

1                   Local topography and erosion rate control regolith thickness  
2                   along a ridgeline in the Sierra Nevada, California  
3

4 Emmanuel J. Gabet<sup>1\*</sup>, Simon M. Mudd<sup>2</sup>, David T. Milodowski<sup>2</sup>, Kyungsoo Yoo<sup>3</sup>, Martin D.  
5 Hurst<sup>4</sup>, and Anthony Dosseto<sup>5</sup>  
6

7 <sup>1</sup> Department of Geology, San Jose State University, San Jose, California, USA

8 <sup>2</sup> School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

9 <sup>3</sup> Department of Soil, Water, and Climate. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota,  
10 USA

11 <sup>4</sup> British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, UK

12 <sup>5</sup> Wollongong Isotope Geochronology Laboratory, School of Earth and Environmental  
13 Sciences, University of Wollongong. Wollongong, NSW, Australia  
14  
15

16 \* Correspondence to: Emmanuel Gabet, Dept. of Geology, San Jose State University, San Jose, California  
17 95192. E-mail: manny.gabet@sjsu.edu  
18

19 **ABSTRACT:**   The ridgelines of mountain ranges are a source of geomorphic  
20 information unadulterated by the arrival of sediment from upslope. Studies along  
21 ridgecrests, therefore, can help identify and isolate the controls on important regolith  
22 properties such as thickness and texture. A 1.5-km section of ridgeline in the Sierra  
23 Nevada (CA) with a tenfold decrease in erosion rate (inferred from ridgetop convexity)  
24 provided an opportunity to conduct a high-resolution survey of regolith properties and  
25 investigate their controls. We found that regolith along the most quickly eroding section  
26 of the ridge was the rockiest and had the lowest clay concentrations. Furthermore, a  
27 general increase in regolith thickness with a slowing of erosion rate was accompanied by  
28 an increase in biomass, changes in vegetation community, broader ridgeline profiles,  
29 and an apparent increase in total available moisture. The greatest source of variation in  
30 regolith thickness at the 10–100-m scale, however, was the local topography along the

31 ridgeline, with the deepest regolith in the saddles and the thinnest on the knobs.  
32 Because regolith in the saddles had higher surface soil moisture than the knobs, we  
33 conclude that the hydrological conditions primarily driven by local topography (i.e.,  
34 rapid vs. slow drainage and water-storage potential) provide the fundamental controls  
35 on regolith thickness through feedbacks incorporating physical weathering by the biota  
36 and chemical weathering. Moreover, because the ridgeline saddles are the uppermost  
37 extensions of 1<sup>st</sup>-order valleys, we propose that the fluvial network affects regolith  
38 properties in the furthest reaches of the watershed.

39

## 40 **Introduction**

41       The thickness of mobile regolith, defined here as material readily transported by  
42 biophysical processes (Yoo and Mudd, 2008; Mudd *et al.*, 2012; Anderson *et al.*, 2013)  
43 plays a critical role in numerous landscape processes. The regolith is the matrix for  
44 terrestrial life and its abundance at a particular location may modulate biological  
45 richness. For example, thick regolith is able to support a greater density of trees than  
46 thinner regolith because of its higher water-holding capacity (Meyer *et al.*, 2007). The  
47 regolith is also a reactor for chemical weathering processes (e.g., White *et al.*, 2002) and  
48 the longer average residence times of particles in a thicker mantle will yield an older and  
49 more weathered regolith (e.g., Mudd and Yoo, 2010). Furthermore, the thickness of  
50 regolith and its degree of weathering, as expressed by clay content, modulates its  
51 potential for landsliding in steep terrain (e.g., Selby, 1993). Finally, regolith thickness is

52 thought to be an important factor in controlling the weathering rates of underlying  
53 bedrock (e.g., Heimsath *et al.*, 2001; Gabet and Mudd, 2010).

54         Studies have shown that, at the hillslope-scale, topographic curvature plays an  
55 important role in the spatial distribution of regolith thickness and other fundamental  
56 regolith properties (e.g., Hugget, 1975; Graham *et al.*, 1990), and this concept has been  
57 formalized within predictive numerical models (Pelletier and Rasmussen, 2009; Tesfa *et*  
58 *al.*, 2009). Convergent positions in the landscape, such as colluvial hollows, accumulate  
59 material from adjacent slopes which, when added to regolith produced *in situ*, form  
60 thick deposits (e.g., Reneau *et al.*, 1990). In contrast, divergent areas, such as hillslope  
61 noses, are only supplied with regolith from local weathering processes and,  
62 consequently, have a thinner mantle. However, it is difficult to isolate *in situ* controls of  
63 regolith thickness on hillslopes because its depth at any point may be determined, in  
64 large part, by colluvial inputs from upslope. In addition, whereas average regolith  
65 depths across a catchment can be reasonably well predicted by accounting for  
66 geomorphic processes at the broader scale (Pelletier and Rasmussen, 2009; Tesfa *et al.*,  
67 2009), it is more difficult to predict the variability around that mean because of the  
68 strong effect of local conditions (Phillips *et al.*, 2005; Nicotina *et al.*, 2011; Marshall and  
69 Roering, 2014).

70         Ridgelines are sources of geomorphic information uncorrupted by sediment  
71 delivery from upslope such that the properties of the regolith at any one spot are,  
72 ideally, exclusively determined by the immediate environment (assuming a minimal  
73 amount of dust deposition). Cascade Ridge, in the Feather River watershed in the

74 northern portion of the Sierra Nevada range of California, USA (Figure 1), is a  
75 particularly advantageous site because a wave of incision through its bounding channels  
76 has created a gradient in erosion rates along the ridge (Hurst *et al.*, 2013), thereby  
77 offering an opportunity to evaluate the role of erosion rate, among other factors, in  
78 controlling regolith properties. The character of the ridge, likely driven by the erosional  
79 gradient, changes rapidly over a short distance (1.5 km), and we were thus able to  
80 document changes in regolith properties at a relatively high spatial resolution. This  
81 latter point is important because, as Gerrard (1990) has emphasized, high sampling  
82 densities are critical where these properties are strongly determined by local conditions.  
83 In addition, although the potential role of aeolian inputs at the site cannot yet be  
84 dismissed, ongoing geochemical studies in the area (e.g., Yoo *et al.*, 2011) have not  
85 found any notable elemental and mineralogical differences between the soil and the  
86 bedrock and, therefore, do not support significant aeolian input of geochemically  
87 distinct materials.

88         In addition to thickness, we measured a set of basic regolith properties that are  
89 paramount to understanding pedogenic processes: texture, moisture, and organic  
90 carbon concentration. The texture of regolith can yield information on its age and  
91 weathering intensity (Birkeland, 1990). In addition, because water is a critical ingredient  
92 in chemical weathering, consistent spatial variations in regolith moisture should drive  
93 spatial differences in weathering depth and intensity (Pennock and de Jong, 1990).  
94 Finally, the organic matter in regolith is important for water-holding capacity (Birkeland,  
95 1999) and provides a first-order assessment of the input of carbon from the biota.

