



Negative social tipping dynamics resulting from and reinforcing Earth system destabilization

Viktoria Spaiser¹, Sirkku Juhola², Sara M. Constantino³, Weisi Guo⁴, Tabitha Watson⁵, Jana Sillmann⁶, Alessandro Craparo⁷, Ashleigh Basel⁸, John T. Bruun⁹, Krishna Krishnamurthy¹⁰, Jürgen Scheffran¹¹, Patricia Pinho¹², Uche T. Okpara¹³, Jonathan F. Donges^{14,22}, Avit Bhowmik¹⁵, Taha Yasseri^{16,23}, Ricardo Safra de Campos⁵, Graeme S. Cumming¹⁷, Hugues Chenet¹⁸, Florian Krampe¹⁹, Jesse F. Abrams⁵, James G. Dyke⁵, Stefanie Rynders²⁰, Yevgeny Aksenov²⁰, and Bryan M. Spears²¹ ¹School of Politics and International Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom ²Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00790, Finland ³Doerr School of Sustainability, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305, United States ⁴Centre for Autonomous and Cyberphysical Systems, Cranfield University, London, MK43 0AL, United Kingdom ⁵Global Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QE, United Kingdom ⁶Cluster of Excellence Climate, Climatic Change, and Society, Hamburg University, 20146 Hamburg, Germany ⁷International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Recta Cali-Palmira, Valle del Cauca, Colombia ⁸Alliance Biodiversity-CIAT, Cape Town, 7600, South Africa ⁹Faculty of Environment, Science and Economy, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QE, United Kingdom ¹⁰Meru Labs, Panama City, 0700, Panama ¹¹Institute of Geography, Research Group Climate Change and Security, Hamburg University, 20144 Hamburg, Germany ¹²Amazon Environmental Research Institute, Altamira, 68373-100, Brazil ¹³Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, Kent, ME4 4TB, United Kingdom ¹⁴Earth Resilience Science Unit, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Member of the Leibniz Association, 14473 Potsdam, Germany ¹⁵Risk and Environmental Studies, Karlstad University, Karlstad, 65188, Sweden ¹⁶School of Sociology, University College Dublin, Dublin, 8Q4G 8Q, Ireland ¹⁷Oceans Institute, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia ¹⁸IESEG School of Management, Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 9221 - LEM - Lille Economie Management, 59000 Lille, France ¹⁹Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, Stockholm, 16972, Sweden ²⁰National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, United Kingdom ²¹UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Edinburgh, EH26 0QB, United Kingdom ²²Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University, Stockholm, 10691, Sweden ²³Geary Institute for Public Policy, University College Dublin, Dublin, D04 N9Y1, Ireland

Correspondence: Viktoria Spaiser (v.spaiser@leeds.ac.uk)

Received: 30 June 2023 – Discussion started: 4 September 2023 Revised: 3 April 2024 – Accepted: 17 July 2024 – Published: 10 September 2024

Abstract. In recent years, research on normatively positive social tipping dynamics in response to the climate crisis has produced invaluable insights. In contrast, relatively little attention has been given to the potentially negative social tipping processes that might unfold due to an increasingly destabilized Earth system and to how they might in turn reinforce social and ecological destabilization dynamics and/or impede positive social change. In this paper, we discuss selected potential negative social tipping processes (anomie, radicalization

and polarization, displacement, conflict, and financial destabilization) linked to Earth system destabilization. We draw on related research to understand the drivers and likelihood of these negative tipping dynamics, their potential effects on human societies and the Earth system, and the potential for cascading interactions (e.g. food insecurity and displacement) contributing to systemic risks. This first attempt to provide an explorative conceptualization and empirical account of potential negative social tipping dynamics linked to Earth system destabilization is intended to motivate further research into an under-studied area that is nonetheless crucial for our ability to respond to the climate crisis and for ensuring that positive social tipping dynamics are not averted by negative ones.

1 Introduction

Recent advances in research on Earth system tipping points (ESTPs) (e.g. Armstrong McKay et al., 2022) paint an increasingly alarming picture of the state of our planetary system. Understanding tipping points and other forms of nonlinear change is now widely recognized as critical to managing and responding to change in complex systems (Scheffer, 2009). We define social tipping points on the basis of mathematics of dynamical systems (Strogatz, 2000). Specifically, tipping points in dynamical social systems are critical thresholds where a small change in a variable describing the state of the social system or in a parameter capturing external influences leads to an often abrupt qualitative change in the dynamical social system; i.e. the social dynamical system undergoes a phase transition from one state to another (Winkelmann et al., 2022). Tipping occurs because positive feedback mechanisms create self-reinforcing loops, where a small change in one component of the system triggers changes that further reinforce the initial change. Tipping is further enabled by weak negative feedback mechanisms that tend to stabilize a dynamical system. Tipping is usually difficult to reverse due to hysteresis that locks the system within the new state or within the trajectory to a new state, even if the original drivers for the change are removed (Wiedermann et al., 2020; Winkelmann et al., 2022). Normatively speaking, social tipping points can be both positive (predominantly beneficial to humans and the natural systems) or negative if they result in catastrophic consequences for human societies and ecological systems (IPCC, 2022; Lenton et al., 2023).

Increasing attention is also being paid to cascade effects that connect different systems, implying that a change in one system may trigger further change in another system (Liu et al., 2023). Here, we consider a tipping cascade to take place when one tipping point triggers the crossing of another tipping point (Klose et al., 2021). We focus here on negative social tipping processes that have the potential to feed back to the Earth system, further destabilizing it; i.e. we are interested in processes where the Earth system destabilization contributes to social system destabilization, which then further destabilizes the Earth system (e.g. due to lack of cooperation), creating a potential feedback loop. We note that this

paper focuses on climate ESTPs, but the same rationale can be broadly generalized to other Earth systems.

Although research on the potential for positive social tipping dynamics in various systems (e.g. food, energy, transportation, financial, and behavioural) has started to emerge (Tàbara et al., 2018; Otto et al., 2020; Lenton, 2020; Lenton et al., 2022; Winkelmann et al., 2022; Milkoreit, 2023), there has been limited research on negative social tipping dynamics that might be triggered by climate change (Laybourn et al., 2023). This is noteworthy, not least because early research on tipping points in the social sciences was mostly concerned with undesirable social processes, such as rapid and non-linear patterns of urban racial segregation in the United States (Schelling, 1978). More recently, researchers have used dynamical systems analyses to empirically study tipping points in school segregation (Spaiser et al., 2018), political instability of countries (Grimm and Schneider, 2011), and rapid proliferation of misinformation (Törnberg, 2018).

We argue that studying negative social tipping points in the context of Earth system destabilization is important because it highlights the risks generated by overshooting temperature thresholds such as 1.5 °C (Bustamante et al., 2024). While indeed every tenth of a degree of temperature increase matters, framing around climate policy is moving in the direction of making overshoot socially acceptable. Overshoots are presented as temporary, with the deployment of carbon dioxide removal (CDR) being able to recover temperatures back into the "safe zone" by the end of the century. The risks of ESTPs, however, make overshooting very dangerous, as overshooting may trigger ESTPs, which then cannot be reversed even if we return to lower global warming after the overshoot period. Triggering ESTPs on the other hand poses the risk of escalating climate change impacts (Wunderling et al., 2024). Moreover, overshooting would lead to further ecological destabilization (Singh et al., 2023), which might be reversible in terms of returning to lower global warming; however, in the meantime, ecological destabilization could trigger negative social tipping points described here, and these negative social tipping points could feedback to the Earth system, further destabilizing it, potentially leading to ESTPs being triggered. We believe that understanding these potential complex interactions is important because humans have agency and can make decisions to try to prevent such escalating processes.

None of the scenarios described here is inevitable, and although many dynamics are already unfolding today, we have not reached a point of no return.

Negative and potentially catastrophic consequences are unequally distributed, both internationally and within individual societies (Pereira et al., 2024). Research has emphasized that low-income countries that have often contributed least to the destabilization of the Earth system will bear the brunt of the climate change impacts (IPCC, 2022; Lenton et al., 2023). Moreover, within each society, it is the most vulnerable groups, such as children (Thiery et al., 2021; UNICEF, 2021), women (Denton, 2002), minority groups (Berberian et al., 2022; Donaghy et al., 2023), and generally the less affluent (Thomas et al., 2019), who will be most affected by climate change impacts. Triggering negative social tipping points will have considerable consequences for these vulnerable groups, further amplifying their vulnerability and stressing the need for climate justice (Newell et al., 2021).

From this perspective, we pose the following questions. (1) What are the potential negative social tipping points that the destabilization of the Earth system could trigger? (2) To what extent could the triggering of negative social tipping points further destabilize the Earth system? (3) How do these negative tipping elements interact, and what cascades could these interactions cause? (4) What research and modelling approaches are suitable for studying negative social tipping points and cascades? (5) What intervention options are available to prevent negative social tipping points and cascades?

2 Mapping out negative social tipping

We identify five negative social tipping processes that according to some existing evidence could be triggered by Earth system destabilization (see Fig. 1). The part or subsystem of a larger system that can pass a tipping point is referred to as the tipping element. Drawing on the positive social tipping element framework developed by Otto et al. (2020), we identify four social tipping elements (TEs) that have the potential for negative tipping processes (TPs): socio-psychological systems (TE1), political systems (TE2), human settlements (TE3), and financial markets (TE4). Figure 1 provides an overview of these tipping elements and the tipping that could be triggered within these tipping elements: anomie (TP1.1), radicalization and polarization (TP1.2), displacement (TP2.1), conflict (TP3.1) and financial destabilization (TP4.1). All of these processes can unfold across different levels of social structure on different timescales and spatial scales. Specifically, tipping can occur as rapidly as hours triggered by a major shock event or unfold more slowly (years) over cascading pathways as the effects of ESTPs accumulate. Tipping can also occur only locally, affecting a specific community, or spread across a nation or the globe. Figure 1 also indicates the potential for interactions between various negative tipping elements. The interactions between different TEs indicate different possible destabilization pathways that could lead to the crossing of negative tipping points across scales. This illustrative selection is based on evidence for tipping processes in these subsystems and evidence that Earth system destabilization has a direct effect on these subsystems.

2.1 Anomie

The concept of anomie, which was introduced by Durkheim (1893, 1897) to describe the breakdown of norms and social order and its relationship to suicide patterns in societies, has evolved over decades of social research (Abrutyn, 2019; Twyman-Ghoshal, 2021). We define anomie as a state of a society or community that is characterized by a breakdown of social norms, social trust, social ties, and social reality, resulting in social disorder and disorganization, disorientation, and disconnection. These syndromes manifest on the individual level through mental health deterioration, increased suicide rates, and/or increased deviant behaviour (Brown, 2022; Teymoori et al., 2017). Although this is a relatively new area of research, there is increasing evidence to suggest that changes in the Earth system can contribute to anomie. For instance, anomie has been observed in the aftermath of natural disasters, made more likely by climate change (Miller, 2016) and it has been suggested that Earth system destabilization may result in a new form of anomie, called environmental anomie (Brown, 2022), where sudden changes to the physical landscape can upend the established social order and undermine people's ability to comprehend, relate to, and function within their environment. For instance, people from Paradise (California, US) that survived the devastating Camp Fire in 2018 reported how the wildfire event undermined their ability to comprehend the world around them because their familiar environment became unintelligible (e.g. they struggled to determine wind direction), meaning that they were no longer able to relate to and function within their environment. This resulted in a breakdown of self-efficacy, with a sense of unreality taking hold (e.g. burning tree branches falling from the sky). This experience of environmental anomie was further exacerbated when the affected individuals witnessed that traditional authorities were overwhelmed and unable to respond to the physical chaos, which undermined confidence and led to an individuation of suffering and feelings of social isolation, i.e. experience of general anomie. With the breakdown of social order, people were forced to fend for themselves and rules (e.g. regulating traffic) were no longer observed (Brown, 2022).

Beyond anomie resulting from extreme weather events caused by escalating climate change, there is also evidence for a rise in anomic experiences, particularly by young people and children around the world, contributing to a mental health crisis. In a first comprehensive study, surveying 10 000 children and young people (aged 16–25 years) in 10 countries (Australia, Brazil, Finland, France, India, Nigeria,



Figure 1. Tipping elements (TEs) and associated negative social tipping processes (TPs) with the potential to further destabilize the Earth system. The identified interactions between the various negative tipping processes mean that they can potentially reinforce one another, making destabilization more likely. Earth image source: https://pngimg.com/image/25350, last access: 6 September 2024 (CC BY-NC 4.0).

Philippines, Portugal, UK, and USA), Hickman et al. (2021) found that more than 45 % said their feelings about climate change negatively affected their daily life and functioning, 75 % reported that they find the future frightening, and 83 % said they think people (adults) have failed to take care of the planet. However, it is not just the young experiencing the effects of climate change on mental health – it is negatively affecting the mental health and emotional wellbeing of people of all ages globally, but they more profoundly affect those of poor and vulnerable populations (Lawrence et al., 2021; Clayton et al., 2017), women, and Indigenous people (IPCC, 2022; Sultana, 2022). For a summary of other studies, see Fig. 2.

The extent of tipping dynamics in anomie have not yet been studied directly, but some studies have demonstrated tipping dynamics in phenomena that can serve as proxies for the anomic state of a society or community. Specifically, (complex) contagion processes (see Table 1) have been observed for mental disorders and distress, including suicide (Scatà et al., 2018; Paz, 2022); for deviant behaviours (Busching and Krahé, 2018); and for distrust (Ross et al., 2022). Societies or communities that are already in a zone of social instability (e.g. high rates of anti-social behaviour, increasing deviant behaviour such as crime or substance abuse, and high rates of mental health problems) due to other factors, such as poverty, rising inequality, and failing institutions (Burns, 2015), or because of a gradual erosion of social norms, which can also affect affluent communities (Piff et al., 2012; Bursztyn et al., 2020), are particular at risk to tip into an anomic state when additionally being faced with ecological destabilization (Douglas et al., 2016). Anomie tipping can also result from a single extreme event; for instance, it can be triggered by an ESTP being breached. Such an event can instantly disintegrate whole communities, scattering members of the community in the aftermath (i.e. interaction with displacement), leaving them with depleted social and mental resources (Miller, 2016) and establishing the perception that society as a whole is failing (Teymoori et al., 2017). Tipping in this case can be described using logistic map models (Bruun et al., 2017), which can model how coupled systems can tumble towards chaotic system behaviour (see Table 1). Natural and human-caused disasters can bring communities together and strengthen cooperation; however, research suggests that when the experience of solidarity and unity in the disaster aftermath starts to wane, communities can experience increasing disillusionment and depression followed by social disintegration (i.e. anomie) if they are left without adequate, long-term support (Townshend et al., 2015).

Anomie can have feedback effects on the Earth system, further destabilizing it through various pathways. When social norms disintegrate, certain pro-social behaviours and collective actions that are necessary to slow down the climate crisis may diminish (Constantino et al., 2022; Schneider and van der Linden, 2023; Lettinga et al., 2020). Without strong social norms and social ties supporting collective action and fostering reciprocity, trust, and cooperation,

Further questions	There are gaps in our understanding of the mechanisms underlying complex contagion in the real world, where at any given time multiple conflicting dif- fusion processes are taking place (Min and Miguel, 2018; Vasconcelos et al., 2019; Yletyinen et al., 2021).	The model could be useful to study phe- nomena such as anomie, where the eco- logical and social system are closely coupled and the tipping in the ecolog- ical system would have direct repercus- sions for the social system, with one possible outcome being disintegration of the social system, i.e. chaotic, ran- dom, and irregular behaviour of the so- cial system.
Examples	Research shows that beliefs (incl. mis- information), mental states, behaviours, and practices (e.g. technology adop- tion) can spread through complex con- tagion across social networks (Karsai et al., 2014; Tömberg, 2018; Fink et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2021; Alexander et al., 2022). Research on financial contagion also shows that volatility can spread across a network of financial institu- tions (Summer, 2013; Wunderling et al., 2021).	Logistic maps have been used to model financial and economic cycles and crises (Ausloos and Dirickx, 2006; Guégan, 2009). Logistic maps have also been employed to study conflicts (Guastello, 2008; Scheffran and Han- non, 2007).
Modelled phenomena	In a contagion, a viral entity ini- tially spreads gradually until a criti- cal threshold (critical number of "in- fected" nodes) is reached, at which stage the social system tips through saddle-node bifurcations and hystere- sis. Hysteresis ensures that the con- tagion spreads further and leads to the phase transition, even if the orig- inal seeders of the viral entity are re- moved from the network; i.e. the conta- gion processes become self-reinforcing (Dodds and Watts, 2004; Wiedermann et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2021). Network structure (e.g. clustering) can facilitate or prevent various contagion processes (Guibeault and Centola, 2021).	The logistic map provides the capabil- ity to investigate non-abrupt and/or re- versible tipping point changes that are features of the system. It represents the socio-economic system through the population level at time t as X_t , and its future population state at time $t + 1$ is specified by the non-linear relationship $X_{(t+1)} = r X_t (1 - X_t)$. It enables us to identify and explore tipping point tran- sitions and complexity cascade prop- erties across a set of different system types.
Rationale	In a simple contagion, direct exposure to a viral entity (beliefs, behaviours, emotions, price signals) is sufficient for a node to get "infected". In a com- plex contagion, a node gets "infected" if a certain number (can be heteroge- neous) of its neighbour nodes are in- fected (Guilbeault et al., 2018; Wie- dermann et al., 2020; Andreoni et al., 2021). Models of contagion on net- works can be used to study radicaliza- tion, anomie, and financial tipping.	The logistic map is a mathematical function that models the population change in an ecosystem over time, and it is a useful tool for policy and climate analysis as it represents a wide range of regular and chaotic features (Feigen- baum, 1980; Bruun et al., 2017). Logis- tic maps can be used to study anomic social tipping and cascading dynamics, for instance in financial and political systems (incl. conflicts).
Model or approach	Complex contagion processes on (social) networks	Logistic map models

Table 1. Models and methodological approaches for studying negative social tipping points and cascades.

