



Article (refereed) - postprint

Kipling, Richard P.; Bannink, André; Bellocchi, Gianni; Dalgaard, Tommy; Fox, Naomi J.; Hutchings, Nicholas J.; Kjeldsen, Chris; Lacetera, Nicola; Sinabell, Franz; Topp, Cairistiona F.E.; van Oijen, Marcel; Virkajärvi, Perttu; Scollan, Nigel D. 2016. **Modeling European ruminant production** systems: facing the challenges of climate change.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/513709/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <u>http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access</u>

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Agricultural Systems*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Agricultural Systems* (2016), 147. 24-37. 10.1016/j.agsy.2016.05.007

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at <u>noraceh@ceh.ac.uk</u>

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1 Modeling European ruminant production systems: facing the challenges of climate change

3	Authors : Kipling, Richard P. ^{a*} , Bannink, André ^b , , Bellocchi, Gianni ^c , Dalgaard, Tommy ^d , Fox, Naomi	
4	J. ^e , Hutchings, Nicholas J ^d , Kjeldsen, Chris ^d , Lacetera, Nicola ^f , Sinabell, Franz ^g , Topp, Cairistiona F.E. ^e ,	
5	van Oijen, Marcel ^h , Virkajärvi, Perttu ⁱ and Scollan, Nigel D. ^a	
6		
7	^a IBERS, Aberystwyth University, 1st Floor, Stapledon Building, Plas Gogerddan, Aberystwyth,	
8	Ceredigion, UK, SY23 3EE. Email: rpk@aber.ac.uk; ngs@aber.ac.uk	
9	^b Wageningen UR Livestock Research, P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands. Email:	
10	andre.bannink@wur.nl	
11	^c UREP, INRA, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France. Email: gianni.bellocchi@clermont.inra.fr	
12	^d Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, P.O. Box 50. DK-8830 Tjele,	
13	Denmark. Email: nick.hutchings@agro.au.dk; tommy.dalgaard@agro.au.dk;	
14	chris.kjeldsen@agro.au.dk	
15	^e SRUC, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, UK, EH9 3JG. Email: Naomi.Fox@sruc.ac.uk;	
16	Kairsty.Topp@sruc.ac.uk	
17	^f Department of Agriculture and Forestry Science, University of Tuscia, Via San Camillo de Lellis,	
18	01100 Viterbo, Italy. Email: nicgio@unitus.it	
19	^g Austrian Institute of Economic Research (WIFO) Arsenal – Objekt 20, 1030 Vienna, Austria. Email:	
20	franz.sinabell@wifo.ac.at	
21	^h CEH-Edinburgh, Bush Estate, Penicuik EH26 0QB, United Kingdom. Email: mvano@ceh.ac.uk	
22	ⁱ Vihreä Teknologia, Luonnonvarakeskus (Luke), Halolantie 31 A, 71750 Maaninka, Finland. Email:	
23	perttu.virkajarvi@luke.fi	
24	*corresponding author	

25

26 Abstract

Ruminant production systems are important producers of food, support rural communities and 27 culture, and help to maintain a range of ecosystem services including the sequestering of carbon in 28 29 grassland soils. However, these systems also contribute significantly to climate change through 30 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, while intensification of production has driven biodiversity and 31 nutrient loss, and soil degradation. Modeling can offer insights into the complexity underlying the 32 relationships between climate change, management and policy choices, food production, and the maintenance of ecosystem services. This paper 1) provides an overview of how ruminant systems 33 34 modeling supports the efforts of stakeholders and policymakers to predict, mitigate and adapt to 35 climate change and 2) provides ideas for enhancing modeling to fulfil this role. Many grassland models can predict plant growth, yield and GHG emissions from mono-specific swards, but 36 37 modeling multi-species swards, grassland quality and the impact of management changes requires 38 further development. Current livestock models provide a good basis for predicting animal 39 production; linking these with models of animal health and disease is a priority. Farm-scale 40 modeling provides tools for policymakers to predict the emissions of GHG and other pollutants from livestock farms, and to support the management decisions of farmers from environmental and 41 42 economic standpoints. Other models focus on how policy and associated management changes 43 affect a range of economic and environmental variables at regional, national and European scales. 44 Models at larger scales generally utilise more empirical approaches than those applied at animal, field and farm-scales and include assumptions which may not be valid under climate change 45 conditions. It is therefore important to continue to develop more realistic representations of 46 47 processes in regional and global models, using the understanding gained from finer-scale modeling. 48 An iterative process of model development, in which lessons learnt from mechanistic models are

applied to develop 'smart' empirical modeling, may overcome the trade-off between complexity 49 and usability. Developing the modeling capacity to tackle the complex challenges related to climate 50 change, is reliant on closer links between modelers and experimental researchers, and also requires 51 knowledge-sharing and increasing technical compatibility across modeling disciplines. Stakeholder 52 53 engagement throughout the process of model development and application is vital for the creation of relevant models, and important in reducing problems related to the interpretation of modeling 54 outcomes. Enabling modeling to meet the demands of policymakers and other stakeholders under 55 56 climate change will require collaboration within adequately-resourced, long-term inter-disciplinary research networks. 57

58

59 Keywords

Food security, livestock systems, modeling, pastoral systems, policy support, ruminants

62 **1. Introduction**

The world's livestock production systems are facing unprecedented challenges – the need to reduce 63 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, currently estimated to represent 15% of global anthropogenic 64 emissions (Ripple et al., 2014), to adapt to global climatic and socio-economic changes (Soussana, 65 2014; Thornton, 2010), to provide ecosystem services, and to meet the expected rapid increase in 66 67 demand for meat and dairy products resulting from changes in human diets in the developing world (Tilman and Clark, 2014). In order to avoid significant environmental costs, these goals must be 68 reached through increased production efficiency to avoid further encroachment of agriculture into 69 pristine natural ecosystems (Popp et al., 2014). 70

Several major global and European reports have mapped the strategic research areas in which
 progress is required to overcome the challenges to livestock production systems (ATF, 2013, 2014;
 FACCE-JPI, 2012; Soussana, 2014). All highlight the need for research that takes account of
 interactions between agricultural systems, between these systems and natural ecosystems, and
 between strategic policy choices and on-farm management decisions.

77

Assessments of how climate change, policy, management, and socio-economic factors impact livestock production, require an understanding of complex systems beyond that possible through direct analysis of empirical data. In this respect, mathematical modeling has an essential role in the process of developing production systems capable of overcoming the multi-faceted problems described (Graux et al., 2013; Kipling et al., 2014). The aforementioned strategic research agendas represent challenges that the livestock and grassland modeling community must address if it is to play the role required of it by society (Scholten, 2015).

85

For modelers of ruminant production systems, the complexity of farm-scale interactions creates a
major challenge for the scaling up of 'animal' and 'field' scale modeling to the national, regional and
global levels most relevant for policy makers. A range of modeling approaches has been applied to
European ruminant livestock systems and their various components (Box 1) with a number of
technical reviews providing comprehensive comparisons of a range of models, for example
(Holzworth et al., 2015; Snow et al., 2014; Tedeschi et al., 2014).

92

93

Box 1: Description of technical aspects of agricultural models) including the characteristics of the modeling areas
 described in this paper

97

Empirical and mechanistic modeling: Empirical models derive from fitting statistical functions to experimental response data. Their accuracy is dependent on the characteristics of the datasets used to define the modeled relationship. They can be used to predict new conditions as determined by changes in the variables considered. However, they cannot respond to changes which might affect the nature of the statistical relationships they are based on. Empirical models may therefore provide inaccurate predictions when the values of the modeled variables are beyond the range for which the relationship was tested. Mechanistic approaches model the underlying mechanisms that drive observed empirical relationships, and can therefore reveal and explain unexpected systemic responses to future change. However, they cannot predict changes arising from the effects of un-modeled processes, which may become relevant under altered systemic conditions. In some cases, the variables used to derive empirical models can incorporate mechanistic understanding, blurring the distinction between the two approaches. Models often use a mixture of empirical and mechanistic approaches to characterise different relationships, so that there is a continuum between relatively mechanistic and relatively empirical modeling.

Time and variation: Models can be dynamic, to investigate how systems change over time, or static (not considering time as a variable). They can be deterministic (giving unique predictions) or stochastic (including random variation and reporting the dispersion as well as the predicted value of output variables).

Scale and complexity: As scale increases so does systemic complexity, as the number of variables and interactions between them rises at an increasing rate. Using mechanistic models at increasing scales (from plot or animal upwards) therefore requires increasing effort (in terms of systemic understanding and computing power) and involves increasing uncertainty. At the same time, some processes average out at larger scales, and can be represented by simpler functions. These factors mean that more empirical approaches are used as the scale of the modeled system increases.



approach. Model groups are those discussed in this paper, addressing aspects felt to be most relevant in the context of climate change.