96 **Field site**

97 Cascade Ridge separates the Middle Fork Feather River (hereafter referred to as  
98 the Feather River) from one of its tributaries, Cascade Creek (Figure 1). The field area is  
99 underlain by Mesozoic plutonic rocks, primarily quartz diorite and tonalite (Saucedo and  
100 Wagner, 1992). In late Cretaceous-early Cenozoic times, the northern Sierra Nevada was  
101 at high altitudes, likely forming the western ramp of a high plateau (e.g., Cassel *et al.*,  
102 2012 and references therein). As a result, deep valleys that traverse the range today,  
103 such as the canyons of the South Yuba River and the South Fork of the American River,  
104 had already been formed by the Eocene-Oligocene (e.g., Gabet, 2014 and references  
105 therein); although the antiquity of the Middle Fork Feather River canyon is not known, it  
106 is likely similar in age. Since this early period of uplift, the northern Sierra Nevada has  
107 been tectonically quiescent (Cassel *et al.*, 2012; Gabet, 2014). Moreover, from the  
108 Eocene to the Miocene, this section of the range was buried and preserved by vast  
109 quantities of fluvial sediment and volcanic rocks. This material has been eroding away to  
110 re-expose the early Cenozoic landscape. Indeed, the remnants of these early-to-mid  
111 Cenozoic fluvial and volcanic sediment on upland surfaces and deep within valleys  
112 indicate that there has been little net topographic evolution of the northern Sierran  
113 landscape for much of the Cenozoic (Cassel *et al.*, 2012; Gabet, 2014). This long period  
114 of relatively slow erosion into basement rock, however, has been interrupted by recent  
115 incision of the Feather River and its tributaries in the vicinity of Cascade Ridge (Hurst *et*  
116 *al.*, 2012). Strath terraces along the trunk stream and the emergence of corestones from  
117 a thinning regolith along slopes bounding the Feather River attest to a significant

118 increase in erosion rate. Although the timing of the accelerated incision is unknown, we  
119 suspect that it is related to the Ice Ages in the Sierra, a period when glaciers delivered  
120 gravels and cobbles to the rivers and coarsened a bedload that was previously too fine  
121 to efficiently abrade resistant bedrock (Gabet, 2014).

122         This recent incision along the Feather River has propagated into Cascade Creek  
123 and up the hillslopes bracing Cascade Ridge. The lower end of the ridge, the one closer  
124 to the junction between the Feather River and Cascade Creek, is at the crest of the  
125 incision wave. Here, the ridge is sharp, narrow, rocky, bounded by steep slopes, and  
126 vegetated with chaparral vegetation, such as manzanita (*Arctostaphylos spp*). As the  
127 ridge reaches up into the older, low-relief part of the landscape, it becomes mantled  
128 with regolith, it becomes progressively broader, and the aboveground biomass increases  
129 (Milodowski *et al.*, 2015); concomitantly, the manzanita gives way to California black  
130 oak (*Quercus kelloggii*) and canyon live oak (*Quercus chrysolepis*) trees. At the upper end  
131 of the ridge, Douglas fir, sugar pine, and ponderosa pine dominate (*Pseudotsuga*  
132 *menziesii*, *Pinus lambertiana*, and *Pinus ponderosa*, respectively). The climate in the area  
133 is semi-arid, with 1750 mm of mean annual precipitation, and minimum/maximum  
134 monthly temperatures typically ranging from -1/9 °C in the winter to 12/30 °C in the  
135 summer (Daly *et al.*, 2008; PRISM, 2014). Soils along the ridge are rocky with an average  
136 coarse fraction (>2 mm) of 50% (Soil Survey Staff, 2015). The soils lack B horizons or  
137 have minimally developed B horizons which are yellowish, indicating that they are well  
138 drained and in an early stage of chemical weathering. A horizon thicknesses are highly  
139 variable but can be as thick as ~30 cm when determined solely based on color.

140 Depending primarily on the presence of B horizons, the soils are classified as either  
141 Sandy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Xerorthents (Waterman Series) or Coarse-loamy,  
142 mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Dystroxerepts (Chaix Series) (Soil Survey Staff, 2015).

## 143 **Methods**

### 144 Field measurements

145 The field study was carried out along a 1.5-km long section of Cascade Ridge; the  
146 transect begins in the rapidly eroding section of the ridge and, after gaining 200 m in  
147 elevation, ends in the slowly eroding section. The transect followed the visually-  
148 determined spine of the ridge as closely as possible although, in some instances, dense  
149 vegetation and steep rock outcrops forced lateral deviations of several meters.  
150 Measurements and soil samples were taken in late spring of 2011 and 2012, several  
151 weeks after the last significant rainstorms before the summer drought. Regolith  
152 thickness was measured with a tile probe (Mighty Probe™), a 135-cm shaft of  
153 reinforced steel with a T-handle and a sharpened tip. The probe was manually pushed  
154 into the regolith until refusal, and the depth of insertion was taken to be the thickness  
155 of the regolith. To validate the use of the instrument, measurements from the probe  
156 were compared to the boundary between the regolith and the undisturbed bedrock  
157 visually identified from four soil pits. Every 20 m along the transect, 10 regolith  
158 thickness measurements were taken with the tile probe at evenly spaced intervals  
159 within a 1 m<sup>2</sup> grid. If rock impeded the instrument at the regolith surface, we noted  
160 whether it was a clast or bedrock; if the former, its intermediate axis was measured. The

161 location of each measurement site was recorded with a GPS. At every other  
162 measurement site (i.e., every 40 m), a ~1-kg sample of the regolith surface, taken from  
163 the top 5 cm, was collected and stored in a sealed plastic bag. While awaiting analysis,  
164 the samples were kept in a refrigerator.

## 165 Laboratory analyses

166 The particle size distribution of the coarse fraction ( $> 63 \mu\text{m}$ ) of each regolith  
167 sample was measured by sieving according to standard techniques (Burt, 2009). The  
168 particle size distribution of the fine fraction ( $\leq 63 \mu\text{m}$ ) was determined with a  
169 Micromeritics (Norcross, GA) Sedigraph 5100 particle size analyzer. The regolith  
170 moisture content of each sample was determined as the percent difference between  
171 the original mass of a 10-15 g subsample and its mass after drying for 24 hours at  $110^\circ \text{C}$   
172 (Burt, 2009). The amount of organic carbon in each sample was measured from a dried  
173 5-10 g subsample of material passed through a 1-mm sieve to exclude plant litter. The  
174 subsamples were heated for 4 hours at  $550^\circ \text{C}$  in a muffle furnace and the loss on  
175 ignition (LOI) was determined as the percent difference between the original mass and  
176 the post-furnace mass (Burt, 2009).

