Buzz Off! An Evaluation of Ultrasonic Acoustic Vibration for the Disruption of Marine Microorganisms on Sensor Housing Materials

5 Abbreviated Headline: Ultrasonic Bio-fouling for Sensors

Jonathan S. McQuillan*, David J. Hopper, Iordanis Magiopoulos, Martin
Arundell, Robin Brown, Steven Shorter, Matthew C. Mowlem, Robin W. Pascal
and Douglas Connelly
National Oceanography Centre (NOC), Ocean Technology and Engineering, European Way, Southampton,
SO14 3ZH, United Kingdom.

11 *Corresponding author:

12 E. j.mcquillan@soton.ac.uk; T. +44(0)7432047461

13 Significance and Impact of the Study

In this study, ultrasonic acoustic vibration is presented as a chemical-free, 14 ecologically friendly alternative to conventional methods for the perturbation of microbial 15 attachment to submerged surfaces. The results indicate the potential of an ultrasonic anti-bio-16 fouling method for the disruption of microbial bio-films on marine sensor housings, which is 17 typically a principle limiting factor in their long-term operation in the oceans. With 18 increasing deployment of scientific apparatus in aquatic environments, including further off-19 20 shore and for longer duration, the identification and evaluation of novel anti-fouling strategies that don't employ hazardous chemicals are widely sought. 21

22

23 Abstract

24 Bio-fouling is a process of ecological succession which begins with the attachment and colonisation of microorganisms to a submerged surface. For marine sensors and their 25 housings, bio-fouling can be one of the principle limitations to long-term deployment and 26 27 reliability. Conventional anti-bio-fouling strategies using biocides can be hazardous to the environment, and therefore alternative chemical-free methods are preferred. In this study, 28 custom made testing assemblies were used to evaluate ultrasonic vibration as an anti-bio-29 30 fouling process for marine sensor housing materials over a 28-day time course. Microbial bio-fouling was measured based on (1) surface coverage, using fluorescence microscopy, and 31 (2) bacterial 16S rDNA gene copies, using Quantitative PCR. Ultrasonic vibrations (20 KHz, 32 200 ms pulses at 2 s intervals; total power 16.08 W) significantly reduced the surface 33 coverage on two plastics, PMMA and PVC for up to 28 days. Bacterial gene copy number 34 was similarly reduced, but the results were only statistically significant for PVC, which 35 displayed the greatest overall resistance to bio-fouling, regardless of whether ultrasonic 36 vibration was applied. Copper sheet, which has intrinsic biocidal properties was resistant to 37 38 bio-fouling during the early stages of the experiment, but inhibited measurements made by PCR and generated inconsistent results later on. 39

40 Keywords

- 41 Bio-fouling
- 42 Anti-fouling
- 43 Ultrasonic
- 44 Sensor
- 45 Propidium Monoazide

46 Introduction

47 Environmental monitoring networks increasingly rely upon the long-term deployment of sensor equipment in the ocean (Hart and Martinez, 2006). However, the accuracy and 48 reliability of these systems are rapidly impaired by bio-fouling. This is a process of 49 50 ecological succession, which begins with the formation of a conditioning film containing dissolved organic compounds (proteins and polysaccharides) leading to the establishment of a 51 bacterial biofilm. This paves the way for the recruitment and settlement of single-celled 52 53 eukaryotes and, later, marine invertebrates (Callow and Callow, 2002). The gradual build-up of bio-material on sensors and their housings can obstruct water movement, modify the 54 microenvironment around the sensor head, obscure optical windows and electrodes, and 55 increase the rate of corrosion (Videla and Characklis, 1992). At the end of the deployment 56 lifetime the apparatus must be removed to dry dock and cleaned, often requiring hazardous 57 58 chemicals, and leading to increased maintenance costs and "down-time". Sensitive equipment such as sensor electrode arrays require specialist cleaning or must be replaced after each 59 deployment. 60