Table 1. Continued.				
Model or approach	Rationale	Modelled phenomena	Examples	Further questions
Causal loop diagrams (CLDs) and causal inference	Causal loop diagrams (CLDs) are a structural approach for systemic risk assessment on different scales and to identify whether a sociaty is at risk of reaching a negative social tipping point (Groundstroem and Juhola, 2021; Sill- mann et al., 2022). Causal inference is the attempt to empirically test causal as- sumptions. CLDs and causal inference can be used to study displacement, con- flicts, and cascading dynamics.	CLDs map out the structure of a system and its networks and reveal causalities and feedbacks within the system (Haraldsson, 2004; Sanches-Pereira and Gómez, 2015). Variables are connected with arrows that indicate positive or negative causal links between them. Links between variables may have temporal delays (Sanches-Pereira and Gómez, 2015). Feedback effects arise when variables affect each other in a cascading mannet, ultimately leading back to a previous variable, creating a feedback loop. This loop can be either reinforcing (<i>R</i>), leading to unbounded growth or decline, or balancing (<i>B</i>), where some variables create counteracting changes, resulting in equilibrium.	CLDs have been used to model socio- ecological system dynamics, for in- stance the coupling of climate change, food insecurity, and societal collapse (Richards et al., 2021). Causal infer- ence has been used to model, for in- stance, climate-induced conflict as an excitation causal process (Sun et al., 2022). Machine learning methods have also been used for causal inference, i.e. to self-discover causal trees between the Earth system and social systems, including climate conflict (Ge et al., 2022).	Improving causal understanding of how changes in the Earth system affect so- cial systems is challenging when many of the latent mechanisms and pathways lack data and when different regions ex- perience diverse mechanisms. End-to- end causal inference has had limited success (Guo et al., 2023).
Multi-stable equation models	Approaches building on mathematical dynamical systems theory (Hirsch et al., 2012) analyse time series data to iden- tify possible phase transitions from sta- bility to instability until a new equi- librium is found. Differential equation models can have multiple equilibrium points, where the rate of change of a variable (e.g. degree of cooperation) does not change further. These models can be used to study negative social tip- ping phenomena, where sufficient time series data are available, e.g. conflicts and financial systems tipping.	The specific functional form of the models can vary depending on the stud- ied phenomenon. A tipping model can be, for instance, a third-order polyno- mial in the form of a bi-stable ordinary differential equation (ODE): $dx/dt = x(x - C)(x - K)$. Here, we can see that the rate of change ($dx/dt = 0$) has three equilibrium points: $x = 0$, $x = C$, and $x = K$. Two of the three equilibria are stable, meaning that a small perturba- tion will cause the system to return to the closest point 0 (conflict) or $K > C$ (cooperation). One of the three is unstable, meaning that a small perturbation will cause the system to deviate away completely (this is the tipping critical- ity point C).	Multi-stable differential equation mod- els have also been used for assessing the risks of emerging tipping cascades in interconnected climate tipping elements (Krönke et al., 2020; Wunderling et al., 2023) and financial systems (Wunder- ling et al., 2021) using Monte Carlo ap- proaches to propagate parametric and structural uncertainties. They have also been used to study conflict dynamics (Aquino et al., 2019).	The models rely on rich and dense mul- tiple time series data. They are also con- strained in terms of complexity repre- sentation. This results partly from their aggregate nature, as they are mainly concerned with macro-level dynamics; as such they might be less suitable where micro-level interactions are of in- terest.

Table 1. Continued.				
Model or approach	Rationale	Modelled phenomena	Examples	Further questions
Agent-based modelling (ABM)	Agent-based modelling (ABM) repre- sents the rule-based behaviour and in- teraction of individual agents, which ranges from simple homogenous agents to complex heterogeneous agents char- acterized by diverse response functions regarding their motivation and reason- ing; capability to act; and adaptive learning, perception, and anticipation of changing environmental situations (BenDor and Scheffran, 2019). ABM can be used to study conflicts and cas- cading dynamics.	Multiple agents show collective behaviour via opinion dynamics, coalition formation, network building, inducing social feedback, structural shifts, social norms, and transformative policies, including the transition between conflict and cooperation (Juhola et al., 2022). ABM captures macro-scale interactions among many heterogeneous adaptive and learning agents with bounded rationality (Filatova et al., 2013; Weber et al., 2023).	ABM is applied to study agents' adaptation behaviour and the possi- ble limits to adaptation (Juhola et al., 2022). ABM approaches are well suited to model game-theory-based ap- proaches to predict agent-induced tip- ping points, for instance when col- laboration breaks down (Grimm and Schneider, 2011). They can simulate self-reinforcing chain reactions and cascading effects in dynamic social net- works (BenDor and Scheffran, 2019).	Where ABM lacks empirical founda- tion (i.e. insufficient data for a large number of agents), it is difficult to verify the predictions it makes. It can be useful to generate hypotheses and explore theoretical mechanisms, which should be tested empirically.
Machine learning (ML) and AI	Machine learning (ML) approaches have been already mentioned in the context of previous sections (causal in- ference). However, ML methods can also be used to explicitly detect tip- ping points (Bury et al., 2021). ML can be used to study negative social tip- ping phenomena, where sufficient time series data are available, e.g. conflict and financial systems tipping (Ge et al., 2022). Generative AI is also dis- cussed for the purpose of generating in silico data (fine-tuned by human data) (Argyle et al., 2023; Park et al., 2023; Tömberg et al., 2023; Park et al., data for in silico large-scale experi- ments, mimicking real life and real peo- ple, to study otherwise difficult to study phenomena, such as negative social tip- ping processes.	ML models have been used for in- stance to model bifurcations, i.e. the divergence of an outcome trajectory. These are often mechanism-informed ML models. Hawkes excitation model has been used, for instance, to model the coupling between successive im- provised explosive device (IED) at- tacks and security retaliation (Tench et al., 2016). Point process modelling has been used to identify complex underly- ing processes in conflicts, such as dif- fusion, relocation, heterogeneous esca- lation, and volatility (Zammit-Mangion et al., 2012).	ML approaches can be useful to fore- cast tipping in conflicts (Guo et al., 2018). With increasing availability of rich digital data, negative social tipping processes (e.g. radicalization or social disintegration) could be detected using deep learning models in combination with social network analyses (Gaikwad et al., 2022). ML-based tools are also emerging to predict tipping in financial systems (Samitas et al., 2020).	Pure data-driven prediction models (e.g. using Gaussian processes or deep recurrent neural networks) typically lack the ability to model irreversible transformations, such as tipping, and understand causal relation strength. However, if sufficient data are avail- able and the ML models are informed by theory and deep understanding of the underlying mechanisms (Guo et al., 2018), they can be a useful method.



Figure 2. Examples of the impact of extreme weather events on mental health across the world based on Carleton (2017), Clayton et al. (2017), Jermacane et al. (2018), Atwoli et al. (2022), Hamideh et al. (2022), Lawrence et al. (2021), and Ferreira et al. (2023).

it becomes increasingly challenging to implement effective measures to address accelerating Earth system destabilization, hence increasing the likelihood for Earth system tipping (Fehr et al., 2002; Thøgersen, 2008; Malerba, 2022). Moreover, mental health problems weaken people's capacity to seek solutions, fostering collective inertia and increasing susceptibility to conspiracy theories, potentially further undermining trust and cooperation to prevent further Earth destabilization (Burden et al., 2017; de la Sablonnière and Taylor, 2020; Green et al., 2023).

2.2 Radicalization and polarization

Radicalization can be a reaction to perceived external threats, including ecological threats. Research suggests that people can respond to climate change and other ecological threats by becoming more authoritarian and derogative against outgroups (Fritsche et al., 2012; Jackson et al., 2019; Taylor, 2019; Russo et al., 2020; Uenal et al., 2021; Spaiser et al., 2024). This effect can be further exacerbated by the well-documented effect of heat on aggressive behaviours, includ-

ing online hate speech (Stechemesser et al., 2022). Current trends seem to suggest increasing polarization (Dunlap et al., 2016; Vihma et al., 2021; Cole et al., 2023; Smith et al., 2024), i.e. a rise of the political right, which is increasingly attracting the political centre (Levitsky and Ziblatt, 2018; Halikiopoulou, 2018; Layton et al., 2021); obstructing climate action; and increasingly diverging from the political left and centre-left, which is demanding climate action (Aasen, 2017; Lockwood, 2018; Gustafson et al., 2019). This polarization is also driven indirectly by Earth destabilization, as it is at least partly a response to climate mitigation policies that are perceived as a threat to the existing socio-economic system, status, and identity (Dunlap et al., 2016; Hoffarth and Hodson, 2016; Daggett, 2018; Clarke et al., 2019; Benegal and Homan, 2021; Ehret et al., 2022; Brännlund and Peterson, 2024) and can be further exacerbated by inequality and general economic decline (Winkler, 2019; Stewart et al., 2020; Hübscher et al., 2023), which again can be partly linked to Earth destabilization at least in some parts of the world (Méjean et al., 2024; Dietz et al., 2021). However, as climate change progresses and becomes a more concrete exis-

tential threat throughout the world (Huggel et al., 2022), we may see even socially liberal individuals developing increasingly authoritarian and reactionary views (Gadarian, 2010; Hetherington and Suhay, 2011; Huddy and Feldmann, 2011; Hirsch, 2022). At that stage we may see radicalization taking a different direction, with currently fringe political ideologies such as ecofascism taking hold. Ecofascism reinterprets white supremacy ideology in the context of the climate and ecological crisis with the goal to defend habitable areas for the white race and decrease world population (Taylor, 2019). A couple of recent right-wing terrorists have already selfidentified as ecofascists, such as Brenton Tarrant, who killed 51 people during a terror attack on a mosque in Christchurch, New Zealand, in 2019. A few months later, Patrick Wood Crusius killed 23 people in El Paso, United States, legitimizing his actions again with ecofascist ideologies (Achenbach, 2019). Certain ecofascist themes seem to also appear increasingly in public debates (Thomas and Gosink, 2021).

Radicalization can exhibit tipping dynamics. Research has described radicalization, e.g. the spread of right-wing ideology (Youngblood, 2020), through complex contagion processes (see Table 1). Similarly, the spreading of extremist content on social media has been observed to follow complex contagion processes (Ferrara, 2017). Indeed, polarization and radicalization around climate change have been observed to be on the rise online (Weber et al., 2020; Teen et al., 2020; Falkenberg et al., 2022), at times displaying nonlinear, accelerating diffusion dynamics (Centre for Countering Digital Hate, 2023), and these processes are fuelled by corporate funding (Farrell, 2016; Teen et al., 2020). Moreover, processes of "cross-pollination", the merging or previously separate radical clusters facilitating further contagion, have been documented (Kimmel, 2018; Baele et al., 2023), including for climate denial (Agius et al., 2020). Polarization has also been observed to follow tipping dynamics. Leonard et al. (2021) describe, for instance, how subtle public opinion shifts from left and right can have a differential effect on the self-reinforcing processes of elites in the US, causing Republicans to polarize more quickly than Democrats. As selfreinforcement pushes societies toward the critical threshold, polarization speeds up. Political polarization tipping, often accompanying radicalization of certain segments of the population, has been found to be difficult to reverse due to asymmetric self-perpetuating trajectories (Macy et al., 2021).

Radicalization and polarization can have feedback effects on the Earth's system, destabilizing it further. According to research (Stanley et al., 2017; Stanley and Wilson, 2019; Julhä and Hellmer, 2020), authoritarian and social dominance attitudes are negatively related to environmental attitudes and support for environmental and climate change policies. Indeed, right-wing ideology has been repeatedly correlated with climate change denial (Hornsey et al., 2016; Hoffarth and Hodson, 2016; Czarnek et al., 2020; Julhä and Hellmer, 2020). When climate change is denied, no attempts are made to mitigate climate change. On the contrary, decisions may be taken to further prop up high-emitting industries (Ekberg et al., 2023; Darian-Smith, 2023). There is, however, increasingly a retreat of pure climate denial (primary climate obstruction), instead we see a rise in secondary and tertiary climate obstruction, which can include deliberate polarization of societies on the issue (Kousser and Trantr, 2018; Goldberg and Vandenberg, 2019; Lamb et al., 2020; Mann, 2021; Flores et al., 2022; Ekberg et al., 2023; Burgess et al., 2024). Moreover, research demonstrates that the increasing success of the radical right also influences the policies of mainstream parties (Abou-Chadi and Krause, 2020); i.e. even if radical parties are not in government, they still can undermine climate policies.

2.3 Displacement

Acute and slow-onset environmental pressures, such as heatwaves, long-term temperature and humidity changes, extreme weather events, and sea level rise (e.g. due to the melting of Greenland glaciers, and the West Antarctic Ice Sheet), are likely to impact the migration (voluntary) and displacement (forced, involuntary) circumstances of a large proportion of the global population (Mastrorillo et al., 2016; Berlemann et al., 2020; Hauer et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Lu and Romps, 2023). In the context of ESTPs, sea-level rise is projected to be one of the most costly and irreversible consequences of climate change (Hauer et al., 2020; McLeman, 2018; Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer, 2020; Armstrong McKay et al., 2022). Another rapid-onset hazard is land degradation due to permafrost melt, both in coastal areas and inland (Irrgang et al., 2022; Streletskiy et al., 2023). Accelerated Polar warming or Arctic amplification warms Arctic surface temperatures by a factor 2-4 times faster than the rest of the globe (Rantanen et al., 2022), which - in addition to the direct impact on permafrost thawing - results in the loss of protective sea ice and consequently rapidly increasing coastal erosion (Casas-Prat and Wang 2020; Nielsen et al., 2022; Wunderling et al., 2024). As the proportion of the global population living in coastal regions continues to grow, likely surpassing a billion people this century, this will have profound implications for both individuals and societies (Hauer et al., 2020; McLeman, 2018; Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer, 2020). However, sea level rise is not the only driver of adaptive mobility (Gioli et al., 2016). Even if international efforts towards mitigating climate change are successful (RCP 4.5 - low emissions scenario), models have projected drought-induced international displacement to increase substantially by the end of the 21st century. High emissions scenarios (e.g. RCP 8.5) would push the number of displaced due to droughts up even further (Smirnov et al., 2023).

Displacement can happen suddenly, and amplifying or positive feedbacks can increase or maintain the dislocation of populations even after the extreme weather event or initial shock has passed. This can create a cycle that reinforces, extends, or renders the displacement permanent. Displaced populations must grapple with the loss of their livelihoods, often by identifying new temporary sources of income that can become permanent due to the challenges of returning to origin communities (Young and Jacobsen, 2013; Wilson, 2020). The displacement is often linked with turning away from traditional ways of life and economical support, e.g. in the cases of Arctic Inuit population fishing, hunting, and trapping (Ford et al., 2023; Streletskiy et al., 2023), and the movement away from traditional agricultural and pastoralist livelihoods in areas of central and southwestern Africa (Akinbami, 2021; Thorn et al., 2023). This can result in cultural heritage loss (Pearson, 2023). These compounding and reinforcing effects can exacerbate pre-existing social inequities and determine the pattern of displacement (e.g. short-term or long-term to permanent) among different populations (Lama, 2021; Boas et al., 2022). Additionally, with slow-onset events, decisions to migrate can be driven by social networks and connections; when members of a community migrate, others may make the decision to follow (Manchin and Orazbayev, 2018; Thorn et al., 2023; Tubi and Israeli, 2023). This can, in and of itself, be subject to tipping dynamics; when a certain percentage of a community has left, this has been observed to negatively impact those left behind, potentially triggering subsequent outmigration (Rai, 2022).

In the absence of appropriate governance mechanisms and protocols for how and where to relocate displaced communities, negative feedback consequences for the Earth systems are possible (Islam et al., 2021; Thorn et al., 2023). Hosting communities may face strains on their natural resources and/or sinks to meet the additional needs of the displaced. For example, Tafere (2018) identified environmental degradation resulting from the influx of displaced populations in eastern Africa, often in environmentally sensitive (e.g. protected forests) or already strained regions (e.g. arid or semi-arid areas). Such straining of ecological systems to accommodate increased eco-service demand due to forced migration could contribute to accelerating regional ecological destabilization at the very least.

