



Article (refereed) - postprint

Williamson, Jennifer; Rowe, Edwin; Reed, David; Ruffino, Lucia; Jones, Peter; Dolan, Rachel; Buckingham, Helen; Norris, David; Astbury, Shaun; Evans, Chris D.. 2017. **Historical peat loss explains limited short-term response of drained blanket bogs to rewetting.** *Journal of Environmental Management*, 188. 278-286. <u>10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.018</u>

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/515666/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Journal of Environmental Management*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 188. 278-286. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.018

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

- 1 Historical peat loss explains limited short-term response of drained blanket
- 2 bogs to rewetting
- 3

4 Williamson Jennifer <sup>a\*</sup>, Rowe Edwin <sup>a</sup>, Reed David <sup>b</sup>, Ruffino Lucia <sup>b</sup>, Jones Peter <sup>b</sup>, Dolan Rachel <sup>c</sup>,

- 5 Buckingham Helen<sup>c</sup>, Norris David<sup>a</sup>, Astbury Shaun<sup>a</sup> & Evans Chris D.<sup>a</sup>
- 6
- 7 a: Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Environment Centre Wales, Deiniol Road, Bangor, UK. LL57 2UW
- 8 b: Natural Resources Wales, Maes y Ffynnon, Penrhosgarnedd, Bangor, UK. LL57 2DW
- 9 c: National Trust, Dinas, Betws-y-Coed, UK. LL24 0HF
- 10 \* corresponding author: jwl@ceh.ac.uk
- 11

# 12 Abstract

13 This study assessed the short-term impacts of ditch blocking on water table depth and vegetation 14 community structure in a historically drained blanket bog. A chronosequence approach was used to 15 compare vegetation near ditches blocked 5 years, 4 years and 1 year prior to the study with vegetation 16 near unblocked ditches. Plots adjacent to and 3 m away from 70 ditches within an area of blanket bog 17 were assessed for floristic composition, aeration depth using steel bars, and topography using LiDAR data. No changes in aeration depth or vegetation parameters were detected as a function of ditch-18 19 blocking, time since blocking, or distance from the ditch, with the exception of non-Sphagnum 20 bryophytes which had lower cover in quadrats adjacent to ditches that had been blocked for 5 years. 21 Analysis of LiDAR data and the observed proximity of the water table to the peat surface led us to 22 conclude that the subdued ecosystem responses to ditch-blocking were the result of historical peat subsidence within a 4-5 m zone either side of each ditch, which had effectively lowered the peat 23 24 surface to the new, ditch-influenced water table. We estimate that this process led to the loss of 25 around 500,000  $m^3$  peat within the 38 km<sup>2</sup> study area following drainage, due to a combination of 26 oxidation and compaction. Assuming that 50% of the volume loss was due to oxidation, this amounts 27 to a carbon loss of 11,000 Mg C over this area, i.e. 3 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>. The apparent 'self-rewetting' of blanket 28 bogs in the decades following drainage has implications for their restoration as it suggests that there 29 may not be large quantities of dry peat left to rewet, and that there is a risk of inundation (potentially 30 leading to high methane emissions) along subsided ditch lines. Many peatland processes are likely to 31 be maintained in drained blanket bog, including support of typical peatland vegetation, but infilling of 32 lost peat and recovery of original C stocks are likely to take longer than is generally anticipated.

# 33 Keywords

34 Drainage; Ditch blocking; Peatland vegetation; Restoration; Peat subsidence; Water table

## 36 **1 Introduction**

37 Blanket bogs are a distinctive peatland type characterised by landscape coverage of peat soil that is 38 anoxic, acidic, low in nutrients and dominated by peat-forming species of Sphagnum mosses and a 39 limited range of ericoids and graminoids. They are found in high-latitude, oceanic climates with high 40 levels of rainfall, including the British Isles, coastal Canada, Chile and Tasmania (Gallego-Sala and 41 Prentice, 2013). During the 20<sup>th</sup> century, many UK blanket bogs were subjected to drainage with the 42 aim of increasing their productivity for livestock grazing or plantation forestry. Deep drainage ditches 43 were dug across large areas of the UK uplands (i.e. higher-elevation areas). However, improvements 44 in productivity often proved to be marginal or non-existent (Stewart and Lance, 1983) and the ditches 45 were hazardous for stock (Wilson et al., 2011). Peatland ditches are thought to have increased peak 46 flow streamflow rates, with potential detrimental consequences for flood generation, but made little 47 difference to total runoff volumes (Robinson, 1985) and in blanket peat may only have reduced water 48 table height in sites at the lower limit of their rainfall range (Coulson et al., 1990). More recently, there 49 has been an increase in appreciation of the wider benefits provided by peatlands, including protection 50 of distinctive biodiversity, regulation of water flows, and regulating the exchange of greenhouse gases 51 such as carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  and methane  $(CH_4)$ . There has therefore been considerable interest in 52 restoring the peatlands by appropriate management interventions, most notably ditch blocking.

53 Studies of the impacts of ditch blocking on blanket peat in the UK uplands have tended to focus on 54 the effects on water table depth and on carbon efflux. Several studies demonstrated that blocking 55 ditches increased the water table in the vicinity (e.g. Armstrong et al., 2010; Cooper et al., 2014; 56 Peacock et al., 2015), although a comparison with an intact peatland in Northern England showed that 57 water tables had not recovered to background levels even six years after blocking ditches (Holden et 58 al., 2011). Water table recovery in blanket bogs is, however, usually small in magnitude, for example 59 2 cm (Wilson et al., 2010) or 9 cm (Worrall et al., 2007), whereas studies on boreal mires drained for 60 forestry have found that blocking drainage ditches increased the water table in the vicinity by 61 approximately 80 cm (Haapalehto et al., 2014). There are a number of potential reasons for this 62 difference including topography, higher hydraulic conductivity in boreal mires and the presence of 63 trees causing increased evapotranspiration on land drained for forestry.