Current methods to reduce bio-fouling on sensors include, but are not limited to, the 61 use of biocidal materials and coatings, the controlled release or generation of biocidal 62 chemicals, and physical removal using wipers, scrapers or water jets (Manov et al., 2004; 63 Whelan and Regan, 2006; Delauney et al., 2010). Each method has unique advantages and 64 limitations; for example biocides contaminate the environment being monitored (Terlizzi et 65 al., 2001), coatings can erode or become damaged and wipers require complicated moving 66 67 parts, which must remain water-tight. Acoustic vibration, either within the audible (20 Hz – \leq 20 KHz) or ultrasonic (≥ 20 KHz) frequency range, has been demonstrated as a promising, 68 69 ecologically friendly alternative to conventional methods for the removal of organic and inorganic material attached to submerged surfaces (Gittens et al., 2013; Legg et al., 2015). For 70

71 clarity, the term "ultrasonic" is used herein to describe the acoustic vibration used in this 72 study, 20 kHz, which lies on the border between the audible and ultrasonic frequencies. The cavitation induced by ultrasonic pulses propagating through water can inhibit bio-fouling on 73 74 solid sub-strata, including the removal or destruction of bacterial biofilms (Qian et al., 1997; Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2001), and reduction in the settlement rate of barnacle larvae 75 (Branscomb and Rittschof, 1984; Kitamura et al., 1995; Guo et al., 2011a; Guo et al., 2011b). 76 The latter is most pronounced at a frequency of approximately 20 KHz (Kitamura et al., 77 1995; Guo et al., 2011b). Not surprisingly, there are numerous patents relating to the use of 78 79 acoustics for the removal of bio-fouling, and ultrasonic cleaning systems are already underdevelopment (Mazue et al., 2011) or commercially available for "in the water" 80 81 maintenance of ship / boat hulls (e.g. SonicShield by CMS Marine Ltd, SHIPSONIC by 82 Globus Benelux, Sonihull by NRG Marine Ltd, UltraSystem by Ultrasonic Antifouling Ltd and ClearHull by Ultrasonic Works Ltd). Recently, a multi-national European collaboration, 83 the "Cleanship" project, undertook field trials of an ultrasound-based method for the 84 85 detection and prevention of bio-fouling on submerged steel plates (De Carellan et al., 2014), and there have been reports of successful long-term sea trails of acoustic anti-biofouling 86 systems fitted to commercial and military vessels, although these references are not readily 87 available. 88

Despite the clear potential, and increasing popularity of ultrasonic anti-fouling methods, there is a paucity of data relating to the potential application for the protection of marine sensing. In this study, ultrasonic acoustic vibration is evaluated as an anti-bio-fouling method on three types of material used for the fabrication of marine sensor housings; Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and Copper (Cu). The tests were carried out on small pieces of each material, the size of a microscope slide, which were fixed to stainless steel plates via nylon spacers. The plates were submerged at 1.5 m depth, in

96 baffled housings, in a busy industrial dock for 28 days during the summer of 2015. One plate was fitted with a commercially available ultrasonic transducer, the ClearHull 110 system, 97 which produced ultrasonic acoustic vibrations (20 KHz 200 ms pulses at 2 s intervals; total 98 99 power 16.08 W) over the duration of the experiment; another was deployed without ultrasonic acoustic vibration as a control. The extent of micro-fouling on each material was 100 101 quantified after 1, 3, 14, 21 and 28 days during the deployment using two principle methods; (1) surface coverage using DAPI staining with fluorescence microscopy, and (2) bacterial 102 103 16S rDNA gene copy number using Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR). The demonstration of ultrasonic anti-fouling is timely considering the increasing use of sensor and 104 measurement apparatus in the ocean, and the increasing preference for environmentally 105 106 friendly, biocide-free anti-fouling methods that don't contaminate the environment. To the 107 best of our knowledge this is the first quantitative, time course evaluation of ultrasonic antifouling for the protection of marine sensor housings. 108

