



Article (refereed) - postprint

Dinsmore, K.J.; Wallin, M.B.; Johnson, M.S.; Billett, M.F.; Bishop, K.; Pumpanen, J.; Ojala, A. 2013. **Contrasting CO2 concentration discharge dynamics in headwater streams: a multi-catchment comparison**. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118 (2). 445-461. <u>10.1002/jgrg.20047</u>

© 2013 American Geophysical Union

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/501014/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com

Contact CEH NORA team at <u>noraceh@ceh.ac.uk</u>

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1 <u>Contrasting CO₂ concentration discharge dynamics in</u>

2 <u>headwater streams: a multi-catchment comparison</u>

 ¹ Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB, UK ² Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden ³ Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British C Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British C Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁵ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Aging Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden ⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland 	3	KJ Dinsmore ¹ , MB Wallin ² , MS Johnson ^{3,4} , MF Billett ¹ , K Bishop ^{2,5} , J Pumpanen ⁶ , A Ojala ⁷
 ² Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden ³ Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British C Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British C Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁵ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Aga Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden ⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland 	4	¹ Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB, UK
 ³ Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British C Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British C Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁵ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Aga Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden ⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland 	5	² Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden
 ⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British O 9 Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada ⁵ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Aga Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden ⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland 	6 7	³ Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada
 ⁵ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agustic Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden ⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland 	8 9	⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada
⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland	0	⁵ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden
	2	⁶ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

13 ⁷Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-15140 Lahti, Finland

15 Aquatic CO₂ concentrations are highly variable and strongly linked to discharge but until 16 recently measurements have been largely restricted to low-frequency manual sampling. Using 17 new *in-situ* CO₂ sensors we present concurrent, high-frequency (<30-min resolution) CO₂ 18 concentration and discharge data collected from five catchments across Canada, UK and 19 Fennoscandinavia to explore concentration-discharge dynamics; we also consider the relative 20 importance of high flows to lateral aquatic CO₂ export. The catchments encompassed a wide range of mean CO₂ concentrations $(0.73 - 3.05 \text{ mg C L}^{-1})$ and hydrological flow regimes 21 22 from flashy peatland streams to muted outflows within a Finnish lake-system. In three of the 23 catchments CO₂ concentrations displayed clear bimodal distributions indicating distinct CO₂ 24 sources. Concentration-discharge relationships were not consistent across sites with three of 25 the catchments displaying a negative relationship and two catchments displaying a positive relationship. When individual high flow events were considered, we found a strong 26 27 correlation between both the average magnitude of the hydrological and CO₂ response peaks, 28 and the average response lag times. An analysis of lateral CO₂ export showed that in three of 29 the catchments the top 30% of flow (i.e. flow that was exceeded only 30% of the time) had 30 the greatest influence on total annual load. This indicates that an increase in precipitation extremes (greater high-flow contributions) may have a greater influence on the flushing of 31 CO₂ from soils to surface waters than a long-term increase in mean annual precipitation, 32 33 assuming source limitation does not occur.

35 Soils represent an important and dynamic store of global carbon which interacts with the 36 atmospheric carbon pool either through direct soil-plant-atmosphere exchange, or transport to 37 and subsequent loss from the surface drainage system. Until recently, much of the literature 38 has focused on the first of these pathways often ignoring losses through the drainage system 39 [e.g. Baldocchi et al., 2001; Bubier et al., 2002; Lafleur et al., 2003]. We currently have a 40 relatively good understanding of the dynamics and hydrochemical processes that control 41 concentrations and fluxes of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in flowing surface waters 42 [Clark et al., 2007; Hope et al., 1994; McDowell and Likens, 1988]. Whilst the relative 43 importance of gaseous evasion from surface waters to total catchment budgets is now recognised [Butman and Raymond, 2011; Dinsmore et al., 2010; Huotari et al., 2011; Nilsson 44 45 et al., 2008; Richev et al., 2002], the processes which control temporal and spatial variability of CO₂ concentration (and hence the magnitude of the flux) are still not fully understood. 46 47 Gaseous evasion therefore represents a significant source of uncertainty in greenhouse gas 48 accounting. To fully understand catchment carbon budgets, all flux pathways need to be 49 accounted for. Although lateral CO₂ export is often of a smaller magnitude than vertical 50 evasion [Dinsmore et al., 2010; Wallin et al., 2013], it represents a loss of C from the terrestrial to the aquatic system and is therefore an important term to both quantify and 51 52 understand.

53 CO₂ supersaturation is common in most natural drainage networks across boreal, temperate 54 and tropical systems [*Aufdenkampe et al.*, 2011; *Cole et al.*, 1994; *Cole et al.*, 2007; *Kling et* 55 *al.*, 1991; *Richey et al.*, 2002]. Estimates of CO₂ evasion rates from running waters 56 (expressed per unit water surface area) cover a wide range of values, e.g. $1.65 \pm 0.21 \ \mu g \ C \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ from Arctic rivers [*Kling et al.*, 1992] to $263 \pm 76.1 \ \mu g \ C \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ in Amazonian tropical forests [*Richey et al.*, 2002], 21-806 μ g C m⁻² s⁻¹ in Scottish peatland catchments [*Dinsmore et al.*, 2010; *Hope et al.*, 2001; *Billett and Harvey*, in press], and typically 10-300 μ g C m⁻² s⁻¹ in boreal streams [*Wallin et al.*, 2011]. Contributions of stream/river CO₂ evasion to total catchment budgets have been estimated to equal up to 50% of net annual carbon accumulation in Arctic tundra [*Kling et al.*, 1991], up to 70% in peatlands [*Hope et al.*, 2001] and roughly equal to net annual carbon accumulation in the central floodplain region of the Amazon [*Richey et al.*, 2002].

The rate of gaseous evasion from surface waters is dependent on the solubility of the gas, the physical rate at which molecules can exchange across the water-air interface (given by the gas transfer coefficient or gas transfer velocity), and the water-air concentration gradient. Understanding the temporal dynamics and controls on CO₂ concentrations is therefore the essential first step in accurately quantifying and understanding evasion fluxes.

Aquatic CO₂ can be derived from biogeochemical processes in the bedrock-soil system 70 71 (weathering, decomposition of organic matter, root respiration) with dissolved CO_2 72 transported directly to the stream channel by runoff [Billett et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2007]. 73 In-stream bacterial and photo-chemical degradation of either terrestrial or aquatic derived 74 substrate is an additional source of CO₂ [Köhler et al., 2002]. The relative importance of 75 terrestrially-derived carbon is both seasonal and site-specific, with in-stream productivity 76 restricted by cold temperatures, short in-stream residence times, low stream water pH and stream bed characteristics [Dubois et al., 2010; Raymond et al., 1997; Zeng and Masiello, 77 78 2010]. Both the rate of carbon transport into the drainage system and the source-contribution 79 area within the catchment are also highly temporally variable over shorter timescales in 80 response to precipitation events [Dinsmore and Billett, 2008; Rasilo et al, 2012].

81 The dominant hydrological flowpath through the catchment is dependent on the current and 82 antecedent precipitation conditions. Hydrological flowpath dynamics control the areas within 83 the catchment which are connected to the surface drainage network at any point in time. Due 84 to the high degree of heterogeneity in biogeochemical processes within the terrestrial system 85 and the resulting heterogeneity in carbon form and concentration, runoff chemistry is highly linked to source area and catchment flowpath dynamics [e.g. Chapman et al., 1999; Nyberg, 86 87 1995; Wolock et al., 1990]. The location of soil types within the catchment also significantly 88 influences the degree to which they contribute to stream water concentrations. Stream water 89 concentrations of biogenic CO₂ are generally higher where organic soils (rather than mineral 90 soils) form alongside stream channels [*Wallin et al.*, 2010]. The half life of in-stream CO₂ can 91 be as little as a few hours [*Öquist et al.*, 2009] and the contribution from upstream areas can 92 drop quickly with distance from sampling location [Rasilo et al., 2012]. Catchment 93 contributing area is therefore an important consideration when linking in-stream CO₂ concentrations to terrestrial sources, and an important consideration when choosing a 94 95 sampling location.

96 Current climate predictions suggest a general increase in precipitation extremes across much 97 of the globe, especially across tropical and northern regions where mean annual precipitation is also expected to increase [Pachauri and Reisinger, 2007]. Previous studies have shown 98 99 that lateral aquatic carbon export is strongly biased towards high flow events [e.g. Dinsmore 100 and Billett, 2008; Dyson et al., 2010; Ojala et al., 2011], so any increase in storm frequency 101 or intensity is likely to impact the total CO₂ export from soils to surface waters. An 102 understanding of stormflow CO₂ dynamics is therefore becoming increasingly important if 103 we want to accurately quantify and predict catchment carbon losses via the aquatic pathway.

104 Up to now methodological limitations have restricted our knowledge of aquatic CO₂ 105 dynamics, which is based on either low frequency manual sampling techniques [e.g. Billett and Moore, 2008; Billett et al., 2004; Dinsmore et al., 2010; Kling et al., 1991] or inferred 106 107 indirectly from the speciation of dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations [Butman and 108 Raymond, 2011; Maberly, 1996; Neal et al., 1998; Waldron et al., 2007]. Datasets based on 109 manual sampling techniques are inevitably of low temporal resolution and often biased 110 towards low flow conditions, limiting their use for stormflow analysis. Even the indirect 111 methods, which can be used to produce continuous CO₂ datasets, rely on alkalinity which 112 itself is often measured on a spot sampling basis and therefore unlikely to provide sufficient 113 information to accurately analyse high flows. The recent adaptation of high-frequency non-114 dispersive infrared sensors for use in aquatic systems has, for the first time, allowed direct 115 and continuous measurements of CO₂ concentrations to be made in flowing water [Johnson et 116 al., 2010].

117 Previous studies have shown that the relationship between CO₂ and dissolved inorganic 118 carbon (DIC) concentrations and discharge are generally negative [Andrade et al., 2011; 119 Billett et al., 2004; Dinsmore et al., 2010; Edwards, 1973; Edwards et al., 1984; Semiletov et 120 al., 2011; Wallin et al., 2010], although the strength and nature of the relationship is highly 121 variable. For example, the role of pH in controlling the speciation of DIC (ratio between free 122 CO₂, bicarbonates, carbonates and carbonic acids) was suggested to counteract the reduction 123 in CO₂ due to dilution in a number of streams monitored within the Krycklan catchment, 124 Sweden [Wallin et al., 2010]. However, until the recent use of submerged sensor technology 125 relatively few direct measurements have been made across a sufficient hydrograph range to 126 understand specific CO₂ stormflow dynamics. Where sensor technology has been utilised the 127 resulting chemographs show previously unseen intricacies, such as CO₂ pulses on the falling limb of the hydrographs [*Johnson et al.*, 2007] or at peak flow [*Dinsmore and Billett*, 2008];
they also allow the quantification of response lags and determination of total stormflow
exports.

