2	
3 4 1	Hf and Nd isotopes in Early Ordovician to Early Carboniferous granites as
5	
6 2	monitors of crustal growth in the Proto-Andean margin of Gondwana
83	
9	Juan A. Dahlquist ^{1,2} Pahart I. Pankhurst ³ Pichard M. Casahnig ⁴ Carlos W. Panala ⁵ Cásar Casquat ⁶
10 4	Juan A. Damquist [*] , Kobert J. Fanknurst, Kicharu W. Gasching, Carlos W. Kapela, Cesar Casquet,
11 12 5	Pablo H. Alasino ^{7,2} , Carmen Galindo ⁶ , Edgardo G. Baldo ¹
13 6	¹ CICTERRA-CONICET-UNC, Av. Vélez Sarsfield 1611, Pab. Geol., X5016CGA, Córdoba, Argentina
14 7	² INGeReN-CENIIT-UNLaR. Av. Gob. Vernet y Apóstol Felipe. 5300, La Rioja, Argentina
$^{15}_{16}$ 8	³ British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, UK
17 9	⁴ Department of Geology University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
¹⁸ 10	⁵ CIG-CONICET-UNLP, Calle 1 Nº 644, 1900, La Plata, Argentina
$\frac{19}{20}$ 11	⁶ Universidad Complutense 28040 Madrid, Spain
20 21 12	⁷ CRILAR-CONICET, Entre Ríos y Mendoza. 5301, Anillaco, La Rioja, Argentina
22	
23 13	
25 14	ABSTRACT
26	
$\frac{27}{28}$ 15	We report the first study integrating in situ U–Pb and Hf isotope data from magmatic zircon and
29	
30 16	whole-rock Sm–Nd isotope data for granitic rocks of the Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina, in order to
31	
$\frac{32}{33}$ 17	evaluate the Palaeozoic growth of the proto-Andean margin of Gondwana. Generation of Ordovician
34	
35 18	magmas dominantly involved crustal reworking and stabilization rather than the formation of new
37 10	continental crust by juvenile material accretion, whereas I ate Palaeozoic magmatism produced some
38	continental crust by juveline material accretion, whereas Late I aracozoic maginatism produced some
39 ∡₀ 20	limited continental growth, especially during the Early Carboniferous. Overall, the proto-Andean
40 41	
⁴² 21	margin was shaped predominantly by the reworking of existing old crustal rocks rather than crustal
43	
44 45 22	growth.
46	
47 ⊿∘ 23	Keywords: U-Ph dating Hf and Nd isotones zircon crustal growth
49	hoywords. O i o dadnig, in and i d isotopos, zhoon, ordstar growan.
₅₀ 24	
51 52 25	
52 25 53	
⁵⁴ 26	
55 - 0	
50 57 27	
58	
⁵⁹ 28	
60 61	
62	
63	
04	

1

As the pre-eminent U–Pb geochronometer zircon plays a key role in crustal evolution studies. Recent analytical advances permit investigation of complex zircon grains at high spatial resolution, where the goal is to link U–Pb ages to other geochemical information, such as Hf isotopic composition. Thus zircon can provide time-stamped 'snapshots' of Hf isotope signatures of magmas throughout Earth's history, even at the scale of individual growth zones within a single grain. This information is an invaluable help to geochemists trying to distinguish magmatic events that added new mantle-derived material to the continental crust from those that recycled existing crust (e.g., Scherer et al., 2007; Siebel and Chen, 2010).

In this article we report in situ U-Pb and Hf isotope data from zircons and whole-rock Sm/Nd data from their host granites to evaluate the origin of the granitic magmas and consequently the building of the proto-Andean margin of Gondwana during three main Palaeozoic magmatic events known in the Sierras Pampeanas of NW Argentina.

2. Regional setting

The proto-Andean margin of Gondwana is a good example of an accretionary orogen that has been active since at least the Early Ordovician up to the present (Cawood, 2005), leading to the formation of different plutonic rocks during the Palaeozoic. Geochronological data and their interpretation in terms of major magmatic episodes allow the recognition of four main granitoid groups in the Eastern Sierras Pampeanas of NW Argentina (Fig. 1): Early Cambrian (Pampean), Early–Middle Ordovician (Famatinian), Middle-Late Devonian (Achalian) and Early Carboniferous. We have studied granitic rocks from the last three of these groups. Famatinian granitoids are typical calc-alkaline granites, formed in an active continental margin; those emplaced during the Achalian and Early Carboniferous events have dominantly A-type signatures.

The Famatinian arc (Fig. 1) is widely accepted to have been constructed on continental crust (Pankhurst et al, 1998; Dahlquist and Galindo, 2004; Miller and Söllner, 2005; Dahlquist et al., 2008). In the Famatinian magmatic belt of the Sierras Pampeanas, Pankhurst et al. (2000) identified three distinct granite-types: dominant I-type, small-scale S-type, and tonalite-trondhjemitegranodiorite (TTG, confined to the Sierras de Córdoba, see Fig. 1 of Pankhurst et al., 2000). These three granite types can be distinguished petrologically, geochemically, and spatially, although all were emplaced within the 484–463 Ma interval (see also Dahlquist et al., 2008). Detailed petrological and geochemical studies of these rocks are given by Aceñolaza et al. (1996), Saavedra et al. (1998), Pankhurst et al. (1998, 2000), Dahlquist and Galindo (2004), Miller and Söllner (2005), Dahlquist (2002), Dahlquist et al. (2005, 2007, 2008), and Ducea et al. (2010). The widespread Famatinian magmatism yielded extensive I-type intrusions (mostly tonalite, granodiorite and minor monzogranite and gabbro) with $\epsilon Nd_t = -3$ to -6 (data from Pankhurst et al. 1998, 2000; Dahlquist and Galindo 2004; Dahlquist et al., 2008). Some gabbros in the Sierra de Valle Fértil reached a more radiogenic value of $\varepsilon Nd_t = -2.4$, and subordinate small-scale isolated Ordovician plutons of Na-rich granite located in the Pampean belt foreland (TTG suites) have $\varepsilon Nd_t =$ +1.6 to -0.2. Most of the granitic rocks have T_{DM} model ages between 1.7 and 1.5 Ga and Nd isotopic signatures suggesting derivation from a composite Palaeo-Mesoproterozoic lithospheric section that included lower and upper crustal sources as well as the sub-lithospheric mantle (Rapela et al. 2008a; Dahlquist et al. 2008 and references therein). Thus, with the exception of the minor TTG suites, it is usually argued that the Famatinian magmatic arc reworked old lithospheric sources, with very little addition of juvenile material, although this view may need a degree of modification since the discovery of more juvenile Nd isotope compositions in the extreme west of the Sierra de Valle Fértil (Casquet et al., submitted).

Dahlquist et al. (2008) concluded that: (i) the Famatinian magmatism was brief (~ 484 to ~ 463 Ma), in remarkable contrast to the long-lived Mesozoic-Cenozoic cordilleran magmatism of the Andes; and (ii) the Early to mid-Ordovician development of ensialic marine basins was synchronous with the emplacement of voluminous lithosphere-derived magmatism in the central region of the Famatinian orogenic belt, which strongly contrasts with the Andean-type model for the production of magmas (Dahlquist and Galindo, 2004; Rapela et al., 2008a). This magmatism was completely extinguished during the mid-Ordovician and the marine sedimentary basins closed in the early Late Ordovician.

The ca. 2500 m^2 Achala batholith in the Sierras de Córdoba (Fig. 1) was emplaced during the Middle–Late Devonian 368±25 Ma U–Pb zircon, Dorais et al. (1997); 393±5 Ma U–Pb SHRIMP zircon, Stuart-Smith et al. (1999); 382±5 Ma Siegesmund et al. (2004); 369±9 Ma Rb–Sr wholerock, Pinotti et al. (2006) and 379±4 and 369±3 Ma U–Pb SHRIMP zircon, Rapela et al. (2008b) (Fig. 1). It is the largest of a series of intrusive granitic bodies in the Sierras Pampeanas that are conspicuously discordant to structures and rocks formed during the Cambrian (Pampean) and Ordovician (Famatinian) metamorphic events. Contact aureoles indicate shallow emplacement, usually less than 2 kbar (e.g., Baldo, 1992; Pinotti et al., 2002). Rapela et al. (2008b) concluded that the Achala batholith has an A-type signature. Sims et al. (1998), Stuart-Smith et al. (1999), and Siegesmund et al. (2004) considered the voluminous Devonian intrusive rocks in the Sierras de Córdoba and the eastern area of the Sierras de San Luis to have been emplaced during compression associated with Late Devonian low-grade shear zones, together defining the Achalian orogeny. According to this interpretation the Devonian granites, such as the Achala (Sims et al., 1998) and Cerro Áspero batholiths in Sierra de Córdoba (e.g., Pinotti et al., 2002, 2006), and the Las Chacras (Siegesmund et al., 2004) and Renca (Stuart-Smith et al., 1999) batholiths in Sierra de San Luis (Fig. 1), are not post-orogenic intrusions of the Famatinian Orogeny as proposed by Pankhurst and Rapela

(1998), but belong to a distinct tectonomagmatic event. The Late Devonian compressional event is controversial and has been related to: i) collision of the suggested Chilenia terrane with the proto-Pacific margin (Willner et al., 2011), ii) final collision between the Famatinian magmatic arc and the Pampean hinterland (Höckenreiner et al., 2003) and, recently, iii) push–pull tectonic switching episodes during Devonian and Carboniferous time, resulting from flat-slab/roll-back subduction in the Pacific margin (Alasino et al., 2012). The A-type geochemical signature of the Achala batholith (Rapela et al., 2008b) strongly suggests generation of intacratonic magmas in a dominantly extensional regime with subsequent lithosphere thinning (Early-Middle Devonian?), followed by Late Devonian horizontal shortening and Early Carboniferous lithosphere stretching (see tectonic switching model of Collins, 2002).

In Carboniferous times, isolated and scattered granitic pluton with anorogenic signature occurred along more than 1000 km in the Sierras Pampeanas of NW Argentina (see Dahlquist et al., 2010, Fig. 1). It is represented by small and scattered plutons, usually sub-circular, intruded mainly along prominent shear zones active in the Early Carboniferous (Dahlquist et al., 2010). Host rocks to this Carboniferous magmatism formed during three main periods of magmatic and metamorphic activity: Middle Cambrian (Pampean orogeny), Early–Middle Ordovician (Famatinian orogeny), and Middle– Late Devonian (Achalian event) (Pankhurst et al., 1998, 2000; Rapela et al., 1998; Sims et al., 1998; Dahlquist et al., 2008; Rapela et al., 2008b; Vaughan and Pankhurst, 2008; Spagnuolo et al., 2011; Tohver et al., 2011; Alasino et al., 2012).

The geodynamic setting of the Carboniferous magmatism remains controversial. Some authors have suggested that it resulted from crustal reheating as the final phase of a protracted Famatinian orogeny extending from Ordovician to Early Carboniferous (e.g., Grissom et al., 1998; Llambías et al., 1998; Höckenreiner et al., 2003; Grosse et al., 2009). Others have argued for a distinctive and extended Achalian orogenic event in the Devonian–Early Carboniferous (e.g., Sims et al., 1998;

Stuart-Smith et al., 1999; Siegesmund et al., 2004; López de Luchi et al., 2004; Dahlquist et al., 2006; Rapela et al., 2008b). Recently, Dahlquist et al. (2010) claimed that the Early Carboniferous A-type granites of the Eastern Sierras Pampeanas represent a tectonothermal event distinct from both Famatinian and Achalian magmatism. Field and geochemical data for the Early Carboniferous granites of the Eastern Sierras Pampeanas are indicative of a distinctive extensional within-plate magma setting where compressive tectonic regime is absent (Dahlquist et al., 2010). In summary, Devonian and Carboniferous magmatism would have been developed in an intracratonic orogen related to continental margin subduction according to the definition of Cawood et al. (2009). Current interpretations (e.g., Alasino et al., 2012) suggest that both magmatic events

were linked to tectonic switching episodes in an active Pacific margin.

3. Analytical methods

3.1 Geochronology

Samples chosen for U–Pb zircon geochronology were prepared and analysed at the School Earth and Environmental Sciences (SEES), Washington State University (WSU). As were crushed and pulverized using a jaw crusher and disc mill followed by heavy mineral concentration using a Gemini table. Additional density separation was carried out using methylene iodide and magnetic separation using a Franz magnetic separator. Zircons (> 90% pure) were mounted in epoxy within 2.5 cm diameter rings, polished to expose zircon interiors, and imaged with a Scanning Electron Microscope in cathode-luminescence (CL) mode at the University of Idaho.

All LA-ICP-MS U–Pb analyses were conducted at Washington State University using a New
Wave Nd:YAG UV 213-nm laser coupled to a ThermoFinnigan Element 2 single collector, doublefocusing, magnetic sector ICP-MS. Operating procedures and parameters are discussed in greater
depth by Gaschnig et al. (2010) and Chang et al. (2006) and are only briefly outlined here. Laser spot

1

size and repetition rate were 30 µm and 10 Hz, respectively. He and Ar carrier gases delivered the sample aerosol to the plasma. Time-independent (or static) fractionation is the largest source of uncertainty in LA-ICP-MS U-Pb geochronology and results from mass and elemental static fractionation in the plasma and also poorly understood laser-matrix effects (Kosler and Sylvester, 2003). It is corrected by normalizing U/Pb and Pb/Pb ratios of the unknowns to the zircon standards (Chang et al., 2006). For this study we used two zircon standards: Peixe, with an age of 564 Ma (Dickinson and Gehrels, 2003), and FC-1, with an age of 1,099 Ma (Paces and Miller, 1993). Results are summarized in Table 1 and full data are given in Table 2 (we suggest Table 2 as Electronic Appendix 1).

3.2 Hf Isotope Analysis

In-situ LA-MC-ICP-MS Hf isotope analyses were conducted at WSU using a New Wave 213 nm UP Nd:YAG laser coupled to a Thermo-Finnigan Neptune MC-ICP-MS with 9 Faraday collectors. The laser was operated at a pulse rate of 10 Hz and power density of 10-12 J/cm² with a spot size of 40 µm, except in a few cases where smaller grains and narrower zones required a smaller spot size of 30 μ m. The carrier gas consisted of purified He with small quantities of N₂ to minimize oxide formation and increase sensitivity. Analyses consisted of 60 one-second measurements in static mode.

The greatest obstacle in LA-MC-ICP-MS Hf isotopic analysis is the isobaric interference of ¹⁷⁶Yb and ¹⁷⁶Lu on ¹⁷⁶Hf (e.g., Woodhead et al., 2004). Despite the extremely low Lu/Hf in zircon, ¹⁷⁶Yb can comprise more than 10% of the total signal at mass 176 and provides the largest source of uncertainty in the measurement. A partly empirical approach was used to correct for the Yb interference, in which a modified Yb isotopic composition was calculated with Yb mass bias determined by the relationship $\beta_{Yb} = x^* \beta_{Hf}$. The value for x was determined by analyzing the zircon standards 91500, FC1, Peixe, GJ1, Mudtank, R33, Pleisovice, QGNG, and Temora and adjusting the

x value to best fit the known 176 Hf/ 177 Hf values previously determined on chemically purified solutions. The x value and modified 176 Yb/ 173 Yb were then used to calculate the Hf isotopic composition of the unknowns.

Due to the difficulty in correcting for the Yb interference and other sources of error such as instrumental bias and matrix effects, the internal measurement error by itself is often considerably less than the total uncertainty, which is often difficult to estimate. Based on the deviation of 176 Hf/ 177 Hf values determined by the in situ method on the standards from the values determined by solution analysis, the total uncertainty for individual analyses is approximately ± 3 σ units.

Present day and initial $\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}$ values were calculated using CHUR compositions of ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf_{today} = 0.282785 and ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.0336 (Bouvier et al., 2008) and a ¹⁷⁶Lu decay constant of 1.867e-11 (Scherer et al., 2001; Söderlund et al., 2004). The initial ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf at the time of zircon crystallization were used to calculate the hypothetical present-day ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf of the zircons' parent rock based on an average crustal ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf of 0.015 (Goodge and Vervoort, 2006). The present day ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf and assumed rock ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf values were then used to calculate a traditional TDM using the equation: (1/ë)*ln[(¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf (sample)-¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf (DM))/(¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf (average crust)-¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf (DM))]. For the depleted mantle parameters, ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf (DM) = 0.283225 and ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf

(DM) = 0.038512 (Vervoort and Blichert-Toft, 1999).

3.3 Sm-Nd Isotope Analysis

Sm–Nd determinations of representative dated granitic samples with Hf isotope zircon data were carried out at Washington State University. For Nd whole-rock isotopic analyses, ~ 0.25 g aliquots of whole-rock powders were dissolved at high pressure in sealed, steel-jacketed Teflon bombs with a 10:1 mixture of concentrated HF and HNO₃ acids at 150°C for 5 to 7 days. After conversion from fluorides to chlorides, samples were spiked with a mixed ¹⁴⁹Sm-¹⁵⁰Nd tracer. LREE were initially separated on cation exchange columns using AG 50W-X8 (200-400 mesh) resins; Nd and Sm were

then separated from the LREE aliquot on columns with HDEHP-coated Teflon powder and HCl (Vervoort and Blichert-Toft, 1999).

All analyses were conducted on a Thermo-Finnigan Neptune MC-ICP-MS. Nd analyses were corrected for mass fractionation using 146 Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.7219 and normalized using Ames and La Jolla Nd standards. Sm analyses were corrected for fractionation using 147 Sm/ 152 Sm = 0.56081. All mass fractionation corrections were performed using an exponential law.