109 **Results and Discussion**

110 The effects of ultrasonic vibration on the bio-fouling of marine sensor housing materials were evaluated using custom made testing assemblies, as shown in Figure 1. The 111 extent of bio-fouling was measured over a 28 day deployment using two parameters; surface 112 113 coverage and bacterial 16S rDNA gene copy number. From the determination of the surface coverage using the DAPI staining and fluorescence microscopy, shown in Figure 2, the 114 application of ultrasonic vibrations to the materials led to a significant (t-test, $P = \langle 0.05 \rangle$) 115 116 reduction in DAPI staining, particularly during the later stages of the experiment (from day 14). These effects were also apparent on the steel plates to which the materials were mounted, 117 and the assembly fitted with ultrasonic anti-fouling remained mostly clear throughout the 118 deployment, whilst the control became colonised extensively by barnacles (not shown). Cu 119 had the lowest levels of DAPI staining during the early stages of the deployment (day 1 and 120 121 3), in line with its intrinsic anti-fouling properties, but had high levels of DAPI staining from day 14. This coincided with the formation of a blue / green patina over the Cu surface which 122 prevented proper examination, and therefore the results for Cu from day 14 onwards were 123 124 inconclusive. PVC displayed the greatest resistance to bio-fouling, and had significantly lower surface coverage than PMMA, both on the control and with ultrasonic disruption. 125

The number of bacterial 16S rDNA gene copies on each material was quantified as an 126 additional measure of bio-fouling during the later stages of the deployment, from day 14. 127 This analysis included the biological material that accumulated outward from the surface of 128 each sample, and which could not be measured in 2-dimensions as surface coverage. In these 129 130 experiments the bio-fouling on each material was removed into sterile dock water with a sterile swab and divided into two representative samples. One of these was left untreated, 131 whilst another was exposed to PMA, a photo-reactive compound, which diffuses across 132 broken cell membranes and intercalates with the genome. Subsequent photo-activation of 133

PMA-DNA complexes leads to irreversible covalent modification of DNA, preventing its 134 amplification by PCR. Thus, using membrane integrity as a proxy for cell viability, only 135 viable cells are included in subsequent amplification of genomic DNA by PCR. The number 136 137 of 16S rDNA gene copies detected on PMMA and PVC, with or without ultrasonic antifouling is shown in Figure 3, along with the estimated bacterial viability calculated by 138 comparing the gene copy number with and without PMA pre-treatment. The results for Cu 139 are not shown; the presence of labile copper in the samples inhibited PCR amplification, 140 requiring additional DNA purification leading to loss of sample and making quantification 141 142 unreliable.

On both plastics, the ultrasonic vibration led to a reduction in the number of bacterial 143 gene copies. This indicates a reduction in bio-fouling in support of the results from DAPI 144 staining, shown in Figure 2. However, the difference was only statistically significant (t-test, 145 146 P = > 0.05) for PVC. PMMA presented with more DAPI staining than PVC throughout the experiment (with or without anti-fouling), but conversely the material recovered from the 147 surface contained significantly fewer bacterial gene copies. Bacterial colonisation typically 148 occurs during the primary stages of bio-fouling followed by the settlement of other 149 microorganisms and small invertebrates, which may influence the bacterial component of the 150 biofilm, for example through competition for nutrients and predation. These processes may 151 contribute to the discrepancy between total bio-fouling estimated using DAPI staining, and 152 bacterial bio-fouling estimated using qPCR. 153

Two principle mechanisms of action for ultrasonic disruption to biofilms include (1) detachment / dislodging of biological material from the surface and (2) damage / death of living cells from sheer stress induced by cavitation. PMA is a state of the art method for differentiating between total and viable bacterial populations on the basis of cell wall / plasma membrane integrity. On PMMA, ultrasonic anti-fouling had no significant effect on bacterial viability over the experimental time course. In contrast, on the PVC there was an increase in the proportion of viable bacteria over time; specifically at day 28 the bacteria remaining on the surfaces had a greater than 90 % viability. This implies the formation of a sparse, but healthy community of organisms, with mechanism (1) predominating.

