Accepted Manuscript

The Impact of Atmospheric Storminess on the Sensitivity of Southern Ocean Circulation to Wind Stress Changes

D.R. Munday, X. Zhai

 PII:
 S1463-5003(17)30067-7

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.05.005

 Reference:
 OCEMOD 1208

To appear in: Ocean Modelling

Received date:	27 February 2017
Revised date:	4 May 2017
Accepted date:	13 May 2017

Please cite this article as: D.R. Munday, X. Zhai, The Impact of Atmospheric Storminess on the Sensitivity of Southern Ocean Circulation to Wind Stress Changes, *Ocean Modelling* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.05.005

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Highlights

- Increased wind stress can be caused by increased mean atmospheric wind or increased variability of the atmospheric wind.
- The impact of atmospheric wind variability is tested in an idealised Southern Ocean channel model.
- Overturning circulation is more sensitive to wind stress changes when the stress is altered due to changes in variability.
- Increase in sensitivity tied to changes in near-surface dissipation and the dissipation mechanism for kinetic energy.

The Impact of Atmospheric Storminess on the Sensitivity of Southern Ocean Circulation to Wind Stress Changes

D.R. Munday^{a,b,*}, X. Zhai^{c,d}

^aBritish Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB30 0ET, UK ^bAtmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK ^cSchool of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK.

^aSchool of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK. ^dSchool of Marine Science, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China.

Abstract

The influence of changing the mean wind stress felt by the ocean through alteration of the variability of the atmospheric wind, as opposed to the mean atmospheric wind, on Southern Ocean circulation is investigated using an idealised channel model. Strongly varying atmospheric wind is found to increase the (parameterised) near-surface viscous and diffusive mixing. Analysis of the kinetic energy budget indicates a change in the main energy dissipation mechanism. For constant wind stress, dissipation of the power input by surface wind work is always dominated by bottom kinetic energy dissipation. However, with time-varying atmospheric wind, near surface viscous dissipation of kinetic energy becomes increasingly important as mean wind stress increases. This increased vertical diffusivity leads to thicker mixed layers and

Preprint submitted to Ocean Modelling

^{*}Corresponding author

 $[\]mathit{Email\ addresses:\ }$ danday@bas.ac.uk (D.R. Munday), xiaoming.zhai@uea.ac.uk (X. Zhai)

higher sensitivity of the residual circulation to increasing wind stress, when compared to equivalent experiments with the same wind stress held constant in time. This may have implications for Southern Ocean circulation in different climate change scenarios should the variability of the atmospheric wind change rather than the mean atmospheric wind.

Keywords: Ocean modelling, Eddy-resolving, Eddy kinetic energy, Surface wind stress, Residual overturning, Near-surface mixing

1 1. Introduction

The Southern Ocean (SO) is believed to have a strong influence on global climate via its Residual Meridional Overturning Circulation (RMOC) and the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) (Meredith et al., 2011). These lead to the upwelling of deep water masses and a zonal connection between major ocean basins, respectively. The Southern Ocean is subject to strong atmospheric winds and makes a large regional contribution to the global integral of mechanical power input to the ocean due to the combination of large zonal wind stress and strong zonal ocean currents (Wunsch, 1998).

Mesoscale eddies play a prominent role in the momentum budget of the 10 Southern Ocean (Munk and Palmén, 1951; Johnson and Bryden, 1989). They 11 flux a large amount of heat southwards (Bryden, 1979; Jayne and Marotzke, 12 2002; Meijers et al., 2007) and dominate the dissipation of kinetic energy at 13 the bottom of the water column (Cessi et al., 2006; Cessi, 2008; Abernathey 14 et al., 2011). The use of eddy-resolving, or at least eddy-permitting, nu-15 merical models allows the emergence of two dynamical phenomena that have 16 been dubbed eddy saturation and eddy compensation. 17

Eddy saturation refers to the loss of sensitivity of the volume transport of 18 a circumpolar current to changes in wind stress (Hallberg and Gnanadesikan, 19 2006; Tansley and Marshall, 2001). This loss of sensitivity can extend to the 20 limit of no zonal wind stress (Munday et al., 2013) and changes in the sensi-21 tivity can be linked to the zonal momentum balance of the current (Munday 22 et al., 2015). The degree of eddy saturation that a given model configuration 23 achieves is subject to subtleties due, for example, to the inclusion of shallow 24 coastal areas (Hogg and Munday, 2014) or the structure of the wind forcing 25 (Nadeau and Straub, 2009, 2012). 26

Eddy compensation is the reduced sensitivity to changes in wind stress of 27 the RMOC when eddies are resolved or permitted (Viebahn and Eden, 2010; 28 Abernathey et al., 2011). Although complementary to eddy saturation, eddy 29 compensation is dynamically distinct (Meredith et al., 2012; Morrison and 30 Hogg, 2013). Like eddy saturation, the degree to which a particular model's 31 RMOC is compensated depends on several different aspects of the model 32 including, but not limited to, whether the surface buoyancy forcing is fixed 33 flux vs. restoring to a fixed buoyancy (Abernathey et al., 2011, henceforth 34 AMF11) and even the particular timescale used in the restoring condition 35 (Zhai and Munday, 2014, henceforth ZM14). 36

Investigations into eddy saturation and eddy compensation using numerical models typically involve varying the magnitude of the mean wind stress in the Southern Ocean, without concern as to whether this variation is due to changes in the mean atmospheric wind or atmospheric variability. In practice, changes of the mean stress may be brought about by either, owing to the nonlinear dependence of the wind stress on the wind (Zhai, 2013). This is

illustrated in Fig. 1a, which shows the mean zonal wind (blue line) from the 43 National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis (Kalnay 44 et al., 1996) as well as the square root of the Eddy Kinetic Energy (EKE) of 45 the atmospheric wind (red line). Clearly the variability of the wind is signif-46 icant at every latitude, with particularly large values in the Southern Ocean. 47 In Fig. 1b we show the time-mean wind stress (blue line), which includes 48 data from every timestep of the reanalysis, and the wind stress calculated 40 from the mean wind alone using the bulk formula of Large and Pond (1981) 50 (red line). This highlights how variability of the atmospheric wind makes a 51 large contribution to the mean wind stress felt by the ocean, particularly at 52 mid and high latitudes (Zhai, 2013). 53

54

[Figure 1 about here.]

Variability of the atmospheric wind results in time-varying wind stress, 55 which is capable of exciting near-inertial motions in the surface ocean. Re-56 cent studies (Furuichi et al., 2008; Zhai et al., 2009; Rath et al., 2014) show 57 that the majority of the wind energy input to the near-inertial motions is 58 dissipated and lost to turbulent mixing within the upper 200 m, contributing 59 to deepening of the mixed layer and cooling of the sea surface temperature. 60 Jouanno et al. (2016) demonstrate that the passage of storms over an ide-61 alised Southern Ocean leads to a slight enhancement of both mean and eddy 62 kinetic energy. Energy dissipation at depth is also increased, in part due to 63 the generation of more near-inertial waves. In their experiments with storms, there is a shift in the energy balance such that more energy is dissipated 65 66 by vertical viscous processes with respect to a stormless control experiment.

This enhanced dissipation is found to be sensitive to the strength of the wind 67 stress and the propagation speed and strength of the storms, with increases 68 in any of these leading to further enhancement of the viscous dissipation. 69 Turbulent mixing associated with energy dissipation is also likely to con-70 tribute to water mass transformation processes in the surface diabatic layer. 71 Wind stress variability can play a direct role in mode water formation via the 72 destruction or creation of potential vorticity at ocean fronts (Thomas, 2005) 73 or by generating wave-induced vertical mixing (Shu et al., 2011). Changes 74 in the mode of variability of atmospheric wind, i.e. ENSO or the Southern 75 Annular Mode, has been observed to change the dominant creation mecha-76 nism for Subantarctic Mode Water (Naveira Garabato et al., 2009). In other 77 words, there may be a role for wind-induced near-inertial energy and/or wind 78 variability to play in the emergence of eddy saturation and compensation due 79 to changes in the mode and intensity of near surface dissipation. 80

In this paper we aim to investigate how changing the wind stress felt by 81 the ocean via an increase in the variability of the atmospheric wind, instead 82 of the mean wind, impacts upon eddy saturation and eddy compensation. In 83 Section 2 we give a brief description of the experimental design and model 84 domain. Section 3 describes the circulation achieved at the control wind 85 stress. Section 4 discusses the sensitivity to wind stress of the model's energy 86 budget under conditions of varying wind. Section 5 discusses the sensitivity 87 of the Southern Ocean circulation to wind stress changes. We close with a 88 summary and discussion of our results in Section 6.

90 2. Experimental Design

In order to investigate the impact of time-varying atmospheric wind 91 on Southern Ocean dynamics we adopt the idealised MIT general circula-92 tion model (MITgcm, see Marshall et al., 1997a,b) configuration of AMF11, 93 adapted to a coarser grid spacing by ZM14 and used by Munday and Zhai 94 (2015, henceforth MZ15) to investigate the role of relative wind stress, in 95 which the effect of ocean current speed on surface wind stress is taken into 96 account, on Southern Ocean circulation. The model domain is a zonally re-97 entrant channel that is 1000km in zonal extent, nearly 2000km in meridional 98 extent, and 2985m deep with a flat bottom. There are 33 geopotential lev-90 els whose thickness increase with depth, ranging from 10m at the surface to 100 250m for the bottom-most level. 101

The horizontal grid spacing is chosen to be 10km, which is sufficiently fine 102 so as to permit a vigorous eddy field without incurring undue computational 103 cost. Strictly speaking, this grid spacing makes the model eddy-permitting, 104 rather than eddy-resolving, since it does not resolve the first baroclinic defor-105 mation radius throughout the model domain. In particular, it cannot resolve 106 the eddy formation process. However, when mature, i.e. at their maximum 107 size/strength, eddies are typically several deformation radius across. Fur-108 thermore, this grid spacing is fine enough that substantial eddy saturation 109 of the zonal transport occurs in domains with bottom bathymetry (Munday 110 et al., 2015). As such, we deem it sufficient for our purposes. 111

[Table 1 about here.]