The uncertainties on Nd isotopic measurements reflect in-run error only and are presented as two standard errors as reported by Bouvier et al. (2008, Table 2). The full uncertainties are better assessed from the reproducibility of the standards. Average reproducibility (2 standard deviations) of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ on Ames standard during the period of analysis was \pm 0.000020.

The decay constants used in the calculations are the values λ^{147} Sm = 6.54x10⁻¹² year⁻¹

recommended by the IUGS Subcommission for Geochronology (Steiger and Jaëger, 1977). Epsilon-

Nd (ϵ Nd) values were calculated relative to a CHUR: (143 Nd) 144 Nd) $_{today}$ CHUR = 0.512638;

 $(^{143}\text{Sm}^{/144}\text{Nd})_{\text{todav}}$ CHUR = 0.1967 (Goldstein et al., 1984; Jacobsen and Wasserburg, 1980). T_{DM} was calculated according to DePaolo et al. (1991).

The results are reported in Table 4, together with published (and in some cases recalculated) data for other samples selected for Nd isotope analysis, as well as representative equivalent samples from the same igneous bodies.

3.4 Geochemistry

Whole-rock major elements were determined for three igneous samples at the Washington State University GeoAnalytical Lab, using a ThermoARL sequential X-ray fluorescence spectrometer, following the procedure described by Johnson et al. (1999). Trace elements were determined in the same samples using ICP-MS, following the procedure described in

- http://www.sees.wsu.edu/Geolab/note/icpms.html.

Additionally, whole-rock major and trace element compositions for two samples were determined at Activation Laboratories, Ontario, Canada (ACTLABS), following the 4-Lithoresearch procedure. Following lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion samples are dissolved in a weak nitric acid solution. Major elements, Be, Sc, V, Sr, Ba, and Zr are determined by Inductively Couple Plasma – Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES). All other trace elements are determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). For major elements precision and accuracy are generally better than 2% (relative). For trace elements precision and accuracy are generally better than +/- 6% for all elements determined at 10 times above background.

4. U-Pb Geochronology

We investigated granitic rock samples that could be clearly assigned to each of the three groups (i.e., Early-Middle Ordovician, Middle-Late Devonian, and Early Carboniferous ages), based on U– Pb zircon ages (previously published SHRIMP data and new LA-ICP-MS analysis in this study). Sample locations as well as the ages are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Full geochronological data including U-Pb zircon Laser Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) ages of 13 granitic rocks are found in Table 2.

5. Hf in zircon and whole-rock Nd isotope data

Hf isotopes were determined from the magmatic growth rim zone of 14 zircons (Table 3a, b and summarized in Table 1, we suggest Table 3b as Electronic Appendix 2) of which had been first dated by LA-ICP-MS U-Pb isotope analysis (Table 2). Only zircon areas shown to have crystallized during the primary magmatic event were analysed for Hf isotopes. Inherited core zircon ages in Famatinian granitic rocks define mainly Mesoproterozoic-early Neoproterozoic (ca. 1150-850 Ma) and Neoproterozoic –early Cambrian (ca. 720-530 Ma) populations (data from Ducea et al., 2010), and inherited zircons with Ordovician ages have been reported from Carboniferous plutons by Grosse et al. (2009) and Fogliata et al. (2012). Whole-rock chemistry of the rocks with Hf and Nd isotopes data is reported in Table 5 (we suggest Table 5 as Electronic Appendix 3). Chemical data are not discussed here, but they are included as complementary information for those rocks with Hf and Nd isotope data.

The ε_{Hft} values for magmatic zircons are plotted as a function of the corresponding whole-rock Nd isotope composition at the time of crystallization (ε_{Ndt}) and also as a function of crystallization age (Table 3a, 5 and Fig. 2). As in the general study reported by Kemp et al. (2007), an important feature of the Hf isotope data is the significant range of $\varepsilon_{\rm Hft}$ values exhibited by zircons within the same sample (up to 10 ε units). Such variations can only be reconciled by the operation of open system processes that are capable of changing the ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf ratio of the melt from which the zircons precipitated. Considering the scale of our work, where the objective is to evaluate the predominant Hf isotopic compositions of the magma sources, we have selected what we consider to be the most representative values for each sample, eliminating 'extreme' values (compare Table 3a and 3b) that diverge by more than ~ 3 ε_{Hft} units (i.e., the total uncertainty estimated for individual analyses, see Section 3), with exception of FAM-7086 and VEL-6017 (see explanation below). In some cases no such filtering was necessary (Table 3a, b). However, extreme values observed in some samples (Table 3b) are taken into account in this study because they could represent variability in the main magma source (e.g., juvenile material contribution, deep metasediments, pre-existing crystalline basement) or contaminants (e.g., upper crustal wall-rocks).

The Early-Middle Ordovician magmatism is by far the most voluminous of the Sierras Pampeanas (Fig. 1) and is thus highly significant for crustal evolution in this region. These granitic rocks are calc-alkaline (composition in Table 5) and represent an active continental margin. For these samples ε_{Hft} and ε_{Ndt} values range from -3.3 to -14.7 and -3.3 to -6.3, respectively, with average T_{DM} Hf and

T_{DM} Nd ranging from 1.5 to 2.2 Ga and 1.4 to 1.7 Ga, respectively (Tables 1, 3a, 4 and Fig. 2). In particular, sample FAM-7086 has very low zircon ε_{Hft} and whole-rock ε_{Ndt} values, indicating probable derivation from a Precambrian supracrustal source. However, the more mafic rocks such as SVF-577 (SiO₂ = 42.6%) also have Hf and Nd isotope compositions requiring a major crustal component. The average ε_{Ndt} value (calculated with t = 473 Ma) for Famatinian granitoids using data from Dahlquist et al. (2010) is -5.1 and T_{DM} is 1.6 Ga (Table 4). The few extreme values observed in some samples of Ordovician age (ANC-11030a, FAM-7086, and SVF-577, Table 3b) do not significantly change the conclusion that old continental sources were involved in Famatinian magmatism, predominantly contaminated by different older continental materials with distinctive isotopic signature (e.g., deep crystalline basement or upper crustal wall-rocks such as metasediments).

During the Middle-Late Devonian, magmatism was developed in a foreland position away from the orogenic front in the west (Fig. 1). F-U-REE rich A-type granites formed at this time (composition in Table 5). The Achala granite, the largest batholith in the Sierras Pampeanas, is discordant to country rock fabrics formed during the Cambrian (Pampean) and Ordovician (Famatinian) events (Rapela et al., 2008b). It has ε_{Hft} and ε_{Ndt} values ranging from -3.6 to -5.8 and -4.0 to -6.5, respectively (Tables 1, 3a, 4 and Fig. 2). Isotopic data from the Achala batholith show ε_{Ndt} values of -4.0 to -6.5 (mean = -4.8, data in Table 4) for the main granitic facies (all values calculated with t = 369 Ma). Significantly more ε_{Hft} negative values were observed in one sample only (ACH-140, Table 3b), suggesting contamination by much older metasediments at depth. The Early Carboniferous A-type granites (composition in Table 5) were intruded when the region

was already cratonized and occur as small scattered plutons (Fig. 1) emplaced in a dominantly extensional setting, within older metamorphic and igneous rocks; many of them are aligned along a large reactivated shear zone (Rapela et al., 2001; Dahlquist et al., 2010). These granites have ε_{Hft} and

 ε_{Ndt} values ranging from -6.7 to +2.6 and -0.5 to -3.6, respectively (Tables 1, 3a, 4 and Fig. 2). The average ε_{Ndt} for Carboniferous granitoids (all values calculated with t = 343 Ma) using data from Dahlquist et al. (2010) is -2.3 (Tables 1 and 4). Extreme values are observed in the samples of Carboniferous age (FIA-17, HUA-12, and ZAP-33, Table 3b), suggesting variable crustal contamination. An exception is FAM-177, which has an isotopic signature that suggests juvenile material in the source (Table 3a). VEL-6017 has two contrasting isotopic compositions suggesting crustal and juvenile material in the source (Table 3a).

6. Implications for crustal growth in the proto-Andean margin of Gondwana

Zircon Hf and whole-rock Nd isotopic signatures in the Early-Middle Ordovician calc-alkaline granitic magmas were predominantly derived from pre-existing continental crust and thus represent to a large extent recycling of old crustal rocks (Fig. 2) as suggested by Pankhurst et al. (2000), Rapela et al. (2008a), and Dahlquist et al. (2008). As argued by Rapela et al. (2008a), the classical Andean model for the magmas generation, invoking strong mantle contribution (e.g., Pitcher, 1979; Wilson, 1989; Parada et al., 1999; Hervé et al., 2007; and references therein) does not seem to apply to these Ordovician calc-alkaline granites. However, this view may need a degree of modification since the recent discovery of more juvenile Nd isotope compositions in the extreme west of the Sierra de Valle Fértil (Casquet et al., *submitted*).

Taking into account the results reported in Section 4, the scenario showed in Fig. 3a is proposed for generation of the voluminous Ordovician calc-alkaline magmas. A suite of igneous rocks of Grenvillian age (1.2-1.3 Ga) crops out in the nearby Western Sierras Pampeanas (Rapela et al., 2010), and we suggest that such rocks could have been the main source for Famatinian magmatism. Previous U-Pb and Hf determinations on zircon from small outcrops of Famatinian metaigneous rocks in La Pampa province (U-Pb zircon SHRIMP ages ranging from ca. 476 to 466 Ma) reveal similar results (Chernicoff et al., 2010), with negative ε_{Hft} (from -3.6 to -5.0) and average Lu-Hf two stages model ages of ca. 1.7 Ga.

Hf and Nd data from the Achala granites suggest that these A-type granitic magmas were also largely derived from the recycling of old crustal rocks (Fig. 2). Consistent with this conclusion are the zircon age patterns of porphyritic granites reported by Rapela et al. (2008b), which show inherited components commonly found in the Cambrian metasedimentary country rocks of the batholith and therefore are a likely source component for these granites. However, Rapela et al. (2008b) have suggested the Achalian granites cannot be entirely derived from a Pampean basement source, as the Nd isotopic composition of the basement is well bracketed within an even more 'crustal' isotopic range ($\varepsilon_{Nd520} = -4.5$ to -7.5; Rapela et al., 2008b). Rapela et al. (2008b, data listed in Table 4) reported similar ε_{Ndt} (-4.0) for monzogranites from the same batholith. They found rather more radiogenic Nd in small-scale tonalite and leucogranites bodies ($\varepsilon_{Ndt} = -1.2$ to -1.9, mean = -1.6, and $\varepsilon_{Ndt} = -1.1$ to -1.4, respectively). These minor intrusions could represent a more juvenile magma contribution ascending through of hypothetical local conduits.

The acid-to-intermediate component in a mixture is likely to dominate the Sm-Nd composition of the resultant magma due to its higher Sm and Nd contents. As reported by Kemp et al. (2007), when supracrustal material is reworked by juvenile magmas the Nd isotope ratios of the resulting bulk magma can camouflage the juvenile component. Conversely, the zircon can crystallize sufficiently early and to retain vestiges of the original isotope signature (Kemp et al., 2007). Thus, the zircon Hf data could be considered more robust in constraining the source of the Middle-Late Devonian A-type magmas. The ε_{Hft} and ε_{Ndt} values (Table 3a, b, 4 and Fig. 2) strongly suggest a dominant older crustal component (average T_{DM} Hf and T_{DM} Nd values ranging from 1.5 to 1.6 and 1.4 to 1.6, respectively), and a scenario similar to that shown in Fig. 3b is assumed for the generation of A-type magmas during the Middle-Late Devonian. As noticed by Nelson (1992), high abundances of P₂O₅ (up to 3.3

wt.%) and of volatiles such as F (up to 1%) could readily explained by the involvement of sediment in the source. Achala granites have biotite with very high F content (mean = 2.1%); they bear unusual enclaves characterized by 89-93% biotite with high FeO/MgO ratios (mean 3.1) and 7-11% apatite with high F contents (mean 3.5%); and they have abundant zircon and monazite together with scarce oxides as accessory minerals (data from Dorais et al., 1997). The modal mineralogy produces unusual bulk-rock compositions, including enhanced $P_2O_5 = 5.4$ wt.%, F ~ 6-7 wt.%, and very high U = 181 ppm, Zr (2,581 ppm) and $\Sigma REEs = 4,500$ ppm contents (see NPE-10 sample in Table 5). We have therefore incorporated a petrogenetic scenario involving sediment contamination (Fig. 3b) following the geotectonic model discussed by Alasino et al. (2012) for the Late Paleozoic. Grosse et al. (2009) and Fogliata et al. (2012) reported the presence of zircons with Carboniferous crystallization ages containing inherited zircon with Ordovician ages, and they consequently invoked significant participation of Ordovician meta-granitoids in the source of the Early Carboniferous Atype granites. However, the Carboniferous magmas could not have been entirely derived by remelting of the Ordovician granites because their ε_{Ndt} values are less negative (+0.6 to -4.8 at 323 to 354 Ma) than those of the Famatinian granitoids of 320-360 Ma (-4.8 to -8.5, Dahlquist et al., 2010). Thus, the additional participation of an asthenospheric component is required to satisfy the ε_{Ndt} and $\varepsilon_{\rm Hft}$ values calculated for the Early Carboniferous granitoids. Recently, Alasino et al. (2012) concluded that the Carboniferous granitic rocks overall show west-to-east mineralogical and isotopic regional zonation indicating that magma genesis involved a greater contribution of juvenile material of mantle character towards the west. The ε_{Hft} values reported here are consistent with the conclusions of Alasino et al., (2012) implying continental growth by addition of juvenile material in a foreland region, in particular for the Cerro La Gloria pluton with $\varepsilon_{Hft} = +2.6$ (Fig. 1 and Table 3a). Thus, a petrogenetic model similar to that of Kemp et al. (2007), for plutonic rocks of the Lachlan Fold Belt (SE Australia), invoking interaction between crust of hypothetical Ordovician and

Mesoproterozoic ages and juvenile magmas could also be applied to the generation of Eastern Sierras Pampeanas magmas during the Early Carboniferous (Fig. 3c).

A striking feature evident from the new data is that the Early-Middle Ordovician magmas from which most zircons crystallized were largely derived by melting of pre-existing old crustal rocks, without direct participation of the asthenospheric mantle. As noted above, the Early-Middle Ordovician magmatism is by far the most voluminous magmatism in the Sierras Pampeanas (Fig. 1) and represents the main magmatic event for the crustal development in this region of the proto-Andean margin of Gondwana. The Middle-Late Devonian magmas resulted from reworking of supracrustal material in a foreland region, probably modified by a limited juvenile magma contribution. The Early Carboniferous magmas resulted in part from reworking of supracrustal material in a largely cratonized region, but with variably more significant proportions of juvenile magmas.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by PIP-01940 (CONICET), Spanish CGL2009-07984/BTE, PICT 1009 (FONCyT), SECyT UNLaR Exp. N° 7759/2008, PID Res. 000121 MINCyT Córdoba, and a CONICET external fellowship awarded to J.A. Dahlquist for his research stay at Washington State University, supervised for the Professor J. Vervoort. J.A. Dahlquist thanks Professor J. Vervoort for their comments on the data, and J. Vervoort, V. Valencia, G. Hart, and C. Knaack for their assistance with use of the LA-ICP-MS.

References

- Aceñolaza, F.G., Miller, H., Toselli, A.J., 1996. Geología del Sistema de Famatina. In: Aceñolaza, F.G., Miller, H., Toselli, A.J. (Eds.), Geología del Sistema de Famatina. Münchner Geologische Hefte, Reihe A 19(6), 412 pp.
- Alasino, P.H., Dahlquist, J.A., Pankhurst, R.J., Galindo, C. Casquet, C., Rapela, C.W., Larrovere, M., Fanning, C.M., 2012. Early Carboniferous sub- to mid-alkaline magmatism in the Eastern Sierras Pampeanas, NW Argentina: a record of crustal growth by the incorporation of mantlederived material in an extensional setting. Gondwana Research. Doi:10.1016/j.gr.2011.12.011
- Baldo, 1992. Estudio petrológico y geoquímico de las rocas ígneas y metamórficas entre Pampa de Olaen y Characato. Extremo norte de la Sierra Grande de Córdoba. República Argentina. Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, (unpublished). pp. 305.
- Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J.D., Hatchett, J.P., 2008. The Lu-Hf and Sm-Nd isotopic composition of CHUR: Constraints from unequilibrated chondrites and implications for the bulk composition of terrestrial planets. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 273, 48-57.
- Casquet, C., Rapela, C.W., Pankhurst, R.J., Baldo, E.G., Galindo, C., Fanning, C.M., Dahlquist, J.A., Submitted. Famatinian magmatic arc, juvenile magmatism, U-Pb SHRIMP zircon dating, Gondwana, Sierras Pampeanas. Gondwana Research Letters.
 - Cawood, P.A., 2005. Terra Australis Orogen: Rodinia breakup and development of the Pacific and Iapetus margins of Gondwana during the Neoproterozoic and Paleozoic. Earth-Science Reviews 69, 249 – 279.
- Cawood, P.A., Kröner, A., Collins, W.J., Kusky, T.M., Mooney, W.D. y Windley, B.F., 2009. Accretionary orogens through Earth history. In: Cawood, P.A., Kröner, A., (Eds.), Geological Society, London, Special Publications 318, 1-36.