2.4 Conflict

Despite growing concerns about conflict, the causal link between climate change and conflicts and their underlying dynamics remain debated (Burke et al., 2009; Buhaug, 2010; Buhaug et al., 2014; Solow, 2013; Kelley et al., 2015; Selby et al., 2017). While statistical models inferred either significant coincidences of particular civil conflict events with concurrent climate extreme events or significant associations of warming and drought trends with civil conflict trends, many qualitative in-depth assessments of the particular civil conflict events and their underlying mechanisms dismiss such coincidences and associations (e.g. Buhaug, 2010; Selby et al., 2017). Though not the only cause (Sakaguchi et al., 2017; Mach et al., 2019; Scartozzi, 2020; Ge et al., 2022), climate change undermines human livelihoods and security because it increases the vulnerability of populations (e.g. to extreme events, food and water scarcity), grievances, and political tensions through an array of indirect – at times non-linear and latent (i.e. not measurable) – pathways, thereby increasing human insecurity and the risk of violent conflict (Scheffran et al., 2012; van Baalen and Mobjörk, 2017; Koubi, 2019; von Uexkull and Buhaug, 2021; Ide et al., 2023). It is difficult to separate mutually enforcing vulnerabilities to both climate and conflict that trigger an escalating spiral of violence and amplify cascading crisis events beyond critical thresholds (Buhaug and von Uexkull, 2021) and connected through telecoupling (Franzke et al., 2022).

Many conflicts can be described in terms of social tipping mechanisms, which can be triggered by Earth system destabilization, where causal mechanisms are inferred using data (Sun et al., 2022) and can be modelled through socially connected tipping dynamics, for instance using the logistic map approach (see Table 1) (Guo et al., 2018; Aquino et al., 2019; Ge et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2023). Using a complex systems lens and connecting the human-environmental-climate security (HECS) nexus framework (Daoudy, 2021; Daoudy et al., 2022; Scheffran et al., 2012) and the social feedback loop (SFL) framework (Kolmes, 2008) can help clarify conflict tipping mechanisms in coupled social-ecological systems. The HECS framework infers that climatic drivers of civil conflicts are best understood as a result of policy decisions and governance that reflect the ideology and preferences of ruling elites or ethnic bias instead of investigating the direct functions of climate extremes. SFL suggests that initial social disruptions directly caused by gradual climate change and climate extreme events can themselves generate a distinct positive feedback loop leading to self-accelerating rates of societal disintegration and to civil conflicts (Kolmes, 2008). In turn, using a combined HECS-SFL lens, civil conflicts can be perceived as amplified social disintegration and disruption resulting from societal and political responses to the initial disintegration and disruptions caused directly by climate extremes and climate change (Scheffran et al., 2023). Selfreinforcing feedbacks emerge in social-ecological systems as a result of complex interactions among socio-economic, environmental, and political events and variables, such as institutional capacity for solving social-ecological problems initially caused by climate change (Daoudy et al., 2022). These complex interactions result in the amplification of social-ecological shocks that climate change and extremes initially caused and potentially disrupt and negatively tip the system in concern to a conflict state. The affected system becomes entrapped in the conflict state until sufficient incentives can move it out. However, there are gaps in our understanding of latent mechanisms that introduce variable delay (e.g. slow social transformations), confounding factors, non-linear bifurcations (e.g. some transformations are irreversible), and regional variability.

When conflicts escalate, exhibiting a tipping dynamic, they can in turn impact the Earth system, either directly as warfare itself is producing excessive greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions or by destroying vital ecosystems such as forests, as is, for instance, currently the case of Russia's war in Ukraine (de Klerk et al., 2022). For example, the Kakhovka Dam was destroyed in 2023 during the Russia-Ukraine conflict. Early assessments (UKCEH and HRW, 2023; UNEP, 2023) indicated a maximum downstream flood extent of around 83 000 ha (6-9 March 2023), including inundation of downstream urban areas and disruption of irrigation for agriculture, water supply, and sanitation systems. Over half a million hectares of habitat of conservation importance was estimated to have been affected by the dam breach, from the upstream Kakhovka Reservoir and its wetland habitats to the downstream Black Sea Biosphere Reserve. This impact area covered the distribution of 567 species that have a listing on the IUCN European Red List, 28 of these species have a threat status of vulnerable or worse. There were also concerns about the supply of cooling water to the upstream Zaporizhzhia Nuclear Power Plant, i.e. one war-induced ecological disaster could have resulted in another ecological disaster. Illegal logging, deforestation, and charcoal production also support militia in many protracted conflicts throughout Africa (Branch et al., 2023). However, even beyond involvement in war activities, everyday military operations directly generate vast emissions of GHGs (Kester and Sovacool, 2017; Crawford, 2019). The feedback impact of conflicts on the Earth system can also occur indirectly through impeding humanity's ability to collaborate to find solutions to global challenges such as climate change. Within societies entangled in a conflict, resources are diverted to winning the conflict rather than to mitigate climate change, also affecting a country's environmental governance mechanisms. Finally, the continued presence of a large number of tactical and nuclear weapons represents a significant threat to global climate and other Earth system processes (Turco et al., 1983; Xia et al., 2022).

2.5 Financial destabilization

The impacts of Earth system destabilization on the financial sector are now receiving increasing attention (Ameli et al., 2023; Chenet, 2024), with studies suggesting that climate-related damages will impact the stability of the global banking system significantly (Lamperti et al., 2019), as will biodiversity loss (Kedward et al., 2023). For instance, stocks of capital at risk due to climate-induced extremes and more frequent weather events such as floods would adversely affect insurance companies (Lamperti et al., 2019). Reinsurance companies are withdrawing increasingly from areas exposed to high climate change risks, e.g. areas vulnerable to wild-fires and floods (Frank, 2023). Earth system destabilization is likely to result in stranded assets (Caldecott et al., 2021). Escalating climate change can also destroy the capital of firms, reduce their profitability, deteriorate their liquidity, reduce the productivity of their workforce, leading to a higher rate of default, harming the financial sector and the economy in general (Dafermos et al., 2018; Dietz et al., 2021). One issue with the existing empirical evidence and models that try to estimate climate damage for the financial sector is, however, that they do not account for ESTPs (Keen et al., 2022; Kedward et al., 2023; Trust et al., 2023; Marsden et al., 2024).

Still, the first advances are being made. Martin et al. (2024) propose an integrated dynamic environmenteconomic model for the coupling of an Earth model of intermediate complexity and a non-linear macroeconomic model in continuous time. Using this model, they found that above a warming of about +2.3 °C, damages drastically foster the need for additional investments in productive capital for adaptation, which could potentially lead to the emergence of private-debt tipping points and a worldwide cascade of defaults. The inability to repay obligations generates nonperforming loans (or bad debt) in the balance sheets of banks and other financial institutions, with possible systemic implications such as those experienced during the 2008 global financial crisis. It is estimated that climate change will increase the frequency of banking crises by 26 % to 248 % depending on the extent of climate change (Lamperti et al., 2019). If the banks' equity deterioration due to economic imbalances reaches a certain threshold, secondary systemic effects can be triggered. Financial institutions exposed to troubled banks would suffer losses in the market value of their assets, potentially triggering contagion phenomena (Kiyotaki and Moore, 2002; Yan et al., 2010; Roukny et al., 2013; Chinazzi and Fagiolo, 2015). These contagion phenomena can result in a financial tipping point being reached, where contagion becomes self-perpetuating due to feedback loops in the system that amplify the initial shocks (May et al., 2008; Gai and Kapadia, 2010; Haldane and May, 2011). If ESTPs are triggered, destroying assets and the economic productivity of whole regions, we can expect rapid non-linear tipping effects in the coupled financial sector (Battiston et al., 2017). The financial and economic system would eventually settle into a new state, although this state may be characterized by recession, high unemployment, austerity, and other deteriorating economic conditions. The consequences of such a financial upheaval are often a rapid increase in social instability (i.e. interaction with anomie) and radicalization (i.e. interaction with radicalization) as more people are forced to compete for basic needs (i.e. interaction with conflict) (Dietz et al., 2021).

This could also impact societies' abilities to mitigate climate change, thus risking the derailment of sustainability transition (Laybourn et al., 2023). Governments will likely try to stabilize financial markets through bailing-out policies, such as providing fresh capital and saving insolvent banks, and it is predicted that climate change will likely increase the frequency of bailouts (Lamperti et al., 2019). Recent government bailouts in response to COVID-19 have shown a distinct lack of sustainability focus (Rockström et al., 2023). Bailouts negatively affect the public budget and lead to increasing government debts, leaving decreasing resources for addressing Earth system destabilization, for instance through effective climate change mitigation measures. Financial destabilization would also deplete businesses and individuals of resources to invest in post-carbon transition (Laybourn et al., 2023).

3 Cascading negative social tipping dynamics

The basis for many tipping point behaviours in socialecological systems is a non-linear relationship between critical pairs of variables. Non-linearities create disproportionate relationships between cause and effect, potentially leading to change that is faster, more intense, or more extensive than expected (and hence, harder to reverse or control). Cascades, as defined by Klose et al. (2021), are sequential occurrences of events in which an initial event triggers a series of subsequent events and are one important attribute of systemic risk (Sillmann et al., 2022). Cascades are more likely when multiple variables within a given system exhibit and transform nonlinear relationships to each other; i.e. when coupled, these relationships transform in ways that often cannot be understood. Crossing multiple negative tipping points in diverse systems increases the likelihood of (partial or localized) societal collapse.

In the context of migration, this can manifest as a domino effect, where an environmental or socio-political event causes involuntary displacement or voluntary migration as people search for improved living conditions and better economic opportunities. This is well documented in the Lake Chad basin case where climate change and unsustainable resource management affect the sustainability of natural resources, increasing vulnerability and leading to coping strategies such as migration (McLeman et al., 2021). In Ukraine, the war-induced ecological devastation in the aftermath of the Kakhovka Dam destruction has displaced thousands of people, and a major humanitarian programme was initiated in response (WHO, 2023).

A possible tipping cascade can be identified between climate change, food insecurity, and migration. The last 5 years have seen an increase in food insecurity, representing a problematic reversal of the progress done since the 1990s to reduce world hunger (FAO et al., 2022). Climate tipping points could dramatically impact food security through direct impacts on production (availability) and indirect impacts on access to food when displacement occurs. One of the most direct ways in which tipping points can affect food insecurity is through changes in rainfall distribution, which would render agricultural livelihoods in rainfed regions unfeasible without irrigation (or other) technologies (Giannini et al., 2017; Benton et al., 2017). Indeed, even in the most optimistic climate mitigation scenarios, which would lead to a temporary overshoot over 1.5 °C and then return to temperatures below that threshold, a tipping point might occur in precipitation patterns, which can result in adverse food security impacts (cf. Ritchie et al., 2020). Additionally, recent studies suggest that escalating climate change could result in concurrent weather extremes driven by a strongly meandering jet stream, which could trigger simultaneous harvest failures across major crop-producing regions, posing a serious threat to global food security (Kornhuber et al., 2023). Food security can change seasonally. As such, food security does not exhibit traditional bifurcation in the sense of irreversibility. However, a permanent change towards a state of food insecurity would be catastrophic, representing a permanent food crisis. Krishnamurthy et al. (2022) offer a framework to identify "transitions" as prolonged periods of food insecurity (Fig. 3), using the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC), the leading global metric for standardized food security assessment, which combines data on agricultural production, food prices, nutrition rates, weather patterns, and other variables to determine the general food security situation in a given location. With these metrics, a tipping point in a food system can be thought of as a shift between periods with minimal food insecurity (IPC 1 or 2) to periods of sustained food crisis (IPC 3 or higher). An example of a potential tipping point using the IPC categories was found in eastern Africa in 2015/2016 due to anomalously low rainfall in both the summer and autumn. This trend, combined with insufficient drought preparedness, resulted in crop failures and livestock mortality and consequently a depletion of livelihood assets, food stocks, and overall food security in northern and eastern regions of Ethiopia (Fig. 3).

The links between food insecurity and migration are complex; severe food insecurity has been found to trap people that wish to migrate but are unable to (Sadiddin et al., 2019), but there is also evidence that migration can be driven by food insecurity (Smith and Wesselbaum, 2022). Migration flows are also impacted by climate change directly (i.e. the local environment becomes unsuitable for favourable habitation) and indirectly (i.e. by impacting relative wages through effects on farmers' crop yields). A climate disaster, for instance triggered by a climate tipping point being breached, may also lead to sudden displacement, whether temporary or permanent. To summarize, a cascading dynamic plays out when various tipping points become coupled, for instance when the tipping in an Earth system triggers the tipping in food insecurity and potentially simultaneously a tipping in displacement, which may in turn reinforce food insecurity.

Other potential cascading links exist as well. For instance, societies may tip into a state of conflict because of competition over dwindling resources as tipping in food insecurity occurs, and conflicts in turn may reinforce food insecurity, a cascade made likely when institutions are weak and governance fails (Martin-Shields and Stojetz, 2019; Anderson et al., 2021; Shemyakina, 2022). Radicalization and polarization can fuel conflicts (McNeil-Willson et al., 2019; Rousseau et al., 2021). Radicalization and polarization has

For more than 16 months, food security conditions were relatively stable across the case study (Ethiopia), with only a few hotspots of food insecurity.

Acute drought conditions devastated grazing lands and crop production, resulting in widespread food crises.



Figure 3. Example of a "tipping point" in the context of food security, showing the transition from stable food security conditions to a food crisis resulting from drought in Ethiopia (Source: Krishnamurthy et al., 2020; FEWS NET 2018).

been also observed in countries hosting displaced communities (Ravndal, 2018), a link often moderated by socioeconomic inequality and perceived insecurity. Radicalization, polarization, and anomie can reinforce each other as well. Research suggests, for instance, that people trust each other less in countries with greater polarization (Rapp, 2016). On the other hand, people with mental health issues are more susceptible to conspiracy theories, which can fuel radicalization (Green et al., 2023). Finally, financial destabilization can be a driver for radicalization, polarization, and anomie (Funke et al., 2016; Bygnes, 2017; Doerr et al., 2022). However, these and other potential cascading links and processes are still little researched and understood.

4 Emerging research questions and intervention options

4.1 Methods and models and emerging data questions

Various methods and approaches have been suggested for the study of tipping processes in social and socio-ecological systems, which can be used to study negative social tipping points and the cascading interactions between them. In Table 1 we discuss the most prevalent methods and some new emerging approaches. We would like to emphasize here that we are not suggesting that negative social tipping points are knowable in advance, in terms of determining or predicting either the exact threshold or the time when a tipping will occur. In fact, the knowability of tipping points is a challenge not only for social tipping points but also for ESTPs (Boulton et al., 2023). It is usually only possible to determine a tipping point subsequently. However, even then there is often not a single negative social tipping point, the exact threshold may vary, for instance, from one country to another or from one community to another (e.g. Spaiser et al., 2018, who derive data-specific segregation tipping points for various schools located on a curve), as the setup of reinforcing and dampening feedbacks will be different in every context. This is also true for some ecological tipping points; e.g. different lakes will have different tipping points (Hessen et al., 2023). The methods we are suggesting here are useful (1) to study tipping processes once they have occurred or to generate various model-based scenarios to build our general understanding of tipping processes so we are better equipped to respond to them and (2) to build early warning systems that could potentially capture a system becoming more unstable, chaotic, or exhibiting more unusual behaviour before a tipping point has been reached (Dakos et al., 2023). The purpose is to increase our agency (see Sect. 4.2).

We are also conscious that all models are oversimplifications of many stories, perspectives, and detailed mechanisms. Tipping models can be higher-dimensional, but even a lowdimensional tipping model, such as a neural network (see Table 1), can be used to estimate tipping parameters. In effect, a simple model provides a projection of more complex mechanisms in a function space. The main questions are how much information we lose in projecting to a tipping model compared to a projection to a different model and how useful the projection is in enhancing our understanding of underlying mechanisms and in determining agency pathways. We believe tipping model development is important to advance our understanding and enhance our agency, but we also advocate the comparison of different models to identify the most useful model.

Further emerging data questions include the following research avenues.

- What are the most relevant and appropriate datasets for early warning of negative social tipping points? Social tipping points are more complex than physical tipping points due to the interacting relationships between climate parameters and social responses. Given this complexity, there is a need to identify relevant data sources
 Table 2. Negative (social) tipping points and options for prevention and impact management.

