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QUANTIFYING GLOBAL SOIL C LOSSES IN RESPONSE TO WARMING
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Generating meaningful greenhouse gas (GHG) emission targets requires an understanding of Earth system dynamics and projections about how they will respond to global change\textsuperscript{1-3}. If anthropogenic warming stimulates the loss of carbon from the Earth’s surface into the atmosphere, it could drive additional planetary warming. Despite growing evidence that warming enhances soil carbon fluxes to and from the soil\textsuperscript{8,12}, the net global balance between these responses remains uncertain\textsuperscript{1}.

Here we present a comprehensive analysis of warming-induced changes in soil carbon stocks by assembling data from 49 field experiments located across North America, Europe and Asia. We find that the effects of warming are contingent upon the size of the initial soil carbon stock, with considerable carbon losses occurring in high-latitude areas. By extrapolating this empirical relationship to the global scale, we provide estimates of global soil carbon sensitivity that may help to constrain Earth System Model projections. Our empirical relationship suggests that global soil carbon stocks in the upper soil horizons will fall by 30 (± 30) to 203 (± 161) Pg C for 1 degree of continuous warming, depending upon the potential acclimatization rate of soil organic matter decomposition. An assumption of annual acclimation yields a conservative estimate that soil C stocks will fall by 55 (± 50) Pg C from the upper soil horizons by 2050, a value that is 12-17\% of anthropogenic emissions over this period. Despite the uncertainty in these estimates, the direction of the global soil carbon response is consistent across all acclimatization scenarios. Our analysis provides strong empirical support for the assumption that rising temperatures will stimulate the net loss of soil carbon to the atmosphere, driving a positive land carbon-climate feedback that could accelerate climatic change.

The majority of the Earth’s terrestrial C is stored in the soil and changes in the size of this C stock represent a prominent control on atmospheric C concentrations\textsuperscript{6-8}. If anthropogenic warming stimulates the loss of even a small proportion of soil C, it could drive substantive additional planetary warming\textsuperscript{7,9}. Yet, despite considerable scientific attention in recent decades, there remains no consensus on the direction or magnitude of warming-induced changes in soil C\textsuperscript{3,10}. Although there is growing confidence that warming generally enhances fluxes to and from the soil\textsuperscript{8,12}, the net global balance
between these responses remains uncertain and direct estimates of soil C stocks are limited to single-site experiments that generally reveal no detectable effects\textsuperscript{1,11–13}.

Given the paucity of direct measurements of soil C stock responses to warming, Earth System Models (ESMs) must rely heavily on short-term temperature responses of soil respiration ($Q_{10}$) to infer long-term changes in global C stocks. Without empirical observations that capture longer-term C dynamics, we are limited in our ability to evaluate model performance, or constrain the uncertainty in model projections\textsuperscript{14}. As such, the land C-climate feedback remains one of the largest sources of uncertainty in current ESMs\textsuperscript{1–3}, restricting our capacity to develop C emissions targets that are compatible with specific climate change scenarios. Direct field measurements of warming-induced changes in soil C stocks are urgently needed to increase confidence in future climate projections\textsuperscript{14}.

We take advantage of the growing number of climate change experiments around the world to compile the first global database of soil C stock responses to warming. Soil samples were collected from replicate plots in 49 climate change experiments conducted across six biomes, ranging from arctic permafrost to dry Mediterranean forests (Extended data Figure 1). We compared soil C stocks across ‘warmed’ (treatment) and ‘ambient’ (control) plots to explore the effects of temperature across sites. The measured differences in soil C stocks represent the net result of long-term changes in soil C inputs (plant production) and outputs (respiration) in response to warming. By linking these soil C responses to climatic and soil characteristics we are able to generate a spatial understanding of the temperature-sensitivity of soil C stocks at a global scale. To standardise collection protocols and account for the considerable variability in soil horizon depths, we focus on C stocks in the top 10 cm of soil. At a global scale, this upper soil horizon contains the greatest proportion of biologically active soil C by depth\textsuperscript{6}.

The effects of warming on soil C stocks were variable, with positive, negative and neutral impacts observed across sites (Figure 1). However, the direction and magnitude of these warming-induced changes were predictable (Figure 2), being contingent upon the size of
standing soil C stocks and the extent and duration of warming. The interaction between
‘control C stocks’ and ‘degree-years’ (the standardised metric to represent the
multiplicative product of the extent (°C) and duration (years) of warming) was a strong
explanatory variable when predicting warmed C stocks (additive model AIC=383 vs.
multiplicative model AIC=381; see SI and Equation 1). Specifically, the impacts of
warming were negligible in areas with small initial C stocks, but losses occurred beyond a
threshold of 20 – 40 kg C m\(^{-3}\) and were considerable in soils with ≥ 60 kg C m\(^{-3}\) (Figure
1). No other environmental characteristics (mean annual temperature, precipitation, soil
texture or pH) significantly (\(P > 0.1\)) influenced the responses of soil C stocks to
warming in our statistical models (additive environmental with degree-year model
AIC=388; see SI).

The dominant role of standing C stocks in governing the magnitude of warming-induced
soil C losses is in line with both empirical and theoretical expectations\(^2,15,16\). The thawing
of permafrost soils, where limited C decomposition has led to the accumulation of large
C stocks, will undoubtedly contribute to this phenomenon\(^17,18\). However, our analysis also
revealed considerable soil C losses in several non-permafrost regions, suggesting that
additional mechanisms may contribute to the vulnerability of large soil C stocks.
Presumably, the vulnerability of soils containing large C stocks stems from the high
temperature-sensitivity of C decomposition and biogeochemical restrictions on the
processes driving soil C inputs. In ecosystems with low initial soil C stocks, minor losses
that result from accelerated decomposition under warming may be offset by concurrent
increases in plant growth and soil C stabilization\(^12,19\). In contrast, in areas with larger
standing soil C stocks, accelerated decomposition outpaces potential C accumulation
from enhanced plant growth, driving considerable C losses into the atmosphere.

By combining our measured soil C responses with spatially-explicit estimates of standing
C stocks\(^17\) and soil surface temperature change\(^20\) (using Equation 2), we reveal the global
patterns in the vulnerability of soil C stocks (Figure 3). Given that high-latitude regions
have the largest standing soil C stocks\(^17\) and the fastest expected rates of warming\(^15,20\),
our results suggest that the overwhelming majority of warming-induced soil C losses are
likely to occur in Arctic and sub-Arctic regions (Figure 3). These high-latitude C losses
drastically outweigh any minor changes expected in mid- and lower latitude regions,
providing additional support for the idea of Arctic amplification of climate change
feedbacks\textsuperscript{15} (Figure 3). These warming-induced soil C losses need to be considered in
light of future changes in moisture stress and vegetation growth, which are also likely to
respond disproportionately to climate change in high-latitude areas\textsuperscript{15}. Notably, the spatial
distribution of soil C changes from our extrapolation contradicts projections from the
CMIP5 archive of Earth system models\textsuperscript{21}, which show increases in soil C at high
latitudes, presumably due to the increases in plant productivity\textsuperscript{22}. The warming-induced
losses of soil C that we observe have the potential to offset these vegetation responses,
emphasizing the importance of representing soil C vulnerability in the process-based
models used in climate change projections.

We extrapolated this relationship over the next 35 years to indicate how global soil C
stocks might respond by 2050. The simple extrapolation of our empirical relationship
suggests that 1 degree of warming over 35 years would drive the loss of 203 (±161) Pg C
from the upper soil horizon (Figure 3). However, this approach implicitly assumes that
soil communities never acclimatize to changes in temperature, so are likely to drastically
over-estimate total soil C losses. Indeed, as with mechanistic models\textsuperscript{23}, our assumptions
about the rate of soil C acclimatization will strongly influence the magnitude of our
predicted C losses (see Figure 3B). For example, a range of recent analyses suggest that
soil communities can acclimatize to warming within a year\textsuperscript{24–26}. If we assume annual
acclimatization to warming in our extrapolation, then approximately 30 (± 30) Pg C
would be lost from the surface soil for 1 degree (ºC) of warming. Given that global
average soil surface temperatures are projected to increase by ~2 ºC over the next 35
years under a business-as-usual emissions scenario\textsuperscript{16}, this annual time step extrapolation
would suggest that warming could drive the net loss of ~55 (± 50) Pg C from the upper
soil horizon. If, as expected, this C entered the atmospheric pool, it would increase the
atmospheric burden of CO\textsubscript{2} by approximately 25 ppm over this period.
The global extrapolation of our empirical data is broadly intended to contextualize our measured changes in soil C stocks. We stress that such statistical approaches cannot be used to project soil C losses far into the future because, unlike process-based models, they cannot capture the complex processes that govern long-term C dynamics. For example, extending the observed relationship over several centuries would lead to a global convergence of soil C stocks. Conversely, soil C stocks would increase exponentially in response to environmental cooling. Our linear extrapolation inherits weaknesses from simple single pool models, which can over-predict the magnitude of responses in the long term\(^2,27\). However, the value of such linear approximations lie in their descriptive strength rather than their predictive capabilities: instead of using short-term flux estimates to project long-term changes in C stocks, our approach allows the scaling of measured C differences over time frames (i.e. decades) represented by the experimental studies. Our results capture the realised temperature-sensitivity of current soil C stocks and can serve as a guideline (or target) for multi-pool process-based models. Specifically, these models can run forward simulations that attempt to reflect the outcomes of the warming experiments that we present. Those models which accurately capture the observed relationships between standing soil C stocks and losses under gradual step increases in global temperature are likely to be the most successful at projecting the land C-climate feedback into the future.

Our analysis reveals a number of outstanding challenges facing empiricists and modelers, which currently limit the certainty of current land C-climate feedback predictions (see Supplementary Table 1). These limitations fall into two distinct categories, as more data are necessary to improve (i) our current global estimates of soil C temperature sensitivity, and (ii) modelling efforts to project these soil C responses into the future. First, along with the limited spatial and temporal scale of current warming experiments, perhaps the most critical limitation to our present analysis is the paucity of information about the responses of soil C stocks at depth (below 10 cm). Although the size of C stocks decrease down the soil profile\(^28\), any additional C losses from these deeper soil horizons will undoubtedly enhance the effects we present. Second, incorporating global soil C information into modelling frameworks requires a mechanistic understanding of how
warming affects each of the individual components of the ecosystem C cycle. Now that we are beginning to generate a global picture of the temperature-sensitivity of soil C losses (respiration)\(^8\) and total C stocks, our limited understanding of how warming influences global soil C inputs remains a major outstanding source of uncertainty for modelling efforts\(^1,22\). These efforts also require more information about the interacting effects of other global change factors that may simultaneously influence soil C dynamics. This non-exclusive set of practical challenges calls for concerted, coordinated investment in multi-factor climate change experiments for an extended period of time to generate the data necessary to improve confidence in future climate projections.

In conclusion, our global compilation of experimental data allows us to see past the conflicting results from single-site studies and capture larger patterns in the sensitivity of soil C to warming. The warming-induced changes in soil C stocks reflect the net result of changes in C fluxes into and from the soil, which can augment modelling efforts to project Earth system dynamics into the future. Ultimately, our analysis provides empirical support for the long-held concern that rising temperatures stimulate the loss of soil C into the atmosphere, driving a positive land C-climate feedback that could accelerate planetary warming over the 21\(^{st}\) century. Reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are essential if we are to avoid the most damaging impacts of the land C-climate feedback over the rest of this century.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: The effect of warming on soil C losses depends on the initial standing soil C stock. The interaction between warming (degree-years) and standing C stocks is a primary determinant of final warmed soil C stocks (estimated using a mixed effects model; n = 229; see SI). Here, each point represents the difference (mean±SE) between soil C stocks in warmed and ambient plots within an individual experiment. The size of points represents the length of each individual study, and the colour indicates the amount
of warming. The shaded area represents the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval ($R^2 = 0.49$: see supplemental for details).

