset
108	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_CHAMROUSSE_1_CHAM1250	France	45,075	5,857	1249	7,5	1220	Temperate climate	Deciduous Broad-leaved Forest	Thomas Spiegelberger
109	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_CHAMROUSSE_2_CHAM1470	France	45,088	5,863	1471	7,5	1220	Temperate climate	Mixed Forest	Thomas Spiegelberger
110	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_CHAMROUSSE_3_CHAM1710	France	45,105	5,891	1713	6,2	1158	Temperate climate	Evergreen Coniferous Forest	Thomas Spiegelberger
111	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_CHAMROUSSE_4_CHAM1890	France	45,108	5,900	1887	4,7	1032	Temperate climate	Forest-grassland ecotone	Thomas Spiegelberger
112	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_CHAMROUSSE_5_CHAM2020	France	45,115	5,907	2021	4,7	1032	Temperate climate	Mountain Grassland	Thomas Spiegelberger

113	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_CHAMROUSSE_6_CHAM2180	France	45,122	5,914	2179	3,1	877	Temperate climate	Alpine meadow	Thomas Spiegelberger
118	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_RISTOLAS_1_RIS1870	France	44,746	6,999	1876	5,1	532	Temperate climate	Deciduous Coniferous Forest	Amélie Saillard
121	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_RISTOLAS_4_RIS2540	France	44,709	7,053	2555	1,75	403	Temperate climate	Alpine meadow	Amélie Saillard
125	FR AME CFE - Cime de Fer	France	44,326	6,938	2700	0,7	508	Temperate climate	Alpine meadow	Philippe Choler
129	FR AME LAU - Butte des Laussets	France	44,332	6,907	2508	2,5	674	Temperate climate	Subalpine grassland	Philippe Choler
132,01	Lyon (grasslands)	France	45,780	4,868	170	11,5	783	Temperate climate	Urban grassland	Pierre Marmonier
132,02	Lyon (undercover)	France	45,780	4,868	170	11,5	783	Temperate climate	Urban forest	Pierre Marmonier
133	Kerguelen Islands	France	-49,354	70,211	15	4,9	753	(Sub-)Arctic climate (Subantartic climate)	Grassland	Marc Lebouvier
134	Forêt de Chaux	France	47,101	5,727	260	10,5	943	Temperate climate	Forest	Eric Lucot
135	Zone Atelier Plaine et Val de Sèvre	France	46,137	-0,490	66	12,4	901	Temperate climate	Agriculture	Vincent Bretagnolle
136	Tourbière de la Guette	France	47,322	2,281	165	11	705	Temperate climate	Peatland	Sébastien Gogo
137	Vosges (88)	France	48,168	5,942	420	9,2	852	Temperate climate	Agriculture	Marie-Noëlle Pons
138	Experimental station Gardouch	France	43,371	1,671	180	12,8	751	Temperate climate	Forest	Joël Merlet
139	Toulouse (VCG)	France	43,604	1,436	333	12,7	698	Temperate climate	Semi-natural grassland	Annie Ouin
140	Lüss	Germany	52,839	10,266	109	8,8	835	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Meesenburg, Henning

141,01	Lange Bramke, Kamm	Germany	51,860	10,418	659	5,9	1339	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Meesenburg, Henning
141,02	Lange Bramke, Nordhang	Germany	51,855	10,413	597	5,9	1339	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Meesenburg, Henning
141,03	Lange Bramke, Südhang	Germany	51,856	10,413	597	5,9	1339	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Meesenburg, Henning
142	Solling, Buche	Germany	51,761	9,578	504	6,9	1193	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Meesenburg, Henning
143	Solling, Fichte	Germany	51,765	9,580	508	6,9	1193	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Meesenburg, Henning
144	Göttinger Wald	Germany	51,528	10,047	420	8,4	773	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Meesenburg, Henning
145	Augustendorf	Germany	52,908	7,859	33	9	820	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Meesenburg, Henning
146	Ehrhorn	Germany	53,178	9,903	115	9	785	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Meesenburg, Henning
147	Schafstaedt	Germany	51,374	11,736	172	8	611	Temperate climate	Meadow	Mark Frenzel
148	Friedeburg	Germany	51,604	11,721	98	8	611	Temperate climate	Meadow	Mark Frenzel
149	Greifenhagen	Germany	51,634	11,459	265	7,8	614	Temperate climate	Meadow	Mark Frenzel
150	Siptenfelde	Germany	51,655	11,049	397	7,8	561	Temperate climate	Pasture	Mark Frenzel
151	Harsleben	Germany	51,839	11,058	152	7,8	561	Temperate climate	Meadow	Mark Frenzel
152	Wanzleben	Germany	52,077	11,443	98	8,8	513	Temperate climate	Mown meadow	Mark Frenzel
153	Mueggelsee	Germany	52,000	14,683	35	9,1	553	Temperate climate	NA	Rita Adrian
154	Hohes Holz	Germany	52,087	11,222	193	8,8	529	Temperate climate	Mixed beech forest	Corinna Rebmann

155,01	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	50,967	10,400	330	7,3	702	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,02	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,083	10,417	330	7,3	702	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,03	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,100	10,417	330	7,7	666	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,04	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,267	10,417	330	7,7	666	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,05	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,267	10,317	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,06	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,050	10,383	330	7,3	778	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,07	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,000	10,400	330	7,7	666	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,08	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,200	10,417	330	7,3	702	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,09	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,017	10,367	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,1	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,269	10,433	330	7,7	666	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,11	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,267	10,450	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,12	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,300	10,367	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,13	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	50,967	10,750	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,14	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,283	10,367	330	7,8	580	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,15	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,217	10,583	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
155,16	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,267	10,500	330	7,9	638	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer

155,17	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,267	10,500	330	7,9	638	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
155,18	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,067	10,433	330	7,9	638	Temperate climate	Mountain grassland	Ute Hamer
155,19	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,183	10,450	330	7,7	666	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,2	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,200	10,433	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,21	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,217	10,467	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
155,22	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	50,983	10,367	330	7,7	695	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
155,23	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Hainich	Germany	51,200	10,417	330	7,3	702	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,01	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,083	13,967	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,02	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,089	13,967	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,03	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	13,983	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,04	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,103	14,000	50	8,7	547	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,05	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	14,000	50	8,7	547	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,06	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	13,617	50	8,5	569	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,07	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,086	13,967	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
156,08	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	14,017	50	8,7	547	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,09	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,083	13,600	50	8,5	569	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer

156,1	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,136	13,867	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,11	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	13,967	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,12	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	14,017	50	8,7	547	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,13	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,103	13,617	50	8,5	569	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,14	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,117	13,700	50	8,5	567	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,15	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,133	13,833	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,16	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,150	13,817	50	8,5	567	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
156,17	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	52,983	13,833	50	8,7	554	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
156,18	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	52,967	13,833	50	8,7	554	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,19	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,133	13,867	50	8,6	560	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
156,2	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	53,100	13,667	50	8,5	567	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,21	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	52,969	13,817	50	8,6	562	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
156,22	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	52,867	13,967	50	8,7	554	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
156,23	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	52,869	13,967	50	8,7	554	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
156,24	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schorfheide-Chorin	Germany	52,967	13,817	50	8,6	562	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,01	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,333	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer

157,02	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,367	9,467	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,03	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,400	9,517	730	7,1	923	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,04	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,367	9,417	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
157,05	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,436	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
157,06	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,400	9,433	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
157,07	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,367	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,08	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,417	9,483	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,09	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,500	730	7,1	923	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,1	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,367	9,200	730	7,8	905	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,11	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,483	9,433	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,12	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,483	9,433	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,13	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	44,367	9,517	730	9,7	942	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
157,14	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,400	9,500	730	7,1	923	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
157,15	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,400	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,16	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,450	9,450	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer
157,17	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,450	9,453	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Mown pasture	Ute Hamer