100	A recent review of modeling of grazed agricultural systems (Snow et al., 2014) highlighted the need
101	for better modeling of extreme events, animal-mediated nutrient transfers, pests, weeds and gene-
102	environment interactions. The present paper provides a strategic overview of ruminant production
103	systems modeling in Europe in the context of climate change. The focus on Europe reflects the
104	continent's large agricultural sector and its importation of agricultural products, which make it a
105	major contributor to agricultural GHG emissions (Davis and Caldeira, 2010), while its recognition of
106	the serious impacts of climate change make it a key location for research and innovation related to
107	food security (Soussana et al., 2012a). The overview of ruminant production systems modeling
108	presented here (Fig. 1) includes consideration of stakeholder engagement in the modeling process,
109	and the role of economic modeling (at farm, regional and global scale). The purpose is: 1) to

- 110 provide an overview of how current ruminant systems modeling supports the efforts of
- stakeholders and policymakers to predict, mitigate, and adapt to climate change and 2) to provide
- ideas about how modeling resources can be enhanced to best meet these challenges.
- 113



114

Fig. 1: An overview of a ruminant production system in the context of modeling of how climate change is affected by
and affects such systems. For clarity, this system does not include on-farm arable production. Key: A = physical system
including off-farm inputs and outputs (emissions included in LCA); B = on-farm system (emissions included in farm-scale
modeling); C = Impacts of changes in management and its drivers; Dashed lines = relationships requiring further
development in models

- 120
- 121
- 122 In relation to climate change, models of ruminant systems can be divided into those that focus on
- the impacts of climate change on such systems (Section 2), and those that focus on emissions of
- 124 GHGs from them (Section 3). At the regional and global levels, economic modeling seeks to gain an
- 125 overview of both of these processes and the interactions between them, in order to inform policy

126	choices (Section 4), while engagement with stakeholders is essential to ensuring that modeling has
127	a positive real-world impact (Section 5). Section 6 considers how best to overcome the challenges
128	to the integration of these different aspects of modeling, and recommends some priorities for
129	action.
130	
131	2. Modeling the impacts of climate change on ruminant livestock systems
132	
133	Climate change is expected to have a range of impacts on ruminant production systems, including
134	the direct effects of changing conditions on grass and feed crop production (such as changing yields
135	and quality) and livestock health (such as increased heat stress) and indirectly, for example through
136	impacts on livestock pathogens, and pests affecting grasses and other crops. Section 2 explores
137	some of the main climate change impacts and the state of modeling in relation to each.
138	
139	2.1. Modeling livestock pathogens and disease
140	
141	Climate change has already affected patterns of livestock disease (Kenyon et al., 2009; Purse et al.,
142	2005; Wilson and Mellor, 2008), and further changes are predicted (Fox et al., 2015; 2011; van Dijk
143	et al., 2008). A variety of climatic factors influence pathogen survival and development, including
144	moisture, temperature and UV levels (Chaparro et al., 2011; O'Connor et al., 2006; Stromberg,
145	1997; van Dijk et al., 2009). These variables affect spatial distribution, parasite and disease
146	intensity, and seasonal patterns of infection (Fox et al., 2011). Climate change will not influence all
147	pathogens equally. Vector-borne parasites are especially sensitive to climate, as vector lifecycles
148	and vectorial capacity are strongly influenced by abiotic conditions (Purse et al., 2005; Wilson and
149	Mellor, 2008). Climate change is also having profound impacts on macro-parasites (Broughan and

Wall, 2007; Fox et al., 2011), as survival and development of their free-living stages are governed by
temperature and moisture availability. Despite potential for pathogen outbreaks to compromise
food security and animal welfare, there are few predictions of future disease risk in livestock (Fox et
al., 2012). In this context, modeling is a vital tool for understanding how climate change will affect
pathogen risk, supporting the development of effective prevention and control measures.

155

Predictive species distribution models are often based on correlative ecological niche models in 156 157 which species' environmental requirements are inferred from current geographic distributions (Elith and Leathwick, 2009; Heikkinen et al., 2006; Pagel and Schurr, 2012). Insights into the biology 158 159 of parasite dynamics should be used to improve and parameterize these models, and to choose the 160 most proximal environmental predictors (Guisan and Thuiller, 2005). Correlative modeling has already provided projections of future risk for livestock pathogens including vector borne Blue 161 162 Tongue Virus (Tatem et al., 2003) and liver fluke, which spends large parts of its lifecycle outside its 163 definitive host (Fox et al., 2011). A bottleneck for developing models for a broader range of species 164 is the limited availability of pathogen distribution data. Additionally, correlative models do not 165 contain underlying dynamical processes, rapidly accruing uncertainty when projected climate 166 change forces extrapolation (Fox et al., 2012). To overcome this limitation, and to identify potential 167 for qualitative shifts in system behaviour, a process-based mechanistic approach is needed. 168 Mechanistic models are based on detailed knowledge of host and pathogen physiology and attempt to replicate underlying mechanisms that drive species' responses to environmental variables 169 (Robertson et al., 2003). As such models do not rely on empirical relationships between climate 170 variables that may alter with climate change, they are comparatively robust under spatio-temporal 171 172 extrapolation (Dormann, 2007; Hijmans and Graham, 2006) and can predict consequences of subtle 173 interactions between system components under climate influence. Fox et al., (2015) used a

process-based model to demonstrate that small temperature changes around critical thresholds
can drive sudden changes in nematode risk in grazing livestock. There is now a need to
parameterise such models for particular pathogens, and apply them to specific farming systems
under climate change projections.

At the farm level, husbandry has a dominant influence on disease transmission (Fox et al., 2013; 179 180 Smith et al., 2009); long term predictive models therefore need to incorporate the effects of 181 management responses to climate change. An optimal modeling approach is likely to combine mechanistic processes and physiological thresholds with correlative bioclimatic modeling, 182 183 incorporating changes in livestock husbandry and disease control. Despite recent advances in 184 statistical methodologies, model-fitting and climate projections, progress remains limited by the paucity of active surveillance data, and empirical data on physiological responses to climate 185 186 variables. By combining improved empirical data and refined models with a broad view of livestock 187 systems, robust projections of livestock disease risk can be developed.

188

189 **2.2. Modeling heat stress in cattle**

190

High and extreme temperatures, in combination with other factors such as humidity and solar
radiation, are known to cause heat stress in a range of domestic animals, with effects on
productivity, growth, development (Collier and Gebremedhin, 2015) and reproduction (de Rensis et
al., 2015). The Temperature Humidity Index (THI) has been widely used to explore these
relationships in livestock, and to model expected responses to climatic change (Gaughan and Hahn,
2010). THI has some recognized limitations, including the assumption that all animals respond to
thermal stressors in the same way, and a lack of consideration of other important variables

(including solar radiation, wind speed, duration of exposure) (Gaughan et al., 2012). Improved 198 199 indices have been proposed, including THI adjusted for wind speed and solar radiation, a number of respiration rate indices and the heat load index (Gaughan et al., 2012). Whatever the index used, 200 climate change is expected to raise average temperatures and increase the frequency of 201 202 temperature extremes. Heatwaves are predicted to become more frequent, particularly in Southern Europe and the Mediterranean, with expected decreases in relative humidity away from 203 the coasts unlikely to offset the impacts of increased temperature (Fischer and Schar, 2010). As a 204 205 result, increases are expected in the number of days when THI in Europe exceeds calculated 206 thresholds for heat stress in dairy cattle (Dunn et al., 2014; Segnalini et al., 2013).

207

208 Mechanistic models have been developed to characterise heat flows and changes in body 209 temperature in cattle (Thompson et al., 2014) and thermal balance in pigs and poultry (Mitchell, 210 2006), while empirical equations are used to model the negative relationship between increases in 211 THI above calculated thresholds, dairy cow milk yield and milk composition (Bertocchi et al., 2014; 212 Bohmanova et al., 2007; Gorniak et al., 2014; Hammami et al., 2013; Hill and Wall, 2015) and dairy and beef cattle mortality (Morignat et al., 2015; Vitali et al., 2009). Models are also used to test the 213 214 design of livestock housing in relation to airflow and temperature (Herbut and Angrecka, 2015) and 215 to model the temperature effects on animals of other physical variables such as bedding type 216 (Radoń et al., 2014).

217

Although the empirical modeling of thermal comfort zones and THI thresholds is valuable for
livestock management, empirical approaches cannot incorporate the whole range of factors that
modify livestock susceptibility to increasing THI, such as geographic location, production system,
breed, genotype, age, physiological and productive phase, acclimation state, presence and type of

cooling systems, and management (Bernabucci et al., 2010; Nardone et al., 2010) or interactions 222 223 between these variables. For ruminants, mechanistic modeling of thermal balances and heat stress needs to be linked to models of productivity and growth, and scaled up to herd level, taking 224 account of variation in individual growth and performance. The impacts of rising temperatures on 225 226 livestock need to be characterised in regional and global modeling, to better understand the 227 economic consequences of climate change related heat stress at a broader scale (see Section 4). In addition, more modeling is needed to explore the impact of heat stress on livestock water 228 229 requirements(Howden and Turnpenny, 1998), given that demand for water for crops is also likely to rise under climate change (Leclère et al., 2013), putting pressure on European water resources. 230 231 There is a need to develop mechanistic models capable of identifying the most effective adaptation 232 options in relation to heat stress (Lacetera et al., 2013) at farm- and policy-levels, from the exploration of genetic approaches (Collier and Gebremedhin, 2015) to systemic switches away from 233 234 dairy cows towards more heat-tolerant livestock such as goats in southern Europe (Silanikove and 235 Koluman, 2015).