64 Despite the importance of peatlands for biodiversity and the specialist plants and lichens they support, 65 the impact of ditch blocking on the floristic diversity of blanket bogs has been less well studied. This is 66 likely to be at least partially because changes in floristic composition may not be evident for a number 67 of years following the initial ditch blocking activity. A study in northern Scotland showed that cover of 68 species indicative of bog recovery increased where ditches had been blocked and was highest when 69 the ditches had been blocked for the longest time, i.e. 11 years (Bellamy et al., 2012). However, a 70 study in Exmoor found that the presence of drainage ditches had no effect on vegetation structure, 71 as measured in transects away from the ditch (Gatis et al., 2016). A recent study in north Wales also 72 showed that blocking drainage ditches had no consistent impact on vegetation in the 3 years following 73 blocking (Green et al., 2015). The majority of work published on the effects of ditch blocking on 74 peatland vegetation has been carried out in Scandinavia, where it has been found that ditch blocking

increased the cover of specialist bog plants such as *Eriophorum vaginatum* and *E. angustifolium* (Komulainen et al., 1999) and rich-fen species including *Sphagnum* and wetland bryophytes (Hedberg

et al., 2012). A study of rewetted forest swamp in Finland found that the water table recovered to the

78 level seen in an intact site within four years of ditch blocking, but plant communities did not recover

to the same extent, with vegetation composition being half way between sites with open ditches and
 intact sites (Maanavilja et al., 2014).

In summary, blanket bogs appear to be less responsive to drainage or re-wetting than other peatland 81 82 types. Previously, this observation has been linked to the extremely low hydraulic conductivity of 83 blanket peat, which severely restricts subsurface flow and thus the extent to which ditching is effective 84 in lowering water tables (e.g. Hoag and Price, 1995; Holden and Burt, 2003), particularly in comparison 85 to other peat types (Evans et al., 2014). In this study, however, we investigate another possible 86 contributory factor for the apparent lack of impact of ditch blocking on peatland function not 87 previously measured on blanket peat, namely subsidence, a process first noted by Holden et al. (2016) 88 as being a potential reason for small changes in water table following ditch blocking on sloping blanket 89 peatlands. One of the most consistent effects of peat drainage is accelerated decomposition of peat 90 on exposure to oxygen, which leads to a loss of organic matter within the aerobic zone. Together with 91 compaction of the peat, as the peat matrix is no longer supported by water within pores, this can lead 92 to significant lowering of the peat surface over extended periods (Lindsay, 2010). The role of 93 subsidence is well established in lowland settings, where historical drainage of raised bogs and fens 94 for agriculture have led to subsidence rates in the region of 1-2 cm yr<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in a cumulative 95 elevation changes of several metres (e.g. Hutchinson, 1980). Subsidence has also been established in the Florida peat swamps following drainage, although at a slightly lower rate of 0.4-1.5 cm  $yr^{-1}$  (Aich 96 97 et al., 2014; Hohner and Dreschel, 2015). In lowland raised bogs, the effects of ditching can extend 98 over large areas, with lowering of the peat surface detected up to 100 m either side the ditch in some 99 cases (Lindsay, 2010). On blanket bog, the undulating topography makes subsidence effects harder to 100 detect, and higher bulk density and resistance to drainage may be expected to limit its extent (Lindsay, 101 2010). Some of the clearest evidence for subsidence on blanket bogs derives from a site in Scotland, 102 where rates of around 1-2 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> were recorded during the first 30 years following drainage for plantation forestry (Shotbolt et al., 1998). In the absence of the drying and compression effects of 103 104 trees, subsidence of blanket bogs drained to increase grazing quality are likely to be smaller, but may 105 (over an extended period) nevertheless be sufficient to influence surface topography in the vicinity of 106 ditches, and could be sufficient to lower the peat surface to the new (post-drainage) level of the water 107 table.

108 To assess the effects of ditch blocking on blanket bog hydrology and vegetation, a structured survey 109 of a peatland area in Wales was carried out in the late summer of 2015. A chronosequence (i.e. space-110 for-time) approach was used to assess vegetation near ditches blocked at different times, at two 111 distances from the line of the ditch. Steel bars were installed and later retrieved to assess aeration 112 depth (cf. Bridgham et al., 1991; Carnell and Anderson, 1986; Owens et al., 2008). We tested the 113 following hypotheses: (H1) blocking drainage ditches increases the height of the water table; (H2) blocking drainage ditches results in increases in cover and prevalence of specialist bog species; and 114 115 (H3) these increases are greater close to the ditches. LiDAR surveying of the site was used to map the 116 extent of the morphological changes seen in the landscape following ditching and to put the results in 117 context of the wider area.

118

# 119 2 Methods

#### 120 2.1 Ditch Survey

121 The survey was located on the Migneint plateau in North Wales (52° 58' N 3° 48' W), an extensive area 122 of peatland at 350–500 m altitude over impermeable silicic siltstones and mudstones (Lynas, 1973) 123 receiving *ca.* 2300 mm precipitation yr<sup>-1</sup>. Areas of relatively intact peat have blanket bog vegetation 124 (*cf.* M19 *Calluna vulgaris – Eriophorum vaginatum* blanket mire) (nomenclature follows Rodwell, 125 1991), with gradations to wet heath assemblages (*cf.* M16 *Erica tetralix – Sphagnum compactum* wet