157,18	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,450	9,483	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,19	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,433	9,417	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,2	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,417	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,21	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,400	9,450	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Meadow	Ute Hamer
157,22	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,383	9,433	730	7,5	911	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
157,23	Biodiversity-Exploratories, Schwäbische Alb	Germany	48,450	9,500	730	7,1	923	Temperate climate	Pasture	Ute Hamer
158	Síkfőkút Project	Hungary	47,917	20,433	345	9,4	565	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Zsolt Kotroczó and István Fekete
159	Kiskunság LTER - Fülöpháza	Hungary	47,453	19,704	108	10,6	522	Temperate climate	Grassland	Erzsébet Hornung
160	Shita	Israel	30,147	35,120	250	19,4	207	Subtropical arid climate	Desert	Elli Groner
161	Ramon	Israel	31,250	35,369	440	21,3	60	Subtropical arid climate	Desert	Elli Groner
162,01	Matsch-Mazia	Italy	46,677	10,576	1000	1,6	528	Temperate climate	Dry pasture	Julia Seeber
162,02	Matsch-Mazia	Italy	46,684	10,585	1500	1,6	528	Temperate climate	Dry pasture	Julia Seeber
162,03	Matsch-Mazia	Italy	46,692	10,593	2000	1,6	528	Temperate climate	Dry pasture	Julia Seeber
162,04	Matsch-Mazia	Italy	46,703	10,595	2500	1,6	528	Temperate climate	Dry pasture	Julia Seeber
163	Kanumazawa Riparian Research Forest	Japan	39,100	141,850	450	9,2	2056	Temperate climate	Forest, deciduous	Kazuhiko Hoshizaki

164,01	University of Tokyo Chichibu Forest	Japan	35,919	138,831	880	9	1554	Temperate climate	Natural forest	Satoshi Suzuki
164,02	University of Tokyo Chichibu Forest	Japan	35,917	138,818	1320	6,6	1554	Temperate climate	Natural forest	Satoshi Suzuki
164,03	University of Tokyo Chichibu Forest	Japan	35,915	138,801	1780	3,6	1554	Temperate climate	Natural forest	Satoshi Suzuki
165	Kasuya Research Forest	Japan	33,653	130,545	520	14,6	1917	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Tsutomu Enoki
166	Uryu	Japan	44,356	142,258	300	4,4	1400	Temperate climate	Cool temperate mixed forest (evergreen coniferous and deciduous broad-leaved species)	Hideaki Shibata Karibu Fukuzawa
167	Yamashiro Experimental Forest	Japan	34,783	135,850	255	13,8	1676	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Secondary forest, deciduous	Mioko Ataka, Yuji Kominami
168	Ashoro	Japan	43,263	143,508	330	5,5	1051	Temperate climate	Forest, deciduous	Yasuhiro Utsumi
169	Akazu Research Forest	Japan	36,217	137,167	304	9,7	1838	Temperate climate	Secondary forest, deciduous	Takanori Sato
170,01	Mt. Hakkoda Forest_400B	Japan	40,593	140,964	416	9	1501	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Hiroko Kurokawa
170,02	Mt. Hakkoda Forest_600B	Japan	40,596	140,946	649	7,9	1501	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Hiroko Kurokawa

170,03	Mt. Hakkoda Forest_800B	Japan	40,636	140,931	791	7	1501	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Hiroko Kurokawa
170,04	Mt. Hakkoda Forest_1000A	Japan	40,660	140,851	980	6,5	1501	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Hiroko Kurokawa
170,05	Mt. Hakkoda Forest_1200A	Japan	40,666	140,867	1214	5,5	1501	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Hiroko Kurokawa
170,06	Mt. Hakkoda Forest_1400A	Japan	40,673	140,874	1404	4,9	1412	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural forest	Hiroko Kurokawa
171	Ashiu Experimental Forest	Japan	36,010	137,003	260	9	2065	Temperate climate	Natural Forest	Takeshi Ise
172	Kamigamo Experimental Station	Japan	34,083	135,767	220	12,3	2498	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural Forest	Naoko Tokuchi
173	Shiiba Research Forest	Japan	32,398	131,173	1050	12,5	3072	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural mixed forest	Takuo Hishi
174	Sugadaira	Japan	36,523	138,500	1320	10,6	1239	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Grassland, Natural forest	Tanaka Kenta
175	Tomakomai Experimental Forest	Japan	42,699	141,571	80	6,7	1112	Temperate climate	Secondary forest, deciduous	Tatsuro Nakaji

										Tsutom Hiura
176	Engure LTSER	Latvia	57,289	23,154	10	6,3	634	Temperate climate	Pine Forest	Inara Melece
179	Engure LTSER	Latvia	57,302	23,049	7	6,3	634	Temperate climate	Deciduos Forest	Inara Melece
180,01	Aukstaitija IMS	Lithuania	55,464	26,004	188	5,7	658	Temperate climate	Forest, coniferous	Algirdas Augustaitis
180,02	Aukstaitija IMS	Lithuania	55,453	26,068	159	5,7	658	Temperate climate	Forest, coniferous	Algirdas Augustaitis
181	Zemaitija IMS	Lithuania	56,017	21,887	170	6,1	790	Temperate climate	Forest, coniferous	Algirdas Augustaitis
182	Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong	Malaysia, Selangor	3,235	101,633	82	26,1	358	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Planted and naturally regenerating forest	Jeyanny Vijayanathan
183	SSDE-1	Mali	15,317	-9,050	270	28,1	1500	Subtropical arid climate	NA	Niall Hanan
184	SSDE-2	Mali	14,533	-9,967	262	27,9	712	Semi-arid tropical climate	NA	Niall Hanan
185	SSDE-3	Mali	12,883	-8,483	370	27	986	Semi-arid tropical climate	NA	Niall Hanan
186	SSDE-4	Mali	11,600	-7,050	368	27,2	1017	Semi-arid tropical climate	NA	Niall Hanan
187	SSDE-5	Mali	11,033	-6,083	347	27,1	1105	Semi-arid tropical climate	NA	Niall Hanan
188	Estero Pargo	Mexico	18,651	-91,759	1	26,4	1502	Equatorial humid	Natural mangrove forest	José Gilberto

								climate; tropical rain forest		Cardoso Mohedano
189	ESTERO DE URIAS LAGOON	Mexico	23,173	-106,326	1	24,8	752	Mediterranean climate	Natural mangrove forest	Ana Carolina Ruiz Fernández
192	MARISMAS NACIONALES	Mexico	22,410	-105,636	1	25,1	1627	Mediterranean climate	Natural mangrove forest	Joan Albert Sánchez Cabeza
193	SALAZAR FOREST	Mexico	19,286	-99,383	3124	12,4	1098	Subtropical arid climate	Sacred fir and pinus forest	Eduardo Ordoñez Regil
201	Wadi Nar station	Palestine	31,724	35,286	415	18,3	412	Subtropical arid climate	Olive orchard	Jawad Shoqeir
202,01	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,843	-8,765	60	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,02	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,851	-8,782	43	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,03	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,857	-8,783	47	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,04	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,834	-8,809	43	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,05	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,826	-8,813	42	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,06	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,814	-8,801	50	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,07	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,804	-8,816	45	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,08	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,835	-8,818	28	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,09	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,837	-8,835	27	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho

202,1	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,827	-8,840	30	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,11	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,811	-8,849	31	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
202,12	Companhia das Lezírias	Portugal	38,818	-8,855	28	17,4	774	Mediterranean climate	Evergreen cork oak forest	Cristina Branquinho
203	Ria de Aveiro	Portugal	40,602	-8,740	1	14,3	800	Mediterranean climate	Wetland, Salt marsh	Ana I. Lillebø
203,01	Ria de Aveiro	Portugal	40,601	-8,741	1	14,3	800	Mediterranean climate	Wetland, Salt marsh	Ana I. Lillebø
204	Luquillo Experimental Forest	Puerto Rico	18,344	-65,826	61	25,4	1943	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	NA	Jill Thompson
205	Elevational gradient	Puerto Rico	18,344	-65,826	61	25,1	2003	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	NA	Grizelle González
206	Braila Islands LTSER	Romania	44,885	27,861	9	11,5	454	Arid-temperate climate	Wetland	Elena Preda
207	Braila Islands LTSER	Romania	44,885	27,861	9	11,5	454	Arid-temperate climate	Wetland	Elena Preda
208	Neajlov basin LTSER	Romania	44,340	25,667	85	10,8	598	Temperate climate	Forest	Elena Preda
209	Neajlov basin LTSER	Romania	44,340	25,667	85	10,8	598	Temperate climate	Forest	Elena Preda
210,01	Fruska gora	Serbia	45,135	19,642	403	11,1	679	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Dušanka Krašić

210,02	Fruska gora	Serbia	45,138	19,647	478	11,1	679	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Dušanka Krašić
210,03	Fruska gora	Serbia	45,137	19,676	468	11,1	679	Temperate climate	Deciduous Forest	Dušanka Krašić
212,01	Podunajská nížina Lowland forest	Slovakia	48,277	17,321	173	9,4	669	Temperate climate	Vineyard on loess	Róbert Kanka
212,02	Podunajská nížina Lowland vineyard	Slovakia	48,276	17,321	173	9,4	669	Temperate climate	Pannonian oak and hornbeam forest	Róbert Kanka
212,03	Podunajská nížina Lowland grove grassland	Slovakia	48,306	17,287	177	9,4	669	Temperate climate	Cherry orchard (Cerasus avium)	Róbert Kanka
212,04	Podunajská nížina Lowland orchard-garden	Slovakia	48,306	17,287	177	9,4	669	Temperate climate	Lowland ruderalised meadow	Róbert Kanka
213	Tatry, LTER	Slovakia	49,083	20,233	1100	5,4	781	Temperate climate	Temperate oniferous forest	Peter Fleischer
214	Kralova hola	Slovakia	48,887	20,128	1850	3,8	1017	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Veronika Piscová
215	Jalovecka dolina	Slovakia	49,218	19,672	1893	2,9	1259	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Veronika Piscová
216	Báb	Slovakia	48,303	17,889	190	9,7	600	Temperate climate	Thermophilic oak forest	Veronika Piscová
217	Kremnicke vrchy Ecological Experimental Station	Slovakia	48,635	19,070	500	7,8	742	Temperate climate	Temperate deciduous forest	Milan Barna
218	Hodrusska vrchovina	Slovakia	48,550	18,858	470	7,6	768	Temperate climate	Temperate deciduous forest	Milan Barna
219	Stiavnicke vrchy	Slovakia	48,552	18,947	600	7,6	768	Temperate climate	Temperate deciduous forest	Milan Barna
220	Javorie	Slovakia	48,504	19,188	785	6,7	794	Temperate climate	Temperate deciduous forest	Milan Barna
222	Wolwekraal Nature Reserve	South Africa	-33,197	22,029	567	7,8	177	Subtropical arid climate	Protected Nature Reserve	Joh Henschel

223	Tierberg Karoo Research Station, SAEON Arid Lands Node	South Africa	-33,165	22,268	752	17,8	177	Subtropical arid climate	Livestock/large game exclosure within wildlife ranch	Joh Henschel
224	Collserola	Spain	41,430	2,082	255	16,1	613	Mediterranean climate	Protected Nature Reserve	Anna Avila
225	Montseny	Spain	41,467	2,210	760	12,6	839	Mediterranean climate	Protected Nature Reserve	Fernando Maestre
226	Valdemoro	Spain	40,190	-3,601	622	16,6	631	Mediterranean climate	Protected area with wild and domestic grazers	Fernando Maestre
228	Aneboda IM	Sweden	57,114	14,551	240	5,8	750	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Stefan Löfgren
229	Kindla IM	Sweden	59,754	14,908	320	4,2	900	Boreal climate	Coniferous forest	Stefan Löfgren
230	Vordemwald	Switzerland	47,274	7,887	480	8,8	1028	Temperate climate	Temperate mixed forest	Marcus Schaub
231	Bettlachstock	Switzerland	47,225	7,417	1149	7,4	1113	Temperate climate	Temperate deciduous forest	Marcus Schaub
232	Pfynwald	Switzerland	46,303	7,612	615	3,6	1418	Temperate climate	Xeric mature Scots pine forest	Marcus Schaub
233	Novaggio	Switzerland	46,023	8,840	950	9,9	1272	Temperate climate	Unmanaged former coppice forest	Marcus Schaub
234	Beatenberg	Switzerland	46,700	7,762	1511	6,2	1235	Temperate climate	Temperate spruce forest	Marcus Schaub
235	Schänis	Switzerland	47,165	9,067	733	6	1364	Temperate climate	Temperate beech forest	Marcus Schaub
236	Birmensdorf	Switzerland	47,362	8,454	550	8,8	1103	Temperate climate	Temperate mixed forest	Marcus Schaub
237	Salgesch	Switzerland	46,317	7,583	805	3,6	1418	Temperate climate	Xeric mature Scots pine forest	Marcus Schaub

238	Fushan	Taiwan	24,759	121,598	720	21	3025	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Natural subtrpical mixed broadleaf rain forest	Chiao-Ping Wang
239	YYL	Taiwan	24,590	121,416	1650	15,1	2659	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Subtropical mountain cloud coniferous forest	Chiao-Ping Wang
240	12 experimental sites	UK	0,000	0,000	NA	NA	NA	Temperate climate	NA	Jill Thompson
241	Harvard Forest	USA	42,000	-73,200	310	7,3	1246	Temperate climate	Temperate forest	Jim Tang
242	Toolik Station	USA	68,633	-149,600	760	-11,7	229	Arctic climate	Arctic tundra	Jim Tang
243	Waquoit Bay salt marsh	USA	41,367	-70,500	1	10	1138	Temperate climate	Salt marsh	Jim Tang
244	H.J. Andrews Forest	USA	44,367	122,367	162	7,9	1663	Temperate climate	Old-growth forest	Kate Lajtha
245	Central Arizona–Phoenix	USA	33,604	-112,498	448	21,1	198	Arid-temperate climate	Desert	Sally Wittlinger
246	Mansfield_SC1	USA	44,507	-72,836	565	5,2	1070	Temperate climate	Mixed forest	Carol Adair
247	Smithsonian Environmental Research Center	USA	38,883	-76,550	100	13,3	1091	Temperate climate	Deciduous forest	Katalin Szlavecz
248	Smithsonian Global Change Research Wetland	USA	38,889	-77,026	2	12,9	1035	Temperate climate	Salt marsh	Thomas J. Mozdzer
249	PIE-LTER (TIDE Project)	USA	42,721	70,848	1	9,5	1191	Temperate climate	Salt marsh	Thomas J. Mozdzer
250	Reynolds Creek CZO	USA	43,205	-116,750	1200	7,7	330	Arid-temperate climate	Sagebrush steppe	Marie-Anne de Graaff