236

237 2.3. Modeling grassland productivity and nutritional value

238

Climate change impacts on grasslands are expected to vary across Europe, with warmer
temperatures and higher rainfall extending growing seasons in the north (Höglind et al., 2013) while
the risk of drought is likely to increase in Mediterranean regions (van Oijen et al., 2014). Grassland
productivity is known to be sensitive to temperature and water stress (Knapp et al., 2001) with
impacts varying between different plant communities (Kreyling et al., 2008; Peterson et al., 1992).

245	Several types of model have been applied to grassland systems (Bellocchi et al., 2013); grassland-
246	specific models (Kochy, 2008; Ma et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2007) models originally developed for
247	crops and adapted to grasslands (Coucheney and Buis, 2015; Perego et al., 2013; Williams et al.,
248	2008), and plant functional type-based models (Chang et al., 2013; Dury and A Hambuckers, 2011;
249	Hidy et al., 2012; Waha et al., 2012). Previous modeling focussed on grassland productivity (Li et al.,
250	2011; Woodward, 2001), mainly characterising monospecific swards or simple mixtures (Blackburn
251	and Kothmann, 1989; Lazzarotto et al., 2009). Such models do not address the need for modeling of
252	more diverse plant communities (Duru et al., 2009). Although functional classifications can simplify
253	the characterisation of plant species (Cruz et al., 2002; Jouven et al., 2006) process-based
254	biogeochemical models such as PaSim (Ma et al., 2015) usually use an average vegetation when
255	simulating mixed swards, due to the challenges of modeling changes in botanical composition.
256	
257	Although modeling of the impacts of climate change on yields from mono-specific grassland swards
258	is well developed (Graux et al., 2013; Vital et al., 2013), fewer models assess the impacts of climate

260 development of nutritive value in timothy on cut swards (Bonesmo and Belanger, 2002; Jégo et al.,

on nutritive value, which is vital with respect to animal production. Some models can simulate the

261 2013) and on pastures (Duru et al., 2010), and PaSim includes parameters relating to sward quality,

262 including variation in digestibility with plant age and between plant components (Ben Touhami et

al., 2013). However, in general the simulation of nutritive value is limited to species-specific

responses, with little modeling of how interactions between species affect sward quality responses

265 in multi-species grasslands. The characterisation of physiological and genetic adaptation of

266 grassland species to changing conditions also requires more attention from modelers.

267

259

In addition to simulating the impacts of climate change in southern Europe, grassland models need 268 269 to characterise changes in yield and nutritive value related to the expected prolongation of the 270 growing season in northern and high altitude grasslands. Adding 'winter' modules to process-based models of grass growth offers one solution to this challenge. Such modules need to include the 271 272 effects of changing winter conditions on sward growth (Höglind et al., 2013; Jégo et al., 2014; Jing et al., 2013) and to model the presence or absence of snow and the process of hardening and de-273 hardening, which is particularly important for Scandinavian grasslands (Höglind et al., 2010; 274 275 Thorsen and Höglind, 2010a, b). Run-off of phosphorous from grasslands is also an issue of concern 276 in the context of higher predicted rainfall in northern Europe. A number of models characterise phosphorous run-off (Benskin et al., 2014) but modeling of how this is affected by interactions 277 278 between changing weather conditions and management choices needs to be improved.

279

280 To support grassland-based agriculture under climate change, grassland models require improved 281 soil-water components, and need to be applicable to a wider range of species mixtures and 282 management types. The capacity of models to predict the impacts of climate change on both yields 283 and the nutritive value of forages needs to improve, in order to support policy choices and 284 management decisions aimed at optimizing these parameters (Höglind and Bonesmo, 2002; Jégo et 285 al., 2013; Jing et al., 2013). Lessons may be learnt from modeling developed for non-European 286 semi-arid grazing lands, for example relating to the impact of grazing on erosion (Bénié et al., 2005). Integrated approaches including environmental and socio-economic aspects of grassland systems, 287 288 such as the Sustainability and Organic Livestock Model (SOL) (FAO, 2012) demonstrate potential 289 pathways for improving grassland modeling in the context of climate change.

290

291 **2.4.** Modeling grassland biodiversity and interactions with productivity

European grasslands are often hot-spots of biodiversity (Marriott et al., 2004) despite severe
declines in species-rich grassland habitats driven by agricultural intensification and land
abandonment (Henle et al., 2008). The development of the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020
exemplifies concern about the loss of biodiversity and related ecosystem services (Maes et al.,
2012) highlighting the importance of models that characterise the effects of agricultural practices
and climate change on grassland biodiversity (above and below ground and including plants,
invertebrates, birds and mammals).

300

292

Decision Support System (DSS) models seek to predict the impacts of policies (and related changes 301 302 in management practices) that target biodiversity conservation as an objective in itself. Recently, these have included approaches which bridge the gap between detailed models of specific sites and 303 304 regional models that may overlook many important aspects of biodiversity (Johst et al., 2015; 305 Mouysset et al., 2014). In such models, management information and knowledge of the ecological niches of different species or species groups are combined to predict the biodiversity impacts of 306 different strategies, and the economic costs associated with achieving more favourable 307 308 environmental outcomes (Johst et al., 2015; Mewes et al., 2015). Designed to characterize different 309 management strategies and conditions, they could potentially be adapted to include the impacts of 310 climate change on biodiversity (Johst et al., 2015; Mewes et al., 2015). Lee et al., (2010) addressed climate change related issues directly, combining empirical models with projections of future CO₂ 311 312 and nitrogen deposition to identify areas where grassland productivity may increase and 313 biodiversity decrease.

314

315 Bio-economic optimisation models have also been applied to investigate how policy changes and 316 subsequent management decisions could affect biodiversity (Mouysset et al., 2014; Schönhart et al., 2011). This can be achieved by including biodiversity as a target in multi-objective models, by 317 assessing the impacts on biodiversity of choices made to meet other objectives, by including limits 318 319 to biodiversity damage as constraints, or by including agrobiodiversity (such as mixed cropping) in 320 management options (Allen et al., 2014). Nelson et al., (2009) used a spatially explicit model of land 321 use change in Oregon (USA) to demonstrate a positive relationship between biodiversity and 322 ecosystem services, and to show how a trade-off between these characteristics and commodity production could be alleviated using payments for carbon sequestration. This type of model can be 323 applied to increase understanding of how management choices relating to climate change 324 325 mitigation and adaptation impact biodiversity as well as productivity.

326

327 While the aforementioned models consider trade-offs between production and biodiversity treated 328 as a goal in itself, biodiversity can also be viewed in terms of its contribution to productivity. This is the context in which (plant) biodiversity is considered in the grassland models described in Section 329 2.3. The positive relationship between biodiversity and a range of ecosystem services (Isbell et al., 330 331 2011; Oliver et al., 2015) provides a framework for a more 'holistic' quantification of the value of 332 biodiversity, beyond its direct relationship with productivity. Modeling grassland biodiversity under 333 different managements and environmental conditions requires a formalization of the role of mechanisms of plant species coexistence (Chesson, 2000), and their impacts on community 334 335 structure (HilleRisLambers et al., 2012). Some mechanistic models of plant community dynamics 336 include the explicit simulation of plant growth, development, and competition among species 337 (Soussana et al., 2012b) including developmental plasticity in plant morphology arising from 338 interaction with neighbours (Maire et al., 2013). Studies of biodiversity in permanent grasslands

have often focused on this sub-plot scale, but do not consider how the landscape context affects
biodiversity (Zobel, 2015). This would require comparative studies of local communities along
broad-scale environmental gradients and in different biogeographic regions (Lessard et al., 2012).
At this larger scale, detailed plant competition models are not feasible, being complex and difficult
to initialize and parameterize. This explains the simplified treatment of these processes in larger
scale models (see Section 2.3) achieved, for example, by identifying a main plant species and
representing the others implicitly as a single competing species (Soussana et al., 2012b).

346

347 Principles have been developed for bridging the gap from small-scale mechanistic modeling to whole community approaches (Confalonieri, 2014), and there are opportunities to learn from 348 349 modeling of crop systems (Balbi et al., 2015) and from techniques applied in other modeling disciplines. Tixier et al., (2013) consider the use of ecological network modeling approaches to 350 351 enable multi-scale explorations of the impacts of environmental and management change on 352 biodiversity and productivity. Examples include the use of linked crop and food web models to 353 quantify feedbacks between crop management and pest-predator interactions, thus addressing trophic relationships which are often overlooked (Tixier et al., 2013). 354

355

The modeling of grassland biodiversity can help to capture important non-commodified benefits of livestock systems. Ignoring such benefits can lead to sub-optimal policy and management decisions (Meier et al., 2015). Given the pressure to increase agricultural production and efficiency under climate change, ensuring that biodiversity impacts are incorporated into models used to advise decision-makers is vital. To achieve this with an increasing level of sophistication will require new research and empirical data, particularly in poorly understood but highly important aspects of biodiversity, such as its role in soil dynamics (Lemaire et al., 2005). Modeling complex multi-scale