Negative (social) tipping points	Prevention options	Impact management options
Earth system tipping impacts (e.g. food insecurity)	Early warning systems to detect escalating food insecurity and anticipatory action mech- anisms, incl. investment in irrigation, crop di- versification, and long-term adaptation options to improve climate-smart agriculture (Krishna- murthy et al., 2020).	Risk finance (e.g. weather index insurance) (Benso et al., 2023), emergency response (e.g. food assistance), and managed relocation from areas that become uninhabitable or uncultivatable (Ferris and Weerasinghe, 2020).
Anomie	Strengthening resilience of individuals and communities (Ogunbode et al., 2022). Strength- ening social cohesion (Orazani et al., 2023). Ensuring authorities can respond to ecologi- cal hazard effectively through capacity build- ing and resilient infrastructure (Miller, 2016; Brown, 2020).	Mental health support to individuals and com- munities affected by extreme weather events and displacement (Wood and Kallestrup, 2021). Working with affected communities to rebuild and integrate displaced communities in host communities (Hawkins and Maurer, 2011).
Radicalization and polarization	Preventing the spread of misinformation and disinformation (Aïmeur et al., 2023), psycho- logical inoculation against misinformation and disinformation (Van der Linden et al., 2017), monitoring radicalization, radicalization pre- vention programmes and public engagement in democratic, deliberative decision-making (De- vaney et al., 2020).	Deradicalization and dialogue-building pro- grammes (Kimmel, 2018; Hangartner et al., 2021), containing the influence of radical groups (Flache et al., 2017), and early warning systems for detecting the potential for violence (Guo et al., 2018).
Displacement	Early warning systems and anticipatory action mechanisms, e.g. managed relocation. Investing in resilience of displaced communities through stability, education, and employment opportu- nities (Ferris and Weerasinghe, 2020).	Host community and refugee support (e.g. hu- manitarian support, food aid, housing, mental health support) (Pearce et al., 2017). Finan- cial compensation for host communities. Le- gal frameworks and policies to support mixed movements (McAdam, 2012).
Conflict	Conflict early warning systems (CEWS) (Guo et al., 2018). Conflict prevention processes through conflict management and democratic procedures. Agreements on scarce resource management and distribution. Climate change adaptation support. Resilience building of so- cieties at risk of violent conflict (Abrahams, 2020). Conduct conflict risk assessment of crit- ical infrastructure identifying impact cascades across rural, urban and natural environments to inform redevelopment or security measures to mitigate risks.	Conflict resolution process (Ngaruiya and Scheffran, 2016). Humanitarian support to cit- izens trapped in conflicts. Managed relocation from active fighting zones. Provision of ev- idence to support post-conflict reconstruction and recovery building. Provision of clean wa- ter, sanitation, hospitals, and schools. Biodiver- sity recovery planning to restore critical habitats and species, including those of high economic value to support social recovery.
Financial destabilization	Early and stable transition away from fossil fuel assets (i.e. divestment). Implementation of a green corporate quantitative easing programme to reduce climate-induced financial instability and restrict global warming (Lamperti et al., 2019).	Macro-prudential regulation in climate risk management. A counter-cyclical capital buffer (as proposed in the Basel III framework) could help address climate physical risks, even though it may be insufficient when damages surge (Lamperti et al., 2019).

Table 2. Continued.

Negative (social) tipping points	Prevention options	Impact management options
Cascading dynamics	There is large potential in recovery and recon- struction efforts that have the goal to build re- silience to prevent future negative social tipping points cascading (Hanson, 2018). During recov- ery and reconstruction planning, options for cli- mate change adaptation and biodiversity recov- ery may provide a level of risk management for future conflict and natural disasters (e.g. adapt- ing to future flood risk in the lower Dnipro basin caused by climate change in Ukraine). An ap- proach to assessing impact cascades may be transferable to risk assessment and mitigation of natural disasters globally (Ward et al., 2020). The United Nations Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR) Programme Framework may provide a starting point for such an approach (UNDRR and ISC, 2020).	Overall, management options for cascading im- pacts have been studied relatively little. Man- agement options depend greatly on the type of cascading impact and the systems between which it occurs. In general, collaborative gov- ernance between governing entities, whether bilateral or multilateral, can yield better out- comes.

and methods that can be used to detect and anticipate tipping points. Recent advances in machine learning and increasing digital social data all offer an unprecedented opportunity to understand early warning signals for social tipping points. Once datasets are identified, ensuring that these are accessible and usable for analysis is highly important. Moving forward, it will be important to consider sharing platforms to ensure access.

- What are the characteristics of datasets that can render them more (or less) useful for detecting social tipping points? A key practical question for tipping point analysis is whether there are specific characteristics that make datasets more appropriate for detection of critical transitions. Early warning of tipping points ultimately depends on reliable, high-frequency data (Scheffer et al., 2009; Dakos et al., 2015). For example, in an analysis of data requirements for early warning of food security tipping points, Krishnamurthy et al. (2020) highlighted the importance of temporal resolution over spatial resolution to detect autocorrelation or flickering in coupled climate-food systems. However, research has shown that even limited datasets such as Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) can provide game-changing opportunities for detecting food security transitions (Krishnamurthy et al., 2022).
- Which early warning signals are more meaningful for different applications? Identifying the most useful metrics and statistics for early warnings of tipping points translates to actionable information, but it requires a clear understanding of underlying system functioning

and mechanisms. For instance, in food security applications, autocorrelation is the key metric used to detect a transition in food security states, with the rolling average statistic indicating the direction of the transition (Krishnamurthy et al., 2022). Such insights can help leverage resources in a timely fashion to avert negative effects associated with social systems that exhibit tipping points. Moreover, probabilistic insights from research on collective social dynamics may complement insights from new early warning signals for social tipping. These approaches identify measurable qualities of social systems or networks, such as heterogeneity, connectivity, and individual-based thresholds that make social tipping points more likely (Bentley et al., 2014). For maximum efficacy, these modelling efforts should derive from both qualitative and quantitative methods so that they benefit from both data and lived experience.

4.2 Intervention options and emerging policy questions

Given that negative social tipping points are underresearched, there is little knowledge on how they can be prevented or managed. As noted for instance by Milkoreit et al. (2024), social tipping point governance has not really been developed yet. In Table 2, we nevertheless provide a preliminary overview of potential intervention options linked to the discussed negative social tipping points and their main potential interactions. Future research needs to focus on identifying other potential intervention options and tying these together into a coherent tipping points governance framework. Ultimately, effective governance of negative (social) tipping points will hinge upon the understanding of collective social dynamics and proactive resource-based interventions. The main line of agency we would like to emphasize is the strengthening of societal institutions and polycentric governance mechanisms (Carlisle and Gruby, 2019; Morrison et al., 2023). We also would like to emphasize agency in driving positive social tipping processes that improve the long-term sustainability and wellbeing of people the and planet (Gaupp et al., 2023) and prevent societies sliding into negative social tipping dynamics.

Further emerging policy questions include the following avenues.

- How do multiple climate extremes and other shocks and stressors combine, especially regarding slow-onset climate change processes that drive systemic changes and tipping points? Evidence provided here suggests that severe climate events, such as droughts and hurricanes, can result in highly complex social change, including negative social tipping points. Additional research is required to understand if and how climate and social tipping points interact and whether one tipping point can result in a plethora of other transitions.
- As critical transitions unfold, how does the risk landscape shift in response? Societies respond to environmental stress and resource scarcities. However, these responses may lead to new risks. Understanding how critical transitions affect the current (and future) risk landscape can provide essential information for decisionmakers to prioritize investments in adaptation and mitigation.
- What are the processes required to integrate research into policy-making? There is growing research on early warning signals for tipping points. However, once suitable datasets and early warning diagnostics are identified, what are the enabling processes and steps required to integrate actionable early warning systems into decision-making? New data analytics, dashboards, and communications material may go a long way towards facilitating the transition to early warning systems of tipping points that can translate into action.

5 Conclusions

We mapped selected key potential negative social tipping points and their potential cascading interactions. We have also briefly discussed potential intervention options and provided examples of methods and models that need to be advanced in the future. We do not claim to have captured all possible social negative tipping points in the context of Earth system destabilization, and we acknowledge that other social subsystems could experience negative tipping points as well, e.g. breakdown of (certain) global supply chains (Marcucci et al., 2022) or breakdown of the public health system (at least in certain areas) triggered by a massive freak heat event or the breakout of a disease due to climate change (Sharma, 2023; Skinner et al., 2023). Our goal is to highlight that if societies fail to stabilize the Earth system through decarbonization, land use reallocation, and other measures, societies will not merely stay in the business-as-usual state. Through mechanisms of negative social tipping accompanying further Earth system destabilization, they instead risk transitioning into a new social system state, which may be characterized by greater impoverishment, authoritarianism, hostility, discord, violence, conflict, and alienation. Societies more vulnerable to climate change are likely to experience such negative social tipping sooner, but this will inevitably have knock-on effects globally. It is increasingly likely that in some regions large-scale climate adaptation will need to be undertaken to reduce vulnerabilities to the current and future magnitude of climate change.

The acceleration of climate tipping points perpetuates a vicious cycle that weakens societies and their abilities to respond, feeding further Earth system destabilization. This vicious cycle is also fed by widening socioeconomic inequalities (Millward-Hopkins, 2022). As the consequences of climate change intensify, societal trust, cooperation, and altruism may erode due to increased competition for scarce resources, displacement of populations, and other climaterelated challenges. Our knowledge of negative social tipping points is still very patchy and fragmented, with many estimations and models likely to be underestimating the effects of breaching Earth system tipping points. This is particularly true for economic and financial sector models (Marsden et al., 2024). Researchers (Keen et al., 2022) are advocating for developing future loss calculations in close collaboration with climate scientists to ensure adequate representation of climate catastrophes.

Data availability. No data sets were used in this paper.

Author contributions. VS and SJ led the author-team and were responsible for conceptualisation and writing (original draft preparation and review and editing). SMC, TW and RSdC were responsible for writing and editing Sect. 2.3. SMC contributed also to Sect. 2.2. WG, JS, UTO, AB, FK were responsible for writing and editing Sect. 2.4. WG and JS also contributed to Table 1. SJ, JaS and KK were responsible for writing and editing Sect. 2.5. JTB. contributed to Sect. 2.1 and Table 1. AC and AB contributed to Table 2. JFD contributed to Table 1. TY contributed to Sect. 2.1 and Table 1. PP, GSC and JGD contributed in particular to Sects. 1 and 5. JFA contributed to Sect. 1 and Fig. 1. SR and YA contributed to Sect. 2.3 and throughout. BMS. contributed to Sect. 2.4 and Table 2. All co-authors were involved in the final review and editing.

Competing interests. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Earth System Dynamics*. The peerreview process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue "Tipping points in the Anthropocene". It is a result of the "Tipping Points: From Climate Crisis to Positive Transformation" international conference hosted by the Global Systems Institute (GSI) and University of Exeter (12–14 September 2022), as well as the associated creation of a Tipping Points Research Alliance by GSI and the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research, Exeter, Great Britain, 12–14 September 2022.

Acknowledgements. Yevgeny Aksenov and Stefanie Rynders acknowledge support from the following projects: COMFORT (grant agreement no. 820989) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme; Optimal High Resolution Earth System Models for Exploring Future Climate Changes" under grant no. 101081193 and also under UKRI grant no. 10039429CE7; EPOC under EU grant no. 101059547; UKRI grant no. 10038003; and the UK NERC projects LTS-M BIOPOLE (grant no. NE/W004933/1), CANARI (grant no. NE/W004984/1), and Consequences of Arctic Warming for European Climate and Extreme Weather (ArctiCONNECT, NE/V004875/1). Yevgeny Aksenov and Stefanie Rynders acknowledge the use of the ARCHER UK National Supercomputing infrastructure and JASMIN. Weisi Guo acknowledges support from EPSRC Complexity Twin for Resilient Ecosystems (EP/R041725/1). Jürgen Scheffran and Jana Sillmann acknowledge support under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2037 "CLICCS - Climate, Climatic Change, and Society" (project no. 390683824) funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. Uche Okpara acknowledges support from the UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship Award (award no. MR/V022318/1). John T. Bruun gratefully acknowledges the UK Research Council's Models2Decisions grant (grant no. M2DPP035: EP/P0167741/1), ReCICLE (grant no. NE/M004120/1), and STFC Spark Award (award no. ST/V005898/1), which helped fund his involvement with this work. Graeme S. Cumming was supported by a Western Australian Premier's Science Fellowship awarded through the WA Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation. Jonathan F. Donges acknowledges support from the European Research Council Advanced Grant project ERA (Earth Resilience in the Anthropocene, project no. ERC-2016-ADG-743080) and the European Union's Horizon 2.5 - Climate Energy and Mobility programme (grant agreement no. 101081661; project WorldTrans).

Financial support. This research has been supported by the UK Research and Innovation (grant no. MR/V021141/1).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Laura Pereira and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Aasen, M.: The polarization of public concern about climate change in Norway, Clim. Policy, 17, 213–230, https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2015.1094727, 2017.
- Abou-Chadi, T. and Krause, W.: The Causal Effect of Radical Right Success on Mainstream Parties' Policy Positions: A Regression Discontinuity Approach, Brit. J. Pol. Sci., 50, 829–847, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123418000029, 2020.
- Abrahams, D.: Conflict in Abundance and Peacebuilding in Scarcity: Challenges and Opportunities in Addressing Climate Change and Conflict, World Dev., 132, 104998, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.104998, 2020.
- Abrutyn, S.: Toward a General Theory of Anomie: The Social Psychology of Disintegration, Arch. Eur. Sociol, 60, 109–136, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003975619000043, 2019.
- Achenbach, J.: Two mass killings a world apart share a common theme: "ecofascism", The Washington Post, https://www.washingtonpost.com/science/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2019.
- Agius, C., Bergman Rosamond, A., and Kinnvall, C.: Populism, Ontological Insecurity and Gendered Nationalism: Masculinity, Climate Denial and Covid-19, Polit. Relig. Ideol., 21, 432–450, https://doi.org/10.1080/21567689.2020.1851871, 2020.
- Aïmeur, E., Amri, S., and Brassard, G.: Fake news, disinformation and misinformation in social media: a review, Soc. Netw. Anal. Min, 13, 30, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-023-01028-5, 2023.
- Akinbami, C. A. O.: Migration and Climate Change Impacts on Rural Entrepreneurs in Nigeria: A Gender Perspective, Sustainability, 13, 8882, https://doi.org/10.3390/su13168882, 2021.
- Alexander, M., Forastiere, L., Gupta, S., and Christakis, N. A.: Algorithms for seeding social networks can enhance the adoption of a public health intervention in urban India, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 119, e2120742119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2120742119, 2022.
- Ameli, N., Chenet, H., Falkenberg, M., Kothari, S., Rickman, J., and Lamperti, F.: Driving sustainability transitions through financial tipping points, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1750, 2023.
- Anderson, W., Taylor, C., McDermid, S., Ilboudo-Nébié, E., Seager, R., Schlenker, W., Cottier, F., de Sherbinin, A., Mendeloff, D., and Markey, K. Violent conflict exacerbated drought-related food insecurity between 2009 and 2019 in sub-Saharan Africa, Nat. Food, 2, 603–615, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00327-4, 2021.
- Andreoni, J., Nikiforakis, N., and Siegenthaler, S..: Predicting social tipping and norm change in controlled experiments, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e201489311, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2014893118, 2021.

- Aquino, G., Guo, W., and Wilson A.: Nonlinear Dynamic Models of Conflict via Multiplexed Interaction Networks, arXiV, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1909.12457, 2019.
- Argyle, L. P., Busby, E. C., Fulda, N., Gubler, J. R., Rytting, C., and Wingate, D.: Out of One, Many: Using Language Models to Simulate Human Samples, Polit. Anal., 31, 337–351, https://doi.org/10.1017/pan.2023.2, 2023.
- Armstrong McKay, D. I., Staal, A., Abrams, J. F., Winkelmann, R., Sakschewski, B., Loriani, S., Fetzer, I., Cornell, S. E., Rockström, J., and Lenton, T. M.: Exceeding 1.5 C global warming could trigger multiple climate tipping points, Science, 377, eabn7950, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn7950, 2022.
- Atwoli, L., Muhia, J., and Merali, Z.: Mental health and climate change in Africa, BJPsych. Int., 19, 86–89, https://doi.org/10.1192/bji.2022.14, 2022.
- Ausloos, M. and Dirickx, M. (Eds.): The Logistic Map and the Route to Chaos. From the Beginnings to Modern Applications, Springer, Berlin, Germany, https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-32023-7, 2006.
- Baele, S., Brace, L., and Ging, D.: A Diachronic Cross-Platforms Analysis of Violent Extremist Language in the Incel Online Ecosystem, Terror. Polit. Violenc., 36, 382–405, https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2022.2161373, 2023.
- Battiston, S., Mandel, A., Monasterolo, I., Schütze, F., and Visentin, G.: A climate stress-test of the financial system, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 283–288, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3255, 2017.
- BenDor, T. and Scheffran, J.: Agent-Based Modeling of Environmental Conflict and Cooperation, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 363 pp., https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351106252, 2019.
- Benegal, S. and Holman, M.R.: Understanding the importance of sexism in shaping climate denial and policy opposition, Climatic Change, 167, 48, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03193-y, 2021.
- Benso, M. R., Gesualdo, G. C., Silva, R. F., Silva, G. J., Castillo Rápalo, L. M., Navarro, F. A. R., Marques, P. A. A., Marengo, J. A., and Mendiondo, E. M.: Review article: Design and evaluation of weather index insurance for multi-hazard resilience and food insecurity, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 23, 1335–1354, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-23-1335-2023, 2023.
- Bentley, R. A., Maddison, E. J., Ranner, P. H., Bissell, J., Caiado, C. C., Bhatanacharoen, P., Clark, T., Botha, M., Akinbami, F., Hollow, M., and Michie, R.: Social tipping points and Earth systems dynamics, Front. Environ. Sci., 2, 35, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2014.00035, 2014.
- Benton, T., Fairweather, D., Graves, A., Harris, J., Jones, A., Lenton, T., Norman, R., O'Riordan, T., Pope, E., and Tiffin, R.: Environmental tipping points and food system dynamics: Main Report, The Global Food Security Programme, https://www.foodsecurity.ac.uk/publications/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2017.
- Berberian, A. G., Gonzalez, D. J. X., and Cushing, L. J.: Racial Disparities in Climate Change-Related Health Effects in the United States, Curr. Environ. Health. Rpt., 9, 451–464, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-022-00360-w, 2022.
- Berlemann, M. and Tran, T.X.: Climate-Related Hazards and Internal Migration Empirical Evidence for Rural Vietnam, Econ. Dis. Clim. Cha., 4, 385–409, https://doi.org/10.1007/s41885-020-00062-3, 2020.