163 This preliminary investigation indicates that ultrasonic anti-fouling can significantly inhibit bio-fouling on PMMA or PVC marine sensor housings for up to 28 days, which may 164 enhance the deployment lifetime and accuracy of the sensors contained within them. PVC 165 166 showed the greatest resistance to biofouling indicating that it may be preferred for sensor housing fabrication. Although the results for Cu were inconclusive, it is likely that, due to the 167 intrinsic biocidal properties of this material, it would have displayed the greatest overall 168 resistance to bio-fouling, regardless of ultrasonic vibration. However, it is also relatively 169 expensive and cannot be readily moulded into complicated designs, unlike the thermoplastics 170 171 PMMA and PVC. Furthermore, there is an increasing preference for environmentally friendly, biocide-free anti-fouling methods that don't contaminate the environment or 172 interfere with measurements; as in, for example, the inhibition of the PCR analysis in this 173 174 particular study from Cu-exposed samples.

175 The results presented in this study were generated using ultrasonic acoustic vibration with a frequency of 20 kHz, which has also been demonstrated to be effective at perturbing 176 the settlement of barnacle larvae (Kitamura et al., 1995; Guo et al., 2011b), with short 200 ms 177 pulses every 2 s. Comprehensive optimisation of these ultrasonic acoustic parameters, made 178 according to the material type and architecture, may achieve greater reductions in 179 180 colonisation rate. Crucially, the use of short, intermittent pulses may alleviate interference to sensor measurements caused by structural vibrations, where these measurements are made in 181 between pulses. Another, important consideration is the power consumption of ultrasonic 182 anti-fouling over a long deployment, which is a potential limitation of this method compared 183

184 to, for example, the use of biocidal coatings. The Clear Hull 110 system configuration used for this study operated with a total power of 16.08 W from a 12 V transformer, connected to 185 the mains supply (240 V). This generated a consumption of 134 mAh (based on 200 ms 186 pulses every 2 s), which could be sustained for a period of 30 days using a battery pack 187 containing 24 D-cell batteries (assuming 3.6 V / 17 Ah cells working with 4 batteries in 188 series, with 6 series in parallel), or equivalent. This is within reasonable specifications, 189 however significant reductions in power consumption could be achieved by further 190 optimisation of the electronics and the ultrasonic acoustic parameters. The findings of this 191 investigation show considerable promise for the development and commercialisation of 192 ultrasonic anti-fouling systems for sensor housings and support structures, in addition to the 193 194 systems already available for the protection of marine vessels.

196 Testing Equipment. The effect of ultrasonic acoustic vibration on the adhesion of microorganisms to three sensor housing materials, Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), 197 Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and Copper (Cu), was evaluated using submersible assemblies, 198 199 shown in Figure 1. Each assembly was fabricated from a 3 mm thick stainless steel plate (Marine Grade 316), onto which were mounted an array of removable "Packets" supporting a 200 sample of each material, cut to the equivalent size of a conventional glass microscope slide 201 202 (25 mm by 75 mm). The packets were constructed from rigid, glass-filled Nylon blocks (100 mm by 95 mm) secured to the steel plate at each corner using 20 mm A4 grade M4 hex head 203 machine screws (Din 933) with A4 grade M4 Nylock nuts. The materials were secured within 204 a recessed portion of the Nylon surface by two lateral stainless steel bars, screwed into the 205 Nylon using 12 mm A4 stainless steel M4 machine screws. Each slide was cleaned with 206 207 detergent and 70 % (v/v) ethanol solution to remove any residual grease and debris prior to deployment. The entire assembly was housed within an opaque polypropylene box featuring 208 baffled openings to allow water movement and prevent large marine life from entering, and 209 210 secured to the back plate using a 12 mm A4 stainless steel M4 machine screw at each corner. Two testing assemblies were deployed simultaneously, either with or without the application 211 of ultrasonic vibration provided by a Clear Hull 110 ultrasonic anti-fouling system 212 (Ultrasonic Works Ltd, UK). The Clear Hull 110 features an aluminium transducer attached 213 to a control unit by a water-proof cable, and powered from a 12 V transformer connected to a 214 240 V (mains) electrical supply. The transducer was fixed to the stainless steel back plate 215 through a central 11 mm hole. It was set to emit 200 ms pulses at 2 s intervals, tuned to a 216 frequency of 20 kHz with a total power of 16.08 W. A sacrificial Zn anode was attached to 217 the back plate containing the aluminium transducer to prevent corrosion. The equipment was 218 hung at a depth of 1.5 meters from a pontoon within the Empress Dock, Southampton (UK) 219