113

112

We employ the K-profile parameterisation (KPP) vertical mixing scheme

(Large et al., 1994) and a linear bottom friction. The equation of state is linear and only temperature variations are considered. The model is set on β -plane. Parameter values for bottom friction, viscosity, etc, are as given in Table 1. The schematic in Fig. 2 indicates the meridional cross-section of the model configuration and forcing, including the northern boundary sponge (see below for details).

[Figure 2 about here.]

120

1

The model's potential temperature, θ , is forced by a constant heat flux at the surface and restored to a prescribed stratification in a sponge layer within 100km of the northern boundary. The surface heat flux is given by

$$Q(y) = \begin{cases} -Q_0 \sin(3\pi y/L_y), & \text{for } y < L_y/3\\ 0, & \text{for } y > L_y/3 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where Q_0 is the magnitude if the flux and L_y is the meridional extent of the domain, as per AMF11 and ZM14, with y = 0km placed at the centre of the domain following MZ15. This broadly describes the observed distribution of surface buoyancy flux around the SO (see Fig. 1 of AMF11). Within 100km of the northern boundary, potential temperature is restored to the stratification given by

$$\theta_N(z) = \Delta \theta \left(e^{z/h_e} - e^{-H/h_e} \right) / \left(1 - e^{-H/h_e} \right).$$
⁽²⁾

This describes exponential decay with depth from a surface temperature given by $\Delta\theta$ to 0 at depth -H (the total depth of the domain) with an

¹³⁴ e-folding scale height of h_e . The restoring time scale for the sponge varies ¹³⁵ from ∞ (no restoring) at the southern edge of the sponge to 7 days at the ¹³⁶ northern edge of the domain. The sponge restoring profile and surface heat ¹³⁷ flux are as shown in Figs. 3a and 3b, respectively.

[Figure 3 about here.]

138

146

In contrast to AMF11 and ZM14, we do not prescribe the wind stress in all of our experiments. Instead we prescribe 10m atmospheric wind velocity and use the bulk formulae of Large and Pond (1981) to calculate the wind stress. These formulae use arguments based on vertical turbulent transport to represent the transfer of momentum between the atmosphere and the ocean as a stress. MZ15 use so-called relative wind stress, which applies the most physically complete bulk formula given by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{relative} = \rho_a c_d \left| \mathbf{U}_{10} - \mathbf{u}_s \right| \left(\mathbf{U}_{10} - \mathbf{u}_s \right), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{U}_{10} = (U_{10}, V_{10})$ is the 10m (atmospheric) wind velocity, $\mathbf{u}_s = (u_s, v_s)$ is the surface ocean velocity, ρ_a is air density, and c_d is a drag coefficient, which itself is a weak function of $\mathbf{U}_{10} - \mathbf{u}_s$.

MZ15 found that the use of relative wind stress had little effect on the sensitivity of the SO RMOC to wind stress and that eddy saturation still emerged. In addition, initial experiments combining variable atmospheric winds with the relative wind stress formulation indicated that, in this particular model domain, the impact of relative wind stress was swamped by the time-varying winds. Therefore, in the interests of clarity, we choose to neglect the surface ocean currents in the calculation of wind stress and instead

9

use the resting ocean approximation. In this limit, the wind stress is givenby

165

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \rho_a c_d \left| \mathbf{U}_{10} \right| \mathbf{U}_{10}. \tag{4}$$

Further, we split the wind into a mean component, $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10}$, and a perturbation, \mathbf{U}'_{10} , such that $\mathbf{U}_{10} = \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10} + \mathbf{U}'_{10}$, allowing us to write

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \rho_a c_d \left| \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10} + \mathbf{U}'_{10} \right| \left(\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10} + \mathbf{U}'_{10} \right).$$
(5)

In our experiments, the mean 10m atmospheric wind velocity, $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10}$, is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10} = \mathbf{U}_0 \cos\left(\pi y / L_y\right),\tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{U}_0 = (U_x, U_y)$ is the peak wind velocity in the zonal and meridional direction. This is the same profile of mean wind as used by MZ15. In contrast to MZ15, we specify $U_x = 7 \text{ms}^{-1}$ and $U_y = 0 \text{ms}^{-1}$ and vary \mathbf{U}'_{10} with pseudo-random perturbations to change $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, instead of increasing U_x .

In our first set of experiments, referred to as the stochastic wind experiments, additive white Gaussian noise is used to perturb the wind profile given by Eq. (6). Every six hours a pseudo-random number from a standard normal distribution is generated using the polar algorithm attributed to Marsaglia and Bray (1964). Each experiment uses the same sequence of pseudo-random numbers, which does not repeat over the life of the experiments.

To generate the wind perturbation, the sequence of pseudo-random numbers is multiplied by the desired standard deviation of the wind speed, σ_{τ} . The wind profile of Eq. (6) is then uniformly adjusted by this amount, e.g. if a perturbation of 3.21ms⁻¹ is generated, the peak zonal wind would be
10.21ms⁻¹ and the minimum wind at the northern and southern boundary
would be 3.21ms⁻¹. This is illustrated in Fig. 3c by the grey shading, which
shows the wind profile for one standard deviation of 9ms⁻¹ to either side of
the mean zonal wind profile given by Eq. (6).

The experi-We use values of σ_{τ} of 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18 and 21ms^{-1} . 185 ment with a standard deviation of $9ms^{-1}$ is chosen as the control since this 186 matches the roughly constant standard deviation of the NCEP winds over 187 the Southern Ocean, as shown in Fig. 1a. This value of σ_{τ} gives a peak mean 188 wind stress of 0.17Nm⁻², which is close to the mean NCEP wind stress in 189 Fig. 1b (blue line) and the control experiments of AMF11, ZM14 and MZ15. 190 The mean wind stress that results for $\sigma_{\tau} = 0, 9$, and 21ms^{-1} are shown in 191 Fig. 3d. The peak wind stress that results from the different values of σ_{τ} are 192 shown in Fig. 4 with the control experiment highlighted using a hexagram. 193 The resulting relationship is roughly quadratic, as one would from Eq. (4), 194 with a weak cubic term due to c_d also varying weakly with \mathbf{U}_{10} . 195

196

[Figure 4 about here.]

¹⁹⁷ The second set of experiments are forced by 50-year averages of the wind ¹⁹⁸ stress from the stochastic wind experiments. These will be referred to as ¹⁹⁹ the equivalent stress experiments. By diagnosing the wind stress from the ²⁰⁰ stochastic wind experiments we ensure the same pattern of mean wind stress. ²⁰¹ However, because these experiments use a constant pattern of wind stress ²⁰² they are effectively changing $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{10}$, instead of \mathbf{U}'_{10} , to alter the mean wind ²⁰³ stress. This is expected to have a different impact upon the near-inertial wave field and other near surface mixing processes, and thus may impact
upon the sensitivity of the circumpolar transport and meridional overturning
to changes in wind stress.

The stochastic wind experiments are begun from the end of the 800 year 207 statistically steady control experiment of ZM14. The experiments have the 208 wind stress used by ZM14 replaced with the zonal wind as described above 209 and are run for a further 400 years. At the end of this second phase of spin 210 up we take a 50 year average of the zonal wind stress and use this to drive the 211 equivalent wind stress experiments. Both the stochastic and equivalent wind 212 stress experiments are then run to statistical equilibrium. All our results 213 are drawn from a final 50 year diagnostic phase in which long-term averages 214 are made. There is a slight discrepancy in the peak wind stress for this 215 diagnostic run between the stochastic wind experiments and the equivalent 216 stress experiments. This is due to the pseudo-random nature of the wind 217 perturbations for the stochastic wind stress experiments, which are only an 218 approximation to a true normal distribution, and the finite length of the 219 diagnostic run. This discrepancy is < 0.5% for the control experiments and 220 $\sim 1.5\%$ for the extremes. 221

222

[Table 2 about here.]

223 3. The Control State

3.1. Zonal Circulation of the Control State

Due to the flat bottomed nature of the model domain, the time-average flow is zonally-symmetric with time-mean streamlines and temperature contours running east-west. This is much the same as in AMF11, ZM14 and

Nevertheless, instantaneously a vigorous mesoscale eddy field is MZ15. 228 present resulting in complex non-zonal streamlines and temperature con-229 tours. EKE is likewise zonally symmetric with higher values towards the cen-230 tre of the channel and close to the surface. In both control experiments, peak 231 values of EKE at the surface exceed $0.05 \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, which is typical in observed 232 estimates and high resolution models (see, e.g., Delworth et al., 2012). How-233 ever, the zonal-mean EKE values are somewhat elevated due to the strong 234 zonal symmetry and lack of EKE localisation by bottom bathymetry. This 235 tends to give high values throughout the channel. 236

Following MZ15 and Munday et al. (2015), we decompose the total circumpolar transport, T_{ACC} , into the bottom transport, T_b , and the thermal wind transport, T_{tw} , such that $T_{ACC} = T_b + T_{tw}$. The bottom transport is simply the flow in the bottom model level integrated over the full crosssectional area of the channel. The thermal wind transport is then calculated as the residual of T_{ACC} and T_b and is what would be obtained from using the temperature field in a thermal wind shear calculation.