Here we combine aquatic time series data collected at 5 different northern hemisphere sites across northern Europe and Canada where CO_2 has been measured using submerged, in-situ, CO_2 sensors during a series of storm events. The aim of this study, which uses consistent methodology and sensor type, is to compare and contrast the CO_2 concentration-discharge dynamics in individual streams and identify whether consistent relationships can be identified across sites. Specifically we aim to test the following hypotheses:

137 1. CO₂ concentrations are diluted during high flow events resulting in negative concentration-138 discharge relationships.

139 2. The form of the concentration-discharge relationship is linked to measurable catchment140 characteristics such as soil type or flow-duration indices.

141 3. The magnitude of the CO_2 response during individual storm events is correlated to the 142 magnitude of the runoff response; the CO_2 response can therefore be predicted from 143 hydrograph characteristics.

4. The lateral export of aquatic CO_2 from the upstream catchment area is strongly influenced by stormflow events as the effect of increased runoff counteracts the decrease in stream concentrations.

147 3. <u>Methods</u>

148 *3.1.Site descriptions*

We use data from 5 different study sites (Figure 1 and Table 1): the Malcom Knapp Research Forest (MK) in Maple Ridge, BC, Canada, the Black Burn draining Auchencorth Moss (AM) peatland, Scotland, Cottage Hill Sike (CHS) in the Moorhouse Reserve, England, Svartberget (SV) in the Vindeln Experimental Forests, Sweden, and the northern inlet of Lake Kuivajärvi near Hyytiälä (HY) SMEAR II (Station for Measuring Forest Ecosystem – Atmosphere Relations) field station, Finland. Site abbreviations (in brackets) will be used henceforth to reference individual field sites.

156 The MK site is located within a 7 ha forested catchment in the coastal western hemlock 157 climatic zone of BC, Canada. The site climatic conditions consist of mild, wet winters (mean January temperature 2.8°C) and warm dry summers (mean July temperature 17.2°C). The 158 mean annual temperature and precipitation are 9.6°C and 2200 mm y^{-1} , respectively 159 [Trubilowicz et al., 2009]. The catchment is dominated by western red cedar (Thuja plicata), 160 Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla). The 161 162 catchment soil is a highly permeable humic podzol consisting of an upper horizon of organic matter (< 10 cm), a sandy loam subsoil, and is underlain by glacial till over granitic bedrock 163 164 [Scordo and Moore, 2009].

Both AM (335 ha catchment) and CHS (17.4 ha catchment) are temperate oceanic peatland catchments within the UK. Mean annual air temperature and precipitation at AM are 8.1°C and 1155 mm, respectively (provided by M. Coyle, unpublished data, 2012). The mean annual temperature between 1931 and 2006 at Moor House weather station, 620 m from the CHS catchment, was 5.3°C. Mean annual precipitation was 2012 mm (records from 1951170 1980 and 1991-2006) [Holden and Rose., 2011]. A typical winter in both AM and CHS will 171 see several snowfall and melt events. AM vegetation is a mix of Deschampsia flexuosa, 172 Eriophorum vaginatum and Juncus effusus covering a base layer of Sphagnum mosses. The 173 bedrock geology is Upper Carboniferous/Lower Devonian sandstones with occasional bands of limestone, mudstone, coal and clay overlain by a thick layer of glacial boulder clay. CHS 174 175 is lithologically similar to AM (Lower Carboniferous limestone, sandstone and shale sequence overlain by glacial boulder clay) with vegetation consisting primarily of 176 177 Eriophorum vaginatum, Empetrum nigrum, Calluna vulgaris and Sphagnum capillifolium. 178 Both catchments are dominated by histosols. A full carbon budget, including all aquatic 179 carbon species, for AM has previously been published by *Dinsmore et al.* [2010]; aquatic 180 carbon fluxes for CHS have been published in Holden et al. [2012].

181 The SV site is located within a 50 ha forested catchment in boreal Sweden with an 8 ha headwater mire, and another 2-3 ha of riparian peat in a 5-10 m wide strip adjacent to the 182 183 stream. Mean annual air temperature (1980-2008) is 1.7°C with average temperatures in 184 January and June of -9.6°C and 14.6°C, respectively. Mean annual precipitation (1981-2008) 185 is 612 mm, with approximately 168 days of ground snow cover per year [Haei et al., 2010]. 186 The forest vegetation is dominated by Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) and Scots pine (*Pinus* sylvestris) with an understory of Calluna vulgaris, Vaccinium vitis-idaea and Vaccinium 187 188 *myrtillus*. The 8 ha mire area is dominated by *Sphagnum* mosses. Soils are primarily podzols 189 on glacial till formed from biotite plagioclase schist and orthogneiss with histosols in the mire 190 area and histic gleysols in the 5-10 m wide riparian zone on either side of the stream. Lateral 191 and evasive fluxes of CO₂, DIC and DOC are presented in Wallin et al. [2013].

192 The HY site drains a large catchment of approximately 700-1000 ha (estimated from contour193 map) consisting of both forest and mire. Mean annual air temperature and precipitation are

194 2.9°C, and 692 mm [Ilvesniemi et al., 2010], respectively, with an average of 126 days per 195 year of ground snow cover in 2009 and 2010 compared to an average of 147 days from 2006-196 2011. The monitoring site is located at the northern inlet of Lake Kuivajärvi (surface area ca. 1 km²), downstream of Lake Saarijärvi (area ca. 30 ha). The length of the stream between the 197 198 two lakes is ca. 250 m. The vegetation consists of a mixture of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) 199 and Norway spruce (Picea abies) with an understory of Vaccinium myrtillus, Vaccinium vitis-200 idaea and Rhododendron tomentosum in the riparian wetlands, mosses Dicranum polysetum, 201 Hylocomium splendens and Pleurozium schreberi on the uplands, and Sphagnum spp. on the 202 riparian wetlands. Haplic podzols overlie glacial till on granitic bedrock in the upland forests 203 with histosols occurring in the riparian wetlands.

3.2. Methods

205 CO₂ concentrations at all sites were monitored using GMT220 series non-dispersive infra-red 206 (NDIR) CO₂ transmitters manufactured by Vaisala (Helsinki, Finland), at a temporal frequency of < 30 minutes following the method described in Johnson et al. [2010]. Sensor 207 208 accuracy is 1.5% of the calibrated range $(0-1\% \text{ CO}_2) + 2\%$ of the reading; this correlates to a maximum error of 0.33 mg C L^{-1} based on the maximum sensor reading measured at the CHS 209 210 catchment. Sensors were enclosed in water-tight, gas-permeable PTFE membranes, deployed 211 under the water surface (typically within a perforated PVC sleeve for protection) and 212 connected to a datalogger. Sensors were calibrated against known gas standards before and 213 after deployment and corrected as appropriate; no signal drift was evident. Volume fraction outputs from the NDIR sensors were corrected for variations in temperature and pressure 214 (atmospheric and water depth) using the method described in Johnson et al. [2010] and 215 expressed in units of mg CO₂-C L^{-1} , hereafter annotated as mg C L^{-1} . 216

217 Discharge and water temperature were measured concurrently with CO₂ concentration at each site. At MK, stream discharge was measured using a recording capacitance probe (TruTrack 218 219 model WT-HR; Christ Church, New Zealand) located adjacent to a 90° V-notch weir at the 220 watershed outlet. The TruTrack WT-HR sensor was also used to record air and water temperature. Both AM and CHS utilised Level TROLL[®] water level and temperature sensors 221 222 (In-Situ Inc.). Discharge was calculated from a curvilinear stage-discharge rating curve (AM $r^2 = 0.97$; CHS $r^2 = 0.99$) built from a series of dilution gauging measurements. During 223 periods of over-banking at CHS (3% of study period), discharge was extrapolated from a 224 correlation with discharge at the nearby Trout Beck gauging station ($r^2 = 0.77$) provided by 225 226 the UK 'Environmental Change Network'. Water level and temperature from SV was 227 measured in a dam house with a V-notch weir using Campbell Scientific data loggers 228 equipped with pressure transducers. Discharge was calculated from a stage-discharge rating curve based on a series of manual dilution gauging and bucket measurements ($r^2 > 0.90$). At 229 HY, discharge was calculated using a relationship ($r^2 = 0.84$) between water level monitored 230 231 continuously at half hour intervals using pressure sensors (Levelogger Gold, Solinst Canada 232 ltd., Gergetown, ON) and manual flow rate measurements (portable water velocity meter, Global Water FP111, Xylem Inc., White Plains, NY). Concentration datasets were not 233 234 collected simultaneously across sites and therefore vary in both season and length. 235 Monitoring periods for individual sites were: MK April 2007 – October 2008 (463 days); AM 236 October 2007 – February 2008 (133 days); CHS May – September 2009 (107 days); SV April 237 - November 2007 (215 days) and April - October 2008 (182 days); HY March - October 2010 (211 days). 238

Continuous pH measurements were made alongside CO₂ at both MK (YSI 6000 multiparameter sonde) and AM (Campbell Scientific CSIM11 pH-probe). A dataset of weekly pH

241 measurements from 1993 and 2007 at the CHS catchment was provided by the UK 242 environmental change network (ECN). pH at HY was measured weekly in 2010 and pH at 243 SV weekly throughout 2007-2008 [*Wallin et al.*, 2010].

244 *3.3. Data Analysis*

All concentrations are expressed in units of mg C L⁻¹ and discharge in L s⁻¹. Site specific export values represent lateral downstream transport calculated as the mean of hourly exports from instantaneous concentration multiplied by instantaneous discharge. Export values are given in units of g C per m² of catchment area per year (g C m⁻² yr⁻¹), allowing for comparison between catchments of different size. Vertical CO₂ evasion is not estimated in this study.