between the 8th of July and the 5th of August 2015. During this time the Empress Dock water had a temperature of between 18.6 and 19.1 $^{\circ}$ C, and a mean salinity of 31 ± PSU.

Sample Recovery. Three "Packets" were recovered from each assembly after 1, 3, 14, 21 or 28 days post deployment. At each time point, the assemblies were removed from the water and the back plates were released from their housings. The packets were unbolted and immersed in 0.2 μ m filtered dock water for later analysis. The equipment was reassembled and submerged within five minutes to minimise disruption to the remaining samples.

228 Fluorescence Microscopy. Each sample of material was washed three times by immersion in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) (pH 7.4). Then, the materials were immersed 229 in 5 mL of PBS containing 100 µL of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich, 230 UK) in darkness for 5 minutes. These were removed to air, and kept in darkness, at room 231 temperature for 20 minutes prior to observation using an EVOS[™] FL cell imaging 232 microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a DAPI filter set (344-357 nm Excitation; 447-233 460 nm Emission). Images were captured using a 10x objective from ten locations on each 234 slide, which were selected at random by deriving coordinates using a random number 235 generator. Images were collected from each material sample, at each time point over the 236 237 course of the deployment. Each image was analysed using the thresholding function of ImageJ Image Analysis Software (Schneider et al., 2012) to estimate the percentage 238 coverage. Equivalent thresholding limits were applied to each image in order to make a fair 239 240 comparison.

Propidium Monoazide (PMA) Pre-treatment and DNA Extraction. PMA pretreatment was carried out according to published methods (Magiopoulos et al., 2016). Each sample of material was immersed in 10 mL of a sterile PBS (pH 7.4) and the surface biofouling was removed using a sterile Buccal swab (IsoHelix, UK). The PBS and swab head were transferred to a sterile, tube, vortexed, and divided into two samples. One sample was mixed with 2.5 μ L of a 50 μ M solution of PMA, and the other was mixed with 2.5 μ L of sterile water. The samples were left in darkness for 5 minutes and then, on ice, irradiated with white light from two 650 W lamps (FLASH 2000 L, DTS, Italy) placed approximately 20 cm from the samples for 3 min. Each sample was washed three times in sterile PBS prior to DNA extraction using the PowerWater DNA isolation kit (MoBio Inc., USA) according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol.

252 Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR). The DNA recovered from each sample of material, with or without PMA pre-treatment, was used to quantify the number of 253 16S rRNA gene copies using qPCR. PCR was carried out using universal bacterial primers, 254 341F and 785R and 5 PRIME HotMaster Taq DNA Polymerase (5 PRIME GmbH, Germany) 255 according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Each reaction was spiked with EvaGreen 256 257 DNA binding dye (Biotium, USA) and 5 μ L of template DNA; the total volume was 20 μ L. The thermal cycling parameters were 94 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 258 20 seconds, 46 °C for 10 seconds and 65 °C for 50 seconds with a final elongation step of 65 259 °C for 10 minutes. The reactions were carried out in triplicate, and measured in real-time 260 using an Mx3005P real-time thermalcycler (Agilent Technologies, USA). A post-261 amplification, high-resolution melting curve was plotted to ensure product specificity. The 262 efficiency of the PCR amplification was determined according to the method of Pfaffl (Pfaffl, 263 2001), and was found to be 97 %. Quantification of 16S rRNA gene fragments was achieved 264 265 by comparing the threshold cycle (Ct) values obtained from the samples with those from a dilution series of custom made 16S rRNA gene DNA standards with concentrations ranging 266 from 2,000,000 to 200 copies per reaction, as described by Magiopoulos et al (Magiopoulos 267 et al., 2016). 268