411	Chang, Z., Vervoort, J. D., McClelland, W. C., and Knaack, C., 2006, U-Pb dating of zircon by LA-
412	ICP-MS: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 7 Q05009, 1-14.
413	Chernicoff, C.J., Zappettini, E.O., Santos J.O.S., Allchurch, S., McNaughton, N.J., 2010. The
414	southern segment of the Famatinian magmatic arc, La Pampa Province, Argentina. Gondwana
415	Research 17, 662-675.
416	Collins, W.J., 2002. Hot orogens, tectonic switching, and creation of continental crust. Geology 30,
417	535-538.
418	Dahlquist, J.A., 2002. Mafic microgranular enclaves: early segregation from metaluminous magma
419	(Sierra de Chepes), Pampean Ranges, NW Argentina. Journal of South American Earth Sciences
420	15, 643-655.
421	Dahlquist, J.A., Galindo, C., 2004. Geoquímica isotópica de los granitoides de la sierra de Chepes:

un modelo geotectónico y termal, implicancias para el orógeno famatiniano. Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina 59, 57-69.

Dahlquist, J.A., Rapela, C.W., Baldo, E.G., 2005. Cordierite-bearing S-Type granitoids in the Sierra de Chepes (Sierras Pampeanas): petrogenetic implications. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 20, 231-251.

- Dahlquist, J.A., Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W., Casquet, C., Fanning, C.M., Alasino, P., Baez, F.M., 2006. The San Blas Pluton: an example of Carboniferous plutonism in the Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 20, 341–350.
- Dahlquist, J.A., Galindo, C., Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W., Alasino, P.H., Saavedra, J., Fanning,
- C.M., 2007. Magmatic evolution of the Peñón Rosado granite: petrogenesis of garnet-bearing granitoids. Lithos 95, 177-207.

Dahlquist, J.A., Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W., Galindo, C., Alasino, P., Fanning, C.M., Saavedra, J., Baldo, E., 2008. New SHRIMP U-Pb data from the Famatina Complex: constraining Early-mid

Ordovician famatinian magmatism in the Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina. Geologica Acta 6, 319-333.

Dahlquist, J.A., Alasino, P.H., Eby, G.N., Galindo, C., Casquet, C., 2010. Fault controlled

- Carboniferous A-type magmatism in the proto-Andean foreland (Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina): Geochemical constraints and petrogenesis. Lithos 115, 65-81.
- Dahlquist, J.A., Alasino, Bello, C., Submitted. Origin of unusual biotite-apatite bodies, Achala batholith, Argentina. Journal of South American Earth Sciences.
- DePaolo, D.J., Linn, A.M., Schubert, G., 1991. The continental crustal age distribution: methods of determining mantle separation ages from Sm–Nd isotopic data and application to the southwestern United States. Journal Geophysical Research 96, 2071–2088.
- Dickinson, W.R. & Gehrels, G.E., 2003. U-Pb ages of detrital zircons from Permian and Jurassic eolian sandstones of the Colorado Plateau, USA: paleogeographic implications. Sedimentary Geology 163, 29–66.
- Dorais, M., Lira, R., Chen, Y., Tingey, D. 1997. Origin of biotite-apatite-rich enclaves, Achala Batholith, Argentina. Contributions to Mineral and Petrology 130, 31-46.
- Ducea, M.N., Otamendi, J.E., Bergantz, G., Stair, K.M., Valencia, V.A., Gehrels, G.E., 2010. Timing constraints on building an intermediate plutonic arc crustal section: U- Pb zircon geochronology of the Sierra Valle Fértil–La Huerta, Famatinian arc, Argentina. Tectonics 29, TC4002, 21-22.

Fogliata, A.S., Báez, M.A., Hagemann, S.G., Santos, J.O, Sardi, F., 2012. Post-orogenic,

- Carboniferous granite-hosted Sn–W mineralization in the Sierras Pampeanas Orogen,
- Northwestern Argentina. Ore Geology Reviews 45, 16-32.
- Gaschnig, R.M., Vervoort, J.D., Lewis, R.S., McClelland, W.C., 2010. Migrating magmatism in the northern US Cordillera: in situ U-Pb geochronology of the Idaho batholiths. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 159, 863-883.

1 2	
$^{3}_{4}$ 459	Goldstein, S. J.; O'Nions, R.K.; Hamilton, P.J. 1984., A Sm.Nd isotopic study of atmospheric dusts
5 6 460 7	and particulates from major river systems. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 70, 221-
⁸ 461 9	236.Grissom, G.C., Debari, S.M., Snee, L.W., 1998. Geology of the Sierras de Fiambalá,
10 11 462 12	northwestern Argentina: implications for Early Palaeozoic Andean tectonics. In: Pankhurst,
¹³ 463 14	R.J., Rapela, C.W. (Eds.), The Proto-Andean Margin of Gondwana: Journal of the Geological
15 16 464 17	Society, London, Special Publications 142, 297–323.
18 465 19	Goodge, J. W., and Vervoort, J. D., 2006. Origin of Mesoproterozoic A-type granites in Laurentia:
²⁰ 21 22	Hf isotope evidence. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 243, 711-731.
23 467 24	Grosse, P., Söllner, F., Baéz, M.A., Toselli, A.J., Rossi, J.N., de la Rosa, J.D., 2009. Lower
²⁵ 468 26	Carboniferous post-orogenic granites in central-eastern Sierra de Velasco, Sierras Pampeanas,
28 469 29	Argentina: U-Pb monazite geochronology and Sr-Nd isotopes. International Journal of Earth
³⁰ 470 31	Sciences 98, 1001-1025.
32 33 471 34	Hervé, F., Pankhurst, R.J., Fanning, C.M., Calderón, M. & Yaxley, G.M., 2007. The South
3 5472 36	Patagonian batholith: 150 my of granite magmatism on a static plate margin. Lithos 97: 373-394.
³⁷ 38 473 39	Höckenreiner, M., Söllner, F., Miller, H., 2003. Dating the TIPA shear zone: an early Devonian
40 474 41	terrane boundary between the Famatinian and Pampean systems (NW Argentina). Journal of South
⁴² 475 43 44	America Earth Sciences 16, 45-66.
4 5476 46	Jacobsen S. B., Wasserburg G. J., 1980. Sm-Nd isotopic evolution of chondrites. Earth and Planetary
47 477 48 49	Science Letters 50, 139-155.
50 51 478	Johnson, D.M., Hooper P.R., Conrey, R.M., 1999. XRF analysis of rocks and minerals for major and
52 53 479 54	trace elements on a single low dilution Litetraborate fused bead. Advances in X-ray Analysis 41,
⁵⁵ 480	843-867.
57 58 481 59	Kemp, A.I.S., Hawkesworth, C. J., Paterson, B. A., Kinny, P.D., 2006. Episodic growth of the
60 <mark>482</mark> 61 62 63 64 65	Gondwana supercontinent from hafnium and oxygen isotopes in zircon. Nature 439, 580-583.

2	
³ 483	Kemp, A.I.S., Hawkesworth, C.J., Foster, G.L., Paterson, G.A., Woodhead, J.D., Hergt, J.M., Gray,
5 6 484 7	C.M., Whitehouse, M.J., 2007. Magmatic and crustal differentiation history of granitic rocks
8 <mark>485</mark> 9	from Hf–O isotopes in zircon. Science 315, 980–983.
10 11 486	Kosler, J. & Sylvester, P.J. 2003. Present trends and the future of zircon in geochronology: laser
12 13 487 14	ablation ICPMS. In: Hanchar, J.M. & Hoskin, P.W.O. (eds). Zircon. Reviews in Mineralogy and
15 16 488	Geochemistry 53, 243–276.
17 18 489 19	Llambías, E.J., Sato, A.M., Ortiz Suárez, A., Prozzi, C., 1998. The granitoids of the Sierra de San
²⁰ 21 490	Luis. In: Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W. (Eds.), The Proto-Andean margin of Gondwana:
22 23 491 24	Geological Society of London, Special Publications 142, pp. 325–341.
²⁵ 492 26	López de Luchi, M.G., Rapalini, A.E., Siegesmund, S., Steenken, A. 2004. Application of magnetic
27 28 493	fabrics to the emplacement and tectonic history of Devonian granitoids in central Argentina. In:
³⁰ 494 31	Martín-Hernández, F., Lüneburg, F., Aubourg, C. y Jackson, M. (eds.) Magnetic fabric: methods
32 33 495	and applications, Geological Society, London, Special Publications 238: 447-474.
34 35 496 36	Miller, C.F., McDowell, S.M., Mapes, R.W., 2003. Hot and cold granites? Implications of zircon
³⁷ 38 497	saturation temperatures and preservation of inheritance. Geology 31, 529-532.
39 40 498 41	Miller, H., Söllner, F., 2005. The Famatina complex (NW-Argentina): back-docking of an island arc
⁴² 43 499	or terrane accretion? Early Palaeozoic geodynamics at the western Gondwana margin. In:
44 45500	Vaughan, A.P.M., Leat, P.T., Pankhurst, R.J. (Eds.), Terrane processes at the margins of
$46 \\ 47501 \\ 48$	Gondwana. Geological Society of London, Special Publication 246, 241-256.
49 50 502	Nelson, D.R. 1992. Isotopic characteristic of potassic rocks. Evidence for the involvement of
51 52 503 53	subducted sediment in magma genesis. Lithos 28, 403-420.
54 55 504	Paces, J.B., and Miller, J.D. 1993, Precise U-Pb ages of Duluth Complex and related mafic
56 5 7505	intrusions, north- eastern Minnesota: geochronological insights to physical, petrogenetic,
58 59 60	
61 62	
63 64	
00	

1

3 4 506 paleomagnetic, and tectonomagmatic processes associated with the 1.1 Ga midcontinental rift 5 6**507** system. Journal Geophysical Research 98, 13997–14013. ⁸508 Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W., 1998. Theproto-AndeanmarginofGondwana: an introduction. The 10 11**509** proto-Andean margin of Gondwana. In: Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W. (Eds.), Geological 13**510** 14 Society, London, Special Publications, vol. 142, pp. 1–9. 15 16**511** Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W., Fanning, C.M. 2000. Age and origin of coeval TTG, I-S-type granites 18**512** 19 in the Famatinian belt of NW Argentina. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Earth ²⁰ 21</sub>513 Sciences 91, 151–168. 22 23**514** Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W., Saavedra, J., Baldo, E.G., Dahlquist, J.A., Pascua, I., Fanning, C.M., ²⁵515 26 27 28516 1998. The Famatinian arc in the central Sierras Pampeanas: an Early to Mid-Ordovician continental arc on the Gondwana margin. In: Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W. (Eds.), The Proto-30**517** 31 Andean Margin of Gondwana. Geological Society of London, Special Publication 142, 343-367. 32 33**518** Parada, M.A., Nyström, J.O., Levi, B., 1999. Multiple sources for the Coastal Batholith of central 35**519** 36 Chile (31°-34°S): geochemical and Sr-Nd isotopic evidence and tectonics implications. Lithos ³⁷ 38</sub>520 46, 505-521. 40521 Pinotti, L., Coniglio, J., Esparza, A., D'Eramo, F., Llambías, E., 2002. Nearly circular plutons 42 43**522** emplaced by stoping at shallow crustal levels, Cerro Áspero batholith, Sierras Pampeanas de 44 45**523** Córdoba, Argentina. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 15, 251-265. ⁴⁷524 48 Pinotti, L., Tubía, J.M., D'Eramo, F., Vegas, N., Sato, A.M., Coniglio, J. y Aranguren, A., 2006. 49 50**525** Structural interplay between plutons during the construction of a batholith (Cerro Aspero 52**526** 53 batholith, Sierras de Córdoba, Argentina). Journal of Structural Geology 28, 834-849. 54 55**527** Pitcher, W.S., 1979. The nature, ascent and emplacement of granitic magmas. Journal of the 57528 Geological Society, London 136, 627-662.

1	
2 3 529	Rapela, C.W., Pankhurst, R.J., Dahlquist, J.A., Baldo, E.G., Casquet, C., Galindo, C., 2008a.
5 6 530 7	Revisiting accretionary history and magma sources in the Southern Andes: Time variation of
⁸ 531	"typical Andean granites". International Symposium on Andean Geodynamics, 7 th , Nice, France,
10 11 532 12	extended abstracts, 427-430.
¹³ 533 14	Rapela, C.W., Baldo, E.G., Pankhurst, R.J., Fanning, C.M., 2008b. The Devonian Achala batholith in
15 16 534 17	the Sierras Pampeanas: F-rich aluminous A-type granites. San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina.
18 535 19	South American Symposium on Isotope Geology, 6 th , CD-ROM, extended abstract 53.
²⁰ ₂₁ 536	Rapela, C.W., Pankhurst, R.J., Casquet, C., Baldo, E., Galindo, C., Fanning, C.M., Dahlquist, J.A.,
22 23 537 24	2010. The Western Sierras Pampeanas: Protracted Grenville-age history (1330–1030 Ma) of
²⁵ 538	intra-oceanic arcs, subduction-accretion at continental-edge and AMCG intraplate magmatism.
27 28 539 29	Journal of South American Earth Sciences 29, 105-127.
³⁰ 540 31	Saavedra, J., Toselli, A., Rossi, J., Pellitero, E., Durand, F., 1998. The Early Palaeozoic magmatic
32 33 541 34	record of the Famatina System: a review. In: Pankhurst, R.J., Rapela, C.W. (Eds.). The Proto-
3 5542 36	Andean Margin of Gondwana. Journal of the Geological Society of London, Special Publication
³⁷ 38543	142, 283-295.
40 544 41	Scherer, E., Whitehouse, M.J., Münker, C., 2007. Zircon as a monitor of crustal growth. Elements 3,
42 43 545	19–24.
44 45 546 46	Siebel, W. & Chen, F. 2010. Zircon Hf isotope perspective on the origin of granitic rocks from
⁴⁷ 547 48	eastern Bavaria, SW Bohemian Massif. International Journal of Earth Sciences 99, 993–1005.
49 50 548 51	Siegesmund, S., Steenken, A., López de Luchi, M.G., Wemmer, K., Hoffmann, A., Mosch, S., 2004.
52 549 53	The Las Chacras–Potrerillos batholith (Pampean Ranges, Argentina): structural evidence,
54 55 550	emplacement and timing of the intrusion. International Journal Earth Sciences 93, 23-43.
57 551 58	Sims, J.P., Ireland, T.R., Camacho, A., Lyons, P., Pieters, P.E., Skirrow, R.G., Stuart-Smith, P.G.
⁵⁹ 552	1998. U–Pb, Th–Pb and Ar–Ar geochronology from the southern Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina:
62 63 64	

implications for the Palaeozoic tectonic evolution of the western Gondwana margin. In:

- Pankhurst, R.J. & Rapela, C.W. (eds) The Proto-Andean Margin of Gondwana. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 142, 259-281.
- Söderlund, U., Patchett, P. J., Vervoort, J. D., and Isachsen, C. E., 2004. The 176Lu decay constant
 determined by Lu-Hf and U-Pb isotope systematics of Precambrian mafic intrusions. Earth
 and Planetary Science Letters 219, 311-324.
- Spagnuolo, C.M., Rapalini, A.E., Astini, R.A., 2012. Assembly of Pampia to the SW Gondwana
 margin: a case of strike–slip docking? Gondwana Research 21, 406-421.

Steiger, R.H., Jäger, E., 1977. Subcommission of geochronology: convention on the use of decay
 constants in geo- and cosmochronology. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 36, 359–362.

- Stuart-Smith, P.G., Miró, R., Sims, J.P., Pieters, P.E., Lyons, P., Camacho, A., Skirrow, R.G. and
 Black, L.P., 1999. Uranium-lead dating of felsic magmatic cycles in the southern Sierras
 Pampeanas, Argentina: implications for the tectonic development of the proto-Andean
 Gondwana margin, in: V.A., Ramos, J.D., Keppie (Eds.), Laurentia Gondwana connections
 before Pangea. Geological Society of America Special Publication 336, 87-114.
 - Tohver, E., Cawood, P.A., Rossello, E.A., Jourdan, F., 2012. Closure of the Clymene Ocean and
 formation of West Gondwana in the Cambrian: evidence from the Sierras Australes of the
 southernmost Rio de la Plata craton, Argentina. Gondwana Research 21, 394-405.

Vaughan, A.P.M., Pankhurst, R.J., 2008. Tectonic overview of the West Gondwana margin. Gondwana Research 13, 150–162.

Vervoort, J.D. & Blichert-Toft, J., 1999. Evolution of the depleted mantle: Hf isotope evidence from
juvenile rocks through time. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 63, 533-556.

Wedepohl, K.H., 1995. The composition of the continental crust: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 59, 1217-1232.

77	Wilson, M. 1989. Igneous Petrogenesis, A Global Tectonic Approach. Unwin Hyman, London. pp.
78	466.
79	Willner, A.P., Gerdes, A., Massonne, HJ., Schmidt, A., Sudo, M., Thomson, S.N., Vujovich, G.,
80	2011. The geodynamics of collision of a microplate (Chilenia) in Devonian times deduced by
81	the pressure-temperature-time evolution within part of a collisional belt (Guarguaraz
82	complex,W-Argentina). Contributions toMineralogy and Petrology 162, 303–327.
83	Woodhead, J., Hergt, J., Shelley, M., Eggins, S., Kemp, R. 2004. Zircon Hf-isotope analysis with an
84	excimer laser, depth profiling, ablation of complex geometries, and concomitant age estimation.
85	Chemical Geology 209, 121–135.
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
00	

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Simplified geological map of central-west Argentina (Sierras Pampeanas), central Chile, and the location of the studied Early-Middle Ordovician calc-alkaline granites and Middle-Late Devonian (Achala batholith) and Early Carboniferous A-type granites. Coupled in situ U-Pb ages and Hf isotope data for zircons and whole-rock Sm/Nd data are included. Sources: *U-Pb zircon SHRIMP (Pankhurst et al., 2000); †N.D. = Not determined; §U-Pb zircon SHRIMP (Alasino et al., 2012); **U-Pb zircon SHRIMP (Rapela et al. 2008b), otherwise data reported in this paper. Inset: Schematic geological map of South America showing the location of Sierras Pampeanas in Argentina.