Hydrograph characteristic descriptions were based on daily mean discharges over the measurement period; hence they do not necessarily represent long-term flow statistics. Descriptors include Q_{50} , Q_{90} , Q_{95} , Q_{10} and Q_5 defined as the daily mean flow exceeded or equalled 50% (i.e. the median), 90%, 95%, 10% or 5% of the time, respectively. Q_{90} : Q_{50} ratios were calculated as a measure of low flow characteristics and Q_{10} : Q_{50} as an additional normalised indication of high flow characteristics.

257 Concentration-discharge relationships were examined using box plots of CO_2 concentrations 258 within specified discharge exceedence limits (flow sectors), and the ratio of 'flow weighted 259 mean concentration' (FWMC) to unweighted CO_2 concentration. FWMC was calculated 260 using equation 1 where c_i is the instantaneous concentration, q_i is the instantaneous discharge 261 and t_i is the time step between subsequent concentration measurements.

262 FWMC = $\sum (c_i \times t_i \times q_i) / \sum (t_i \times q_i)$ (1)

263 In the box-plot diagrams, the box represents the interquartile range with a line showing 264 median CO₂ concentration. Whiskers extend to the highest/lowest data values within the upper/lower limit defined as 1.5 times the interquartile range. Outliers are defined as any data 265 266 point beyond the upper/lower whisker limit; only the maximum and minimum outlier values are plotted. Hysteresis was examined by calculating the mean CO₂ concentration within the 267 268 same discharge exceedence intervals as the box plots, separated into rising and falling limb 269 data points. The statistical significance of the hysteresis was tested using paired T-tests on 270 these discharge exceedence class means.

271 CO₂ concentrations were modelled at each site utilising discharge and stream water 272 temperature (the only two parameters that were available at the same temporal resolution as CO₂ concentration at all sites). Datasets were Ln-transformed where required to achieve a 273 274 normal distribution. Lag terms of 1-10 hours were applied to both temperature and discharge 275 datasets; the lagged values were correlated with CO₂ concentrations and the best fit parameter 276 taken forward to multiple regression models. Temperature was included as a model parameter 277 at three temporal scales; a) instantaneous, b) mean over preceding day, and c) mean over 278 preceding week. Interaction terms between discharge and temperature were applied to all 279 three temperature parameters. Model statistics represent the Pearson product moment 280 correlation coefficient (Minitab version 16) comparing modelled vs. measured CO₂ 281 concentrations in a subset of randomly selected data points within the full time series. The 282 size of the subset was defined by the degree of autocorrelation so that when ordered by date no autocorrelation was present. For all sites except HY, a subset of 500 data points was 283 284 randomly selected, for HY where autocorrelation was particularly strong, a smaller subset of 285 100 data points was selected.

286 The classification of individual storm 'events' was based on 30-day moving average hourly 287 Q₆₀ and Q₂₀ values calculated for each stream individually. An event was classified as such if 288 peak discharge exceeded the 30-day average Q₂₀. The start and end of the event were 289 classified as the points at which the discharge exceeded and dropped below the 30-day 290 average Q₆₀. This classification was devised through a process of adjustment (changing the 291 threshold values) until events identified on visual inspection of all 5 datasets were suitably 292 captured. Rising and falling hydrograph limbs are classified as time steps within the event 293 classifications which are before or after the event hydrograph peaks, respectively.

294 The following parameters were calculated for all individual events within the 5 discharge 295 datasets: event duration, rising and falling limb durations, peak discharge and 'time since 296 last' defined as the time between the start of the current event and end of previous event. The individual CO₂ time series within each hydrologically defined event was then examined to 297 298 identify any significant peaks or troughs. The following parameters were calculated from the 299 CO₂ time series: CO₂ response defined as either the highest or lowest CO₂ concentration 300 depending on whether a peak or trough was identified, relative CO₂ response defined as the 301 CO₂ response divided by the mean CO₂ concentration over the full measurement period, and 302 the concentration-discharge response lag defined as the time between discharge peak and peak CO₂ response. Relationships between individual event parameters within each 303 304 catchment were examined using Pearson's product moment correlation analysis (Minitab® 305 16) on datasets transformed to fit a normal distribution. The mean of each event parameter 306 was also calculated for each catchment and the catchment means compared again using 307 correlation analysis.

308 DIC speciation was calculated using equation 2 where αH_2CO_3 is the proportion of dissolved 309 carbonic acid (including both hydrated and dissolved CO₂). K₁ and K₂ are temperature dependent dissociation constants calculated from equations 3 and 4 where T is temperature
measured in units of Kelvin; constants a, b and c are taken from [*Harnard and Davis*, 1943; *Harnard and Scholes*,1941].

313
$$\propto H_2 C O_3 = \frac{[H^+]^2}{[H^+]^2 + [H^+]K_1 + K_1 K_2}$$
 (2)

 $314 \quad K = 10^{-pK} \tag{3}$

$$315 \quad pK = \frac{a}{T} + bT + c \tag{4}$$

316 4. <u>Results</u>

317 Sites differed considerably in their discharge ranges (Table 2; Figure 2), the lowest mean discharge values were recorded at MK and CHS ($< 1 L s^{-1}$); the highest (97.9 L s⁻¹) at HY. 318 MK was the only site where discharge $<0.01 \text{ L s}^{-1}$ was recorded, this occurred during an 319 extended precipitation-free summer when the stream dried out completely. The highest mean 320 CO₂ concentration was measured at CHS ($3.05 \pm 0.95 \text{ mg C L}^{-1}$), it was statistically similar to 321 both AM (2.13 \pm 0.17 mg C L⁻¹) and the SV catchment in 2007 (0.93 \pm 1.40 mg C L⁻¹) (Table 322 2). Although the mean CO_2 at SV during 2007 was lower than both MK and SV 2008, 323 variability was high (Figure 3). The lowest mean CO₂ concentration was recorded in HY 324 $(0.73 \pm 0.54 \text{ mg C L}^{-1}).$ 325

The calculation of DIC speciation based on temperature and pH showed that in all catchments CO₂ was the major form of inorganic carbon (Figure 4). With the exception of HY, CO₂ represented a median proportion of >86% of all inorganic C species. The proportion of inorganic C represented by CO₂ in HY ranged from 31% to 78%. The greatest interquartile range was seen in AM, which despite a median of 94% CO₂, had a minimum of only 16% 331 CO₂. Based on the pH and temperature speciation, CO_3^{2-} was not present at any of the sample 332 sites.

4.1. Hydrology

Hydrographs over the full data collection periods are given in Figure 2 with hydrograph characteristics summarised in Table 3. HY had both the highest $Q_{90}:Q_{50}$ and lowest $Q_{10}:Q_{50}$ ratios indicating a relatively unresponsive catchment with a high base-flow contribution. The $Q_{10}:Q_{50}$ ratio suggested CHS is the most responsive/flashiest catchment.

The number of high flow events recorded in the time series' ranged from 8 in HY to 23 338 339 across the 2 year dataset from SV. However, when corrected for the different time periods, the greatest frequency of events was observed in CHS, followed by AM, SV, MK and HY, 340 341 respectively (Table 4). The average event (using the classification given above) lasted from 342 3.1 days in CHS to 12.8 days in HY. The average event duration was directly correlated with the event frequency, following a negative power function ($r^2 = 0.98$, P < 0.01). Similarly, 343 344 both rising and falling limb durations were negatively correlated with event frequency following power functions (Rising $r^2 = 0.93$, P = 0.02; Falling $r^2 = 0.98$, P < 0.01). In all 345 catchments the falling hydrograph limb was consistently >2 times longer than the rising limb. 346

347 *4.2.Variability in CO*₂ concentrations

348 CO_2 concentrations were highly variable across all time series (Figure 3); many of the 349 catchments displayed not only large scale spikes and troughs throughout the time series but 350 also higher frequency variability. This high frequency variability was greatest in CHS and 351 HY datasets collected in the summer season and lowest in AM (October to February). 352 Frequency plots of CO_2 concentrations show clear bimodal distributions in MK (frequency peaks: 1.13 mg C L⁻¹ and 2.42 mg C L⁻¹), SV (frequency peaks: 0.80 mg C L⁻¹ and 1.02 mg C L⁻¹) and HY (frequency peaks: 0.59 mg C L⁻¹ and 1.72 mg C L⁻¹) compared to the positively skewed distributions seen in both AM and CHS (Figure 5). AM and CHS also showed clear clustering of storm concentrations at the lower CO₂ range.

357 4.2.1. Concentration-discharge relationships

358 To summarise concentration discharge relationships, the concentration dataset was split into 359 flow sectors based on discharge exceedence levels (Figure 6). The 0-10 discharge classes in 360 Figure 6 represent the highest 0-10 % of flow, and the 90-100 class the lowest 0-10% of flow. 361 Hence the median CO₂ concentration decreases with increasing discharge classes in MK, AM 362 and CHS indicating a negative concentration-discharge relationship. The relationship is less 363 clear in MK and CHS due to the large number of outliers. In SV although there are outliers, a 364 clear increase in median CO₂ concentration is evident in response to increasing discharge class indicating an overall positive concentration-discharge relationship. A general increase 365 366 in CO₂ concentrations with increasing discharge class was observed in HY. However, 367 removal of the 0-10 class, which relates almost entirely to snowmelt, significantly weakened 368 this trend. The high CO₂ anomalies in the HY plot all represent points between 30 March 369 2010 and 01 May 2010, i.e. during the snowmelt period. CO₂ concentrations were high on the 370 rising limb of the snowmelt event (Figure 3), hence high concentrations from this period were 371 evident across the full range of percentile groups.

372 Similar discharge dependent relationships were seen when the FWMC was compared to the 373 unweighted mean concentrations (Table 2). A FWMC > unweighted mean indicates a 374 positive concentration-discharge relationship; ratios of >1 were seen in SV (1.14) and HY 375 (1.24), compared to ratios of <1 in MK (0.72), AM (0.68) and CHS (0.53). When datasets were split into rising or falling hydrograph limbs and the mean concentration within each percentile range plotted, hysteresis was evident (inferred from paired T-tests comparing rising and falling limbs) in 4 of the 5 catchments (Figure 6). Concentrations were significantly greater on the rising compared to the falling hydrograph limbs at the 4 sites. CHS was the only catchment in which hysteresis was not observed.