- heath) where organic horizons are shallower, and to flush assemblages (cf. M6 Carex echinata -126 127 Sphagnum auriculatum / recurvum mire) where there are minerotrophic influences. The plateau was 128 extensively drained during the 1930s and again in the 1970s, resulting in the installation of ditches 129 across nearly all peatland areas. Early ditches were mainly installed perpendicular to the contours of the hillslope by hand. Later ditches were installed mechanically, and predominately diagonally across 130 131 the hillslope. Based on a recently produced map of Welsh peat extent (Evans et al., 2015), a total area 132 of 3842 ha of peat falls within the Ysbyty Ifan estate, owned by the National Trust, which has 133 undertaken a programme of blocking drainage ditches between 2011 and 2015. Ditches in some areas 134 have not been blocked. The dates of ditch blocking were not random across the site (Figure 1), but as 135 they were largely selected on the basis of land tenancy rather than physical site characteristics, 136 blocking dates were not strongly associated with other potential sources of variation.
- 137 The locations of drainage ditches were mapped by Evans et al (2015) using digital analysis of aerial 138 photography. Locations and dates of blocking were also mapped independently during the ditch blocking process. These maps showed a good level of agreement, so ditches that had not been blocked 139 140 were selected from the Evans et al. (2015) map. Ditches were blocked in winter or spring, and those blocked in the early winter (December or November) were assigned to the subsequent year. A set of 141 25 ditches was chosen at random from each ditch age-class and from the open ditches. Some of the 142 143 sites thus selected were subsequently found to have <50 cm depth of peat and were excluded. The 144 design remained reasonably balanced – of the ditches surveyed, 20 ditches were blocked in 2011, 18 145 in 2012, 15 in 2015 and 17 were open ditches.
- 146



148 Figure 1. Locations of ditches blocked in different years (2011, 2012, 2015) or open (not blocked).



151 Ditch spacing was not regular across the whole site and the distance between ditches ranged from 10 152 m to approximately 30 m. The method used to block ditches at the site was to remove peat from 153 borrow pits adjacent to the ditch to form a dam, taking care to ensure a complete seal using dense subsurface peat in accordance with best practice recommendations (Armstrong et al., 2009), and 154 compacting the dam after formation. The dam nearest the midpoint of each length of ditch was 155 156 chosen for survey, and marked out with two  $4 \times 1$  m plots, one 0.5–1.5 m from the centre line of the ditch ('Near') and the other 2.5-3.5 m from the centre line of the ditch ('Far'), both starting 1 m 157 158 upstream of the dam (Figure 2). Both plots were situated on the same side of the ditch, on the 159 downslope side where a gradient was discernible because previous studies have recorded greater 160 water table draw-down downslope of the ditches (Cooper et al., 2014; Coulson et al., 1990). Where 161 this location was clearly disturbed and appeared to have been the source of material for the dam, the 162 plot was relocated to above an adjacent dam.

163 Vegetation composition was assessed during September and October 2015 by recording all plant and lichen species within a quadrat, together with visual estimates of cover using the Domin scale, 164 165 following the methodology of Bosanquet et al. (2013). Nomenclature for vascular plants was based on Stace (2010) and for bryophytes on Atherton et al. (2010). The cover of some species groups was also 166 recorded in the field: dwarf-shrubs, graminoids (i.e. plants in the Cyperaceae, Poaceae and Juncaceae 167 168 families), forbs, Sphagnum mosses and non-Sphagnum bryophytes. Measurements were also taken of 169 peat depth (maximum depth to which a probe could be pushed) between the two quadrats to 170 minimise disturbance to the vegetation, and ditch depth (distance from the local surface level to the 171 top of the peat or water in the ditch). Other potential factors that may differ between the plots such as slope of the site, the site aspect and site altitude were recorded in the field using a handheld GPS 172 and a compass and checked using a 50m digital elevation model in ArcGIS. 173

- 174
- 175



0.5 m from centre of stream line

- 176
- Figure 2. Layout of plots in relation to dams (brown bar) and the line of the ditch. Red dots show thelocations of the orange plot marker canes. Purple crosses show the locations of the steel bars.

## 179 **2.3 Mapping of surface topography**

180 The topography of the Migneint had previously been surveyed using LiDAR (Light Detection And 181 Ranging) data at 50 cm horizontal resolution and approximately 5 cm vertical resolution. This 182 resolution was sufficient to pick out the changes in topography caused by the presence of drainage 183 ditches. This survey was carried out in 2009 prior to any ditch blocking work on the Migneint. For each

ditch used in the vegetation survey, transects of ground surface elevation were taken from the LiDAR

mapping at 5 m intervals along the extent of the mapped ditch using ArcGIS (ESRI, 2015). Each transect 185 186 was perpendicular to the ditch line and extended 100 m each side of the ditch. These transects were 187 used to generate an average ditch transect, to eliminate potential variation caused by microtopographic variation such as hummocks and hollows. Local regression smoothing was applied using 188 189 the "loess" R package (Ripley, 2016) and used to plot the large scale topography across the 200 m 190 transect; while small-scale variation not explained by the local regression was extracted as the 191 difference between the modelled and measured peat surface. The high points of the small scale 192 variation were taken as being the inter-ditch areas; these were extracted from the plot and a further 193 local regression model was used to estimate the pre-drainage topography. The output of this model 194 was added to the original modelled topography as an estimate of pre-ditch peat surface. The lateral 195 extent of the impact of the drains on peatland topography, the cross-sectional area of peat lost 196 through ditching and the volume of peat lost per ditch were calculated from the mapped extent of the 197 ditches.

## 198 2.4 Estimation of aeration depth

199 The depth to which the peat was aerated was estimated by inserting steel rods (Figure 3), leaving 200 these for six months, and then retrieving the rod to estimate the depth of rusting, as recommended 201 in several previous studies (Bridgham et al., 1991; Carnell and Anderson, 1986; Owens et al., 2008). 202 Steel rods (rebar 500 mm length, 10 mm diameter) were inserted into the soil in September 2015 and 203 retrieved in late February 2016. One rod was inserted into each plot, at a point 1 m (near) or 3 m (far) 204 from the centre of the streamline. The distance was measured from the soil surface to the bottom of 205 the oxidised zone, which is characterised by mottling with bright orange-brown (7.5YR 5/8) and dark 206 brown (10YR 2/2) iron oxides and oxyhydroxides. Below this zone, the steel rod retained its original 207 bright grey (5Y 6/1) and dark grey (N4/0) colours, indicating that predominantly anoxic conditions 208 were maintained (Owens et al., 2008). Any small flecks of orange further down the rod were ignored 209 (Bridgham et al., 1991).