- Boas, I., Wiegel, H., Farbotko, C, Warner, J., and Sheller, M.: Climate mobilities: migration, im/mobilities and mobility regimes in a changing climate, J. Ethn. Migr. Stud., 48, 3365–3379, https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2022.2066264, 2022.
- Boulton, C. A., Buxton, J. E., Arellano-Nova, B., Battiany, S., Blaschke, L., Boers, N., Dakos, V., Dylewsky, D., Kefi, S., Lopez-Martinez, C., Parry, I., Ritchie, P., van der Bolt, B., van der Laan, L., and Weinans, E.: Early warning signals of Earth system tipping points, in: Global Tipping Points Report, edited by: Lenton, T. M., Amrstrong McKay, D. I., Loriani, S., Abrams, S. J., Lade, S. J., Donges, J. F., Milkoreit, M., Powell, T., Smith, S. R., Zimm, C., Buxton, J. E., Bailey, E., Laybourn, L., Ghadiali, A., and Dyke, J. G., https://global-tipping-points.org/section1/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2023.
- Branch, A., Phillips, J., and Agyei, F. K.: Charcoal politics in Africa: Value chains, resource complexes, and energopolitics, Prog. Environ. Geogr., 2, 77–96, https://doi.org/10.1177/27539687231165798, 2023.
- Brännlund, A. and Peterson, L.: Power politics: How electric grievances shape election outcomes, Ecol. Econ., 217, 108077, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2023.108077, 2024.
- Brown, A. R.: Environmental anomie and the disruption of physical norms during disaster, Curr. Sociol., 72, 407–423, https://doi.org/10.1177/00113921221129316, 2022.
- Bruun, J., Allen, J. I., and Smyth, T. J.: Heartbeat of the Southern Oscillation explains ENSO climatic resonances, JGR Oceans, 122, 6746–6772, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012892, 2017.
- Buhaug, H.: Climate not to blame for African civil wars, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 16477–16482, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005739107, 2010.
- Buhaug, H. and von Uexkull, N.: Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change, Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour., 46, 545–568, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-012220-014708, 2021.
- Buhaug, H., Nordkvelle, J., Bernauer, T., Böhmelt, T., Brzoska, M., Busby, J. W., Ciccone, A., Fjelde, H., Gartzke, E., Gleditsch, N. P., Goldstone, J. A., Hegre, H., Holdermann, H., Koubi, V., Link, J. S. A., Link, P. M., Lujala, P., O'Loughlin, J., Raleigh, C., Scheffran, J., Schilling, J., Smith, T. G., Theisen, O. M., Tol, R. S. J., Urdal, H., and von Uexkull, N.: One effect to rule them all? A comment on climate and conflict, Clim, Change, 127, 391– 397, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1266-1, 2014.
- Burden, B. C., Fletcher, J. M., Herd, P., Jones, B. M., and Moynihan, D. P.: How Different Forms of Health Matter to Political Participation, J. Polit, 79, 166–178, https://doi.org/10.1086/687536, 2017.
- Burgess, M. G., Van Boven, L., Wagner, G., Wong-Parodi, G., Baker, K., Boykoff, M., Converse, B. A., Dilling, L., Gilligan, J. M., Inbar, Y., Markowitz, E., Moyer, J. D., Newton, P., Raimi, K. T., Shrum, T., and Vandenbergh, M. P.: Supply, demand and polarization challenges facing US climate policies, Nat. Clim. Change, 14, 134–142, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01906-y, 2024.
- Burke, M. B., Miguel, E., Satyanath, S., Dykema, J. A., and Lobell, D. B.: Warming increases the risk of civil war in Africa, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 20670–20674, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0907998106, 2009.

- Burns, J. K.: Poverty, inequality and a political economy of mental health, Epidemiol. Psychiatr. Sci., 24, 107–113, https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796015000086, 2015
- Bursztyn, L., Egorov, G., and Fiorin, S.: From Extreme to Mainstream: The Erosion of Social Norms, Am. Econ. Rev., 110, 3522–3548, https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20171175, 2020.
- Bury, T. M., Sujith, R. I., Pavithran, I., and Bauch, C. T.: Deep learning for early warning signals of tipping points, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2106140118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2106140118, 2021.
- Busching, R. and Krahé, B.: The Contagious Effect of Deviant Behavior in Adolescence: A Longitudinal Multilevel Study, Soc. Psychol. Pers. Sci., 9, 815–824, https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617725151, 2018.
- Bustamante, M., Roy, J., Ospina, D., Achakulwisut, P., Aggarwal, A., Bastos, A., Broadgate W., Canadell, J. G., Carr, E. R., Chen, D., Cleugh, H. A., Ebi, K. L., Edwards, C., Farbotko, C., Fernández-Martínez, M., Frölicher, T. L., Fuss, S., Geden, O., Gruber, N., Harrington, L. J., Hauck, J., Hausfather, Z., Hebden, S., Hebinck, A., Huq, S., Huss, M., Jamero, M. L. P., Juhola, S., Kumarasinghe, N., Lwasa, S., Mallick, B., Martin, M., Mc-Greevy, S., Mirazo, P., Mukherji, A., Muttitt, G., Nemet, G. F., Obura, D., Okereke, C., Oliver, T., Orlove, B., Ouedraogo, N. S., Patra, P. K., Pelling, M., Pereira, L M., Persson, Å., Pongratz, J., Prakash, A., Rammig, A., Raymond, C., Redman, A., Reveco, C., Rockström, J., Rodrigues, R., Rounce, D. R., Schipper, E. L. F., Schlosser, P., Selomane, O., Semieniuk, G., Shin, Y.-J., Siddiqui, T. A., Singh, V., Sioen, G. B., Sokona, Y., Stammer, D., Steinert, N. J., Suk, S., Sutton, R., Thalheimer, L., Thompson, V., Trencher, G., van der Geest, K., Werners, S. E., Wübbelmann, T., Wunderling, N., Yin, J., Zickfeld, K., and Zscheischler, J.: Ten new insights in climate science 2023, Glob. Sustain., 7, e19, https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2023.25, 2023
- Bygnes, S.: Are They Leaving Because of the Crisis? The Sociological Significance of Anomie as a Motivation for Migration, Sociology, 51, 258–273, https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038515589300, 2017.
- Caldecott, B., Clark, A., Koskelo, K., Mulholland, E., and Hickey, C.: Stranded Assets: Environmental Drivers, Societal Challenges, and Supervisory Responses, Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour, 46, 417–447, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-012220-101430, 2021.
- Carleton, T. A.: Crop-damaging temperatures increase suicide rates in India, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114, 8746–8751, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701354114, 2017
- Carlisle, K. and Gruby, R.L.: Polycentric Systems of Governance: A Theoretical Model for the Commons, Policy Stud. J., 47, 927– 952, https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12212, 2019.
- Casas-Prat, M. and Wang, X. L.: Projections of extreme ocean waves in the Arctic and potential implications for coastal inundation and erosion, J. Geophys. Res.-Ocean., 125, e2019JC015745, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015745, 2020.
- Center for Countering Digital Hate, Quant Lab: The New Climate Denial – How social media platforms and content producers profit by spreading new forms of climate denial, Report, https://counterhate.com/research/new-climate-denial/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2023.

- Chenet, H.: Climate change and biodiversity loss: new territories for financial authorities, Curr. Opin. Env. Sust., 68, 101449, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2024.101449, 2024.
- Chinazzi, M. and Fagiolo, G.: Chinazzi, M. and Fagiolo, G.: Banking Integration and Financial Crisis: Some Recent Developments, edited by: Fernández, I. A. and Tortosa, E., Fundación BBVA, 115–157, ISBN 9788492937608, 2015.
- Clarke, E. J. R., Ling, M., Kothe, E. J., Klas, A., and Richardson, B.: Mitigation system threat partially mediates the effects of rightwing ideologies on climate change beliefs, J. Appl. Soc. Psychol., 49, 349–360, https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12585, 2019.
- Clayton, S., Manning, C. M., Krygsman, K. and Speiser, M.: Mental Health and Our Changing Climate: Impacts, Implications, and Guidance, American Psychological Association and ecoAmerica, https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/2017/ 03/mental-health-climate.pdf (last access: 7 September 2024), 2017.
- Cole, J. C., Gillis, A., Linden, S. V. D., Cohen, M., and Vandenbergh, M.: Social Psychological Perspectives on Political Polarization: Insights and Implications for Climate Change, PsyArXiv [preprint], https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/xz6w, 2023.
- Constantino, S. M., Sparkman, G., Kraft-Todd, G. T., Bicchieri, C., Centola, D., Shell-Duncan, B., Vogt, S., and Weber, E. U.: Scaling Up Change: A Critical Review and Practical Guide to Harnessing Social Norms for Climate Action, Psychol. Sci. Publ. Int., 23, 50–97, https://doi.org/10.1177/15291006221105279, 2022.
- Crawford, N.: Pentagon fuel use: climate change and the costs of war, Watson Institute International and Public Affairs, Brown University, https://watson.brown.edu/costsofwar/papers/ ClimateChangeandCostofWar (last access: 7 September 2024), 2019.
- Czarnek, G., Kossowska, M., and Szwed, P.: Right-wing ideology reduces the effects of education on climate change beliefs in more developed countries, Nat. Clim. Change, 11, 9–13, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00930-6, 2021.
- Dafermos, Y., Nikolaidi, M., and Galanis, G.: Climate change, financial stability and monetary policy, Ecol. Econ., 152, 219–234, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.05.011, 2018.
- Daggett, C.: Petro-masculinity: Fossil fuels and authoritarian desire, Millennium, 47, 25–44, https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829818775817, 2018.
- Dakos, V., Carpenter, S. R., van Nes, E. H., and Scheffer, M.: Resilience indicators: prospects and limitations for early warnings of regime shifts, Philos. T. R. Soc. B, 370, 20130263, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0263, 2015.
- Dakos, V., Boulton, C. A., Buxton, J. E., Abrams, J. F., Armstrong McKay, D. I., Bathiany, S., Blaschke, L., Boers, N., Dylewsky, D., López-Martínez, C., Parry, I., Ritchie, P., van der Bolt, B., van der Laan, L., Weinans, E., and Kéfi, S.: Tipping Point Detection and Early-Warnings in climate, ecological, and human systems, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1773, 2023.
- Daoudy, M.: Rethinking the Climate–Conflict Nexus: A Human– Environmental–Climate Security Approach, Glob. Environ. Polit., 21, 4–25, https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_a_00609, 2021.
- Daoudy, M., Sowers, J., and Weinthal, E.: What is climate security? Framing risks around water, food, and migration in

the Middle East and North Africa, WIREs Water, 9, e1582, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1582, 2022.

- Darian-Smith, E.: Entangled Futures: Big Oil, Political Will, and the Global Environmental Movement, Perspect. Glob. Dev., 21, 403–425, https://doi.org/10.1163/15691497-12341640, 2023.
- de Klerk, L., Shmurak, A., Gassan-Zade, O., Shlapak, M., Tomliak, K., and Korthuis, A.: Climate Damage Caused by Russia's War in Ukraine, Report, Climatefocus, https://climatefocus.com/wpcontent/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2022.
- De La Sablonnière, R. and Taylor, D. M.: A social change framework for addressing collective action: introducing collective inertia, Curr. Opin. Psychol., 35, 65–70, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.03.006, 2020.
- Denton, F: Climate change vulnerability, impacts, and adaptation: Why does gender matter?, Gend. Dev., 10, 10–20, https://doi.org/10.1080/13552070215903, 2002.
- Devaney, L., Torney, D., Brereton, P., and Coleman, M.: Ireland's citizens' assembly on climate change: Lessons for deliberative public engagement and communication, Environ. Commun., 14, 141–146, https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2019.1708429, 2020.
- Dietz, S., Rising, J., Stoerk, T., and Wagner, G.: Economic impacts of tipping points in the climate system, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2103081118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103081118, 2021
- Dodds, P. S., and Watts, D. J.: Universal behavior in a generalized model of contagion, Phys. Rev. Lett., 92, 218701, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.218701, 2004.
- Doerr, S., Gissler, S., Peydró, J.-L., and Voth, H.-J.: Financial Crises and Political Radicalization: How Failing Banks Paved Hitler's Path to Power, J. Finance, 77, 3339–3372, https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.13166, 2022
- Donaghy, T. Q., Healy, N., Jiang, C. Y., and Battle, C. P.: Fossil fuel racism in the United States: How phasing out coal, oil, and gas can protect communities, Energ. Res. Soc. Sci., 100, 103104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.103104, 2023.
- Douglas, P. M. J., Demarest, A. A., Brenner, M., and Canuto, M. A.: Impacts of Climate Change on the Collapse of Lowland Maya Civilization, Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc., 44, 613–645, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-060115-012512, 2016.
- Dunlap, R. E., McCright, A. M., and Yarosh, J. H.: The Political Divide on Climate Change: Partisan Polarization Widens in the U.S. Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development, 58, 4–23, https://doi.org/10.1080/00139157.2016.1208995, 2016.
- Durkheim, E.: De La Division du Travail Social, Presse Universitaires de France, Les Presses universitaires de France, Paris, 1893.
- Durkheim, E.: Le Suicide, Étude de sociologie, Félix Alcan, Paris, 1897.
- Ehret, S., Constantino, S. M., Weber, E. U., Efferson, C., and Vogt, S.: Group identities can undermine social tipping after intervention, Nat. Hum. Behav, 6, 1669–1679, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01440-5, 2022.
- Ekberg, K., Forchtner, B., Hultman, M., and Julhä, K.: Climate Obstruction, How Denial, Delay and Inaction are Heating the Planet, Routledge, 156 pp., https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003181132, 2023.

- Falkenberg, M., Galeazzi, A., Torricelli, M., Di Marco, N., Larosa, F., Sas, M., Mekacher, A., Pearce, W., Zollo, F., Quattrociocchi, W., and Baronchelli, A.: Growing polarization around climate change on social media, Nat. Clim. Change, 12, 1114–112, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01527-x, 2022.
- FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO: The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022, Repurposing food and agricultural policies to make healthy diets more affordable, Rome, FAO, https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0639en, 2022.
- Farrell, J.: Corporate funding and ideological polarization about climate change, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 92–97, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509433112, 2016.
- Feigenbaum, M. J.: Universal Behaviour in Nonlinear Systems, in: Universality in Chaos, 2nd Edn., edited by: Cvitanović, P., Taylor & Francis Group, ISBN 100852742606, 1980.
- Fehr, E., Fischbacher, U., and Gächter, S.: Strong reciprocity, human cooperation, and the enforcement of social norms, Hum. Nat., 13, 1–25, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-002-1012-7, 2002.
- Ferrara, E.: Contagion dynamics of extremist propaganda in social networks, Inf. Sci., 418/419, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.07.030, 2017.
- Ferreira, M. A. M., Leite, Y. L. R., Junior, C. C., and Vicente, C. R.: Impact of climate change on public health in Brazil, Public Health Challenges, 2, e62, https://doi.org/10.1002/puh2.62, 2023.
- Ferris, E. and Weerasinghe, S.: Promoting human security: Planned relocation as a protection tool in a time of climate change, J. Migrat. Human Secur., 8, 134–149, https://doi.org/10.1177/2331502420909305, 2020.
- FEWS NET: Famine Early Warning Systems Network, Data, https: //fews.net (last access: 7 September 2024), 2018.
- Filatova, T., Verburg, P. H., Parker, D. C., and Stannard, C. A.: Spatial agent-based models for socio-ecological systems: Challenges and prospects, Environ. Model. Softw., 45, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.03.017, 2013.
- Fink, C., Schmidt, A., Barash, V., Kelly, J., Cameron, C., and Macy, M.: Investigating the Observability of Complex Contagion in Empirical Social Networks, Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 10, 121–130, https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v10i1.14751, 2021.
- Flache, A., Mäs, M., Feliciani, T., Chattoe-Brown, E., Deffuant, G., Huet, S., and Lorenz, J.: Models of social influence: Towards the next frontiers, JASSS, 20, 2, https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss.3521, 2017.
- Flores, A., Cole, J.C., Dickert, S., Eom, K., Jiga-Boy, G.M., Kogut, T., Loria, R., Mayorga, M., Pedersen, E.J., Pereira, B. and Rubaltelli, E.: Politicians polarize and experts depolarize public support for COVID-19 management policies across countries. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 119, e2117543119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117543119, 2022.
- Ford, J. D., Clark, D. G., Copland, L., and Peace, T.: IHACC Research Team and Harper, S. L.: Projected decrease in trail access in the Arctic, Commun. Earth Environ., 4, 23, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00685-w, 2023.
- Frank, T.: Climate Change Is Destabilizing Insurance Industry. Scientific American, E&E News, https://www.scientificamerican.com/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2023.