381 CO₂ concentration models were fitted based on the observed discharge relationships and 382 stream water temperature (Figure 3, Table 5). Discharge was a significant parameter in 383 models across all sites. In both AM and CHS discharge alone explained >70% of variability 384 in the CO₂ concentration based on a power function; all other catchments were best modelled 385 using multiple linear regression models. CO₂ concentrations at MK were relatively slow to respond to environmental parameters and were best modelled using a negative discharge 386 function with a 9 hour time lag and positive temperature averaged over the preceding week. 387 Both SV and HY displayed positive discharge relationships alongside a significant discharge-388 389 temperature interaction. However, whilst in SV the coincidence of high temperatures and 390 high discharges resulted in high CO₂ concentrations, the opposite was true for HY.

391 *4.2.2. Event Analysis*

Table 4 summarises the CO_2 responses to individual storm events. The main CO_2 responses in MK, AM and CHS were identified as troughs in the CO_2 time series; the main responses in SV and HY were identified as peaks. In both MK and AM the response sometimes consisted of a trough with a small additional peak (events 3, 7, 9, 16, 17, 18 in MK and events 2, 3, 4, 8 and 9 in AM Figure 3). In MK the peak occurred just prior to the CO_2 dilution response, whereas in AM the peak occurred at the base of the trough; examples of both are given in Figure 7. In some catchments the number of CO_2 responses (n) was less than the number of identified events. Troughs and peaks were identified by visual inspection of the time-series data. For some events, particularly in the HY time series, the response was either masked by consistent diurnal variability or did not exist and could therefore not be included in the analysis. In all catchments except the lake inlet site HY (which was based on a sample size of only 4 events), the magnitude of the CO₂ response (peak/trough) was significantly correlated with the magnitude of the discharge peak (MK r = 0.55, P = 0.03; AM r = 0.60, P < 0.01; CHS r = 0.28, P = 0.02; SV r = 0.46, P < 0.01; HY r = 0.38, P = 0.24).

The peak/trough concentration relative to the mean CO_2 concentration (Table 2) was calculated to enable comparison between catchments (Table 4). The greatest relative CO_2 responses were seen in CHS and AM, followed by MK, SV and HY, respectively, collectively showing a negative linear relationship with mean event duration (r = 0.95, P = 0.01). Within individual catchments, no relationship was seen between individual event duration and the magnitude of the CO_2 response. Furthermore, no relationships were found in any of the catchments between 'time since last event' and CO_2 response.

413 The lag between hydrological response and CO₂ response was greatest in HY, which took an 414 average of ~ 2 days to react. In contrast the fastest peak CO₂ response occurred in both AM and CHS ~20 min before the hydrograph peak. The variability in lag response time was 415 416 extremely large among events with all catchments displaying both positive and negative lags; 417 i.e. maximum CO₂ responses before and after peak discharge. Although within individual 418 catchments there was no relationship between event duration and concentration-discharge 419 response lag, when the mean concentration-discharge response lag of the 5 catchments was 420 plotted against mean event duration for each catchment, there was a strong (r = 0.91, P =0.03) positive logarithmic relationship. The relationship between concentration-discharge 421 422 response lag was also strong with rising limb duration (r = 0.96, P < 0.01).

424 Plotting the percent of total CO₂ export against the discharge exceedence probability (the 425 probability that discharge at a randomly selected time point will exceed a specified 426 magnitude) provides a way of assessing the relative importance of different flow sectors 427 (Figure 8a). The point where the plot shows the greatest deviation from the 1:1 line indicates 428 the proportion of flow which is most significant to total CO₂ export. In HY the greatest 429 deviation occurred at approximately 70% equalled or exceeded discharge, and in SV 430 approximately 50%, indicating low flow was proportionally more important to total CO₂ 431 export than high flow. However in the three first order streams (AM, CHS and MK), the 432 greatest deviation and therefore the proportionally most important discharge sector was the top 30% of flow. 433

434 CO₂ export is controlled by both CO₂ availability (both external source concentration and *in*-435 situ production) and runoff, i.e. the volume of water available to transport the gas from one 436 location to another. By plotting the percentage of total CO₂ export against the percentage of 437 total runoff we can distinguish between the influence of increased water flow and other 438 contributing factors (Figure 8b). The 1:1 line indicates CO₂ export within all flow sectors is 439 controlled solely by discharge. Both HY and SV lie almost completely on top of one another 440 and very slightly above the 1:1 line indicating that runoff was the primary factor controlling 441 export. MK also follows the 1:1 line closely though sits beneath it. Both AM and CHS 442 display concave curves, close to one another but below the 1:1 line.

443 5. <u>Discussion</u>

444 We carried out this study in three first order (MK, AM and CHS) and two second order (SV 445 and HY) streams covering a range of flow regimes. The most distinct flow regime was seen

in HY, characterised by the greatest base flow component ($Q_{90}:Q_{50} = 0.55$) and the smallest 446 Q_{10} in relation to median flow ($Q_{10}:Q_{50} = 1.76$). This muted hydrological response is common 447 in streams draining lake systems [e.g. Spence, 2006]. HY was also distinct in its DIC 448 449 speciation pattern with the lowest proportion of inorganic carbon in the form of free CO₂. 450 Both AM and CHS (UK peatland catchments) also had relatively high base flow components 451 but were much more responsive to precipitation events reflecting the high water holding capacity of peat and the dominance of quick runoff pathways during rainfall events. MK was 452 453 the only catchment to record zero flows (due to a highly seasonal precipitation regime), but 454 again was highly responsive with an important high flow runoff contribution. SV had low 455 base flow inputs and a Q₁₀:Q₅₀ ratio of only 3.72 (Table 3). Stream flashiness is influenced by 456 a number of catchment parameters including catchment size, slope, soil type but most notably 457 in the comparison between the flashier UK streams (AM and CHS) and SV, is the presence of 458 tree cover in the latter which affects both evapotranspiration and interception, slowing the 459 runoff response [e.g. Bosch and Hewlett, 1982].

Mean CO₂ concentrations ranged from 0.73 mg C L⁻¹ in HY to 3.05 mg C L⁻¹ in CHS (Table 460 2); flow-weighted means ranged from 0.91 mg C L^{-1} in HY to 1.61 mg C L^{-1} in CHS. The 461 462 only distinct grouping based on CO₂ concentrations was the two UK peatland sites, AM and CHS, where the highest CO₂ concentrations were measured. SV, which displayed the next 463 highest FWMC, comprised approximately 16% mire [Köhler et al., 2008]. This supports 464 465 work from Wallin et al. [2010] who found that peatland coverage was the most important predictor of aquatic CO₂ concentrations across 14 streams within the 67 km² Krycklan 466 467 catchment. Although the HY catchment also contained peatland areas it displayed the lowest FWMC (lower than the peat free MK catchment) possibly as a result of in-lake CO₂ 468 consumption, which has previously been reported by Kling et al. [2000]. This suggests that 469

470 the presence of an upstream lentic environment was more important in controlling aquatic 471 CO_2 concentrations than catchment characteristics at this site. However the rate at which the 472 lake CO_2 signal dissipates downstream, and therefore the strength of the signal at a particular 473 sampling point, is likely to change seasonally in response to catchment inputs, aquatic carbon 474 cycling and discharge levels. Clearly further work is required to generalise the influence of 475 lentic systems on downstream CO_2 concentration dynamics.

The greatest interquartile range, and therefore the 'flashiest' CO_2 response, was seen in CHS, followed by AM, MK, HY and SV, respectively (Table 2). Although not a statistically clear relationship, there appeared to be a link between hydrology and CO_2 variability, with CHS having the flashiest response for both. Furthermore, SV and HY, the two second order streams with the most muted response to precipitation events, also displayed the least variability in CO_2 concentration.

482 The CO₂ concentrations in HY, MK and SV all showed distinctive bimodal frequency 483 distributions (Figure 5) suggesting distinct CO₂ sources. The higher CO₂ frequency peak in HY, centred around 1.72 mg C L⁻¹, could be isolated almost exclusively to the period prior to 484 1 May 2010 suggesting a linkage to snow melt runoff. This may reflect either CO₂ built up 485 486 over the winter period under ice and snow or the direct input of snow melt-water which has 487 previously been shown to contain high concentrations of CO₂ [Dinsmore et al., 2011a]. Again 488 the higher CO₂ frequency peak in MK can be linked to a specific time period, in this case 489 high concentrations relate to a period of low flow during summer 2007 (Figures 2 and 3), 490 suggesting a significant CO₂-rich base flow component with a median CO₂ concentration of ~2.42 mg C L^{-1} . No clear time period can be linked to the high concentration frequency peak 491 492 in SV although there appears to be a dominance of event flow associated with the peak 493 (Figure 5). We suggest this represents a source area within the catchment that has greater

494 hydrological connectivity at periods of high flow. *Köhler et al.* [2008] describes a tributary 495 20 m upstream of the monitored SV site which became ephemeral during summer low flow 496 and had a median CO_2 concentration more than double that measured at the monitoring site 497 described in this study [*Wallin et al.*, 2010]. The high concentration CO_2 source (Figure 5) 498 may therefore be the forested catchment drained by this tributary. Both AM and CHS have 499 only one frequency peak despite the positively skewed distributions suggesting a more 490 homogenous contributing source area.

501 *5.1.Concentration-discharge relationships*

Although DOC concentrations display significant positive concentration-discharge relationships in many northern Hemisphere catchments associated with organo-mineral soils $[Hope \ et \ al., 1994]$; the relationship does not hold true for many peatland streams [e.g. *Clark et al.*, 2008]. In contrast, DIC tends to show negative relationships with discharge [*Hope et al.*, 1994] linked to changing groundwater (weathering) contributions. Here we found that CO₂ concentrations in three of the five catchments also exhibited a negative concentrationdischarge relationship.