Fig. 2. (a) The average Hf isotope composition of melt-precipitated zircons from samples of each suite as a function of whole-rock Nd isotope composition at the time of crystallization of the granitic rocks of Sierras Pampeanas. (b) EHft values for magmatic zircons are plotted as a function of crystallization age. VEL-6017 defines two Hf compositions populations (see text). The depleted mantle evolution curve is from Kemp et al. (2006).

Fig. 3. Upper figure: Possible schematic geodynamic scenario for magma generation in the protoAndean margin of Gondwana at between 32° and 26°S (see Fig. 1). Lower figure: Cartoon of
the standard profile of the continental crust, modified from Wedepohl (1995). Generalized
location for each magmatic event in Fig. 1: (a) Early-Middle Ordovician magma generation in a
roll-back subduction setting, (b) Middle-Late Devonian and (c) Early Carboniferous magma
generation in a dominant extensional regime with subsequent lithosphere thinning. The final
emplacement of Achala granites is in dominant compressional regimen (see discussion in the

text). The ascent of magmas during the Early Carboniferous (and probably during the Middle-Late Devonian) was facilitated by shear zones (see discussion in the text). The probable source for each setting is: (a) Early-Middle Ordovician: Mesoproterozoic continental crust (T_{DM} Hf = 1.5-2.2 Ga and T_{DM} Nd = 1.4-1.7 Ga), with no significant asthenospheric mantle contribution; (b) Middle-Late Devonian and (c) Early Carboniferous: mainly the Sierra de Córdoba basement and Ordovician granites, respectively. (b) and (c) support Mesoproterozoic continental crust source (see T_{DM} Hf and T_{DM} Nd in Table 3a, b and 5) and a progressive mantle contribution (see discussion in the text). The presence of paleo-sediment in the source for settings (b) and (c) was included in agreement with the geotectonic scenario discussed by Alasino et al. (2012). References for the three figures in Fig. 3a.

Fig. 1



Fig. 2









	U-Ph Ages*	U-Ph Ages [†]	εNd(t) [§]	۶ Hf (t)	T _{EV} Nd	T _{DM} Hf	Latitude	Longitude
	e renges	Early-M	liddle Ordovi	cian granitic rocks	I DM I KG	1 DM III	Lutitude	Longitude
Samples				0				
SVF-577	464 ± 5	478 ± 4	-5.4	-5.7	1.6	1.7	30° 39' 28"	67° 36' 17"
VMA-1018	476 ± 6	484 ± 3	N.D. [#]	-7.3	N.D.	1.8	28° 43' 31"	66° 34' 31"
FAM-7086	483 ± 8	481 ± 4	-5.9	-14.7	1.7	2.2	29° 01' 25"	68° 10' 19"
ANC-11030a	466 ± 6	N.D.	-4.9	-3.3	1.6	1.5	28° 57' 56"	65° 25' 08"
		Middle	e-Late Devoni	an granitic rocks				
ACH-140	366 ± 6	N.D.	-5.0	-3.8	1.5	1.5	31° 36' 37"	64° 49' 49"
DAL-1	372 ± 6	N.D.	-4.9	-3.6	1.5	1.5	31° 25' 50"	64° 53' 00"
NPE-14	369 ± 5	N.D.	-6.3	-5.9	1.6	1.6	31° 26' 02"	64° 49' 29"
NPE-10	369**	N.D.	-5.7	-3.8	1.6	1.5	31° 25' 46"	64° 49' 50"
		Early	Carboniferou	ıs granitic rocks				
FIA-17 (Los Árboles pluton)	322 ± 3		-1.6	-5.0	1.2	1.6	27° 44' 31"	67° 30' 04"
VEL-6017 (San Blas pluton)	340 ± 2	340 ± 3	-1.6	-5.2 and +0.5	1.2	1.6 and 1.3	28° 27' 14"	67° 06' 02"
ZAP-33 (Zapata granitic complex)	332 ± 3		-3.6	-5.8	1.4	1.6	27° 53' 18"	67° 21' 15"
FAM-177 (Cerro La Gloria pluton)	353 ± 4	$349\pm3^{\dagger\dagger}$	-0.5	2.6	1.2	1.1	29°04'57.1"	67°57'03.9"
HUA-12 (Huaco granitic complex)	357 ± 3		-2.9	-3.3	1.3	1.5	29° 11' 23"	67° 01' 45"
CHI-17 (La Chinchilla stock)	$345 \pm 1^{\$\$}$		-2.8	-6.7	1.3	1.7	29° 10' 09"	66° 58' 20"

 Table 1.

 Integrated in situ U-Pb and Hf isotopes in zircon and whole-rock and isotopes data for the studied rocks (see Fig. 1)

NOTE: Compilation of data from Tables 3 and 4. VMA-1018 is not available for Sm/Nd determinations. VEL-6017 defines two Hf compositions populations (see Table 3).

*U-Pb zircon LA-ICP-MS, this work.

[†]U-Pb zircon SHRIMP (Pankhurst et al., 2000; Dahlquist et al., 2008).

 $t^{\$} t = 473$, data from Table 4.

[#]N.D. = not determined.

**Average from ACH-140, DAL-1, and NPE-14. An U-Pb zircon age = 368 Ma was obtained by Dorais et al. (1997) for a rock such as NPE-10.

^{††}U-Pb zircon SHRIMP from Alasino et al. (2012).

^{§§}U-Pb monazite LA-ICP-MS from Grosse et al. (2009).

Table

Table 2 Electronic Appendix 1.

LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the biotite-bearing tonalite of the Las Cañadas granitic complex

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
ANC 11030a (P)								
ANC-11030a_2a*	13.369	0.405	0.05630	0.00083	465	14	464	32
ANC-11030a_4b	13.680	0.415	0.06035	0.00075	455	13	616	26
ANC-11030a_5a*	13.530	0.411	0.05637	0.00071	460	13	467	28
ANC-11030a_7a	13.146	0.305	0.05803	0.00037	473	11	455	15
ANC-11030a_9a	12.805	0.292	0.05728	0.00083	485	11	531	14
ANC-11030a_16a	13.727	0.318	0.06206	0.00086	453	10	502	31
ANC-11030a_19a	13.658	0.252	0.05690	0.00081	456	8	676	29
ANC-11030a_24a*	13.215	0.279	0.05663	0.00076	470	10	488	31
ANC-11030a_25a*	13.438	0.203	0.05690	0.00079	463	7	477	30
ANC-11030a_27a	13.689	0.201	0.05690	0.00079	455	6	488	31
ANC-11030a_8a	13.339	0.269	0.05712	0.00047	467	11	496	18
ANC 11020- (E)								
ANC 11030a (F)	12 244	0.206	0.05601	0.00032	166	7	152	12
ANC-11030a_2a *	12.544	0.200	0.03001	0.00032	400	7	455	13
ANC 11030a_5a	12.303	0.209	0.03008	0.00024	401	1	430	10
ANC-11030a_/a *	13.170	0.117	0.05038	0.00029	472	4	407	11
ANC-11030a_8a *	13.339	0.135	0.05744	0.00033	466	5	509	12
ANC-11030a_9a	12.828	0.111	0.05836	0.00030	484	4	543	11
ANC-11030a_24a *	13.209	0.118	0.05667	0.00034	470	4	479	13
ANC-11030a_25a *	13.432	0.068	0.05640	0.00031	463	2	468	12
ANC-11030a_1a	6.640	0.106	0.07075	0.00032	904	14	950	9
ANC-11030a_17a	11.720	0.082	0.05940	0.00035	528	4	582	13
ANC-11030a 18a	2.383	0.106	0.11516	0.00127	2258	84	1882	20

Concordia Age = 465 ± 5 Ma (2σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 1.3, Probability (of concordance) = 0.26

Concordia Age = 466 ± 5 Ma[†] (95% confidence, decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.52, Probability (of concordance) = 0.47

NOTE (all Tables): 1. ²³⁸U/²⁰⁶Pb ratio corrected for static fractionation using Peixe (P) and FC1 (F), respectively. 2. Measurement errors represent within-run standard error uncertainty only. 3. ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ratios corrected for static fractionation using Peixe (P) and FC1 (F), respectively. 4. Asterisk (*) indicates data points used for Concordia age calculation. 5. In red color the ages used in the calculations of epsilon Nd and Hf, respectively. 6. Localization of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1. [†]Previous age (LA-ICP-MS U-Pb in zircon) reported by Dahlquist et al. (2012).

Table 2LA-ICP-MS Zircon results for the gabbro of the Sierra de Valle Fértil.

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
SVF-577 (P)							~	
Grain 1	13.355	0.200	0.05669	0.00078	465	7	474	21
Grain 4	13.309	0.196	0.05646	0.00070	467	7	489	19
Grain 5*	12.808	0.190	0.05712	0.00071	485	7	484	18
Grain 6*	12.798	0.188	0.05662	0.00071	485	7	487	18
Grain 7*	12.964	0.189	0.05577	0.00070	479	7	488	18
Grain 8*	13.642	0.230	0.05802	0.00092	456	7	890	33
Grain 9	13.409	0.187	0.05636	0.00074	464	6	464	21
Grain 10*	13.092	0.194	0.05934	0.00090	475	7	591	24
Grain 12*	13.363	0.371	0.05880	0.00062	465	12	948	39
Grain 13	13.666	0.353	0.05677	0.00044	455	11	466	15
Grain 14*	12.889	0.340	0.05614	0.00050	482	12	471	17
Grain 16	13.139	0.127	0.05598	0.00048	473	4	482	18
Grain 18*	13.389	0.132	0.05549	0.00060	464	4	452	23
Grain 19	13.281	0.117	0.05619	0.00041	468	4	487	15
Grain 20*	12.655	0.138	0.05807	0.00061	490	5	552	25
SVF-577 (F)								
Grain 1	13.450	0.327	0.05670	0.00053	462	11	474	21
Grain 4	13.403	0.324	0.05647	0.00040	464	11	489	19
Grain 5*	12.898	0.313	0.05713	0.00041	481	11	484	18
Grain 6*	12.888	0.311	0.05662	0.00042	482	11	487	18
Grain 7*	13.055	0.314	0.05578	0.00042	476	11	488	18
Grain 8*	13.738	0.351	0.05803	0.00070	453	11	890	33
Grain 9*	13.503	0.320	0.05637	0.00047	461	11	464	21
Grain 10*	13.184	0.319	0.05935	0.00067	471	11	591	24
Grain 12*	13.029	0.920	0.05855	0.00062	477	32	948	39
Grain 13	13.325	0.932	0.05652	0.00043	466	31	466	15
Grain 14*	12.568	0.881	0.05590	0.00050	494	33	471	17
Grain 16*	12.962	0.682	0.05606	0.00045	479	24	482	18
Grain 18*	13.208	0.696	0.05557	0.00058	470	24	452	23
Grain 19*	13.101	0.688	0.05627	0.00038	474	24	487	15
Grain 20*	12.484	0.660	0.05815	0.00059	497	25	552	25

Concordia Age = 468 ± 2 Ma (2σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.040, Probability (of concordance) = 0.84

Concordia Age = $478 \pm 4 \text{ Ma}^{\dagger}$ MSWD (of concordance) = 0.60

Concordia Age = 464 ± 5 Ma (2 σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.62, Probability (of concordance) = 0.43

[†]Previous age (SHRIMP U-Pb in zircon) reported by Pankhurst et al. (2000).

 Table 2.

 LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the cordierite-bearing monzogranite of the Sierra de Mazán.

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
VMA-1018 (P)								
Grain 2*	12.888	0.169	0.05663	0.00044	482	6	481	12
Grain 3	11.960	0.161	0.05841	0.00055	518	7	544	16
Grain 5	10.313	0.136	0.05789	0.00050	597	8	522	14
Grain 6	12.428	0.177	0.05661	0.00044	499	7	483	12
Grain 8	12.191	0.170	0.05747	0.00046	508	7	504	12
Grain 12*	13.265	0.256	0.05707	0.00054	469	9	517	17
Grain 13*	13.283	0.260	0.05614	0.00045	468	9	483	13
Grain 14	13.000	0.260	0.05865	0.00048	478	9	572	14
Grain 16	10.985	0.327	0.05844	0.00063	562	16	551	14
Grain 18*	12.945	0.377	0.05647	0.00060	480	13	482	14
Grain 19	13.689	0.398	0.05664	0.00055	455	13	480	10
Grain 20	11.788	0.352	0.06055	0.00067	525	15	595	11
Grain 21	11.548	0.367	0.05750	0.00058	535	16	510	12
Grain 23	13.573	0.400	0.05616	0.00056	458	13	470	11
Grain 24*	12.846	0.377	0.05687	0.00057	483	14	484	11
Grain 25	10.901	0.325	0.05718	0.00057	566	16	513	12
VMA-1018 (F)								
Grain 2*	13.236	0.133	0.05667	0.00032	470	5	481	12
Grain 3	12.284	0.129	0.05845	0.00045	505	5	544	16
Grain 5	10.592	0.107	0.05793	0.00039	582	6	522	14
Grain 6	12.764	0.147	0.05665	0.00032	486	5	483	12
Grain 8	12.521	0.139	0.05751	0.00034	495	5	504	12
Grain 12	13.169	0.158	0.05701	0.00041	472	5	517	17
Grain 13*	13.186	0.166	0.05608	0.00030	471	6	483	13
Grain 14	12.905	0.170	0.05859	0.00033	481	6	572	14
Grain 16	11.266	0.217	0.05837	0.00036	548	10	551	14
Grain 18*	13.277	0.241	0.05640	0.00033	468	8	482	14
Grain 19	14.039	0.254	0.05657	0.00023	444	8	480	10
Grain 20	12.090	0.236	0.06047	0.00040	512	10	595	11
Grain 21	11.843	0.266	0.05742	0.00027	523	11	510	12
Grain 23	13.920	0.261	0.05609	0.00026	447	8	470	11
Grain 24*	13.175	0.246	0.05680	0.00027	472	8	484	11
Grain 25	11.180	0.216	0.05711	0.00027	552	10	513	12

Concordia Age = 476 ± 6 Ma

(95% confidence, decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.0095, Probability (of concordance) = 0.92

Concordia Age = $484 \pm 3 \text{ Ma}^{\dagger}$ MSWD (of concordance) = 0.61

Concordia Age = 470 ± 5 Ma (95% confidence, decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.26, Probability (of concordance) = 0.61

[†]Previous age (SHRIMP U-Pb in zircon) reported by Pankhurst et al. (2000).

Table 2. LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the biotite-bearing tonalite of the Cerro Toro (Western flank of the Sierra de Famatina)

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
FAM-7086 (P)								
Grain 1	13.304	0.231	0.05486	0.00060	467	8	460	20
Grain 2*	12.557	0.224	0.05716	0.00059	494	8	500	15
Grain 3	12.896	0.212	0.05592	0.00052	481	8	478	11
Grain 4*	12.671	0.247	0.05660	0.00054	490	9	487	12
Grain 7*	12.644	0.200	0.05736	0.00055	491	7	732	63
Grain 8	12.789	0.323	0.05898	0.00082	485	12	1250	171
Grain 9	13.428	0.244	0.05636	0.00066	463	8	538	19
Grain 10*	12.884	0.193	0.05657	0.00052	482	7	476	11
Grain 13	13.486	0.289	0.05697	0.00058	461	10	478	15
Grain 15	12.648	0.271	0.05858	0.00056	491	10	565	13
Grain 18	13.255	0.315	0.05728	0.00062	469	11	522	17
Grain 19*	12.625	0.301	0.05707	0.00054	491	11	563	17
FAM-7086								
(F)								
Grain 1	13.304	0.231	0.05486	0.00060	467	8	460	20
Grain 2*	12.557	0.224	0.05716	0.00059	494	8	500	15
Grain 3	12.896	0.212	0.05592	0.00052	481	8	478	11
Grain 4*	12.671	0.247	0.05660	0.00054	490	9	487	12
Grain 7	12.644	0.200	0.05736	0.00055	491	7	732	63
Grain 8	12.789	0.323	0.05898	0.00082	485	12	1250	171
Grain 9	13.428	0.244	0.05636	0.00066	463	8	538	19
Grain 10	12.884	0.193	0.05657	0.00052	482	7	476	11
Grain 13	13.486	0.289	0.05697	0.00058	461	10	478	15
Grain 15	12.648	0.271	0.05858	0.00056	491	10	565	13
Grain 18	13.255	0.315	0.05728	0.00062	469	11	522	17
Grain 19*	12.625	0.301	0.05707	0.00054	491	11	563	17

[†]Previous age (SHRIMP U-Pb in zircon) reported by Dahlquist et al. (2008).

