

## Article (refereed)

---

Omondi, S.F.; Dangasuk, O.G.; **Odee, D.W.**; **Cavers, S.**; Khasa, D.P.. 2010 Cross-amplification and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers from *Acacia (Senegalia) mellifera* and *Acacia brevispica* to *Acacia senegal* (L.) Willd. *Silvae Genetica*, 59. 285-288

This version available <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/13298/>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the authors and/or other rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

**This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.**

<http://www.sauerlaender-verlag.com>

Contact CEH NORA team at  
[noraceh@ceh.ac.uk](mailto:noraceh@ceh.ac.uk)

**Cross-amplification and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers from *Acacia (Senegalia) mellifera* and *Acacia brevispica* to *Acacia senegal* (L.) Willd.**

STEPHEN F. OMONDI<sup>1, 3\*</sup>, OTTO G. DANGASUK<sup>2</sup>, ODEE W. DAVID<sup>3, 4</sup>, CAVERS STEPHEN<sup>4</sup> AND DAMASE P. KHASA<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forestry and Wood Science, Moi University, P.O Box 1125 Eldoret, Kenya

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biological sciences, Moi University, P.O Box 1125 Eldoret, Kenya

<sup>3</sup> Kenya Forestry Research Institute, P.O Box 20412-00200 Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>4</sup> Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK

<sup>5</sup> Centre for Forest Research and Canada Research Chair in Forest and Environmental Genomics, Université Laval, Sainte-Foy, Québec, Canada G1V 0A6

\* Author of correspondence ([stephenf.omondi@gmail.com](mailto:stephenf.omondi@gmail.com))

Keywords: *Acacia senegal*, cross-amplification, genetic diversity, microsatellite, Sub-Saharan Africa

***Abstract***

Seven polymorphic microsatellite markers isolated from *Acacia brevispica* and *Acacia mellifera* were successfully cross-amplified in *Acacia senegal*. The loci were surveyed for polymorphism using 30 samples. Allelic diversity ranged from 4 (*Ame02*, *Ab06* and *Ab18*) to 13 (*Ab26*) per locus. The expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) ranged from 0.543 (*Ame02*) to 0.868 (*Ab26*) while observed heterozygosity ( $H_O$ ) ranged from 0.516 (*Ame05*) to 0.800 (*Ame03*). Cross amplification of these loci represents a potential source of co-

dominant marker and will be useful in the study of genetic diversity, structure, gene flow and breeding systems of this important *Acacia* species.

## **1.0 Introduction**

*Acacia senegal* (L) Willd. is a multipurpose agro-forestry tree, native to the arid and semi-arid lands of Sub-Saharan Africa but found as far as the Indian sub-continent. It grows to a height of 5-12 m, with a trunk up to 30 cm in diameter (Fagg & Allison 2004) and is valued for gum arabic production, as well as secondary agricultural roles, such as restoring soil fertility and providing fuel and fodder (Ballal *et al.* 2005). It is also essential in sand dune fixation and is preferred in bush-fallow rotation and intercropping (Anderson & Weiping 1992). The species has four varieties: *senegal*, *kerensis*, *rostrata* and *leiorhachis* but their delimitation is still problematic (Fagg & Allison 2004). Intraspecific variation has been reported in morphological, molecular and biochemical characteristics (Chikamai & Odera 2002; Motlagh *et al.* 2006; Al-Assaf *et al.* 2007). Despite its ecological and economic importance, little progress has been made in developing sets of molecular markers for genetic studies.

Microsatellites are among the best classes of markers for studying genetic processes in natural populations because they are abundant, co-dominant, interspersed throughout the genome, possess high resolution power and polymorphism (Chase *et al.* 1996). Their development, however, requires a significant investment (Fernandez *et al.* 2000); therefore, when markers have been developed in taxonomically related species, it is worthwhile testing for cross-amplification. In this brief preliminary study thirty-four

microsatellite primers developed from *A. mellifera* and *A. brevispica* were tested for cross-amplification in *A. senegal*.