269 **Statistical Analysis.** The results of the DAPI-fluorescence analysis, and qPCR 270 analysis were tested, where appropriate, for statistical significance using the Paired T-test 271 with a 95 % confidence. Statistical tests were carried out using Minitab (Minitab Ltd, UK; 272 version 17).

273 Acknowledgements

- 274 This work was part funded by the EU Framework 7 project SenseOCEAN,
- grant no. 614141. The authors wish to thank Ultrasonic Works Ltd for provision of the
- 276 Clear Hull 110 ultrasonic anti-fouling system.
- 277 **Conflicts of Interest**
- None declared.

279

280 **References**

- Branscomb, E.S., and Rittschof, D. (1984). An investigation of low frequency sound waves as a
 means of inhibiting barnacle settlement. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 79,
 149-154.
- 284 Callow, M.E., and Callow, J.A. (2002). Marine Biofouling: A Sticky Problem. Biologist 49.
- 285 De Carellan, I.G., Moustakidis, S., Legg, M., Dave, R., Kappatos, V., Selcuk, S., Jost, P., Krause,
- 286 H.J., Seton, J., Gan, T.H., et al. (2014). Characterization of Ultrasonic Wave Propagation in the
- Application of Prevention of Fouling on a Ships Hull. In International Conference on Maritime
- 288Technology (Glasgow, UK).
- Delauney, L., Compère, C., and Lehaitre, M. (2010). Biofouling protection for marine environmental
 sensors. Ocean Sci *6*, 503-511.
- Gittens, J.E., Smith, T.J., Suleiman, R., and Akid, R. (2013). Current and emerging environmentally-
- friendly systems for fouling control in the marine environment. Biotechnology Advances *31*, 1738-1753.
- Guo, S., Lee, H.P., and Khoo, B.C. (2011a). Inhibitory effect of ultrasound on barnacle
- 295 (Amphibalanus amphitrite) cyprid settlement. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology296 409, 253-258.
- 297 Guo, S.F., Lee, H.P., Chaw, K.C., Miklas, J., Teo, S.L.M., Dickinson, G.H., Birch, W.R., and Khoo,
- B.C. (2011b). Effect of ultrasound on cyprids and juvenile barnacles. Biofouling 27, 185-192.
- Hart, J.K., and Martinez, K. (2006). Environmental Sensor Networks: A revolution in the earth system
 science? Earth-Science Reviews 78, 177-191.
- Kitamura, H., Takahashi, K., and Kanamaru, D. (1995). Inhibitory Effect of Ultrasonic Waves on the
 Larval Settlement of the Barnacle, Balanus amphitrite in the Laboratory. Marine fouling *12*, 9-13.
- Legg, M., Yücel, M.K., Garcia de Carellan, I., Kappatos, V., Selcuk, C., and Gan, T.H. (2015).
 Acoustic methods for biofouling control: A review. Ocean Engineering *103*, 237-247.
- Magiopoulos, I., McQuillan, J.S., Burd, C.L., Mowlem, M., and Tsaloglou, M.N. (2016). A multiparametric assessment of decontamination protocols for the subglacial Lake Ellsworth probe. Journal
- parametric assessment of decontamination protocols for the subglacial Lake Ellsworth probe. Journalof Microbiological Methods *123*, 87-93.
- 308 Manov, D.V., Chang, G.C., and Dickey, T.D. (2004). Methods for Reducing Biofouling of Moored
- 309 Optical Sensors. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology *21*, 958-968.
- Mazue, G., Viennet, R., Hihn, J.Y., Carpentier, L., Devidal, P., and Albaïna, I. (2011). Large-scale
 ultrasonic cleaning system: Design of a multi-transducer device for boat cleaning (20 kHz).
 Ultrasonics Sonochemistry *18*, 895-900.
- 313 Mermillod-Blondin, F., Fauvet, G., Chalamet, A., and Creuzé des Châtelliers, M. (2001). A
- Comparison of Two Ultrasonic Methods for Detaching Biofilms from Natural Substrata. International
 Review of Hydrobiology *86*, 349-360.
- 316 Pfaffl, M.W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT–PCR.
- 317 Nucleic Acids Research 29, e45-e45.
- Qian, Z., Sagers, R.D., and Pitt, W.G. (1997). The effect of ultrasonic frequency upon enhanced
 killing of P. aeruginosa biofilms. Annals of Biomedical Engineering 25, 69-76.
- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Meth *9*, 671-675.
- 322 Terlizzi, A., Fraschetti, S., Gianguzza, P., Faimali, M., and Boero, F. (2001). Environmental impact of
- antifouling technologies: state of the art and perspectives. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and
 Freshwater Ecosystems 11, 311-317.
- Videla, H.A., and Characklis, W.G. (1992). Special Issue Microbially Influenced CorrosionBiofouling
- and microbially influenced corrosion. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 29, 195-212.
- Whelan, A., and Regan, F. (2006). Antifouling strategies for marine and riverine sensors. Journal of
 Environmental Monitoring *8*, 880-886.
- 329