## 177 Topographic analyses

178 Quantitative descriptions of the ridgeline topography along the transect are  
179 important for two reasons. First, knobs (local peaks) and saddles along the ridgeline  
180 create local environments important in regolith production. For example, the flow  
181 divergence from knobs is greater than from saddles and, thus, saddles should have



182 greater regolith moisture to drive chemical weathering processes. The 1-dimensional  
183 (1D) topography along the crest was characterized by manually extracting the ridgeline  
184 from a 1-m DEM (digital elevation model) created from LiDAR data (NCALM, 2008).  
185 Because there is 200 m of relief along the ridge, the local topography was isolated from  
186 the long-wavelength topography by fitting a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial to the ridgeline  
187 elevation and subtracting the fitted elevation values from the actual elevations (Figure  
188 2). To objectively identify saddles and knobs along the undulating topography of the  
189 ridgecrest, the 1D topographic curvature at each point along the transect was calculated  
190 by fitting a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial over successive sections of the ridge, delineated by a  
191 sliding window advanced in 10-m increments, and taking its 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative (Figure 3).  
192 The window size, 320 m, was based on the average topographic wavelength along the  
193 ridgeline (i.e., the average distance between the main saddles) (Figure 3B).

194         The ridgeline topography was also used to estimate erosion rates. In the same  
195 area as the present study, Hurst et al. (2012) used <sup>10</sup>Be ages (n=21) to derive a  
196 significant relationship between the 2-dimensional (2D) curvature of ridgetops and their  
197 erosion rates. Following this approach, a 6-term polynomial function describing a 2D  
198 surface was used to fit the digital topography within a 12-m x 12-m sliding window. This  
199 window size corresponds to the scaling break that separates hillslope rugosity from  
200 broader hillslope morphology (Roering *et al.*, 2010; Hurst *et al.*, 2012). The 2D curvature  
201 at the midpoint of each window's position was determined as the 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative of the  
202 polynomial function. The erosion rate (E; m/y) was calculated from the 2D ridgeline  
203 curvature ( $C_{2D}$ ; 1/m) with

204

$$E = -\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_r} DC_{2D} \quad (1)$$

206

207 where  $\rho_s$  is the bulk density of the regolith (1300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>),  $\rho_r$  is the density of rock (2600  
208 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), and  $D$  (0.0086 m<sup>2</sup>/y) is the diffusion coefficient for soil creep processes (Hurst *et*  
209 *al.*, 2012).

## 210 **Results**

### 211 Erosion rates

212 The erosion rates calculated from the 2D ridgeline curvature (and converted to  
213 units of mm/y) range from 0.7 mm/y to 0.002 mm/y (Figure 4). The topography along  
214 the crest, however, has an important influence on the erosion rates calculated from the  
215 curvature. Because the 2D curvature of local peaks is relatively high, the knobs appear  
216 to be eroding more quickly than the saddles, thus obscuring the general trend in erosion  
217 rate along the ridgeline (Figure 4). To damp this topographic effect and capture large-  
218 scale differences in erosion rate, the calculated erosion rates were averaged over a 320-  
219 m sliding window (Figure 5); as before, the window size is the average topographic  
220 wavelength along the ridgeline. The smoothed erosion rate reveals three distinct  
221 erosional regimes along the transect: the end nearest the tributary junction is eroding at  
222 ~0.52 mm/y, the middle section at ~0.22 mm/y, and the end furthest from baselevel  
223 changes at ~0.06 mm/y. The rate calculated for the fastest-eroding section, however,

224 comes with an important caveat. Equation 1 assumes that the ridgeline has a cover of  
225 mobile material diffused by creep processes; this end of the ridge, however, is rocky and  
226 lacks a continuous regolith mantle. Moreover, 0.52 mm/y exceeds the range of  
227 cosmogenically determined erosion rates used to calibrate Equation (1). For these  
228 reasons, we cannot be certain that it is eroding at  $\sim 0.52$  mm/y, only that it is eroding  
229 more quickly than the rest of the ridge.

## 230 Regolith and Surface Properties

### 231 Rockiness

232 From the tile probe measurements, the *rockiness* (%) was calculated as the  
233 frequency that the probe hit a large clast or bedrock at the surface within each 1-m<sup>2</sup> grid  
234 (note, this is a different metric than the rock fragment estimates commonly given in soil  
235 descriptions). A plot of the rockiness along the transect reveals two trends (Figure 6);  
236 first, the lower portion of the transect where the landscape is eroding quickly is  
237 substantially rockier than the rest of the ridgeline. Second, the rockiest portions of the  
238 ridgeline are generally along the knobs and steep side-slopes. Where clasts were  
239 present at the surface, their diameters ranged from 10 to 50 cm but there was no trend  
240 in clast size along the ridge.

### 241 Regolith texture

242 The regolith texture classes of the surface samples along the ridge ranged from  
243 sand to sandy loam but there was no clear spatial trend in this property. The clay-sized  
244 particles ( $< 3.9 \mu\text{m}$ ) changed significantly (t-test;  $p < 0.001$ ) from one end of the transect

245 to the other. In the rapidly eroding section of the ridgeline (0 – 200 m), the clay  
246 concentration averaged  $0.6 \pm 0.5\%$  (by weight relative to the bulk soil mass), whereas,  
247 along the rest of the transect, it averaged  $2.2 \pm 0.7\%$ . Although the highest clay  
248 concentration was found in a saddle and the lowest on a knob, this soil surface property  
249 did not vary systematically with local topography. The coarse fraction concentration ( $> 2$   
250 mm) averaged  $23 \pm 12\%$  along the transect but did not appear to vary with either  
251 erosion rate or local topography. Recall, however, that we only sampled the soil surface  
252 along the ridgeline; in a more detailed study of particle sizes in this field area, Attal et al.  
253 (2014) found that hillslope soils coarsened with an increase in slope angle and erosion  
254 rate.

#### 255 Regolith moisture

256         Although the regolith samples were taken from only the top 5 cm of the surface,  
257 their moisture content nevertheless showed a strong association with topographic  
258 position. Expressing the moisture of the surface samples as ‘%-dryness’ (i.e.,  $100 - \%$   
259 moisture content) illustrates clearly the spatial correlation between the water content  
260 of the regolith and the local topography (Figure 7). The driest regolith was found on the  
261 knobs and the wettest was in the saddles.

#### 262 Loss on ignition

263         The LOI of the  $<1$  mm size fraction of the surface samples ranged from  $5 - 25\%$   
264 but did not change systematically along the ridgeline and, thus, did not appear to be  
265 influenced by erosion rate. Similarly, there was no clear effect of topography on LOI. The

266 absence of any relationships between LOI and topography or erosion rate may be due to  
267 short-term sediment transport events (e.g., faunalurbation) that introduce noise into  
268 underlying, long-term spatial trends. It is again important to note that the samples were  
269 taken from the surface of the regolith and likely do not reflect the total organic carbon  
270 in the regolith.