## **2.0 Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Microsatellite loci screening**

Genomic DNA was isolated from leaves following a modified CTAB procedure (Fernandez *et al.* 2000). Thirty four microsatellite loci were isolated from *Acacia mellifera* (Ruiz-Guajardo *et al.* 2007) and *Acacia brevispica* (Schnabel *et al.* 2005) were tested for amplification in 4 *A. senegal* DNA samples. PCR runs containing 20 ng of DNA, 1x PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl), 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 μM of each dNTP, 0.1 μM of each primer, and 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), were performed in a volume of 10 μl (Ruiz-Guajardo *et al.* 2007, Otero-Arnaiz *et al.* 2005). Reactions were carried out on an MJ Research thermal cycler following a touchdown protocol: 95°C for 3 minutes; 20 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, either 60 °C-50 °C, 58 °C-48 °C or 55 °C-45 °C (-0.5 °C) for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 30 seconds; 10 cycles of 95 °C for 30 seconds, 50 °C, 48 °C or 45 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 30 seconds; final extension of 72 °C for 10 minutes and hold at 4 °C. PCR products were electrophoresed alongside 100 bp ladder, stained in ethidium bromide and visualized under UV-light. Loci that produced single, distinct bands at the expected size were selected for further screening.

Forward primers of the selected loci were tagged with M<sub>13</sub> fluorescent tail and optimized using different annealing temperatures, PCR buffers, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, dNTPs, primer and *Taq*

DNA polymerase concentrations. The mix that produced sharpest and most distinct bands at the expected size was selected (20 ng of genomic DNA, 1 X PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 μM of each dNTP, 0.05 μM of each primer, and 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase). These loci were then tested for polymorphism and reproducibility.

## **2.2 Polymorphism testing**

The final set of loci was screened for polymorphism using a set of 30 DNA samples (3 samples per population from 10 populations). PCR was carried out as described above and products separated on 0.25 mm denaturing polyacrylamide gels containing 10% Ammonium Persulfate (APS), tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED)-Omnipur and 8 % acrylamide (Gene-PAGE plus)-Amresco and electrophoresed using 1 X TBE buffer on a Li-Cor IR<sup>2</sup> 4200 DNA sequencer. Band sizes were determined by referencing standard IRD800, 50-350 bp and 50-700 bp ladder (Khasa *et al.* 2005). Loci that were polymorphic and informative were then selected as a set of *A. senegal* markers for further studies.

## **3.0 Results**

Out of the 34 loci screened, 11 were selected for polymorphism screening with a larger number of DNA samples while others failed to amplify or showed poor amplification (Table S1). During the screening, 7 loci (Table 1) showed positive amplification and unambiguous patterns of polymorphic bands and were selected as *A. senegal* markers. The loci showed features desired for co-dominant molecular marker in the species. No

deviation from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium or linkage disequilibrium was detected between loci ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Raymond and Rousset 1995). The number of alleles and expected heterozygosity were also estimated for each locus. The total number of alleles was highly variable across loci, ranging from 4 (*Ame02*, *Ab06* and *Ab18*) to 13 (*Ab26*) (Table 1). Expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.543 (*Ame02*) to 0.868 (*Ab26*) (Table 1). Overall, the loci proved to be informative, at levels comparable to the source species and microsatellite loci of other tropical tree species.

#### **4.0 Conclusion**

This study unveiled evidence that cross-transferability of developed microsatellite loci can increase the availability of markers to address both ecological and evolutionary questions in *A. senegal*. These loci will form a useful marker set for population genetic studies of the species. These will include detecting and understanding available genetic diversity within the species range for maximum utilization and conservation purposes.

#### **References**

Al-Assaf, S., G. O. Phillips, H. Aoki and Y. Sasaki (2007): Characterization and properties of *Acacia senegal* (L.) Willd. var. *senegal* with enhanced properties (*Acacia* (sen) SUPER GUM™): Part 1. Controlled maturation of *Acacia senegal* var. *senegal* to increase viscoelasticity, produce a hydrogel form and convert a poor into a good emulsifier. *Food Hydrocolloids* **21**: 319-328.