Concordia Age = 489 ± 4 Ma

 $(2\sigma, \text{ decay-const. errs included})$ MSWD (of concordance) = 0.0020, Probability (of concordance) = 0.96

481 ± 4 Ma [95% conf.] [†]
Wtd. by data-pt errs only, 1 of 8 rej.
MSWD = 0.24

Concordia Age = 489 ± 5 Ma (2σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.59, Probability (of concordance) = 0.44

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
NPE-14 (P)							0	
Grain 1*	16.373	0.243	0.0540	0.0007	382	5	370	31
Grain 2	16.503	0.271	0.0586	0.0008	379	6	553	31
Grain 3	16.784	0.289	0.0569	0.0007	373	6	486	28
Grain 4*	16.649	0.274	0.0547	0.0007	376	6	399	30
Grain 6*	16.859	0.265	0.0544	0.0008	371	6	389	33
Grain 8	5.030	0.209	0.6004	0.0091	1169	44	4509	22
Grain 9	6.755	0.221	0.4896	0.0075	890	27	4210	22
Grain 11	8.927	0.234	0.4541	0.0068	684	17	4099	22
Grain 13	6.986	0.175	0.4796	0.0072	862	20	4180	22
NPE-14 (F)								
Grain 1*	16.745	0.336	0.0539	0.0006	374	7	367	23
Grain 2	16.878	0.361	0.0586	0.0007	371	8	551	24
Grain 3	17.165	0.378	0.0568	0.0005	365	8	484	19
Grain 4	17.027	0.364	0.0546	0.0005	368	8	396	21
Grain 6*	17.242	0.358	0.0544	0.0006	363	7	386	26
Grain 8	5.144	0.229	0.5997	0.0072	1145	46	4507	17
Grain 9	6.908	0.249	0.4891	0.0059	871	29	4209	18
Grain 11	8.927	0.234	0.4541	0.0068	684	17	4099	22
Grain 13	6.986	0.175	0.4796	0.0072	862	20	4180	22

Table 2. LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the monzogranite NPE-14 of the Achala batholith

Concordia Age = 377 ± 3 Ma (2 σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.91, Probability (of concordance) = 0.34

Concordia Age = 369 ± 5 Ma (2σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.48, Probability (of concordance) = 0.49

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
DAL-1 (P)								
Grain 1	18.059	0.436	0.0587	0.0010	347	8	557	36
Grain 3	15.957	0.376	0.0539	0.0008	392	9	367	34
Grain 4	18.776	0.464	0.0596	0.0009	334	8	590	32
Grain 5	15.974	0.388	0.0582	0.0009	391	9	538	33
Grain 6	15.457	0.363	0.0549	0.0008	404	9	408	33
Grain 7	14.948	0.369	0.0541	0.0008	417	10	373	35
Grain 8	16.172	0.402	0.0596	0.0009	387	9	589	33
Grain 9	16.178	0.379	0.0591	0.0009	387	9	570	33
Grain 10	16.712	0.406	0.0547	0.0008	375	9	400	33
Grain 11*	16.248	0.371	0.0548	0.0006	385	9	405	23
Grain 13	15.712	0.348	0.0560	0.0006	398	9	452	22
Grain 14*	16.061	0.346	0.0541	0.0006	389	8	374	23
Grain 18	15.774	0.362	0.0537	0.0005	396	9	358	22
Grain 19*	16.184	0.376	0.0544	0.0006	386	9	389	24
Grain 20	15.345	0.361	0.0553	0.0006	407	9	422	25
DAL-1 (F)								
Grain 1	18.727	0.624	0.0584	0.0006	347	8	557	36
Grain 3	16.547	0.544	0.0536	0.0004	392	9	367	34
Grain 4	19.471	0.657	0.0593	0.0004	334	8	590	32
Grain 5	16.564	0.554	0.0579	0.0005	391	9	538	33
Grain 6	16.028	0.526	0.0546	0.0004	404	9	408	33
Grain 7	15.501	0.523	0.0537	0.0005	417	10	373	35
Grain 8	16.770	0.569	0.0592	0.0005	387	9	589	33
Grain 9	16.776	0.550	0.0587	0.0005	387	9	570	33
Grain 10	17.330	0.579	0.0544	0.0004	375	9	400	33
Grain 11	17.037	0.478	0.0547	0.0004	385	9	405	23
Grain 13	16.475	0.451	0.0559	0.0004	398	9	452	22
Grain 14*	16.841	0.453	0.0540	0.0004	389	8	374	23
Grain 18	16.540	0.465	0.0536	0.0004	396	9	358	22
Grain 19*	16.970	0.481	0.0544	0.0004	386	9	389	24
Grain 20	16.090	0.461	0.0552	0.0005	407	9	422	25

 Table 2.

 LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the monzogranite DAL-1 of the Achala batholith

[†]This age is more consistent with that previously reported for granitic rocks of the Achala batholith (e.g., Dorais et al., 1997; Rapela et al., 2008b).

Concordia Age = 387 ± 5 Ma (2 σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.071, Probability (of concordance) = 0.79

Concordia Age = $372 \pm 6 \text{ Ma}^{\dagger}$ (2 σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.91, Probability (of concordance) = 0.34

En lei hib Ene	on results for	the monzog			nulu outiontii			
Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
ACH-140 (P)								
Grain 1	16.590	0.161	0.056	0.001	377	4	469	22
Grain 2	17.487	0.180	0.056	0.001	358	4	437	23
Grain 3*	16.797	0.157	0.054	0.001	373	3	392	21
Grain 7*	16.799	0.170	0.054	0.001	373	4	383	24
Grain 8	16.124	0.165	0.055	0.001	388	4	430	21
Grain 10	17.345	0.172	0.057	0.001	361	3	496	22
Grain 11	16.088	0.165	0.055	0.001	389	4	403	22
Grain 13	17.885	0.178	0.074	0.001	351	3	1031	20
Grain 14	16.340	0.177	0.055	0.001	383	4	408	24
Grain 16	16.403	0.156	0.055	0.001	381	4	423	22
Grain 17	17.282	0.170	0.055	0.001	363	3	412	21
Grain 18	16.437	0.167	0.055	0.001	381	4	425	23
Grain 20	16.544	0.190	0.055	0.001	378	4	424	23
ACH-140 (F)								
Grain 1	16.936	0.392	0.0560	0.0007	370	8	454	27
Grain 2	17.851	0.418	0.0552	0.0007	351	8	422	28
Grain 3*	17.148	0.394	0.0541	0.0006	365	8	376	26
Grain 7*	17.149	0.400	0.0539	0.0007	365	8	368	28
Grain 8	16.461	0.385	0.0551	0.0006	380	9	415	26
Grain 10	17.707	0.411	0.0567	0.0007	354	8	480	27
Grain 11	16.552	0.224	0.0544	0.0004	378	5	387	15
Grain 13	18.402	0.243	0.0731	0.0005	341	4	1017	14
Grain 14	16.812	0.235	0.0545	0.0004	372	5	392	17
Grain 16	16.877	0.218	0.0549	0.0003	371	5	407	14
Grain 17	17.781	0.234	0.0546	0.0003	353	5	395	13
Grain 18	16.912	0.227	0.0549	0.0004	370	5	409	16
Grain 20	17.022	0.247	0.0549	0.0004	368	5	407	16

LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the monzogranite ACH-140 of the Achala batholith	zircon results for the monzogranite ACH-140 of the Achala batholith

Concordia Age = 373 ± 3 Ma
(2σ, decay-const. errs included)
MSWD (of concordance) = 3.3,
Probability (of concordance) = 0.069

Concordia Age = 366 ± 6 Ma (2σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.43, Probability (of concordance) = 0.51

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ	-
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age		
FIA-17 (P)									-
Grain 1a	19.531	0.249	0.05369	0.00062	322	4	358	26	
Grain 1b*	19.433	0.266	0.05381	0.00062	323	4	363	26	
Grain 3a*	19.320	0.287	0.05352	0.00066	325	5	351	28	
Grain 4a	19.923	0.247	0.05361	0.00061	316	4	355	25	Concordia Age = 325 ± 10 Ma
Grain 7a*	19.969	0.260	0.05354	0.00067	315	4	352	28	(95% confidence decay const errs
Grain 7b	19.694	0.253	0.05253	0.00068	319	4	309	29	(95% confidence, decay-const. ens
Grain 8a	19.898	0.216	0.05650	0.00069	316	3	472	27	included)
Grain 12a	18.866	0.195	0.05526	0.00065	333	3	423	26	MSWD (of concordance) = 11.0,
Grain 18a	19.139	0.205	0.05459	0.00061	328	3	395	25	Probability (of concordance) = 0.001
Grain 19a	19.931	0.225	0.05520	0.00062	316	3	421	25	
FIA-17 (F)									
Grain 1a*	19.403	0.227	0.05295	0.00035	324	4	326	15	
Grain 1b*	19.305	0.245	0.05306	0.00034	326	4	331	14	
Grain 3a*	19.193	0.267	0.05278	0.00042	327	4	320	18	
Grain 4a*	19.792	0.224	0.05287	0.00033	318	4	323	14	
Grain 7a*	19.838	0.238	0.05280	0.00043	317	4	320	18	Concordia Age = 322 ± 3 Ma
Grain 7b	19.565	0.231	0.05181	0.00046	321	4	277	20	(95% confidence, decay-const. errs
Grain 8a	19.723	0.218	0.05604	0.00029	319	3	454	12	included)
Grain 12a	18.700	0.198	0.05480	0.00021	336	3	404	9	MSWD (of concordance) = 0.45
Grain 18a	18.856	0.111	0.05430	0.00036	333	2	384	15	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
Grain 19a	19.637	0.135	0.05492	0.00037	320	2	409	15	Frobability (or concordance) = 0.50

 Table 2.

 LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the Los Árboles pluton (Sierra de Fiambalá)

 Table 2.

 LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the Zapata granitic complex (Sierra de Zapata)

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
ZAP-33 (P)					0		0	
Grain 2*	18.697	0.867	0.05319	0.00038	336	15	345	15
Grain 3*	18.738	0.869	0.05273	0.00038	335	15	324	16
Grain 4*	18.403	0.857	0.05335	0.00042	341	15	358	18
Grain 5	17.999	0.838	0.05488	0.00041	349	16	409	17
Grain 6*	18.798	0.871	0.05377	0.00042	334	15	360	17
Grain 7*	18.566	0.872	0.05353	0.00054	338	15	353	24
Grain 9*	18.501	0.857	0.05351	0.00044	339	15	363	18
Grain 12	18.133	0.261	0.05298	0.00051	346	5	341	21
Grain 13	19.207	0.292	0.05517	0.00052	327	5	406	21
Grain 14	18.241	0.263	0.05241	0.00045	344	5	346	19
Grain 15	18.121	0.242	0.05403	0.00035	346	5	381	13
Grain 18	18.596	0.250	0.05285	0.00034	338	4	336	12
Grain 20	18.769	0.271	0.05447	0.00052	335	5	386	21
Grain 23*	18.596	0.280	0.05299	0.00046	338	5	363	22
Grain 25	19.187	0.304	0.05469	0.00060	328	5	413	27
Grain 26*	18.254	0.295	0.05310	0.00068	344	5	374	26
Grain 28	19.094	0.299	0.05355	0.00051	329	5	389	26
Grain 29	18.111	0.289	0.05374	0.00051	346	5	383	25
ZAP-33 (F)								
Grain 2*	19.273	0.655	0.05300	0.00032	326	11	345	15
Grain 3	19.315	0.656	0.05254	0.00032	325	11	324	16
Grain 4*	18.969	0.651	0.05316	0.00037	331	11	358	18
Grain 5	18.552	0.636	0.05468	0.00036	338	11	409	17
Grain 6	19.376	0.659	0.05357	0.00037	324	11	360	17
Grain 7*	19.138	0.667	0.05333	0.00050	328	11	353	24
Grain 9*	19.070	0.647	0.05331	0.00039	329	11	363	18
Grain 12	18.644	0.287	0.05281	0.00049	337	5	341	21
Grain 13	19.748	0.319	0.05500	0.00049	318	5	406	21
Grain 14	18.755	0.289	0.05225	0.00042	335	5	346	19
Grain 15	18.631	0.267	0.05386	0.00031	337	5	381	13
Grain 18*	19.119	0.276	0.05269	0.00029	329	5	336	12
Grain 20	19.298	0.297	0.05430	0.00050	326	5	386	21
Grain 23*	19.144	0.213	0.05292	0.00036	328	4	363	22
Grain 25	19.752	0.240	0.05462	0.00053	318	4	413	27
Grain 26*	18.792	0.238	0.05303	0.00062	334	4	374	26
Grain 28	19.656	0.235	0.05348	0.00043	320	4	389	26
Grain 29*	18.644	0.230	0.05367	0.00043	337	4	383	25

Concordia Age = 340 ± 3 Ma

 $(2\sigma, \text{ decay-const. errs included})$ MSWD (of concordance) = 0.00059, Probability (of concordance) = 0.98

Concordia Age = 332 ± 3 Ma (95% confidence, decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.0088, Probability (of concordance) = 0.93

Table 2.LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the Huaco granitic complex (Sierra de Velasco)

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ	
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age		
HUA-12 (P)									
Grain 3	17.200	0.441	0.05515	0.00065	364	9	419	26	
Grain 4	16.956	0.426	0.05779	0.00053	369	9	522	20	
Grain 7	16.737	0.451	0.05178	0.00081	374	10	276	35	
Grain 8*	16.888	0.440	0.05370	0.00050	371	9	358	21	
Grain 9	16.512	0.419	0.05630	0.00062	379	9	464	24	Concordia Age = 357 ± 3 Ma⁺
Grain 11*	16.890	0.452	0.05360	0.00045	371	10	354	19	(2σ, decay-const. errs included)
Grain 12	16.772	0.471	0.05691	0.00068	373	10	488	26	MSWD (of concordance) = 0.12 .
Grain 15	18.580	0.521	0.06948	0.00071	338	9	913	21	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} $
Grain 18	15.725	0.425	0.07335	0.00081	397	10	1024	22	Probability (of concordance) = 0.73
Grain 19	15.747	0.420	0.05327	0.00048	397	10	340	20	
HUA-12 (F)									
Grain 3	18.016	0.253	0.05525	0.00053	348	5	422	21	
Grain 4	17.760	0.231	0.05789	0.00037	353	4	526	14	
Grain 7	17.531	0.290	0.05187	0.00073	358	6	280	32	
Grain 8*	17.688	0.262	0.05379	0.00034	355	5	362	14	
Grain 9	17.295	0.233	0.05640	0.00049	362	5	468	19	$\left(Concordia Age = 368 + 6 Ma \right)$
Grain 11*	17.495	0.186	0.05368	0.00017	358	4	358	7	
Grain 12	17.373	0.242	0.05700	0.00051	361	5	491	19	$(2\sigma, \text{ decay-const. errs included})$
Grain 15	19.246	0.264	0.06958	0.00045	327	4	916	13	MSWD (of concordance) = 3.2,
Grain 18	16.288	0.185	0.07346	0.00057	384	4	1027	16	Probability (of concordance) = 0.072
Grain 19	16.311	0.170	0.05335	0.00023	384	4	344	10	

[†]This age (LA-ICP-MS U-Pb in monacite) is similar to that reported by Grosse et al. (2009).

Table 2.LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the San blas pluton (Sierra de Velasco)

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age	
VEL-6017 (P)								
Grain 1a	18.016	0.217	0.05411	0.00044	348	4	656	46
Grain 4a*	18.622	0.247	0.05371	0.00069	337	4	392	29
Grain 5a*	18.429	0.201	0.05295	0.00043	341	4	345	15
Grain 6a	17.240	0.256	0.05444	0.00055	363	5	443	22
Grain 9a	17.345	0.265	0.05735	0.00085	361	5	575	32
Grain 11a*	18.254	0.240	0.05304	0.00050	344	4	342	18
Grain 13a*	18.701	0.264	0.05335	0.00060	336	5	389	24
Grain 16a	17.912	0.196	0.05278	0.00046	350	4	353	16
Grain 2b	19.486	0.701	0.05742	0.00096	323	11	769	81
Grain 8a	18.453	0.342	0.05280	0.00090	340	6	599	28
Grain 12a*	18.265	0.264	0.05313	0.00063	344	5	1147	42
Grain 17a	18.721	0.285	0.05144	0.00069	335	5	2013	82
Grain 18a	17.911	0.201	0.05829	0.00049	350	4	1378	52
VEL-6017 (F)	10.016	0.217	0.05411	0.00044	249	4	(5)	10
Grain Ia	18.010	0.217	0.05411	0.00044	348	4	000	40
Grain 4a	18.622	0.247	0.053/1	0.00069	337	4	392	29
Grain 5a	18.429	0.201	0.05295	0.00043	341	4	345	15
Grain 6a	18.224	0.230	0.05458	0.00047	344	4	443	22
Grain 9a	18.335	0.242	0.05750	0.00079	342	4	575	32 19
Grain IIa	19.290	0.198	0.05317	0.00040	320	3	342 280	18
Grain 13a	19.768	0.230	0.05348	0.00053	318	4	389	24
Grain 16a*	18.974	0.233	0.05300	0.00038	331	4	353	10
Grain 2b	19.486	0.701	0.05742	0.00096	323	11 ~	769	81
Grain 8a	19.506	0.335	0.05294	0.00086	322	5	599	28
Grain 12a*	19.307	0.234	0.05326	0.00055	326	4	1147	42
Grain 17a	19.832	0.330	0.05166	0.00064	317	5	2013	82
Grain 18a	18.974	0.239	0.05853	0.00040	331	4	1378	52

[†]Previous age (SHRIMP U-Pb in zircon) reported by Dahlquist et al. (2006).