#### 271 Regolith thickness

272           The penetration of the tile probe rarely came to a stop at a hard boundary,  
273 which would suggest the presence of a buried clast. Instead, the advance of the probe's  
274 tip was typically hindered by a transition to a gritty layer. At 4 sites along the ridge, the  
275 gritty refusal depth matched within 10 cm the regolith boundary visually identified from  
276 soil pits. Of the 750 thickness measurements, 72 were indeterminate because the  
277 regolith was deeper than the length of the tile probe (135 cm); the majority of these  
278 (78%) were in the slowly eroding portion of the ridge beyond the 1250-m mark. For the  
279 ensuing analyses, a value of 135 cm was assigned to these undetermined depths,  
280 thereby underestimating the true thicknesses.

281           The thicknesses reported here are the averages of the 10 measurements made  
282 within a 1-m<sup>2</sup> grid at each site. The average thicknesses were smoothed with a 100-m  
283 moving window to damp local variability (e.g., due to individual trees) and to resolve  
284 spatial trends more clearly at a scales relevant to the ridgeline geomorphic units (i.e.,  
285 knobs, saddles, and side-slopes) (Figure 8A). Much of the variation in regolith thickness  
286 appears to be related to the local topography (Figure 8B). The deepest regolith is found  
287 in the saddles and the thinnest is on the knobs. However, partially obscured by the

288 topographic influence, the role of erosion rate can be detected as the regolith thickens  
289 toward the more slowly eroding portions of the ridgecrest. A comparison of the  
290 calculated erosion rate and regolith thickness suggests a linear relationship (albeit weak)  
291 between the two (Figure 9). The data also reveal that the range of regolith thickness  
292 increases with decreasing erosion rate; throughout the gradient in erosion rate, the  
293 minimum regolith thickness remains constant at ~10 cm while the maximum increases.

294         These analyses suggest that local topography and erosion rate exert the  
295 dominant controls on regolith thickness along Cascade Ridge. With the topography  
296 represented by the 1D ridgeline curvature ( $C_{1D}$ ;  $m^{-1}$ ), this hypothesis was tested with  
297 multiple regression analysis. Several equations of the form  $H = f(C_{1D}, E)$  were explored,  
298 where  $H$  is regolith thickness (cm) and  $E$  is erosion rate (mm/y), including one in which  
299 an exponential form of  $E$  was assumed (i.e.,  $H = f(C_{1D}, e^{-aH})$  where  $a$  is a constant). The  
300 equation that yielded the best fit was a linear expression ( $R^2 = 0.80$ ):

301

$$302 \quad H(cm) = 10458C_{1D} - 155E + 81 \quad (2)$$

303

304 where the erosion rate (mm/y) was determined with the 2D curvature (Equation 1) and  
305 smoothed over a 320-m sliding window (Figure 5). Recall that the regolith thickness at  
306 some sample sites in the slowly eroding portion of the transect was undetermined (i.e.,  
307 deeper than 135 cm) and, thus, Eqn. (2) only represents a minimum regolith thickness at  
308 erosion rates < 0.1 mm/y.

309 It is important to note that, although the erosion rate was determined from the  
310 2D curvature, this topographic metric is uncorrelated with the 1D curvature and,  
311 therefore, the predictor variables in Eqn. (2) are independent of each other (Figure 10).  
312 A comparison of the modeled and actual thicknesses smoothed with a 100-m sliding  
313 window indicates that the regression equation captures much of the variation in this  
314 property at the 100-m scale although, in the mid-range of thicknesses, there are errors  
315 as high as 25 cm (Figure 11). A regression of the predicted thicknesses against the raw  
316 (i.e., unsmoothed) thicknesses returned an  $R^2$  of only 0.28, demonstrating that, at the  
317 meter-scale, variations in regolith depth are controlled by micro-site factors, such as the  
318 location of individual trees (e.g., Phillips and Marion, 2005; Phillips *et al.*, 2005; Roering  
319 *et al.*, 2010). In addition, the non-random distribution of regression residuals indicates  
320 that the topographic influence on regolith thickness is not fully captured by the 1D  
321 ridgeline curvature (Figure 12): regolith depths are consistently under-predicted on the  
322 knobs and over-predicted in the saddles. Different widths of the 1D curvature window  
323 were tried (from 6 – 400 m) but none resulted in better predictions. Finally, we  
324 emphasize that Equation (2) has not been tested elsewhere and may only be applicable  
325 at Cascade Ridge within the range of erosion rates determined along the transect.

## 326 **Discussion**

### 327 Regolith properties and ridgeline topography

328 To those familiar with mountainous terrain, it may not be surprising that the  
329 knobs along Cascade Ridge are rocky with thin regolith whereas the saddles have a

330 thicker mantle. Although it could be argued that the saddles host a deeper regolith  
331 because of the accumulation of material from the bounding side-slopes, this does not  
332 appear to be the case. The accumulation area (as determined with the ARCGIS D8  
333 algorithm) for 61% of the sample sites along the spine of the ridge was either 0 or 1 m<sup>2</sup>;  
334 four drainage area values in the slowly eroding portion of the ridge, however, exceeded  
335 30 m<sup>2</sup>. Importantly, a linear regression of regolith thickness against accumulation area  
336 returns an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.20 and, if the four values mentioned above are excluded, the R<sup>2</sup> falls  
337 to 0.07. Thus, the assumption that regolith properties, including thickness, is dependent  
338 on *in situ* conditions appears reasonable.

339         Of these conditions, the residence time of subsurface moisture may be the most  
340 important in setting the spatial distribution of regolith thickness (Graham *et al.*, 1990).  
341 Because of lower flow divergence and more topographic shading, the saddles retain  
342 moisture for longer periods of time (Figure 7), thus driving higher rates of chemical  
343 weathering compared to the knobs (e.g., Gabet *et al.*, 2006; Maher, 2010). A more  
344 chemically weathered bedrock will be more hospitable to vegetation because it is  
345 weaker, thereby allowing easier penetration by plant roots, and will have more pore  
346 space to store water (Graham *et al.*, 2010). The plants, in turn, will accelerate physical  
347 weathering of the bedrock (e.g., Phillips and Marion, 2005; Gabet and Mudd, 2010). The  
348 additional moisture in the saddles, therefore, initiates a positive feedback loop between  
349 deepening regolith and vegetation. The role of lithology at Cascade Ridge may be  
350 particularly important because of the bimodal weathering behavior of plutonic rocks.  
351 Unweathered plutonic rock is strong and resistant to physical weathering; however,



352 under a mantle of moist regolith, it becomes friable and weak because small fractures  
353 allow fluid flow that leads to shattering by biotite hydration (Wahrhaftig, 1965;  
354 Bazilevskaya *et al.*, 2013). The positive feedback between regolith weathering and  
355 vegetation growth, as well as the bimodal weathering of plutonic rocks, may explain the  
356 increasing range in regolith thickness as erosion rate slows (Figure 9). Indeed, as erosion  
357 rate decreases along the ridge, topographic variations appear to play an increasingly  
358 important role in the magnitude and spatial distribution of regolith thickness. Even  
359 subtle changes in topography may lead to significant differences in regolith properties;  
360 for example, spatial variations in erosion rate inferred from pedogenic hematite  
361 (Sweeney *et al.*, 2012) may, instead, be due to differences in local hydrological  
362 conditions (J. Roering, pers. comm.).