**Figure 2: Validation plots highlighting the predictive strength of the statistical model.** Plate A: predicted vs. observed soil C stock values in warmed treatment plots (estimated using statistical Equation 1: $R^2 = 0.95$ – high value is driven by the correlation between C values in control and warmed plots). Black points represent mean values for each study, and the coloured area represents the density of 1000 simulated points randomly selected from within the normal distribution for each study. The 1:1 line is included to highlight perfect correspondence between predicted and observed points and distributions. Plate B: Bootstrapped estimates of model (Equation 2) slope values for different sample sizes. Studies were removed at random, the slope coefficient was calculated and this was repeated 1000 times. Each point represents a bootstrapped estimate of slope for the model that included any given number of studies, and we include the interquartile range and median slope estimates at each number. The average slope value remains unchanged until >38 studies have been removed from the initial analysis (with 49 studies), highlighting that the relationship we present is not disproportionately influenced by the effects of warming in any specific study(s) or site(s).

**Figure 3: Spatial and temporal extrapolation of the temperature-vulnerability of soil C stocks.** Plate A: Map of soil C vulnerability to warming. This map was generated by extrapolating Equation 2 (i.e. the no-acclimation scenario) using spatially explicit estimates of soil C stocks$^{17}$, and soil surface temperature change$^{20}$, and reveals the spatial variation in projected surface soil C stock changes (0-15 cm) expected under a 1°C rise in global average soil surface temperature. Panel B: Total reductions in the global C pool under a 1, and 2°C global average soil surface warming by 2050, as expected under a full range of different soil acclimatization scenarios (x axis). Shaded areas indicate 95% confidence intervals around the average C losses (dots) for each scenario. The rapid acclimatization scenarios (e.g. 1 week – 1 year) result in lower total soil C losses than the no acclimatization scenario, but all simulations reveal considerable global losses of soil C under warming over the next 35 years. Note that our map predicts some C gains in desert.
regions that currently contain almost no soil C. Removing these biochemically questionable responses would marginally enhance the size of the global C losses reported in Panel B.

METHODS

Data collection and standardisation

Total percentage C and bulk density (BD) data (n=456) were collected from each of the replicated warmed and ambient plots within 49 experimental warming studies located across North America, Europe and Asia. In several of these sites, it was not possible to access these data for deeper soil horizons. Therefore, we standardised collection protocols and account for the considerable variability in soil horizon depths by focusing on the top 10 cm of soil, which contains the majority of the biologically active C. Soil C stocks were then calculated for each plot (percentage C * BD / 100), and expressed as the total mass of C (kg m⁻³ soil) in each plot. Metadata for each study included the mean annual difference in soil surface temperature between warmed and ambient plots and the duration of experimental warming. These were multiplied together to generate the standardised metric ‘degree-years’, (reflecting the extent and duration of warming) to permit the comparison of warming effects across sites. Other collected data included a site-specific geospatial reference (latitude and longitude), which was linked to spatially-explicit estimates of soil characteristics (pH and texture using the SoilGrids database¹⁷) and climate (using the Bioclim database) following Crowther et al.²⁹. These climate and soil characteristics were then used to explore the dominant controls on soil C stock sensitivity to warming across our global compilation of experimental studies.

Some of the climate change studies in this analysis contained multiple separate warming experiments. Degree-years and soil C were calculated independently for each study within a site, but all other environmental data were shared. In addition, some sites included multi-factor climate change studies. For these studies, ambient and warmed
plots were only compared under equivalent experimental conditions so that all other conditions remained consistent between treatments.

**Statistical analysis**

We fitted linear mixed models (LMMs) to evaluate the factors that correlate with the measured soil C stocks following warming. Study site was included as a random factor because clustering replicates by location could introduce spatial autocorrelation. The LMMs were fit assuming a Gaussian error distribution in the ‘lme4’ package for the R statistical program. We constructed LMMs that included all of the putative explanatory variables to explain warmed soil C stocks including treatment variables (degrees warmed and degrees warmed across years of study (degree-years)), and environmental characteristics (Standing soil C stocks (control C stocks), Mean Annual Temperature (MAT), Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP), pH (as H$^+$ ion concentration) and soil texture (with percentage clay as the representative variable)). Given the markedly different ranges in magnitudes of the explanatory variables at a global scale, variables were standardised using a z-transformation prior to use in final models, though the response variable (soil C stock) was not standardised. Further, given positive skew in the distributions of degrees, degree-year and control soil C, these variables were also natural-log transformed. Neither of these data transformations significantly altered the statistical outputs, so were retained in final models. The only independent variables that were strongly correlated (pairwise coefficients >0.4) were MAT and MAP, and MAT and percentage clay.

Model selection was performed using maximum likelihood comparison of competing models (see SI), using Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) approaches providing identical results. Only warming (degrees and degree-years) and standing C stock (control soil C) were the most parsimonious final models, (full model AIC=381 vs. final model AIC=372; Tables S6, S7) and the best-fit model included an interaction between these two variables (additive model AIC=375 vs. multiplicative model AIC=372; Table S7). All reported $P$-values are quasi-Bayesian, rather than the classical frequentist $P$-values, but retain the same interpretation. We
considered coefficients with $P<0.05$ significant and coefficients with $P<0.10$ marginally significant. Variance explained by the model was also estimated by calculating $R^2$ values for the minimally-adequate LMM following Nakagawa and Schielzeth to retain the random effects structure.

The final statistical model was:

$$C_w = a \cdot C_c \cdot (\Delta T \Delta t) + b \cdot C_c + d \cdot (\Delta T \Delta t) + \varepsilon$$

(Eqn 1)

where $C_w$ is the carbon stock in the warmed treatment, $C_c$ the carbon stock in the control plots, $\Delta T \Delta t$ the degree-years calculated by multiplying the degrees warmed times the length of the treatment, $\varepsilon$ the random effects term controlling for study site (see SI), and $(a, b, d)$ represent fitted coefficients for the statistical model.

**Statistical model development**

To scale the changes in soil C stocks, we re-arranged our statistical equation in order to describe the relationship between standing soil C stocks (control C stocks) and warming (degree-years) over time:

$$\frac{C_w - C_c}{\Delta T \cdot \Delta t} = f \cdot C_c + g$$

(Eqn 2)

where $C_w$ is the carbon stock in the warmed treatment, $C_c$ the carbon stock in the control plots, $\Delta T \Delta t$ the degree-years calculated by multiplying the degrees warmed times the length of the treatment. This new model explained a considerable proportion ($R^2=0.606$; SI Table 7) of the difference in soil C stocks between studies over treatment. This is further highlighted in Figure 2.

We used sample-based bootstrapping (as opposed to the study-based bootstrapping in Figure 2b) to evaluate the strength of this simple statistical relationship and to generate a margin of error for global soil C stock projections. Equation 1 was extrapolated with 95%CI bounds by randomly selecting 200 samples from all studies, randomising the
control-warmed pairings, and repeating the regression 1000 times. This resulted in normally distributed parameters (see SI Table 4) with the following 95% CI. The intercept-slope pairs were then sampled to create the grey margin of error seen in Figure 1.

The inclusion of a linear effect of ‘time’ in our analysis implicitly assumes that soils never acclimatize to warming. However, recent studies suggest that soils can acclimatize to warming within an annual time-frame\textsuperscript{24–26}, so the assumption of no acclimatization is likely to over-estimate total soil C losses. To explore the importance of this acclimatization assumption in determining the magnitude of soil C losses in our extrapolation, we repeated the analysis across a full range of acclimatization scenarios.

To simulate different acclimatization rates, we successively capped the study years (or experiment duration) at 1 week, 1 month, 6 months, and 1, 5, 7, 8.75, 11.6, 17.5 years, then re-ran the linear regression described above (Eqn 2) with the sample-based bootstrapping. The resulting coefficients are in SI Table 4.

**Extrapolation**

To estimate changes in global soil C stocks under projected warming scenarios we applied linear changes in soil temperature that result in 1 or 2°C mean warming by 2050 (35 years) that is spatially distributed in a manner consistent with surface soil temperature projections from a single ensemble of the Community Earth System Model (CESM) that was submitted to the CMIP5 archive under RCP8.5 run from 2005 to 2050. We estimated initial soil C stocks in the upper soil horizon (0-15 cm) from the SoilGrids 50-km\textsuperscript{2} product\textsuperscript{17}, that was regridded using bilinear interpolation to the same spatial scale of soil surface temperature projections (roughly 1 degree).

The temporal extrapolations across the 35 years (until 2050) were applied separately for each of the possible acclimatization scenarios described above. First, the single time step approach used the coefficients listed above and illustrated in Figure 1 to generate a 95% confidence interval for projected C losses. On average, roughly 17.5 degree-years and 35 degree-years were seen cumulatively across the globe for the 1 and 2°C warming
scenarios, respectively. The exact warming seen by any individual grid was determined by their relative temperature shifts predicted by the CESM run described above. Each subsequent acclimatization scenario was then extrapolated using a given time step for a forward integration where the change in soil C over that time was based on the soil C stock at the beginning and the degree-year change experienced by that site over the duration of at respective time step. For example, the 1-year acclimation scenario used the coefficients from the analysis where or experimental duration was capped at 1 year (see SI, Table 4), and was extrapolated to 2050 using the sum of 35 annual time steps. The predicted soil C losses for a global average warming of 1 and 2°C by 35 years, based on each of the full range of acclimatization scenarios, is presented in Figure 3B. This reveals how our assumption about acclimatization time influences the magnitude of our final expected C losses.

The R code for the full analysis can be found in the Supplementary Material.
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Extended data table 1: List of current limitations in the availability of global data that restrict confidence in our current understanding of the land C-climate feedback. Each of these limitations represents a practical challenge that can be adressed by empiricists to improve the accuracy of benchmarking estimates or to parameterize process-based
Extended Data Figure 1: Map of study locations. The size of points represents the number of separate warming experiments at that location and colour indicates the biome, as delineated by The Nature Conservancy (http://www.nature.org).

Extended Data Figure 2: Extended extrapolation of our linear model that illustrates some of the limitations of this statistical scaling approach. Figures show soil C projections for initial stocks under (A) 1 degree warming per decade, which converge on the same soil C stocks; or (B) 2 degree cooling per decade, which show exponential increases in soil C stocks. Although both of these responses are unrealistic, we note the time scales (and amount of warming) needed to observe such dynamics are well outside the range of observed manipulations or climate change projections. This highlights that our extrapolation cannot represent a substitute for process-based models, which capture long-term C dynamics. However, under more realistic warming (< 5 degrees C) our extrapolation makes plausible projections over decadal time scale that represent the current temperature sensitivity of soil C stocks.
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R2 and p-value of the control soil carbon stock and degree-years or degrees to explain warmed soil carbon stocks, the difference between warmed and control soil carbon stocks, and the rate of change of soil carbon stocks per degree-year across samples and studies.  

95%CI of the coefficients and R2 of the change in soil carbon stocks (warmed-controlled) per degree-year explained by the control soil carbon stock [kg-C m\(^{-3}\)], constructed from samples. The type key is as follows: dCperDeg is the change in carbon stock regressed against the degree-year, dCperDeg is the change in carbon stock regressed against the degrees warmed, and the time notates a dC per degree-year where study times were capped at the stated time (ie for yr1 any study that ran longer than one year was set to one year and then the change in carbon stock against degrees warmed was calculated).  

Global soil carbon change across acclimatization assumptions. Type is analogous to the key described above. Global warming is warming applied linearly over 35 years. Time step is the size of the time step used in the numerical integration. dC is the change in the soil carbon stock for the 5% quantile, 50% quantile, and 95% quantile respectively calculated from the parameter ranges described above.  

Description of study sites including mean annual temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), soil pH, and soil percent clay (perClay). For standardization purposes, all climate data were collected from BioClim and all soil data were collected from SoilGrids.  

Mean soil carbon [kg-C m\(^{-3}\)] values across control study site with number of samples in each study for the control plots.  

Mean soil carbon [kg-C m\(^{-3}\)] values across warmed study site with number of samples in each study for the warmed plots, their warming treatment [C], and length of treatment [years].  

Biome of study sites. For standardization purposes, biome allocations were generated using the UNEP biomes map.  