251	Cedar Point Biological Station	USA	41,207	-101,667	982	9,1	447	Arid-temperate climate	Short Grass Prairie	Johannes M H Knops
252,01	Bartlett Experimental Forest Site C6	USA	44,040	-71,275	460	5,5	1270	Temperate climate	Northern hardwood forest	Ruth Yanai
252,02	Bartlett Experimental Forest Site C8	USA	44,054	-71,298	330	5,5	1270	Temperate climate	Northern hardwood forest	Ruth Yanai
253	Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (MELNHE)	USA	43,933	-71,733	500	7,4	1123	Temperate climate	Northern hardwood forest	Matt Vadeboncoeur
254	Jeffers Brook	USA	44,050	-72,467	730	5,1	1077	Temperate climate	Northern hardwood forest	Ruth Yanai
255	Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (ISE)	USA	43,936	-71,758	500	7,4	1123	Temperate climate	Northern hardwood forest	Matt Vadeboncoeur
256	Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (DroughtNet)	USA	43,946	-71,701	265	7,4	1123	Temperate climate	Northern hardwood forest	Matt Vadeboncoeur
258	Cummins Creek Wilderness Area, Oregon	USA	44,450	-124,167	NA	9,4	2555	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke
259	Mary's Peak, Oregon	USA	44,833	-123,933	98	10,4	2215	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke
260	Andrews Forest, LTER, Oregon	USA	44,367	-122,417	564	8,6	2072	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke
261	Andrews Forest, LTER, Oregon	USA	44,367	-122,217	628	6,8	2143	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke
262	Andrews Forest, LTER, Oregon	USA	44,367	-122,217	628,000	6,800	2143,000	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke
263	Metolius River Natural Area, Oregon	USA	44,817	-122,050	739,000	7,100	2123,000	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke
264	Sisters, Oregon	USA	44,291	-121,549	971,000	6,600	641,000	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Moldenke

265	Sky Oaks Field Station	USA	33,350	116,633	1420	15,4	269	Mediterranean climate	Chaparral	George Vourlitis
266	Santa Margarita Ecological Reserve	USA	33,483	117,181	254	16,6	396	Mediterranean climate	Coastal sage scrub (soft chaparral)	George Vourlitis
267	Ten Thousand Islands National Wildlife Refuge	USA	25,233	-81,117	0	23,8	1219	Semi-arid tropical climate	NA	Sean Charles
272,01	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 01	Russia	51,057	82,987	1426	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Alpine meadow	Evgeny Davydov
272,03	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 03	Russia	51,110	83,052	994	1,6	980	Temperate climate	Meadow	Evgeny Davydov
272,05	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 05	Russia	51,051	82,975	1493	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Natural forest (Pinus sibirica open forest)	Evgeny Davydov
272,06	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 06	Russia	51,045	83,000	1572	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Natural forest + meadow (timberline)	Evgeny Davydov
272,07	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 07	Russia	51,040	82,998	1391	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Natural forest (montane)	Evgeny Davydov
272,08	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 08	Russia	51,041	82,999	1453	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Natural forest + meadow (timberline)	Evgeny Davydov
272,09	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 09	Russia	51,010	82,999	1537	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Natural forest + meadow (timberline)	Evgeny Davydov
272,1	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 10	Russia	51,115	83,016	948	1,6	980	Temperate climate	Natural forest (Abies sibirica)	Evgeny Davydov
272,12	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 12	Russia	51,045	82,989	1526	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Natural forest (Pinus sibirica open forest)	Evgeny Davydov
272,13	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 13	Russia	51,056	82,987	1455	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Subalpine tall-grasses	Evgeny Davydov

272,14	Tigirek Strict Reserve, Plot 14	Russia	51,057	82,987	1432	1,6	1120	Temperate climate	Alpine meadow	Evgeny Davydov
273,01	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 01	Russia	55,912	92,733	703	1,1	552	Boreal climate	Natural forest (Pinus sylvestris L., Larix sibirica Ledeb.)	Elena Tropina
273,02	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 02	Russia	55,946	92,825	285	1,2	471	Boreal climate	Natural forest (Populus tremula L.)	Elena Tropina
273,03	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 03	Russia	55,707	92,934	239	1,2	471	Boreal climate	Natural forest (Betula pendula Roth)	Elena Tropina
273,04	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 04	Russia	55,737	92,782	218	1,2	471	Boreal climate	Mesophytic meadow	Elena Tropina
273,05	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 05	Russia	55,785	92,722	214	1,2	471	Boreal climate	Mesophytic meadow	Elena Tropina
273,06	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 06	Russia	55,827	92,811	722	1,1	552	Boreal climate	Natural forest (Pinus sylvestris L., Larix sibirica Ledeb.)	Elena Tropina
273,07	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 07	Russia	55,845	92,833	673	1,1	552	Boreal climate	Natural forest (Abies sibirica Ledeb.)+wet meadow	Elena Tropina
273,08	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 08	Russia	55,912	92,886	208	1,2	471	Boreal climate	Mesophytic meadow	Elena Tropina
273,09	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 09	Russia	55,867	92,936	709	1,1	552	Boreal climate	Natural forest (Pinus sylvestris L., Larix sibirica Ledeb., Populus tremula L.)	Elena Tropina
273,10	State Nature Reserv "Stolby", Plot 10	Russia	55,891	92,924	263	1,2	471	Boreal climate	Mesophytic meadow	Elena Tropina

274	State Nature Reserv "Olekminsky"	Russia	58,000	121,000	450	-8,6	424	Boreal climate	Natural forest(Pinus sylvestris L., Larix gmelinii(Rupr.) Rupr.)	Yury Rozhkov
275	Gossenköllesee	Austria	47,229	11,014	2417	3,2	1112	Temperate climate	High alpine	Birgit Sattler
278	Eight Mile Lake, Healy, Alaska	USA	63,876	-149,247	684	-1	384	Boreal climate	Boreal-tundra ecotone	Rebecca Hewitt
279	Murphy Dome, Fairbanks, Alaska	USA	64,882	-148,391	210	-3	275	Boreal climate	Boreal forest	Rebecca Hewitt
280	VCU_Rice_Rivers_Center_Swamp	USA	37,327	-77,208	0	14,3	1123	Temperate climate	Tidal Swamp Wetland	Joe Morina
281	Xishuangbanna	China	22,010	100,800	556	21,7	1460	Semi-arid tropical climate	Primary forest	Wenjun Zhou
282	Yuanjiang	China	28,945	112,598	30	24,3	790	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Savannah forested	Wenjun Zhou
283	Ailao Mountain	China	23,833	101,567	1852	11	1980	Semi-arid tropical climate	Primary forest	Wenjun Zhou
284	Lijiang	China	26,865	100,229	2517	9,1	1160	Arid-temperate climate	Primary forest	Wenjun Zhou
285	Jilin	China	42,383	128,083	802	2,5	688	Temperate climate	Secondary forest and white brich plantation	Yalin Hu
286	Liaoning	China	41,847	124,934	597	4,8	885	Temperate climate	Laruch monoculture	Yalin Hu

287	Zhejiang	China	29,967	122,350	786	16,7	1249	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Secondary forest and chinese fir plantation	Yalin Hu
288	Fujian	China	26,557	118,112	360	18,7	1729	Semi-arid tropical climate (Subtropical climate)	Secondary forest and chinese fir plantation	Yalin Hu
289	Hainan	China	18,730	108,890	800	21,7	1523	Semi-arid tropical climate (Topical climate)	Secondary forest and chinese fir plantation	Yalin Hu
290	Jiangxi	China	24,562	114,431	550-600	18,5	1821	Semi-arid tropical climate (Subtropical climate)	Secondary forest and chinese fir plantation	Yalin Hu
291	Hunan	China	26,849	109,606	432	16,5	1280	Semi-arid tropical climate (Subtropical climate)	Secondary forest and chinese fir plantation	Yalin Hu
292	Inner Mongolia	China	42,500	122,317	120	7,6	506	Arid-temperate climate	Mongolian pine monoculture	Wentao Luo
293	Cattai, NSW, Lilly	Australia	-31,829	152,639	5	14,5	799	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Restored swamp	Stacey Trevathan-Tackett

