T030	095a 5	34
2 4	JUL 1998	
	STITUTE OF	

ECOLOGY MERLEWOOD





ITE has six Research Stations throughout Britain, which allows the efficient use of resources for regional studies and provides an understanding of local ecological and land use characteristics. The Institute's administrative headquarters is at Monks Wood.

This report is an official document prepared under contract between the customer and the Natural Environment Research Council. It should not be quoted without the permission of both the Institute of Terrestrial Ecology and the customer.

ITE sites

2

Monks Wood (Admin HQ) Abbots Ripton HUNTINGDON PE17 2LS Telephone 01487 773381-8 Fax 01487 773467 Email MONKSWOOD@ITE.AC.UK

Merlewood Research Station GRANGE-OVER-SANDS Cumbria LA11 6JU Telephone 015395 32264 Fax 015395 34705 Email MERLEWOOD@ITE.AC.UK

Edinburgh Research Station Bush Estate PENICUIK Midlothian EH26 0QB Telephone 0131 445 4343 Fax 0131 445 3943 Email BUSH@ITE.AC.UK Furzebrook Research Station WAREHAM Dorset BH20 5AS Telephone 01929 551518-9, 551491 Fax 01929 551087 Email FURZEBROOK@ITE.AC.UK

Banchory Research Station Hill of Brathens Glassel, BANCHORY Kincardineshire AB31 4BY Telephone 01330 823434 Fax 01330 823303 Email BANCHORY@ITE.AC.UK

Bangor Research Unit University of Wales, Bangor Deiniol Road BANGOR, Gwynedd LL57 2UP Telephone 01248 370045 Fax 01248 355365 Email BANGOR@ITE.AC.UK

Details about the Institute are available on the Internet via the World Wide Web (http://www.nmw.ac.uk/ite)

Institute of Terrestrial Ecology Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Natural Environment Research Council) Progress Report to Department of Environment, Transport and the Regions Contract Ref: EPB 1/8/16

Pilot study to link Environmental Change Network and Countryside Survey vegetation monitoring

Interim Report: June 1997

M D Morecroft T W Parr W A Scott

Environmental Change Network Institute of Terrestrial Ecology Merlewood Research Station Grange-over-Sands Cumbria LA11 6JU

October 1997

1. Introduction

This study was commissioned by the Department of the Environment to address the following aims:

- 1. Compare the botanical monitoring protocols used at ECN sites and in the Countryside Survey 1990 and to assess the representation of UK vegetation at ECN sites.
- 2. To develop and test an annual vegetation monitoring protocol compatible with Countryside Survey methods and representing the range of vegetation (including disturbed vegetation and linear features) at ECN sites during the summer of 1997.
- 3. To assess the significance of observer error in detecting annual variation in vegetation.
- 4. To demonstrate analytical procedures which may be used to compare data from ECN sites and Countryside Survey and assess the impact of weather on year to year variation in vegetation.
- 5. To make recommendations for modifications to vegetation monitoring procedures to be implemented in Countryside Survey 2000.

This interim report deals with Aim 1 and presents plans for the vegetation monitoring to be carried out in the Summer of 1997.

2. Comparison of botanical monitoring protocols in ECN and Countryside Survey 1990

Vegetation recording in both ECN and CS90 is based around the principal of recording plant species in quadrats which can be relocated, allowing change to be detected. Both also make provision for a quantitative assessment for each species, ECN by recording *frequency* within the quadrat and CS90 by estimating *cover* of a species. Under both schemes it is possible to recognise species which are increasing or decreasing across Britain and so to use annual monitoring at ECN sites to interpret the results of Countryside Surveys.

Table 1. Comparison of ECN and Countryside survey vegetation monitoring.