363         The role of topographic stresses in creating bedrock fractures (Miller and Dunne,  
364 1996) may also contribute to the divergent evolutionary paths taken by the knobs and  
365 saddles. The knobs in the more quickly eroding sections of the ridge were often  
366 composed of large blocks separated by relatively wide (5 – 10 mm) fractures. Fractures  
367 of this size, combined with the impermeable bedrock surface, would help to rapidly  
368 drain precipitation from the knobs and create relatively dry conditions. The bedrock in  
369 the saddles was covered by regolith and, thus, we cannot offer a comparison; however,  
370 it is reasonable to postulate that bedrock underlying knobs and saddles would be  
371 affected by different topographic stresses (Slim *et al.*, 2015).

372         Considering the potentially different physical and chemical weathering regimes  
373 between knobs and saddles, we propose that the rate of regolith production along the

374 ridgeline is not solely dependent on regolith thickness (e.g., Heimsath *et al.*, 2001) but  
375 also on topographic position. The magnitude of the soil production function, typically  
376 represented as a plot of regolith production rate vs. regolith thickness, may vary along  
377 the ridgeline such that, for the same thickness of regolith, the production rate is greater  
378 in the saddles than on the knobs (Figure 13). A soil production function sensitive to  
379 topography implies that knobs and saddles could be eroding at the same rate, despite  
380 different regolith thicknesses.

### 381 Regolith properties and erosion rate

382         The topographic control on regolith thickness is imprinted over the general trend  
383 between thickness and erosion rate (Figure 9). Along the proximal section of the ridge,  
384 the erosion rate is too high to allow for the accumulation of a continuous mantle of  
385 regolith. In addition, the rate of regolith production is likely depressed by the sparseness  
386 of the vegetation (Figure 14) and the inability of the fractured bedrock surface to retain  
387 water. Consequently, the regolith there is thin, rocky, and limited to isolated patches.  
388 Moreover, the lower clay concentrations relative to the rest of the ridge attest to short  
389 residence times of weathered particles. As erosion rates slow beyond the 200-m mark  
390 on the ridgeline, the minimum regolith thickness remains approximately constant but  
391 the maximum regolith increases, leading to a greater average thickness.

392         Just as feedbacks between regolith development, regolith moisture, and  
393 vegetation appear to modulate the local topographic control on regolith thickness,  
394 similar relationships may also be important with respect to erosion rate. Hiking along

395 the Cascade Ridge transect in June 2012, we passed through a striking range of sensible  
396 humidity in only 1.5 km: the ambient air at the faster-eroding end was quite dry while  
397 the air at the slowly-eroding end felt substantially more humid. This steep gradient in  
398 available moisture is reflected in the vegetation. The dry end is dominated by vegetation  
399 adapted to arid conditions, such as manzanita (*Arctostaphylos*), while the wetter end is  
400 vegetated by plants requiring more water, including patches of California corn lily  
401 (*Veratrum californicum*), which is usually associated with meadows. In addition to a  
402 difference in vegetation community along the transect, there is also a gradient in  
403 aboveground biomass, with the slowly eroding section supporting about four times  
404 more vegetation than the fastest eroding end (Figure 14); this pattern is consistent with  
405 other observations across the region (Milodowski *et al.*, 2015). Given the short distance  
406 (~1.5 km) and the 200 m change in elevation between the two ends of the transect, an  
407 orographic effect on precipitation is likely not the source for the marked difference in  
408 hydrological conditions along the ridge. We propose, instead, that the local hydrological  
409 environment is ultimately controlled by the erosion rate and its effect on the ridgeline's  
410 topography. Along the quickly eroding section, rapid drainage is encouraged by the  
411 sharpness and narrowness of the ridge. Furthermore, the regolith is thin or absent and  
412 precipitation runs quickly off the bedrock surfaces via widely spaced fractures. Bedrock  
413 fractures at this location, therefore, may inhibit weathering by reducing the regolith-  
414 water contact time (Twidale and Bourne, 1993; Gabet *et al.*, 2006) and slow the rate of  
415 regolith production; this hypothesis contrasts with observations made elsewhere  
416 (Phillips *et al.*, 2008; Marshall and Roering, 2014). The discrepancy may be due to

417 different topographic and climatic settings: one site was flat (Phillips *et al.*, 2008) and  
418 the other was in a humid climate (Marshall and Roering, 2014). In both of these cases,  
419 the near-surface environment can remain moist for long periods of time. However, the  
420 steep slopes, thin regolith, and fractured rock along the rapidly eroding section of  
421 Cascade Ridge dictate that, regardless of the absolute volume of precipitation, the  
422 amount of moisture that can be stored is low and this is reflected in the drought-  
423 tolerant vegetation and the relatively low biomass (Figure 14). Further up the transect  
424 to the east, the slower erosion rates have formed a broader ridge mantled with thicker  
425 regolith. Here, water infiltrates into the subsurface and gentle slopes prevent it from  
426 draining quickly from the ridge. The higher regolith moisture favors chemical weathering  
427 that creates porosity (Graham *et al.*, 2010) and weakens the bedrock to render it more  
428 hospitable to biotic penetration. In addition, the higher regolith moisture combined with  
429 the more weathered bedrock can support greater biomass which, in turn, deepens the  
430 regolith even further through bioturbation. Positive feedbacks between topography,  
431 hydrology, and vegetation can amplify small differences in the water balance to yield  
432 large differences in regolith thickness (Goodfellow *et al.*, 2013).

### 433 Long-term evolution of Cascade Ridge

434 An interesting question is whether the corrugated topography of the ridgeline  
435 can be maintained over long time-scales. Paradoxically, some evidence might suggest  
436 that the knobs are eroding more quickly than the saddles. The peaks in local erosion  
437 rate, calculated from the 2D curvature, generally correspond to the high points in the

438 local topography (Figure 4) – a geomorphologically reasonable conclusion since  
439 sediment is transported from knobs over a wider range of directions than the saddles,  
440 which are bounded on two sides by higher side-slopes. But if the knobs are eroding  
441 more quickly, why are they there?