294	Cattai, NSW, Melaluca	Australia	-31,830	152,637	11	14,5	799	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Restored swamp	Stacey Trevathan-Tackett
295	Darawakh, NSW	Australia	-32,091	152,488	3	14,5	799	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Seasonal wetland	Stacey Trevathan-Tackett
296	Rhyll, Victoria	Australia	-38,457	145,290	0	14,3	832	Temperate climate	Grassland	Stacey Trevathan-Tackett
297	Rhyll, Victoria	Australia	-38,457	145,289	0	14,3	832	Temperate climate	Mangrove	Stacey Trevathan-Tackett
298	Rhyll, Victoria	Australia	-38,459	145,288	0	14,3	832	Temperate climate	Succulent saltmarsh	Stacey Trevathan-Tackett
301	Northeast Science Station, Cherskiy, Russia	Russia	68,743	161,407	30	-11,6	230	Arctic climate	Larch forest	Heather Alexander
302	Mukhrino Field Station	Russia	60,889	68,703	50	8,2	545	Boreal climate	Raised bog	Nina Filippova
303	Hiddensee	Germany	54,551	13,104	1	-0,9	536	Temperate climate	Heathland	Andrey Malyshev
305	Hanshagen	Germany	54,054	13,514	45	8,3	562	Temperate climate	Beech forest	Robert Weigel
317	Aktru	Russia	50,083	87,782	2140	-5,2	430	Boreal climate	Alpine tundra	Roberto Cazzolla Gatti
318	Ob River	Russia	57,200	84,317	70	0,3	532	Boreal climate	Taiga forest and weatlands	Roberto Cazzolla Gatti

319	Mediterranean Shrublands	Italy	40,757	16,913	348	13,6	650	Mediterranean climate	Oak forests and shrubland	Roberto Cazzolla Gatti
320	Igloolik (Nunavut)	Canada	69,398	-81,543	15	-14,4	115	Arctic climate	Tundra	Nicolas Lecomte
321	Fendt	Germany	48,375	11,108	600	8,7	982	Temperate climate	Grassland	Ralf Kiese
322	Rottenbuch	Germany	48,175	11,642	750	8,4	1158	Temperate climate	Grassland	Ralf Kiese
323	Graswang	Germany	46,942	11,058	850	6,6	1359	Temperate climate	Grassland	Ralf Kiese
324,1	ES-SIC-BAR	Spain	40,780	-3,984	2170	9,0	599	Mediterranean climate	Alpine shrubland	Rosario G. Gavilán
324,2	ES-SIC-GUA	Spain	40,786	-3,978	2210	9,0	599	Mediterranean climate	Alpine grassland	Rosario G. Gavilán
324,3	ES-SIC-VAL	Spain	40,794	-3,961	2270	9,0	599	Mediterranean climate	Alpine grassland	Rosario G. Gavilán
324,4	ES-SIC-HEM	Spain	40,835	-3,966	2270	9,0	599	Mediterranean climate	Alpine grassland	Rosario G. Gavilán
325,01	IN-LAC, E-Ladakh/Changthang	India	33,008	78,416	5900	-7,8	250	Arid-temperate climate	Cold Himalyan Deserts, Subnival zone	Jiri Dolezal
325,02	IN-LAC, E-Ladakh/Changthang	India	32,980	78,363	5050	-3,5	150	Arid-temperate climate	Cold Himalyan Deserts, Alpine steppes	Jiri Dolezal
325,03	IN-LAC, E-Ladakh/Changthang	India	32,978	78,338	4720	-3	100	Arid-temperate climate	Cold Himalyan Deserts	Jiri Dolezal
329,01	IN-KJU-MGT	India	30,433	79,581	4254	3,1	1224	Subtropical arid climate	Alpine grassland	Sabyasachi Dasgupta
329,02	IN-KJU-GGT	India	30,456	79,580	3691	5,9	1472	Subtropical arid climate	Subalpin, Rhododendron	Sabyasachi Dasgupta

									scrub and grass land	
330,00	US-PIO	USA	45,495	-112,483	2865	10	330	Temperate climate	Northern coniferous forest	Martha Apple
331,01	RO-CRO, SE Carpathians, Rodna Mts., Rebra Peak	Romania	47,585	24,635	2250	1,6	1255	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Mihai Pușcaș
331,02	RO-CRO, SE Carpathians, Rodna Mts., Buhăiescu Peak	Romania	47,582	24,632	2200	1,6	1255	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Mihai Pușcaș
331,03	RO-CRO, SE Carpathians, Rodna Mts., Gropile Peak	Romania	47,572	24,617	2050	1,6	1255	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Mihai Pușcaș
332,01	Vole (BLA_VOL)	Norway	61,896	9,143	1100	0,3	563	Boreal climate	Alpine Tundra (low alpine lichen heath)	Dirk Wundram
332,02	Derik (BLA_DER)	Norway	61,908	9,176	1221	-0,2	629	Boreal climate	Alpine Tundra (low alpine lichen heath)	Dirk Wundram
332,03	Skurvehøe (BLA_GRA)	Norway	61,895	9,221	1365	-0,5	713	Boreal climate	Alpine Tundra (low alpine lichen heath)	Dirk Wundram
332,04	Rundhøe (BLA_RUN)	Norway	61,905	9,246	1565	-1,1	804	Boreal climate	Alpine Tundra (mid alpine lichen heath)	Dirk Wundram
333	Patagonia	Argentina	-51,916	-70,407	165	6,4	202	Arid-temperate climate	Managed grassland	Pablo Peri
334,01	IT_ADO_GRM	Italy	46,331	11,563	2199	3,3	956	Temperate climate	Grassland	Brigitta Erschbamer
334,02	IT_ADO_PNL	Italy	46,383	11,593	2463	2	1118	Temperate climate	Grassland	Brigitta Erschbamer
334,03	IT_ADO_RNK	Italy	46,383	11,605	2757	0,8	1177	Temperate climate	Grassland & scree vegetation	Brigitta Erschbamer
334,04	IT_ADO_MTS	Italy	46,524	11,814	2893	-0,2	1121	Temperate climate	scree vegetation	Brigitta Erschbamer

335,01	IT_MAV_CCR	Italy	45,690	7,564	2340	3,8	1250	Temperate climate	Grassland with occasional larch	Umberto Morra di Cella
335,02	IT_MAV_LBA	Italy	45,640	7,550	2584	1,5	1250	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Umberto Morra di Cella
335,03	IT_MAV_PPE	Italy	45,650	7,540	2790	1,7	1250	Temperate climate	Scree vegetation	Umberto Morra di Cella
335,04	IT_MAV_CM	Italy	45,910	7,690	3014	-1,7	1200	Temperate climate	Scree vegetation	Umberto Morra di Cella
336	EC_ANT	Ecuador	-0,481	-78,141	5509	8	1336	Equatorial humid climate; Montane Grasslands and Shrublands	Grassland	Priscilla Muriel
337	EC_PIC	Ecuador	-0,177	-78,599	4676	10,6	1320	Equatorial humid climate; Montane Grasslands and Shrublands	Native grassland	Francisco Cuesta
338,01	IT_CAM_MAM	Italy	42,103	14,122	2722	2,9	898	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Angela Stanisci
338,02	IT_CAM_MAC	Italy	42,054	14,100	2625	2,9	898	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Angela Stanisci
338,03	IT_CAM_FEM	Italy	42,034	14,099	2411	2,9	898	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Angela Stanisci