363	agri-ecosystems can reveal hidden relationships and improve policy and management choices
364	(Allen et al., 2014; Tixier et al., 2013). In the context of climate change, and its potential impacts on
365	ecosystem services, this capability is essential.
366	
367	3. Modeling GHG emissions from ruminant systems
368	
369	3.1. Farm-scale GHG emissions
370	
371	On-farm GHG emissions are most often modeled using the IPCC (2006) methodology, in which
372	emissions factors are defined according to ascending levels of detail (Tiers 1, 2 and 3). Tiers 1 and 2
373	use empirical emission factors, standardised across countries (Tier 1) or using country-specific
374	variables which better represent aspects of farming technology (Tier 2). Tier 3 models usually
375	represent a change in approach from empirical to mechanistic modeling. For the construction of
376	emission inventories, Tier 2 approaches are adequate, while for on-farm purposes the data
377	demands of complex Tier 3 type models make simpler approaches more useable. However, the
378	applicability of empirical Tier 1 and 2 approaches is limited by the data from which they were
379	derived. For the estimation of emissions factors and how changes in management affect them,
380	more detailed Tier 3 type modelling is required. The main on-farm sources of GHGs from ruminant
381	production systems are emissions of CH_4 from enteric fermentation and from manure, losses of
382	NO_3 , NH_3 and N_2O from manure management and application, and from housing, and N_2O
383	emissions from grasslands and other soils (Gerber et al., 2013).
384	

While Tier 2 approaches to predicting enteric CH₄ emissions ignore digestive and fermentative 386 387 processes, some models allow the assumption of a fixed CH₄ emission per unit of gross energy intake to be replaced with predictions that vary with dietary characteristics such as digestibility 388 (Graux et al., 2011) or diet composition (Schils et al., 2007). More mechanistic approaches including 389 390 an integrated assessment of digestive and fermentative aspects of enteric CH₄ emissions provide a more detailed analysis for a wider range of conditions (Bannink et al., 2011). Predictions may 391 392 include effects on nitrogen utilisation and excreted nitrogen compounds as a source of GHG 393 emissions (Dijkstra et al., 2011).

394

395 Since emissions from one link in the manure management chain (e.g. housing) reduce the source 396 strength in subsequent links (e.g. storage), predicting responses to changes such as the 397 implementation of mitigation strategies requires the use of models based on mass-conservation 398 principles (Sommer et al., 2009). Current Tier 3 type modelling of CH₄ emissions from manure 399 incorporates the non-linear effects of management variables (type and quantity of organic matter 400 inputs to the manure, manure storage type, duration and temperature) (Li et al., 2012; Sommer et al., 2009). However, although there are complex models of anaerobic slurry digestion (Batstone et 401 402 al., 2002) – an important mitigation option (Weiske et al., 2006) –, it is not generally incorporated 403 in farm-scale models.. Modelling of this process at farm-scale should include the leakage of CH₄ 404 which can significantly reduce the offset of GHG emissions (Miranda et al., 2015). The main sources of NH₃ emissions from manure management are animal housing, manure storage and applications 405 406 to land. In addition to factors affecting CH₄ emissions, NH₃ emissions are dependent on the air 407 temperature and ventilation of housing and the weather conditions during manure application. 408 These factors can be mediated by management changes (e.g. acidification of slurry, anaerobic 409 digestion, covering manure storage, and the use of injection equipment to apply slurry to land). The

410 modelling method recommended in the Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2013) 411 improves on IPCC Tier 1 and 2 approaches by separately recognising housing as an NH₃ emissions source. This makes it easier to assess the efficacy of mitigation options and to synthesize empirical 412 data, as both often focus on individual emissions sources. Tier 3 approaches, such as that of Rotz et 413 414 al. (2014) (based on the Integrated Farm System Model) enable a more nuanced investigation of 415 the effect of manure management on NH₃ emissions, which is particularly useful when assessing 416 relative sensitivity to climatic variables and interactions with other pollutant emissions. Nutrients in 417 manure originate primarily from animal excreta, so are affected by the quantity and quality of the feed ration. Estimating feed intake and quality for grazing animals remains a challenge for modeling 418 419 NH₃ emissions.

420

Mechanistic (Tier 3 type) models of N₂O emissions from manure and soil (Li et al., 2012) are available, however, some aspects (such as parameterizing and predicting oxygen deficit in soil when require further improvement. N₂O emissions also arise from leaching of NO₃ from pastures, and this process has been modeled from the microcosm to the catchment-area scale (Cannavo et al., 2008). The approach of Cichota et al., (2013) tackles the complex spatial element of NO₃ leaching from urine patches, but further efforts are needed to represent the effect of different management options on nitrogen dynamics, including interactions with soil variables and weather conditions.

428

Across all areas of GHG emissions modeling, better model characterisation of interactions between
different components of ruminant systems are required, in order to meet the need for more
robust, flexible farm-scale modeling of strategies to mitigate GHG emissions and adapt to climate
change. One example is the need to better incorporate the impacts of heat stress and animal
disease (Sections 2.1 and 2.2) into farm-scale models of GHG emissions. More focus is required on

434	the simultaneous modelling of the effect of management on carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus
435	losses as exemplified by Ryals et al. (2015). This would allow the multiple pollutant cost
436	effectiveness of mitigation measures to be assessed (Eory et al., 2013) (taking into account the
437	impacts of mitigation measures targeting one GHG source on the emissions of other pollutants).
438	
439	3.2. Modeling carbon sequestration in grassland soils
440	
441	Grasslands managed for ruminant production store and sequester large amounts of carbon; in
442	Europe, modeling studies have estimated that there are currently 5.5 Gt of soil carbon stored in the
443	top 30cm of grassland soils (Lugato et al., 2014) giving grassland carbon sequestration a potentially
444	major role in climate change mitigation (Glaesner et al., 2014). The importance of soil carbon to soil
445	quality is also being recognised (Lugato et al., 2014) leading to increased interest in modeling the
446	effect of agricultural management on soil carbon stocks. Modeling of this positive impact of
447	grassland-based ruminant production is therefore vital to understanding the interactions between
448	mitigation and adaptation strategies, to improving production efficiency, and to viewing farms in
449	the context of 'Climate Smart Landscapes' (Scherr et al., 2012).

450

The IPCC (2006) have identified Tier 3 modeling as having the greatest potential for understanding the effect of agricultural management and climatic and soil conditions on soil carbon. These models could be applied to improve the current Marginal Abatement Cost Curve analyses used to identify cost-effective measures for reducing GHG emissions, which often make a range of assumptions in relation to soil carbon (Leip et al., 2010; Nayak et al., 2015). They may also provide uncertainties associated with mitigation strategies and their interaction with climatic factors, nitrogen cycles and management practices. Tier 3 models used range from those requiring the user to define the

monthly input of plant residues, such as RothC (Coleman and Jenkinson, 1996) to those describing
agricultural production in as much detail as soil processes, such as SPACSYS (Wu et al., 2007) and
PaSim (Ma et al., 2015). There are also dynamic deterministic models of soil processes, such as
DNDC (Li et al., 1992) and DailyDayCent (Parton et al., 1998), which represent crop growth using
empirical functions. Many of the models can be applied to a range of plant species (Yagasaki and
Shirato, 2013) and are typically verified at a small number of sites, where detailed data can be
readily obtained (El-Maayar and Sonnentag, 2009; Yagasaki and Shirato, 2014).

465

One of the main objectives of soil carbon modeling is to assess the effects of management and
climate change across management systems and pedo-climatic zones. For this purpose, Tier 3
models are currently being run at regional, national, continental and global scales (Gottschalk et al.,
2012; Lugato et al., 2014). The DNDC model has also been coupled to CAPRI to provide predictions
on soil carbon at the European scale (Britz and Leip, 2009). However, the analysis was limited by
the emissions factor for carbon sequestration embedded in CAPRI, which assumes continual carbon
sequestration by grasslands (Soussana et al., 2007; 2010).

473

474 The assumptions used in CAPRI highlight how differences in model design, and in the level of detail 475 at which processes are characterised, will have an impact on the predictions produced. In order to 476 understand the range of possible results predicted by models, ensemble modeling may be used (Robertson et al., 2015; Smith et al., 1997; van Oijen et al., 2014). However, to reduce differences in 477 478 the outcomes of current modeling of carbon and nitrogen cycles, model algorithms and structure 479 also need to be improved in order to better characterise physical and biophysical processes (Lu and 480 Tian, 2013; Tian et al., 2011). Particular challenges surround the initialization of such models, 481 including a lack of information about the initial state of carbon and nitrogen pools for particular

sites (limited by measuring techniques and the detailed data and parameterisation required) (Hill, 482 483 2003) and the need to improve methods such as 'spin-up' simulations to overcome these practical limitations (Lardy et al., 2011). The sensitivity of soil carbon and nitrogen stocks and GHG emissions 484 to climatic changes demands model based integrated assessment approaches (Li et al., 1994). 485 486 Properly validated process-based biogeochemical models incorporating coupled carbon-nitrogen 487 cycling can be effective tools for examining the magnitude and spatial-temporal patterns of carbon 488 and nitrogen fluxes. However, the development and testing of such models will require more 489 effective collection, collation and sharing of high quality experimental data (del Prado et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2002). 490

491

492 3.3. Environmental impacts beyond the farm

493

494 The impacts of livestock production extends far beyond the farm, including local impacts on 495 surrounding ecosystems and wider impacts related to the production and transport of purchased inputs. The modeling of on-farm emissions supports the identification of mitigation strategies that 496 497 are efficient at farm level. However, approaches (such as IPCC methodologies) which do not take 498 into account off-farm environmental impacts, can risk favouring systems and strategies that 499 transfer emissions to other locations, rather than reducing them (O'Brien et al., 2012). The Global 500 livestock environmental assessment model (GLEAM) applies a static process-based modelling approach to assess GHG emissions associated with meat and dairy products, incorporating both on-501 and off-farm emission sources (Opio et al., 2013). GLEAM uses Tier 2 equations and regional scale 502 503 data to capture the impacts of varying local conditions not revealed by global or national average 504 data (FAO, 2016). Models such as GLEAM that integrate simulation modeling and Life Cycle analysis 505 (LCA) approaches, offer modeling solutions that make environmental sense at the global as well as

506	the local scale (de Boer et al., 2011). The development of more holistic LCA methodologies
507	(Bruckner et al., 2015; Huysveld et al., 2015) and the exploration of new LCA applications, for
508	example as a farm decision support (DSS) tool (Meul et al., 2014) may present further opportunities
509	to combine farm-scale modeling and LCA approaches. Farm-scale modelers share many of the
510	challenges recognised in LCA, such as the need to increase standards and consistency of data and
511	assumptions (Eshel et al., 2015) and to ensure that users correctly interpret the outcomes of
512	studies (Cederberg et al., 2013; Meul et al., 2014).