509 The negative concentration-discharge relationships in MK, AM and CHS (Figure 6) all 510 suggest a dilution of aquatic CO₂ concentrations at high flow in accordance with previous 511 literature based on both CO₂ and DIC concentrations [Andrade et al., 2011; Billett et al., 512 2004; Dinsmore et al., 2010; Edwards, 1973; Edwards et al., 1984; Semiletov et al., 2011; 513 Wallin et al., 2010]. The strength of the relationship (as indicated by the ratio of FWMC to 514 unweighted mean concentration) appears to correlate negatively with mean stream water pH 515 (Table 1); although with only 3 catchments this relationship cannot be tested statistically. A 516 similar result was seen by Wallin et al. [2010] within the Krycklan catchment (Sweden) with 517 the strongest negative relationships observed in the streams with the lowest pH. Streamwater 518 CO₂ concentrations usually represent an integrated signal of multiple hydrological sources. 519 The streamwater concentration is dependent on both the CO₂ concentrations in the source 520 areas and, due to its influence on the carbonate equilibrium, the pH of the source water. 521 Previous studies at AM have shown a strong negative pH-discharge relationship [Dinsmore 522 and Billett, 2008], indicating a greater proportion of DIC is present as HCO₃⁻ during low flow. Despite this, the CO₂ concentration in the streamwater increases at low flow indicating 523 524 that the CO₂ content of the low flow water source is high enough to mask the influence of 525 speciation. No significant correlation was observed between CO₂ concentration and pH in the MK time series (based on a non-autocorrelated random subsample of 500 data points). 526

CO₂ concentrations at both AM and CHS were best explained using discharge only (i.e. no 527 temperature parameter), and reacted quickly to changes in discharge levels. In contrast, MK 528 529 was slower to respond to discharge changes with the best concentration-discharge 530 relationship achieved using a nine hour lag suggesting an initial input of high CO₂ 531 concentration water, prior to dilution by recent event water. The concentration model for MK 532 also included a positive term describing the average temperature over the preceding week. 533 This suggests a link to recent biological activity rather than an influence of temperature 534 dependent solubility, which would result in a negative relationship to instantaneous 535 temperature such as that seen in SV.

536 Distinct water sources can be either vertically distributed throughout the soil/bedrock profile 537 or represent varying tributary discharge contributions in 2^{nd} order streams such as SV. As 538 previously discussed, the hydrological contribution from the CO₂-rich tributary upstream of 539 the SV monitoring site is minor during summer low flows [*Köhler et al.*, 2008]. The greater 540 proportion of total runoff originating from the CO₂-rich tributary during high flow may 541 explain the positive concentration-discharge relationship (Figures 4 and 6). This highlights 542 the importance of varying specific discharges from upstream tributaries in understanding the 543 hydrochemistry of higher order streams. It also highlights the importance of understanding 544 water sources during site selection if a specific landscape interaction is being studied.

545 The weak discharge relationship in HY is most likely explained by increased water residence 546 time in the upstream lake system, which has previously been shown to obscure temporal 547 signals in outlet nutrient dynamics [e.g. Brown et al., 2008]. This causes a disconnect 548 between the outflow stream water concentration and the catchment flowpath dynamics, 549 muting the overall concentration response. Furthermore, the presence of photosynthesis 550 within the upstream lakes can decrease CO₂ concentrations [Kling et al., 2000] and cause 551 diurnal oscillations [Hari et al., 2008], which may be a more important source of CO₂ 552 variability than discharge responses in these systems. The temperature-discharge interaction model term complicates the interpretation further by suggesting that the co-occurrence of 553 554 high temperature and high discharge results in lower streamwater CO₂ concentrations. Clearly more parameters are needed to fully understand the CO₂ concentrations in the lake-555 556 stream continuum.

All catchments with the exception of CHS displayed significant hysteresis in concentration-557 discharge relationships with rising limb concentrations greater than falling limb 558 559 concentrations; this relationship holds true regardless of the sign of the concentrationdischarge relationship. The interaction between CO₂ and pH, and CO₂ loss through 560 turbulence-dependent evasion, makes the interpretation of CO₂ patterns complicated. 561 562 Furthermore Dinsmore and Billett [2008] showed that even within AM the hysteresis patterns across individual events were variable. Despite the aforementioned complexity, the presence 563 564 of a significant relationship averaged over the full measurement period suggests that flushing

and source depletion [*Johnson et al.*, 2007] were important controls on CO₂ dynamics in four
of our study catchments.

567 5.2. 'Event' responses

568 When specific CO₂ responses to individual events were analysed within each catchment the 569 only clear relationship that emerged was a correlation between discharge peak height and 570 magnitude of CO₂ response. There was significant variability in CO₂ response among events 571 which could not be explained with the available data. The classification of an event in this 572 study was based on parameters that could be applied equally across all catchments. In some 573 circumstances a single event under our classification actually contained a double hydrograph 574 peak (particularly in MK, AM and CHS where annual precipitation was high). This may have 575 led to a break in the linkage between hydrology and CO₂ response and explain the lack of any 576 clear correlations between event parameters. We used 'time since last event' as a surrogate measure of antecedent conditions but found no correlation with CO₂ response. It is likely that 577 578 a more precise measure of antecedent precipitation or soil moisture parameters may show a 579 better relationship with CO₂ response. Despite the lack of clear relationships between events 580 within a single catchment, when average event responses were considered across catchments, 581 significant correlations to hydrological parameters were evident. The relative magnitude of 582 the main CO₂ response and the concentration-discharge response lag were greatest in 583 catchments with the shortest overall event duration, i.e. catchments with a flashier hydrology 584 also showed the flashiest CO₂ responses.

The lag between hydrological response and the response in CO_2 concentrations varied greatly between individual events. In all catchments both positive and negative lags were seen indicating a peak CO_2 response on both rising and falling hydrograph limbs. Between 588 catchments however a strong relationship existed between the response lag and both the 589 overall event duration and particularly the rising limb duration. In AM and CHS where quick discharge responses (i.e. short rising limbs) were common, lowest CO₂ concentration tended 590 591 to occur before peak discharge. The quick runoff response indicated an initial dominance of 592 surface or near-surface flow pathways. Due to the low catchment residence time of this event 593 water, interaction with soil CO₂ stores is likely to be limited and result in a dilute source to 594 the stream. As the event water begins to infiltrate, the dominance moves towards a slightly 595 deeper through-flow component. This slower moving water has a greater opportunity to 596 incorporate soil-derived CO₂, transporting it to the stream channel and raising stream 597 concentrations. The timing of the switch between surface runoff pathways and deeper 598 through-flow of infiltrated water will be catchment specific and control the concentration-599 discharge response lag.

600 MK had a much longer rising limb duration suggesting a slower runoff response; this was 601 also indicated by the 9-hour lag in the model discharge parameter (Table 5). The soil type, 602 forest cover and catchment shape (long and narrow with the stream channel only in the lower half of the catchment [Trubilowicz et al., 2009]) are all characteristics that are likely to lead 603 604 to greater rates of event water infiltration. Previous studies have shown that infiltrating event water can cause the displacement of CO2-rich pre-event water [Carey and Quinton, 2004; 605 Inamdar et al., 2004; Johnson et al., 2007]. In this scenario, the first water to reach the 606 607 stream channel would be soil water displaced by piston flow which may explain the presence 608 of a CO_2 peak prior to the dilution response. Once this soil water had been flushed out, the 609 infiltrated event water would contain lower CO₂ concentrations and result in the observed 610 dilution pattern. We would therefore expect to see a correlation between the

611 presence/magnitude of the pre-dilution peak and antecedent soil moisture conditions; data612 was not available to test this hypothesis.

613 Some of the events within AM displayed secondary CO₂ response peaks occurring at the base 614 of the dilution trough (Figure 7). The AM response was previously explained by *Dinsmore* 615 and Billett [2008] as an indication of variable contributing source areas and linkage to deeper 616 peat at the catchment perimeter. A similar effect may have been occurring in SV which also 617 contains an area of mire, likely to be a high CO₂ source, located ~1.1 km upstream from the 618 main stream sampling point. CO_2 is also lost along the stream length through vertical evasion, 619 with an estimated 'half life' of 5.5 hrs in a headwater stream [*Öquist et al.*, 2009; *Wallin et* 620 al., 2011]. Therefore the influence of upstream catchment areas and the likelihood of such a 621 secondary peak arising is largely dependent on water residence time.

622 5.3.Contribution of high flow to total lateral CO₂ export

623 Due to CO₂ source heterogeneity within catchment soil profiles, and the changing dominance 624 of runoff flow-pathways across the hydrograph range, the relative importance of high versus low flow to total lateral CO₂ export varied between catchments. As current climate models 625 626 predict not only future changes in total precipitation but changes in precipitation patterns i.e. 627 a move towards fewer rainfall events of greater intensities and magnitudes across northern 628 regions [Pachauri and Reisinger, 2007], the need to understand the impact of changing flow 629 regimes is increasing. Here we show that the proportion of flow with the greatest influence on 630 total lateral export was the top 30% in MK, AM and CHS. These three catchments displayed 631 negative concentration-discharge relationships but had the highest Q₁₀:Q₅₀ hydrological 632 ratios. At these 3 sites an increase in precipitation extremes is likely to lead to a greater lateral 633 export of aquatic CO_2 (within the limits of source CO_2 availability) than would be expected from a simple increase in mean annual precipitation alone. As CO_2 in headwater streams is primarily allochthonous in origin, lateral transport can be considered as a surrogate for the transport of CO_2 from the terrestrial to the aquatic system. Hence an increase in precipitation extremes is likely to lead to a greater loss of terrestrial CO_2 to the aquatic system than an increase in mean annual precipitation alone. The relative contribution of the different flow sectors in SV was evenly distributed (Figure 8a), whereas in HY it was clearly the low flow period that was most important for lateral CO_2 export (70% equalled or exceeded).

641 If we only considered concentration-discharge relationships we would expect high flow to be 642 proportionally more important where a positive concentration-discharge relationship existed. 643 Figure 8b illustrates that because of the concentration-discharge relationship, lateral CO_2 export across all flow sectors in MK, AM and CHS was less than would have been expected 644 645 due to increased runoff alone, the opposite was true in SV and HY. However, we find that hydrograph characteristics (and the associated catchment characteristics that define the 646 647 hydrograph) appear to be more important than the concentration-discharge relationship in 648 defining the specific flow sector contribution to total lateral export. Although it is difficult to 649 draw numeric conclusions that can be usefully upscaled from only 5 catchments, we have 650 identified trends that could be explored empirically in other systems and potentially modelled. This could lead to a much better understanding of the influence of precipitation 651 652 patterns on lateral CO₂ export, and more importantly, losses of CO₂ from the soil to the 653 stream system where vertical evasion is the predominant flux pathway.