339,01	EC_PNP1	Ecuador	-4,109	-79,162	3311	14,5	1163	Equatorial humid climate	Native shrubland	Marina Mazón
339,02	EC_PNP2	Ecuador	-4,106	-79,162	3352	14,5	1163	Equatorial humid climate	Native shrubland	Marina Mazón
339,03	EC_PNP3	Ecuador	-4,104	-79,161	3367	14,5	1163	Equatorial humid climate	Native shrubland	Marina Mazón
340,01	La Ly	Switzerland	46,031	7,249	2351	2,6	1544	Temperate climate	Dry subalpine-alpine grassland and heath, historical grazing but no more now	Jean-Paul Theurillat
340,02	Mt Brûlé	Switzerland	46,020	7,201	2547	2,6	1544	Temperate climate	Dry alpine grassland, no grazing	Jean-Paul Theurillat
341,01	IT -NAP-MOM	Italy	44,275	10,246	1842	5,7	1269	Temperate climate	Mosaic between primary subalpine shrublands and secondary grassland	Tomaselli Marcello
341,02	IT-NAP-CAS	Italy	44,331	10,207	1960	4,8	1055	Temperate climate	Subalpine secondary grassland	Tomaselli Marcello
341,03	IT -NAP-PCA	Italy	44,204	10,699	1803	5,1	992	Temperate climate	Subalpine secondary grassland	Tomaselli Marcello
341,04	IT -NAP-FOG	Italy	44,119	10,618	1696	5,1	1065	Temperate climate	Mosaic between primary subalpine shrublands and secondary grassland	Tomaselli Marcello

343,01	IN-KAS-GUL_1	India	34,015	74,206	3470	13,4	776	Temperate climate	Treeline of subalpine forest (dominated by Betula utilis)	Anzar A Khuroo
343,02	IN-KAS-GUL_2	India	34,015	74,205	3550	13,4	776	Temperate climate	Alpine scrub grassland (dominated by Rhododendron-Juniperus)	Anzar A Khuroo
343,03	IN-KAS-GUL_3	India	34,015	74,204	3640	13,4	776	Temperate climate	Alpine scrub grassland (dominated by Rhododendron-Juniperus)	Anzar A Khuroo
343,04	IN-KAS-GUL_4	India	34,015	74,204	3690	13,4	776	Temperate climate	Alpine scrub grassland (Rhododendron-Juniperus with Rock & Scree)	Anzar A Khuroo
344,1	ES-CPY-ACU	Spain	42,637	-0,062	2242	6,9	1383	Temperate climate	Subalpine environment	Juan J. Jiménez
344,2	ES-CPY-CUS	Spain	42,650	0,032	2519	4,9	1576	Temperate climate	Alpine (inferior)	Juan J. Jiménez
344,3	ES-CPY-TOB	Spain	42,656	-0,014	2779	4,9	1590	Temperate climate	Alpine	Juan J. Jiménez
344,4	ES-CPY-OLA	Spain	42,662	0,054	3022	3,4	1621	Temperate climate	Subnival rock	Juan J. Jiménez
346,01	BO-TUC (TucCop)	Bolivia	-16,224	-68,268	4862	4,0	785	Semi-arid tropical climate	Tropical dry alpine (Subnival), Grassland (Xerophytic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses

346,02	BO-TUC (TucPat)	Bolivia	-16,209	-68,270	5058	3,6	799	Semi-arid tropical climate	Tropical dry alpine (Nival) ,Grassland (Mesic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses
346,03	BO-TUC (TucWat)	Bolivia	-16,231	-68,258	4650	5,3	749	Semi-arid tropical climate	Tropical dry alpine (subnival), Grassland (Mesic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses
347,01	BO-SAJ (SajHui)	Bolivia	-18,118	-68,962	4567	2,5	382	Semi-arid tropical climate	Semi-arid tropical, climate (Subnival), Shrubland and grassland (Xerophytic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses
347,02	BO-SAJ (SarJas)	Bolivia	-18,155	-68,862	4931	4,3	373	Semi-arid tropical climate	Semi-arid tropical, climate (Nival), Shrubland and grassland (Xerophytic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses
347,03	BO-SAJ (SajPac)	Bolivia	-18,210	-68,968	4192	2,3	377	Semi-arid tropical climate	Semi-arid tropical climate (Alpin), Shrubland and grassland (Xerophytic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses
347,04	BO-SAJ	Bolivia	-18,127	-68,936	4759	5,6	344	Semi-arid tropical climate	Semi-arid tropical climate (Subnival), Shrubland and grassland (Xerophytic Puna)	Rosa Isela Meneses
348	Rostock-ECOLINK-Salix	Germany	54,061	12,085	13	8,5	590	Temperate climate	Willow short rotation coppice	Christel Baum
349	Kaltenborn (BIOTREE)	Germany	50,778	10,224	330	7,8	650	Temperate climate	Tree plantations	Michael Scherer-Lorenzen

351	Zedelgem (FORBIO)	Belgium	51,148	3,120	15	10,1	708	Temperate climate	Tree plantations	Kris Verheyen
352	Gedinne (FORBIO)	Belgium	49,986	4,981	397	10,4	670	Temperate climate	Tree plantations	Quentin Ponette
353	Hechtel-Eksel (FORBIO)	Belgium	51,165	5,313	56	8,6	1030	Temperate climate	Tree plantations	Bart Muys
354	Uppsala -ECOLINK-Salix	Sweden	60,439	18,080	22	5,6	470	Temperate climate	Arable Land	Martin Weih
355	Kreinitz	Germany	51,386	13,262	115	8,4	575	Temperate climate	Tree plantations	Anja Schmidt
356	IDENT-Macomer	Italy	13,817	8,700	640	13,8	866	Mediterranean climate	Abandoned fields in nursery	Simone Mereu
357	Bangor Diverse	UK	53,233	-4,133	10	9	1045	Temperate climate	NA	Andy Smith
358	MyDiv	Germany	51,392	11,886	115	8,8	507	Temperate climate	Agriculture	Olga Ferlian and Nico Eisenhauer
359	BEF-China Main Experiment: Site A	China	29,124	117,908	180	17,1	1777	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Subtropical broadleaf forest	Heike Feldhaar
360	Climate-match (Hucking, Kent, UK)	UK	53,397	-0,296	44	9,3	763	Temperate climate	Formerly Arable; Ungrazed pasture	Nadia Barsoum
361	ORPHEE	France	44,740	-0,797	60	12,75	876	Temperate climate	Pine plantation	Hervé Jactel
362	IDENT-Sault Ste. Marie	Canada	46,868	-84,571	210	-0,8	327	Temperate climate	Plantation	Bill Parker
363	IDENT-Montreal	Canada	45,858	-73,928	39	6,2	976	Temperate climate	High input agriculture	Alain Paquette
364	IDENT-Auclair	Canada	48,226	-69,100	333	2,3	1015	Temperate climate	Low input abandoned agriculture	Alain Paquette