513

514

4. Regional and global economic modeling of livestock systems

515

The development of economic models of livestock systems, including modules that balance and 516 optimise animal diets in terms of cost, has been driven by the high share of livestock products in EU 517 518 agricultural outputs, with animal production accounting for 42% of EU-28 agricultural output 519 (Marquer et al., 2014), as well as by the high cost of feed. At global and regional level, models of agriculture and trade are used to explore how livestock production may alter in response to the 520 521 impacts of climate change on the economics of production (Audsley et al., 2015; Havlík et al., 2014) This may include the effects of technological change, population growth (Schneider et al., 2011), 522 523 the consequences of various assumptions about land availability (Schmitz et al., 2014), and the impact of changes in human diet (Bajzelj et al., 2014). Modeling is also used to explore the regional 524 and global consequences of different approaches to climate change mitigation, in order to identify 525 526 optimal solutions (Havlík et al., 2014).

527

528 Results from recent modeling of European agriculture suggest that socio-economic factors will have 529 a greater impact than climate change on land use, production systems and their outputs (Audsley et

530 al., 2006; Leclère et al., 2013). However, with respect to ruminant production systems, most 531 regional and global models only take into account indirect climate change impacts, arising from changes in crop yields and prices. Aspects not currently addressed include, the effects of increased 532 and extreme temperatures on livestock health and production, changes in pathogen spread and 533 534 abundance, changes in grassland yield, changes in crop and grassland nutritional quality, 535 competition for water resources and the impact of adaptation strategies (from animal genetics to 536 changing management choices). Work in these areas is developing; Chang et al., (2015) modeled 537 changes in European grassland productivity between 1961 and 2010, while Schönhart and Nadeem (2015) used empirical relationships between THI and animal health to estimate the costs of climate 538 539 change impacts on dairy cow productivity in Austria. Other aspects, such as the non-commodified 540 benefits of ruminant systems (Section 2.4) are often overlooked. Policies affect individual farmers and their choices, making exploration of the impacts of farm-level decisions valuable for the 541 542 assessment of policy and mitigation strategies (Eory et al., 2014). Leclère et al., (2013) 543 demonstrated how autonomous farm-scale decision making could be incorporated into regional modeling. However, their characterisation of livestock systems focussed only on impacts of climate 544 545 change stemming from changes in crop prices and yield. Achieving a fuller representation of 546 livestock systems in regional and global economic modeling, by increasing the number of variables 547 considered, and by strengthening the basis of assumptions, should therefore be a priority.

548

In the context of the previous discussion, modeling of climate change impacts on livestock
production still remains highly uncertain. Developing a range of consistent future scenarios would
improve model comparability, and might allow more factors to be incorporated into modeling. The
development of such scenarios has begun (Antle et al., 2015) however, comparisons of global
economic models within the Agricultural Model Intercomparison and Improvement Project (AgMIP)

554 (http://www.agmip.org) (von Lampe et al., 2014) revealed wide inter-model variation in predictions 555 even when models used identical future scenarios (Nelson et al., 2014; Valin et al., 2014). Although 556 the uncertainty in such predictions is normal in the field of economics, it is great compared to that usually encountered in the natural sciences. The problem of modeling uncertainty has been tackled 557 558 in climate and crop modeling using model ensembles (Martre et al., 2015) but for economic 559 modeling, other improvements are needed before this approach can be considered. Models developed to make predictions about relatively stable economic environments need to be 560 561 evaluated to understand if they are adequate for characterising the periods of high socio-economic uncertainty expected to accompany climate change, including developing a better understanding of 562 563 the parameters driving empirically modeled relationships. Improved transparency and sharing of 564 methods is required for such model evaluation and improvement to be effective. In addition to improving existing regional scale economic models, new models are needed to adequately analyse 565 566 complex dynamic processes and uncertainty; dynamic stochastic general equilibrium models, which 567 could be useful in this context, are so far only applied to financial market analyses.

568

569

570 5. Stakeholders and modeling

571

Engagement between agricultural stakeholders and modelers has long been recognised as vital to
developing models that can support effective farm- and policy-level decision making (Voinov and
Bousquet, 2010), with engagement processes involving the development of modeling tools
(participatory modeling) or the application of existing models to solve a problem. Different
approaches to stakeholder engagement in the context of agricultural systems have been defined
(Colvin et al., 2014; Neef and Neubert, 2011). Martin et al (2013) identified two types of approach

to farm system design initiatives that make use of modeling: 1) optimisation approaches and 2) 578 579 participation and simulation-based approaches. These types of stakeholder engagement are 580 consistent with descriptions of 'hard' and 'soft' system approaches (Matthews et al., 2011; van Paassen et al., 2007). Optimisation or hard system approaches are positivist; the problem to be 581 582 addressed is quickly identified and is not contested, system boundaries are identified, and scientific 583 data are used to generate a range of solutions, using modeling tools to explore these options 584 (Martin et al., 2013). Stakeholders are engaged most in the process of understanding system 585 parameters, processes and inputs and outputs, but rarely in defining the problem or evaluating solutions. In contrast, participatory or 'soft' system approaches emphasise the need to explore 586 587 stakeholder perceptions in order to identify problems and potential solutions, in a process of 588 collaborative or collegiate engagement. This goes beyond the contractual and consultative levels of participation (Barreteau et al., 2010) more common in optimisation approaches. Processes are 589 590 based on mutual learning, from which solutions can emerge through iterative and reflective 591 relationships between stakeholders and researchers (Colvin et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2013). This 592 reflects the fact that, in addition to being learning tools, models can play an important role in creating a community from disparate groups of stakeholders, and in putting issues onto the political 593 594 agenda (Sterk et al., 2011). In a wider context, these categories relate to the knowledge production 595 practices identified by Rodela et al., (2012) which range from 'positivist truth-seeking' (in which the 596 scientist has the role of a neutral outsider) to 'post-normal searches for negotiated agreement' (in 597 which the scientist is an advocate and participant in the process).

598

599 Challenges for participatory approaches include the time and effort required by stakeholders and 600 researchers to engage fully in mutual learning, which can lead to 'participation fatigue' (Neef and 601 Neubert, 2011) and the difficulty of generalising from tailor-made solutions to inform policy level

602 decision making at a larger scale (Colvin et al., 2014). Van Latesteijn (1999) illustrated the challenge 603 of relating small-scale, deep scientific findings to the large scale, wide and shallow outlook of policymakers, with scientists required to present more simple and convincing 'facts' about the 604 future. Another challenges is that processes including stakeholders often arrive at 'exploitative 605 606 innovation' solutions, which use existing knowledge to adjust current systems, rather than 'explorative innovation' solutions that facilitate novel changes (Martin et al., 2013). The bottom-up 607 608 way in which explorative innovations emerge can challenge existing frameworks, and as a result 609 may face institutional barriers to implementation (Colvin et al., 2014). However, these types of 610 innovation are important in adapting agricultural production systems to climate change conditions 611 (Martin et al., 2013).

612

In order to develop and best utilise modeling tools to support farm- and policy-level decision-613 614 making in the context of climate change, it will be essential for modelers to work with social 615 scientists to identify and apply effective approaches to stakeholder engagement, integrating many knowledge forms and perspective (Rodela et al., 2012). If existing models are to be available for 616 application to real-world problems, they need to be open to modification, 'tested, wrapped, 617 618 documented and archived' (Voinov and Bousquet, 2010). A range of recent work contributes to 619 building the modeling capacity required to support effective decision making in relation to climate change adaptation and mitigation in livestock production systems. This includes, successful trans-620 disciplinary approaches to supporting agricultural systems vulnerable to climate change (van 621 622 Paassen et al., 2007) and deliberative approaches to model evaluation (Bellocchi et al., 2015). 623

624 6. Synthesis

625

626	The preceding sections demonstrate the richness and complexity of modeling relating to European
627	ruminant production systems, with models applied at all scales to support stakeholders facing the
628	challenges of climate change (Table 1). Ruminant systems are multi-faceted, with each component
629	interacting with others, and (singly and as part of the wider systemic whole) interacting with other
630	biophysical, economic and social systems and processes. A number of broad challenges to the
631	modeling of ruminant systems in the context of climate change have been identified here (Table 1).