Current methodological limitations prevent the measurement of gas transfer coefficients at a temporal resolution suitable to carry out a similar flow sector analysis on CO_2 losses through vertical evasion. As many previous studies have shown that the vertical evasion flux is often greater than lateral CO_2 losses [e.g. *Dinsmore et al.*, 2010; *Wallin et al.*, 2013], increasing the resolution of gas transfer coefficient measurements should be a future research priority andwould enhance flux estimates particularly at high flow extremes.

660 *5.4.Conclusions*

The highest CO₂ concentrations were measured at the two UK peatland dominated sites, AM 661 and CHS, with the next highest FWMC at SV which contained ~16% mire. This supports 662 previous work which concluded that peatland coverage was the most important predictor of 663 664 aquatic CO₂ concentrations [Wallin et al., 2010, Aitkenhead et al., 1999]. Distinct bimodal frequency distributions in CO₂ concentration were observed in HY, MK and SV. These 665 666 suggest distinct CO_2 sources associated with (1) the snow melt period, (2) well defined parts of the soil profile such as deep horizons which produce a stronger CO₂ signal at low flow, 667 and (3) spatially separated sub-catchments with variable tributary discharge contributions. 668

669 Previous studies of aquatic C dynamics have shown significant positive DOC concentration-670 discharge relationships in organo-mineral soils and negative DIC concentration-discharge 671 relationships associated with changing groundwater (weathering) contributions [e.g. Hope et al., 1994]. Here we found inconsistent CO₂ concentration-discharge relationships across sites. 672 673 Negative relationships (Hypothesis 1) were seen in MK, AM and CHS suggesting dilution of 674 aquatic CO₂ at high flow. In contrast, SV displayed a positive concentration-discharge 675 relationship. No clear relationship was seen in HY which was located within a lake system 676 and where diurnal oscillations appeared to dominate CO₂ variability. The strength of the 677 concentration-discharge relationship appeared to correlate negatively to stream water pH in 678 AM, CHS and MK, however SV and HY illustrate that a more complex range of parameters 679 is required to accurately predict the form of the concentration-discharge relationship. Since 680 the range of processes that effect aquatic CO_2 in streams is clearly large, the ability to

measure concentrations *in-situ* and at high-frequency is greatly improving our understanding
 of its source, transport and delivery to the stream system.

683 CO₂ variability was strongly linked to hydrological variability with the 'flashiest' response in both CO₂ concentration and the hydrograph occurring in CHS, and the least 'flashy' 684 685 responses in CO₂ occurring in SV and HY, which also displayed the most muted stream flow 686 response to precipitation events. Correlations between hydrological and CO₂ responses in 687 both response magnitude and lag were identified. Since concentration-discharge response 688 lags were shortest in catchments with quick run-off responses, we suggest that the timing of 689 the switch between surface runoff pathways (where present) and deeper through-flow of 690 infiltrated water contributes to the concentration-discharge response lag.

691 We show that the proportion of flow with the greatest influence on total lateral CO₂ export 692 was the top 30% in MK, AM and CHS, indicating that an increase in event flow as a result of 693 an increase in precipitation 'extremes' will result in greater transport of terrestrial CO_2 to 694 surface waters than would occur as a result of a simple increase in mean annual precipitation. 695 The disparity in lateral export response between increasing mean precipitation and increasing 696 extremes has important implications for carbon flux predictions based on future climate 697 scenarios. The increase in lateral CO₂ export was linked to event flow runoff proportions rather than concentration-discharge relationships. It may therefore be possible in the future to 698 699 use hydrographs to predict the relative importance of precipitation 'extremes' to CO₂ export 700 from individual catchments.

701 Acknowledgements

702 The Canadian National Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) provided 703 funding for the Canadian portion of the study. The UK portion of the study was funded by the 704 UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) through an algorithm PhD studentship grant and grant NE/E003168/1. Financial support for the Swedish portion of this study was 705 provided by the Swedish Research Council through a grant to K. B. (2005-4157) and as part 706 707 of the Krycklan Catchment Study (KCS) which is funded by the Swedish Research Council, Formas (ForWater), Future Forest, SKB, and the Kempe foundation. The Finnish part of the 708 709 study was funded by the Academy of Finland, projects TRANSCARBO (1116347), 710 FASTCARBON (130984) and the Centre of Excellence programme (project 1118615).

- 711 Aitkenhead, J. A., D. Hope, and M. F. Billett (1999), The relationship between dissolved
- 712 organic carbon in stream water and soil organic carbon pools at different spatial scales,
- 713 *Hydrological Processes*, *13*(8), 1289-1302.
- Andrade, T. M. B., P. B. Camargo, D. M. L. Silva, M. C. Piccolo, S. A. Vieira, L. F. Alves,
- 715 C. A. Joly, and L. A. Martinelli (2011), Dynamics of dissolved forms of carbon and inorganic
- nitrogen in small watersheds of the coastal atlantic forest in Southeast Brazil, *Water Air and*
- 717 Soil Pollution, 214(1-4), 393-408, doi:10.1007/s11270-010-0431-z.
- 718 Aufdenkampe, A. K., E. Mayorga, P. A. Raymond, J. M. Melack, S. C. Doney, S. R. Alin, R.
- E. Aalto, and K. Yoo (2011), Riverine coupling of biogeochemical cycles between land,
- oceans, and atmosphere, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 9(1), 53-60,
- 721 doi:10.1890/100014.
- Baldocchi, D., et al. (2001), FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial
- variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities, *Bulletin*
- 724 *of the American Meteorological Society*, 82(11), 2415-2434, doi:10.1175/1520-
- 725 0477(2001)082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2.
- 726 Billett, M. F., M. H. Garnett, and F. Harvey (2007), UK peatland streams release old carbon
- dioxide to the atmosphere and young dissolved organic carbon to rivers, *Geophysical*
- 728 Research Letters, 34, L23401, doi:10.1029/2007GL031797
- Billett, M. F., and T. R. Moore (2008), Supersaturation and evasion of CO_2 and CH_4 in reference materia at Mar Plane model and C_{200} is the last of P and CH₄ in 22 2014 2054
- surface waters at Mer Bleue peatland, Canada, *Hydrological Processes*, 22, 2044-2054,
 doi:10.1002/hyp.6805.
- 732 Billett, M. F., S. M. Palmer, D. Hope, C. Deacon, R. Storeton-West, K. J. Hargreaves, C.
- Flechard, and D. Fowler (2004), Linking land-atmosphere-stream carbon fluxes in a lowland
- peatland system, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18, GB1024, doi:10.1029/2003GB002058.
- 735
- Billett MF, Harvey FH. Measurements of CO₂ and CH₄ evasion from UK peatland headwater
 streams. *Biogeochemistry*, doi:10.1007/s10533-012-9798-9. Published online 05 October 2012.
- Bishop, K. H., H. Grip, and A. Oneill (1990), The origins of acid runoff in a hillslope during
 storm events, *Journal of Hydrology*, *116*(1-4), 35-61, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(90)90114-d.
- Bosch, J. M., and J. D. Hewlett (1982), A review of catchment experiments to determine the
 effect of vegetation changes on water yield and evapo-transpiration, *Journal of Hydrology*,
 55(1-4), 3-23, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(82)90117-2.
- 743 Brown, P. D., W. A. Wurtsbaugh, and K. R. Nydick (2008), Lakes and forests as
- determinants of downstream nutrient concentrations in small mountain watersheds, Arctic
 Antarctic and Alpine Research, 40(3), 462-469.
- 746 Bubier, J., P. Crill, and A. Mosedale (2002), Net ecosystem CO₂ exchange measured by
- autochambers during the snow-covered season at a temperate peatland, *Hydrological*
- 748 Processes, 16, 3667-3682, doi:10.1002/hyp.1233.

- 749 Butman, D., and P. A. Raymond (2011), Significant efflux of carbon dioxide from streams and rivers in the United States, Nature Geoscience, 4(12), 839-842, doi:10.1038/ngeo1294. 750
- 751 Carey, S. K., and W. L. Quinton (2004), Evaluating snowmelt runoff generation in a 752 discontinuous permafrost catchment using stable isotope, hydrochemical and hydrometric
- 753 data, Nordic Hydrology, 35(4), 309-324.
- 754 Chapman, P. J., B. Reynolds, and H. S. Wheater (1999), Sources and controls of calcium and
- 755 magnesium in storm runoff: the role of groundwater and ion exchange reactions along water
- 756 flowpaths, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 1(3), 671-685, doi:10.5194/hess-1-671-757 1997.
- 758 Clark, J. M., S. N. Lane, P. J. Chapman, and J. K. Adamson (2007), Export of dissolved 759 organic carbon from an upland peatland during storm events: Implications for flux estimates, Journal of Hydrology, 347(3-4), 438-447, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.09.030. 760
- 761 Clark, J. M., S. N. Lane, P. J. Chapman, and J. K. Adamson (2008), Link between DOC in
- 762 near surface peat and stream water in an upland catchment, Science of The Total
- Environment, 404(2-3), 308-315, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.11.002. 763
- 764 Cole, J. J., N. F. Caraco, G. W. Kling, and T. K. Kratz (1994), Carbon-dioxide
- 765 supersaturation in the surface waters of lakes, Science, 265(5178), 1568-1570, 766 doi:10.1126/science.265.5178.1568.
- 767 Cole, J. J., et al. (2007), Plumbing the global carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the 768 terrestrial carbon budget, *Ecosystems*, 10(1), 171-184, doi:10.1007/s10021-006-9013-8.
- Dinsmore, K. J., and M. F. Billett (2008), Continuous measurement and modelling of CO₂ 769
- 770 losses from a peatland stream during stormflow events, Water Resources Research, 44, 771 W12417, doi:DOI:10.1029/2007WR007284.
- 772 Dinsmore, K. J., M. F. Billett, K. E. Dyson, F. Harvey, A. M. Thomson, S. Piirainen, and P.
- 773 Kortelainen (2011a), Stream water hydrochemistry as an indicator of carbon flow paths in
- 774 Finnish peatland catchments during a spring snowmelt event, Science of The Total
- 775 Environment, 409(22), 4858-4867, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.063.
- Dinsmore, K. J., M. F. Billett, U. Skiba, R. M. Rees, J. Drewer, and C. Helfter (2010), Role 776 777 of the aquatic pathway in the carbon and greenhouse gas budgets of a peatland catchment, 778
- Global Change Biology, 16(10), 2750-2762, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02119.x.
- 779 Dinsmore, K. J., R. P. Smart, M. F. Billett, J. Holden, A. J. Baird, and P. J. Chapman
- 780 (2011b), Greenhouse gas losses from peatland pipes: A major pathway for loss to the
- atmosphere?, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 116(12), G03041, 781
- 782 doi:10.1029/2011jg001646.
- Dubois, K. D., D. Lee, and J. Veizer (2010), Isotopic constraints on alkalinity, dissolved 783
- 784 organic carbon, and atmospheric carbon dioxide fluxes in the Mississippi River, J. Geophys. 785 Res., 115(G2), G02018, doi:10.1029/2009jg001102.