Figure 3. Steel rod extracted from peat with a high water table, showing uniform oxidation in the part

that remained above the soil, mottled oxidation in the aerobic zone of the peat, and little oxidation in the lower zone.

215

## 216 2.5 Analysis of floristic data

217 Percentage cover values were estimated from cover classes as recorded in the field for species and 218 functional groups, assuming that visual estimates were a reasonably accurate reflection of the true 219 cover (Sykes et al., 1983) and that Domin scores of 1-10 corresponded to 1%, 2%, 3%, 7%, 18%, 29.5%, 220 42%, 63%, 83% and 95% cover, respectively. Percentage cover data were arcsine transformed prior 221 to analysis and back transformed for presentation. The functional groups assessed were: dwarf 222 shrubs; graminoids; Sphagnum species; and non-Sphagnum bryophytes. Forbs do not form a major 223 component of the vegetation cover in blanket bogs, and forb cover was < 3% in all quadrats, so forb 224 abundance was not analysed.

Summary statistics were derived from the floristic observations. Mean environmental trait scores were calculated on the Moisture ('F') axis (Ellenberg et al., 1992) as recalculated for British species by Hill et al. (2000). Cover-weighting was not applied since it can introduce extra error (Kafer and Witte, 2004). We also calculated the total number of species, and the total number of 'positive indicator' species for bog habitats (i.e. species that are characteristic for this habitat) as defined in the UK Common Standards Monitoring guidance (JNCC, 2004, 2006) (Table 1).

- 232 Table 1. Indicator species: characteristic species for bog (Common Standards Monitoring "positive
- 233 indicator species"). Only species found during the survey are listed.

positive indicator species for bogs					
Calluna vulgaris	Eriophorum vaginatum	Sphagnum papillosum			
Cladonia arbuscular	Narthecium ossifragum	Sphagnum subnitens			
Cladonia furcate	Sphagnum capillifolium	Sphagnum tenellum			
Cladonia portentosa	Sphagnum cuspidatum	Tricophorum cespitosum			
Cladonia uncialis	Sphagnum denticulatum	Vaccinium myrtillus			
Drosera rotundifolia	Sphagnum fallax	Vaccinium ocycoccus			
Empetrum nigrum nigrum	Sphagnum fimbriatum	Vaccinium vitis-idaea			
Erica tetralix	Sphagnum magellanicum				
Eriophorum angustifolium	Sphagnum palustre				

## 235 2.6 Statistical analysis

All variables were were checked for conformance with a normal distribution and constancy of variance

- before analysis. Percentage cover data were arc-sine transformed prior to analysis and back transformed for presentation. Data were analysed using a mixed model, with plot as a random effect
- and blocking year and distance as fixed effects, using the nlme procedure (Pinheiro et al., 2016) within
- 240 R (R Core Team, 2015).
- 241

# 242 3 Results

- 243 3.1 Biophysical characteristics of study plots
- 244 The sites blocked at different dates were comparable in terms of peat depth and aspect (Table 2).
- 245 There was some confounding with altitude: the ditches blocked in different years had similar mean
- altitudes (between 435–460 m), but the mean altitude of the open ditches was a little lower at 425 m.
- All received similarly large precipitation rates, 2162–2664 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> (UKCIP mean annual precipitation
- 248 1961-1990) and there was no difference in mean annual precipitation between the sites.
- Ditch depth was found to be shallower in the ditches blocked in 2012 (p < 0.05) and 2015 (p < 0.01)
- compared to the open ditches, although there was no difference in ditch depth between ditchesblocked in 2011 and the open ditches (Table 2).
- 252
- Table 2: Characteristics of plots adjacent to ditches blocked in 2011, 2012 or 2015, or not blocked.
- 254 Results are shown as the mean of all sites ± standard errors.

<b>Blocking Year</b>	Peat Depth (m)	Ditch Depth (m)	Rainfall (m yr⁻¹)	Altitude (m)
2011	$1.36 \pm 0.13$	0.35 ± 0.04	$2.285 \pm 0.01$	460 ± 4
2012	$1.68 \pm 0.18$	0.26 ± 0.03	$2.363 \pm 0.02$	435 ± 6
2015	$1.36 \pm 0.14$	0.22 ± 0.04	$2.327 \pm 0.01$	444 ± 5
open	1.57 ± 0.16	$0.42 \pm 0.06$	$2.308 \pm 0.02$	425 ± 8

255

256 3.2 Effects of ditches on aeration depth

- 257 There was no indication that the aeration depth differed between the blocked and open ditches.
- 258 Contrary to expectations, the aeration depth was deeper (relative to the ground surface) with greater 259 distance from the ditch (p < 0.01) (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Aeration depth, as measured by the extent of rusting on steel bars inserted to a depth of 50 cm into the peat. Depths are expressed relative to the ground surface.

263

#### 264 3.3 Effects of ditches on vegetation

Average Ellenberg moisture scores for each quadrat are shown in Figure 5. Quadrats adjacent to ditches blocked in 2015 had lower average Ellenberg moisture scores than the quadrats blocked in 2011 (p < 0.05) but there were no further differences between the different years or between the quadrats 1 m and 3 m away from the drainage ditches in any year.

269



272 Figure 5. Effect of blocking year and distance from ditch line on Ellenberg Moisture score.



Figure 6. Effect of blocking year and distance from ditch on: a) Species richness; b) number of positiveindicator species for bog.

278

There were no effects of time since blocking or distance from ditch on species richness or on number of bog positive indicator species (p > 0.05 in all cases) (Figure 6).

The percentage cover of dwarf shrub species was higher in the plots further from the drainage ditches (p < 0.05), although for plots by ditches blocked during 2015 there was no difference in the median cover of shrubs between the near and far plots. There were no differences in the total cover of graminoids and *Sphagnum* mosses attributable to the time since ditch blocking or the distance from the drainage ditches. Percentage cover of non-*Sphagnum* bryophytes was lower (p < 0.05) in quadrats adjacent to ditches blocked in 2011 compared to the non-blocked ditches and the ditches blocked in 2015 (Figure 7).