- Anderson, D.M.W. and P.W. Weiping (1992): Gum arabic (*Acacia senegal*) from Uganda: characteristics N.M.R. spectra, amino compositions and gum/soil cationic relationships. *International tree crops Journal* **7**: (3), 167-179.
- Ballal, M.E., E.A. El-Siddig, M.A. Elfadl and O. Luukkanen (2005): Relationship between environmental factors, tapping dates, tapping intensity and gum arabic yield of an *Acacia senegal* plantation in western Sudan. *Journal of Arid Environments* **63**:379–389.
- Chase, M., R. Kesseli and K. Bawa (1996): Microsatellite markers for population and conservation genetics of tropical trees. *American Journal of Botany* **83**: (1), 51-57.
- Chikamai, B.N. and J.A. Odero (2002): Commercial plant gums and resins in Kenya. Nairobi, Kenya.
- Fagg, C.W. and G.E. Allison (2004): *Acacia senegal* and Gum Arabic Trade. Oxford Forestry Institute, Tropical forestry papers. No. 42.
- Fernández, J.F., V.L. Sork, G. Gallego, J. López, A. Bohorques, and J. Tohme (2000): Cross-Amplification of Microsatellite Loci in a Neotropical *Quercus* Species and Standardization of DNA Extraction from Mature Leaves Dried in Silica Gel. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* **18**: 397–397
- Khasa, P.D., P. Pollefeys, A. Navarro-Quezada, P. Perinet and J. Bousquet (2005): Species-specific Microsatellite markers to monitor gene flow between exotic poplars and their natural relatives in eastern North America. *Molecular Ecology notes* **5**: 920-923.

- Motlagh, S., P. Ravines, K.A. Karamallah and M. Qifeng (2006): The analysis of Acacia gums using electrophoresis. *Food hydrocolloids* **20**: (6), 848-854.
- Raymond, M. and F. Rousset (1995): GENEPOP Version 1.2: population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* **86**: 248-249.
- Ruiz-Guajardo, J.C., A. Otero-Arnaiz, T. Taylor, G. Stone, C.T. Glenn, N.A. Schnabel, J.T. Miller, S. Preuss and A. Schnabel (2007): Isolation of polymorphic microsatellite markers in the sub-Saharan tree, *Acacia (senegalia) mellifera* (Fabaceae: Mimosoideae). *Molecular ecology notes* **7**: 1138-1140.
- Schnabel, A., A. Otero-Arnaiz, T.C. Glenn, N.A. Schnabel, C. Hagent and L. Ndong (2005): Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in the East African tree, *Acacia brevispica* (Fabaceae: Mimosoideae). *Molecular ecology notes* **5**: 366-368.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada (DFAIT) through Canadian Bureau for International Education (CBIE) in the framework of Graduate Student Exchange program (GSEP) grant for 2007 and Kenya Forestry Research Institute (KEFRI), through ACACIAGUM project. We thank Mr. John Gicheru of KERFI and André Gagné of Center for Forest Research, Université Laval for coordinating the acquisition of research materials and all colleagues at the bioinformatics Centre for their advice and support.



Table S1: Microsatellite loci isolated for *A. brevispica* (Schnabel *et al.* 2005) and *A. mellifera* (Ruiz-Guajardo *et al.* 2007) used in cross-amplification study in *A. senegal*.

| <b>Locus Accession no.</b> | <b>Microsatellite repeat</b>            | <b>Loci amplification</b> |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| <i>Ab01</i>                | (AAG) <sub>15</sub>                     | Irregular amplification   |
| <i>Ab02</i>                | (AC) <sub>11</sub>                      | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ab03</i>                | (AC) <sub>17</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab04</i>                | (AC) <sub>7</sub>                       | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab05</i>                | (AG) <sub>8</sub> ...                   | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab06</i>                | (AC) <sub>9</sub> (AG) <sub>10</sub>    | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ab07</i>                | (ATC) <sub>7</sub>                      | Irregular amplification   |
| <i>Ab09</i>                | (AAAC) <sub>6</sub>                     | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab10</i>                | (AG) <sub>13</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab12</i>                | (AG) <sub>11</sub>                      | Irregular amplification   |
| <i>Ab13</i>                | (AG) <sub>19</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab14</i>                | (AG) <sub>10</sub>                      | Irregular amplification   |
| <i>Ab15</i>                | (AC) <sub>10</sub>                      | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ab16</i>                | (AT) <sub>8</sub> (AG) <sub>11</sub>    | Irregular amplification   |
| <i>Ab17</i>                | (AAG) <sub>14</sub> (ATC) <sub>11</sub> | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ab18</i>                | (AAG) <sub>15</sub>                     | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ab19</i>                | (AC) <sub>16</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab20</i>                | (AG) <sub>24</sub> (AC) <sub>16</sub>   | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab21</i>                | (AGAT) <sub>23</sub>                    | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab22</i>                | (AG) <sub>11</sub>                      | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ab23</i>                | (AC) <sub>9</sub> (AC) <sub>7</sub>     | No amplification          |
| <i>Ab25</i>                | (AC) <sub>7</sub>                       | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ab26</i>                | (AG) <sub>8</sub> (AG) <sub>9</sub>     | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ab27</i>                | (AC) <sub>7</sub>                       | No amplification          |
| <i>Ame01</i>               | (AAG) <sub>7</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ame02</i>               | (AC) <sub>7</sub> (AG) <sub>11</sub>    | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ame03</i>               | (AG) <sub>9</sub>                       | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ame04</i>               | (AG) <sub>10</sub>                      | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ame05</i>               | (ATC) <sub>7</sub>                      | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ame06</i>               | (GT) <sub>10</sub>                      | Poor amplification        |
| <i>Ame07</i>               | (GT) <sub>20</sub>                      | Polymorphic amplification |
| <i>Ame08</i>               | (GTT) <sub>9</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ame09</i>               | (GA) <sub>17</sub>                      | No amplification          |
| <i>Ame10</i>               | (CA) <sub>9</sub>                       | No amplification          |
| <i>Ame12</i>               | (CT) <sub>12</sub> (AC) <sub>6</sub>    | No amplification          |