### LMER model selection

There were several LMER models which were considered as follows:

```r
l_ply(names(lmer.list), function(xx){
  cat('---------------------
', xx, '---------------------
')
  print(summary(lmer.list[[xx]]))
  cat('
')
})
```

---

## simple

**Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']**

**Formula:** C.warmed ~ C.control + (1 | Study)

**Data:** data.sample.plus.rescaled

**REML criterion at convergence:** 355.9

**Scaled residuals:**

- **Min**: -4.5629
- **1Q**: -0.3810
- **Median**: 0.0790
- **3Q**: 0.5306
- **Max**: 3.5029

**Random effects:**

- **Groups**
  - **Name**: Variance Std.Dev.
    - Study (Intercept): 0.008552 0.09248
    - Residual: 0.267455 0.51716
## Number of obs: 225, groups: Study, 47

## Fixed effects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>0.16748</td>
<td>0.05222</td>
<td>3.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.control</td>
<td>0.83498</td>
<td>0.03683</td>
<td>22.671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Correlation of Fixed Effects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(Intr)</th>
<th>C.control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intr)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## additive.dT

Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: C.warmed ~ C.control + Tdelta + (1 | Study)
Data: data.sample.plus.rescaled

REML criterion at convergence: 360.5

Scaled residuals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min</th>
<th>1Q</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>3Q</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4.5572</td>
<td>-0.3849</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.5225</td>
<td>3.4958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Std.Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>0.01022</td>
<td>0.1011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>0.26726</td>
<td>0.5170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## additive.all

Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: C.warmed ~ C.control + MAP + MAT + pH + degYr + perClay + (1 | Study)
Data: data.sample.plus.rescaled

REML criterion at convergence: 372.7

Scaled residuals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min</th>
<th>1Q</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>3Q</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-4.5934</td>
<td>-0.3706</td>
<td>0.0626</td>
<td>0.4693</td>
<td>3.5707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Std.Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>0.01607</td>
<td>0.1268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Residual 0.26328 0.5131
## Number of obs: 225, groups: Study, 47
##
## Fixed effects:
##                     Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept)        0.16429   0.10930  1.503
## C.control          0.81814   0.04336 18.867
## MAP                 0.09615   0.08783  1.095
## MAT                -0.11018   0.07926 -1.390
## pH                 0.02757   0.06851  0.402
## degYr              -0.04959   0.04116 -1.205
## perClay            0.05873   0.06837  0.859
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##                (Intr) C.cntr MAP MAT pH degYr
## C.control      -0.318
## MAP            -0.450 -0.327
## MAT            -0.004  0.237 -0.666
## pH             -0.638 -0.145  0.710 -0.268
## degYr          -0.313 -0.132  0.064  0.067  0.142
## perClay        0.236  0.256 -0.340 -0.251 -0.589 -0.318
##
## ------------ addative.enviro ---------------
## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: C.warmed ~ C.control + MAP + MAT + pH + perClay + (1 | Study)
## Data: data.sample.plus.rescaled
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 369.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##                Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -4.5907 -0.3791  0.0774  0.4715  3.5187
##
## Random effects:
## Groups     Name       Variance Std.Dev.
## Study      (Intercept) 0.02268 0.1506
##             Residual    0.25938 0.5093
## Number of obs: 225, groups: Study, 47
##
## Fixed effects:
##                     Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept)         0.14078   0.10887  1.293
## C.control           0.79712   0.04424 18.016
## MAP                 0.11242   0.09108  1.234
## MAT                -0.11841   0.08329 -1.422
## pH                 0.04011   0.07078  0.567
## perClay            0.03427   0.06789  0.505
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##                (Intr) C.cntr MAP MAT pH
## C.control      -0.375
## MAP            -0.458 -0.317
## MAT            0.003  0.243 -0.662
## pH             -0.637 -0.124  0.710 -0.271
## perClay  0.165  0.222 -0.334 -0.260 -0.576
#
## ------------ addative.treat ---------------
## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: C.warmed ~ C.control + degYr + (1 | Study)
## Data: data.sample.plus.rescaled
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 359.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -4.5558 -0.4998 0.0856 0.5315 3.5699
##
## Random effects:
## Groups   Name   Variance Std.Dev.
## Study    (Intercept) 0.005076  0.07125
## Residual                   0.270188  0.51980
## Number of obs: 225, groups: Study, 47
##
## Fixed effects:
## Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept) 0.19959  0.06188  3.225
## C.control   0.84291  0.03613 23.329
## degYr       -0.04100  0.03625 -1.131
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##        (Intr) C.cntr
cnt C.control -0.560
## degYr      -0.563 -0.032
##
## ------------ interactive ---------------
## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: C.warmed ~ C.control * degYr + (1 | Study)
## Data: data.sample.plus.rescaled
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 358.9
##
## Scaled residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -4.5838 -0.3893 0.0504 0.5100 3.4128
##
## Random effects:
## Groups   Name   Variance Std.Dev.
## Study    (Intercept) 0.006219  0.07886
## Residual                   0.263818  0.51363
## Number of obs: 225, groups: Study, 47
##
## Fixed effects:
## Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept) 0.13997  0.06706  2.087
## C.control   0.91640  0.04852 18.887
## degYr       0.03077  0.04725  0.651
## C.control:degYr -0.08262  0.03538 -2.335
##
Comparing the BIC scores between the models, the simple regression between the carbon stock in the warmed plots and the mean carbon stock of the control plots has the best score. The model with the addative degree-years or degrees preforms best if we want more then just the basic correlation. There is no notable difference between degree-years and degrees as a determinent for warmed soil carbon stocks.

```r
pander(anova(lmer.list$simple, lmer.list$addative.treat, lmer.list$addative.dT, lmer.list$addative.enviro, lmer.list$addative.all), caption='Model fits comparing the statistical power gained by of treatment (degree-Years, and degree; addative.treat and addative.dT respectively) vs enviromental variables (MAT, MAP, and pH; addative.enviro) vs all variables include (addative.enviro) to explaining warmed soil carbon stocks.')
```

## refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)
Table 1: Model fits comparing the statistical power gained by treatment (degree-Years, and degree; addative.treat and addative.dT respectively) vs environmental variables (MAT, MAP, and pH; addative.enviro) vs all variables include (addative.enviro) to explaining warmed soil carbon stocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>logLik</th>
<th>deviance</th>
<th>Chisq</th>
<th>Chi Df</th>
<th>Pr(&gt;Chisq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$simple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>354.4</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>-173.2</td>
<td>346.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$addative.treat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>372.1</td>
<td>-172.5</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$addative.dT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>356.3</td>
<td>373.4</td>
<td>-173.2</td>
<td>346.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$addative.enviro</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>360.3</td>
<td>387.6</td>
<td>-172.1</td>
<td>344.3</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$addative.all</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>360.2</td>
<td>390.9</td>
<td>-171.1</td>
<td>342.2</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The interactive model has both a better AIC and BIC score than even the simple regression. Thus the interactive model is the most parsimonious.

```r
pander(anova(lmer.list$interactive, lmer.list$interactive.dT, lmer.list$addative.treat, lmer.list$simple), caption='Model fits comparing the statistical power gained by multiplicative vs addative models using the controlled soil carbon stocks and degree-years or degrees warmed to explain warmed soil carbon stocks. The interactive degree-years model (interactive) significantly better then the alternative models (interactive.dT, addative.treat, and simple) considered."
```

## refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)

Table 2: Model fits comparing the statistical power gained by multiplicative vs addative models using the controlled soil carbon stocks and degree-years or degrees warmed to explain warmed soil carbon stocks. The interactive degree-years model (interactive) significantly better then the alternative models (interactive.dT, addative.treat, and simple) considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>logLik</th>
<th>deviance</th>
<th>Chisq</th>
<th>Chi Df</th>
<th>Pr(&gt;Chisq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$simple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>354.4</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>-173.2</td>
<td>346.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$addative.treat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>372.1</td>
<td>-172.5</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$interactive</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>351.5</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>-169.8</td>
<td>339.5</td>
<td>5.466</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmer.list$interactive.dT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>376.5</td>
<td>-172</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Linear regression models

```r
pander(merge(subset(modelFits, data=='data.sample', select=-data),
    subset(modelFits, data=='data.study', select=-data),
    by=c('model'), suffixes=c('.sample', '.study'))[,c('model', 'adjR2.sample', 'pvalue.sample', 'adjR2.study', 'pvalue.study')]
```

Table 3: R2 and p-value of the control soil carbon stock and degree-years or degrees to explain warmed soil carbon stocks, the difference between warmed and control soil carbon stocks, and the rate of change of soil carbon stocks per degree-year across samples and studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>adjR2.sample</th>
<th>pvalue.sample</th>
<th>adjR2.study</th>
<th>pvalue.study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(C.warmed - C.control)/(Years * Tdelta) ~ C.control</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>4.16e-09</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>1.4e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C.warmed - C.control)/Tdelta ~ C.control</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>3.38e-08</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>2.37e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.warmed - C.control ~ C.control * degYr</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>6.13e-27</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>8.22e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.warmed - C.control ~ C.control * Tdelta</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>3.28e-23</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>4.32e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.warmed ~ C.control * degYr</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>1.61e-70</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>1.36e-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.warmed ~ C.control * Tdelta</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>8.98e-67</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>7.51e-29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI for parameter range

```r
ggplot(melt(dCperDegYr.boot)) + geom_histogram(aes(x=value)) + facet_wrap(~variable, scales='free') + theme(axis.text=element_text(angle = 45, hjust = 1))
```