The total circumpolar transport of the stochastic wind stress control, with a peak wind stress of 0.17Nm⁻², is 621Sv. Of this 542Sv resides in T_b and 78Sv in T_{tw} . The circumpolar transport for the equivalent stress control experiment varies slightly from the stochastic control (see Table 2), with a T_b of 548Sv and a T_{tw} of 82Sv. This is due to the slight discrepancy in the wind stress, noted in Section 2, and differences in isopycnal slope between the two control experiments.

The very large T_b of both control experiments is a consequence of the momentum balance in a flat bottomed channel, which leads to the bottom

flow accelerating until surface momentum input from the wind is balanced by bottom friction (see, e.g., Gill and Bryan, 1971; Bryan and Cox, 1972). The approximate momentum balance of the channel can be written as

$$rac{\langle \overline{ au}_x
angle}{
ho_0} pprox r_b \left< \overline{u}_b
ight>,$$

(7)

where $\langle \overline{\tau}_x \rangle$ is the time and zonal average of the zonal wind stress, $\langle \overline{u}_b \rangle$ is 257 the time and zonal average zonal velocity in the bottom level of the model, 258 ρ_0 is the Boussinesq reference density, and r_b is the linear bottom friction 259 coefficient. Since $\langle \overline{\tau}_x \rangle$, ρ_0 and r_b are the same for both control experiments, 260 the zonally-averaged zonal flow in their model bottom level, $\langle \overline{u}_b \rangle$, must also 261 be roughly the same. In a model with bathymetry high enough so as to 262 block geostrophic contours, the near bottom flow is much weaker and T_b 263 correspondingly lower (see, e.g., Munday et al., 2015). 264

The thermal wind transport of both controls is below that of the real ACC, which recent estimates place at around 134Sv (Meredith et al., 2011). This is due to a combination of factors that include the cross-channel temperature difference being lower than in some parts of the SO and the stratification also being potentially shallower than in some locations. These would combine to give a lower thermal wind shear than in the real SO and therefore a lower T_{tw} .

272 3.2. Residual Overturning of the Control State 273 [Figure 5 about here.]

256

Following AMF11 and ZM14/MZ15, the model's residual overturning, Ψ_{res} , is calculated using temperature as the vertical coordinate and re-binning

the model's meridional velocities into temperature layers 0.2°C thick. This 276 is an online calculation that includes information from every model timestep 277 to ensure that high frequency motions are captured. The RMOC is then 278 mapped back to vertical coordinates using the time and zonal mean thickness 279 of each temperature layer. The bolus overturning, Ψ^* , due to the integral 280 effects of the vigorous mesoscale eddy field, can then be calculated as the 281 difference between $\Psi_{\rm res}$ and the Eulerian overturning, $\overline{\Psi}$, calculated from the 282 time-average meridional velocity field. 283

Broadly speaking the RMOCs for the two control experiments look very 284 similar to, and have much in common with, the control experiment RMOCs 285 of AMF11 and ZM14/MZ15. As shown in Fig. 5, they consist of model 286 analogues of the clockwise North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) cell and the 287 anticlockwise Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) cell. An Antarctic Interme-288 diate Water (AAIW) cell also forms near the northern boundary, close to the 289 northern boundary restoring zone. The most noticeable difference between 290 the two RMOC's in Fig. 5 is that the stochastic wind stress experiment has 291 slightly stronger upwelling in its NADW cell and a slightly weaker AABW 292 cell. 293

In terms of the Southern Ocean's actual RMOC, both the stochastic and equivalent stress control experiments are of the right order of magnitude, with peak values of the NADW cell at 0.72Sv and 0.61Sv, respectively. Scaling the model domain up to the full extent of the real SO, a factor of 20-25, would give peak values of 14.4 - 18Sv and 12.2 - 15.25Sv. Estimates place the upwelling of the Southern Ocean in the 10 - 20Sv range (Marshall et al., 2006; Lumpkin and Speer, 2007).

Fig. 5 also shows that the mixed layer, defined as above the depth at 301 which the water is 0.8° C colder than the surface (above the grey line in 302 Fig. 5, see, e.g., Kara et al. (2000), for details), is slightly deeper for the 303 stochastic wind stress control. This is consistent with the increased vertical 304 viscosity/diffusivity provided by KPP as a result of the stochastic variation 305 of the wind stress leading to surface-intensified mixing. These are reported in 306 Table 2 as domain average values of 45/42 cm²s⁻¹ for the stochastic control, 307 compared with $24/18 \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ for the equivalent wind stress control. This 308 elevated mixing drives deepening of the mixed layer, as noted above, and 309 may make contributions to, for example, the budgets of momentum, kinetic 310 energy, temperature and temperature variance. 311

312 4. Sensitivity of the Energy Budget to Wind Stress Variability

313 4.1. Simple Energy Budget Diagnostics

314

[Figure 6 about here.]

As σ_{τ} increases in the stochastic wind stress experiments, the peak wind 315 stress increases as per Fig. 4, as it also does for the equivalent wind stress 316 experiments by construction. The stronger wind stress also does more work 317 at the surface, and thus power input into the model's circulation is higher. 318 Despite the mean wind stress being the same, the stochastic wind stress ex-319 periments have considerably more power entering the circulation via surface 320 wind work than the equivalent wind stress experiments (Fig. 6a, cf. blue 321 and red dots). This is due to the strong correlation in time between the 322 stochastic perturbations to the wind stress and the resulting ocean currents. 323

The surface wind work can be Reynolds averaged to write $\overline{\tau \cdot \mathbf{u}_s} = \overline{\tau} \cdot$ 324 $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_s + \overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}' \cdot \mathbf{u}'_s}$, with the subscript *s* indicating surface values. Diagnosis of 325 this decomposition for the stochastic wind stress experiments shows that an 326 increasingly large fraction of the power input from the wind stress comes 327 from the wind stress perturbations acting upon the velocity perturbations 328 (Fig. 6a, cf. blue and green dots). However, the work done by the mean 329 wind on the mean flow, i.e. the first term on the right-hand side of the above 330 decomposition, remains comparable to the total wind work in the equivalent 331 wind stress experiments (Fig. 6a, cf. red and green dots). 332

Surface wind work is estimated to input approximately 1TW of power into 333 the ocean circulation, with about half of this occurring in the SO (Wunsch 334 and Ferrari, 2004; Ferrari and Wunsch, 2009). The power input in the two 335 control simulations is 0.071TW and 0.044TW for the stochastic wind stress 336 and equivalent wind stress control experiments, respectively. Scaling this 337 up to the full extent of the SO, using a factor of 20-25, gives figures of 338 1.42 - 1.78TW and 0.88 - 1.1TW. Both these figures are over-estimates 339 caused by the strong zonal surface flow that results from using a flat bottom 340 and thus very strong correlation between the surface currents and the wind 341 stress. However, it is the surface wind stress operating on the baroclinic 342 shear that provides the power to drive the eddy energy (Abernathey et al., 343 2011) and so this excess power input should not invalidate our results. 344

Following Cessi et al. (2006) and Cessi (2008), the leading order mechanical eddy budget of the model is expected to be

$$\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{u}_s} \rangle \approx \rho_0 r_b \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}_b \cdot \mathbf{u}_b} \rangle.$$
 (8)

348 Applying Reynolds averaging to Eq. (8) gives

367

 $\left\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{s} \right\rangle + \left\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{s}'} \right\rangle \approx \rho_{0} r_{b} \left\langle \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{b} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{b} \right\rangle + \rho_{0} r_{b} \left\langle \overline{\mathbf{u}_{b}' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{b}'} \right\rangle. \tag{9}$

This approximate budget states that the power input by the surface wind work is balanced by bottom friction dissipation acting on the total kinetic energy. Due to the flat bottomed nature of the channel, we must retain the mean kinetic energy dissipation on the right-hand-side of Eq. (9).

The left- and right-hand sides of Eq. (9) are diagnosed in Fig. 6b. The 354 blue dots show the total power input due to wind stress against the total 355 bottom dissipation, i.e. the left-hand side of Eq. (8) plotted against its 356 right-hand side, for the stochastic wind stress experiments. The red dots are 357 the same diagnostics for the equivalent wind stress experiments. However, 358 the green dots plot the total bottom dissipation against the power input 359 from the mean wind acting on the mean flow, i.e. the right-hand side of 360 Eq. (9) against only the first term on its left-hand side. This highlights that 361 the strong correlation between the time-varying wind and the time-varying 362 ocean currents provides more power than the resulting flow can dissipate by 363 bottom friction processes alone. In contrast, the bottom dissipation of total 364 kinetic energy is sufficient to roughly balance the total wind work for the 365 equivalent wind stress experiments (Fig. 6b, red dots). 366

[Figure 7 about here.]