Figure 7. Percentage cover of a) dwarf shrubs; b) graminoids; c) non-*Sphagnum* bryophytes; d) *Sphagnum*.

293

#### 3.4 Effects of drainage on subsidence in the vicinity of the drainage ditches

295 Measurements of the changes in peat height perpendicular to the drainage ditches suggests that the 296 extent of the impact of drainage extends well beyond the original ditch, with clear evidence of 297 subsidence extending approximately 4-5 m either side of each ditch on average, particularly for 298 ditches on sloping ground (Figure 8). The average cross-sectional area and total estimated volume of 299 peat lost through a combination of ditch excavation, erosion, oxidation and compaction are shown in 300 Table 3. Ditches running perpendicular to the contour line appeared to have greater affected cross-301 sectional area. If these estimates of peat loss are scaled up to the full length of mapped ditches on National Trust land on the Migneint, and the proportion of ditches running across and down the slope 302 303 is assumed to be similar to our survey subset, then the total volume of peat that has been lost from 304 the Migneint is in the region of 500,000 m<sup>3</sup> (Table 4). Assuming a pre-drainage bulk density of 0.091 g 305 cm<sup>-3</sup> (Lark et al., 2014), a 50% carbon content, and that subsidence resulted equally from oxidation 306 and compaction, based on estimates generated from temperate lowland peat (Erkens et al., 2016), the total carbon loss from the area due to 20<sup>th</sup> century drainage can be estimated at 11,375 Mg C, *i.e.* 307 308 3.0 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>.







314

Figure 8: Mapped peat surface (in black) and modelled original peat surface (in grey) showing the

extent of peat loss from the sides of three example drainage ditches. The dashed red lines show the

317 mean lateral extent of peat loss from both sides of the ditches. These are 3 representative examples

of the change in peat surface perpendicular to the drainage ditches.

319

320 Table 3: Properties of the ground surface affected by the presence of ditches.

Ditch orientation	Proportion of total ditches	Mean cross- sectional area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Mean lateral distance ground surface affected by ditch (min – max) (m)	Total lost volume through compaction and erosion (m <sup>3</sup> )
Across slope	0.34	0.92	8.7 (1.0 – 21)	2,205
Down slope	0.66	1.23	9.2 (0.5 – 24.5)	3,263

321

Table 4: Estimated total peat loss from the Migneint, assuming a similar proportion of ditches running across and down the slope to that observed in the study.

Ditch orientation	Length of ditches (m)	Total lost volume through compaction and erosion (m <sup>3</sup> )
Across slope	148,000	182,000
Down slope	282,000	353,000

324

## 325 **4 Discussion**

326 The hypotheses we formulated were based on the assumption that drainage had drawn down the 327 water table and changed the vegetation adjacent to ditches. Surprisingly, the results show that these 328 assumptions were not justified. There was no difference in aeration depth between plots near to and 329 further from open ditches (Figure. 4). There was also little discernible difference in vegetation 330 composition in plots near to and further from open ditches (Figure. 5). It is therefore unsurprising that 331 aeration depth and vegetation structure were not affected by drainage ditch blocking, although this 332 is in contrast to other studies (Armstrong et al., 2010; Cooper et al., 2014; Peacock et al., 2015) that 333 found water table recovery (albeit limited) following ditch blocking. The lack of vegetation change

334 following ditch blocking on the Migneint reflects the conclusions of Green et al. (2015) that there was 335 little change in vegetation composition in the three years following ditch blocking. Our results are also 336 comparable with the findings of Coulson et al. (1990) who found that upland blanket bogs with high 337 rainfall showed very little response in vegetation structure or water table following ditching, with the 338 water table downslope of the ditches being lowered by only approximately 3 cm. Holden et al. (2016) 339 also showed that water table depths in one area of the Migneint were shallow and spatially variable 340 prior to ditch blocking and, although blocking the drainage ditches did result in a shallower water 341 table, this was not seen in all locations.

342 The lack of change in aeration depth and vegetation cover following ditch blocking on the Migneint 343 led us to rethink our conceptual model of how drainage ditches affect the blanket peat landscape 344 (Figure 9). We now think that the initial impact of the drainage ditches was to lower the water table 345 in the vicinity of the ditches (Figure 9a) and that the newly aerated peat would have been subjected 346 to a mix of oxidation and compaction. Over time the peat would have effectively "self-rewetted" and 347 returned to a new stable state with the peat surface again close to the water table (Figure 9b). This 348 process has been seen in temperate lowland peat sites (e.g. Hutchinson, 1980; Lindsay, 2010; 349 Schothorst, 1977) and tropical peat sites (e.g. Hooijer et al., 2012; Kool et al., 2006; Wosten et al., 1997), with the impacts of oxidation and compaction following drainage being relatively well 350 351 understood in these systems. This process was briefly discussed as a potential mechanism for the 352 limited effect of ditch blocking on water table depths in blanket peat soils in Holden et al. (2016) but to our knowledge this is the first measurement of this effect in blanket peat systems. This conceptual 353 354 model of how blanket peats have responded to changes in water table depth following drainage 355 explains why our study, and several similar studies, have shown either no change or small changes in 356 water table depth following ditch blocking on blanket peat; the water table is still near to the peat 357 surface and blocking the ditch has relatively little effect as there is little dry peat to rewet.



359

Figure 9: Effects of ditching on peat and water-table profiles in cross-section: a) initial view, showing lowering of water-table due to the ditch; b) final view, also showing the lowering of the peat profile.