## No id variables; using all as measure variables
```r
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.```
Table 4: 95%CI of the coefficients and R2 of the change in soil carbon stocks (warmed-controlled) per degree-year explained by the control soil carbon stock [kg-C m⁻³], constructed from samples. The type key is as follows: dCperDegYr is the change in carbon stock regressed against the degree-year, dCperDeg is the change in carbon stock regressed against the degrees warmed, and the time notates a dC per degree-year regression where study times were capped at the stated time (ie for yr1 any study that ran longer than a year was set to one year and then the change in carbon stock against degree-year was calculated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>intercept</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>p.value</th>
<th>adj.r.squared</th>
<th>r.squard</th>
<th>qrt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 dCperDegYr</td>
<td>0.8192</td>
<td>-0.04441</td>
<td>3.643e-10</td>
<td>0.1031</td>
<td>0.1076</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>-0.0384</td>
<td>4.189e-08</td>
<td>0.1379</td>
<td>0.1423</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>type</td>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>p.value</td>
<td>adj.r.squared</td>
<td>r.squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>-0.03213</td>
<td>2.344e-06</td>
<td>0.1777</td>
<td>0.1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>2.774</td>
<td>-0.2133</td>
<td>8.372e-09</td>
<td>0.06361</td>
<td>0.06836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>4.874</td>
<td>-0.1824</td>
<td>4.51e-07</td>
<td>0.1175</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>5.881</td>
<td>-0.1063</td>
<td>0.0001975</td>
<td>0.1518</td>
<td>0.1561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>155.4</td>
<td>-11.08</td>
<td>9.189e-09</td>
<td>0.06995</td>
<td>0.07467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>253.8</td>
<td>-9.541</td>
<td>4.464e-07</td>
<td>0.1176</td>
<td>0.1221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>308.9</td>
<td>-5.75</td>
<td>9.814e-05</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.1553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>-2.526</td>
<td>9.691e-09</td>
<td>0.06239</td>
<td>0.06715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>58.24</td>
<td>-2.197</td>
<td>4.341e-07</td>
<td>0.1179</td>
<td>0.1224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>70.01</td>
<td>-1.308</td>
<td>0.0002275</td>
<td>0.1502</td>
<td>0.1546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>5.993</td>
<td>-0.4244</td>
<td>6.101e-09</td>
<td>0.06972</td>
<td>0.07444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>9.759</td>
<td>-0.3667</td>
<td>3.105e-07</td>
<td>0.1206</td>
<td>0.1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>-0.2235</td>
<td>0.0001005</td>
<td>0.1544</td>
<td>0.1587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>2.981</td>
<td>-0.2169</td>
<td>4.087e-09</td>
<td>0.06974</td>
<td>0.07446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>4.985</td>
<td>-0.1872</td>
<td>2.859e-07</td>
<td>0.1214</td>
<td>0.1258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>6.033</td>
<td>-0.1146</td>
<td>0.0001002</td>
<td>0.1577</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
<td>-0.05858</td>
<td>4.812e-10</td>
<td>0.09862</td>
<td>0.1032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>-0.05089</td>
<td>2.455e-08</td>
<td>0.1425</td>
<td>0.1468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>-0.03831</td>
<td>3.894e-06</td>
<td>0.1756</td>
<td>0.1798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>0.9378</td>
<td>-0.05189</td>
<td>2.107e-10</td>
<td>0.1067</td>
<td>0.1113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>-0.04502</td>
<td>1.102e-08</td>
<td>0.1494</td>
<td>0.1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>1.429</td>
<td>-0.03665</td>
<td>1.569e-06</td>
<td>0.1824</td>
<td>0.1865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>0.8782</td>
<td>-0.04833</td>
<td>1.532e-10</td>
<td>0.1059</td>
<td>0.1104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>-0.04217</td>
<td>1.219e-08</td>
<td>0.1485</td>
<td>0.1529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td>-0.03467</td>
<td>1.692e-06</td>
<td>0.1848</td>
<td>0.1889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>yr11.6</td>
<td>0.8299</td>
<td>-0.04577</td>
<td>3.323e-10</td>
<td>0.1056</td>
<td>0.1102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Global Extrapolations

Global soil carbon change across acclimatization assumptions. Type is analogous to the key described above. Global warming is the average global warming applied linearly over 35 years. Time step is the size of the time step used in the numerical integration. $dC$ is the change in the soil carbon stock for the 5% quantile, 50% quantile, and 95% quantile respectively calculated from the parameter ranges described above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>globalWarming</th>
<th>warmingDistribution</th>
<th>timeStep</th>
<th>dC_qrt05</th>
<th>dC_qrt50</th>
<th>dC_qrt95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-131</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

temp <- subset(resultsTable, globalWarming %in% c(1,2), c('type', 'globalWarming', 'warmingDistribution')
row.names(temp) <- NULL
pander(temp, caption = 'Global soil carbon change across acclimatization assumptions. Type is analogous to the key described above. Global warming is the average global warming applied linearly over 35 years. Time step is the size of the time step used in the numerical integration. $dC$ is the change in the soil carbon stock for the 5% quantile, 50% quantile, and 95% quantile respectively calculated from the parameter ranges described above.', round = c(1, 1, 1, 3, 0, 0))
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>globalWarming</th>
<th>warmingDistribution</th>
<th>timeStep</th>
<th>dC_qrt05</th>
<th>dC_qrt50</th>
<th>dC_qrt95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-129</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-201</td>
<td>-116</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-290</td>
<td>-167</td>
<td>-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-394</td>
<td>-227</td>
<td>-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr11.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-125</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr17.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-177</td>
<td>-104</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-392</td>
<td>-224</td>
<td>-48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-87</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-131</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-127</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-195</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>globalWarming</td>
<td>warmingDistribution</td>
<td>timeStep</td>
<td>dC_qrt05</td>
<td>dC_qrt50</td>
<td>dC_qrt95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-274</td>
<td>-157</td>
<td>-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-360</td>
<td>-206</td>
<td>-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-61</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr11.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-121</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr17.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-169</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-358</td>
<td>-204</td>
<td>-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-72</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-85</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-97</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-263</td>
<td>-125</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-197</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-257</td>
<td>-148</td>
<td>-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-402</td>
<td>-232</td>
<td>-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-575</td>
<td>-334</td>
<td>-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-613</td>
<td>-419</td>
<td>-107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>globalWarming</td>
<td>warmingDistribution</td>
<td>timeStep</td>
<td>dC_qrt05</td>
<td>dC_qrt50</td>
<td>dC_qrt95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-118</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr11.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-228</td>
<td>-137</td>
<td>-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr17.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-317</td>
<td>-188</td>
<td>-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-612</td>
<td>-416</td>
<td>-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-139</td>
<td>-79</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-162</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unif</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-185</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDeg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-255</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-191</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-246</td>
<td>-141</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-366</td>
<td>-210</td>
<td>-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-470</td>
<td>-277</td>
<td>-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-525</td>
<td>-313</td>
<td>-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCperDegYr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mon6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-109</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figures

Change in carbon per degree year with bootstrap

```r
# set color gradient
ramp <- colorRamp(c("black","darkred","red"))
use.col.points <- c(rgb( ramp(seq(0, 1, length = 500)), max = 255))

# generate figure 1
Figure1 <- ggplot(data.study,aes(x=C.control, y=dC.perDegYr)) +
  geom_abline(aes(intercept=parBins$intercept,slope=parBins$slope),
              colour="grey",data=parBins) +
  geom_abline(intercept=0,slope=0,color="black") +
  geom_errorbar(aes(ymax=dC.perDegYr + dC.perDegYr.se,
                    ymin=dC.perDegYr - dC.perDegYr.se),width=0,color="grey80",size=0.5) +
  geom_point(alpha=1, aes(color=Tdelta,size=Years)) +
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>globalWarming</th>
<th>warmingDistribution</th>
<th>timeStep</th>
<th>dC_qrt05</th>
<th>dC_qrt50</th>
<th>dC_qrt95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wk1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-108</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-114</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr11.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>-214</td>
<td>-127</td>
<td>-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr17.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-282</td>
<td>-165</td>
<td>-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-524</td>
<td>-310</td>
<td>-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-133</td>
<td>-76</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-154</td>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr8.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CESM</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```r
scale_color_gradientn(limits=range(c(0, data.study$Tdelta)),
  colours=use.col.points, space="Lab", labels=c("<1", 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) +
scale_size(range=c(3, 10)) +
xlab(expression(atop("Standing Carbon stock","(kg m^{-3})"))) +
ylab(expression(atop("Annual change in Carbon stock per"*degree* C, 
  "(kg m^{-3}*degree*C^-1*year^-1)"))) +
scale_x_continuous(limits=c(0, 0.130*1e3), expand = c(0, 0)) +
scale_y_continuous(limits=c(-6, 6.25), expand = c(0, 0)) +
geom_hline(yintercept=6.25) +
geom_vline(xintercept=130) +
guides(color = guide_legend(by.row=T, nrow = 1, label.position = "bottom",
  label.hjust=0.5, title.position="top",
  title=expression("Warming ("*degree*C")"),
  override.aes = list(size = 5), legend.box = "vertical") +
guides(size = guide_legend(nrow = 1, label.position = "bottom",
  label.hjust=0.5, title.position="top",
  title=expression("Duration (years)")),
  legend.box = "vertical") +
)

Fig1.theme

print(Figure1)
```
Model-data plot for interactive statistical model (Figure 2a)

```
ggsave(plot = Figure1, 
  filename = "../figs/Figure01.pdf", width = 7.5, height = 7.5)

print(summary(lm.list$Cw.study))
```

```r
## Call:
## lm(formula = C.warmed ~ C.control * degYr, data = data.study)
##```
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -10.3269 -2.1202 -0.5347 0.8648 14.0377
##
## Coefficients:
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.61837 1.56580 1.034 0.307
## C.control 0.96044 0.03789 25.350 < 2e-16 ***
## degYr 0.30065 0.12352 2.434 0.019 *
## C.control:degYr -0.01662 0.00321 -5.176 5.11e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 4.924 on 45 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9563, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9534
## F-statistic: 328.4 on 3 and 45 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

```r
ramp <- colorRamp(c("white","blue","gold","orange","red"))
use.fill <- rgb(ramp(seq(0, 1, length = 255)), max = 255)
fig2aTheme <- theme(axis.text.x=element_text(size=18,angle=0,col="black"),
  axis.title=element_text(size=20),
  axis.line = element_line(colour = "black"),
  panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
  panel.grid.minor = element_blank(),
  strip.background = element_rect(colour = "black",size = 0.5),
  panel.background = element_rect(colour="black", fill="white"),
  panel.border = element_blank(),axis.ticks = element_line(colour="black"),
  legend.box = "vertical",
  legend.justification=c(0.9,1), legend.position=c(0.3,1),
  legend.key = element_rect(fill="grey95",size=0,color="grey95"),
  legend.key.size = unit(0.5,"cm"),
  legend.title = element_text(size=12,face="bold"),
  legend.background = element_rect(fill="grey95",color="black"))

figure2a <- ggplot(modelData.df,aes(x=rnd.data,y=rnd.model)) +
  stat_density2d(geom = "raster",aes(fill = ..density..), contour = FALSE,
               interpolate = TRUE,n=200,show.legend=T) +
  geom_point(size=0.15,alpha=0.2,col="grey") +
  geom_point(data=summaryMD.df,aes(x=data.mean, y=model.mean),
             color="black", size=2) +
  scale_fill_gradientn(colours = use.fill) +
  geom_abline(intercept=0,slope=1,size=1)+
  scale_x_log10(limits=c(10,0.12*1e3), expand = c(0, 0),
                breaks=c(1:10)*10, labels=c(10,"",30,"",50,"",70,"","",100)) +
  scale_y_log10(limits=c(10,0.12*1e3), expand = c(0, 0),
                breaks=c(1:10)*10, labels=c(10,"",30,"",50,"",70,"","",100)) +
  xlab(bquote("Observed C stock (kg \("m^{-3}\))")) +
  ylab(bquote("Predicted C stock (kg \("m^{-3}\))")) +
  guides( fill = guide_colourbar(label.position = "bottom",
                                 label.hjust=0.5,title.position="top",
                                 title=expression("Point density"), direction = "horizontal")) +
fig2aTheme
```
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Boot strap slope comparison (Figure 2b)
fig2b.pl <- ggplot(selectSize.study, aes(x=dim(data.study)[1]-sampleSize, y=C.control)) + geom_jitter(alpha=0.3, color="grey", height=0, size=0.75) + scale_y_continuous(limits = c(-0.05, 0.025)) + geom_boxplot(aes(group = cut_width(dim(data.study)[1]-sampleSize, 1)), outlier.size=0, outlier.shape = NA, fill="gold", alpha=0.5, color="black") + geom_abline(intercept=0, slope=0, color="red") + xlab(paste("Studies removed from ", dim(data.study)[1])) + ylab("Slope")
fig2bTheme <- theme(axis.text.x=element_text(size=20,angle=0,colour="black"),
                   axis.text.y=element_text(size=20,angle=0,colour="black"),
                   axis.title=element_text(size=28),
                   axis.line = element_line(colour = "black"),
                   panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
                   panel.grid.minor = element_blank(),
                   strip.background = element_rect(colour = "black",size = 0.5),
                   panel.background = element_rect(colour="black", fill="white"),
                   panel.border = element_blank(),axis.ticks = element_line(colour="black"))

print(fig2b.pl + fig2bTheme)
ggsave('..\figs\Figure02b.pdf', fig2b.pl + fig2bTheme, width=7, height=7)
Global carbon vulnerability map (Figure 3a)

See Section “Global carbon loss map code”
Acclimatization assumptions affects soil carbon losses (Figure 3b)

```r
degYrStepIntSimple.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, !grepl('dCperDeg', type) & warmingDistribution == 'CESM' & globalWarming %in% c(1,2)) + geom_hline(yintercept=0,col="grey",size=1) + geom_line(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50, group=warming, fill=globalWarming)) + geom_point(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50, fill=globalWarming), size=4, shape=21) + geom_ribbon(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50, ymin=dC_qrt05, ymax=dC_qrt95, fill=globalWarming, guide=NA), alpha=0.2) + scale_x_continuous(limits=c(0,35),expand=c(0,0)) + scale_fill_manual(values=c('#FF9900', '#FF3300'), guide = guide_legend( direction = "horizontal", title = expression("Warming"~degree*C))) + labs(title='', x='Acclimation time', y="Extrapolated Carbon loss by 2050 (Pg)") + theme_bw() + theme(axis.title=element_text(size=16), axis.text=element_text(size=14), legend.position=c(0.2,0.1), panel.grid.major=element_line(color=NA), panel.grid.minor=element_line(color=NA), panel.border=element_rect(color="black",fill=NA,size=1), axis.ticks=element_line(size=0.25), legend.key=element_rect(color="black",fill=NA,size=0.25))
print(degYrStepIntSimple.pl)
```
Data summary and basic visualizations

```
ggsave(degYrStepIntSimple.pl, filename='..//figs/Figure03b.pdf',
       height=4.5, width=6.5)
```