In a viscid fluid, viscosity redistributes momentum and dissipates energy, and so changes in viscosity can affect the dissipation of total kinetic energy. Examining the average diffusivities and viscosities that KPP calculates shows

a large increase over the range of wind forcing considered. In particular, the 371 vertical diffusivity/viscosity for any given stochastic wind stress experiment 372 is always higher than its in partner equivalent wind stress experiment, see 373 Fig. 7. The "missing" energy dissipation may therefore be accounted for by 374 vertical viscous dissipation. It is also possible that horizontal viscous forces 375 may remain equally, or more, important than vertical ones. Therefore, in 376 Section 4.2 we turn to a more complete estimate of the sinks and sources 377 of power within the model via the mechanical energy framework of Winters 378 et al. (1995). 379

380 4.2. Full Power Budget Diagnostics

Deriving a full mechanical energy budget for the ocean, particularly in 381 the presence of a nonlinear equation of state, is complicated by the large 382 gravitational potential energy of its stratification. This has led to a num-383 ber of different formulations based upon the earlier work of Winters et al. 384 (1995). The key difference between these formulations lies in their treatment 385 of the background gravitational potential energy, e.g. Tailleux (2009, 2013) 386 vs. Hughes et al. (2009) and Saenz et al. (2012), and the amount available 387 for potential energy to kinetic energy conversions. Recently, dynamical po-388 tential energy was proposed as a way to eliminate some of the complications 389 inherent to calculations of Available Potential Energy (APE) by defining a 390 new pressure variable (Roquet, 2013). 391

A complete treatment of the (available) potential energy, and thus the full mechanical energy budget, is beyond the scope of this paper. Instead, we concentrate on the changes to the kinetic energy budget due to a stochastic wind stress and outline the framework of Winters et al. (1995), using the ³⁹⁶ notation due to Hughes et al. (2009) and Hogg et al. (2013).

³⁹⁷ The volume integrated kinetic energy budget for a Boussinesq fluid is ³⁹⁸ given by (Winters et al., 1995; Hughes et al., 2009; Hogg et al., 2013)

$$\rho_0 \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial t} = \Phi_\tau - \Phi_z - \Phi_r - \epsilon,$$

400 where E_k is the volume integrated kinetic energy given by

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_V \overline{u^2 + v^2} \, \mathrm{d}V, \qquad (11)$$

and V is the volume of the model ocean. Henceforth, we assume statistical steady state such that the left-hand-side of Eq. (10) is zero. Φ_{τ} is the power source due to surface wind stress, Φ_z is the conversion between kinetic and potential energy, Φ_r is the power sink due to bottom friction, and ϵ is the power sink due to viscous stresses.

Surface wind stress does work on the surface currents and so acts as a source of power. For a time-varying wind stress, such as in our stochastic wind stress experiments, there are two components to the surface wind work, as per Eq. (9). The first is due to the mean wind stress acting on the mean surface velocities, $\Phi_{\overline{\tau}}$, and the second is due to wind stress perturbations acting on the surface perturbation velocities, $\Phi_{\tau'}$, i.e. $\Phi_{\tau} = \Phi_{\overline{\tau}} + \Phi_{\tau'}$. These two components are given by

$$\Phi_{\overline{\tau}} = \int_{S} \overline{\tau} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{s} \, \mathrm{d}S,\tag{12}$$

(10)

$$\Phi_{\tau'} = \int_{S} \overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}' \cdot \mathbf{u}'}_{s} \, \mathrm{d}S, \qquad (13)$$



401

where S is the surface of the ocean. 417

The conversion between kinetic and potential energy, found to be small 418 with respect to the main sources and sinks in the experiments presented here 419 and thus henceforth neglected, is given by 420

$$\Phi_z = \int_V \overline{\rho g w} \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

Linear bottom friction acts as a sink of power at the bottom of the model 422 domain. In an ocean with significant bathymetry, this sink is expected to be 423 dominated by the contribution from EKE (Cessi et al., 2006; Cessi, 2008). 424 However, we must retain the term due to dissipation of mean kinetic energy 425 at the bottom, as per Eq. (9). Hence, we write this sink as 426

$$\Phi_r = \int_S \rho_0 r_b \overline{\mathbf{u}_b \cdot \mathbf{u}_b} \, \mathrm{d}S. \tag{15}$$

(14)

(17)

The dissipation of kinetic energy due to viscous stresses is divided into two 428 parts, that due to horizontal viscosity, ϵ_h , and that due to vertical viscosity, 429 ϵ_v , i.e. $\epsilon = \epsilon_h + \epsilon_v$. These two components are given by 430

$$\epsilon_{h} = \rho_{0} \int_{V} A_{4} \overline{\nabla_{h} u \cdot \nabla_{h} (\nabla_{h}^{2} u)} + A_{4} \overline{\nabla_{h} v \cdot \nabla_{h} (\nabla_{h}^{2} v)} \, \mathrm{d}V, \qquad (16)$$

$$\epsilon_{v} = \rho_{0} \int_{V} \overline{A_{v} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{h}}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{h}}{\partial z}} \, \mathrm{d}V, \qquad (17)$$

431

427

42

where the subscript h implies the horizontal component of the vector under 434 consideration. Note that the vertical viscosity, A_v , may vary in time due 435 to the use of the KPP parameterisation and is harmonic. In contrast, the 436 horizontal biharmonic viscosity, A_4 , is a constant in space and time. 437

438 4.3. Sensitivity to Wind Stress of the Full Power Budget

Estimates of $\Phi_{\overline{\tau}}$, $\Phi_{\tau'}$, Φ_r , ϵ_h and ϵ_v were obtained from the 50-year di-439 agnostic run at statistical steady state. The changes that the sources and 440 sinks undergo is best illustrated by considering the control wind stress and 441 extreme wind stress cases for the stochastic and equivalent wind stress exper-442 iments. It is also useful to consider both the absolute and relative magnitude 443 for each term, as done in Figure 8. This highlights that there are changes in 444 the partitioning of dissipation between bottom friction and vertical viscous 445 dissipation as the variability of the atmospheric wind changes. 446

447

[Figure 8 about here.]

As the variability of the wind increases, so does the surface wind stress, 448 as shown in Fig. 4, and thus the power source to the ocean circulation 449 also increases (Fig. 6a). In terms of the framework outlined in Section 4.2, 450 $\Phi_{\overline{\tau}}$ and $\Phi_{\tau'}$ both increase. However, the fraction of the total power input 451 that comes from the mean wind stress acting on the mean ocean velocities 452 decreases. For the extreme stochastic wind stress experiment, roughly 2/3 of 453 the total power provided to the ocean circulation by the wind is due to $\Phi_{\tau'}$. 454 In contrast, at the control wind stress around 1/3 of the power input to the 455 ocean comes from $\Phi_{\tau'}$ (Fig. 8b, 1st and 3rd columns). 456

For all of the equivalent wind stress experiments, $\Phi_{\tau'} = 0$ by construction, and so the source of power at the surface is reduced. However, the magnitude of $\Phi_{\overline{\tau}}$ remains roughly the same between matched pairs of equivalent and stochastic wind stress experiments (see Figs. 6a and 8a, 3rd and 7th columns).

For the extreme wind stress experiments, there is a disparity between 462 the time-mean vertical viscosity that is provided by KPP between pairs of 463 stochastic and equivalent wind stress experiments (see Fig. 7a). The equiv-464 alent wind stress extreme shows an increase in magnitude for the dissipation 465 of KE due to vertical viscosity, relative to the control experiment (cf. Fig. 466 8a, 6th and 8th columns). However, the fraction of dissipation is roughly 467 the same as the control (cf. Fig. 8b, 6th and 8th column). This is a strong 468 contrast with the stochastic wind stress extreme experiment, which has more 469 power dissipated by vertical viscosity than it does by linear bottom friction 470 (Fig. 8a, 4th column). Furthermore, the fraction of power dissipated by ver-471 tical viscosity also increases between the stochastic wind stress control and 472 extreme (Fig. 8b, 2nd and 4th column). This fractional increase is roughly 473 in proportion to the fractional increase in power supplied by $\Phi_{\tau'}$ with respect 474 to $\Phi_{\overline{\tau}}$. 475

In summary, increasing the wind power input to the ocean causes an in-476 crease in the power dissipated by bottom friction. However, in the case of the 477 stochastic wind stress experiments, the increase in the power dissipated by 478 vertical viscous processes, i.e. KPP, increases by a greater proportion. This 479 leads to a change in the dominant power dissipation mechanism, consistent 480 with the results of Jouanno et al. (2016). For both sets of experiments, the 481 change in energy dissipation due to horizontal viscosity remains relatively 482 small. This increase in vertical viscous dissipation is brought about by the 483 increase in the vertical viscosity provided by KPP (see Fig. 7). 484

485 5. Sensitivity to Wind Stress of the Circulation

486 5.1. Sensitivity to Wind Stress of the Temperature Field and Zonal Transport

487

[Figure 9 about here.]

The increase in KPP's vertical viscosity shown in Fig. 7b alters the power budget of the model, such that at extreme wind stress variability more power is dissipated by vertical viscous processes than bottom friction. The increase in KPP's vertical diffusivity may also influence the model by dissipating temperature variance/potential energy. However, rather than diagnose the potential energy budget, it is simpler to examine the temperature structure as an overall summary of stratification and thermal wind shear changes.