ECN		Co	untryside Survey 1990
	of sites through UK		unityside Survey 1990
 11 terr 6500 l differe 	restrial sites. Areas range from 190 ha to ha. Selected to allow coverage of int areas of the UK and to ensure stable gement and a history of research.	•	507 squares 1 km x 1 km (100 ha). A stratified random sample of Great Britain based on land classification.
Basel x 2m o for tre provid Classi	n mapping ine' vegetation survey using system of 2 quadrats (with additional 10×10 m plot e species centred on the 2×2) on a grid es spatial information on site vegetation. fied according to National Vegetation fication.	•	Land cover and major landscape features mapped within squares. Classified according to CS1990 field recording codes.
• 3 or 9	year intervals (+ one off baseline). Pilot	•	Undetermined.
report			1978, 1990 and 1998.
Scope of	-		
Bryop on roo level i	lar plant species rooted in quadrats, hytes and lichens, except those growing ks or trees. (identification to species n 'fine grain' and 'baseline' plots, but not e grain').	•	All vascular plants rooted in plots. (separation to species level is not required with specified difficult groups). Only specified common bryophytes and lichens are recorded. Recording of quadrats in arable areas
	areas excluded.		included, but limits of plot estimated and not marked out.
	nt marking		.
plots.	anent marking required at corners of	•	One permanent marker per plot if possible.
Standard			5
select baseli Occur sub-q freque Every • 'Fine (10 x 1 vegeta Occur sub-q Every	 y 9 years grain'. Subjectively placed quadrats of 0 m in each NVC type; at least 2 per ation type. rence of species in 10 randomly located uadrats recorded to give frequency. 3 years. 	•	5 square quadrats of $200m^2$ (14.14 x 14.14 m) per 1 km square, pre-positioned at random Species list and cover estimates made. 5 quadrats 4 m ² per 1 km square, subjectively placed in land cover types where there is not already a 200 m ² or 10 m ² quadrat. Where there are >5 unrepresented land cover types they are chosen randomly; where there are <5, the 5 are distributed according to area covered.
OPTIC A seri along bounc line ac crosse	r (linear) plots DNAL additional measurement only: es of 0.4×0.4 m quadrats are located a line running perpendicular to the lary. Number of quadrats and length of djusted to suit situation. Where the line es a hedge row, woody species are 5 m, either side of the line itoring	•	10 m x 1m plots along the edge of (i) boundaries closest to 200 m ² quadrats in enclosed land (ii) up to 2 hedgerows (iii) up to 5 streamsides (iv) up to 5 roadsides. Extra 10 x 1m quadrats are established adjacent, in parallel in water for the stream sides and on verges wider than 2m. Cover estimates made.
 When woodl 2m pl 2m of marke years Seedi 0.4 x the 10 Fores sites Pasture a 	e 'coarse grain' plots fall within and, a 10 x 10 m plot centred on the 2 x ot is established. Tree species in the 10 tre recorded and up to 10 trees are ed and diameter at breast height (every 3) and height (every 9 years) measured. ings are recorded in 10 sub-quadrats of 0.4 m randomly distributed throughout 0 x 10m. quadrat. st Health may be recorded on ECN using the UN-ECE method. nd cereal productivity monitoring.	•	No comparable measurement
	onal protocols are available and mented by small number of sites	•	No comparable measurement.

. -.

,

3. Coverage of vegetation types by ECN

A new classification of British vegetation, the Countryside Vegetation System (CVS), has recently been completed by the Land Use Section at ITE Merlewood using results from Countryside Surveys. There are 100 vegetation classes which can be aggregated into 8 main types. A preliminary analysis (Table 2) shows that a sample of ECN fine grain plots used for a Quality Assurance exercise in 1996 included a good representation of all of the CVS aggregate classes, except arable. This is to be expected as ECN monitoring excludes arable land from vegetation monitoring at present. Tall grasslands are also slightly under- represented, possibly because the ECN plots did not include boundary vegetation or set - aside, where such types may predominate. At the level of the individual vegetation classes the preliminary analysis showed that ECN QA plots cover 39 of the 100 classes.

 Table 2. Classification of ECN QA plots according to CVS aggregated vegetation classes (results of preliminary analysis).