- 786 Dyson, K. E., M. F. Billett, F. Harvey, K. J. Dinsmore, A. M. Thomson, S. Piirainen, and P.
- Kortelainen (2010), Release of carbon and GHGs from peatland catchments in E Finland
 during the spring snow melt event, *Biogeochemistry*, doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9452-3.
- Edwards, A. C., J. Creasey, and M. S. Cresser (1984), The conditions and frequency of
 sampling for elucidation of transport mechanisms and element budgets in upland drainage
- basins, paper presented at Proceedings of the International Symposium on Hydrochemical
- Balances of Freshwater Systems, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Edwards, A. M. C. (1973), The variation of dissolved constituents with discharge in some
 norfolk rivers, *Journal of Hydrology (Amsterdam)*, *18*(3-4), 219-242, doi:10.1016/00221694(73)90049-8.
- Haei, M., M. G. Oquist, I. Buffam, A. Agren, P. Blomkvist, K. Bishop, M. O. Lofvenius, and
 H. Laudon (2010), Cold winter soils enhance dissolved organic carbon concentrations in soil
 and stream water, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*(5), L08501, doi:10.1029/2010gl042821.
- Hari, P., J. Pumpanen, J. Huotari, P. Kolari, J. Grace, T. Vesala, and A. Ojala (2008), High-
- 800 frequency measurements of productivity of planktonic algae using rugged nondispersive
- 801 infrared carbon dioxide probes, *Limnology and Oceanography-Methods*, 6, 347-354.
- Harned, H. S., and R. Davis (1943), The ionization constant of carbonic acid in water and the
 solubility of carbon dioxide in water and aqueous salt solutions from 0° C to 50° C, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 65, 2030-2037.
- Harned, H. S., and S. R. Scholes (1941), The ionization constant of HCO₃⁻ from 0°C to 50° C *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *63*, 1706-1709.
- Holden, J., R. P. Smart, K. J. Dinsmore, A. J. Baird, M. F. Billett, and P. J. Chapman (2012),
 Natural pipes in blanket peatlands: major point sources for the release of carbon to the
 aquatic system, *Global Change Biology*, *18*(12), 3568-3580, doi:10.1111/gcb.12004.
- 810 Holden, J., and R. Rose (2011), Temperature and surface lapse rate change: A study of the
- 811 UK's longest upland instrumental record, International Journal of Climatology, 31, 907-919,
- 812 doi: 10.1002/joc.2136
- 813 Hope, D., M. F. Billett, and M. S. Cresser (1994), A review of the export of carbon in river
- 814 water: fluxes and processes, *Environmental Pollution*, 84, 301-324, doi:10.1016/0269815 7491(94)90142-2.
- Hope, D., S. M. Palmer, M. F. Billett, and J. J. C. Dawson (2001), Carbon dioxide and
- 817 methane evasion from a temperate peatland stream, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 46(4),
 818 847-857.
- 819 Huotari, J., A. Ojala, E. Peltomaa, A. Nordbo, S. Launiainen, J. Pumpanen, T. Rasilo, P. Hari,
- and T. Vesala (2011), Long-term direct CO_2 flux measurements over a boreal lake: Five years
- 621 of eddy covariance data, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(5), L18401,
- 822 doi:10.1029/2011gl048753.
- 823 Ilvesniemi, H., et al. (2010), Water balance of a boreal Scots pine forest, *Boreal Environment*824 *Research*, 15(4), 375-396.

- 825 Inamdar, S. P., S. F. Christopher, and M. J. Mitchell (2004), Export mechanisms for
- 826 dissolved organic carbon and nitrate during summer storm events in a glaciated forested
- 827 catchment in New York, USA, *Hydrological Processes*, 18(14), 2651-2661,
- 828 doi:10.1002/hyp.5572.
- Johnson, M. S., M. F. Billett, K. J. Dinsmore, M. Wallin, K. E. Dyson, and R. S. Jassal
- 830 (2010), Direct and continuous measurement of dissolved carbon dioxide in freshwater aquatic
- systems methods and applications, *Ecohydrology*, *3*, 68-78, doi:10.1002/eco.95.
- Johnson, M. S., M. Weiler, E. G. Couto, S. J. Riha, and J. Lehmann (2007), Storm pulses of
- 833 dissolved CO₂ in a forested headwater Amazonian stream explored using hydrograph
- 834 separation, *Water Resources Research*, *43*(11), W11201, doi:10.1029/2007wr006359.
- Kling, G. W., G. W. Kipphut, and M. C. Miller (1991), Arctic lakes and streams and gas
 conduits to the atmosphere: implications for tundra carbon budgets, *Science*, *251*, 298-301,
 doi:10.1126/science.251.4991.298.
- Kling, G. W., G. W. Kipphut, and M. C. Miller (1992), The flux of CO_2 and CH_4 from lakes and rivers in arctic Alaska, *Hydrobiologia*, 240(1-3), 23-36, doi:10.1007/BF00013449
- 840 Kling, G. W., G. W. Kipphut, M. M. Miller, and W. J. O'Brien (2000), Integration of lakes
- and streams in a landscape perspective: the importance of material processing on spatial patterns and temporal coherence, *Freshwater Biology*, *43*(3), 477-497, doi:10.1046/j.1365-
- 843 2427.2000.00515.x.
- Köhler, S., I. Buffam, A. Jonsson, and K. Bishop (2002), Photochemical and microbial
- 846 northern Sweden, *Aquatic Sciences*, 64(3), 269-281, doi:10.1007/s00027-002-8071-z.
- 847 Köhler, S. J., I. Buffam, H. Laudon, and K. H. Bishop (2008), Climate's control of intra-
- annual and interannual variability of total organic carbon concentration and flux in two
 contrasting boreal landscape elements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113*(G3), G03012,
- 850 doi:10.1029/2007jg000629.
- 851 Lafleur, P. M., N. T. Roulet, J. L. Bubier, S. Frolking, and T. R. Moore (2003), Interannual
- variability in the peatland-atmosphere carbon dioxide exchange at an ombrotrophic bog, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *17*(2), 1036, doi:10.1029/2002GB001983
- Nyberg, L. (1995), Water flow path interactions with soil hydraulic properties in till soil at
- 657 Gårdsjön, Sweden, *Journal of Hydrology*, *170*(1–4), 255-275, doi:10.1016/0022-
- 856 1694(94)02667-Z.
- Maberly, S. C. (1996), Diel, episodic and seasonal changes in pH and concentrations of inorganic carbon in a productive lake, *Freshwater Biology*, *35*(3), 579-598.
- McDowell, W. H., and G. E. Likens (1988), Origin, composition and flux of dissolved organic carbon in the Hubbard Brook valley, *Ecological Monographs*, *58*, 177-195.
- 861 Neal, C., M. Harrow, and R. J. Williams (1998), Dissolved carbon dioxide and oxygen in the
- River Thames: Spring-summer 1997, *Science of The Total Environment*, 210-211, 205-217,
 doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00013-8.

- Nilsson, M., J. Sagerfors, I. Buffam, H. Laudon, T. Eriksson, A. Grelle, L. Klemedtsson, P.
- Weslien, and A. Lindroth (2008), Contemporary carbon accumulation in a boreal oligotrophic
 minerogenic mire a significant sink after accounting for all C-fluxes, *Global Change*
- 867 *Biology*, *14*(10), 2317-2332, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01654.x.
- 868 Ojala, A., J. López Bellido, T. Tulonen, P. Kankaala, and J. Huotari (2011), Carbon gas
- 869 fluxes from a brown-water and a clear-water lake in the boreal zone during a summer with
- 870 extreme rain events. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 56, 61-76,
- doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.1.0061.
- 872 Öquist, M. G., M. Wallin, J. Seibert, K. Bishop, and H. Laudon (2009), Dissolved Inorganic
- 873 Carbon Export Across the Soil/Stream Interface and Its Fate in a Boreal Headwater Stream,
- 874 Environmental Science & Technology, 43(19), 7364-7369, doi:10.1021/es900416h.
- Pachauri, R. K., and A. Reisinger (2007), Observed effects of climate change, in
- 876 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis report.,
- 877 edited, pp. 31-33, Geneva.
- 878 Rasilo. T., A. Ojala, J. Huotari, and J. Pumpanen (2012), Rain induced changes in carbon
- 879 dioxide concentrations in the soil-lake-brook continuum of a boreal forested catchment,
- 880 Vadose Zone Journal, doi:10.2136/vzj2011.0039
- Raymond, P. A., N. F. Caraco, and J. J. Cole (1997), Carbon dioxide concentration and
 atmospheric flux in the Hudson river, *Estuaries*, 20(2), 381-390.
- Richey, J. E., J. M. Melack, A. K. Aufdenkampe, V. M. Ballester, and L. L. Hess (2002),
- Outgassing from the Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO2, *Nature*, *416*, 617-620.
- Scordo, E. B., and R. D. Moore (2009), Transient storage processes in a steep headwater
 stream, *Hydrological Processes*, 23(18), 2671-2685, doi:10.1002/hyp.7345.
- 888 Semiletov, I. P., I. I. Pipko, N. E. Shakhova, O. V. Dudarev, S. P. Pugach, A. N. Charkin, C.
- P. McRoy, D. Kosmach, and O. Gustafsson (2011), Carbon transport by the Lena River from
- 890 its headwaters to the Arctic Ocean, with emphasis on fluvial input of terrestrial particulate
- 891 organic carbon vs. carbon transport by coastal erosion, *Biogeosciences*, 8(9), 2407-2426,
- 892 doi:10.5194/bg-8-2407-2011.
- Spence, C. (2006), Hydrological processes and streamflow in a lake dominated watercourse, *Hydrological Processes*, 20(17), 3665-3681, doi:10.1002/hyp.6381.
- 895 Trubilowicz, J., K. Cai, and M. Weiler (2009), Viability of motes for hydrological
- 896 measurement, *Water Resources Research*, *45*(6), W00d22, doi:10.1029/2008wr007046.
- 897 Waldron, S., E. M. Scott, and C. Soulsby (2007), Stable isotope analysis reveals lower-order
- river dissolved inorganic carbon pools are highly dynamic, *Environmental Science & Technology*, *41*(17), 6156-6162, doi:10.1021/es0706089.
- Wallin, M., I. Buffam, M. Oquist, H. Laudon, and K. Bishop (2010), Temporal and spatial
 variability of dissolved inorganic carbon in a boreal stream network: Concentrations and

downstream fluxes, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, *115*, G02014,
 doi:10.1029/2009jg001100.