Concordia Age = 340 ± 2 Ma

 $(2\sigma, \text{ decay-const. errs included})$ MSWD (of concordance) = 0.70, Probability (of concordance) = 0.40

> Mean ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U Age 340 ± 3 Ma[†] N= 16/19; MSWD = 1.5 (Error ellipses are 1σ)

Concordia Age = 329 ± 3 Ma (2σ , decay-const. errs included) MSWD (of concordance) = 0.33, Probability (of concordance) = 0.57

Grain	²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb	1σ	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	1σ	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	1σ	
spot	²⁰⁶ Pb		²⁰⁶ Pb		age		age		$Concordia Ago = 353 \pm 4 Ma$
FAM-177 (P)						_			$(2\sigma, \text{ decay-const. errs included})$
Grain 2	17.157	0.316	0.05558	0.00058	365	7	444	22	MSWD (of concordance) = 0.97 .
Grain 8*	17.745	0.337	0.05376	0.00059	353	7	359	23	Probability (of concordance) = 0.32
Grain 9	18.072	0.325	0.05541	0.00054	347	6	429	20	
Grain 12	17.180	0.284	0.05480	0.00058	365	6	409	14	
Grain 15*	17.850	0.290	0.05377	0.00056	351	6	379	13	
Grain 18	19.479	0.309	0.06168	0.00061	323	5	676	10	
Grain 19	19.242	0.310	0.06003	0.00059	327	5	618	9	Cerro La Gloria pluton (FAM-177) $349 \pm 3 \text{ Ma}^{\dagger}$ MSWD = 1.1
FAM-177 (F)									
Grain 2	17.736	0.298	0.05558	0.00036	354	6	444	22	
Grain 8*	18.344	0.320	0.05377	0.00039	342	6	359	23	Concordia Age = 346 +13 Ma
Grain 9	18.682	0.303	0.05542	0.00030	336	5	429	20	(95% confidence, decay-const, errs
Grain 12	17.530	0.501	0.05480	0.00034	358	10	409	14	included)
Grain 15*	18.213	0.517	0.05377	0.00032	345	10	379	13	MSWD (of concordance) = 9.0 ,
Grain 18	19.875	0.560	0.06168	0.00030	316	9	676	10	Probability (of concordance) = 0.003
Grain 19	19.633	0.556	0.06003	0.00029	320	9	618	9	

Table 2.	
LA-ICP-MS zircon results for the Cerro La Gloria (Sierra de Famatina)	

[†]Previous age (SHRIMP U-Pb in zircon) reported by Alasino et al. (2012).

Table

Table 3a.

Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).

Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	eHf (today)	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	εHf (t)	T _{DM} Hf
Early-Middle Ordovi	ician granitic rocks (Ancasti,	, Famatina	, Valle Fértil, a	nd Mazán mou	ntain ranges)							
ANC-11030a	$4/3^{\dagger}$											
	ANC11030a_8a	466	0.282464	0.000062	0.001761	0.000100	0.068127	466	-11.4	2.2	-1.5	1.5
	ANC11030a_3a	466	0.282386	0.000041	0.002333	0.000113	0.080279	466	-14.1	1.4	-4.5	1.6
	ANC11030a_2a	466	0.282396	0.000039	0.001887	0.000120	0.075972	466	-13.7	1.4	-4.0	1.7
Average											-3.3	1.5
FAM-7086	8/6											
	FAM7086_1b	489	0.282198	0.000043	0.002483	0.000073	0.076713	489	-20.8	1.5	-10.7	2.0
	FAM7086_5a	489	0.282110	0.000035	0.002693	0.000144	0.088002	489	-23.9	1.3	-13.9	2.2
	FAM7086_3a	489	0.281986	0.000030	0.004196	0.000377	0.119129	481	-28.3	1.1	-18.9	2.5
	FAM7086_4a	489	0.282055	0.000065	0.002380	0.000074	0.072752	489	-25.8	2.3	-15.7	2.3
	FAM7086_2b	489	0.282173	0.000037	0.002643	0.000108	0.085036	489	-21.6	1.3	-11.6	2.0
Average											-14.2	2.2
SVF-577	13/11											
	SVF577_17a	464	0.282324	0.000061	0.000581	0.000013	0.025969	464	-16.3	2.2	-6.1	1.7
	SVF577_15a	464	0.282375	0.000057	0.000902	0.000040	0.037338	464	-14.5	2.0	-4.5	1.6
	SVF577_13a	464	0.282301	0.000046	0.000466	0.000016	0.020208	464	-17.1	1.6	-6.9	1.8
	SVF577_11a	464	0.282335	0.000036	0.000260	0.000008	0.009712	464	-15.9	1.3	-5.7	1.7
	SVF577_10a	464	0.282366	0.000046	0.000595	0.000037	0.020873	464	-14.8	1.6	-4.7	1.6
	SVF577_8a	464	0.282334	0.000063	0.000434	0.000035	0.016921	464	-16.0	2.2	-5.8	1.7
	SVF577_7a	464	0.282365	0.000067	0.000538	0.000037	0.021245	464	-14.9	2.4	-4.7	1.6
	SVF577_6a	464	0.282380	0.000043	0.000445	0.000022	0.017711	464	-14.3	1.5	-4.1	1.6
	SVF577_1a	464	0.282247	0.000059	0.000375	0.000019	0.011942	464	-19.0	2.1	-8.8	1.9
Average											-5.7	1.7
VMA-1018	8/8											
	VEL_1018_9a	476	0.282248	0.000032	0.001231	0.000083	0.056862	476	-19.0	1.1	-8.8	1.9
	VEL_1018_8a	476	0.282324	0.000030	0.002385	0.000276	0.121735	476	-16.3	1.1	-6.4	1.7
	VEL_1018_7a	476	0.282282	0.000034	0.003245	0.000219	0.147306	476	-17.8	1.2	-8.2	1.8
	VEL_1018_6a	476	0.282302	0.000044	0.003756	0.000648	0.145780	476	-17.1	1.6	-7.7	1.8
	VEL_1018_5a	476	0.282283	0.000035	0.001581	0.000157	0.066127	476	-17.8	1.2	-7.7	1.8

	VEL_1018_4a	476	0.282349	0.000021	0.001904	0.000171	0.095304	476	-15.4	0.8	-5.4	1.7
	VEL_1018_3a	476	0.282253	0.000030	0.002041	0.000031	0.093116	476	-18.8	1.2	-8.9	1.9
	VEL_1018_1a	476	0.282356	0.000042	0.001854	0.000089	0.091752	476	-15.2	1.5	-5.2	1.7
Average											-7.3	1.8
[†] Total number of analy [§] VEL-6017 defines two	yses / number chosen for εH o Hf compositions population	If calculations.	on.									

Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	eHf (today)	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	εHf (t)	T _{DM} Hf
Late-Middle I	Devonian granitic r	ocks (Ach	ala batholith)									
DAL-1	7/7											
	Dal1_8a	372	0.282372	0.000062	0.000822	0.000032	0.038368	372	-14.6	2.2	-6.5	1.8
	Dal1_7a	372	0.282462	0.000032	0.000659	0.000008	0.031781	372	-11.4	1.1	-3.3	1.6
	Dal1_6a	372	0.282427	0.000045	0.000721	0.000045	0.033232	372	-12.7	1.6	-4.7	1.6
	Dal1_4a	372	0.282482	0.000060	0.000756	0.000009	0.037214	372	-10.7	2.1	-2.6	1.4
	Dal1_3a	372	0.282475	0.000033	0.000793	0.000018	0.037658	372	-11.0	1.2	-2.9	1.5
	Dal1_2a	372	0.282498	0.000039	0.000586	0.000021	0.028253	372	-10.1	1.4	-2.0	1.4
	Dal1_1a	372	0.282454	0.000028	0.000591	0.000009	0.027818	372	-11.7	1.0	-3.7	1.5
Average											-3.6	1.5
NPE-14	7/7											
	NPE_14_3c	369	0.282424	0.000042	0.000790	0.000021	0.041036	369	-12.8	1.5	-4.7	1.6
	NPE_14_3b	369	0.282449	0.000046	0.000779	0.000060	0.039925	369	-11.9	1.6	-3.8	1.5
	NPE_14_3a	369	0.282312	0.000049	0.001181	0.000144	0.048790	369	-16.7	1.7	-8.7	1.8
	NPE_14_2d	369	0.282373	0.000048	0.000691	0.000048	0.035585	369	-14.6	1.7	-6.5	1.7
	NPE_14_2c	369	0.282383	0.000052	0.000682	0.000043	0.034580	369	-14.2	1.9	-6.1	1.7
	NPE_14_2b	369	0.282415	0.000049	0.000708	0.000053	0.036478	369	-13.1	1.7	-5.0	1.6
	NPE_14_2a	369	0.282367	0.000045	0.000895	0.000050	0.037973	369	-14.8	1.6	-6.7	1.7
Average											-5.9	1.6
ACH-140	10/7											
	ACH140_10a	366	0.282484	0.000023	0.000978	0.000051	0.051003	366	-10.6	0.8	-2.7	1.4
	ACH140_9a	366	0.282468	0.000031	0.001216	0.000036	0.061520	366	-11.2	1.1	-3.4	1.5
	ACH140_8a	366	0.282380	0.000050	0.001339	0.000183	0.055740	366	-14.3	1.8	-6.5	1.7
	ACH140_6a	366	0.282480	0.000030	0.000836	0.000031	0.043617	366	-10.8	1.1	-2.8	1.4
	ACH140_5a	366	0.282454	0.000025	0.000891	0.000017	0.044674	366	-11.7	0.9	-3.8	1.5
	ACH140_2b	366	0.282434	0.000028	0.000893	0.000074	0.048033	366	-12.4	1.0	-4.5	1.5
	ACH140_1a	366	0.282477	0.000025	0.000921	0.000061	0.048627	366	-10.9	0.9	-3.0	1.5
Average											-3.8	1.5
NPE-10	10/9											
	NPE_10_7a	369	0.282485	0.000033	0.000892	0.000032	0.042838	369	-10.6	1.2	-2.6	1.43

 Table 3a (continuation).

 Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).

	NPE_10_6a	369	0.282371	0.000048	0.000794	0.000048	0.034683	369	-14.6	1.7	-6.6	1.7
	NPE_10_5a	369	0.282423	0.000030	0.001099	0.000069	0.048549	369	-12.8	1.1	-4.9	1.6
	NPE_10_4a	369	0.282447	0.000036	0.000850	0.000020	0.039005	369	-11.9	1.3	-3.9	1.5
	NPE_10_3b	369	0.282493	0.000033	0.000628	0.000051	0.031648	369	-10.3	1.2	-2.3	1.4
	NPE_10_2a	369	0.282464	0.000025	0.001387	0.000056	0.066827	369	-11.3	0.9	-3.5	1.5
	NPE_10_1c	369	0.282453	0.000043	0.001146	0.000016	0.048249	369	-11.7	1.5	-3.8	1.5
	NPE_10_1b	369	0.282500	0.000036	0.000997	0.000013	0.048892	369	-10.1	1.3	-2.1	1.4
	NPE_10_1a	369	0.282475	0.000049	0.000873	0.000033	0.040185	369	-11.0	1.8	-3.0	1.5
Average											-3.6	1.5

 Table 3a (continuation).

 Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).

Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	eHf (today)	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	εHf (t)	T _{DM} Hf
Early Carbor	niferous granitic ro	cks (Fiam	balá, Velasco,	Famatina an	d Zapata moun	tain ranges).						
FIA-17	8/6											
	FIA17_6b	322	0.282477	0.000074	0.000544	0.000002	0.026722	322	-10.9	2.6	-3.9	1.5
	FIA17_4a	322	0.282493	0.000046	0.001762	0.000147	0.097517	322	-10.3	1.6	-3.5	1.4
	FIA17_3b	322	0.282432	0.000067	0.000916	0.000027	0.045564	322	-12.5	2.4	-5.5	1.6
	FIA17_3a	322	0.282359	0.000068	0.000627	0.000019	0.030277	322	-15.1	2.4	-8.0	1.7
	FIA17_1b	322	0.282469	0.000064	0.000677	0.000011	0.034428	322	-11.2	2.3	-4.2	1.5
	FIA17_1a	322	0.282445	0.000061	0.000557	0.000014	0.027907	322	-12.0	2.16	-5.0	1.6
Average											-5.0	1.6
HUA-12	7/5											
	HUA12_6a	357	0.282523	0.000029	0.001206	0.000169	0.059043	357	-9.3	1.0	-1.6	1.4
	HUA12_5b	357	0.282503	0.000051	0.001274	0.000072	0.065866	357	-10.0	1.8	-2.4	1.4
	HUA12_4a	357	0.282471	0.000040	0.000989	0.000011	0.049964	357	-11.1	1.4	-3.4	1.5
	HUA12_3a	357	0.282405	0.000038	0.001637	0.000033	0.084079	357	-13.4	1.3	-5.9	1.6
	HUA12_1a	357	0.282469	0.000059	0.000771	0.000044	0.041285	357	-11.2	2.1	-3.4	1.5
Average											-3.3	1.5
CHI-17	3/3											
	CHI7_5a	345	0.282363	0.000051	0.001324	0.000140	0.052209	345	-14.9	1.8	-7.6	1.7
	CHI7_4a	345	0.282361	0.000032	0.001481	0.000235	0.062902	345	-15.0	1.1	-7.7	1.7
	CHI7_2a	345	0.282440	0.000028	0.001475	0.000214	0.061438	345	-12.2	1.0	-4.9	1.5
Average											-6.7	1.7
FAM-177	11/9											
	FAM_177_3b	353	0.282605	0.000055	0.001347	0.000027	0.063763	353	-6.4	2.0	1.2	1.2
	FAM_177_6b	353	0.282666	0.000037	0.002280	0.000232	0.098271	353	-4.2	1.3	3.1	1.0
	FAM_177_5b	353	0.282655	0.000040	0.001772	0.000030	0.088599	353	-4.6	1.4	2.8	1.1
	FAM_177_4b	353	0.282607	0.000031	0.001263	0.000027	0.059873	353	-6.3	1.1	1.3	1.2
	FAM_177_4a	353	0.282667	0.000035	0.002556	0.000214	0.121723	353	-4.2	1.2	3.1	1.0
	FAM_177_3a	353	0.282651	0.000038	0.002223	0.000168	0.105691	353	-4.7	1.3	2.6	1.1
	FAM_177_2a	353	0.282632	0.000052	0.001272	0.000140	0.057944	353	-5.4	1.9	2.2	1.1
	FAM_177_1b	353	0.282628	0.000031	0.001679	0.000085	0.079175	353	-5.6	1.1	1.9	1.1

	FAM_177_1a	353	0.282716	0.000034	0.002703	0.000258	0.131327	353	-2.42	1.21	4.8	0.9
Average											2.6	1.1
ZAP-33	12/11											
	ZAP_33_12a	340	0.282409	0.000024	0.000482	0.000017	0.022801	340	-13.3	0.9	-5.9	1.6
	ZAP_33_11a	340	0.282409	0.000031	0.000685	0.000014	0.031634	340	-13.3	1.1	-5.9	1.6
	ZAP_33_10a	340	0.282424	0.000023	0.000577	0.000005	0.026810	340	-12.8	0.8	-5.3	1.6
	ZAP_33_9a	340	0.282379	0.000023	0.000926	0.000024	0.044627	340	-14.4	0.8	-7.0	1.7
	ZAP_33_8a	340	0.282407	0.000044	0.000616	0.000009	0.031501	340	-13.4	1.6	-5.9	1.6
	ZAP_33_7b	340	0.282396	0.000035	0.000576	0.000022	0.027877	340	-13.8	1.2	-6.3	1.7
	ZAP_33_5a	340	0.282438	0.000024	0.000453	0.000002	0.020572	340	-12.3	0.9	-4.8	1.6
	ZAP_33_3b	340	0.282447	0.000032	0.000851	0.000009	0.036220	340	-12.0	1.1	-4.6	1.5
	ZAP_33_3a	340	0.282431	0.000025	0.001165	0.000026	0.048962	340	-12.5	0.9	-5.2	1.6
	ZAP_33_2a	340	0.282394	0.000028	0.000615	0.000017	0.028751	340	-13.8	1.0	-6.4	1.7
	ZAP_33_1a	340	0.282401	0.000040	0.001311	0.000099	0.039749	340	-13.6	1.4	-6.3	1.6
Average											-5.8	1.6
VEL-6017[§]	10/10											
	VEL_6017_4a	340	0.282444	0.000057	0.001671	0.000140	0.062118	340	-12.1	2.0	-4.9	1.5
	VEL_6017_1a	340	0.282410	0.000080	0.001217	0.000077	0.050555	340	-13.3	2.8	-6.0	1.6
Average											-5.4	1.6
	VEL_6017_2a	340	0.282543	0.000057	0.000840	0.000073	0.033059	340	-8.5	2.0	-1.2	1.3
	VEL_6017_1b	340	0.282518	0.000071	0.000879	0.000206	0.040033	340	-9.4	2.5	-2.1	1.4
	VEL_6017_5b	340	0.282619	0.000073	0.000554	0.000007	0.028718	340	-5.9	2.9	1.6	1.2
	VEL_6017_3a	340	0.282574	0.000043	0.000367	0.000006	0.017919	340	-7.5	1.5	0.0	1.3
	VEL_6017_2b	340	0.282591	0.000049	0.000739	0.000033	0.037920	340	-6.9	1.7	0.6	1.2
Average											-0.2	1.3

Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	eHf (today)	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	$\epsilon H f(t)$	T _{DM} Hf
Early-Middle C	Ordovician granitic re	ocks (An	casti, Famatin	a, Valle Fért	il, and Mazán	n mountain n	anges)					
ANC-11030a	4/4											
	ANC11030a_4a*	466	0.282190	0.000062	0.002783	0.000135	0.089553	466	-21.1	2.2	-11.5	2.07
	ANC11030a_8a	466	0.282464	0.000062	0.001761	0.000100	0.068127	466	-11.4	2.2	-1.5	1.45
	ANC11030a_3a	466	0.282386	0.000041	0.002333	0.000113	0.080279	466	-14.1	1.4	-4.5	1.59
	ANC11030a_2a	466	0.282396	0.000039	0.001887	0.000120	0.075972	466	-13.7	1.4	-4.0	1.57

Table 3b. Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).