- Franzke, C. L. E., Ciullo, A., Gilmore, E. A., Matias, D.M., Nagabhatla, N., Orlov, A., Paterson, S. K., Scheffran, J., and Sillmann, J.: Perspectives on tipping points in integrated models of the natural and human Earth system: cascading effects and telecoupling, Environ. Res. Lett., 17, 15004, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac42fd, 2022.
- Fritsche, I., Cohrs, J. C., Kessler, T., and Bauer, J.: Global warming is breeding social conflict: The subtle impact of climate change threat on authoritarian tendencies, J. Environ. Psychol., 32, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2011.10.002, 2012.
- Funke, M., Schularick, M., and Trebesch, C.: Going to extremes: Politics after financial crises, 1870–2014, Eur. Econ. Rev., 88, 227–260, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2016.03.006, 2016.
- Gadarian, S. K.: The Politics of Threat: How Terrorism News Shapes Foreign Policy Attitudes, J. Politics, 72, 469–483, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022381609990910, 2010.
- Gai, P. and Kapadia, S.: Contagion in Financial Networks, Bank of England Working Paper No. 383, https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1577043, 2010.
- Gaikwad, M., Ahirrao S., Kotecha, K., and Abraham, A.: Multi-Ideology Multi-Class Extremism Classification Using Deep Learning Techniques, IEEE Access., 10, 104829–104843, https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3205744, 2022.
- Gaupp, F., Constantino, S., and Pereira, L.: The role of agency in social tipping processes, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1533, 2023.
- Ge, Q., Hao, M., Ding, F., Jiang, D., Scheffran, J., Helman, D., and Ide, T.: Modelling armed conflict risk under climate change with machine learning and time-series data, Nat. Commun., 13, 2839, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30356-x, 2022.
- Giannini, A., Krishnamurthy, P. K., Cousin, R., Labidi, N., and Choularton, R. J.: Climate risk and food security in Mali: A historical perspective on adaptation, Earth's Future, 5, 144–157, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EF000404, 2017.
- Gioli, G., Hugo, G., Máñez Costa, M., and Scheffran, J.: Human mobility, climate adaptation, and development, Migr. Dev., 5, 165–170, https://doi.org/10.1080/21632324.2015.1096590, 2016.
- Goldberg, R. F. and Vandenberg, L. N.: Distract, delay, disrupt: examples of manufactured doubt from five industries, Rev. Environ. Health, 34, 349–363, https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2019-0004, 2019.
- Green, J., Druckman, J. N., Baum, M. A., Lazer, D., Ognyanova, K., and Perlis, R. H.: Depressive symptoms and conspiracy beliefs, Appl. Cogn. Psychol, 37, 332–359. https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.4011, 2023.
- Grimm, S. and Schneider, G.: Predicting Social Tipping Points. Current Research and the way forward. Discussion Paper, German Development Institute, https://www.idosresearch.de/en/discussion-paper/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2011.
- Groundstroem, F. and Juhola, S.: Using systems thinking and causal loop diagrams to identify cascading climate change impacts on bioenergy supply systems, Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change, 26, 29, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-021-09967-0, 2021.
- Guastello, S. J.: Chaos and conflict: Recognizing patterns, Emerg.: Complex. Organ,

https://journal.emergentpublications.com/Article/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2008.

- Guégan, D.: Chaos in Economics and Finance, Annu. Rev. Control., 33, 89–93, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcontrol.2009.01.002, 2009
- Guilbeault, D., Becker, J., and Centola, D.: Complex Contagions: A Decade in Review, in: Complex Spreading Phenomena in Social Systems, edited by: Lehmann, S. and Ahn, Y., Springer Nature, 3–25, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77332-2, 2018.
- Guilbeault, D. and Centola, D.: Topological measures for identifying and predicting the spread of complex contagions, Nat. Commun., 12, 4430, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24704-6, 2021.
- Guo, W., Gleditsch, K., and Wilson, A.: Retool AI to Forecast and Limit Wars, Nature, 562, 331–333, https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07026-4, 2018.
- Guo, W., Sun, S., and Wilson, A.: Exploring Potential Causal Models for Climate-Society-Conflict Interaction, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Complexity, Future Information Systems and Risk – COMPLEXIS, 69–76, https://doi.org/10.5220/0011968400003485, 2023.
- Gustafson, A., Rosenthal, S. A., Ballew, M. T., Goldberg, M. H., Bergquist, P., Kotcher, J. E., Maibach, E. W., and Leiserowitz, A.: The development of partisan polarization over the Green New Deal, Nat. Clim. Chane, 9, 940–944, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0621-7, 2019.
- Haldane, A. and May, R.: Systemic risk in banking ecosystems, Nature, 469, 351–355, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09659, 2011.
- Halikiopoulou, D.: A Right-wing Populist Momentum: A Review of 2017 Elections across Europe, J. Common Mark. Stud., 56, 63–73, https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12769, 2018.
- Hamideh, S., Sen, P., and Fischer, E.: Wildfire impacts on education and healthcare: Paradise, California, after the Camp Fire, Nat. Hazards, 111, 353–387, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-021-05057-1, 2022.
- Hangartner, D., Gennaro, G., Alasiri, S., Bahrich, N., Bornhoft, A., Boucher, J., Demirci, B. B., Derksen, L., Hall, A., Jochum, M., and Munoz, M. M.: Empathy-based counterspeech can reduce racist hate speech in a social media field experiment, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2116310118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2116310118, 2021.
- Hanson, T.: Biodiversity conservation and armed conflict: a warfare ecology perspective, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 1429, 50–65, https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13689, 2018
- Haraldsson, H. V.: Introduction to System Thinking and Causal Loop Diagrams, Lund University, Sweden, Reports in Ecology and Environmental Engineering, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Hoerdur-Haraldsson/ publication/258261003_Introduction_to_system_thinking_ and_causal_loop_diagrams/links/5bcceed6458515f7d9d01e81/ Introduction-to-system-thinking-and-causal-loop-diagrams. pdfhttps://www.researchgate.net/profile/Hoerdur-Haraldsson/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2004.
- Hauer, M. E., Fussell, E., Mueller, V., Burkett, M., Call, M., Abel, K., McLeman, R. and Wrathall, D.: Sea-level rise and human migration, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 1, 28–39, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0002-9, 2020.
- Hawkins, R. L. and Maurer, K.: "You fix my community, you have fixed my life": the disruption and rebuilding of on-

tological security in New Orleans, Disasters, 35, 143–159, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7717.2010.01197.x, 2011.

- Hessen, D. O., Andersen, T., Armstrong McKay, D., Kosten, S., Meerhoff, M., Pickard, A., and Spears, B. M.: Lake ecosystem tipping points and climate feedbacks, Earth Syst. Dynam., 15, 653–669, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-653-2024, 2024.
- Hetherington, M. J. and Suhay, E.: Authoritarianism, Threat, and Americans' Support for the War on Terror, Am. J. Pol. Sci., 55, 546–560, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00514.x, 2011.
- Hickman, C., Marks, E., Pihkala, P., Clayton, S., Lewandowski, R. E., Mayall, E. E., Wray, B., Mellor, C., and Van Susteren, L.: Climate anxiety in children and young people and their beliefs about government responses to climate change: a global survey, Lancet Planet. Health, 5, e863–e873, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00278-3, 2021.
- Hirsch, M.: Becoming authoritarian for the greater good? Authoritarian attitudes in context of the societal crises of COVID-19 and climate change, Front. Polit. Sci., 4, 929991, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2022.929991, 2022.
- Hirsch, M. W., Smale, S., and Devaney, R. L.: Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and an Introduction to Chaos, 3rd Edn., Elsevier, https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-61160-0, 2012.
- Hoffarth, M. R. and Hodson, G.: Green on the outside, red on the inside: Perceived environmentalist threat as a factor explaining political polarization of climate change, J. Environ. Psychol., 45, 40–49, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.11.002, 2016.
- Hoffmann, R., Šedová, B., and Vinke, K.: Improving the evidence base: A methodological review of the quantitative climate migration literature, Glob. Environ. Change, 71, 102367, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102367, 2021.
- Hornsey, M., Harris, E., Bain, P., and Fielding, K. S.: Meta-analyses of the determinants and outcomes of belief in climate change, Nat. Clim. Change, 6, 622–626, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2943, 2016.
- Hübscher, E., Sattler, T., and Wagner, M.: Does Austerity Cause Polarization?, Brit. J. Pol. Sci., 53, 1170–1188, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123422000734, 2023.
- Huddy, L. and Feldmann, S.: Americans respond politically to 9/11: Understanding the impact of the terrorist attacks and their aftermath, Am. Psychol., 66, 455–467, https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024894, 2011.
- Huggel, C., Bouwer, L.M., Juhola, S., Mechler, R., Muccione, V., Orlove, B., and Wallimann-Helmer, I.: The existential risk space of climate change, Clim. Change, 174, 8, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03430-y, 2022.
- Ide, T., Johnson, M. F., Barnett, J., Krampe, F., Le Billon, P., Maertens, L., von Uexkull, N., and Vélez-Torres, I.: The Future of Environmental Peace and Conflict Research, Environ. Polit., 32, 1077–1103, https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2022.2156174, 2023.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, https://doi.org/10.1017/97810093258442022, 2022.
- Irrgang, A. M., Bendixen, M., Farquharson, L. M., Baranskaya, A. V., Erikson, L. H., Gibbs, A. E., Ogorodov, S. A., Overduin, P. P., Lantuit, H., Grigoriev, M. N., and Jones, B. M.: Drivers, dynamics and impacts of changing Arctic coasts, Nat. Rev. Earth

Environ., 3, 39–54, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00232-1, 2022.

- Islam, R., Schech, S., and Saikia, U.: Climate change events in the Bengali migration to the Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT) in Bangladesh, Clim. Dev., 13, 375–385, https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2020.1780191, 2021.
- Jackson, J. C., van Egmond, M., Choi, V. K., Ember, C. R., Halberstadt, J., Balanovic, J., Basker, I. N., Boehnke, K., Buki, N., Fischer, R., Fulop, M., Fulmer, A., Homan, A. C., van Kleef, G. A., Kreemers, L., Schei, V., Szabo, E., Ward, C., and Gelfand, J.: Ecological and cultural factors underlying the global distribution of prejudice, PLoS ONE, 14, e0221953, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221953, 2019.
- Jermacane, D., Waite, T. D., Beck, C. R., Bone, A., Amlôt, R., Reacher, M., Kovats, S., Armstrong, B., Leonardi, G., James Rubin, G., and Oliver, I.: The English National Cohort Study of Flooding and Health: the change in the prevalence of psychological morbidity at year two, BMC Public Health, 18, 330, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5236-9, 2018.
- Juhola, S., Filatova, T., Hochrainer-Stigler, S., Mechler, R., Scheffran, J., and Schweizer, P.-J.: Social Tipping Points and Adaptation Limits in the Context of Systemic Risk: Concepts, Models and Governance, Front. Clim., 4, 1009234, https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.1009234, 2022.
- Julhä, K. M. and Hellmer, K.: Right-wing populism and climate change denial: The roles of exclusionary and antiegalitarian preferences, conservative ideology, and antiestablishment attitudes, Anal. Soc. Iss. Publ. Pol., 20, 315–335, https://doi.org/10.1111/asap.12203, 2020.
- Karsai, M., Iñiguez, G., Kaski, K., and Kerteisz, J.: Complex contagion process in spreading of online innovation, J. R. Soc. Interf., 11, 20140694, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0694, 2014.
- Kaczan, D. J. and Orgill-Meyer, J.: The impact of climate change on migration: a synthesis of recent empirical insights, Climatic Change, 158, 281–300, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02560-0, 2020.
- Kedward, K., Ryan-Collins, J., and Chenet, H.: Biodiversity loss and climate change interactions: financial stability implications for central banks and financial supervisors, Clim. Policy, 23, 763–781, https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2107475, 2023.
- Keen, S., Lenton, T. M., Garrett, T. J., and Grasselli, M.: Estimates of economic and environmental damages from tipping points cannot be reconciled with the scientific literature, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 119, e2117308119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117308119, 2022.
- Kelley, C. P., Mohtadi, S., Cane, M. A., Seager, R., and Kushnir, Y.: Climate change in the Fertile Crescent and implications of the recent Syrian drought, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 3241–3246, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421533112, 2015.
- Kester, J. and Sovacool, B. K.: Torn between war and peace: Critiquing the use of war to mobilize peaceful climate action, Energ. Policy, 104, 50–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.01.026, 2017
- Kimmel, M.: Healing from Hate: How Young Men Get Into and Out of – Violent Extremism, University of California Press, ISBN 0520292634, 2018.

- Kiyotaki, N. and Moore, J.: Balance-sheet contagion, Am. Econ. Rev., 92, 46–50, https://doi.org/10.1257/000282802320188989, 2002.
- Klose, A. K., Wunderling, N., Winkelmann, R., and Donges, J. F.: What do we mean, 'tipping cascade'?, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 125011, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac3955, 2021.
- Kolmes, S. A.: The Social Feedback Loop, Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development, 50, 57–58, https://doi.org/10.3200/ENVT.50.2.57-58, 2008.
- Kornhuber, K., Lesk, C., Schleussner, C. F., Jägermeyr, J., Pfleiderer, P., and Horton, R. M.: Risks of synchronized low yields are underestimated in climate and crop model projections, Nat. Commun., 14, 3528, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38906-7, 2023
- Koubi, V.: Climate Change and Conflict, Annu. Rev. Polit. Sci, 22, 343–360, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-050317-070830, 2019.
- Kousser, T. and Tranter, B.: The influence of political leaders on climate change attitudes, Glob. Environ. Change, 50, 100–109, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.03.005, 2018.
- Krishnamurthy, R. P. K., Fisher, J. B., Schimel, D. S., and Kareiva, P. M.: Applying tipping point theory to remote sensing science to improve early warning drought signals for food security, Earth's Future, 8, e2019EF001456, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001456, 2020
- Krishnamurthy, R. P. K., Fisher, J. B., Choularton, R. J., and Kareiva, P. M.: Anticipating drought-related food security changes, Nat. Sustain, 5, 956–964, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00962-0, 2022.
- Krönke, J., Wunderling, N., Winkelmann, R., Staal, A., Stumpf, B., Tuinenburg, O. A., and Donges, J. F.: Dynamics of tipping cascades on complex networks, Phys. Rev. E, 101, 042311, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.042311, 2020.
- Lama, P., Homza, M., and Wester, M.: Gendered dimensions of migration in relation to climate change, Clim. Dev., 13, 326–336, https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2020.1772708, 2021.
- Lamb, W. F., Mattioli, G., Levi, S., Roberts, J. T., Capstick, S., Creutzig, F., Minx, J. C., Müller-Hansen, F., Culhane, T., and Steinberger, J. K.: Discourses of climate delay, Glob. Sustain., 3, e17, https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2020.13, 2020.
- Lamperti, F., Bosetti, V., Roventini, A., and Tavoni, M.: The public costs of climate-induced financial instability, Nat. Clim. Change, 9, 829–833, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0607-5, 2019.
- Lawrence, E., Thompson, R., Fontana, G., and Jennings, N.: The impact of climate change on mental health and emotional wellbeing: current evidence and implications for policy and practice, Graham Institute & Institute for Global Health Innovation, Imperial College London, Institute for Global Health Innovation and Graham Institute, Nr. 36, https://doi.org/10.25561/88568, 2021.
- Laybourn, L., Evans, J., and Dyke, J.: Derailment risk: A systems analysis that identifies risks which could derail the sustainability transition, Earth Syst. Dynam., 14, 1171–1182, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-14-1171-2023, 2023.
- Layton, M. L., Smith, A. E., Moseley, M. W., and Cohen, M. J.: Demographic polarization and the rise of the far right: Brazil's 2018 presidential election, Res. Poli., 8, 7 pp., https://doi.org/10.1177/2053168021990204, 2021.
- Lenton, T. M.: Tipping positive change, Philos. T. R. Soc. B, 375, 20190123, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0123, 2020.