362

The LiDAR survey of the Migneint allowed us to examine the current topography of the site at very high resolution, meaning that small changes in elevation could be detected over a large scale. These changes in elevation adjacent to the drainage ditches suggest that, rather than the drainage ditches being ineffective at lowering the water table when they were first installed, there was a relatively rapid change in the peat surface (certainly within the 40 years following drainage ditch installation, 368 and probably early within this period) as the water table dropped adjacent to the ditches, on a smaller 369 scale but due to a similar process to the peat surface lowering seen at drained lowland peat sites 370 (Erkens et al., 2016; Lindsay, 2010) and in drained tropical peats (e.g. Hooijer et al., 2012; Kool et al., 2006; Wosten et al., 1997). This led to the compaction and decomposition of the newly drained peat 371 372 such that the peat surface returned to the lower water table. Our calculations show that the effects 373 of the ditches on the peat surface extend laterally, on average 4.5 m from the centre line of the ditch 374 and that an estimated 500,000 m<sup>3</sup> of peat have been "lost" from the landscape, with a resulting carbon 375 emission to the atmosphere of 3 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>. Measurements from tropical peats suggest that the ratio 376 of oxidation to compaction ranges from 60:40 (Wosten et al., 1997) to 92:18 (Hooijer et al., 2012), 377 which would suggest that our estimate of carbon loss is likely to be conservative if such data are 378 comparable between tropical and blanket peat. Bulk density measurements from peat cores on the 379 Migneint (R. Collier pers. com.) suggest that decomposition may account for a higher proportion of 380 volume loss in temperate blanket peats, but further study of changes in bulk density adjacent to the 381 drainage ditches would be required to increase the accuracy of the loss estimate. Although the loss of 382 carbon when these blanket bogs were drained was large, the rate of loss seems likely to have declined 383 as the peat surface lowered to within a few cm of the water table. This has implications for greenhouse 384 gas (GHG) emission calculations as it is plausible that historically drained blanket bogs now have GHG 385 fluxes similar to those at to intact sites. This is in agreement with Green et al. (2015) who found that sites on the Migneint showed no change in CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes following the blocking of drainage 386 387 ditches. If the peat has decomposed as a result of drainage then it is likely that blocking drainage 388 ditches on blanket bogs will not result in as much of a reduction in net GHG emission as has been hoped. For example, the IPCC Tier 1 emission factors for rewetted nutrient poor peats is -0.23 t CO<sub>2</sub>-389 390 C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (IPCC, 2014).

391 The change in peat surface also gives a potential explanation of why studies on peatland rewetting in 392 Scandinavia found that water tables recovered rapidly and by an order of magnitude more than the 393 differences seen in UK blanket bog studies (Haapalehto et al., 2014; Haapalehto et al., 2011; Hedberg 394 et al., 2012; Maanavilja et al., 2014; Maanavilja et al., 2015). Vegetation changes in these studies 395 indicate recovery to intact peatland vegetation (Haapalehto et al., 2014; Haapalehto et al., 2011; 396 Hedberg et al., 2012; Kareksela et al., 2015; Komulainen et al., 1999; Maanavilja et al., 2014; 397 Maanavilja et al., 2015). These sites are however lowland sites that had been drained for forestry 398 production, and the effects of drainage on vegetation composition were presumably more profound 399 than at our study site. Planting trees on peat systems is likely to greatly increase evapotranspiration 400 and water table draw-down, and it is also probable that ditches in an active forestry site are 401 maintained more actively than the drainage ditches we investigated. It is likely that Scandinavian 402 forest sites have not reached a stable state with the water table close to the peat surface.

403

## 404 **5 Conclusions**

405 This work raises a number of interesting questions regarding the efficacy of ditch blocking as a strategy 406 for peatland rewetting. It is important to note that the Migneint is a relatively intact blanket bog, and 407 the drainage ditches have largely not eroded through the peat to the mineral layers underneath, so 408 the outcomes of this may not be directly relevant to sites with extensive erosion gullies and vegetation 409 loss. For such relatively intact sites however, it seems that blocking the drainage ditches has had little 410 impact on short-term vegetation structure and water table depth. Other benefits may however result 411 from blocking drainage ditches meaning that the technique may still be a useful restoration 412 intervention, albeit for a different reason than previously considered to be the main benefit. Blocking ditches may reduce erosion and therefore improve downstream water quality. Hydrological effects of

- blocking ditches may include a reduction in peak flow rates in the streams draining the peatland.Ditches are clearly hazardous for grazing animals, and blocking them may reduce stock losses. From
- 415 previous results (Cooper et al., 2014; Peacock et al., 2013) it is plausible that the linear wet features
- 417 resulting from blocked ditches will infill with vegetation, and over time new peat will form in these.
- 418 The apparent 'self-rewetting' of blanket bogs in the decades following their drainage has implications
- for their restoration as it suggests that there may not be large quantities of dry peat left to rewet, and
- 420 that there is a risk of inundation (leading to short-term high CH<sub>4</sub> emissions) along subsided ditch lines,
- 421 particularly if they are colonised by *Eriophorum vaginatum* (Cooper et al., 2014). Without more
- significant restoration intervention, it may take much longer to infill lost peat and restore carbon
- 423 stocks than was initially anticipated.
- 424

# 425 Acknowledgments

- 426 The study was funded by the UK National Trust under project 4326, "Monitoring Migneint Blanket
- 427 Bog". We are grateful to Callum Dixon, Andrew Roberts, Kathryn Birch, Sabine Nouvet and Rachel
- 428 Harvey for fieldwork support and also acknowledge the useful comments during preparation of this
- 429 manuscript provided by Joe Holden and Andrew Baird.
- 430