The impact of the buoyancy budget alteration by high near-surface verti-495 cal diffusivity can be seen in Fig. 9, which shows the time and zonal average of 496 potential temperature for the control and extreme experiments. The control 497 experiments in Fig. 9a have similar stratification, allowing for the slightly 498 deeper mixed layer in the stochastic control. For the extreme stochastic ex-490 periment in Fig. 9b, the increase in the mixed layer diffusivity has led to 500 nearly vertical isotherms near the surface, but flatter isotherms at depth than 501 the extreme equivalent experiment. This reduces the cross-channel buoyancy 502 difference over most of the depth for the extreme stochastic wind stress ex-503 periment. Hence, its T_{tw} is lower than the extreme equivalent wind stress 504 experiment. In fact, as shown in Fig. 10 the control stochastic wind stress 505 experiment actually has the highest T_{tw} of all the stochastic experiments. 506

507

[Figure 10 about here.]

At low wind stresses, $\tau_0 < 0.2 \text{Nm}^{-2}$, both sets of experiments have very 508 similar T_{tw} . At these low stresses, not all isotherms outcrop at the surface, 509 and so the cross-channel buoyancy difference is lower than in the two controls, 510 leading to a reduced T_{tw} . As the wind stress increases, the two sets of experi-511 ments differ from each other. For the equivalent wind stress experiments, T_{tw} 512 increases quasi-linearly, much as with the experiments of MZ15. However, 513 the thermal wind transport of the stochastic wind stress experiments begins 514 to decrease and all 4 experiments with a peak mean wind stress greater than 515 the control actually have a lower T_{tw} than the control. This is most likely due 516 to the exceptionally large changes in the diffusivity that KPP prescribes as 517 σ_{τ} increases. Whilst this steepens the isopycnals in the mixed layer, it leads 518 to less steep isopycnals outside of the mixed layer, essentially via geometry, 519 and a reduced cross-channel buoyancy difference. 520

At a finer grid spacing, and/or higher wind stress, both the stochastic and equivalent wind stress may demonstrate a higher degree of eddy saturation than that in Fig. 10. However, it is impossible to say without running the experiments at considerable computational expense. It seems likely, however, that, should further increases in wind stress saturate the transport, then the stochastic wind stress experiments would achieve a substantially lower final transport than the equivalent wind stress experiments.

⁵²⁸ Changing wind stress can also alter T_{ACC} by T_b . However, by construc-⁵²⁹ tion, the equivalent wind stress experiments use wind stress diagnosed from ⁵⁴⁰ their stochastic partner. Hence, matched pairs of experiments have very ⁵³¹ similar T_b (not shown).

532 5.2. Sensitivity to Wind Stress of the RMOC

533

[Figure 11 about here.]

To examine the sensitivity of the RMOC to changes in wind stress, the 534 RMOC is first quantified in a simple manner. To do so, we use the same 535 method as AMF11 and select the maximum and minimum value of $\Psi_{\rm res}$ below 536 500 m and 100 km south of the edge of the sponge region. These values are 537 labeled Ψ_{upper} and Ψ_{lower} for the NADW and AABW cells, respectively. As 538 qualitatively described in Section 3.2, Ψ_{upper} and Ψ_{lower} indicate a stronger 539 NADW but weaker AABW cell under stochastic wind stress for the control 540 experiments (see Table 2). 541

Fig. 11a shows the variation of Ψ_{upper} and Ψ_{lower} (blue/red symbols re-542 spectively) across both sets of experiments, as well as the maximum Eulerian 543 overturning ($\overline{\Psi}_{max}$, black dots) for the stochastic wind stress experiments as a 544 comparison. The difference between Ψ_{upper} for the stochastic and equivalent 545 wind stress experiments becomes accentuated at peak mean wind stresses $> 0.2 \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}$. In contrast, Ψ_{lower} shows that there is little real difference in the 547 sensitivity AABW cell across the wide range of wind stresses considered. The 548 value of Ψ_{lower} for the stochastic wind stress experiment where $\sigma_{\tau} = 21 \text{ms}^{-1}$ 540 is something of an outlier. The extreme variability of the wind has caused 550 the mixed layer to deepen to such an extent that it impinges upon the upper 551 limit, 500m, of the streamfunction values tested for this diagnostic. As a 552 result, Ψ_{lower} starts to represent the mixed layer overturning rather than the 553 strength of the AABW cell. 554

Using residual mean theory the RMOC's streamfunction can be written as the sum of the Eulerian mean MOC ($\overline{\Psi}$) and the eddy-induced bolus overturning (Ψ^*) (see, e.g., Marshall and Radko, 2003), i.e.

558
$$\Psi_{\rm res} = \overline{\Psi} + \Psi^* = -\frac{\langle \overline{\tau}_x \rangle}{\rho_0 f} + Ks, \qquad (18)$$

where f is the Coriolis parameter, K is the quasi-Stokes/eddy diffusivity for the buoyancy field $(b = -g(\rho - \rho_0)/\rho_0)$ and $s = -\overline{b}_y/\overline{b}_z$ is the isopycnal slope. Following MZ15, we take small perturbations around Eq. (18) and write

$$\Delta \Psi_{\rm res} \approx -\frac{\Delta \overline{\tau}_x}{\rho_0 f} + \Delta K s_0 + K_0 \Delta s, \tag{19}$$

where K_0 and s_0 are the eddy diffusivity and isopycnal slope of a chosen equivalent wind stress experiment. Dividing by $\Psi_0^* = K_0 s_0$, the unperturbed bolus overturning, and writing $\Delta \overline{\Psi} = -\Delta \overline{\tau}_x / \rho_0 f$, the change in the residual overturning as a fraction of the original bolus overturning is related to changes in mean wind stress,

 $\frac{\Delta\Psi_{\rm res}}{\Psi_0^*} \approx \frac{\Delta\overline{\Psi}}{\Psi_0^*} + \frac{\Delta K}{K_0} + \frac{\Delta s}{s_0}.$ (20)

By construction, $\Delta \overline{\Psi} \approx 0$ between pairs of stochastic wind stress and equivalent wind stress experiments. Therefore, fractional changes in the residual overturning between pairs must be related to a combination of changes in isopycnal slope and eddy diffusivity. If there were no changes in $\Delta \Psi_{\rm res}/\Psi_0^*$, then the fractional change in isopycnal slope can be simply related to the fractional change in eddy diffusivity, i.e.

$$\frac{\Delta s}{s_0} \approx -\frac{\Delta K}{K_0}.\tag{21}$$

575

5

568

We have already seen that increasing σ_{τ} leads to reduced (more positive) 576 isopycnal slopes, which gives $\Delta s/s_0 < 0$. This implies that to maintain the 577 RMOC at the equivalent wind stress experiment values, the eddy diffusivity 578 of the stochastic wind stress experiments would have to increase. This would 579 be consistent with the elevated levels of EKE seen in the stochastic wind 580 stress experiments. However, these elevated levels are biased to the near 581 surface values and it is the isopycnal slope and eddy diffusivity outside of the 582 mixed layer that set $\Psi_{\rm res}$ 583

To quantitatively examine the relationship encoded in Eqs. (20) and (21), we diagnose the mean eddy diffusivity in each of our experiments using a simple flux gradient closure, i.e.

587

$$\left\langle \overline{v'\theta'} \right\rangle = -K \left\langle \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial y} \right\rangle.$$
 (22)

The eddy diffusivity and isopycnal slope are then averaged over the central 5899 500km of the channel between depths of 1100m and 1800m. Perturbations 5900 are taken between pairs of stochastic wind stress and equivalent wind stress 591 experiments, with the equivalent wind stress experiment taken as the initial 592 solution for the purposes of Eq. (20).

593

[Figure 12 about here.]

Plotting $-\Delta K/K_0$ against $\Delta s/s_0$ in Fig. 12a shows that the fractional change in eddy diffusivity is of the opposite sense to that required for maintenance of the RMOC in the stochastic wind stress experiments. In other words, both the isopycnal slope and eddy diffusivity has decreased between pairs of equivalent and stochastic wind stress experiments. This means that

the bolus overturning must decrease and the RMOC must also change, as previously highlighted in Fig. 11. In effect, the decrease in the bolus overturning allows more of the Eulerian mean flow to show and the result is a stronger RMOC under stochastic wind stress.

⁶⁰³ As a final check on Eq. (20), we have also included $\Delta \Psi_{\rm res}/\Psi_0^*$ and $\Delta \overline{\Psi}/\Psi_0^*$ ⁶⁰⁴ on the y-axis of Fig. 12b. In this case, the relationship holds well, indicating ⁶⁰⁵ that the neglected terms that are quadratic in perturbation terms in Eq. (20) ⁶⁰⁶ are small and that our diagnosis of the eddy diffusivity and isopycnal slope ⁶⁰⁷ are accurate enough to properly capture the physics of the changes.

608 6. Discussion and Conclusions

The Southern Ocean is important to climate because of its residual circulation and the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, which allow for meridional and zonal exchange of properties between ocean basins (Meredith et al., 2011). Understanding the processes and mechanisms that set its circulation, and its sensitivity to changing forcing, are therefore of paramount importance to understanding global climate.

Numerous numerical models indicate that the sensitivity to wind stress 615 of the RMOC and volume transport of the ACC are reduced in the presence 616 of a resolved or permitted eddy field (see, e.g., Hallberg and Gnanadesikan, 617 2006; Munday et al., 2013). Many investigations into these phenomena rely 618 upon the use of idealised wind stress patterns that are constant in time. 619 However, the mean wind stress felt by the ocean is a function of both the 620 mean atmospheric wind and its variability. Changing a constant mean wind 621 stress implicitly assumes that the stress is becoming greater due to a stronger 622

623 mean wind.