365	IDENT-Cloquet	USA	46,679	-92,520	382	2,6	717	Temperate climate	Forest	Artur Stefanski
367	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_LORIAZ_1_LORI1370	France	46,031	6,925	1359	7,1	1207	Temperate climate	Mixed forest	Amélie Saillard
368	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_LORIAZ_2_LORI1620	France	46,033	6,921	1606	6	1170	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Amélie Saillard
369	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_LORIAZ_3_LORI1800	France	46,036	6,920	1785	6	1170	Temperate climate	Coniferous forest	Amélie Saillard
370	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_LORIAZ_4_LORI1930	France	46,036	6,915	1923	4,3	1104	Temperate climate	Forest-grassland ecotone	Amélie Saillard
371	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_LORIAZ_5_LORI2130	France	46,042	6,916	2125	2,7	975	Temperate climate	Subalpine grassland	Amélie Saillard
372	LTSERZAA_ORCHAMP_LORIAZ_6_LORI2330	France	46,045	6,913	2324	2,7	975	Temperate climate	Alpine meadow	Amélie Saillard
373	FR AME CBA - Cime des Barbarottes	France	44,303	6,937	2792	0,7	508	Temperate climate	Alpine scree	Philippe Choler
374	Hwange	Zimbabwe	-19,010	26,300	1010	21,6	524	Semi-arid tropical climate	Savannah	Hervé Fritz
393	ZAHG-2 Hwange National Park – Fixed vegetation plots	Zimbabwe	-19,010	26,500	1038	21,2	546	Semi-arid tropical climate	Savannah	Hervé Fritz
394	ZAHG-3 Hwange National Park – Sinamatella Mopane	Zimbabwe	-19,010	26,500	1038	21,2	546	Semi-arid tropical climate	Savannah	Hervé Fritz
395	ZAHG-4 Hwange National Park - Main Camp Waterhole transects	Zimbabwe	-19,010	26,500	1038	21,2	546	Semi-arid tropical climate	Savannah	Hervé Fritz
396	ZAHG-5 Magoli Village – Hwange District	Zimbabwe	-19,010	26,500	1038	21,2	546	Semi-arid tropical climate	Savannah	Hervé Fritz

397	Lamto	Ivory Coast	6,217	5,030	100	26,8	2146	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Wet tropical savannah (transition tropical rain forest-Guinean savannah)	Jean-Christophe Lata
398	Comoé	Ivory Coast	9,115	-3,730	300	27,2	1096	Semi-arid tropical climate	West Sudanian savannah	Jean-Christophe Lata
399	Banco	Ivory Coast	5,394	-4,052	75	26,2	1738	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Tropical rain forest	Jean-Christophe Lata
401	Kapiti	Kenya	-1,601	37,132	1646	17,8	1004	Semi-arid tropical climate	Rangeland	Victoria Carbonell
402	Nairobi	Kenya	-1,271	16,724	1857	18,9	592	Subtropical highland climate	Grassland	Victoria Carbonell
403	ZA Armorique-Pleine Fougères	France	48,488	-1,571	93	10,6	636	Temperate climate	Forest and wetland	Romain Georges
404	ZA Armorique - Sougeal	France	48,509	-1,512	70	10,6	636	Temperate climate	Wet grassland	Romain Georges
405	ZA Armorique - Rimou	France	48,398	-1,514	26	10,6	636	Temperate climate	Grassland	Romain Georges
406,01	SN1-MBU	Switzerland	46,641	10,238	2423	0,2	1143	Temperate climate	Grassland, rock and scree, no landuse	Sonja Wipf
406,02	SN1-MCH	Switzerland	46,644	10,234	2532	0,2	1143	Temperate climate	Grassland, rock and scree, no landuse	Sonja Wipf

406,03	SN1-CUO	Switzerland	46,716	10,171	2804	0,8	1146	Temperate climate	Nival rock and scree, no landuse	Sonja Wipf
407,01	SN2-MCS	Switzerland	46,736	10,428	2412	0,1	1179	Temperate climate	Grassland, rock and scree, low intensity cow grazing	Sonja Wipf
407,02	SN2-MIN	Switzerland	46,646	10,337	2507	0,4	1105	Temperate climate	Grassland, some low shrubs, some cow grazing	Sonja Wipf
407,03	SN2-MDG	Switzerland	46,694	10,331	2785	0,8	1146	Temperate climate	Grassland, rock and scree, low intensity cow grazing	Sonja Wipf
408	Lehavim LTER	Israel	31,359	34,847	460	18,7	318	Mediterranean climate	Rangeland	Marcelo Sternberg
409	Sde Boqer	Israel	30,868	34,772	475	18,8	90	Subtropical arid climate	Rangeland	Marcelo Sternberg
410	Kenting Karst Forest Dynamics Plot	Taiwan	21,966	120,816	260	24	2637	Equatorial humid climate	Natural tropical rain forest	Chiao-Ping Wang
411	Snowy Mountain_Mt Clarke	Australia	-36,420	148,280	2041	4,5	1979	Temperate climate	Alpine grassland	Ken Green
412	Garmisch	Germany	47,473	11,063	720	8	964	Temperate climate	Grassland	Ralf Kiese
413	Esterberg	Germany	47,517	11,158	1265	6,2	1043	Temperate climate	Grassland	Ralf Kiese
414	Dinderesso Forest	Burkina Faso	11,208	-4,403	397	27,1	1014	Semi-arid tropical climate	Savanah shrub	Jean-Christophe Lata
415	Chia-Yi Litchi Orchard	Taiwan	23,151	120,469	48	23,4	2338	Semi-arid tropical climate	Agriculture(Orchard)	Chi-Ling Chen

416	Gu-Keng Litchi Orchard	Taiwan	23,623	120,617	400	21,6	2637	Semi-arid tropical climate	Agriculture(Orchard)	Chi-Ling Chen
417	Min-Jian Tea Garden	Taiwan	23,817	120,651	413	22,6	2000	Semi-arid tropical climate	Agriculture(Tea Garden)	Chi-Ling Chen
418	Načetín	Czech Republic	50,590	13,254	775	5,4	789	Temperate climate	Spruce forest	Michal Ruzek
419	Načetín	Czech Republic	50,589	13,266	805	5,4	789	Temperate climate	Natural monocultural beech forest	Michal Ruzek
420,01	Toulouse-PYGAR-Auradé	France	43,559	1,064	157	12,4	730	Temperate climate	Agriculture (grass band along stream)	Jean-Luc Probst
420,02	Toulouse-PYGAR-Auradé	France	43,558	1,069	178	12,4	730	Temperate climate	Agriculture (fallow)	Jean-Luc Probst
420,03	Toulouse-PYGAR-Auradé	France	43,555	1,071	198	12,4	730	Temperate climate	Agriculture (grass fallow)	Jean-Luc Probst
421	Toulouse-PYGAR-Baget	France	42,955	1,031	522	9,3	964	Temperate climate	Grassland	Anne Probst
422,01	Toulouse-PYGAR-Bernadouze	France	42,803	1,424	1355	5,3	1191	Temperate climate	Peatland	Thierry Camboulive
422,02	Toulouse-PYGAR-Bernadouze	France	42,804	1,418	1433	7,2	952	Temperate climate	Forest	Thierry Camboulive
423	Toulouse-PYGAR-Météo	France	43,574	1,374	157	12,7	698	Temperate climate	Grassland	Christine Delire
424	Facundo	Argentina	-45,114	-69,987	460	9,3	162	Arid-temperate climate	Shrubland	Laura Yahdjian
425	Aldea beleiro	Argentina	-45,581	-71,392	640	5,9	497	Arid-temperate climate	Grasland	Laura Yahdjian