Table 1: Areas of ruminant systems modeling covered in this paper, their current applications and broad challenges for

634	improvement in relation to climate change
034	improvement in relation to climate change

Modeling topic	Current applications	Some broad Challenges
Farm-scale	DSS at farm level, contributions to	Need for more Tier 3 type modeling to improve
emissions	national emissions inventories, assessing	understanding of systemic interactions, to
	impacts of policy	validate empirical (Tier 1 & 2 type) relationships
		and to incorporate adaptation and mitigation
		strategies and impacts of impaired animal health
carbon	Contributions to inventories of carbon	Improved data collation and sharing, facilitating
sequestration	stocks, policy level predictions of variation	more mechanistic (Tier 3 type) modeling of the
	with climate & changes in land use	impacts of climate change, land use change and
		adaptation and mitigation options
LCA	Providing evidence to guide policy level	Linking to farm-scale modeling to incorporate
	and on-farm choices	wider environmental impacts into farm-scale
		environmental and economic assessments;
		standardising assumptions and data
Heat Stress	DSS at farm level to support	Need for more mechanistic modeling of heat
	avoidance/control of heat stress,	stress and its impacts under climate change,
	estimates of impacts of increased THI on	incorporation of the variables affecting stress,

	production & reproduction	and of adaptation and mitigation strategies
Pathogens	DSS at farm level, estimates of impacts on	Improved data on pathogen ecology and spread
	productivity, policy support (risks of	to facilitate more mechanistic modeling of future
	spread for specific pathogens and	impacts under climate change, outbreak intensity
	vectors), assessing impacts of policy	and management responses
Grasslands	DSS at farm level, projections of yield	Modeling of climate change impact on grass
	change under future climates at the	quality, modeling multi-species swards, modeling
	regional scale	impact of adaptation and mitigation strategies
Biodiversity &	DSS at farm level, bio-economic	Developing linkages to agricultural models to
ecosystems	optimisation models including biodiversity	facilitate multi-species modeling and to include
	constraints/goals, links to ecosystem	the non-commodified value of ruminant systems
	services and regional impacts of policy	in environmental/economic evaluations
Regional	Policy level assessments of economic	Incorporating impacts of climate change on
economics	impacts of climate change on livestock	ruminant systems beyond changes in feed
	agriculture, based on changes in crop yield	prices/yield (e.g. impact of heat stress, increased
	and price, including changes in livestock	water use, increased disease risk, potential
	systems land use	changes in soil carbon storage). Including non-
		commodified benefits from these systems
Stakeholder	Defining modeling scenarios and priorities	Finding approaches that overcome issues relating
engagement	(including climate change impacts and	to the time taken for engagement (researchers
	relevance of modeled adaptation and	and stakeholders), scaling up lessons learnt in
	mitigation strategies), use of models for	specific case studies to policy level, finding ways
	learning, community building and	to incorporate qualitative values communicated
	highlighting issues at policy level (Sterk et	by stakeholders (including the public) into
	al. 2011)	modeling, such as the social value of biodiverse
		landscapes.

636 One major challenge for ruminant systems modeling is that regional and global scale models often 637 overlook the direct impacts of climate change on such systems. This is of concern given the role of ruminant systems in the provision of ecosystem services and other social benefits (Section 2.4), and 638 639 due to the interactions between livestock agriculture and other systems. The development of socio-640 economic scenarios representing consistent, realistic suites of management and policy choices 'packaged' at regional level (Valdivia et al., 2013) offers a path for better incorporating 641 642 understanding of farm- and policy-level decision making into models, and for giving weight to the 643 'non-commodified' value of ruminant systems. At the same time, empirical representations of 644 biophysical processes and interactions in regional and global models can be evaluated and improved using knowledge gained from mechanistic modeling at field, animal and farm-scales. In 645 646 this respect, complex and simple modeling approaches can be seen not in opposition, but as part of an iterative process of model development (Fig. 2) applicable to all levels of modeling, not just the 647 648 regional level. This can allow the development of 'smart' empirical modules which reduce model 649 complexity in a robust manner, rather than through the use of assumptions to fill gaps in 650 knowledge.



651

Fig. 2: How the simple-complex model problem can be re-framed as an iterative development process. Black triangles
 represent the level of model complexity.

654

The purpose of modeling is not to fully represent every aspect of real world systems (Cederberg et al., 2013); models will always incorporate simplification and uncertainty. Rather, their value is in providing an understanding of complex systems, predicting change in such systems, and revealing systemic relationships that would otherwise be hidden (van Paassen et al., 2007). Modelers need to clearly present and explain model outputs, their meaning and limitations. In turn, decision-makers (particularly at policy level) need to develop a sufficiently good understanding of the real world systems with which they are dealing for them to use model outputs and other evidential sources appropriately. In this context, the interpretation of modeling results becomes a joint concern ofmodelers and the users of model outputs.

664

Engaging with stakeholders at all stages of research, including in the definition of problems, is likely 665 666 to increase the chances that model outputs and their strengths and weaknesses will be understood 667 at a deep rather than superficial level (Voinov and Bousquet, 2010). Through such engagement, the 668 required level of model complexity, accuracy and scope can emerge from deliberative processes 669 (Bellocchi et al., 2015; Colvin et al., 2014). In this respect, individuals with knowledge of both the research and stakeholder communities can act as 'bridges' between different groups (Sterk et al., 670 671 2011). Social scientists are often well placed to fulfil this role, promoting and guiding mutual 672 learning and facilitating the achievement of positive outcomes (Colvin et al., 2014). The challenge for modelers is to use the process described to create models that are both 'user friendly' and 673 674 robust at appropriate levels of complexity.

675

676 The disparate nature of modeling relating to ruminant systems, demonstrated in this paper, means 677 that there are many barriers to achieving the types of collaborative interaction between modelers 678 required to meet the challenge of climate change. Technical issues related to linking models are 679 one major obstacle to more joined-up modeling of ruminant systems. The development of 680 modeling platforms supporting modular approaches and utilising compatible software and coding, 681 can help build capacity within a highly adaptive framework (Holzworth et al., 2015). Such systems 682 can also facilitate the exchange of methods and information between modeling fields and between 683 groups within a field, stimulate the spread of best practice, prevent duplication, and increase model 684 comparability. Strategic modeling platforms can also play a valuable role in providing policy level 685 advice. Livestock modelers can look towards initiatives set up in relation to crop systems, such as

686 MARS (Monitoring Agricultural ResourceS) (https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/mars), for examples of 687 what is required to communicate model predictions at the European level.

688

Developing models of ruminant farming systems can take years, while major decisions relating to 689 690 GHG mitigation and the adaptation of livestock systems to climate change are required urgently. 691 Therefore, in addition to developing new modeling, it is important that best use is made of existing data and models, ensuring that knowledge gained and tools developed are made available to 692 693 decision-makers at a range of scales. In this context, researchers and funders need to support the 694 development of data sharing resources such as those within the Global Research Alliance (GRA) (http://globalresearchalliance.org) (Yeluripati et al., 2015) and in projects such as the EU knowledge 695 696 hub Modeling European Agriculture for Food Security under Climate Change (MACSUR) (http://macsur.eu). As technological capacity for data sharing and data processing grows, it also 697 698 needs to be matched by the development of better communication between modelers and 699 experimental and theoretical researchers. Such connections support modelers by facilitating model 700 development, but also benefit data providers, by providing a path to demonstrate and explore the 701 implications of their findings and to indicate areas for future research. The development of 702 networks that bring together the disparate collection of disciplines relevant to livestock systems 703 modeling is therefore essential, both for the sharing of current data and modeling resources, and 704 for the development of new modeling platforms. Barriers to inter-disciplinary working (Siedlok and Hibbert, 2014) mean that creating structures to build modeling capacity and share knowledge 705 706 across disciplinary boundaries requires carefully considered, coherent and long-term support from 707 funders and policymakers.

708

This paper has attempted 1) to provide an overview of how current ruminant production systems modeling supports the efforts of stakeholders and policymakers to predict, mitigate, and adapt to climate change and 2) to provide ideas about how modeling resources can be enhanced to best meet these challenges. More focussed assessments of specific modeling fields and the priorities for their development, would be useful in shaping priorities for future research in the context of climate change.

715

716 7. Future Perspectives

717

The overview of European ruminant system modeling presented provides pointers towards the 718 future development required across modeling disciplines, in order to meet the challenges of 719 720 climate change. Unfolding challenges for modelers in a climate change world include 1) Better 721 characterisation of adaptation strategies and complex biophysical processes, 2) More modeling of 722 interactions between the diverse components of agro-ecosystems (including management 723 strategies addressing different policy targets) and 3) Better linkage between animal health and disease, animal growth and nutrition, crop and grassland and farm- and regional-scale modelers. 724 725 Four key areas need to be addressed if the potential for agricultural modeling to help tackle the 726 challenges of climate change is to be properly exploited:

727

Making modeling more relevant to real-world problems by increasing the accessibility,
 visibility and comparability of models for different uses, and by engaging with stakeholders
 at all stages in modeling research and development

• Developing modeling capacity through mutual learning and increased technical

732 compatibility across modeling disciplines, and between modelers working at different scales

Fostering better links between modelers and empirical researchers to ensure that high
 quality data and research findings can be easily accessed by modelers, and that modeling
 outputs can more effectively inform the focus of new experimental and theoretical research
 Ensuring that modeling outputs, their strengths, limitations and purpose are understood by
 those that use them, recognising that achieving this will require the commitment of time
 and resources by both modelers and stakeholders, including policymakers

739

Within Europe and beyond, achieving progression in these areas is an undertaking that will require
coherent long-term support from funders, policymakers, and academics across the plethora of
organisations involved in the creation of inter-disciplinary research structures. Modeling can offer
vital insights into the complex interacting relationships between climate change, management and
policy choices, food production and the maintenance of vital ecosystem services. Modelers,
empirical researchers and social scientists need to work together across disciplines, in collaboration
with stakeholders, to develop and make effective use of this potential.