442         One possible explanation is that the difference in erosion rate between the  
443 knobs and saddles is illusory. Recall that, according to Equation 1, the local erosion rate  
444 was calculated as the product of the local 2D curvature and a diffusion coefficient  
445 assumed to be constant along the entire length of the ridge. However, the diffusivity in  
446 the saddles may be higher than on the knobs because of greater regolith thickness (e.g.,  
447 Furbish, 2003; Pelletier *et al.*, 2011) and because greater moisture may stimulate  
448 greater biotic activity which could drive faster rates of sediment transport (McGuire *et*  
449 *al.*, 2014). In addition, the long-term average diffusivity may be effectively lower on the  
450 knobs due to occasional supply-limited conditions. If, indeed, the diffusion coefficient  
451 oscillates along the ridgeline, the saddles could be eroding as quickly as the knobs, and  
452 the knob-and-saddle topography may be a steady-state condition. In addition, as noted  
453 earlier, differences in regolith thickness between the knobs and saddles do not  
454 necessarily imply that they are eroding at different rates if regolith production is  
455 sensitive to topography.

456         Ultimately, the topographic wavelength of the ridge may be set by the spacing of  
457 the tips of the channel network. Saddles along Cascade Ridge are typically the  
458 uppermost extent of colluvial hollows hosting the heads of 1<sup>st</sup> order channels. Similarly,  
459 the knobs are the terminal ends of spur ridges coming up from the valley bottoms

460 (Figure 15). Therefore, the ridgeline topography and its associated properties, such as  
461 the spatial distribution of regolith, may be dictated by the reach of the fluvial network.  
462 Because drainage density increases with erosion rate at this site (Hurst *et al.*, 2013), the  
463 topographic wavelength along the ridgeline eventually may lengthen when the pulse of  
464 incision has passed through the landscape and the channel network is pruned.

## 465 **Conclusion**

466 We exploited a 10-fold increase in erosion rate along a ridgeline in the Sierra  
467 Nevada to explore the controls on regolith properties. We found that regolith thickness  
468 generally decreases with erosion rate but that ridgeline topography (i.e., knobs and  
469 saddles) plays a dominant role in controlling the variation in thickness. Indeed, the local  
470 topography is so important that the subtle influence of erosion rate might not have  
471 been recognized without the high-resolution sampling strategy that we adopted. The  
472 thicker regolith in the saddles, attributed to longer water-residence times and a positive  
473 feedback between water-holding potential and weathering, suggests that process-based  
474 soil production functions should incorporate the role of subsurface hydrology. Finally,  
475 because the saddles are the uppermost extent of 1<sup>st</sup>-order valleys, we propose that the  
476 variation in regolith thickness along Cascade Ridge may ultimately be controlled by the  
477 tips of the fluvial network.

478

479 *Acknowledgements* - This work was supported by NSF-ARRA grant 0921440 to EG. The  
480 participation of SM, KY, and MH was made possible by NSF-EAR grant 0819064. AD

481 acknowledges Australian Research Council Future Fellowship FT0990447 and Discovery  
482 grant DP1093708. Thanks to A. Barkwith, J. Roering, and two anonymous reviewers for  
483 helpful comments on the manuscript.

484

## 485 **References**

486 Anderson RS, Anderson SP, Tucker GE. 2013. Rock damage and regolith transport by  
487 frost: an example of climate modulation of the geomorphology of the critical zone. *Earth*  
488 *Surface Processes and Landforms* **38**: 299-316. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3330.

489 Attal M, Mudd SM, Hurst MD, Weinman B, Yoo K, Naylor M. 2014. Impact of change  
490 in erosion rate and landscape steepness on hillslope and fluvial sediments grain size in the  
491 Feather River Basin (Sierra Nevada, California). *Earth Surface Dynamics* **2**: 1047-1092.  
492 DOI: 10.5194/esurfd-2-1047-2014.

493 Bazilevskaya E, Lebedeva M, Pavich M, Rother G, Parkinson DY, Cole D, Brantley SL.  
494 2013. Where fast weathering creates thin regolith and slow weathering creates thick  
495 regolith. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **38**: 847-858.

496 Birkeland PW. 1990. Soil-geomorphic research - a selective review. *Geomorphology* **3**:  
497 207-224.

498 Birkeland PW. 1999. *Soils and Geomorphology*. Oxford University Press: New York.

499 Burt R. 2009. Soil Survey Field and Laboratory Methods Manual. In *Soil Survey*  
500 *Investigations Report No. 51*. Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA: Lincoln,  
501 Nebraska.

502 Cassel EJ, Graham SA, Chamberlain CP, Henry CD. 2012. Early Cenozoic topography,  
503 morphology, and tectonics of the northern Sierra Nevada and western Basin and Range.  
504 *Geosphere* **8**: 229-249.

505 Daly C, Halbleib M, Smith JI, Gibson WP, Doggett MK, Pasteris PP. 2008.  
506 Physiographically sensitive mapping of climatological temperature and precipitation  
507 across the conterminous United States. *International Journal of Climatology* **28**: 2031-  
508 2064.

509 Furbish DJ. 2003. Using the dynamically coupled behavior of land-surface geometry and  
510 soil thickness in developing and testing hillslope evolution models. In *Prediction in*  
511 *Geomorphology*, Wilcock P, Iverson RM (eds). American Geophysical Union; 169-182.

512 Gabet EJ. 2014. Late Cenozoic uplift of the Sierra Nevada, California? A critical analysis  
513 of the geomorphic evidence. *American Journal of Science* **314**: 1224-1257. DOI:  
514 10.2475/08.2014.03.

515 Gabet EJ, Edelman R, Langner H. 2006. Hydrological controls on chemical weathering  
516 rates at the soil-bedrock interface. *Geology* **34**: 1065-1068.

517 Gabet EJ, Mudd SM. 2010. Bedrock erosion by root fracture and tree throw: a coupled  
518 bio-geomorphic model to explore the humped soil production function and the  
519 persistence of hillslope soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **115**:  
520 doi:10.1029/2009JF001526.

521 Gerrard AJ. 1990. Soil variations on hillslopes in humid temperate climates.  
522 *Geomorphology* **3**: 225-244.

523 Goodfellow BW, Chadwick OA, Hilley GE. 2013. Depth and character of rock  
524 weathering across a basaltic-hosted climosequence on Hawai'i. *Earth Surface Processes*  
525 *and Landforms*. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3505.

526 Graham RC, Daniels RB, Buol SW. 1990. Soil-geomorphic relations on the Blue Ridge  
527 front: I. regolith types and slope processes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **54**:  
528 1362-1367.

529 Graham RC, Rossi AM, Hubbert KR. 2010. Rock to regolith conversion: producing  
530 hospitable substrates for terrestrial ecosystems. *GSA Today* **20**: 4-9.