Here we have investigated the impact that changing the variability of the 624 atmospheric wind, whilst keeping the mean atmospheric wind constant, has 625 upon the Southern Ocean circulation. We performed two sets of experiments 626 with the same mean wind stress. The stochastic wind stress experiments had 627 their atmospheric wind altered by a pseudo-random number from a white 628 Gaussian distribution every 6 hours. This random number was multiplied 620 by a chosen standard deviation to give a range of wind stress. The equiv-630 alent wind stress experiments are driven by the time-mean wind from their 631 corresponding stochastic wind stress partner. 632

At the control wind stress of $\sim 0.17 \text{Nm}^{-2}$ there are only minor differences 633 between the stochastic and equivalent wind stress circulations. The RMOC 634 is composed of NADW and AABW cells of similar strength (see Table 2) and 635 the circumpolar transport due to thermal wind shear is also similar. This 636 implies that there is also only minor changes in the north-south buoyancy 637 difference across the channel and thus the isopycnal slope. The mixed layer is 638 deeper with stochastic wind stress, which gives stronger viscosity/diffusivity 639 in the mixed layer from the KPP parameterisation. 640

As the mean wind stress is altered, the stochastic and equivalent wind stress experiments deviate from each other in terms of their RMOC and circumpolar transport. The deep RMOC of the equivalent wind stress experiments is less sensitive to the changing wind stress than in their stochastic partners. In addition, the equivalent wind stress experiments show indications of the emergence of eddy saturation. This contrasts with the stochastic wind stress experiments, for which an increase in the variability of the atmospheric wind, and thus the mean wind stress, results in a reduction of the
circumpolar transport.

Diagnosis of the power budget for kinetic energy indicates that the rise in 650 viscosity/diffusivity from KPP goes hand-in-hand with an increase in power 651 dissipation due to vertical viscosity. This results in a change in the dominant 652 power dissipation mechanism, from bottom drag to near-surface viscous pro-653 cesses, for the stochastic wind stress experiments as the variability of the wind 654 is increased. This may well be accompanied by changes in energy pathways 655 between, e.g., forcing and EKE. For example, in a simple channel model with 656 a periodically varying wind stress, Sinha and Abernathey (2016) see peaks in 657 the EKE spectra corresponding to wind variation with periodicity of longer 658 than a year. However, the APE spectra continues to display peaks for higher 659 frequency wind forcing. At these high frequencies, they find the conversion 660 from APE to EKE is small and relate this to changes in the pathways be-661 tween energy reservoirs. Proper verification of such a change in our model 662 would require diagnosis of the (available) potential energy and its budget. 663

The increased near-surface vertical temperature diffusivity deepens the 664 mixed layer and ultimately results in flatter isotherms over most of the chan-665 nel. These flatter isotherms eventually lead to a decrease in circumpolar 666 transport with increasing wind variability, which contrasts with the increas-667 ing circumpolar transport seen in the equivalent wind stress experiments. In 668 addition, the flatter isotherms ultimately reduce the eddy diffusivity such 669 that te bolus overturning starts to weaken at high wind stress variability. 670 This leads to a stronger sensitivity to wind stress of the RMOC in the stochas-671 tic wind stress experiments as more of the Eulerian overturning is "seen" in 672

673 the residual flow.

Our main conclusion is that changes in the variability of the atmospheric 674 wind may lead to considerably different sensitivity of the RMOC and volume 675 transport of the ACC than that caused by blowing a stronger mean wind 676 over the ocean. In this model, KPP interprets the increased near surface 677 shear due to the variable wind as increased viscous and diffusive mixing. 678 This deepens the mixed layer and contributes a strong diabatic aspect to 679 the near-surface RMOC. It is something of a concern that this conclusion is 680 so strongly tied to a parameterised, rather than resolved, physical process. 681 This is because it is possible that KPP may not be representing the instability 682 and mixing processes in a completely physical way, i.e. KPP translates the 683 increased near-surface shear into near-surface mixing without allowing for, 684 e.g., the vertical propagation of waves that might lead to increased mixing 685 at depth. Such vertical propagation would surely produce different degrees 686 of eddy saturation and eddy compensation than in our simple flat-bottomed 687 channel model. However, even if the response of KPP is not precisely correct 688 in physical terms, our results indicate that assessing whether wind stress 689 changes due to increasing mean wind or increasing variability is of potential 690 concern for the response of the ocean circulation and climate as a whole. 691

The real ocean is predominantly inviscid. However, our conclusion, that the dominant kinetic energy sink may change from bottom friction processes to near-surface mixing processes and lead to altered sensitivity of the ocean's stratification and RMOC to wind stress, can still hold in these conditions. This is because KPP is parameterising a number of mixing processes. Whilst these processes may not be viscous and/or diffusive in the real ocean, this is how KPP represents them. Hence, the transition to a new dominant
dissipative process is still valid, even if in the real ocean that process is not
viscous or diffusive. In this case, whilst the details of how the stratification
and RMOC change may differ, that a change in the energy budget could
influence their sensitivity to wind stress changes could remain.

The geometry and complexity of the real ocean's bottom bathymetry is 703 not well represented by our model's flat bottom. This could potentially be 704 troublesome in the SO, where bottom form stresses across large bathymetric 705 obstacles balances the momentum input from the wind (Munk and Palmén, 706 1951; Johnson and Bryden, 1989). This is our reason for primarily focussing 707 on the energy budget of the ocean in our analysis; pressure gradients, and by 708 extension bottom form stresses, do not enter into the energetics framework of 709 Winters et al. (1995) or play a role in the energy cycle (Ferrari and Wunsch, 710 2009). As a result, even with large bottom bathymetry, the zero order power 711 budget can be expected to be that of Cessi et al. (2006) and Cessi (2008), i.e. 712 surface wind work balanced by bottom EKE dissipation. The key change here 713 from our model's budget is that we must retain the dissipation from mean 714 bottom currents in Eqs. (8) and (9). The strong bottom flow in our flat 715 bottomed model also leads to a disproportionately large power input. These 716 could combine to potentially influence the level of wind variability required 717 to bring about a transition in the dominant energy dissipation mechanism 718 in a model with complex bathymetry and more realistic power input. The 719 assessment of the power budget in such a model, and how the budget changes 720 ⁷²¹ under more variable wind forcing, is therefore the next step.

722 Acknowledgements

DRM is supported by the Natural Environment Research Council [OR-723 CHESTRA, grant number NE/N018095/1]. Much of this work took place 724 whilst DRM was a PDRA at the Department of Physics in the University of 725 Oxford and was supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Coun-726 cil. DRM thanks Scott Bachman and Alberto Naveira Garabato for helpful 727 discussions. The authors thank two anonymous reviewers whose comments 728 improved the presentation of the paper. This work made use of the facilities 729 of HECToR, the UK's national high-performance computing service, which 730 is provided by UoE HPCx Ltd at the University of Edinburgh, Cray Inc and 731 NAG Ltd, and funded by the Office of Science and Technology through EP-732 SRC's High End Computing Programme. This work used the ARCHER UK 733 National Supercomputing Service (http://www.archer.ac.uk). Model output 734 is available from DRM upon request. 735

736 References

Abernathey, R., Marshall, J., Ferreira, D., 2011. The dependence of Southern
Ocean meridional overturning on wind stress. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 41, 2261–
2278,

- Bryan, K., Cox, M. D., 1972. An approximate equation of state for numerical
 models of ocean circulation. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 2, 510–517.
- ⁷⁴² Bryden, H. L., 1979. Poleward heat flux and conversion of available potential
 ⁷⁴³ energy in Drake Passage. J. Mar. Res. 37, 1–22.

- Cessi, P., 2008. An energy-constrained parameterization of eddy buoyancy
 flux. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 38, 1807–1820.
- Cessi, P., Young, W. R., Polton, J. A., 2006. Control of large-scale heat
 transport by small-scale mixing. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 36, 1877–1894.
- ⁷⁴⁸ Delworth, T. L., Rosati, A., Anderson, W., Adcroft, A. J., Balaji, V., Benson,

R., Dixon, K., Griffies, S. M., Lee, H.-C., Pacanowski, R. C., Vecchi,
G. A., Wittenberg, A. T., Zeng, F., Zhang, R., 2012. Simulated climate
and climate change in the GFDL CM2.5 high-resolution coupled climate
model. J. Clim. 25, 2755–2781.

- Ferrari, R., Wunsch, C., 2009. Ocean circulation kinetic energy: Reservoirs, sources, and sinks. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 41, 253–282,
 doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.40.111406.102139.
- Furuichi, N., Hibiya, T., Niwa, Y., 2008. Model-predicted distribution of
 wind-induced internal wave energy in the world's ocean. J. Geophys. Res.
 113, C09034, doi:10.1029/2008JC004768.

Gill, A. E., Bryan, K., 1971. Effects of geometry on the circulation of a
three-dimensional southern-hemisphere ocean model. Deep-Sea Res. 18,
685–721.

Hallberg, R., Gnanadesikan, A., 2006. The role of eddies in determining the
structure and response of the wind-driven southern hemisphere overturning: Results from the Modeling Eddies in the Southern Ocean (MESO)
project. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 36, 2232–2252.