747

748 8. Conclusion

749 A continuing stream of papers has been published on agricultural modeling over recent years, with 750 research supported by a range of global initiatives. However, the inherent complexity associated 751 with ruminant system modeling has meant that it has been less developed than other areas such as 752 crop modeling. In this context, the aim here has been to provide an overview of ruminant systems 753 modeling in Europe. Modeling of ruminant production is currently supporting on-farm decisions to 754 minimise GHG emissions and maximise efficiency, helping to assess and evaluate policy choices in the context of climate change, and developing our understanding of the likely impacts of global 755 756 warming on European food production. It is hoped that the synthesis of modeling presented here

- vill help strengthen the basis for constructive and strategic engagement between the European
- 758 modelling community, non-European modelers and experimental researchers, through initiatives
- such as MACSUR, AgMIP and GRA.
- 760

761 Acknowledgements

- 762 This paper was produced through the international research project FACCE MACSUR Modeling
- 763 European Agriculture with Climate Change for Food Security a FACCE JPI knowledge hub; the work
- 764 was supported at national level by BBSRC, NERC and Scottish Government (UK), BMLFUW (Austria),
- 765 EL&I (The Netherlands), the metaprogramme Adaptation of Agriculture and Forests to Climate
- 766 Change (AAFCC) of INRA (France), MIPAAF (Italy), MMM (Finland), Ministry of Science, Technology
- 767 and Innovation (Denmark).
- 768
- 769 References
- 770
- Allen, T., Prosperi, P., Cogill, B., Flichman, G., 2014. Agricultural biodiversity, social–ecological systems and
- sustainable diets. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 73, 498-508. doi doi:10.1017/S002966511400069X
- Antle, J.M., Valdivia, R.O., Boote, K.J., Janssen, S., Jones, J.W., Porter, C.H., Rosenzweig, C., Ruane, A.C., Thorburn, P.J.,
 2015. AgMIP's transdisciplinary agricultural systems approach to regional integrated assessment of climate
- impacts, vulnerability, and adaptation, in: Rosenzweig, C., Hills, D. (Eds.), Handbook of Climate Change and
 Agroecosystems. Imperial College Press, London.
- ATF, 2013. Research and innovation for a sustainable livestock sector in Europe: Suggested priorities for support
- under Horizon 2020 to enhance innovation and sustainability in the animal production sector of Europe's food
 supply chains, Animal Task Force white paper.
- 780 ATF, 2014. Research and innovation for a competitive and sustainable animal production sector in Europe:
- 781 Recommended priorities for support under Horizon 2020 in the 2016/2017 programme, 1st Addendum to the782 Animal Task Force white paper.
- Audsley, E., Pearn, K.R., Simota, C., Cojocaru, G., Koutsidou, E., Rounsevell, M.D.A., Trnka, M., Alexandrov, V., 2006.
 What can scenario modelling tell us about future European scale agricultural land use, and what not?
- 785 Environmental Science & Policy 9, 148-162. doi 10.1016/j.envsci.2005.11.008
- Audsley, E., Trnka, M., Sabaté, S., Maspons, J., Sanchez, A., Sandars, D., Balek, J., Pearn, K., 2015. Interactively
- 787 modelling land profitability to estimate European agricultural and forest land use under future scenarios of
- 788 climate, socio-economics and adaptation. Climatic Change 128, 215-227. doi 10.1007/s10584-014-1164-6
- 789 Bajzelj, B., Richards, K.S., Allwood, J.M., Smith, P., Dennis, J.S., Curmi, E., Gilligan, C.A., 2014. Importance of food-
- demand management for climate mitigation. Nature Clim. Change 4, 924-929. doi 10.1038/nclimate2353
- 791 Balbi, S., Prado, A.d., Gallejones, P., Geevan, C.P., Pardo, G., Pérez-Miñana, E., Manrique, R., Hernandez-Santiago, C.,
- 792 Villa, F., 2015. Modeling trade-offs among ecosystem services in agricultural production systems. Environmental
- 793 Modelling & Software 72, 314-326. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.12.017

- 794 Bannink, A., van Schijndel, M.W., Dijkstra, J., 2011. A model of enteric fermentation in dairy cows to estimate
- methane emission for the Dutch National Inventory Report using the IPCC Tier 3 approach. Animal Feed Science
 and Technology 166–167, 603-618. doi 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.043
- Barreteau, O., Bots, P., Daniell, K., 2010. A framework for clarifying participation in participatory research to
 prevent its rejection for the wrong reasons. Ecology and Society 15, 22 p. doi
- 799 Batstone, D.J., Keller, J., Angelidaki, I., Kalyuzhnyi, S.V., Pavlostathis, S.G., Rozzi, A., Sanders, W.T.M., Siegrist, H.,
- Vavilin, V.A., 2002. The IWA anaerobic digestion model No 1 (ADM1). Water Science and Technology 45, 65-73.
 doi
- Bellocchi, G., Ma, S., Köchy, M., Braunmiller, K., 2013. Identified grassland-livestock production systems and
 related models. FACCE MACSUR Reports 2, D-L2.1.1. doi
- 804 Bellocchi, G., Rivington, M., Matthews, K., Acutis, M., 2015. Deliberative processes for comprehensive evaluation of
- agroecological models. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 35, 589-605. doi 10.1007/s13593-014-0271-0
- Ben Touhami, H., Lardy, R., Barra, V., Bellocchi, G., 2013. Screening parameters in the Pasture Simulation model
 using the Morris method. Ecological Modelling 266, 42-57. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.07.005
- 808 Bénié, G.B., K. Goïta, G.B., S., K.S., Courel, M.F., 2005. Remote Sensing-Based Spatio-Temporal Modeling to Predict
- 809 Biomass in Sahelian Grazing Ecosystem. Ecological Modelling 184, 341-354. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.10.012
- 810 Benskin, C.M.H., Roberts, W.M., Wang, Y., Haygarth, P.M., 2014. Review of the annual Phosphorus loss estimator
- tool a new model for estimating phosphorus losses at the field scale. Soil Use and Management 30, 337-341. doi
 10.1111/sum.12128
- 813 Bernabucci, U., Lacetera, N., Baumgard, L.H., Rhoads, R.P., Ronchi, B., Nardone, A., 2010. Metabolic and hormonal
- acclimation to heat stress in domesticated ruminants. Animal 4, 1167-1183. doi 10.1017/s175173111000090x
- 815 Bertocchi, L., Vitali, A., Lacetera, N., Nardone, A., Varisco, G., Bernabucci, U., 2014. Seasonal variations in the
- composition of Holstein cow's milk and temperature-humidity index relationship. Animal 8, 667-674. doi
 10.1017/s1751731114000032
- Blackburn, H.D., Kothmann, M.M., 1989. A forage dynamics model for use in range or pasture environments. Grass
 and Forage Science 44, 283-294. doi 10.1111/j.1365-2494.1989.tb02166.x
- Bohmanova, J., Misztal, I., Cole, J.B., 2007. Temperature-humidity indices as indicators of milk production losses
 due to heat stress. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 1947-1956. doi 10.3168/jds.2006-513
- Bonesmo, H., Belanger, G., 2002. Timothy yield and nutritive value by the CATIMO model: II. Digestibility and fiber.
 Agronomy Journal 94, 345-350. doi
- 824 Britz, W., Leip, A., 2009. Development of marginal emission factors for N losses from agricultural soils with the
- 825 DNDC–CAPRI meta-model. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 133, 267-279. doi 10.1016/j.agee.2009.04.026
- 826 Broughan, J.M., Wall, R., 2007. Fly abundance and climate as determinants of sheep blowfly strike incidence in
- southwest England. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 21, 231-238. doi 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2007.00689.x
- Bruckner, M., Fischer, G., Tramberend, S., Giljum, S., 2015. Measuring telecouplings in the global land system: A
 review and comparative evaluation of land footprint accounting methods. Ecological Economics 114, 11-21. doi
- 830 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.03.008
- Cannavo, P., Recous, S., Parnaudeau, V., Reau, R., 2008. Modeling N dynamics to assess environmental impacts of
 cropped soils. Advances in Agronomy 97, 131-174. doi 10.1016/S0065-2113(07)00004-1
- 833 Cederberg, C., Henriksson, M., Berglund, M., 2013. An LCA researcher's wish list data and emission models
- needed to improve LCA studies of animal production. animal 7, 212-219. doi doi:10.1017/S1751731113000785
- 835 Chang, J., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Ciais, P., Campioli, M., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Leip, A., Soussana, J.-F., 2015.
- Modeled changes in potential grassland productivity and in grass-fed ruminant livestock density in Europe over
 1961–2010. PLoS ONE 10, e0127554. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0127554
- 838 Chang, J.F., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Ciais, P., Wang, T., Cozic, A., Lardy, R., Graux, A.I., Klumpp, K., Martin, R.,
- 839 Soussana, J.F., 2013. Incorporating grassland management in ORCHIDEE: model description and evaluation at 11
- eddy-covariance sites in Europe. Geoscientific Model Development 6, 2165-2181. doi 10.5194/gmd-6-2165-2013
- 841 Chaparro, M.A.E., Canziani, G.A., Saumell, C.A., Fiel, C.A., 2011. Estimation of pasture infectivity according to
- weather conditions through a fuzzy parametrized model for the free-living stage of Ostertagia ostertagi. Ecological
 Modelling 222, 1820-1832. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.03.019
- Chesson, P., 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 31,
 343-366. doi
- 846 Cichota, R., Snow, V.O., Vogeler, I., 2013. Modelling nitrogen leaching from overlapping urine patches.
- 847 Environmental Modelling & Software 41, 15-26. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.10.011
- 848 Coleman, K., Jenkinson, D., 1996. RothC-26.3 a model for the turnover of carbon in soil, in: Powlson, D.S., Smith,
- J.U., Smith, P. (Eds.), Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models Using Existing Long Term Data-Sets. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg.
- 851 Collier, R.J., Gebremedhin, K.G., 2015. Thermal biology of domestic animals. Annual Review of Animal Biosciences
- 852 3, 513-532. doi doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-022114-110659