- Lenton, T. M., Benson, S., Smith, T., Ewer, T., Lanel, V., Petykowski, E., Powell, T. W. R, Abrams, J. F., Blomsma, F., and Sharpe, S.: Operationalising positive tipping points towards global sustainability, Glob. Sustain., 5, e1, https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2021.30, 2022.
- Lenton, T. M., Xu, C., Abrams, J. F., Ghadiali, A., Loriani, S., Sakschewski, B., Zimm, C., Ebi, K. L., Dunn, R. R., Svenning, J.-C., and Scheffer, M.: Quantifying the human cost of global warming, Nat. Sustain., 6, 1237–1247, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-023-01132-6, 2023.
- Leonard, N. E., Lipsitz, K., Bizyaeva, A., Franci, A., and Lelkes, Y.: The non-linear feedback dynamics of asymmetric political polarization, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2102149118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102149118, 2021.
- Lettinga, N., Jacquet, P. O., André, J. B., Baumand, N., and Chevallier, C..: Environmental adversity is associated with lower investment in collective actions, PLoS One, 15, e0236715, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236715, 2020.
- Levitsky, S. and Ziblatt, D.: How Democracies Die, Penguin Random House, ISBN 9781524762940, 2018.
- Liu, T., Chen, D., Yang, L., Meng, J., Wang, Z., Ludescher, J., Fan, J., Yang, S., Chen, D., Kurths, J., Chen, X., Havlin, S., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Teleconnections among tipping elements in the Earth system, Nat. Clim. Change, 13, 67–74, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01558-4, 2023.
- Lockwood, M.: Right-wing populism and the climate change agenda: exploring the linkages, Environ. Polit., 27, 712–732, https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2018.1458411, 2018.
- Lu, Y.-C. and Romps, D. M.: Is a wet-bulb temperature of 35 °C the correct threshold for human survivability?, Environ. Res. Lett., 18, 094021, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ace83c, 2023.
- Mach, K. J., Kraan, C. M., Adger, W. N., Buhaug, H., Burke, M., Fearon, J. D., Field, C. B. Hendrix, C. S., Maystadt, J.-F., O'Loughlin, J., Roessler, P., Scheffran, J., Schultz, K. A., and von Uexkull, N.: Climate as a Risk Factor for Armed Conflict, Nature, 571, 193–197, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1300-6, 2019.
- Macy, M. W., Manqing, M., Tabin, D. R., and Gao, J.: Polarization and tipping points, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2102144118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102144118, 2021.
- Malerba, D.: The Effects of Social Protection and Social Cohesion on the Acceptability of Climate Change Mitigation Policies: What Do We (Not) Know in the Context of Low- and Middle-Income Countries?, Eur. J. Dev. Res., 34, 1358–1382, https://doi.org/10.1057/s41287-022-00537-x, 2022.
- Manchin, M. and Orazbayev, S.: Social networks and the intention to migrate, World Dev., 109, 360–374, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.05.011, 2018.
- Mann, M. E.: The New Climate War: The Fight to Take Back Our Planet, PublicAffairs, ISBN 9781541758223, 2021.
- Marcucci, G., Mazzuto, G., Bevilacqua, M., Ciarapica, F. E., and Urciuoli, L.: Conceptual model for breaking ripple effect and cycles within supply chain resilience, Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal, 23, 252– 271, https://doi.org/10.1080/16258312.2022.2031275, 2022.
- Marsden, L., Ryan-Collins, J., Abrams, J., and Lenton, T.: Ecosystem tipping points: Understanding risks to the economy and financial system, UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose, Policy Report, 2024/03, https://www.ucl.ac.uk/bartlett/

public-purpose/2024/apr/ecosystem-tipping-points (last access: 7 September 2024), 2024.

- Martin, H. O., Quiquet, A., Nicolas, T., Giraud, G., Charbit, S., and Roche, D. M.: Climate-Induced Economic Damages Can Lead to Private-Debt Tipping Points, HAL, https://hal.science/ hal-04224077v2 (last access: 7 September 2024), 2024.
- Martin-Shields, C. P. and Stojetz, W.: Food security and conflict: Empirical challenges and future opportunities for research and policy making on food security and conflict, World Dev., 110, 150–164, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.07.011, 2019.
- Mastrorillo, M., Licker, R., Bohra-Mishra, P., Fagiolo, G., Estes, L. D., and Oppenheimer, M.: The influence of climate variability on internal migration flows in South Africa, Glob. Environ. Change, 39, 155–169, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.04.014, 2016.
- May, R., Levin, S., and Sugihara, G.: Ecology for bankers, Nature, 451, 893–894, https://doi.org/10.1038/451893a, 2008.
- McAdam, J.: Climate change, forced migration, and international law, Oxford University Press, ISBN 9780199587087, 2012.
- McLeman, R.: Thresholds in climate migration, Popul. Environ., 39, 319–338, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-017-0290-2, 2018.
- McLeman, R., Wrathall, D., Gilmore, E., Thornton, P., Adams, H., and Gemenne, F.: Conceptual framing to link climate risk assessments and climate-migration scholarship, Climatic Change, 165, 24, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03056-6, 2021.
- McNeil-Willson, R., Gerrand, V., Scrinzi, F., and Triandafyllidou, A.: Polarisation, violent extremism and resilience in Europe today: an analytical framework, BRaVE, 2019/D2.1, https://hdl. handle.net/1814/65664 (last access: 7 September 2024), 2019.
- Méjean, A., Collins-Sowah P., Guivarch, C., Piontek, F., Soergel, B., and Taconet, N.: Climate change impacts increase economic inequality: evidence from a systematic literature review, Environ. Res. Lett., 19, 043003, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad376e, 2024.
- Miller, D. M. S.: Public trust in the aftermath of natural and natechnological disasters: Hurricane Katrina and the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear incident, Int. J. Sociol. Soc. Pol., 36, 410–431, https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-02-2015-0030, 2016.
- Millward-Hopkins, J.: Why the impacts of climate change may make us less likely to reduce emissions, Glob. Sustain., 5, E21, https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2022.20, 2022.
- Milkoreit, M.: Social tipping points everywhere? Patterns and risks of overuse, Wiley Interdiscip, Rev. Clim, 14, e813, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.813, 2023.
- Milkoreit, M. Boyd, E., Constantino, S. M., Hausner, V. H., Hessen, D. O., Kääb, A., McLaren, D., Nadeau, C., O'Brien, K., Parmentier, F.-J., Rotbarth, R., Rødven, R., Treichler, D., Wilson-Rowe, E., and Yamineva, Y.: Governance for Earth system tipping points – A research agenda, Earth Syst. Gov., 21, 100216, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esg.2024.100216, 2024.
- Min, B. and Miguel, M. S.: Competing contagion processes: Complex contagion triggered by simple contagion, Sci. Rep., 8, 10422, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28615-3, 2018.
- Morrison, T. H., Bodin, O., Cumming, G. S., Lubell, M., Seppelt, R., Seppelt, T., and Weible, C. M.: Building blocks of polycentric governance, Policy Stud. J., 51, 475–499, https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12492, 2023.

- Newell, P., Srivastava, S., Naess, L. O., Torres Contreras, G. A., and Price, R.: Toward transformative climate justice: An emerging research agenda, WIRES Clim. Change, 12, e733, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.733, 2021.
- Ngaruiya, G. W. and Scheffran, J.: Actors and networks in resource conflict resolution under climate change in rural Kenya, Earth Syst. Dynam., 7, 441–452, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-7-441-2016, 2016.
- Nielsen, D. M., Pieper, P., Barkhordarian, A., Overduin, P., Ilyina, T., Brovkin, V., Baehr, J., and Dobrynin, M.: Increase in Arctic coastal erosion and its sensitivity to warming in the twenty-first century, Nat. Clim. Change, 12, 263–270, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01281-0, 2022.
- Ogunbode, C. A., Doran, R., Hanss, D., Ojala, M., Salmela-Aro, K., van den Broek, K. L., Bhullar, N., Aquino, S. D., Marot, T., Schermer, J. A., and Wlodarczyk, A.: Climate anxiety, wellbeing and pro-environmental action: Correlates of negative emotional responses to climate change in 32 countries, J. Environ. Psychol., 84, 101887, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101887, 2022.
- Orazani, S. N., Reynolds, K. J., and Osborne, H.: What works and why in interventions to strengthen social cohesion: A systematic review, J. Appl. Soc. Psychol., 53, 938–995, https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12990, 2023.
- Otto, I. M., Donges, J. F., Cremades, R., Bhowmik, A., Hewitt, R. J., Lucht, W., Rockström, J., Allerberger, F., McCaffrey, M., Doe, S. S. P., Lenferna, A., Morán, N., van Vuuren, D. P., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Social tipping dynamics for stabilizing Earth's climate by 2050, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 117, 2354– 2365, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900577117, 2020.
- Park, J. S., O'Brien, J. C., Cai, C. J., Ringel Morris, M., Liang, P., and Bernstein, M. S.: Generative Agents: Interactive Simulacra of Human Behavior, arXiv, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.03442, 2023.
- Paz, L. V., Viola, T. W., Milanesi, B. B., Sulzbach, J. H., Mestriner, R. G., Wieck, A., and Xavier, L. L.: Contagious depression: Automatic mimicry and the mirror neuron system – A review, Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev., 134, 104509, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.12.032, 2022.
- Pearce, L., Murphy, B., and Chrétien, A.: From Displacement to Hope: A Guide for Displaced Indigenous Communities and Host Communities, Contemporary Studies, 10, Wilfrid Laurier University, http://scholars.wlu.ca/brantford_ct/10 (last access: 7 September 2024), 2017.
- Pearson, J., Jackson, G., and McNamara, K. E.: Climatedriven losses to knowledge systems and cultural heritage: A literature review exploring the impacts on Indigenous and local cultures, Anthropocene Rev., 10, 343–366, https://doi.org/10.1177/20530196211005482, 2023
- Pereira, L. M., Gianelli, I., Achieng, T., Amon, D., Archibald, S., Arif, S., Castro, A., Prosper Chimbadzwa, T., Coetzer, K., Field, T.-L., Selomane, O., Sitas, N., Stevens, N., Villasante, S., Armani, M., Kimuyu, D. M., Adewumi, I. J., Lapola, D. M., Obura, D., Pinho, P., Roa-Clavijo, F., Rocha, J., and Sumaila, R.: Equity and justice should underpin the discourse on tipping points, Earth Syst. Dynam., 15, 341–366, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-341-2024, 2024
- Piff, P. K., Stancato, D. M., Côté, S., Mendoza-Denton, R., and Keltner, D.: Higher social class predicts increased un-

ethical behavior, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 4086–4091, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1118373109, 2012

- Rai, A.: Chasing the ghosts: stories of people left behind on the frontline of climate and ecological crisis, S. Afr. J. Psychol., 52, 460–471, https://doi.org/10.1177/00812463221130902, 2022.
- Rantanen, M., Karpechko, A. Y., Lipponen, A., Nordling, K., Hyvärinen, O., Ruosteenoja, K., Vihma, T., and Laaksonen, A.: The Arctic has warmed nearly four times faster than the globe since 1979, Commun. Earth Environ., 3, 168, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00498-3, 2022.
- polarization Rapp, C.: Moral opinion and the erosion of trust, Soc. Sci. Res., 58, 34-45, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2016.02.008, 2016
- Ravndal, J. A.: Explaining right-wing terrorism and violence in Western Europe: Grievances, opportunities and polarisation, Eur. J. Polit. Res., 57, 845–866, https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12254, 2018.
- Richards, C. E., Lupton, R. C., and Allwood, J. M.: Re-framing the threat of global warming: an empirical causal loop diagram of climate change, food insecurity and societal collapse, Climatic Change, 164, 49, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-02957-w, 2021
- Ritchie, P. D., Smith, G. S., Davis, K. J., Fezzi, C., Halleck-Vega, S., Harper, A.B., Boulton, C. A., Binner, A. R., Day, B. H., Gallego-Sala, A. V., and Mecking, J. V.: Shifts in national land use and food production in Great Britain after a climate tipping point, Nat. Food, 1, 76–83, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-019-0011-3, 2020.
- Rockström, J., Gupta, J., Quin, D., Lade, S. J., Abrams, J. F., Andersen, L. S., Armstrong McKay, D. I., Bai, X., Bala, G., Bunn, S. E., Ciobanu, D., DeClerck, F., Ebi, K., Gifford, L., Gordon, C., Hasan, S., Kanie, N., Lenton, T. M., Loriani, S., Liverman, D. M., Mohamed, A., Nakicenovic, N., Obura, D., Ospina, D., Prodani, K., Rammelt, C., Sakschewski, B., Scholtens, J., Stewart-Koster, B., Tharammal, T., van Vuuren, D., Verburg, P. H., Wikelmann, R., Zimm, C., Bennett, E. M., Bringezu, S., Broadgate, W., Green, P. A., Huang, L., Jacobson, L., Ndehedehe, C., Pedde, S., Rocha, J., Scheffer, M., Schulte-Uebbing, L., de Vries, W., Xiao, C., Xu, C., Xu, X., Zafra-Calvo, N., and Zhang, X.: Safe and just Earth system boundaries, Nature, 619, 102–111, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06083-8, 2023
- Ross, A. R., Modi, M., Paresky, P., Jussim, L., Harrell, B., Goldenberg, A., Goldenberg, P., Finkelstein, D., Farmer, J., Holden, K., Riggleman, D., Shapiro, J., and Finkelstein, J.: A Contagion of Institutional Distrust: Viral Disinformation of the COVID Vaccine and the Road to Reconciliation, Network Contagion Research Institute, Rutgers University, https://networkcontagion. us/wp-content/uploads/NCRI_Anti-Vaccination_v4.pdf (last access: 7 September 2024), 2022.
- Roukny, T., Bersini, H., Pirotte, H., Caldarelli, G., and Battiston, S.: Default cascades in complex networks: topology and systemic risk, Sci. Rep., 3, 2759, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02759, 2013
- Rousseau, C., Aggarwal, N. K., and Kirmayer, L. J.: Radicalization to Violence: A View from Cultural Psychiatry. Transcult, Psychiatry, 58, 603–615, https://doi.org/10.1177/13634615211048010, 2021.
- Russo, S., Mirisola, A., Dallago, F., and Roccato, M.: Facing natural disasters through the endorsement of au-

thoritarian attitudes, J. Environ. Psychol., 68, 101412, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2020.101412, 2020.

- Sadiddin, A., Cattaneo, A., Cirillo, M., and Miller, M.: Food insecurity as a determinant of international migration: evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa, Food Secur., 11, 515–530, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-019-00927-w, 2019.
- Sakaguchi, K., Varughese, A., and Auld, G.: Climate Wars? A Systematic Review of Empirical Analyses on the Links between Climate Change and Violent Conflict, Int. Stud. Rev., 19, 622–645, https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/vix022, 2017
- Samitas, A., Kampouris, E., and Kenourgios, D.: Machine learning as an early warning system to predict financial crisis, Int. Rev. Finan. Anal., 71, 101507, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101507, 2020.
- Sanches-Pereira, A. and Gómez M. F.: The dynamics of the Swedish biofuel system toward a vehicle fleet independent of fossil fuels, J. Clean. Prod., 96, 452–466, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.03.019, 2015.
- Scartozzi, C.: Reframing climate-induced socio-environmental conflicts, A systematic review, Int. Stud. Rev., 23, 696–725, https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/viaa064, 2020.
- Scatà, M., Di Stefano, A., La Corte, A., and Liò, P.: Quantifying the propagation of distress and mental disorders in social networks, Sci. Rep., 8, 5005, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23260-2, 2018.
- Scheffer, M.: Critical Transitions in Nature and Society, Princeton Studies in Complexity, Princeton University Press, ISBN 9780691122045, 2009.
- Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., Held, H., Van Nes, E. H., Rietkerk, M., and Sugihara, G.: Early-warning signals for critical transitions, Nature, 461, 53–59, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08227, 2009.
- Scheffran, J. and Hannon, B.: From Complex Conflicts to Stable Cooperation: Cases in Environment and Security, Complexity, 13, 78–91, https://doi.org/10.1002/cplx.20201, 2007.
- Scheffran, J., Brzoska, M., Kominek, J., Link, P. M., and Schilling, J.: Climate Change and Violent Conflict, Science, 336, 869–871, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1221339, 2012.
- Scheffran, J., Guo, W., Krampe, F., and Okpara, U.: Tipping cascades between conflict and cooperation in climate change, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1766, 2023.
- Schelling, T.: Micromotives and Macrobehavior, W.W. Norton & Company, ISBN 9780393329469, 1978.
- Schneider, C. R. and van der Linden, S.: Social norms as a powerful lever for motivating pro-climate actions, One Earth, 6, 346–351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2023.03.014, 2023.
- Selby, J., Dahi, O. S., Fröhlich, C., and Hulme, M.: Climate change and the Syrian civil war revisited, Polit. Geogr., 60, 232–244, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2017.05.007, 2017.
- Sharma, D. C.: Climate disasters challenge health infrastructures in India, Lancet, 402, 279–280, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01512-X, 2023
- Shemyakina, O.: War, Conflict, and Food Insecurity, Annu. Rev. Res. Econ., 14, 313–332, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevresource-111920-021918, 2022.
- Sillmann, J., Christensen, I., Hochrainer-Stigler, S., Huang-Lachmann, J., Juhola, S., Kornhuber, K., Mahecha, M., Mechler, R., Reichstein, M., Ruane, A.C., Schweizer, P.-J., and

Williams, S.: ISC-UNDRR-RISK KAN Briefing note on systemic risk, International Science Council, Paris, Report, https://doi.org/10.24948/2022.01, 2022.