## No id variables; using all as measure variables

## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
Table 6: Description of study sites including mean annual temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), soil pH, and soil percent clay (perClay). For standardization purposes, all climate data were collected from Bioclim and all soil data were collected from SoilGrids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>MAT</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>perClay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta Junction, AK, USA</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway [grazed]</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Clocaenog, Wales, UK</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gucheng, Hebei, China</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Warming x Nitrogen Addition Study, NH, USA</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study, NH, USA</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, CO, USA</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Kiskunság, Hungary</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krycklan, Sweden</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Brandbjerø, Denmark</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Ridge, CA, USA</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Ridge, CA, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>perClay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA [clipped grass]</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Station of Songnen Grassland Ecosystem, China</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konza Prarie, KS, USA</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Heath Env. Control, Sweden</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Heating and CO2 Enrichment, CO, USA</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Garraf, Spain</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 1]</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 2]</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 3]</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 4]</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, ambient N, negative H20]</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, negative H20]</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, ambient N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, negative H20]</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Mols, Denmark</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic LTER, AK, USA</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard Brook, NH, USA</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland [vegetated]</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Mean soil carbon [kg-C m⁻³] values across control study site with number of samples in each study for the control plots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>count.control</th>
<th>C.control</th>
<th>C.sd.control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta Junction, AK, USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.19</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.72</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway [grazed]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58.64</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73.87</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Clocaenog, Wales, UK</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gucheng, Hebei, China</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Warming x Nitrogen Addition Study, NH, USA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, CO, USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Kiskunsag, Hungary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krycklan, Sweden</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Brandbjerg, Demark</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44.85</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Ridge, CA, USA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Ridge, CA, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.96</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA [clipped grass]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.42</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Station of Songnen Grassland Ecosystem, China</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.29</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konza Prarie, KS, USA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47.36</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Heath Env. Control, Sweden</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Heating and CO2 Enrichment, CO, USA [elevated CO2, ambient N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.51</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Garrafa, Spain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.42</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 1]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 2]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 3]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 4]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, ambient N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, ambient H2O]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>count.control</td>
<td>C.control</td>
<td>C.sd.control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, ambient N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, negative H20]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42.66</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.82</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.31</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Mols, Denmark</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47.64</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic LTER, AK, USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.27</td>
<td>6.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard Brook, NH, USA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>5.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland [vegetated]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.36</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Mean soil carbon [kg-C m\(^{-3}\)] values across warmed study site with number of samples in each study for the warmed plots, their warming treatment [C], and length of treatment [years].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Tdelta</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>count.warmed</th>
<th>C.warmed</th>
<th>C.sd.warmed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta Junction, AK, USA</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43.48</td>
<td>18.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA</td>
<td>4.581</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.27</td>
<td>6.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>4.581</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>5.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway [grazed]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55.28</td>
<td>5.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67.37</td>
<td>21.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Clocaenog, Wales, UK</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gucheng, Hebei, China</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99.14</td>
<td>3.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Warming x Nitrogen Addition Study, NH, USA</td>
<td>3.989</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77.85</td>
<td>14.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, CO, USA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.74</td>
<td>3.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Kiskunsag, Hungary</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.227</td>
<td>1.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krycklan, Sweden</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.075</td>
<td>1.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Brandbjerg, Denmark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>4.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Ridge, CA, USA</td>
<td>1.773</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>2.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Ridge, CA, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>1.773</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>3.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>3.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>Tdelta</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>count.warmed</td>
<td>C.warmed</td>
<td>C.sd.warmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td>2.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA [clipped grass]</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.69</td>
<td>12.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Station of Songnen Grassland Ecosystem, China</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.47</td>
<td>0.3409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47.34</td>
<td>18.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.64</td>
<td>3.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konza Prairie, KS, USA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>6.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>2.096</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>8.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>4.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Heath Env. Control, Sweden</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50.53</td>
<td>4.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Heating and CO2 Enrichment, CO, USA</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.03</td>
<td>2.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Garraf, Spain</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>8.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 1]</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>15.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 2]</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>15.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 3]</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>15.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 4]</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>15.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, ambient N, negative H20]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>2.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, negative H20]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td>0.1774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>4.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, ambient N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>4.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, ambient H20]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>4.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.04</td>
<td>4.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.97</td>
<td>2.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>6.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>6.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.42</td>
<td>8.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>Tdelta</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>count.warmed</td>
<td>C.warmed</td>
<td>C.sd.warmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39.01</td>
<td>7.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Mols, Denmark</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>8.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic LTER, AK, USA</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>5.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard Brook, NH, USA</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>63.48</td>
<td>12.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland [vegetated]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.02</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Biome of study sites. For standardization purposes, biome allocations were generated using the UNEP biomes map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Biome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta Junction, AK, USA</td>
<td>Boreal Forests/Taiga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Forest, MI, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway [grazed]</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAGILE Experiment, Svalbard, Norway</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Clocaenog, Wales, UK</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gucheng, Hebei, China</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Warming x Nitrogen Addition Study, NH, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, CO, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Kiskunsag, Hungary</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krycklan, Sweden</td>
<td>Boreal Forests/Taiga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Brandbjerg, Demark</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Brandbjerg, Denmark</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Garraf, Spain</td>
<td>Mediterranean Forests, Woodlands and Scrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Garraf, Spain [CO2]</td>
<td>Mediterranean Forests, Woodlands and Scrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA [CO2]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA [clipped grass]</td>
<td>Temperate Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Tall Grass Prairie, OK, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Station of Songnen Grassland Ecosystem, China</td>
<td>Temperate Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Forest, NC, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konza Prairie, KS, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [3 degrees]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehall, GA, USA [5 degrees]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Heath Env. Control, Sweden</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Heating and CO2 Enrichment, CO, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Garraf, Spain</td>
<td>Mediterranean Forests, Woodlands and Scrub</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Biome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 1]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 2]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 3]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCC-Experiment, Germany [precipitation 4]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, ambient N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [elevated C02, elevated N, ambient H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, ambient N, ambient H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, ambient H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioCON, MN, USA [ambient C02, elevated N, negative H2O]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 1, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA [precipitation]</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 2, OR, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Prairie Species 3, WA, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Conifer Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASE Mols, Denmark</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic LTER, AK, USA</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard Brook, NH, USA</td>
<td>Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEX, Greenland [vegetated]</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Helper functions**

**Bootstrap function**

```r
print(bootStrap.fn)
```
# Read data

```r
print(readSamples)
```

```r
## function (useMeanBD = TRUE, readControlMeans = FALSE)
## {
##   data <- read.xlsx2("../data/Soil Data Compiled_January 26.xlsx",
##     sheetIndex = 1, colIndex = c(1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12))
##   names(data) <- c("Study", "Treatment", "Tdelta", "Years",
##     "perC", "bulk_density")
##   data$Tdelta <- round(data$Tdelta, 3)
##   data$perC <- round(data$perC, 3)
##   data$bulk_density <- round(data$bulk_density, 3)
##   if (useMeanBD) {
##     study.bd <- ddply(data[, c("Study", "bulk_density")],
##       .(Study), summarize, bulk_density.sd = sd(bulk_density),
##       bulk_density = mean(bulk_density))
##     data$bulk_density.sd <- NULL
##     data$bulk_density <- NULL
##     data <- merge(study.bd, data)
##   }
##   data$C <- data$perC/100 * data$bulk_density
##   data.sample <- ddply(data[, c("Study", "Tdelta", "Years"),
##     function(xx) {
##       warmed <- xx$C[xx$Treatment == "W"]
##       control <- xx$C[xx$Treatment == "C"]
##       if (readControlMeans) {
##         return(data.frame(C.warmed = warmed, C.control = mean(control)))
##       }
##     }]
##   }
##   return(data.frame(C.warmed = warmed, C.control = mean(control)))
## ```
Construct study means and standard deviations

```r
print(readStudyMeans)
```

```r
## function (includeBD.sd = FALSE, includeControl.sd = FALSE)
## {
##   data <- read.xlsx2("../data/Soil Data Compiled_January 26.xlsx",
##     sheetIndex = 1, colIndex = c(1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12))
##   names(data) <- c("Study", "Treatment", "Tdelta", "Years",
##     "perC", "bulk_density")
##   data$Tdelta <- round(as.numeric(data$Tdelta), 3)
##   data$perC <- as.numeric(data$perC)
##   data$bulk_density <- as.numeric(data$bulk_density)
##   data.study <- ddply(data, .(Study, Tdelta, Years, Treatment),
##     summarize, bulk_density.sd = sd(bulk_density), bulk_density = mean(bulk_density),
##     perC.sd = sd(perC), perC = mean(perC), count = length(Treatment))
##   if (includeBD.sd) {
##     data.study$C.sd <- sqrt(data.study$perC/100^2 * data.study$bulk_density.sd^2 +
##       data.study$perC.sd/100^2 * data.study$bulk_density^2)
##   } else {
##     study.bd <- ddply(data[, c("Study", "bulk_density")],
##       .(Study), summarize, bulk_density = mean(bulk_density))
##     data.study$bulk_density.sd <- NULL
##     data.study$bulk_density <- NULL
##     data.study <- merge(study.bd, data.study)
##     data.study$C.sd <- sqrt((data.study$perC.sd/100 * data.study$bulk_density)^2)
##   }
##   data.study$C <- data.study$perC/100 * data.study$bulk_density
##   data.study <- merge(subset(data.study, Treatment == "W",
##     select = ~Treatment), subset(data.study, Treatment ==
##     "C", select = ~Treatment), by = c("Study", "Years", "Tdelta"),
##     suffixes = c(".warmed", ".control"))
##   if (!includeControl.sd)
##     data.study$C.sd.control <- 0
##   data.study$degYr <- data.study$Years * data.study$Tdelta
##   return(data.study)
## }
```
## data.study$dC <- data.study$C.warmed - data.study$C.control
## data.study$dC.sd <- sqrt(data.study$C.sd.warmed^2 + data.study$C.sd.control^2)
## data.study$dC.perDegYr <- data.study$dC/data.study$degYr
## data.study$dC.perDegYr.sd <- data.study$dC.sd/data.study$degYr
## if (!includeControl.sd)
## data.study$C.sd.control <- NA
## data.study$C.se.control <- data.study$C.sd.control/data.study$count.control
## data.study$C.se.warmed <- data.study$C.sd.warmed/data.study$count.warmed
## data.study$dC.perDegYr.se <- data.study$dC.perDegYr.sd/sqrt(rowMeans(data.study[,,
## c("count.warmed", "count.control")]))
## return(data.study)
## }

Convert R data.frame to netCDF file