531 Heimsath AM, Dietrich WE, Nishiizumi K, Finkel RC. 2001. Stochastic processes of soil  
532 production and transport: erosion rates, topographic variation and cosmogenic nuclides  
533 in the Oregon Coast Range. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **26**: 531-552.

534 Hugget RJ. 1975. Soil landscape systems: A model of soil genesis. *Geoderma* **13**: 1-22.

535 Hurst MD, Mudd SM, Walcott RC, Attal M, Yoo K. 2012. Using hilltop curvature to  
536 derive the spatial distribution of erosion rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research - Earth*  
537 *Surface* **115**: 1-19. DOI: 10.1029/2011JF002057.

538 Hurst MD, Mudd SM, Yoo K, Attal M, Walcott RC. 2013. Influence of lithology on  
539 hillslope morphology and response to tectonic forcing in the northern Sierra Nevada of  
540 California. *Journal of Geophysical Research - Earth Surface* **118**: 832-851. DOI:  
541 10.1002/jgrf.20049.

542 Maher K. 2010. The dependence of chemical weathering rates on fluid residence time.  
543 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **294**: 101-110.

544 Marshall JA, Roering JJ. 2014. Diagenetic variation in the Oregon Coast Range:  
545 Implications for rock strength, soil production, hillslope form, and landscape evolution.  
546 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **119**: 1395-1417. DOI:  
547 10.1002/2013JF003004.

548 McGuire LA, Pelletier JD, Roering JJ. 2014. Development of topographic asymmetry:  
549 Insights from dated cinder cones in the western United States. *Journal of Geophysical*  
550 *Research: Earth Surface* **119**: 1725-1750. DOI: 10.1002/2014JF003081.

551 Meyer M, North MP, Gray AN, Zald HSJ. 2007. Influence of soil thickness on stand  
552 characteristics in a Sierra Nevada mixed-conifer forest. *Plant Soil* **294**: 113 - 123.

553 Miller DJ, Dunne T. 1996. Topographic perturbations of regional stresses and consequent  
554 bedrock fracturing. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **101B**: 25,523-25,536.

555 Milodowski DT, Mudd SM, Mitchard ETA. 2014. Erosion rates as a potential bottom-up  
556 control of forest structural characteristics in the Sierra Nevada Mountains. *Ecology in*  
557 *press*. DOI: 10.1890/14-0649.1.

558 Milodowski DT, Mudd SM, Mitchard ETA. 2015. Erosion rates as a potential bottom-up  
559 control of forest structural characteristics in the Sierra Nevada Mountains. *Ecology* **96**:  
560 31-38. DOI: 10.1890/14-0649.1.

561 Mudd SM, Yoo K. 2010. Reservoir theory for studying the geochemical evolution of  
562 soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **115**. DOI: 10.1029/2009JF001591.

563 Mudd SM, Yoo K, Gabet EG. 2012. Influence of Chemical Weathering on Hillslope  
564 Forms. In *Treatise on Geomorphology*, Shroder JF (ed). Academic Press: San Diego; 56-  
565 65.

566 NCALM. 2008. Sierra Nevada, Oroville, CA. Mapping NCfAL (ed): Houston.

567 Nicotina L, Tarboton DG, Tesfa TK, Rinaldo A. 2011. Hydrologic controls on  
568 equilibrium soil depths. *Water Resources Research* **47**. DOI: 10.1029/2010WR009538.

569 Pelletier JD, McGuire LA, Ash JL, Engelder TM, Hill LE, Leroy KW, Orem CA,  
570 Rosenthal WS, Trees MA, Rasmussen C, Chorover J. 2011. Calibration and testing of  
571 upland hillslope evolution models in a dated landscape: Banco Bonito, New Mexico.  
572 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **116**. DOI: 10.1029/2011JF001976.

573 Pelletier JD, Rasmussen C. 2009. Geomorphically based predictive mapping of soil  
574 thickness in upland watersheds. *Water Resources Research* **45**. DOI:  
575 10.1029/2008WR007319.

576 Pennock DJ, de Jong E. 1990. Regional and catenary variations in properties of Borolls  
577 of southern Saskatchewan, Canada. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **54**: 1697-  
578 1701.

579 Phillips JD, Marion DA. 2005. Biomechanical effects of trees on soil and regolith:  
580 Beyond treethrow. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* **96**: 233-247.

581 Phillips JD, Marion DA, Luckow K, Adams KR. 2005. Nonequilibrium regolith thickness  
582 in the Ouachita Mountains. *Journal of Geology* **113**: 325-340.

583 Phillips JD, Turkington AV, Marion DA. 2008. Weathering and vegetation effects in  
584 early stages of soil formation. *Catena* **72**: 21-28.

585 PRISM. 2014. PRISM Climate Group. <http://prism.oregonstate.edu>.  
586

587 Reneau S, Dietrich WE, Donahue DJ, Hull AJ, Rubin M. 1990. Late Quaternary history  
588 of colluvial deposition and erosion in hollows, central California coast ranges. *Geological*  
589 *Society of America Bulletin* **102**: 969-982.

590 Roering JJ, Marshall J, Booth AM, Mort M, Jin Q. 2010. Evidence for biotic controls on  
591 topography and soil production. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **298**: 183-190.

592 Saucedo GJ, Wagner DL. 1992. Geologic map of the Chico quadrangle, California.  
593 Division of Mines and Geology.

594 Selby MJ. 1993. Hillslope materials and processes. Oxford University Press: Oxford.

595 Slim M, Perron JT, Martel SJ, Singha K. 2015. Topographic stress and rock fracture: a  
596 two-dimensional numerical model for arbitrary topography and preliminary comparison  
597 with borehole observations. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **40**: 512-529. DOI:  
598 10.1002/esp.3646.

599 Staff SS. 2015. Official Soil Series Description.  
600

601 Sweeney KE, Roering JJ, Almond P, Reckling T. 2012. How steady are steady-state  
602 landscapes? Using visible–near-infrared soil spectroscopy to quantify erosional  
603 variability. *Geology* **40**: 807-810.

604 Tesfa TK, Tarboton DG, Chandler D, McNamara J. 2009. Modeling soil depth from  
605 topographic and land cover attributes. *Water Resources Research* **45**. DOI:  
606 10.1029/2008WR007474.

607 Twidale CR, Bourne JA. 1993. Fractures: a double edged sword. A note on fracture  
608 density and its importance. *Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie N.F.* **37**: 495-475.

609 Wahrhaftig C. 1965. Stepped topography of the southern Sierra Nevada, California.  
610 *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **76**: 1165 - 1190.