Aggregate Vegetation Class	number of plots	number of sites
1. Crops/weeds	0	0
2. Tall grassland/herbs	5	4
3. Fertile Grassland	16	4
4. Infertile Grassland	18	7
5. Lowland wooded	30	6
6. Upland wooded	9	5
7. Moorland/grass mosaic	18	4
8. Heath/bog	11	4

4. Field Survey Programme

In our original proposal we suggested that a repeat of the 1996 QA exercise on a sub-set of ECN 'fine grain' plots (107 out of a possible 240) in the summer of 1997, would be the best basis for a prototype annual vegetation monitoring scheme, given the finances and time available. The analysis of the coverage of vegetation types confirms this. The advantages can be summarised as follows:

- 1. Results can be compared with Countryside Survey data, as detailed in section 2. above.
- 2. We already have comparable data for 1996 and at some sites earlier years also.
- 3. The quality control issues for this methodology e.g. observer bias have been well researched.
- 4. The coverage of British vegetation types is good.

All ECN terrestrial sites (except the most recent one to join - Snowdon) will be visited by contract surveyors during July and August, at times as close as possible to last years survey

(Table 3, Fig. 1). Quality Assurance will be by repeat recording of selected plots by another surveyor. The surveyors to be used are:

Lowland sites: Dr. P. Wilson & Ms. M. Reid, Wessex Environmental Associates. Upland sites: Mr. Gordon Common, Macaulay Land Use Research Institute.

Both contractors have carried out vegetation monitoring at ECN sites previously.

Table 3. ECN sites to be surveyed in 1997.	
--	--

.

.

Site	Target survey date (week beginning)	surveyors
Alice Holt	21 July	Wessex Env. Associates
Drayton	28 July	Wessex Env. Associates
Glensaugh	21 July	MLURI
Hillsborough	11 August	Wessex Env. Associates
Moorhouse / Upper Teesdale	28 July	MLURI
North Wyke	14 July	Wessex Env. Associates
Porton	7 July	Wessex Env. Associates
Rothamsted	4 August	Wessex Env. Associates
Sourhope	14 July	MLURI
Wytham	30 June	Wessex Env. Associates

[#LIBARC-417] Morecroft, M. D.; Parr, T. W.; Scott, W. A.. 1997 Pilot study to link ... Page 1 of 1

<< Back to previous view</p>

[LIBARC-417] <u>Morecroft, M. D.; Parr, T. W.; Scott, W. A. 1997 Pilot study to link</u> Environmental Change Network and Countryside Survey vegetation monitoring. Interim report: June 1997. NERC/Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, App. (ITE Project No: T0209525) constant and the second statements of t

4pp. (ITE Froject No. 103095a3) Created: 17/Jun/09 Updated: 20/Nov/09 Due: 17/Jun/09		
Status:	Open	
Project:	Library and Archive	
Component/s:	General	
Affects Version/s:	None	
Fix Version/s:	None	

Туре:	Task .	Priority:	Major	
Reporter:	<u>Celia Cook</u>	Assignee:	Celia Cook	di Gandinana, Gandinana, Ganggung
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0	
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified	der differendende der Gleichen vor in einen internet vorden differende internet mit ein endermeter von eine se		********
Time Spent:	Not Specified			**************************************
Original Estimate:	Not Specified	·		

Description

This item has been requested from NERC Open Research Archive by josem.munoz.ext@juntadeandalucia.es. Please can you respond.

Morecroft, M. D.; Parr, T. W.; Scott, W. A.. 1997 Pilot study to link

Environmental Change Network and Countryside Survey vegetation monitoring. Interim report: June 1997. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/cgi/users/home?screen=EPrint::View&eprintid=4421>

NERC/Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, 4pp. (ITE Project No:T03095a5) (Unpublished)

The following reason was given:

Hello: WeÅre working about the effects of global change on Vegetation in the Sierra NevadaÅs National Park. Spain. Thank you.

17/6/09 asked Sue Rennie if final or more comprehensive publication was produced. SR replied. Has forwarded to TWP and WAS, asking for advice, also for a copy of report.

Reply to SR

Thanks for your help. We should have a printed copy of the report in our Staff Pubs Archive at the SSU, but I have not had chance to go and check yet. I am away the rest of this week and next week on leave. So if someone has a digital copy (or an alternative) that could be sent directly to the requestor in the meantime that would be great. But if we don't have anything, I normally would reply saying that unfortunately, we are not able to make the report available at the moment.

Generated at Wed May 26 11:21:26 BST 2010 by Celia Cook using JIRA Enterprise Edition, Version: 3.13.5-#360.

Opy in archive ." 29/6/10 No further action taken