330 Figure Legends.

331 Figure 1. Photographs of the ultrasonic anti-fouling testing apparatus. The apparatus was carefully designed to enable the synchronous evaluation of bio-fouling on three materials, 332 using a single ultrasonic transducer, and wherein a sample of each material could be 333 334 recovered at regular intervals, and in a short period of time to minimise disruption to the experiment. "Packets" were prepared to contain small samples of marine sensor housing 335 materials, Cu (top), PMMA (middle) and PVC (bottom), fixed to glass-filled Nylon blocks by 336 337 stainless steel lateral support plates (Panel A). The packets were bolted to a stainless steel back-plate featuring a central hole (Panel B), used to affix a ClearHull 110 ultrasonic 338 transducer (Panel C). This was connected to a control module on the pontoon, via a 339 waterproof cable, and powered by a 12 V transformer connected to a mains (240 V) power 340 supply (not shown). The assemblies were enclosed within a plastic housing featuring baffled 341 342 openings to restrict the entry of large debris / marine life, whilst allowing water circulation over the surfaces (shown in Panel D with or without the steel plate attached). Two identical 343 assemblies were deployed, with or without the ClearHull 110 ultrasonic transducer. 344

Figure 2. Percentage cover by DAPI-stained biological matter on the surfaces of sensor housing materials. The materials were deployed for up to 28 days, with or without ultrasonic anti-fouling. The results represent the mean from at least 3 replicate samples, recovered at each time point. The error bars show the standard deviation of the mean. Statistically significant differences (t-test, P > 0.05) between control and ultrasound are denoted with an asterisk.

Figure 3. Top: bacterial 16S rDNA gene copy number measured on PMMA and PVC, after 14, 21 or 28 days with or without ultrasonic anti-fouling. The results show the mean from at least 3 material samples, and the error bars show the standard deviation. Statistically significant differences (t-test, P > 0.05) between control and ultrasound are denoted with an

- asterisk. Bottom: the table shows the estimated percentage of viable bacteria on each material
- sample based on a comparison between PMA pre-treated and untreated samples.













Time Point	% Viability on PVC		% Viability on PMMA	
	Control	Ultrasound	Control	Ultrasound
Day 14	32.24	5.62	6.42	21.53
Day 21	44.98	34.20	10.71	9.63
Day 28	21.41	94.97	25.85	16.13