```r
cat(readLines('..R/Crowther_dSOC_35yr_makeNC.R'), sep = '\n')
```

```r
# Crowther_dSOC_35yr_makeNC.r
# Will Wieder
# July 2016
# converts .csv to .nc file
# data reordered go give increasing lat & lon values
#
# library(ncdf)
# library(reshape2)
# library(raster)
# library(rgdal)
#
# #dir <- getwd() "~/Users/wwieder/Desktop/Working_files/Crowther_warming/KTB_results/"
# #setwd(dir)
# file  <- "../R/Crowther_dSOC_35yr_makeNC.R"  
# fin   <- "../data/Crowther_dSOC_35yr.csv"
# Data  <- read.csv(fin)
# names(Data)
#
# minLAT <- min(Data$lat)
# maxLAT <- max(Data$lat)
# minLON <- min(Data$lon)
# maxLON <- max(Data$lon)
#
# attach(Data)
# names(Data)
#
# #set up depth, lat, lon coordinates
# nLAT    <- length(as.numeric(levels(as.factor(lat))))
# nLON    <- length(as.numeric(levels(as.factor(lon))))
#
# LAT    <- seq(minLAT,maxLAT,(90 - 89.05759))
# latDATA <- read.csv('..data/LAT.csv') # some rounding errors, read in CSV of LAT from CLM
# LAT    <- latDATA$LAT
# LON    <- seq(minLON,maxLON,(360/nLON))
# nOBS   <- length(Data$dC.single)
```
## dims <- c(nLAT, nLON)
##
## #something wrong w/ how lat values ordered in .csv file
## #rewrite lat so values have a regular step (as I thing they should...)
## lat2 <- rep(NA, length(lat))
## start <- 1
## for (i in 1:nLAT) {
##   end <- start + nLON-1
##   lat2[start:end] <- LAT[i]
##   start <- end + 1
## }
##
## # Define Variables
##
## VARS <- c("SOC", "landArea", "dC.single", "dC.multi")
## nVARS <- length(VARS)
## # close VARS loop
## gridSOC <- rasterFromXYZ(data.frame(Data$lon, lat2, Data$SOC), digits=2)
## gridSOC <- t(flip(gridSOC, direction="y") )
##
## gridArea <- rasterFromXYZ(data.frame(Data$lon, lat2, Data$landArea), digits=2)
## gridArea <- t(flip(gridArea, direction="y") )
##
## gridSingle <- rasterFromXYZ(data.frame(Data$lon, lat2, Data$dC.single), digits=2)
## gridSingle <- t(flip(gridSingle, direction="y") )
##
## gridMulti <- rasterFromXYZ(data.frame(Data$lon, lat2, Data$dC.multi), digits=2)
## gridMulti <- t(flip(gridMulti, direction="y") )
##
## #---------------write out .nc file----------------------------
## #-------------------------------------------------------------
## # define the netcdf coordinate variables (name, units, type)
## lat <- dim.def.ncdf("lat", "degrees North", as.double(LAT), create_dimvar=TRUE)
## lon <- dim.def.ncdf("lon", "degrees East", as.double(LON), create_dimvar=TRUE)
## mv <- -9999. # missing value to use
## LATIXY <- var.def.ncdf("LATIXY", "degrees N", list(lat), mv,
##    longname="latitude", prec="double")
## LONGXY <- var.def.ncdf("LONGXY", "degrees E", list(lon), mv,
##    longname="longitude", prec="double")
## SOC_i <- var.def.ncdf("SOC_i", units="kg C/m2", list(lon,lat), mv,
##    longname="Soil C", prec="double")
## area <- var.def.ncdf("Area", units="m2", list(lon,lat), mv,
##    longname="grid_area", prec="double")
## dC_Single <- var.def.ncdf("dC_Single", units="kg C/m2", list(lon,lat), mv,
##    longname="Single Step", prec="double")
## dC_Multi <- var.def.ncdf("dC_Multi", units="kg C/m2", list(lon,lat), mv,
##    longname="Multi Step", prec="double")
##
## fname <- '../data/Crowther_dSOC_35y.nc'
## ncnew <- create.ncdf( fname, list(LATIXY, LONGXY, SOC_i, area, dC_Single, dC_Multi) )
##
## # Write some values to this variable on disk.
## put.var.ncdf( ncnew, LATIXY, LAT)
## put.var.ncdf( ncnew, LONGXY, LON)
## put.var.ncdf( ncnew, SOC_i, as.array(gridSOC))
## put.var.ncdf( ncnew, area, as.array(gridArea))
## put.var.ncdf( ncnew, dC_Single,as.array(gridSingle))
## put.var.ncdf( ncnew, dC_Multi ,as.array(gridMulti))
##
## att.put.ncdf( ncnew, 0, "created_on",date() ,prec=NA,verbose=FALSE,definemode=FALSE )
## att.put.ncdf( ncnew, 0, "created_by","Will Wieder",prec=NA,verbose=FALSE,definemode=FALSE )
## att.put.ncdf( ncnew, 0, "created_from",fin ,prec=NA,verbose=FALSE,definemode=FALSE )
## att.put.ncdf( ncnew, 0, "created_with",file ,prec=NA,verbose=FALSE,definemode=FALSE )
##
## close.ncdf(ncnew)
##
## print(
##-------Wrote out .nc files-----------
##print(ncnew)

Main analysis script

```r
sessionInfo()
```

R version 3.2.2 (2015-08-14)
Platform: x86_64-apple-darwin13.4.0 (64-bit)
Running under: OS X 10.10.5 (Yosemite)

locale:

attached base packages:
[1] stats graphics grDevices utils datasets methods base

other attached packages:
[1] ncd4_1.15 xlsx_0.5.7 xlsxjars_0.6.1 rJava_0.9-7
[5] deSolve_1.12 lme4_1.1-10 Matrix_1.2-3 MASS_7.3-45
[9] reshape2_1.4.1 pander_0.6.0 plyr_1.8.3 ggplot2_2.0.0

loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
[1] Rcpp_0.12.2 knitr_1.11 magrittr_1.5 splines_3.2.2
[5] munsell_0.4.2 colorspace_1.2-6 lattice_0.20-33 minqa_1.2.4
[9] stringr_1.0.0 tools_3.2.2 grid_3.2.2 gtable_0.1.2
[13] nlme_3.1-122 htmltools_0.2.6 yam_2.1.13 digest_0.6.8
[17] nloptr_1.0.4 formatR_1.2.1 evaluate_0.8 rmarkdown_0.8.1
[21] labeling_0.3 stringi_1.0-1 scales_0.3.0

cat(readLines('..//CrowtherFieldWarmingScript.R'), sep = '\n')
```

library(ggplot2) #make pretty plots
library(plyr) #deal with data frames nicely
library(pander) #format tables
panderOptions('table.split.table', Inf) #do not let pander split tables because bad numbering
library(reshape2) #deal with data frames nicely
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library(MASS) # model selection
library(lme4) # random vs fixed effects model
library(deSolve) # solve ode
library(xlsx) # read in excel files

source('./R/bootStrap.fn.R')
source('./R/readSamples.R')
source('./R/readStudyMeans.R')

verbose <- FALSE

## Helper functions
shuffle.sample <- function(data){
  idCol <- setdiff(names(data), c('C.warmed', 'C.control'))
  return(ddply(data, idCol, summarize,
                C.warmed=sample(C.warmed, size=length(Study)),
                C.control=sample(C.control, size=length(Study))))
}
pullPvalue <- function(temp.lm){
  fstatArr <- summary(temp.lm)$fstatistic
  return(pf(fstatArr[1], fstatArr[2], fstatArr[3], lower.tail = FALSE))
}

## Read in data
studyMeta <- read.xlsx2('./data/Soil Data Compiled_January 26.xlsx',
                        sheetIndex=2, colIndex=c(1, 9,10,11, 13, 16))
names(studyMeta) <- c('Study', 'MAP', 'MAT', 'Biome', 'pH', 'perClay')
studyMeta <- studyMeta[studyMeta$Study != '',]

studyNames <- read.xlsx2('./data/Soil Data Compiled_January 26.xlsx',
                          sheetIndex=7)
names(studyNames) <- c('Study', 'Study Description')
data.sample <- readSamples()
data.study <- readStudyMeans()

if(!identical(setdiff(studyMeta$Study, data.sample$Study),
              setdiff(data.sample$Study, studyMeta$Study)) |
      !identical(setdiff(studyMeta$Study, studyNames$Study),
                 setdiff(studyNames$Study, studyMeta$Study))){
  stop('study names do not match')
}

## Convert from g cm^-3 to kg m^-3
data.sample[, c('C.warmed', 'C.control')] <- data.sample[, c('C.warmed', 'C.control')] * 1e3
data.study[, c('bulk_density.warmed', 'C.sd.warmed', 'C.warmed', 'bulk_density.control',
               'C.sd.control', 'C.control', 'dC', 'dC.sd', 'dC.perDegYr', 'dC.perDegYr.sd',
               'C.se.control', 'C.se.warmed', 'dC.perDegYr.se')] <-
  data.study[, c('bulk_density.warmed', 'C.sd.warmed', 'C.warmed', 'bulk_density.control',
                 'C.sd.control', 'C.control', 'dC', 'dC.sd', 'dC.perDegYr', 'dC.perDegYr.sd',
                 'C.se.control', 'C.se.warmed', 'dC.perDegYr.se')] * 1e3
## Rescale data

There is clear skew in the histograms of the years, degree-years, and carbon stocks. We log-transformed these variables to normalize the distribution for statistical purposes.

```r
data.sample.plus <- merge(data.sample, studyMeta[, c('Study', 'MAT', 'MAP', 'pH', 'perClay')], by='Study', all=TRUE)
data.sample.plus$degYr <- data.sample.plus$Years * data.sample.plus$Tdelta
fullRows <- apply(subset(data.sample.plus, select=-Study), 1, function(xx){all(is.finite(xx))})
if(verbose) print(sprintf('Throwing out %d samples (rows) because of missing values somewhere.', sum(!fullRows)))
data.sample.plus <- data.sample.plus[fullRows,]
ggplot(melt(subset(data.sample.plus, select=-Study))) + geom_histogram(aes(x=value)) + facet_wrap(~variable, scale='free')
cor(subset(data.sample.plus, select=-Study))
data.sample.plus.rescaled <- data.sample.plus

```r
data.sample.plus.rescaled$degYr <- log(data.sample.plus.rescaled$degYr)
data.sample.plus.rescaled$Years <- log(data.sample.plus$Years)
data.sample.plus.rescaled$C.control <- log(data.sample.plus$C.control)
data.sample.plus.rescaled$C.warmed <- log(data.sample.plus$C.warmed)
data.sample.plus.rescaled[, -1] <- as.data.frame(apply(data.sample.plus.rescaled[, -1], 2, function(xx){return((xx-mean(xx, na.rm=TRUE))/sd(xx, na.rm=TRUE)+1)}))

## Construct LMER

```r
lmer.list <- list(simple = lmer(C.warmed ~ C.control + (1|Study), data=data.sample.plus.rescaled),
    additive.dT = lmer(C.warmed~C.control+Tdelta + (1|Study), data=data.sample.plus.rescaled),
    additive.all = lmer(C.warmed~C.control+MAT+MAP+pH+degYr + perClay + (1|Study),
        data=data.sample.plus.rescaled),
    additive.enviro = lmer(C.warmed~C.control+MAT+MAP+pH + perClay+ (1|Study),
        data=data.sample.plus.rescaled),
    additive.treat = lmer(C.warmed~C.control+degYr + (1|Study),
        data=data.sample.plus.rescaled),
    interactive = lmer(C.warmed~C.control*degYr+ (1|Study),
        data=data.sample.plus.rescaled),
    interactive.dT = lmer(C.warmed~C.control*Tdelta+ (1|Study),
        data=data.sample.plus.rescaled))

## Construct LM