- Singh, C., van der Ent, R., Fetzer, I., and Wang-Erlandsson, L.: Multi-fold increase in rainforests tipping risk beyond 1.5–2 °C warming, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1486, 2023.
- Skinner, E. B., Glidden, C. K., MacDonald, A. J., and Mordecai, E. A.: Human footprint is associated with shifts in the assemblages of major vector-borne diseases, Nat. Sustain., 6, 652–661, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-023-01080-1, 2023.
- Smirnov, O., Lahav, G., Orbell, J., Zhang, M., and Xiao, T.: Climate Change, Drought, and Potential Environmental Migration Flows Under Different Policy Scenarios, Int. Migr. Rev., 57, 36– 67, https://doi.org/10.1177/01979183221079850, 2023.
- Smith, M. D. and Wesselbaum, D.: Food insecurity and international migration flows, Int. Migr. Rev., 56, 615–635, https://doi.org/10.1177/01979183211042820, 2022.
- Smith, E. K., Bognar, M. J., and Mayer, A. P.: Polarisation of Climate and Environmental Attitudes in the United States, 1973– 2022, npj Clim. Action, 3, 2, https://doi.org/10.1038/s44168-023-00074-1, 2024.
- Solow, A. R.: A call for peace on climate and conflict, Nature, 497, 179–180, https://doi.org/10.1038/497179a, 2013.
- Spaiser, V., Hedström, P., Ranganathan, S., Jansson, K., Nordvik, M. K., and Sumpter, D. J. T.: Identifying Complex Dynamics in Social Systems: A New Methodological Approach Applied to Study School Segregation, Sociol, Methods Res., 47, 103–135, https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124116626174, 2018.
- Spaiser, V., Dunn, K., Milner, P., and Moore, J.: The effects of communicating climate change threat: mobilizing anger and authoritarian affect displacement, Environ. Sociol., 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1080/23251042.2024.2369739, 2024.
- Stanley, S. K. and Wilson, M. S.: Meta-analysing the association between social dominance orientation, authoritarianism, and attitudes on the environment and climate change, J. Environ. Psychol., 61, 46–56, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2018.12.002, 2019.
- Stanley, S. K., Wilson, M. S., and Milfont, T. L.: Exploring short-term longitudinal effects of right-wing authoritarianism and social dominance orientation on environmentalism, Pers. Individ. Differ., 108, 174–177, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.11.059, 2017.
- Stechemesser, A., Levermann, A., and Welz, L.: Temperature impacts on hate speech online: evidence from 4 billion geolocated tweets from the USA, Lancet Planet. Health, 6, e714–e725, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00173-5, 2022.
- Stewart, A. J., McCarty, N., and Bryson, J. J.: Polarization under rising inequality and economic decline, Sci. Adv., 6, eabd4201, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd4201, 2020.
- Streletskiy, D. A., Clemens, S., Lanckman, J. P., and Shiklomanov, N. I.: The costs of Arctic infrastructure damages due to permafrost degradation, Environ. Res. Lett., 18, 015006, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acab18, 2023.
- Strogatz, S.: Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology, Chemistry, and Engineering, CRC Press, ISBN 9780738204536, 2000.

- Sultana, F.: The unbearable heaviness of climate coloniality, Polit. Geogr., 99, 102638, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2022.102638, 2022.
- Summer, M.: Financial Contagion and Network Analysis, Annu. Rev. Financ. Econ., 5, 277–297, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevfinancial-110112-120948, 2013.
- Sun, S., Jin, B., Wei, Z., and Guo, W.: Revealing the Excitation Causality between Climate and Political Violence via a Neural Forward-Intensity Poisson Process, Proceedings of the 31st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence AI for Good, 23–29 July 2022, Vienna, Austria, 5171–5177, https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2022/718, 2022.
- Tàbara, J. D., Frantzeskaki, N., Hölscher, K., Pedde, S., Kok, K., Lamperti, F., Christensen, J.H., Jäger, J., and Berry, P.: Positive tipping points in a rapidly warming world, Curr. Opin, Environ. Sustain., 31, 120–129, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.01.012, 2018.
- Tafere, M.: Forced displacements and the environplace in national and international climent: Its agenda. J. Environ. Manag., 224, 191-201, mate https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.063, 2018.
- Taylor, B.: Alt-right ecology: Ecofascism and far-right environmentalism in the United States, in: The far right and the environment, edited by: Forchtner, B., Routledge, 275–292, ISBN 9781351104043, 2019.
- Teen, K. M. d'l, William, H. T. P., and O'Neill, S. J.: Online misiformation about climate change. Wiley Interdiscip, Rev. Clim., 11, e665, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.665, 2020.
- Tench, S., Fry, H., and Gill, P.: Spatio-temporal patterns of IED usage by the Provisional Irish Republican Army, Eur. J. Appl. Math., 27, 377–402, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956792515000686, 2016.
- Teymoori, A., Bastian, B., and Jetten, J.: Towards a Psychological Analysis of Anomie: Towards a Psychological Analysis of Anomie, Polit. Psychol., 38, 1009–1023, https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12377, 2017.
- Thiery, W., Lange, S., Rogelj, J., Schleussner, C.-F., Gudmundsson, L., Seneviratne, S. I., Andrijevic, M., Frieler, K., Emanuel, K., Geiger, T., Bresch, D. N., Zhao, F., Willner, S. N., Büchner, M., Volkholz, J., Bauer, N., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Dury, M., François, L., Grillakis, M., Gosling, S. N., Hanasaki, N., Hickler, T., Huber, V., Ito, A., Jägermeyr, J., Khabarov, N., Koutroulis, A., Liu, W., Lutz, W., Mengel, M., Müller, C., Ostberg, S., Reyer, C. P. O., Stacke, T., and Wada, Y.: Intergenerational inequities in exposure to climate extremes, Science, 374, 158–160, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi7339, 2021.
- Thøgersen, J.: Social norms and cooperation in reallife social dilemmas, J. Econ. Psychol., 29, 458–472, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2007.12.004, 2008.
- Thomas, C. and Gosink, E.: At the Intersection of Eco-Crises, Eco-Anxiety, and Political Turbulence: A Primer on Twenty-First Century Ecofascism, Perspect. Global Dev. Technol., 20, 30–54, https://doi.org/10.1163/15691497-12341581, 2021.
- Thomas, K., Hardy, R. D., Lazrus, H., Mendez, M., Orlove, B., Rivera-Collazo, I., Roberts, J. T., Rockman, M., Warner, B. P., and Winthrop, R.: Explaining differential vulnerability to climate change: A social science review, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim., 10, e565, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.565, 2019.

- Thorn, J. P. R., Nangolo, P., Biancardi, R. A., Shackleton, S., Marchat, R.A., Ajala, O., Degado, G., Mfune, J. K. E., Cinderby, S., and Hejnowicz, A. P.: Exploring the benefits and dis-benefits of climate migration as an adaptive strategy along the rural-periurban continuum in Namibia, Reg. Environ. Change, 23, 10, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-022-01973-5, 2023.
- Törnberg, P.: Echo chambers and viral misinformation: Modeling fake news as complex contagion, PLOS ONE, 13, e0203958, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203958, 2018.
- Törnberg, P., Valeeva, D., Uitermark, J., and Bail, C.: Simulating Social Media Using Large Language Models to Evaluate Alternative News Feed Algorithms, arXiv, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.05984, 2023.
- Townshend, I., Awosoga, O., Kulig, J., and Fan, H.: Social cohesion and resilience across communities that have experienced a disaster, Nat. Hazards, 76, 913–938, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-014-1526-4, 2015
- Trust, S., Joshi, S., Lenton, T., and Oliver, J. The Emperor's New Climate Scenarios, Institute and Faculty of Actuaries and University of Exeter, https://actuaries.org.uk/media/ qeydewmk/the-emperor-s-new-climate-scenarios.pdf (last access: 7 September 2024), 2023.
- Tubi, A. and Israeli, Y.: From risk reduction to a landscape of (un)desired outcomes: Climate migrants' perceptions of migration success and failure, Popul. Environ., 45, 9, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-023-00421-8, 2023.
- Turco, R. P., Toon, O. B., Ackerman, T. P., Pollack, J. B., and Sagan, C., Nuclear winter: Global consequences of multiple nuclear explosions, Science, 222, 1283–1292, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.222.4630.1283, 1983.
- Twyman-Ghoshal, A.: Global Anomie Theory, in: Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice, edited by: Twyman-Ghoshal, A., Oxford University Press, https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264079.013.545, 2021.
- Uenal, F., Sidanius, J., Roozenbeek, J., and van der Linden, S.: Climate change threats increase modern racism as a function of social dominance orientation and ingroup identification, J. Exp. Soc. Psychol., 97, 101783, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2021.104228, 2021.
- UKCEH and HRW. A rapid assessment of the immediate environmental impacts of the destruction of the Nova Kakhovka Dam, Ukraine, Report prepared by UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology and HR Wallingford for the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) Expert Advisory Call Down Service 2 Lot 4 Rapid Assessment of the Environmental Impacts of the destruction of the Nova Kakhovka Dam, Ukraine, 29 June 2023, Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10462809, 2023.
- UNDRR and ISC: Hazard definition and classification review Technical Report published by the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 9-11 Rue de Varembé, 1202 Geneva, Switzerland, https://www.undrr.org/publication/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2020.
- UNEP: Rapid Environmental Assessment of Kakhovka Dam Breach; Nairobi, Kenya, https://www.unep.org/resources/report/rapid-environmental (last access: 7 September 2024), 2023
- UNICEF: The Climate Crisis is a Child Rights Crisis: Introducing the Children's Climate Risk Index, Report, https://data.unicef.

org/resources/childrens-climate-risk-index-report/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2021.

- van Baalen, S. and Mobjörk, M.: Climate Change and Violent Conflict in East Africa: Integrating Qualitative and Quantitative Research to Probe the Mechanisms, Int. Stud. Rev., 20, 547–575, https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/vix043, 2017.
- Van der Linden, S., Leiserowitz, A., Rosenthal, S., and Maibach, E.: Inoculating the public against misinformation about climate change, Global Chall, 1, 1600008, https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201600008, 2017.
- Vasconcelos V. V., Levin S. A., and Pinheiro F. L.: Consensus and polarization in competing complex contagion processes, J. R. Soc. Interf., 16, 20190196, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2019.0196, 2019.
- Vihma, A., Reischl, G., and Nonbo Andersen, A.: A Climate Backlash: Comparing Populist Parties' Climate Policies in Denmark, Finland, and Sweden, J. Environ. Dev., 30, 219–239, https://doi.org/10.1177/10704965211027748, 2021.
- von Uexkull, N. and Buhaug, H.: Security Implications of Climate Change: A Decade of Scientific Progress, J. Peace Res., 58, 3– 17, https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343320984210, 2021.
- Ward, P. J., Blauhut, V., Bloemendaal, N., Daniell, J. E., de Ruiter, M. C., Duncan, M. J., Emberson, R., Jenkins, S. F., Kirschbaum, D., Kunz, M., Mohr, S., Muis, S., Riddell, G. A., Schäfer, A., Stanley, T., Veldkamp, T. I. E., and Winsemius, H. C.: Review article: Natural hazard risk assessments at the global scale, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 1069–1096, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-1069-2020, 2020.
- Weber, D., Nasim, M., Falzon, L., and Mitchell, L.: #ArsonEmergency and Australia's "Black Summer": Polarisation and Misinformation on Social Media, in: Disinformation in Open Online Media, edited by: van Duijn, M., Preuss, M., Spaiser, V., Takes, F., and Verberne, S., MISDOOM 2020, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 12259, Springer, Cham, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61841-4_11, 2020.
- Weber, E. U., Constantino, S. M., and Schlüter, M.: Embedding Cognition: Judgment and Choice in an Interdependent and Dynamic World, Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci., 32, 328–336, https://doi.org/10.1177/09637214231159282, 2023.
- WHO: WHO steps up its humanitarian response in southern Ukraine following the destruction of the Kakhovka Dam, https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/ (last access: 7 September 2024), 2023.
- Wiedermann, M., Smith E. K., Heitzig, J., and Donges, J. F.: A network-based microfoundation of Granovetter's threshold model for social tipping, Sci. Rep., 10, 11202, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67102-6, 2020.
- Wilson, T. D.: Climate Change, Neoliberalism, and Migration: Mexican Sons of Peasants on the Beach, Lat. Am. Perspect, 47, 20–35, https://doi.org/10.1177/0094582X20951800, 2020.
- Winkelmann, R., Donges, J. F., Smith, E. K., Milkoreit, M., Eder, C., Heitzig, J., Katsanidou, A., Wiedermann, M., Wunderling, N., and Lenton, T. M.: Social tipping processes towards climate action: A conceptual framework, Ecol. Econ., 192, 107242, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.107242, 2022.
- Winkler, H.: The effect of income inequality on political polarization: Evidence from European regions, 2002–2014, Econ. Pol., 31, 137–162, https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12129, 2019.

- Wood, B. and Kallestrup, P.: Benefits and challenges of using a participatory approach with community-based mental health and psychosocial support interventions in displaced populations, Transcult. Psychiatr., 58, 283–292, https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461520983626, 2021.
- Wunderling, N., Krönke, J., Wohlfarth, V., Kohler, J., Heitzig, J., Staal, A., Willner, S., Winkelmann, R., and Donges, J. F.: Modelling nonlinear dynamics of interacting tipping elements on complex networks: the PyCascades package, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top., 230, 3163–3176, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjs/s11734-021-00155-4, 2021.
- Wunderling, N., Winkelmann, R., Rockström, J., Loriani, S., Armstrong McKay, D. I., Ritchie, P. D. L., Sakschewski, B., and Donges, J. F.: Global warming overshoots increase risks of climate tipping cascades in a network model, Nat. Clim. Change, 13, 75–82, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01545-9, 2023.
- Wunderling, N., von der Heydt, A. S., Aksenov, Y., Barker, S., Bastiaansen, R., Brovkin, V., Brunetti, M., Couplet, V., Kleinen, T., Lear, C. H., Lohmann, J., Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Sinet, S., Swingedouw, D., Winkelmann, R., Anand, P., Barichivich, J., Bathiany, S., Baudena, M., Bruun, J. T., Chiessi, C. M., Coxall, H. K., Docquier, D., Donges, J. F., Falkena, S. K. J., Klose, A. K., Obura, D., Rocha, J., Rynders, S., Steinert, N. J., and Willeit, M.: Climate tipping point interactions and cascades: a review, Earth Syst. Dynam., 15, 41–74, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-41-2024, 2024.
- Xia, L., Robock, A., Scherrer, K., Harrison, C. S., Bodirsky, L., Weindl, I., Jägermeyr, J., Bardeen, C. G., Toon, O. B., and Heneghan, R.: Global food insecurity and famine from reduced crop, marine fishery and livestock production due to climate disruption from nuclear war soot injection, Nat. Food, 3, 586–596, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-022-00573-0, 2022.
- Xie, J., Meng, F., Sun, J., Ma, X., Yan, G., and Hu, Y.: Detecting and modelling real percolation and phase transitions of information on social media, Nat. Hum. Behav., 5, 1161–1168, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01090-z, 2021.

- Yan, W., Woodard, R., and Sornette, D.: Diagnosis and prediction of tipping points in financial markets: Crashes and rebounds, Phys. Proced., 3, 1641–1657, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2010.07.004, 2010.
- Yletyinen, J., Perry, G. L. W., Stahlmann-Brown, P., Pech, R., and Tylianakis, J. M.: Multiple social network influences can generate unexpected environmental outcomes, Sci. Rep., 11, 9768, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89143-1, 2021.
- Young, H. and Jacobsen, K.: No way back? Adaptation and urbanization of IDP livelihoods in the Darfur Region of Sudan, Dev. Change, 44, 125–145, https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12003, 2013.
- Youngblood, M.: Extremist ideology as a complex contagion: the spread of far-right radicalization in the United States between 2005 and 2017, Humanit, Soc. Sci, 7, 49, https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-00546-3, 2020.
- Zammit-Mangion, A., Dewar, M., Kadirkamanathan, V., and Sanguinetti, G.: Point process modelling of the Afghan War Diary, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 12414–12419, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203177109, 2012.