Wallin, M. B., T. Grabs, I. Buffam, H. Laudon, A. Ågren, M. G. Öquist, and K. Bishop
(2013), Evasion of CO₂ from streams – The dominant component of the carbon export
through the aquatic conduit in a boreal landscape, *Global Change Biology*, *19*(3), 785-797,
doi:10.1111/gcb.12083.

- Wallin, M. B., M. G. Öquist, I. Buffam, M. F. Billett, J. Nisell, and K. H. Bishop (2011),
- 909 Spatiotemporal variability of the gas transfer coefficient $K(CO_2)$ in boreal streams:
- 910 Implications for large scale estimates of CO₂ evasion, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 25(14),
- 911 Gb3025, doi:10.1029/2010gb003975.
- 912 Wolock, D. M., G. M. Hornberger, and T. J. Musgrove (1990), Topographic effects on flow
- path and surface water chemistry of the Llyn Brianne catchments in Wales, *Journal of Hydrology*, *115*(1–4), 243-259, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(90)90207-E.
- 215 Zeng, F.-W., and C. A. Masiello (2010), Sources of CO₂ evasion from two subtropical rivers
- 916 in North America, *Biogeochemistry*, 100(1-3), 211-225, doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9417-6.

- 918 **Figure 1.** Location of study sites
- 919 Figure 2. Hydrographs for individual sites during CO_2 monitoring period. Dashed lines refer to upper and lower 920 storm classification thresholds. Numbers refer to individual storms.
- 921 Figure 3. CO_2 time series for individual sites. Dashed lines and numbers refer to storm peaks identified in Figure 2.
- Figure 4. Box plots showing range DIC speciation ranges across individual sites. The box represents medianand interquartile range; the whiskers represent range.
- 925 **Figure 5.** Frequency distributions of CO₂ concentrations identified as either storm (black bars) or non-storm (grey bars) data points.
- Figure 6. Box plots of concentrations split into discharge percentile classes with mean rising and falling limb
 concentrations shown to illustrate hysteresis. T and P-values represent statistical test for hysteresis.
- 929 Figure 7. Example of secondary CO₂ peaks from a) MK and b) AM
- Figure 8. Plots of percent of total export against a) percent of discharge displayed as exceedence probabilitiesand b) percent of total runoff.

Table 1. Site characteristics

	Vancouver Island (MK)	Auchencorth Moss (AM)	Cottage Hill Sike (CHS)	Svartberget (SV)	Hyytiälä (HY)
Location					
Country	Canada	UK	UK	Sweden	Finland
Lat/Long	49°26' N; 122°55'W	55°47'N; 3°14'W	54º41'N; 2º23'W	64º14'N, 19º46'E	61º50'N; 24º17'E
Catchment characteristics					
Cathment size (ha)	7	335	17	50	700-1000
Ecosystem type	Coastal Forest	Peatland	Peatland	Forest/Peat	Forest/Peat
Primary soil type	Humic Podzol	Histosol (85%)	Histosol	Podzol/Histosol	Haplic Podzol
Hydrology/Hydrochemistry					
Stream Order	1	1	1	2	2
Mean Annual Runoff Ratio	44%	77%	81%	50%	36-53%
Mean pH (range)	5.7 (5.4-6.0)	5.5 (4.3-7.3)	4.3 (3.8-7.2)	5.4 (4.2-6.0)	6.5 (6.0-6.7)
Mean Conductivity (uS cm ⁻¹)	22.2	87.8	41.1	28.8	31.6
Climate variables					
Climate zone	Coastal Western Hemlock	Sub-arctic oceanic	Sub-arctic oceanic	Boreal zone	Boreal zone
Mean Annual Temp. (°C)	9.6	8.1	5.3	1.7	2.9
Mean Annual Precip. (mm)	2200	1155	2012	612	692
Annual snow cover	NA*	NA	41 days yr ⁻¹	168 days yr ⁻¹	126

934 * Snow cover data not available for MK. Snowfall represents about 5% of annual precipitation at MK

Site	Mean Discharge	Mean Temp	Mean CO ₂	IQR	FWMC	Ratio
Site	(L s⁻¹)	(°C)	(mg C L ⁻¹)	(mg C L ⁻¹)	(mg C L ⁻¹)	Mean:FWMC
MK	0.71 (0 - 51.5)	8.17 ± 2.71	1.47 ± 0.19^{a}	0.65	1.06	0.72
AM	19.9 (14.5 - 632)	4.36 ± 2.75	2.13 ± 0.17^{b}	1.48	1.45	0.68
CHS	0.92 (0.67 - 371)	11.3 ± 2.26	3.05 ± 0.95 ^b	1.95	1.61	0.53
SV	1.45 (0.48 - 137)	5.89 ± 3.19	0.96 ± 0.94^{ac}	0.26	1.10	1.14
HY	97.9 (53.0 - 492)	13.2 ± 7.33	$0.73 \pm 0.54^{\circ}$	0.35	0.91	1.24

Table 2. Summary of monitored stream variables over measurement period. Discharge values represent median937and range, and both temperature and CO_2 are displayed as mean \pm stdev. Groupings a, b and c indicate groups938where CO_2 concentrations overlap ± 1 standard deviation

MK	AM	CHS	SV	ΗY			
0.73	36.0	2.49	2.79	159			
Low flow indices							
0.00	16.7	0.81	0.74	86.6			
0.00	15.3	0.79	0.66	79.4			
N/A	0.46	0.32	0.27	0.55			
High flow indices							
4.13	156	21.5	10.4	279			
6.24	203	45.4	17.4	380			
5.68	4.33	8.61	3.72	1.76			
	MK 0.73 <i>Low flor</i> 0.00 0.00 N/A <i>High flo</i> 4.13 6.24 5.68	MK AM 0.73 36.0 Low flow indice 0.00 16.7 0.00 15.3 N/A 0.46 High flow indice 4.13 156 6.24 203 5.68 4.33	MK AM CHS 0.73 36.0 2.49 Low flow indices 0.00 16.7 0.81 0.00 15.3 0.79 N/A 0.46 0.32 High flow indices 4.13 156 21.5 6.24 203 45.4 5.68 4.33 8.61	MK AM CHS SV 0.73 36.0 2.49 2.79 Low flow indices 0.00 16.7 0.81 0.74 0.00 15.3 0.79 0.66 N/A 0.46 0.32 0.27 High flow indices 4.13 156 21.5 10.4 6.24 203 45.4 17.4 5.68 4.33 8.61 3.72			

940 Table 3. Median discharge for all catchments alongside high and low flow hydrograph descriptors described in
 941 text

Table 4. Event characteristics. CO_2 response is defined as either the highest or lowest CO_2 concentration944depending on whether a peak or trough was identified. Relative CO_2 response is defined as the CO_2 response945divided by the mean CO_2 concentration over the full measurement period. The concentration-discharge response946lag is defined as the time between discharge peak and peak CO_2 response.

	МК	AM	CHS	SV	HY
Hydrological Characteristics					
Total Number Events	18	9	15	23	8
Events per Month	1.19	2.07	4.27	1.77	1.16
Event Duration (days)	10.7 ± 1.58	6.24 ± 1.21	3.10 ± 0.50	8.34 ± 4.56	12.8 ± 3.42
Rising Limb Duration (days)	2.71 ± 0.6	1.64 ± 0.54	0.84 ± 0.23	1.88 ± 1.48	4.01 ± 1.42
Falling Limb Duration (days)	7.96 ± 1.29	4.6 ± 1.35	2.26 ± 0.37	6.54 ± 4.42	8.81 ± 2.15
Peak Height (L s ⁻¹)	12.0 ± 3.48	6.76 ± 0.58	36.4 ± 9.15	10.9 ± 21.8	1.33 ± 0.06
CO ₂ Response Characteristics					
Number of responses identified	16	9	15	23	4
Mean Event CO_2 (mg L ⁻¹)	1.29 ± 0.15	2.04 ± 0.18	3.04 ± 0.03	0.94 ± 0.06	0.94 ± 0.08
1° CO ₂ peak/trough concentration (mg C L ⁻¹)	1.16 ± 0.13	1.07 ± 0.05	1.37 ± 0.11	1.24 ± 0.06	0.77 ± 0.07
2° CO ₂ peak/trough concentration (mg C L ⁻¹)	1.74 ± 0.226	1.16 ± 0.06			
Relative peak/trough height (mg C L ⁻¹)	0.31	1.06	1.68	0.28	0.04
Concentration-discharge response lag (hr)	17.9 ± 9.31	-0.33 ± 0.8	-0.33 ± 0.33	2.34 ± 7.02	47.8 ± 55.5

Table 5. Best fit model results for CO₂ concentration based on discharge and water temperature.

	Best fit model	Parameters/Equation	Coefficient
MK	Linear	Intercept	0.44
	(r = 0.86; p <0.01)	Ln(Discharge lag 9 hours)	-0.13
		Mean Weekly Temperature	0.12
AM	Power*	Ln(Discharge)	
	(r = 0.96; p <0.01)	α	37.6
		β	-2.32
CHS	Power*	(LnDischarge + 1)	
	(r = 0.71; p <0.01)	α	4
		β	-0.64
SV	Linear	Intercept	1.07
	(r = 0.67; p <0.01)	Ln(Discharge)	0.02
		Temperature	-0.03
		Interaction [Ln(Discharge) * Temperature]	0.01
HY	Linear	Intercept	0.58
	(r = 0.84; p <0.01)	Discharge	0.0035
		Interaction [Discharge * Temperature]	-0.00023

952 * Power function written in format $y = \alpha . x^{\beta}$ where α and β are model specific constants