```r
lm.list <- list(Cw.sample = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control * degYr, data.sample),
    Cw.sample.dT = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control * Tdelta, data.sample),
    dC.sample = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control - C.control * degYr, data.sample),
    dC.dT.sample = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control - C.control * Tdelta, data.sample),
    dCperDegYr.sample = lm((C.warmed-C.control)/(Years*Tdelta) ~ C.control, data.sample),
    dCperDeg.sample = lm((C.warmed-C.control)/Tdelta ~ C.control,
```
data.sample),
Cw.study = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control * degYr, data.study),
Cw.study.dT = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control * Tdelta, data.study),
dC.study = lm(C.warmed ~ C.control - C.control * degYr, data.study),
dC.dT.study = lm(C.warmed - C.control ~ C.control * Tdelta, data.study),
dCperDegYr.study = lm((C.warmed-C.control)/(Years*Tdelta) - C.control, data.study),
dCperDeg.study = lm((C.warmed-C.control)/Tdelta ~ C.control, data.study))

modelFits <- ldply(lm.list,
  function(xx){
    data.frame(model=as.character(xx$call)[2],
               data=as.character(xx$call)[3],
               adjR2 = sprintf('%0.3f', summary(xx)$adj.r.squared),
               pvalue=sprintf('%0.3g', pullPvalue(xx)))
  })

##Sample model vs data distributions
interactive.model <- function(pars=summary(lm.list$Cw.study)$coefficients, C.control, C.sd.control, degYr){
  C_degYr.par <- rnorm(1, mean=pars['C.control:degYr', 'Estimate'],
                       sd=pars['C.control:degYr', 'Std. Error'])
  C.par <- rnorm(1, mean=pars['C.control', 'Estimate'], sd=pars['C.control', 'Std. Error'])
  degYr.par <- rnorm(1, mean=pars['degYr', 'Estimate'], sd=pars['degYr', 'Std. Error'])
  inter.par <- rnorm(1, mean=pars['(Intercept)', 'Estimate'],
                    sd=pars['(Intercept)', 'Std. Error'])
  model <- inter.par + C.par*C.control + degYr.par*degYr + C_degYr.par*C.control*degYr
  return(model)
}

modelData.df <- data.frame()
for(ii in 1:1000){
  modelData.df <- rbind(modelData.df,
                        data.frame(index = 1:length(data.study$C.warmed),
                        rnd.data=rnorm(n=length(data.study$C.warmed),
                                        mean=data.study$C.warmed,
                                        sd=data.study$C.sd.warmed),
                        rnd.model = interactive.model(C.control=data.study$C.control,
                                                      C.sd.control=data.study$C.sd.control,
                                                      degYr=data.study$degYr)))
}

summaryMD.df <- ddply(modelData.df, 'index', summarize,
                       data.mean=mean(rnd.data), data.sd=sd(rnd.data),
                       model.mean=mean(rnd.model), model.sd=sd(rnd.model))

##bootstrap slope
selectSize.sample <- adply(floor(seq(10, dim(data.sample)[1], length=50)), c(1),
                           function(xx){
                             ans <- bootStrap.fn(41}
myFormula=(C.warmed-C.control)/(Years*Tdelta) ~ C.control,
data=data.sample, nRuns=100, sampleSize=xx, numCoef=2,
shuffleFn=shuffle.sample)
ans$sampleSize <- xx
return(ans)
}
}

selectSize.study <- adply(3:(dim(data.study)[1]), c(1),
function(xx){
ans <- bootStrap.fn(
myFormula=(C.warmed-C.control)/(Years*Tdelta) ~ C.control,
data=data.study, nRuns=100, sampleSize=xx, numCoef=2)
ans$sampleSize <- xx
return(ans)
})

##Pull CI for parameters from subset samples

dCperDeg.boot <- bootStrap.fn(
myFormula=(C.warmed-C.control)/Tdelta ~ C.control,
data=data.sample, nRuns=1e3, sampleSize=200, numCoef=2, shuffleFn=shuffle.sample)

dCperDegYr.boot <- bootStrap.fn(
myFormula=(C.warmed-C.control)/(Years*Tdelta) ~ C.control,
data=data.sample, nRuns=1e3, sampleSize=200, numCoef=2, shuffleFn=shuffle.sample)

dCperDegYr.mod.boot <- llply(list(wk1=1/52, mon1 = 1/12, mon6 = 6/12, yr1 = 1,
yr4 = 4, yr5 = 5, yr7 = 7, yr8 = 8,
yr8.75= 8.75, yr10 = 10, yr11.6=35/3,
yr15 = 15,
yr17.5=17.5, yr20 = 20, yr25 = 25, yr30 = 30, yr35 = 35),
function(xx){
data.sample$Years.mod <- data.sample$Years
data.sample$Years.mod[data.sample$Years.mod > xx] <- xx
ans <- bootStrap.fn(
myFormula = (C.warmed-C.control)/(Years.mod*Tdelta) ~ C.control,
data=data.sample, nRuns=1e3, sampleSize=200,
umCoef=2, shuffleFn=shuffle.sample, verbose=FALSE)
return(ans)
})

parKDE <- kde2d(dCperDegYr.boot$C.control, dCperDegYr.boot$`\(\text{Intercept}\)`
, n=100)
parBins <- melt(parKDE$z)
parBins <- subset(parBins, value > max(value)*0.01)
parBins$slope <- parKDE$x[parBins$Var1]
parBins$intercept <- parKDE$y[parBins$Var2]
parBins$alpha <- parBins$value/max(parBins$value)
parRange <- ldply(c(list(dCperDegYr = dCperDegYr.boot,
dCperDeg = dCperDeg.boot),
dCperDegYr.mod.boot), function(xx){
ans <- as.data.frame(apply(xx, c(2),

quantile, c(0.05, 0.5, 0.95))
ans$qrt <- c(0.05, 0.5, 0.95)
return(ans)
}
	names(parRange)[1:3] <- c('type', 'intercept', 'C')
save(file='..\data\parCIforLM.RData', parRange)

Extrapolation code

cat(readLines('..\R\globalExtrapolations.R'), sep='\n')

### Set up
library(ncdf4)
library(ggplot2)
library(plyr)
verbose <- FALSE
dataDir <- '..\data/'
readIn.tsl <- TRUE

### Read in maps
inputs.ls <- list(soilGrid=list(filename='SoilGrids_0.9x1.25.nc',
                     varName='OCSTHA_M',
                     units='tonnes ha^-1', # conversion factor 1/10 for kg m^-2
                     depthWeight=c(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)),
                        # mid points c(2.5 10.0 22.5 45.0 80.0 150.0) cm
                        # implies 5cm, 10cm, 15cm, 30cm, 60cm, 60cm layer lengths
                        # take top 15cm
                HWSD=list(filename='surfdata_0.9x1.25_simyr2000_c120906_HWSD_soil.nc',
                          varName='DOM_SOC', # dominant mapping unit;
                          # alt area weighted AWT_SOC
                          units='kg C m^-2',
                          depthWeight=c(1, 0)),
                landfrac=list(filename='sftlf_fx_CESM1-BGC_historical_r0i0p0.nc',
                              varName='sftlf',
                              units='percent'),
                gridArea=list(filename='areacella_fx_CESM1-BGC_historical_r0i0p0.nc',
                               varName='areacella',
                               units='m2'))

maps.ls <- lapply(inputs.ls, function(args){
  ncin <- nc_open(sprintf('%s', dataDir, args$filename))
  if(verbose) print(ncin)
  lon <- nccvar_get(ncin, 'lon') # longitude
  lat <- nccvar_get(ncin, 'lat') # longitude
  ans <- nccvar_get(ncin, args$varName)
  nc_close(ncin)

  if(!is.null(args$depthWeight)){
    ans <- apply(ans, 1:2, function(xx){sum(args$depthWeight*xx)})
  }
})
dimnames(ans) <- list(lon=lon, lat=lat)
ans <- as.data.frame.table(ans, stringsAsFactors=FALSE, responseName='value')
ans <- as.data.frame(lapply(ans, as.numeric))
return(ans)

maps.ls$landArea <- merge(maps.ls$gridArea, maps.ls$landfrac, 
by=c('lon', 'lat'), suffixes=c('.area', '.perc'))
maps.ls$landArea$value <- maps.ls$landArea$value.area*maps.ls$landArea$value.perc/100

if(readIn.tsl){
  #CESM1-BGC Soil Temperature
  ##Pre-processing in cdo
  ##$cdo yearmean tsl_Lmon_CESM1-BGC_rcp85_r1i1p1_200601-204912.nc
  ## $cdo sellevidx,1,2,3,4 tsl_yrmean_CESM1-BGC_rcp85_r1i1p1_200601-204912.nc temp.nc
  ##$cdo vertmean temp.nc tsl_yrShortMean_CESM1-BGC_rcp85_r1i1p1_200601-204912.nc
  ncin <- nc_open(sprintf('%stsl_yrShortMean_CESM1-BGC_rcp85_r1i1p1_200601-204912.nc',
                          dataDir))
  if(verbose) print(ncin)
  tsl <- ncvvar_get(ncin, 'tsl') #units K
  lon <- ncvvar_get(ncin, 'lon') #longitude
  lat <- ncvvar_get(ncin, 'lat') #longitude
  time <- ncvvar_get(ncin, 'time') #days since 2005-1-1 0:0:0
  nc_close(ncin)
  dimnames(tsl) <- list(lon=lon, lat=lat, yr=(time/365) + 2005)
  tsl <- as.data.frame.table(tsl, stringsAsFactors=FALSE, responseName='value')
  tsl <- as.data.frame(lapply(tsl, as.numeric))
  ##Make the latitudes agree, off by 1e-6
  tsl$lat <- round(tsl$lat, 2)
  maps.ls <- lapply(maps.ls, function(xx){xx$lat <- round(xx$lat, 2); return(xx)})
  ##Trim tsl to only cover 2015-2049
  tsl <- subset(tsl, yr >= 2015 & yr <=2049)
  tsl.start <- ddply(subset(tsl, yr >= min(yr) & yr < (min(yr)+10)), .(lon, lat),
                     summarize, value=mean(value))
  tsl.end <- ddply(subset(tsl, yr > max(yr)-10 & yr <= max(yr)), .(lon, lat),
                   summarize, value=mean(value))
  tsl.change <- merge(tsl.start, tsl.end, by=c('lon', 'lat'), suffixes=c('.initial', '.final'))
  if(verbose){
    print(ggplot(tsl.change) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=value.final-value.initial)) +
          labs(title='CESM-BCG temperature change'))
    print(ggplot(tsl.change) + geom_histogram(aes(x=value.final-value.initial)) +
          labs(title='CESM-BCG temperature change'))
  }
}
}
if(verbose){
    print(ggplot(maps.ls$soilGrid) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=value/10)) +
        scale_fill_continuous(limits=c(0, 300), low="yellow", high='red') +
        labs(title='Soil Grids'))
    print(ggplot(maps.ls$HWSD) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=value)) +
        scale_fill_continuous(limits=c(0, 100), low="yellow", high='red') +
        labs(title='HWSD'))
    print(ggplot(maps.ls$landfrac) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=value/100)) +
        scale_fill_continuous(limits=c(0, 1), low="yellow", high='red') +
        labs(title='Land Fraction'))
    print(ggplot(maps.ls$gridArea) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=value)) +
        labs(title='Grid Area'))
    print(ggplot(maps.ls$landArea) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=value)) +
        labs(title='Land Area'))
}

##########################################
##Make one dataframe to work from so that the lat-lon pair up appropriately
##########################################
commonGrid <- merge(maps.ls$landArea,
    merge(maps.ls$soilGrid, maps.ls$HWSD,
        by=c('lon', 'lat'), suffixes=c('.SG', '.H'),
        by=c('lon', 'lat')))
if(readIn.tsl){
    commonGrid <- merge(tsl.change, commonGrid,
        by=c('lon', 'lat'), suffixes=c('.Dtsl', '.landArea'))
}

commonGrid <- rename(commonGrid, c('value.initial'='inital.temperature',
    'value.final'='final.temperature',
    'value.area'='cell.area',
    'value.perc'='land.percentage',
    'value'='land.area',
    'value.SG'='SoilGrid.SOC', 'value.H'='HWSD.SOC'))

#Shift the units for soil grid to kg m^-2
commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC <- commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC/10

###Remove 0 values
###commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC[commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC == 0] <- NA
###commonGrid$HWSD.SOC[commonGrid$HWSD.SOC == 0] <- NA

commonGrid$allFinite <- is.finite(rowSums(subset(commonGrid, select=-HWSD.SOC))) &
    commonGrid$land.area != 0

#################################
###Pull temperature normalization from CESM if needed
#################################
if(readIn.tsl){
    globalCESM.dT <- with(commonGrid, sum(land.area*
        (final.temperature-inital.temperature)*allFinite,
        na.rm=TRUE)/sum(land.area*allFinite, na.rm=TRUE))
}
} else {
  globalCESM.dT <- NA
}

if (verbose) {
  ggplot(commonGrid) + geom_raster(aes(x=lon, y=lat, fill=allFinite)) +
  labs(title="Shared grid cells")
  print(sprintf("Global totals: HWSD = %0.2f Pg,
      SoilGrid = %0.2f Pg, inicial T = %0.2f C, dT = %0.2f C",
      with(commonGrid, sum(land.area*(HWSD.SOC)*allFinite, na.rm=TRUE)/1e12),
      with(commonGrid, sum(land.area*(SoilGrid.SOC)*allFinite, na.rm=TRUE)/1e12),
      ifelse(readIn.tsl, with(commonGrid,
        sum(land.area*inital.temperature*allFinite, na.rm=TRUE)/
        sum(land.area*allFinite, na.rm=TRUE))-273.15, NA),
      globalCESM.dT
    ))
}

############################
###Run the global extrapolation
############################
load(sprintf("%sparCIforLM.RData", dataDir))

soilDepth <- 0.15 #in m; for HWSD it's 0.3
##Number of years we run through
runTime <- 35

dC <- function(args, step, Cstock){
  #correct for soil depth but converting stocks from per area to per volume
  #...and then correcting the result from per volume to per area
  return(step*(args$C*Cstock/soilDepth+args$intercept)*soilDepth)
}

##Use the temperature change distribution from CESM from year 2040-2049 and 2015-2024
if (readIn.tsl){
  degWarmedRate.ls <- list(oneDeg=1/runTime, twoDeg=2/runTime,
    threeDeg=3/runTime, fourDeg=4/runTime,
    oneDeg_CESM_normed = (commonGrid$final.temperature-
      commonGrid$inital.temperature)/
      globalCESM.dT*1/runTime,
    twoDeg_CESM_normed = (commonGrid$final.temperature-
      commonGrid$inital.temperature)/
      globalCESM.dT*2/runTime,
    threeDeg_CESM_normed = (commonGrid$final.temperature-
      commonGrid$inital.temperature)/
      globalCESM.dT*3/runTime,
    fourDeg_CESM_normed = (commonGrid$final.temperature-
      commonGrid$inital.temperature)/
      globalCESM.dT*4/runTime)
} else {
  degWarmedRate.ls <- list(oneDeg=1/runTime, twoDeg=2/runTime)
}

#Time step for each linear model type
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dtimes <- list(wk1=1/52, mon1 = 1/12, mon6 = 6/12, yr1 = 1,
yr4 = 4, yr5 = 5, yr7 = 7, yr8 = 8,
yr8.75= 8.75, yr10 = 10, yr11.6=35/3,
yr17.5=17.5, yr20 = 20, yr25 = 25, yr30 = 30, yr35 = 35)

resultsFull <- ldply(degWarmedRate.ls, .id='warming', function(degWarmedRate){
  #Calculate the SOC losses
  SOC.losses <- ddply(parRange, c('type', 'qrt', 'intercept', 'C'),
    function(xx){
      #cat(xx$type)
      C.map <- commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC

      if(grepl(`^dCperDegYr$`, xx$type)){
        dC.map <- ldply(dtimes, .id=NULL, function(warmedTime){
          degStep <- degWarmedRate/2*detime.ls
          return(data.frame(degYr.mean=sum(degStep*land.area, na.rm=TRUE)/
            sum(is.finite(degStep)*land.area, na.rm=TRUE),
            timeStep=warmedTime,
            lon=commonGrid$lon,
            lat=commonGrid$lat,
            value.C=C.map,
            landArea=commonGrid$land.area*commonGrid$allFinite,
            value.dC=dC(args=xx, step=degStep, Cstock=C.map))
        })
      } else if(grepl(`^dCperDeg$`, xx$type)){
        dC.map <- data.frame(degYr.mean=NA,
          timeStep=NA,
          lon=commonGrid$lon,
          lat=commonGrid$lat,
          value.C=C.map,
          landArea=commonGrid$land.area*commonGrid$allFinite,
          value.dC=dC(args=xx, step=degWarmedRate*runTime, Cstock=C.map))
      } else{ #Cap study
        #print(xx$type)
        #print(!(xx$type %in% names(dtimes)) || (runTime/dtimes[[xx$type]]) %% 1 != 0)
        if(!(xx$type %in% names(dtimes)) ||
           (runTime/dtimes[[xx$type]]) %% 1 != 0){
          return(data.frame()) #don't run if you can't cover the entire period
        }
        runningC <- C.map
        degStep <- degWarmedRate/2*detime.ls[[xx$type]]^2 #cumulative degYr for each time step
        for(ii in seq(0, runTime-1, by=dtime.ls[[xx$type]])){
          runningC <- runningC + dC(args=xx, step=degStep, Cstock=runningC)
        }
        dC.map <- data.frame(degYr.mean=mean(degStep, na.rm=TRUE),
          timeStep=dtime.ls[[xx$type]],
          lon=commonGrid$lon,
          lat=commonGrid$lat,
          value.C=C.map,
          landArea=commonGrid$land.area*commonGrid$allFinite,
          value.dC=dC(runningC-C.map)
      }
    })
```r
# max loss is the initial carbon stock
dC.map$value.dC[is.finite(dC.map$value.C+dC.map$value.dC) & dC.map$value.C +
dC.map$value.C < 0] <- -1*dC.map$value.C[is.finite(dC.map$value.C+dC.map$value.dC) & dC.map$value.C +
dC.map$value.C < 0]