S1- Supplementary material (Table 1)



Table 1: Microsatellite loci in *A. senegal* obtained from cross amplification from *A. mellifera* and *A. brevispica* including GenBank accession number; Ta - annealing temperature (touchdown range); bp - expected allelic size in base pairs; A - number of alleles observed per locus; n - number of individuals used; x, number of alleles recorded for the original species; H<sub>O</sub> - observed heterozygosity; y, H<sub>O</sub> recorded for the original species; H<sub>E</sub> - expected heterozygosity; z, H<sub>E</sub> recorded for the original species

| Accession no.                   | Primer sequence                                     | Repeat motif                         | Ta (°C) | Size (bp) | A (n) {x}    | H <sub>O</sub> {y} | H <sub>E</sub> {z} |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Ame02</i><br><i>DQ467674</i> | F-GAACCATCAGCGTAATAA<br>R-GGTTTAGCAACATACTATCTC     | (AC) <sub>7</sub> (AG) <sub>11</sub> | 55-45   | 117       | 4 (30) {7}   | 0.670 {0.792}      | 0.543 {0.802}      |
| <i>Ame03</i><br><i>DQ467673</i> | F-GAACAATATCAGCAATCACT<br>R-CCTCATGCACACACAAGAT     | (AG) <sub>9</sub>                    | 55-45   | 139       | 8 (30) {4}   | 0.800 {0.271}      | 0.810 {0.339}      |
| <i>Ame05</i><br><i>DQ467656</i> | F-CCCAACAAAGATCATCAT<br>R-ATGGTTCAGTTTCTTTATTCT     | (ATC) <sub>7</sub>                   | 58-48   | 203       | 5 (30) {13}  | 0.516 {0.532}      | 0.530 {0.580}      |
| <i>Ame07</i><br><i>DQ467658</i> | F-ATAAAAACAAAACCCAATAATG<br>R-GTCCAAAACCTTCAATGTCAA | (GT) <sub>20</sub>                   | 55-45   | 353       | 10 (30) {19} | 0.695 {0.958}      | 0.802 {0.911}      |
| <i>Ab06</i><br><i>AY843537</i>  | F-CCTTCTTTGACGGTATTC<br>R-TCATCTCTCTTCTCCATT        | (AC) <sub>9</sub> (AG) <sub>10</sub> | 58-48   | 147       | 4 (30) {7}   | 0.785 {1.00}       | 0.745 {0.911}      |
| <i>Ab18</i><br><i>AY843549</i>  | F-GAAGGGTCTGGCATTAC<br>R-CGACGACGAAGATACT           | (AAG) <sub>15</sub>                  | 60-50   | 212       | 4 (30) {3}   | 0.717 {0.312}      | 0.579 {0.280}      |
| <i>Ab26</i><br><i>AY843557</i>  | F-ATATTCTGCTTTAGTCTA<br>R-GGGGCATAAATATGAG          | (AG) <sub>8</sub> (AG) <sub>9</sub>  | 61-51   | 126       | 13 (30) {6}  | 0.780 {0.687}      | 0.868 {0.752}      |

\* All the forward primers were tagged with M13R tag 5'-CACGACGTTGTAACGAC-3';