ALL TABLES: Hf values histograms below each Table, $X = \varepsilon Hf$ (t) and Y = Frequency. Samples without deleted values are not included in these Tables. *In blue colour Hf 'extreme' values deleted in Table 3a.



Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	εHf (today)	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	$\epsilon Hf(t)$	$T_{DM}Hf$
FAM-7086	8/8											
	FAM7086_1b	489	0.282198	0.000043	0.002483	0.000073	0.076713	489	-20.8	1.5	-10.7	2.0
	FAM7086_5a	489	0.282110	0.000035	0.002693	0.000144	0.088002	489	-23.9	1.3	-13.9	2.2
	FAM7086_3b	489	0.281864	0.000066	0.004249	0.000176	0.150712	489	-32.6	2.3	-23.1	2.8
	FAM7086_3a	489	0.281986	0.000030	0.004196	0.000377	0.119129	489	-28.3	1.1	-18.9	2.5
	FAM7086_4a	489	0.282055	0.000065	0.002380	0.000074	0.072752	489	-25.8	2.3	-15.7	2.3
	FAM7086_2b	489	0.282173	0.000037	0.002643	0.000108	0.085036	489	-21.6	1.3	-11.6	2.0
	FAM7086_2a	489	0.282367	0.000035	0.001740	0.000072	0.074037	489	-14.8	1.2	-4.5	1.7

Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).



Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	EHf (today)	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	$\epsilon H f(t)$	T _{DM} Hf
SVF-577	13/11											
	SVF577_17a	464	0.282324	0.000061	0.000581	0.000013	0.025969	464	-16.3	2.2	-6.1	1.7
	SVF577_15a	464	0.282375	0.000057	0.000902	0.000040	0.037338	464	-14.5	2.0	-4.5	1.6
	SVF577_14a	464	0.282149	0.000047	0.000619	0.000001	0.025898	472	-22.5	1.7	-12.2	2.1
	SVF577_13a	464	0.282301	0.000046	0.000466	0.000016	0.020208	464	-17.1	1.6	-6.9	1.8
	SVF577_11a	464	0.282335	0.000036	0.000260	0.000008	0.009712	464	-15.9	1.3	-5.7	1.7
	SVF577_10a	464	0.282366	0.000046	0.000595	0.000037	0.020873	464	-14.8	1.6	-4.7	1.6
	SVF577_8a	464	0.282334	0.000063	0.000434	0.000035	0.016921	464	-16.0	2.2	-5.8	1.7
	SVF577_7a	464	0.282365	0.000067	0.000538	0.000037	0.021245	464	-14.9	2.4	-4.7	1.6
	SVF577_6a	464	0.282380	0.000043	0.000445	0.000022	0.017711	464	-14.3	1.5	-4.1	1.6
	SVF577_1a	464	0.282247	0.000059	0.000375	0.000019	0.011942	464	-19.0	2.1	-8.8	1.9

Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).



Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	εHf (today)	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	$\epsilon H f(t)$	$T_{DM}Hf$
Late-Middle	Devonian granit	ic rocks ((Achala batho	lith)								
ACH-140	10/7											
	ACH140_11a	366	0.282264	0.000031	0.001794	0.000083	0.062771	366	-18.4	1.1	-10.7	2.0
	ACH140_10a	366	0.282484	0.000023	0.000978	0.000051	0.051003	366	-10.6	0.8	-2.7	1.4
	ACH140_9a	366	0.282468	0.000031	0.001216	0.000036	0.061520	366	-11.2	1.1	-3.4	1.5
	ACH140_7a	366	0.282086	0.000052	0.003303	0.000076	0.110948	366	-24.7	1.8	-17.4	2.4
	ACH140_8a	366	0.282380	0.000050	0.001339	0.000183	0.055740	366	-14.3	1.8	-6.5	1.7
	ACH140_6a	366	0.282480	0.000030	0.000836	0.000031	0.043617	366	-10.8	1.1	-2.8	1.4
	ACH140_4a	366	0.282128	0.000067	0.002252	0.000065	0.074003	366	-23.2	2.4	-15.6	2.3
	ACH140_5a	366	0.282454	0.000025	0.000891	0.000017	0.044674	366	-11.7	0.9	-3.8	1.5
	ACH140_2b	366	0.282434	0.000028	0.000893	0.000074	0.048033	366	-12.4	1.0	-4.5	1.5
	ACH140_1a	366	0.282477	0.000025	0.000921	0.000061	0.048627	366	-10.9	0.9	-3.0	1.5

Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).



Age Age ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf ¹⁷⁶Yb/¹⁷⁷Hf ± (2 s) ± (2 s) Grain eHf (today) ± (2 s) $\epsilon Hf(t)$ T_{DM} Hf Sample (Ma) (Ma) Early Carboniferous granitic rocks (Fiambalá, Velasco, Famatina and Zapata mountain ranges). 8/6 **FIA-17** FIA17_6b 322 0.282477 0.000074 0.000544 0.000002 0.026722 322 -10.9 2.6 -3.9 1.5 -22.5 -15.5 2.2 FIA17_4b 322 0.282149 0.000047 0.000619 0.000001 0.025898 322 1.7 FIA17 4a 322 0.282493 0.000046 0.001762 0.000147 0.097517 322 -10.3 1.6 -3.5 1.4 FIA17_3b 322 0.282432 0.000067 0.000916 0.000027 0.045564 322 -12.5 2.4 -5.5 1.6 FIA17_3a 322 0.282359 0.000068 0.000627 0.000019 0.030277 -15.1 2.4 -8.0 1.7 322 FIA17_1b 0.000011 -11.2 2.3 -4.2 1.5 322 0.282469 0.000064 0.000677 0.034428 322 FIA17_1a 0.282445 0.000014 0.027907 -12.0 2.2 -5.0 1.6 322 322 0.000061 0.000557



Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).

Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	± (2 s)	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	± (2 s)	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	eHf (today)	± (2 s)	εHf (t)	$T_{DM}Hf$
HUA-12	7/5											
	HUA12_6a	357	0.282523	0.000029	0.001206	0.000169	0.059043	357	-9.3	1.3	-1.6	1.4
	HUA12_5b	357	0.282503	0.000051	0.001274	0.000072	0.065866	357	-10.0	1.8	-2.4	1.4
	HUA12_5a	357	0.282303	0.000069	0.002333	0.000165	0.080259	357	-17.1	2.4	-9.7	1.9
	HUA12_4a	357	0.282471	0.000040	0.000989	0.000011	0.049964	357	-11.1	1.4	-3.4	1.5
	HUA12_3a	357	0.282405	0.000038	0.001637	0.000033	0.084079	357	-13.4	1.3	-5.9	1.6
	HUA12_2a	357	0.282575	0.000070	0.000736	0.000022	0.038552	357	-7.4	2.5	0.3	1.3
	HUA12_1a	357	0.282469	0.000059	0.000771	0.000044	0.041285	357	-11.2	2.1	-3.4	1.5

Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).



Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	EHf (today)	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	$\epsilon H f(t)$	T _{DM} Hf
FAM-177	11/9											
	FAM_177_3b	353	0.282605	0.000055	0.001347	0.000027	0.063763	353	-6.4	2.0	1.2	1.2
	FAM_177_6b	353	0.282666	0.000037	0.002280	0.000232	0.098271	353	-4.2	1.3	3.1	1.04
	FAM_177_6a	353	0.282493	0.000054	0.003096	0.000118	0.098314	353	-10.3	1.9	-3.2	1.5
	FAM_177_5b	353	0.282655	0.000040	0.001772	0.000030	0.088599	353	-4.6	1.4	2.8	1.1
	FAM_177_5a	353	0.282566	0.000054	0.003304	0.000244	0.127620	353	-7.7	1.9	-0.7	1.3
	FAM_177_4b	353	0.282607	0.000031	0.001263	0.000027	0.059873	353	-6.3	1.1	1.3	1.2
	FAM_177_4a	353	0.282667	0.000035	0.002556	0.000214	0.121723	353	-4.2	1.2	3.1	1.0
	FAM_177_3a	353	0.282651	0.000038	0.002223	0.000168	0.105691	353	-4.7	1.3	2.6	1.1
	FAM_177_2a	353	0.282632	0.000052	0.001272	0.000140	0.057944	353	-5.4	1.9	2.2	1.1
	FAM_177_1b	353	0.282628	0.000031	0.001679	0.000085	0.079175	353	-5.6	1.1	1.9	1.1
	FAM_177_1a	353	0.282716	0.000034	0.002703	0.000258	0.131327	353	-2.4	1.2	4.8	0.9

Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).



Sample	Grain	Age (Ma)	¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	$\pm (2 \sigma)$	¹⁷⁶ Yb/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	Age (Ma)	EHf (today)	$\pm \left(2 \; \sigma \right)$	$\epsilon Hf(t)$	$T_{DM}Hf$
ZAP-33	12/11											
	ZAP_33_12a	340	0.282409	0.000024	0.000482	0.000017	0.022801	340	-13.3	0.9	-5.9	1.6
	ZAP_33_11a	340	0.282409	0.000031	0.000685	0.000014	0.031634	340	-13.3	1.1	-5.9	1.6
	ZAP_33_10a	340	0.282424	0.000023	0.000577	0.000005	0.026810	340	-12.8	0.8	-5.3	1.6
	ZAP_33_9a	340	0.282379	0.000023	0.000926	0.000024	0.044627	340	-14.4	0.8	-7.0	1.7
	ZAP_33_8a	340	0.282407	0.000044	0.000616	0.000009	0.031501	340	-13.4	1.6	-5.9	1.6
	ZAP_33_7b	340	0.282396	0.000035	0.000576	0.000022	0.027877	340	-13.8	1.2	-6.3	1.7
	ZAP_33_6a	340	0.282196	0.000034	0.000602	0.000007	0.027530	377	-20.8	1.2	-13.4	2.1
	ZAP_33_5a	340	0.282438	0.000024	0.000453	0.000002	0.020572	340	-12.3	0.9	-4.8	1.6
	ZAP_33_3b	340	0.282447	0.000032	0.000851	0.000009	0.036220	340	-12.0	1.1	-4.6	1.5
	ZAP_33_3a	340	0.282431	0.000025	0.001165	0.000026	0.048962	340	-12.5	0.9	-5.2	1.6
	ZAP_33_2a	340	0.282394	0.000028	0.000615	0.000017	0.028751	340	-13.8	1.0	-6.4	1.7
	ZAP_33_1a	340	0.282401	0.000040	0.001311	0.000099	0.039749	340	-13.6	1.4	-6.3	1.6

Table 3b (continuation). Laser ablation Hf isotope data for igneous dated zircons from Sierras Pampeanas of Argentina (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).



			Sm (ppm)	Nd (ppm)	¹⁴⁷ Sm/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd	$(^{143}Nd)^{144}Nd)$	(143NJ/144NJ)+	- NIJ(4)	${T_{DM}}^\dagger$
Sample	Litology	Ages			SIII/ INU	(INU/ INU) _{today}		EINU(L)	(Ga)
VCA-7037	Granodiorite	473	1.60	6.20	0.1592	0.512226	0.511733	-5.8	1.7
VCA-7038	Granodiorite	473	3.70	20.2	0.1098	0.512113	0.511773	-5.0	1.6
VCA-7040	Granodiorite	473	4.20	22.3	0.1144	0.512112	0.511758	-5.3	1.6
VCA-7039	Granodiorite	473	4.90	22.3	0.1323	0.512191	0.511781	-4.8	1.6
FAM-7086	Tonalite	473	14.2	59.4	0.1445	0.512172	0.511724	-5.9	1.7
FAM-7083	Monzogranite	473	7.70	36.6	0.1264	0.512270	0.511878	-2.9	1.4
RLC-243	Granodiorite	473	5.50	28.3	0.1172	0.512122	0.511759	-5.3	1.6
GUA-253	Tonalite	473	5.00	20.9	0.1455	0.512214	0.511763	-5.2	1.6
GUA-252	Aplite	473	1.80	5.40	0.1983	0.512370	0.511756	-5.3	1.6
MIS-259	Tonalite	473	8.80	40.0	0.1336	0.512200	0.511786	-4.7	1.6
PUL-244	Granodiorite	473	7.30	36.5	0.1213	0.512183	0.511807	-4.3	1.5
ELE-206	Monzogranite	473	6.10	26.0	0.1424	0.512173	0.511732	-5.8	1.7
NAC-257	Monzogranite	473	3.80	18.4	0.1264	0.512159	0.511767	-5.1	1.6
OLT-279	Monzogranite	473	4.90	19.1	0.1560	0.512221	0.511738	-5.7	1.6
OLT-282	Monzogranite	473	5.20	24.2	0.1292	0.512142	0.511742	-5.6	1.6
PAS-272	Granodiorite	473	5.50	27.5	0.1198	0.512119	0.511748	-5.5	1.6
SVF-577	Hbl-rich Gabbro	473	10.1	38.7	0.1582	0.512242	0.511752	-5.4	1.6
ANC-11022	Monzogranite	473	3.90	16.8	0.1412	0.512212	0.511779	-3.3	1.5
ANC-11030a	Tonalite	473	6.00	30.2	0.1198	0.512233	0.511863	-5.0	1.6
Average		473					0.511770	-5.1	1.7

 Table 4.

 Whole-rock Nd isotopes for Early-Middle Ordovician metaluminous plutonic rocks

Age average (473 Ma) was obtained from range of values (484-463 Ma) reported by Dahlquist et al. (2008). Average Nd isotopic composition excludes a few extreme values below -7.0 obtained from S-type granites. (143 Nd/ 144 Nd)t CHUR = 0.512029, with t = 473 Ma. *NOTES:* 1. Early-Middle Ordovician data from Pankhurst et al. (1998, 2000) and Dahlquist et al. (2008, 2010). ANC-11030a from Dahlquist et al. (2012), Early Carboniferous data from Dahlquist et al. (2010), and Middle-Late Devonian data from this work, excepting ACH-5, ACH-15, ACH-23, ACH-25 (Rapela et al., 2008b). 2. The decay constants used in the calculations are the values λ^{147} Sm = 6.54x10⁻¹² year⁻¹ recommended by the IUGS Subcommision for Geochronology (Steiger and Jaëger, 1977). Epsilon-Nd (ϵ Nd) values calculated using the following CHUR parameters (Goldstein et al., 1984; Jacobsen and Wasserburg, 1980): (143 Nd/ 144 Nd)today = 0.512638; (143 Sm/ 144 Nd)today = 0.1967. t = time used for the calculation of the isotopic initial ratios.

[†] T_{DM} = calculated according to De Paolo et al. (1991).

[§]VCA-6017 = SPB-9.

[#]CHI-7 produce an irreal T_{DM} value of -1.4 Ga.

			Sm	Nd	147 Sm /144 N.d	(143NJ)/144NJ)	(143NJ)/144NJ)+	-11(4)	$T_{DM}{}^{\dagger}$		
Sample	Litology	Age	(ppm)	(ppm)	SIII/ INU	(INU/ INU) _{today}	(INU/ INU)L	EINC(L)	(Ga)		
DAL-1	Felsic Granodiorite	369	11.5	57.7	0.1203	0.512200	0.511910	-5.0	1.5		
ACH-140	Monzogranite	369	5.50	26.8	0.1248	0.512216	0.511915	-4.9	1.5		
NPE-6	Monzogranite	369	8.80	43.3	0.1223	0.512152	0.511858	-6.0	1.6		
NPE-14	Monzogranite	369	10.2	55.5	0.1112	0.512112	0.511843	-6.3	1.6		
NPE-10	Bt-Ap bodies	369	159	709.	0.1358	0.512202	0.511875	-5.7	1.6		
NPE-5	Bt-rich granite	369	17.6	87.6	0.1214	0.512148	0.511855	-6.0	1.6		
ACH-154	Felsic Granodiorite	369	13.6	97.9	0.0841	0.512033	0.511830	-6.5	1.6		
ACH-155	Monzogranite	369	8.90	50.2	0.1066	0.512104	0.511849	-6.2	1.6		
ACH-5	Monzogranite	369	3.70	19.7	0.1128	0.512233	0.511961	-4.0	1.4		
ACH-25	Monzogranite	369	6.20	32.5	0.1159	0.512239	0.511960	-4.0	1.4		
ACH-23	Tonalite	369	6.40	39.0	0.1000	0.512341	0.512100	-1.2	1.2		
ACH-15	Tonalite	369	7.10	35.9	0.1191	0.512354	0.512067	-1.9	1.3		
Auguaga		360					0 511010	18	15		
Average	Average 369 0.511919 -4.8 1.5										

Table 4 (continuation).	
Whole-rock Nd isotopes for Late-Middle Devonian g	granitic rocks

Age average (369 Ma) was obtained from ages reported in Table 1. Average excluding the tonalites, ACH-23 and 15 (see discussion in the text). ACH-155, NPE-5, 6, 10, and 14 from Dahlquist et al. (*submitted JSAES*).