- Hogg, A. M., Dijkstra, H. A., Saenz, J. A., 2013. The energetics of a collapsing
 meridional overturning circulation. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 43, 1512–1524.
- Hogg, A. M., Munday, D. R., 2014. Does the sensitivity of Southern Ocean
 circulation depend upon bathymetric details? Phil. Trans. R. Soc A 372,
 20130050, doi:10.1098/rsta.2013.0050.
- Hughes, G. O., Hogg, A. M., Griffiths, R. W., 2009. Available potential
 energy and irreversible mixing in the meridional overturning circulation.
 J. Phys. Oceanogr. 39, 3130–3146.
- Jayne, S. R., Marotzke, J., 2002. The oceanic eddy heat transport. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr. 32, 3328–3345.
- Johnson, G. C., Bryden, H. L., 1989. On the size of the Antarctic Circumpolar
 Current. Deep-Sea Res. 36, 39–53.
- Jouanno, J., Capet, X., Madec, G., Roullet, G., Klein, P., 2016. Dissipation of
 the energy imparted by mid-latitude storms in the southern ocean. Ocean
 Sci. 12, 743–769, doi:10/5194/os–12–743–2016.
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin,
 L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M.,
 Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K. C., Ropelewski, C.,
 Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., Joseph, D., 1996. The
 NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. Bull. Amer. Met. Soc. 77, 437–
 471.

- ⁷⁸⁷ Kara, A. B., Rochford, P. A., Hurlburt, H. E., 2000. An optimal definition for ocean mixed layer depth. J. Geophys. Res. 105, 16 803–16821, doi:10.1029/2000JC900072.
- ⁷⁹⁰ Large, W. G., McWilliams, J. C., Doney, S. C., 1994. Oceanic vertical mixing:
- A review and a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization.
- ⁷⁹² Rev. Geophys. 32, 363–403.
- Large, W. G., Pond, S., 1981. Open ocean momentum flux measurements in
 moderate to strong winds. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 11, 324–336.
- Lumpkin, R., Speer, K., 2007. Global ocean meridional overturning. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr. 37, 2550–2562, doi:10.1175/JPO3130.1.
- Marsaglia, G., Bray, T. A., 1964. A conveniant method for generating normal
 variables. SIAM Rev. 6, 260–264, doi:10.1137/1006063.
- Marshall, J., Adcroft, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., Heisey, C., 1997a. A finite
 volume, incompressible Navier-Stokes model for studies of the ocean on
 parallel computers. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 5753–5766.
- Marshall, J., Hill, C., Perelman, L., Adcroft., A., 1997b. Hydrostatic, quasihydrostatic, and non-hydrostatic ocean modeling. J. Geophys. Res. 102,
 5733–5752.
- Marshall, J., Radko, T., 2003. Residual-mean solutions for the Antarctic
 Circumpolar Current and its associated overturning circulation. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr. 33, 2341–2354.

- Marshall, J., Shuckburgh, E., Jones, H., Hill, C., 2006. Estimates and implications of surface eddy diffusivity in the Southern Ocean derived from tracer transport. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 36, 1806–1821.
- ⁸¹¹ Meijers, A. J., Bindoff, N. L., Roberts, J. L., 2007. On the total, mean, ⁸¹² and eddy heat and freshwater transports in the southern hemisphere of a ⁸¹³ $\frac{1}{8}^{\circ} \times \frac{1}{8}^{\circ}$ global ocean model. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 37, 277–295.
- Meredith, M. P., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Hogg, A. M., Farneti, R., 2012.
 Sensitivity of the overturning circulation in the Southern Ocean to decadal
 changes in wind forcing. J. Clim. 25, 99–110, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4204.1.
- Meredith, M. P., Woodworth, P. L., Chereskin, T. K., Marshall, D. P., Allison, L. C., Bigg, G. R., Donohue, K., Heywood, K. J., Hughes, C. W.,
 Hibbert, A., Hogg, A. M., Johnson, H. L., King, B. A., Leach, H., Lenn,
 Y., Morales-Maqueda, M. A., Munday, D. R., Naveira-Garabato, A. C.,
 Provost, C., Sprintall, J., 2011. Sustained monitoring of the Southern
 Ocean at Drake Passage: past achievements and future priorities. Rev.
 Geophys. 49, RG4005, doi:10.1029/2010RG000348.
- Morrison, A. K., Hogg, A. M., 2013. On the relationship between Southern
 Ocean overturning and ACC transport. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 43, 140–148.
- Munday, D. R., Johnson, H. L., Marshall, D. P., 2013. Eddy saturation
 of equilibrated circumpolar currents. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 43, 507–532,
 doi:10.1175/JPO-D-12-095.1.
- Munday, D. R., Johnson, H. L., Marshall, D. P., 2015. The role of ocean gateways in the dynamics and sensitivity to wind stress of the

- early Antarctic Circumpolar Current. Paleoceanography 30, 284–302, doi:10.1002/2014PA002675.
- Munday, D. R., Zhai, X., 2015. Sensitivity of Southern Ocean circulation to
- wind stress changes: Role of relative wind stress. Ocean Modell. 95, 15–24,
 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.08.004.
- ⁸³⁶ Munk, W. H., Palmén, E., 1951. Note on the dynamics of the Antarctic
 ⁸³⁷ Circumpolar Current. Tellus 3, 53–55.
- Nadeau, L. P., Straub, D. N., 2009. Basin and channel contributions to a
 model Antarctic Circumpolar Current. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 39, 986–1002.
- Nadeau, L. P., Straub, D. N., 2012. Influence of wind stress, wind stress curl,
 and bottom friction on the transport of a model Antarctic Circumpolar
 Current. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 42, 207–222.
- Naveira Garabato, A. C., Jullion, L., Stevens, D. P., Heywood, K. J.,
 King, B. A., 2009. Variability of Subantarctic Mode Water and
 Antarctic Intermediate Water in the Drake Passage during the latetwentieth and early-twenty-first centuries. J. Clim. 22, 3661–3688,
 doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2621.1.
- Rath, W., Greatbatch, R. J., Zhai, X., 2014. On the spatial and temporal distribution of near-inertial energy in the Southern Ocean. J. Geophys.
 Res. 119, 359–376, doi:10.1002/2013JC009246.
- ⁸⁵¹ Roquet, F., 2013. Dynamical potential energy: A new approach to ocean
 ⁸⁵² energetics. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 43, 457–476, doi: 10.1175/JPO–D–12–098.1.

- Saenz, J. A., Hogg, A. M., Hughes, G. O., Griffiths, R. W., 2012. Mechanical
 power input from buoyancy and wind to the circulation in an ocean model.
- ⁸⁵⁵ Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L13605, doi:10.1029/2012GL052035.
- Shu, Q., Qiao, F., Song, Z., Xia, C., Yang, Y., 2011. Improvement of MOM4
 by including surface wave-induced vertical mixing. Ocean Modell. 40, 42–
 51.
- Sinha, A., Abernathey, R. P., 2016. Time scale of Southern Ocean eddy
 equilibration. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 46, 2785–2805, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-160041.1.
- Tailleux, R., 2009. On the energetics of stratified turbulent mixing, irreversible thermodynamics, Boussinesq models and the ocean heat engine
 controversy. J. Fluid Mech. 638, 339–382.
- Tailleux, R., 2013. Available potential energy and exergy in stratified fluids. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 45, 35–58, doi:10.1146/annurev-fluid-011212140620.
- Tansley, C. E., Marshall, D. P., 2001. On the dynamics of wind-driven circumpolar currents. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 31, 3258–3273.
- Thomas, L. N., 2005. Destruction of potential vorticity by winds. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr. 35, 2457–2466.
- Viebahn, J., Eden, C., 2010. Towards the impact of eddies on the response
 of the Southern Ocean to climate change. Ocean Modell. 34, 150–165.

- Winters, K. B., Lombard, P. L., Riley, J. J., D'Asaro, E. A., 1995. Available
- potential energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids. J. Fluid Mech. 289,
- 876 115-128.
- Wunsch, C., 1998. The work done by the wind on the oceanic general circulation. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 28, 2332–2340.
- Wunsch, C., Ferrari, R., 2004. Vertical mixing, energy, and the general circulation of the oceans. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 36, 281–314.
- ⁸⁸¹ Zhai, X., 2013. On the wind mechanical forcing of the ocean general circula-⁸⁸² tion. J. Geophys. Res. 118 (1-17, doi.1002/2013JC009086).
- Zhai, X., Greatbatch, R. J., Eden, C., Hibiya, T., 2009. On the loss of windinduced near-inertial energy to turbulent mixing in the upper ocean. J.
 Phys. Oceanogr. 39, 3040–3045.
- ⁸⁸⁶ Zhai, X., Munday, D. R., 2014. Sensitivity of Southern Ocean overturning to
- wind stress changes: Role of surface restoring time scales. Ocean Modell.
- 888 84, 12–25, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.09.004.