611 White AF, Blum AE, Schulz MS, Huntington TG, Peters NE, Stonestrom DA. 2002.  
612 Chemical weathering of the Panola Granite: Solute and regolith elemental fluxes and the  
613 weathering rate of biotite. In *Water-Rock Interactions, Ore Deposits, and Environmental*  
614 *Geochemistry*, Hellman R, Wood SA (eds). The Geochemical Society; 37-59.

615 Yoo K, Mudd SM. 2008. Toward process-based modeling of geochemical soil formation  
616 across diverse landforms: A new mathematical framework. *Geoderma* **146**: 248-260.

617 Yoo K, Weinman B, Mudd SM, Hurst M, Attal M, Maher K. 2011. Evolution of hillslope  
618 soils: The geomorphic theater and the geochemical play. *Applied Geochemistry* **26**:  
619 S149-S153.

620  
621  
622

623 Figure captions

624

625 Figure 1. Site map. (Inset) Map of California with star indicating field site. (Main)  
626 Hillshade of Cascade Ridge with transect delineated by the black line. The Middle Fork of  
627 the Feather River is to the north of the ridge and Cascade Creek is to the south. The  
628 Feather River, truncated by the left boundary of the image, flows from NE to SW and  
629 forms a tributary junction with Cascade Creek (shown with the white star) and serves as  
630 its baselevel. The 'A' and 'B' indicate the ends of the transect and are referred to in later  
631 figures.

632

633 Figure 2. Second-order polynomial fit to ridgeline elevations along study reach. Ridgeline  
634 elevations were subtracted from the polynomial to isolate the local topography. 'A' and  
635 'B' refer to transect ends shown in Figure 1.

636

637 Figure 3. (A) Example of a ridgeline section fitted with a 2<sup>nd</sup>-order polynomial over a  
638 320-m sliding window. The topographic curvature at the midpoint of this section,  
639 designated with the diamond, is the 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative of the polynomial function, -0.0014.  
640 (B) 1D curvature along ridgeline derived from 2<sup>nd</sup>-order polynomial. Local topography  
641 shown here to emphasize correspondence between curvature values and topography.  
642 High positive curvatures are at the saddles and low negative curvatures are at the  
643 knobs.

644

645 Figure 4. Erosion rate calculated from 2D curvature compared to the local ridgeline  
646 topography. The erosion rate calculation appears to be influenced by the local  
647 topography whereby the knobs have higher erosion rates than the saddles.  
648

649 Figure 5. Erosion rate calculated from 2D ridgeline curvature averaged over a 320-m  
650 window to reduce the effects of the local topography. There appear to be 3 different  
651 erosional regimes along the transect:  $\sim 0.52$  mm/y along the proximal portion,  $\sim 0.22$   
652 mm/y in the middle, and  $\sim 0.06$  mm/y along the distal section. The transition between  
653 each section is not sharp, likely a consequence of a gradual geomorphic adjustment to  
654 the advance of the incision wave(s).

655  
656 Figure 6. Comparison of surface material and local topography. The rapidly eroding end  
657 of the transect (0 – 200 m) has a substantially greater amount of large clasts and  
658 bedrock at the surface than the slowly eroding portions. Knobs and steep sideslopes  
659 tend to be more rocky although the relationship between rockiness and topography is  
660 not strong.

661  
662 Figure 7. Soil dryness (i.e., 100 - % moisture) and local topography along the ridge. The  
663 driest regolith is associated with knobs and southwest-facing sideslopes. Wettest  
664 regoliths in the saddles. Dashed line fit by eye to emphasize trends in regolith moisture.  
665 Regolith moisture represented by 'dryness' to facilitate comparison with the local  
666 topography.

667

668 Figure 8. (A) Regolith thickness along the transect. A 5-pt moving average of thickness  
669 reveals a sinusoidal pattern along the ridge. (B) Regolith thickness (moving average) and  
670 local topography are nearly mirror images of each other. The regolith is thickest in the  
671 saddles and thinnest on the knobs. Note the general increase in regolith thickness  
672 towards the distal end of the transect where the erosion rates are lower.

673

674 Figure 9. Regolith thickness vs. calculated erosion rate. The erosion rate used here is the  
675 smoothed rate shown in Figure 5. Because regolith thickness exceeded the reach of the  
676 probe in the slowly eroding portion of the ridge, the data points in the upper left of the  
677 plot under-represent the true thickness and, as a result, the slope of the regression  
678 should be steeper. Although the data might also be fit with a negative logarithmic  
679 function (i.e., the steady-state solution to an exponential soil production function),  
680 granite weathering is best described by a humped soil production function (SPF)  
681 (Wahrhaftig, 1965). Furthermore, invoking a single SPF for all topographic positions  
682 along the ridgeline is likely not appropriate. Given these complications, a linear fit to the  
683 data is the most conservative approach.

684

685 Figure 10. Plot of 2D curvature and erosion rate vs. 1D ridgeline curvature. Although the  
686 erosion rate was calculated from the 2D curvature, it is uncorrelated with the 1D  
687 curvature. The spatial autocorrelation in the raw data is due to the sliding-window  
688 technique of calculating the 1D curvature. The 'smoothed erosion rate' was determined

689 by averaging over a 320-m sliding window to damp the effects of local topography on  
690 the calculation of erosion rate (see Figure 5). The three erosional regimes create the  
691 three plateaus seen in the line plot.

692

693 Figure 11. Regolith thickness modeled from the 1D ridgeline curvature and the  
694 calculated erosion rate. Measured regolith thickness values were smoothed with a 5-pt  
695 moving window to damp meter-scale variations not captured in our study. The similarity  
696 between the modeled and actual regolith thicknesses suggest that 1D curvature and  
697 erosion rate are the primary factors in controlling regolith thickness along Cascade Ridge  
698 at the  $10^2$ -m scale. The constant in the regression indicates that regolith thickness is  
699 consistently underpredicted, albeit by a small amount.

700

701 Figure 12. Residuals from the regolith thickness regression equation compared to the  
702 local topography. The non-random distribution of the residuals indicates that the 1D  
703 ridgeline curvature is not fully capturing the effects of the local topography.

704

705 Figure 13. Different soil production functions depending on topographic position.  
706 Although knobs and saddles may be eroding at the same rate, they may host different  
707 steady-state regolith thicknesses because of different local conditions (indicated by  
708 stars). For example, saddles may have higher rates of regolith production for a given  
709 regolith thickness because they remain wetter for longer periods of time.

710



711 Figure 14. Aboveground biomass and local topography along the ridge. Biomass  
712 increases nearly 4-fold from the rapidly eroding portion of the ridge to the slowly  
713 eroding portion. Biomass determined with mean canopy heights derived from LiDAR  
714 data (Milodowski *et al.*, 2014).

715

716 Figure 15: Knobs (stars) and basins along Cascade Ridge. The knobs along Cascade Ridge  
717 are often the uppermost extent of spur ridges bounding the basins that drain from the  
718 ridge to the valley bottom (shaded).

719

720