······································		Sm	Nd	147	143	.143		T_{DM}^{\dagger}
Lithology	Age	(ppm)	(ppm)	¹⁴ /Sm/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd	$(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd)_{today}$	$(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd)t$	εNd(t)	(Ga)
Monzogranite	341	12.1	67.1	0.1090	0.512351	0.512108	-1.8	1.3
Monzogranite	341	11.9	64.0	0.1124	0.512320	0.512069	-2.5	1.3
Monzogranite	341	12.1	51.5	0.1420	0.512434	0.512117	-1.6	1.2
Monzogranite	341	12.5	52.0	0.1453	0.512458	0.512134	-1.3	1.2
Monzogranite	341	11.2	61.7	0.1097	0.512406	0.512161	-0.7	1.2
Monzogranite	341	4.60	20.1	0.1383	0.512338	0.512029	-3.3	1.4
Monzogranite	341	10.2	46.5	0.1326	0.512365	0.512069	-2.5	1.3
Monzogranite	341	9.80	43.6	0.1359	0.512335	0.512032	-3.3	1.4
Monzogranite	341	10.5	49.1	0.1293	0.512352	0.512063	-2.6	1.3
Monzogranite	341	9.20	44.8	0.1241	0.512318	0.512041	-3.1	1.4
Monzogranite	341	17.0	82.1	0.1252	0.512234	0.511955	-4.8	1.5
Monzogranite	341	9.60	47.5	0.1222	0.512395	0.512122	-1.5	1.2
Monzogranite	341	16.8	73.9	0.1374	0.512537	0.512230	0.6	1.1
Monzogranite	341	11.1	59.5	0.1128	0.512365	0.512113	-1.7	1.2
Monzogranite	341	17.1	92.3	0.1120	0.512258	0.512008	-3.7	1.4
Monzogranite	341	18.6	69.6	0.1616	0.512430	0.512069	-2.5	1.3
Monzogranite	341	15.1	64.5	0.1415	0.512366	0.512050	-2.9	1.3
Monzogranite	341	9.70	46.3	0.1266	0.512306	0.512023	-3.4	1.4
Monzogranite	341	6.62	14.8	0.2700	0.512663	0.512060	-2.7	1.3
Monzogranite	341	9.47	53.5	0.1070	0.512403	0.512164	-0.7	1.2
	241					0 512081	22	12
	Lithology Monzogranite	LithologyAgeMonzogranite341	Lithology Age Sm (ppm) Monzogranite 341 12.1 Monzogranite 341 11.9 Monzogranite 341 12.1 Monzogranite 341 12.1 Monzogranite 341 12.5 Monzogranite 341 12.5 Monzogranite 341 12.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 Monzogranite 341 10.2 Monzogranite 341 10.2 Monzogranite 341 9.80 Monzogranite 341 9.60 Monzogranite 341 9.20 Monzogranite 341 17.0 Monzogranite 341 9.60 Monzogranite 341 16.8 Monzogranite 341 11.1 Monzogranite 341 15.1 Monzogranite 341 15.1 Monzogranite 341 9.70 Monzogranite 341 9.47 <t< td=""><td>Lithology Age Sm (ppm) Nd (ppm) Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 Monzogranite 341 11.9 64.0 Monzogranite 341 12.1 51.5 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 Monzogranite 341 11.2 61.7 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 Monzogranite 341 9.80 43.6 Monzogranite 341 9.80 43.6 Monzogranite 341 9.20 44.8 Monzogranite 341 9.20 44.8 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5 Monzogranite 341 11.1 59.5 Monzogranite 341 11.1 59.5 Monzogranite 341 17.1 92.3 Monzogranite 341 15.1 64.5</td></t<> <td>Sin LithologyAgeSin (ppm)Nd (ppm)$^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd$Monzogranite34112.167.10.1090Monzogranite34111.964.00.1124Monzogranite34112.552.00.1453Monzogranite34111.261.70.1097Monzogranite34111.261.70.1097Monzogranite34110.246.50.1383Monzogranite34110.246.50.1326Monzogranite34110.549.10.1293Monzogranite3419.8043.60.1359Monzogranite3419.2044.80.1241Monzogranite34117.082.10.1252Monzogranite34116.873.90.1374Monzogranite34115.164.50.1128Monzogranite34115.164.50.1415Monzogranite34117.192.30.1120Monzogranite34115.164.50.1415Monzogranite34116.6214.80.2700Monzogranite3419.7046.30.1266Monzogranite3419.4753.50.1070</td> <td>Sm LithologyNd (ppm)$^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd$$(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd)_{100143}$Monzogranite34112.167.10.10900.512351Monzogranite34111.964.00.11240.512320Monzogranite34112.151.50.14200.512434Monzogranite34112.552.00.14530.512458Monzogranite34111.261.70.10970.512406Monzogranite34110.246.50.13260.512355Monzogranite34110.246.50.13260.512355Monzogranite34110.549.10.12930.512352Monzogranite34110.549.10.12930.512352Monzogranite34117.082.10.12520.512234Monzogranite34116.873.90.13740.512537Monzogranite34111.159.50.11280.512365Monzogranite34111.159.50.11280.512352Monzogranite34116.873.90.13740.512537Monzogranite34115.164.50.14150.512365Monzogranite34115.164.50.14150.512366Monzogranite3419.7046.30.12660.512306Monzogranite3419.4753.50.10700.512403</td> <td>Sm Nd ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd (¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd)_{today} (¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd)t Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512108 Monzogranite 341 11.9 64.0 0.1124 0.512320 0.512069 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512434 0.512171 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512458 0.512134 Monzogranite 341 11.2 61.7 0.1097 0.512406 0.512134 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512338 0.512029 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512355 0.512032 Monzogranite 341 10.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512032 Monzogranite 341 10.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512041 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5 0.1222 0.512357 <t< td=""><td>Lithology Age Sm (ppm) Nd (ppm) 147Sm/144Nd (143Nd/144Nd)today (143Nd/144Nd)t eNd(t) Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512108 -1.8 Monzogranite 341 12.1 57.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512106 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 12.1 51.5 0.1420 0.512434 0.512117 -1.6 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512436 0.512161 -0.7 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512338 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512365 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512352 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 0.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512063 -2.6 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5</td></t<></td>	Lithology Age Sm (ppm) Nd (ppm) Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 Monzogranite 341 11.9 64.0 Monzogranite 341 12.1 51.5 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 Monzogranite 341 11.2 61.7 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 Monzogranite 341 9.80 43.6 Monzogranite 341 9.80 43.6 Monzogranite 341 9.20 44.8 Monzogranite 341 9.20 44.8 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5 Monzogranite 341 11.1 59.5 Monzogranite 341 11.1 59.5 Monzogranite 341 17.1 92.3 Monzogranite 341 15.1 64.5	Sin LithologyAgeSin (ppm)Nd (ppm) $^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd$ Monzogranite34112.167.10.1090Monzogranite34111.964.00.1124Monzogranite34112.552.00.1453Monzogranite34111.261.70.1097Monzogranite34111.261.70.1097Monzogranite34110.246.50.1383Monzogranite34110.246.50.1326Monzogranite34110.549.10.1293Monzogranite3419.8043.60.1359Monzogranite3419.2044.80.1241Monzogranite34117.082.10.1252Monzogranite34116.873.90.1374Monzogranite34115.164.50.1128Monzogranite34115.164.50.1415Monzogranite34117.192.30.1120Monzogranite34115.164.50.1415Monzogranite34116.6214.80.2700Monzogranite3419.7046.30.1266Monzogranite3419.4753.50.1070	Sm LithologyNd (ppm) $^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd$ $(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd)_{100143}$ Monzogranite34112.167.10.10900.512351Monzogranite34111.964.00.11240.512320Monzogranite34112.151.50.14200.512434Monzogranite34112.552.00.14530.512458Monzogranite34111.261.70.10970.512406Monzogranite34110.246.50.13260.512355Monzogranite34110.246.50.13260.512355Monzogranite34110.549.10.12930.512352Monzogranite34110.549.10.12930.512352Monzogranite34117.082.10.12520.512234Monzogranite34116.873.90.13740.512537Monzogranite34111.159.50.11280.512365Monzogranite34111.159.50.11280.512352Monzogranite34116.873.90.13740.512537Monzogranite34115.164.50.14150.512365Monzogranite34115.164.50.14150.512366Monzogranite3419.7046.30.12660.512306Monzogranite3419.4753.50.10700.512403	Sm Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd (¹⁴³ Nd/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd) _{today} (¹⁴³ Nd/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd)t Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512108 Monzogranite 341 11.9 64.0 0.1124 0.512320 0.512069 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512434 0.512171 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512458 0.512134 Monzogranite 341 11.2 61.7 0.1097 0.512406 0.512134 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512338 0.512029 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512355 0.512032 Monzogranite 341 10.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512032 Monzogranite 341 10.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512041 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5 0.1222 0.512357 <t< td=""><td>Lithology Age Sm (ppm) Nd (ppm) 147Sm/144Nd (143Nd/144Nd)today (143Nd/144Nd)t eNd(t) Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512108 -1.8 Monzogranite 341 12.1 57.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512106 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 12.1 51.5 0.1420 0.512434 0.512117 -1.6 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512436 0.512161 -0.7 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512338 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512365 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512352 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 0.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512063 -2.6 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5</td></t<>	Lithology Age Sm (ppm) Nd (ppm) 147Sm/144Nd (143Nd/144Nd)today (143Nd/144Nd)t eNd(t) Monzogranite 341 12.1 67.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512108 -1.8 Monzogranite 341 12.1 57.1 0.1090 0.512351 0.512106 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 12.1 51.5 0.1420 0.512434 0.512117 -1.6 Monzogranite 341 12.5 52.0 0.1453 0.512436 0.512161 -0.7 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512338 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512365 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 10.2 46.5 0.1326 0.512352 0.512069 -2.5 Monzogranite 341 0.5 49.1 0.1293 0.512352 0.512063 -2.6 Monzogranite 341 9.60 47.5

Table 4 (continuation).Whole-rock Nd isotopes for Early Carboniferous granitic rocks

Age average (341 Ma) was obtained from ages reported in Table 1.

Table 5.

Major and trace element data for the plutonic rocks with Hf and Nd isotopes data (location of samples in Fig. 1 and Table 1).

	Early-Mid	dle Ordovician	n Plutonic Rocks	Middl	e-Late Devo	onian Plutonic	Rocks	Early Carboniferous Plutonic Rocks					
Samples	SVF-577	FAM-7086	ANC-11030a	ACH-140	DAL-1	NPE-10	NPE-14	FIA-17	HUA-12	CHI-17	FAM-177	SBP-09	ZAP-33
Lithology	Gb	Tn	Tn	Mzg	Felsic Gda	Bt-Ap-rich bodies	Mzg	Mzg	Mzg	Mzg	Mzg	Mzg	Mzg
wt. %													
SiO ₂	42.58	62.73	60.92	73.07	69.16	32.83	70.98	74.50	73.30	74.20	75.05	71.83	71.86
TiO ₂	1.28	0.64	0.80	0.22	0.49	2.77	0.36	0.07	0.19	0.03	0.21	0.38	0.25
Al_2O_3	19.05	17.16	18.25	14.11	15.14	14.29	14.78	11.98	13.39	12.84	12.66	13.57	13.10
Fe ₂ O ₃ ^t	5.19	6.48	5.51	2.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.45	2.27	1.05	2.13	3.09	2.41
FeO ^t	9.01	$\mathrm{N.D.}^\dagger$	N.D. [†]	N.D.	3.24	17.02	2.00	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
MnO	0.23	0.15	0.13	0.04	0.13	0.41	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04
MgO	6.87	2.22	1.42	0.39	0.74	5.03	0.50	0.03	0.15	0.05	0.18	0.33	0.19
CaO	12.25	3.23	4.86	0.93	1.08	6.67	0.71	0.64	0.84	0.49	0.83	1.15	1.06
Na ₂ O	1.69	3.61	3.95	2.71	3.62	0.20	3.07	3.29	3.18	3.71	3.59	3.29	3.06
K ₂ O	0.52	2.76	1.84	5.32	4.33	7.05	5.40	4.92	5.19	5.08	5.03	5.39	5.70
P_2O_5	0.21	0.05	0.26	0.28	0.43	5.39	0.30	0.02	0.14	0.02	0.04	0.21	0.07
LOI (%)	0.98	1.03	0.7	0.60	0.88	1.04	1.09	1.66	1.63	1.66	0.19	0.70	1.73
Total	99.86	100.06	98.64	99.76	99.24	92.71*	99.23	98.58	100.33	99.16	99.94	99.99	99.47
ppm													
Cs	0.2	10.1	21.3	12.3	33.2	102.5	9.5	10.1	31.7	16.2	1.5	31.1	11.5
Rb	3.3	176	78	330	551	1500.2	345.9	650	450	716	107	470	372
Sr	205	122	405	68	65.8	12.7	82.3	9	60	9	65	63	74
Ba	70	152	282	175	154.7	148.3	334.1	31	251	16	448	155	74
La	15.6	73.9	21.8	24.5	59.1	829.8	54.6	53.2	51.6	7.78	84.1	52.1	53.4
Ce	54	171	44.7	55.3	133.2	1899.9	133.2	121	120	23.1	175	117	118
Pr	nd	15.8	5.71	7.05	16.2	236.7	16.4	13.7	13.4	3.06	17.1	13.6	13.2
Nd	38	59.4	20.8	24	59.5	888.2	61.8	51.5	49.1	17.5	53.5	47.5	46.3
Sm	9.38	14.2	4.5	5.07	12.3	195.3	11.9	12.1	10.5	6.23	9.47	9.58	9.71
Eu	1.58	1.75	2.05	0.503	0.8	8.8		0.186	1.19	0.131	0.94	0.898	1.01
Gd	nd	15.3	4.37	4.02	9	148.8	1	12.4	8.05	1.32	7.39	8.21	8.65

Tb	1.7	3.14	0.67	0.7	1.4	23.6	0.9	2.86	1.62	1.91	1.15	1.39	1.79
Dy	N.D.	21.9	3.97	3.88	7.5	126.7	4.3	19.1	9.59	13.1	5.71	8.04	10.6
Ho	N.D.	4.9	0.82	0.67	1.3	21.8	0.7	3.93	1.74	2.59	1.06	1.46	1.99
Er	N.D.	15.6	2.45	1.82	3.2	53.9	1.6	12.7	5.19	8.33	3.16	4.22	5.85
Tm	N.D.	2.73	0.38	0.26	0.5	7.6	0.2	2.05	0.819	1.55	0.46	0.655	0.909
Yb	5.54	16.5	2.58	1.6	2.9	44.7	1.3	13.1	5.23	10.6	2.77	4.2	5.72
Lu	0.79	2.6	0.42	0.211	0.4	6.3	0.2	1.83	0.741	1.6	0.39	0.59	0.838
U	0.1	4.37	1.09	5.42	12.6	187	3.7	20.7	6.2	56.5	1.9	6.04	7.17
Th	0.4	37	5.18	18.5	47.2	673.6	47.8	77.1	30.1	20.2	17	46.1	28.4
Y	58	145	21.6	19.5	35.6	616.6	18.1	130	54.2	105	26.9	45.8	57.9
Nb	5	29	11.8	20.2	60.6	276.1	22.8	68.3	33.1	30.4	15.6	37.3	34.9
Zr	120	210	838	146	239.6	2580.8	203.5	168	191	69	191	261	248
Hf	3.6	5.3	18.4	4.3	7.4	79.6	6	7.4	5.6	5.1	5.8	7.5	6.9
Та	0.2	2.28	0.86	1.57	8.6	21.3	1.8	7.86	4.93	13.9	0.78	6.08	4.3
Ga	21	23	22	23	32	54	23	26	24	30	19	23	21
М	3.32	2.91	1.64	1.22	1.27	4.06	1.22	1.46	1.32	1.37	1.44	1.45	1.45
$T_{Zr}(^{\circ}C)$			933	792	833	833	822	792	807	817	799	827	821

NOTES: 1. Early-Middle Ordovician geochemistry data from Pankhurst et al. (2000) and Dahlquist et al. (2008), Early Carboniferous geochemistry data from Dahlquist et al. (2010), and Middle-Late Devonian geochemistry data from Dahlquist et al. (*submitted JSAES*). 2. ACH-140 sample analyzed at ACTLABS. NPE-10, NPE-14 and DAL-1 analyzed at Washington State University. 3. $T_{Zr} = 12,900/$ [2.95+0.85 M+ln(496,000 /Zr^{melt})], where D^{Zr}, zircon/melt = (496,000 /Zr^{melt}), is the ratio of Zr concentrations (ppm) in zircon to that in the saturated melt; M is a compositional factor that accounts for dependence of zircon solubility on SiO2 and peraluminosity of the melt [(Na+K+2·Ca)/Al · Si), all in cation fraction]. The geothermometer is calibrated for M = 0.9 to 1.7. Equation and Zr concentrations (ppm) in zircon (= 496,000 ppm) from Miller et al. (2003). *Low total is attributed to the high F content.

[†]N.D. = not determined.

Gb = Gabbro, Tn = Tonalite, Gda = Granodiorite, Monzogranite = Mzg.