# 431 **References**

- 432 Aich, S., Ewe, S.M.L., Gu, B., Dreschel, T.W., 2014. An evaluation of peat loss from an Everglades tree 433 island, Florida, USA. Mires and Peat 14.
- 434 Armstrong, A., Holden, J., Kay, P., Foulger, M., Gledhill, S., McDonald, A.T., Walker, A., 2009. Drain-
- 435 blocking techniques on blanket peat: A framework for best practice. Journal of Environmental 436 Management 90, 3512-3519
- 436 Management 90, 3512-3519.
- 437 Armstrong, A., Holden, J., Kay, P., Francis, B., Foulger, M., Gledhill, S., McDonald, A.T., Walker, A.,
- 438 2010. The impact of peatland drain-blocking on dissolved organic carbon loss and discolouration of
- 439 water; results from a national survey. Journal of Hydrology 381, 112-120.
- 440 Atherton, I., Bosanquet, S., Lawley, M., 2010. Mosses and liverworts of Britain and Ireland: A field441 guide. British Bryological Society., p. 848.
- 442 Bellamy, P.E., Stephen, L., Maclean, I.S., Grant, M.C., 2012. Response of blanket bog vegetation to
- drain-blocking. Applied Vegetation Science 15, 129-135.
- Bosanquet, S., Jones, P., Reed, D.K., Birch, K.S., Turner, A., 2013. Lowland Peatland Survey of Wales
- 445 Survey Manual., Countryside Council for Wales Staff Science Report. Countryside Council for Wales.
- Bridgham, S.D., Faulkner, S.P., Richardson, C.J., 1991. Steel rod oxidation as a hydrologic indicator in
  wetland soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 55, 856-862.
- 448 Carnell, R., Anderson, M.A., 1986. A technique for extensive field measurement of soil anaerobism
  449 by rusting of steel rods. Forestry 59, 129-140.
- 450 Cooper, M.D.A., Evans, C.D., Zielinski, P., Levy, P.E., Gray, A., Peacock, M., Norris, D., Fenner, N.,
- 451 Freeman, C., 2014. Infilled Ditches are Hotspots of Landscape Methane Flux Following Peatland Re-
- 452 wetting. Ecosystems 17, 1227-1241.
- 453 Coulson, J.C., Butterfield, J.E.L., Henderson, E., 1990. The effect of open drainage ditches on the
- plant and invertebrate communities of moorland and on the decomposition of peat. Journal of
- 455 Applied Ecology 27, 549-561.
- 456 Ellenberg, H., Weber, H.E., Dull, R., Wirth, V., Werner, W., Paulissen, D., 1992. Zeigerwerte von
- 457 pflanzen in mitteleuropa: 2nd ed. Scripta Geobotanica 18, 1-258.

- 458 Erkens, G., van der Meulen, M.J., Middelkoop, H., 2016. Double trouble: subsidence and CO2
- respiration due to 1,000 years of Dutch coastal peatlands cultivation. Hydrogeology Journal 24, 551-568.
- 461 ESRI, 2015. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10.3. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Rsearch Institute.
- 462 Evans, C.D., Page, S.E., Jones, T., Moore, S., Gauci, V., Laiho, R., Hruska, J., Allott, T.E.H., Billett, M.F.,
- 463 Tipping, E., Freeman, C., Garnett, M.H., 2014. Contrasting vulnerability of drained tropical and high-
- 464 latitude peatlands to fluvial loss of stored carbon. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 28, 1215-1234.
- 465 Evans, C.D., Rawlins, B., Grebby, S., Scholefield, P., Jones, P., 2015. Mapping the extent and condition466 of Welsh peats. Welsh Government.
- Gallego-Sala, A.V., Prentice, I.C., 2013. Blanket peat biome endangered by climate change. NatureClimate Change 3, 152-155.
- 469 Gatis, N., Luscombe, D.J., Grand-Clement, E., Hartley, I.P., Anderson, K., Smith, D., Brazier, R.E., 2016.

470 The effect of drainage ditches on vegetation diversity and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in a *molinia caerulea* 

- 471 dmoinated peatland. Ecohydrology 9, 407-420.
- 472 Green, S., Baird, A., Evans, C.D., Ostle, N., Holden, J., Chapman, P.J., McNamara, N., 2015.
- 473 Investigation of peatland restoration (grip blocking) techniques to achieve best outcomes for
- 474 methane and greenhouse gas emissions/balance. Final Report to DEFRA.
- 475 Haapalehto, T., Kotiaho, J.S., Matilainen, R., Tahvanainen, T., 2014. The effects of long-term drainage
- and subsequent restoration on water table level and pore water chemistry in boreal peatlands.
- 477 Journal of Hydrology 519, 1493-1505.
- 478 Haapalehto, T.O., Vasander, H., Jauhiainen, S., Tahvanainen, T., Kotiaho, J.S., 2011. The Effects of
- 479 Peatland Restoration on Water-Table Depth, Elemental Concentrations, and Vegetation: 10 Years of
  480 Changes. Restoration Ecology 19, 587-598.
- Hedberg, P., Kotowski, W., Saetre, P., Malson, K., Rydin, H., Sundberg, S., 2012. Vegetation recovery
  after multiple-site experimental fen restorations. Biological Conservation 147, 60-67.
- Hill, M.O., Roy, D.B., Mountford, J.O., Bunce, R.G.H., 2000. Extending Ellenberg's indicator values to a
  new area: an algorithmic approach. Journal of Applied Ecology 37, 3-15.
- Hoag, R.S., Price, J.S., 1995. A field-scale, natural gradient solute transport experiment in peat at a
  Newfoundland blanket bog. Journal of Hydrology 172, 171-184.
- 487 Hohner, S.M., Dreschel, T.W., 2015. Everglades peats: using historical and recent data to estimate
- 488 predrainage and current volumes, masses and carbon contents. Mires and Peat 16.
- Holden, J., Burt, T.P., 2003. Hydraulic conductivity in upland blanket peat: measurement and
  variability. Hydrological Processes 17, 1227-1237.
- Holden, J., Green, S., Baird, A., Grayson, R., Dooling, G., Chapman, P.J., Evans, C.D., Peacock, M.,
- 492 Swindles, G., 2016. The impact of ditch blocking on the hydrological functioning of blanket493 peatlands. Hydrological Processes.
- Holden, J., Wallage, Z.E., Lane, S.N., McDonald, A.T., 2011. Water table dynamics in undisturbed,
  drained and restored blanket peat. Journal of Hydrology 402, 103-114.
- 496 Hooijer, A., Page, S., Jauhiainen, J., Lee, W.A., Lu, X.X., Idris, A., Anshari, G., 2012. Subsidence and 497 carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences 9, 1053-1071.
- Hutchinson, J.N., 1980. The record of peat wastage in the East Anglian Fenlands at Holme Post, 1848
   1978 A.D. Journal of Ecology 68, 229-249.
- 500 IPCC, 2014. 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories:
- 501 Wetlands in: Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M., Troxler, T.G. 502 (Eds.), IPCC, Switzerland.
- 503 JNCC, 2004. Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Lowland Wetland Habitats. Version
- 504 February 2004., 61.
- 505 JNCC, 2006. Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Upland habitats. Version October 2006.
- 506 Kafer, J., Witte, J.P.M., 2004. Cover-weighted averaging of indicator values in vegetation analyses.
- 507 Journal of Vegetation Science 15, 647-652.