List of Figures

890	1	Atmospheric wind from the NCEP reanalysis (Kalnay et al.,
891		1996). (a) Mean zonal wind at 10m (blue) and square root
892		of atmospheric EKE (red). (b) Mean wind zonal wind stress
893		(blue) and wind stress from the mean zonal wind (red) calcu-
894		lated using the bulk formula of Large and Pond (1981).
895	2	Schematic of the model domain. The dashes at the surface
896		mark where the heat flux is zero, with blue arrows showing
897		regions of cooling and red arrows regions of heating. The grey
898		shading near the northern boundary is the northern sponge.
899		The symbols above the flux arrows show the wind forcing. The
900		dashed lines schematically show the shape of the time-mean
901		isotherms/isopycnals
902	3	Model forcing as described in the text. (a) Northern bound-
903		ary temperature restoring profile, (b) surface heat flux (posi-
904		tive into ocean), (c) atmospheric wind profile with grey shad-
905		ing showing one standard deviation about the mean for $\sigma_{\tau} =$
906		$9ms^{-1}$, (d) corresponding surface wind stress. $\dots \dots \dots$
907	4	Variation in peak mean wind stress as the standard deviation
908		of the atmospheric wind is varied. The peak mean wind stress
909		of the control experiments is highlighted with a hexagram 46
910	5	RMOC (in Sverdrups) at the control wind stress for (a) stochas-
911		tic wind stress and (b) equivalent wind stress. Black contours
912		are the zonal-time-average potential temperature (°C) and the
913		colours are the RMOC with red indicating clockwise flow. The
914		grey contour is the mixed layer depth from KPP, defined as
915		the depth at which the water is 0.8°C colder than the surface,
916		see, e.g., Kara et al. (2000) , for details. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 47$
917	6	Sensitivity to wind stress changes of energy budget diagnos-
918		tics. (a) Power input vs. maximum wind stress and (b) bottom
919		EKE dissipation vs. power input. The thin black in line in
920		(b) has a gradient of 1 and highlight the departure from the
921		simple relationship of Eq. (8) . The control experiments are
922	7	highlighted with hexagrams
- Y		

923	7	Domain average (a) viscosity and (b) temperature diffusivity
924		as provided by the KPP parameterisation versus the maximum
925		wind stress. The control experiments are highlighted with
926		hexagrams
927	8	Bar charts of the power budget for the control and extreme
928		wind stress case for both stochastic and equivalent wind stress
929		experiments. Presented as (a) absolute magnitude of the terms
930		(b) relative to the total power input from the wind of each
931		individual experiment
932	9	Contours of time and zonal average potential temperature,
933		every 0.5° C starting at 0.5° C, for paired stochastic (blue) and
934		equivalent (red) wind stress experiments. (a) Control wind
935		stress, with $\sigma_{\tau} = 9 \text{ms}^{-1}$, for the stochastic experiment. (b)
936		Extreme wind stress, with $\sigma_{\tau} = 21 \text{ms}^{-1}$, for the stochastic
937		experiment. $\ldots \ldots 51$
938	10	"Baroclinic" transport, as per T_{tw} vs. maximum wind stress.
939		The control experiments are highlighted with hexagrams 52
940	11	Sensitivity of the RMOC to changing wind stress across all
941		experiments. Maximum Eulerian overturning in the domain
942		$(\Psi_{\text{max}}, \text{ black dots})$ and maximum/minimum $(\Psi_{\text{upper}}/\Psi_{\text{lower}},$
943		round/square) RMOC 100km south of the northern restor-
944		ing zone and below 500m for the stochastic/equivalent wind
945		stress experiments (blue/red). The control experiments are
946		highlighted with hexagrams
947	12	Quantitative tests of residual mean relationship between changes
948		in eddy diffusivity and isopycnal slope. (a) Excluding any
949		MOC changes, as per Eq. (21), (b) full relationship as per Eq.
950		(20) including wind stress and MOC changes. The difference
951		is taken between the equivalent and stochastic wind stress ex-
952		periments with the equivalent wind stress experiment of each
953		pair used as the initial state. The dotted lines cross at the
954		origin and the solid line has a gradient of 1 54
V		
	/	



Figure 1: Atmospheric wind from the NCEP reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). (a) Mean zonal wind at 10m (blue) and square root of atmospheric EKE (red). (b) Mean wind zonal wind stress (blue) and wind stress from the mean zonal wind (red) calculated using the bulk formula of Large and Pond (1981).



Figure 2: Schematic of the model domain. The dashes at the surface mark where the heat flux is zero, with blue arrows showing regions of cooling and red arrows regions of heating. The grey shading near the northern boundary is the northern sponge. The symbols above the flux arrows show the wind forcing. The dashed lines schematically show the shape of the time-mean isotherms/isopycnals.



Figure 3: Model forcing as described in the text. (a) Northern boundary temperature restoring profile, (b) surface heat flux (positive into ocean), (c) atmospheric wind profile with grey shading showing one standard deviation about the mean for $\sigma_{\tau} = 9 \text{ms}^{-1}$, (d) corresponding surface wind stress.



Figure 4: Variation in peak mean wind stress as the standard deviation of the atmospheric wind is varied. The peak mean wind stress of the control experiments is highlighted with a hexagram.



Figure 5: RMOC (in Sverdrups) at the control wind stress for (a) stochastic wind stress and (b) equivalent wind stress. Black contours are the zonal-time-average potential temperature (°C) and the colours are the RMOC with red indicating clockwise flow. The grey contour is the mixed layer depth from KPP, defined as the depth at which the water is 0.8° C colder than the surface, see, e.g., Kara et al. (2000), for details.

48

Ç



Figure 6: Sensitivity to wind stress changes of energy budget diagnostics. (a) Power input vs. maximum wind stress and (b) bottom EKE dissipation vs. power input. The thin black in line in (b) has a gradient of 1 and highlight the departure from the simple relationship of Eq. (8). The control experiments are highlighted with hexagrams.



Figure 7: Domain average (a) viscosity and (b) temperature diffusivity as provided by the KPP parameterisation versus the maximum wind stress. The control experiments are highlighted with hexagrams.



Figure 8: Bar charts of the power budget for the control and extreme wind stress case for both stochastic and equivalent wind stress experiments. Presented as (a) absolute magnitude of the terms (b) relative to the total power input from the wind of each individual experiment.



Figure 9: Contours of time and zonal average potential temperature, every 0.5°C starting at 0.5°C, for paired stochastic (blue) and equivalent (red) wind stress experiments. (a) Control wind stress, with $\sigma_{\tau} = 9 \text{ms}^{-1}$, for the stochastic experiment. (b) Extreme wind stress, with $\sigma_{\tau} = 21 \text{ms}^{-1}$, for the stochastic experiment.



Figure 10: "Baroclinic" transport, as per T_{tw} vs. maximum wind stress. The control experiments are highlighted with hexagrams.



Figure 11: Sensitivity of the RMOC to changing wind stress across all experiments. Maximum Eulerian overturning in the domain ($\overline{\Psi}_{max}$, black dots) and maximum/minimum ($\Psi_{upper}/\Psi_{lower}$, round/square) RMOC 100km south of the northern restoring zone and below 500m for the stochastic/equivalent wind stress experiments (blue/red). The control experiments are highlighted with hexagrams.



Figure 12: Quantitative tests of residual mean relationship between changes in eddy diffusivity and isopycnal slope. (a) Excluding any MOC changes, as per Eq. (21), (b) full relationship as per Eq. (20) including wind stress and MOC changes. The difference is taken between the equivalent and stochastic wind stress experiments with the equivalent wind stress experiment of each pair used as the initial state. The dotted lines cross at the origin and the solid line has a gradient of 1.

955 List of Tables

956	1	Model Parameters
957	2	Key diagnostics of the control experiments. Type of wind
958		stress, Domain average EKE, Total circumpolar transport,
959		Bottom transport, Thermal wind transport, Ψ_{upper} , Ψ_{lower} , do-
960		main average viscosity/diffusivity from KPP (A/K)
	(
(
Ϋ́	r	
		

Table 1: Mode	el Paramete	ers	
Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Domain size	L_x, L_y	1000, 1990	km
Latitude of sponge edge	L_{sponge}	1890	km
Domain depth	H	2985	m
Boussinesq reference density	ρ_0	1000	${ m kg}{ m m}^{-3}$
Thermal expansion coefficient	α	2×10^{-4}	K^{-1}
Coriolis parameter	f_0	-1×10^{-4}	km
Gradient in Coriolis parameter	β	1×10^{-11}	$m^{-1}s^{-1}$
Surface heat flux magnitude	Q_0	10	$ m Wm^{-2}$
Peak wind speed	$\sim U_0$	7	${ m ms^{-1}}$
Bottom drag coefficient	r_b	1.1×10^{-3}	$\mathrm{ms^{-1}}$
Sponge restoring timescale	t_{sponge}	7	days
Sponge vertical scale	h_e	1000	m
Horizontal grid spacing	$\Delta x, \Delta y$	10	km
Vertical grid spacing	Δz	10-250	m
Vertical diffusivity (θ)	$\kappa_{ m v}$	10^{-5}	${\rm m}^2{\rm s}^{-1}$
Horizontal diffusivity (θ)	κ_h	0	${ m m}^4{ m s}^{-1}$
Vertical viscosity (momentum)	$A_{\rm v}$	10^{-3}	${ m m}^2{ m s}^{-1}$
Horizontal hyperviscosity	A_4	10^{10}	$m^4 s^{-1}$
Y			



Table 2: Key diagnostics of the control experiments. Type of wind stress, Domain average EKE, Total circumpolar transport, Bottom transport, Thermal wind transport, Ψ_{upper} , Ψ_{lower} , domain average viscosity/diffusivity from KPP (A/K).

Errominant	EKE	T_{ACC}	T_b	T_{tw}	$\Psi_{\rm upper}$	Ψ_{lower}	A/K		
Experiment	$(\mathrm{cm}^2\mathrm{s}^{-2})$	(Sv)	(Sv)	(Sv)	(Sv)	(Sv)	$(\rm cm^2 s^{-1})$		
Stochastic	54	621	543	78	0.69	-0.15	45/42		
Equivalent	49	630	548	82	0.55	-0.23	24/18		

CCC