426	Rio Mayo	Argentina	-45,386	-70,253	460	9,2	192	Arid-temperate climate	Shrub-grass steppe	Laura Yahdjian
427	Las Chilcas	Argentina	-36,276	-58,266	12	15,1	930	Warm-temperate, humid climate	Grassland	Laura Yahdjian
428	Dinghushan	China	23,167	112,167	200-350	21,9	1773	Humid-arid tropical climate	NA	Jiangming Mo
429	Latnjajaure Climate change	Sweden	68,210	18,290	1000	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
430,01	Latnjajaure height transect 900-1400m	Sweden	68,210	18,290	900	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
430,02	Latnjajaure height transect 900-1400m	Sweden	68,210	18,290	1000	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
430,03	Latnjajaure height transect 900-1400m	Sweden	68,210	18,290	1100	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
430,04	Latnjajaure height transect 900-1400m	Sweden	68,210	18,290	1200	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
430,05	Latnjajaure height transect 900-1400m	Sweden	68,210	18,290	1300	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
430,06	Latnjajaure height transect 900-1400m	Sweden	68,210	18,290	1400	-2,7	659	Arctic climate	Alpine tundra	Juha Alatalo
431	Qatar 1 Acacia	Qatar	25,510	51,413	10	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Acacia dryland	Juha Alatalo
432	Qatar 2 mangrove	Qatar	25,736	51,576	0	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid mangrove	Juha Alatalo
433	Qatar 3 Saltmarsh veg	Qatar	25,729	51,575	1	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid saltmarsh with vegetation	Juha Alatalo
434	Qatar 4 mangrove planted	Qatar	25,661	51,548	0	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid planted magrove	Juha Alatalo

435	Qatar 5 saltmarsh without veg	Qatar	25,657	51,544	1	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid saltmarsh without vegetation	Juha Alatalo
436	Qatar 6 Grass	Qatar	25,221	51,294	10	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid grassland	Juha Alatalo
437	Qatar 7 Zygophyllum	Qatar	25,233	51,294	10	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Zygophyllum dryland	Juha Alatalo
438	Qatar 8 Acacia	Qatar	25,409	51,459	10	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Acacia dryland	Juha Alatalo
439	Qatar 9 Mangrove	Qatar	25,697	51,550	0	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid mangrove	Juha Alatalo
440	Qatar 10 saltmarsh veg	Qatar	25,698	51,552	1	26,7	71	Subtropical arid climate	Arid saltmarsh with vegetation	Juha Alatalo
440,01	E. Llebreta_ PN. Aiguestortes	Spain	42,921	1,480	1683	8,8	980	Temperate climate	Mountain grass	Esperança Gacia
440,02	Aiguadasi_ PN. Aiguestortes	Spain	42,954	1,552	1898	10,5	871	Temperate climate	Peatland forest	Esperança Gacia
440,03	Portarró_ PN. Aiguestortes	Spain	42,956	1,598	2046	10,5	871	Temperate climate	Mountain grass	Esperança Gacia
442	SERC GCREW	USA	38,874	-76,547	1	12,9	1035	Temperate climate	Salt marsh	Thomas J. Mozdzer
443	PIE-LTER	USA	42,722	-70,847	2	9,5	1191	Temperate climate	Salt marsh	Thomas J. Mozdzer
444	Bylot Island	Canada	73,156	-79,972	20	-15,4	175	Arctic climate	Tundra	Vincent Maire
445	Umiujaq	Canada	56,552	-76,549	5	-5,4	525	Arctic climate	Tundra	Vincent Maire
446	Värrriö	Finland	67,755	29,610	392	-1,3	537	Boreal climate	NA	Frank Berninger
447	Kahuzi-Biega	Democratic Republic Congo	-2,315	28,753	1900	14,9	1796	Equatorial humid climate;	Natural forest (montane)	Marijn Bauters

								tropical rain forest		
448	Yoko	Democratic Republic Congo	0,295	25,302	400	24,9	1779	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Natural forest (lowland)	Marijn Bauters
449	Yangambi Arboretum	Democratic Republic Congo	0,793	24,485	400	24,5	1770	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Forest plantation	Marijn Bauters
451	Khibiny Station	Russia	67,637	33,725	320	-1,7	600	Boreal climate	Podsolic, peat	Yulia Zaika
452,01	Iskoras_Finnmark	Norway	69,417	25,612	350	-0,5	360	Boreal climate	tundra palsa mire (dry palsa w intact permafrost)	Casper T. Christiansen
452,02	Iskoras_Finnmark	Norway	69,417	25,612	350	-0,5	360	Boreal climate	tundra palsa mire (degrading palsa, degraded permafrost)	Casper T. Christiansen
452,03	Iskoras_Finnmark	Norway	69,417	25,612	350	-0,5	360	Boreal climate	tundra palsa mire (thaw pond, degraded permafrost)	Casper T. Christiansen
453,01	Galapagos WP169, Garrapatero - cinder cone	Ecuador	-0,698	-90,227	57	23,89	260	Subtropical arid climate	Semi-dry, deciduous vegetation	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
453,02	Galapagos WP171, Garrapatero - lava flow	Ecuador	-0,681	-90,225	47	23,91	276	Subtropical arid climate	Semi-dry, deciduous vegetation	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner

453,03	Galapagos WP172, Garrapatero - cinder cone	Ecuador	-0,671	-90,248	210	22,99	302	Subtropical arid climate	Sub-tropical deciduous and evergreen shrubs and small trees	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
453,04	Galapagos WP180, Garrapatero - lava flow	Ecuador	-0,670	-90,254	231	22,62	315	Subtropical arid climate	Sub-tropical deciduous and evergreen shrubs and small trees	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
453,05	Galapagos WP174, Cerro Mesa - cinder cone	Ecuador	-0,642	-90,286	497	21,56	338	Subtropical arid climate	Mosaic of sub-tropical herb, evergreen shrub and tree vegetation, semi natural	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
453,06	Galapagos WP175, Cerro Mesa - lava flow	Ecuador	-0,642	-90,289	424	21,56	338	Subtropical arid climate	Mosaic of sub-tropical herb, evergreen shrub and tree vegetation, semi natural	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
453,07	Galapagos WP184, Cerro Crocker - cinder cone	Ecuador	-0,642	-90,326	866	19,87	398	Subtropical arid climate	Sub-tropical shrub and fern vegetation	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
453,08	Galapagos WP185, Cerro Crocker - lava flow	Ecuador	-0,645	-90,328	800	19,87	398	Subtropical arid climate	Sub-tropical shrub and fern vegetation	Heinke Jäger & Franz Zehetner
454	La Gamba	Costa Rica	8,700	-83,203	80	25,2	5748	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Secondary forest	Florian Hofhansl

455	La Gamba	Costa Rica	8,705	-83,204	80	25,2	5748	Equatorial humid climate; tropical rain forest	Primary forest	Florian Hofhansl
456,01	Arctic station	Greenland	69,266	-53,464	89	-3	400	Arctic climate	Tundra	Regin Rønn
456,02	Arctic station	Greenland	69,269	-53,464	112	-3	400	Arctic climate	Tundra	Regin Rønn
457	FNQ Rainforest SuperSite, Daintree, Cape Tribulation (Rainforest)	Australia	-16,103	145,447	56	24,4	5143	Wet tropical rainforest	Natural rainforest	Michael Liddell
458	Tumbarumba Wet Eucalypt SuperSite	Australia	-35,657	148,152	1100	9,6	1274	Temperate climate	Managed wet eucalypt forest	Jacqui Stol
459	Warra Tall Eucalypt SuperSite	Australia	-43,099	146,684	100	10	1379	Temperate climate	Natural tall eucalypt forest	Timothy Wardlaw
460	Ayora	Spain	39,115	-0,950	1050	15,1	457	Mediterranean climate	Mediterranean mixed shrub	Alejandro Valdecantos
461	San Vicente Del Raspeig	Spain	38,384	-0,582	158	18	306	Mediterranean climate	Mediterranean mixed shrub	Alejandro Valdecantos
462	Albatera	Spain	38,230	-0,909	212	18,2	278	Mediterranean climate	Mediterranean mixed shrub	David Fuentes
463	Crevillente	Spain	38,244	-0,871	208	18,2	278	Mediterranean climate	Mediterranean mixed shrub	David Fuentes