- 508 Kareksela, S., Haapalehto, T., Juutinen, R., Matilainen, R., Tahvanainen, T., Kotiaho, J.S., 2015.
- Fighting carbon loss of degraded peatlands by jump-starting ecosystem functioning with ecologicalrestoration. Science of the Total Environment 537, 268-276.
- 511 Komulainen, V.M., Tuittila, E.S., Vasander, H., Laine, J., 1999. Restoration of drained peatlands in
- southern Finland: initial effects on vegetation change and CO2 balance. Journal of Applied Ecology36, 634-648.
- 514 Kool, D.M., Buurman, P., Hoekman, D.H., 2006. Oxidation and compaction of a collapsed peat dome 515 in Central Kalimantan. Geoderma 137, 217-225.
- 516 Lark, R.M., Rawlins, B.G., Robinson, D.A., Lebron, I., Tye, A.M., 2014. Implications of short-range
- 517 spatial variation of soil bulk density for adequate field-sampling protocols: methodology and results
- 518 from two contrasting soils. European Journal of Soil Science 65, 803-814.
- 519 Lindsay, R., 2010. Peatbogs and Carbon: a Critical Synthesis. RSPB Scotland.
- 520 Lynas, B.D.T., 1973. The Cambrian and Ordovician rocks of the Migneint area, North Wales. Journal 521 of the Geological Society 129, 481-503.
- 522 Maanavilja, L., Aapala, K., Haapalehto, T., Kotiaho, J.S., Tuittila, E.-S., 2014. Impact of drainage and
- 523 hydrological restoration on vegetation structure in boreal spruce swamp forests. Forest Ecology and
- 524 Management 330, 115-125.
- 525 Maanavilja, L., Kangas, L., Mehtatalo, L., Tuittila, E.-S., 2015. Rewetting of drained boreal spruce
- swamp forests results in rapid recovery of Sphagnum production. Journal of Applied Ecology 52,1355-1363.
- 528 Owens, P.R., Wilding, L.P., Miller, W.M., Griffin, R.W., 2008. Using iron metal rods to infer oxygen
- 529 status in seasonally saturated soils. Catena 73, 197-203.
- 530 Peacock, M., Evans, C.D., Fenner, N., Freeman, C., 2013. Natural revegetation of bog pools after
- peatland restoration involving ditch blocking-The influence of pool depth and implications for carbon
   cycling. Ecological Engineering 57, 297-301.
- 533 Peacock, M., Jones, T.G., Airey, B., Johncock, A., Evans, C.D., Lebron, I., Fenner, N., Freeman, C.,
- 534 2015. The effect of peatland drainage and rewetting (ditch blocking) on extracellular enzyme
- 535 activities and water chemistry. Soil Use and Management 31, 67-76.
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., R Core Team, 2016. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed
   Effects Models. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme.
- 538 R Core Team, 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
- 539 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 540 Ripley, B.D., 2016. loess: Local Polynomial Regression Fitting
- 541 <u>http://127.0.0.1:27457/library/stats/html/loess.html</u>.
- 542 Robinson, M., 1985. The hydrological effects of moorland gripping a reappraisal of the Moor House
- research. Journal of Environmental Management 21, 205-211.
- Rodwell, J., 1991. British Plant Communities. Volume 2: Mires and Heaths. Cambridge University
   Press, Cambridge.
- 546 Schothorst, C.J., 1977. Subsidence of low moor peat soils in the western Netherlands. Geoderma 17, 547 265-291.
- 548 Shotbolt, L., Anderson, A.R., Townend, J., 1998. Changes to blanket bog adjoining forest plots at Bad 549 a' Cheo, Rumster Forest, Caithness. Forestry 71, 311-324.
- 550 Stace, C.A., 2010. New flora of the British Isles. 3rd Edition. Cambridge University Press.
- 551 Stewart, A.J.A., Lance, A.N., 1983. Moor-draining a review of impacts on land-use. Journal of
- 552 Environmental Management 17, 81-99.
- 553 Sykes, J.M., Horrill, A.D., Mountford, M.D., 1983. Use of visual cover assessments as quantitative
- estimators of some British woodland taxa. Journal of Ecology 71, 437-450.
- 555 Wilson, L., Wilson, J., Holden, J., Johnstone, I., Armstrong, A., Morris, M., 2010. Recovery of water
- tables in Welsh blanket bog after drain blocking: Discharge rates, time scales and the influence of
- local conditions. Journal of Hydrology 391, 377-386.

- 558 Wilson, L., Wilson, J.M., Johnstone, I., 2011. The effect of blanket bog drainage on habitat condition
- and on sheep grazing, evidence from a Welsh upland bog. Biological Conservation 144, 193-201.
- 560 Worrall, F., Armstrong, A., Holden, J., 2007. Short-term impact of peat drain-blocking on water
- 561 colour, dissolved organic carbon concentration, and water table depth. Journal of Hydrology 337,562 315-325.
- 563 Wosten, J.H.M., Ismail, A.B., vanWijk, A.L.M., 1997. Peat subsidence and its practical implications: A
- 564 case study in Malaysia. Geoderma 78, 25-36.