# dC.map <- merge(dC.map, commonGrid[,c('lon', 'lat', 'land.area', 'allFinite')])
return(ddply(dC.map, c('timeStep', 'degYr.mean'),
  summarize, dC=sum(value.dC*landArea, na.rm=TRUE)/1e12))
}) # end SOC.losses

resultsTable <- merge(subset(resultsFull, qrt==0.95,
  resultsTable$warmingDistribution <- unlist(lapply(strsplit(
  resultsTable$globalWarming <- as.factor(unlist(lapply(strsplit(
  deg.key <- list("fourDeg"=4, "oneDeg"=1, "threeDeg"=3, "twoDeg"=2)
  resultsTable$dodge.timeStep <- resultsTable$timeStep +
  ggsave(degYrSingle.pl, filename=
  degYr.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, grepl(
  ## Make plots
  degYrSingle.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, grepl('dCperDegYr', type))) +
  geom_point(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50)) +
  geom_errorbar(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50, ymin=dC_qrt05, ymax=dC_qrt95)) +
  facet_wrap(~warming, nrow=2) +
  labs(title="dC per degree-year across single time steps\n          years", x='years', y='Pg C')
  ggsave(degYrSingleTimeStep.pdf')
  degYr.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, grepl('dCperDegYr', type))) +
  geom_point(aes(x=degYr.mean, y=dC_qrt50, color=grep('CESM', warming))) +
  geom_ribbon(aes(x=degYr.mean, y=dC_qrt50, ymin=dC_qrt05, ymax=dC_qrt95,
      fill=grep('CESM', warming)), alpha=0.3) +
  scale_fill_discrete(guide=guide_legend(title='CESM'))+guides(color=FALSE) +
  labs(title="dC per degree-year across single time steps\n          degree-years", y='Pg C')
  ggsave(degYr.pl, filename='../figs/degYr.pdf')
  degSingle.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, 'dCperDeg'=='type')) +
  geom_point(aes(x=warming, y=dC_qrt50)) +
  geom_errorbar(aes(x=warming, y=dC_qrt50, ymin=dC_qrt05, ymax=dC_qrt95)) +
```

theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1)) + labs(title='dC per degree')
ggsave(degSingle.pl, filename='..//figs/degSingleTimeStep.pdf')

degYrStepInt.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, !grepl('dCperDeg', type)) +
  geom_line(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50, group=warming, linetype=warmingDistribution)) +
  geom_ribbon(aes(x=timeStep, y=dC_qrt50, ymin=dC_qrt05, ymax=dC_qrt95, group=warming), alpha=0.2) +
  facet_wrap(~globalWarming)
ggsave(degYrStepInt.pl, filename='..//figs/degYrMultiTimeStep.pdf')

##See Crowther2016Sup.Rmd for figure code
write.csv(file='..//data/degYrMultiTimeStepSimple.csv',
  subset(resultsTable, !grepl('dCperDeg', type) & globalWarming %in% c(1, 2)))
singleStep.pl <- ggplot(subset(resultsTable, grepl('dCperDeg', type) &
  globalWarming %in% c(1, 2) &
  (is.na(timeStep) | timeStep == 35)) +
  geom_point(aes(x=globalWarming, y=dC_qrt50, color=type, shape=warmingDistribution), cex=5) +
  geom_errorbar(aes(x=globalWarming, y=dC_qrt50, color=type, linetype=warmingDistribution,
    ymin=dC_qrt05, ymax=dC_qrt95)) +
  labs(title='Soil carbon losses at 35 years, one step', x='Average temperature increase',
    y='Global change in soil carbon [Pg C]')
ggsave(singleStep.pl, filename='..//figs/singleStep.pdf')
write.csv(file='..//data/singleStep.csv',
  subset(resultsTable, grepl('dCperDeg', type) &
    globalWarming %in% c(1, 2) &
    (is.na(timeStep) | timeStep == 35), -dodge.timeStep))

########
##Make ncdf file for pretty maps
Cshift <- data.frame(lon=commonGrid$lon, lat=commonGrid$lat,
  SOC=commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC, landArea=commonGrid$land.area, #*commonGrid$allFinite,
  dC.single=dC(args=subset(parRange, type=='dCperDegYr' & qrt==0.5),
    step=degWarmedRate.ls$oneDeg_CESM_normed/2*35^2, Cstock=commonGrid$SoilGrid.SOC))

runningC <- Cshift$SOC
degStep <- degWarmedRate.ls$oneDeg_CESM_normed/2*1^2 #cumulative degYr for each time step
for(ii in seq(0, runTime-1, by=1)){
  runningC <- runningC + dC(args=subset(parRange, type=='yr1' & qrt==0.5),
    step=degWarmedRate.ls$oneDeg_CESM_normed/2*35^2, Cstock=runningC)
}
Cshift$dC.multi <- runningC-Cshift$SOC

negFlag <- is.finite(Cshift[, 'dC.single'] + Cshift[, 'SOC']) &
  (Cshift[, 'dC.single'] + Cshift[, 'SOC'] < 0 )
Cshift[negFlag, 'dC.single'] <- -1*Cshift[negFlag, 'SOC']
negFlag <- is.finite(Cshift[, 'dC.multi'] + Cshift[, 'SOC']) &
  (Cshift[, 'dC.multi'] + Cshift[, 'SOC'] < 0 )
Cshift[negFlag, 'dC.multi'] <- -1*Cshift[negFlag, 'SOC']

cat('Single step: ', sum(Cshift$dC.single*Cshift$landArea, na.rm=TRUE)/1e12, '=?=',
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Global carbon loss map code

```r
write.csv(file="Crowther_dSOC_35yr.csv", Cshift)
```

```r
cat(readLines('../ncl/plot_warming_loss.ncl'), sep='\n')
```

```r
; July 2016
; Will Wieder
; plots changes in SOC stocks from Kathe's analyses.
;*********************************************************************
load "$NCARG_LIB/ncarg/nclscripts/csm/gsn_code.ncl"
load "$NCARG_LIB/ncarg/nclscripts/csm/gsn_csm.ncl"
load "$NCARG_LIB/ncarg/nclscripts/csm/contributed.ncl"
load "$NCARG_LIB/ncarg/nclscripts/csm/shea_util.ncl"
begin
;---------------------------------------------------------------
;Read in input variables
;---------------------------------------------------------------
path = ('/"project/tss/wwieder/soilCN/global_run/warming/")
fin = path + "Crowther_dSOC_35y.nc"
data = addfile(fin, "r")
SGRD_SOC = data->SOC_i(:,:,)
area = data->Area
dC_single = data->dC_Single
dC_multi = data->dC_Multi
glob_SOCi = sum(SGRD_SOC * area) / 1.e12
glob_dC_s = sum(dC_single * area) / 1.e12
glob_dC_m = sum(dC_multi * area) / 1.e12
print(glob_SOCi)
print(glob_dC_s)
print(glob_dC_m)
end

;************************************************
; plot SOC losses
; Fig. 3 in manuscript
;************************************************
fout = path + "Crowther_dSOC_35y_step_wZERO"
```
wks = gsn_open_wks("ps", fout); open a X11 or ps file

res = True
res@gsnDraw = False
res@gsnFrame = False
res@gsnSpreadColors = True
res@gsnSpreadColorEnd = 68
; res@gsnSpreadColorStart = 2
gsn_reverse_colormap(wks)

res@gsnLeftString = ""
res@gsnRightString = ""
res@cnFillColor = True
res@cnLinesOn = False ; Turn lines off
res@cnLineLabelsOn = False ; Turn labels off
res@cnLevelSelectionMode = "ManualLevels"
res@cnMinLevelValF = -17 ; -3.75*5
res@cnMaxLevelValF = 5. ; 0.50*5
res@lblLabelStrings = ((-17.,-15.,-13.,-11.,-9.,-7.,-5.,-3.,-1,1.,3.,5.)/)
; res@lblLabelStrings = ((-17.,-13.,-9.,-5.,-1.,1.,5/)
res@lblLabelFontHeightF = 0.025 ; make labels larger
res@lblTitleOn = True ; turn on title
res@lblTitlePosition = "Bottom"
res@lblTitleString = "kg C m-S--2-N "
res@lblTitleFontHeightF = .030 ; make title smaller
res@pmLabelBarOrthogonalPosF = .05 ; move whole thing down

res@vpXF = 0.1 ; make plot bigger
res@vpYF = 0.9
res@vpWidthF = 0.8
res@vpHeightF = 0.8
plot = gsn_csm_contour_map(wks, dC_single, res)
resP = True ; modify the panel plot
resP@gsnFrame = False ; don't advance panel plot
gsn_panel(wks, plot, (/1,1/), resP) ; now draw as one plot
frame(wks)

print("wrote "+fout+".ps")
delete([/plot, res, resP, wks, fout/])