- Colvin, J., Blackmore, C., Chimbuya, S., Collins, K., Dent, M., Goss, J., Ison, R., Roggero, P.P., Seddaiu, G., 2014. In
 search of systemic innovation for sustainable development: A design praxis emerging from a decade of social
 learning inquiry. Research Policy 43, 760-771. doi 10.1016/j.respol.2013.12.010
- 856 Confalonieri, R., 2014. CoSMo: A simple approach for reproducing plant community dynamics using a single
- instance of generic crop simulators. Ecological Modelling 286, 1-10. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.04.019
- 858 Coucheney, E., Buis, S., Launay, M., Constantin, J., Mary, B., García de Cortázar-Atauri, I., Ripoche, D., Beaudoin, N.,
- 859 Ruget, F., Andrianarisoa, K.S., Le Bas, C., Justes, E., Léonard, J, 2015. Accuracy, robustness and behavior of the
- STICS soil-crop model for plant, water and nitrogen outputs: Evaluation over a wide range of agro-environmental
 conditions in France. 64, 177–190. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.11.024
- 862 Cruz, P., Therond, O., Theau, J.P., Ducourtieux, C., Jouany, C., Al Haj Kamhed, R., Ansquer, P., 2002. Une nouvelle
- approche pour caractériser les prairies naturelles et leur valeur d'usage. Fourrages 172, 335-354. doi
- Bavis, S.J., Caldeira, K., 2010. Consumption-based accounting of CO2 emissions. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 107, 5687-5692. doi 10.1073/pnas.0906974107
- de Boer, I.J.M., Cederberg, C., Eady, S., Gollnow, S., Kristensen, T., Macleod, M., Meul, M., Nemecek, T., Phong, L.T.,
- Thoma, G., van der Werf, H.M.G., Williams, A.G., Zonderland-Thomassen, M.A., 2011. Greenhouse gas mitigation in
 animal production: towards an integrated life cycle sustainability assessment. Current Opinion in Environmental
 Sustainability 3, 423-431. doi 10.1016/j.cosust.2011.08.007
- de Rensis, F., Garcia-Ispierto, I., López-Gatius, F., 2015. Seasonal heat stress: Clinical implications and hormone
- treatments for the fertility of dairy cows. Theriogenology 84, 659-666. doi 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.04.021
- del Prado, A., Crosson, P., Olesen, J.E., Rotz, C.A., 2013. Whole-farm models to quantify greenhouse gas emissions
- and their potential use for linking climate change mitigation and adaptation in temperate grassland ruminantbased farming systems. animal 7, 373-385. doi doi:10.1017/S1751731113000748
- 875 Dijkstra, J., France, J., Ellis, J.L., Kebreab, E., López, S., Reijs, J.W., Bannink, A., 2011. Effects of nutritional strategies
- 876 on simulated nitrogen excretion and methane emission in dairy cattle, in: Sauvant, D., Van Milgen, J., Faverdin, P.,
- Friggens, N. (Eds.), Modelling nutrient digestion and utilisation in farm animals. Wageningen Academic Publishers,
 pp. 394-402.
- 879 Dormann, C.F., 2007. Promising the future? Global change projections of species distributions. Basic and Applied
- Ecology 8, 387-397. doi 10.1016/j.baae.2006.11.001
- 881 Dunn, R., J. H., Mead, N., E., Willett, K., M., Parker, D., E., 2014. Analysis of heat stress in UK dairy cattle and
- impact on milk yields. Environmental Research Letters 9, 064006. doi
- B83 Duru, M., Adam, M., Cruz, P., Martin, G., Ansquer, P., Ducouytieux, C., Jouany, C., Theau, J.P., Viegas, J., 2009.
- Modelling above-ground herbage mass for a wide range of grassland community types. Ecological Modelling 220,
 209-225. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.09.015
- Buru, M., Cruz, P., Martin, G., Theau, J.P., Charron, M.-H., Desange, M., Jouany, C., Zerourou, A., 2010. Herb'sim: un
 modèle pour raisonner la production et l'utilisation de l'herbe. Fourrages 201, 37-46. doi
- 888 Dury, M., A Hambuckers, P.W., A Henrot, E Favre, M Ouberdous, L. François, 2011. Responses of European forest
- ecosystems to 21st century climate: Assessing changes in interannual variability and fire intensity. iForest :
 Biogeosciences and Forestry 4. doi 10.3832/ifor0572-004
- 891 EEA, 2013. EMEP/EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. European Environment Agency, Luxembourg.
- El-Maayar, M., Sonnentag, O., 2009. Crop model validation and sensitivity to climate change scenarios. Climate
 Research 39, 47-59. doi 10.3354/cr00791
- 894 Elith, J., Leathwick, J.R., 2009. Species distribution models: Ecological explanation and prediction across space and
- time. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 40, 677-697. doi
- 896 doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159
- 897 Eory, V., MacLeod, M., Shrestha, S., Roberts, D., 2014. Linking an economic and a life-cycle analysis biophysical
- model to support agricultural greenhouse gas mitigation policy. German Journal of Agricultural Economics 63,
 133-142. doi
- 900 Eory, V., Topp, C.F.E., Moran, D., 2013. Multiple-pollutant cost-effectiveness of greenhouse gas mitigation
- 901 measures in the UK agriculture. Environmental Science & Policy 27, 55-67. doi 10.1016/j.envsci.2012.11.003
- 902 Eshel, G., Shepon, A., Makov, T., Milo, R., 2015. Partitioning United States' feed consumption among livestock
- 903 categories for improved environmental cost assessments. The Journal of Agricultural Science 153, 432-445. doi
- 904 doi:10.1017/S0021859614000690
- 905 FACCE-JPI, 2012. Strategic Research Agenda.
- 906 FAO, 2012. Sustainability and Organic Livestock model (SOL-m): Concept note. FAO, Rome.
- FAO, 2016. Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model (GLEAM). Food and Agriculture Organization of theUnited Nations.
- 909 Fischer, E.M., Schar, C., 2010. Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact European heatwaves.
- 910 Nature Geoscience 3, 398-403. doi 10.1038/ngeo866

- Fox, N.J., Marion, G., Davidson, R.S., White, P.C.L., Hutchings, M.R., 2012. Livestock helminths in a changing climate:
 Approaches and restrictions to meaningful predictions. Animals 2, 93. doi
- 913 Fox, N.J., Marion, G., Davidson, R.S., White, P.C.L., Hutchings, M.R., 2013. Modelling parasite transmission in a
- 914 grazing system: The importance of host behaviour and immunity. PLoS ONE 8, e77996. doi

915 10.1371/journal.pone.0077996

- Fox, N.J., Marion, G., Davidson, R.S., White, P.C.L., Hutchings, M.R., 2015. Climate-driven tipping-points could lead to
 sudden, high-intensity parasite outbreaks. Royal Society Open Science 2. doi
- 918 Fox, N.J., White, P.C.L., McClean, C.J., Marion, G., Evans, A., Hutchings, M.R., 2011. Predicting impacts of climate
- 919 change on *Fasciola hepatica* risk. PLoS ONE 6, e16126. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0016126
- 920 Gaughan, J., Hahn, L., 2010. Designing and Selecting Efficient Early Warning Strategies and Increasing Their
- 921 Efficiencies for Animal Husbandry, in: Stigter, K. (Ed.), Applied Agrometeorology. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp.
 922 785-790.
- Gaughan, J.B., Mader, T.L., Gebremedhin, K.G., 2012. Rethinking heat index tools for livestock, in: Collier, R.J., J.L., C.
 (Eds.), Environmental Physiology of Livestock. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, pp. 243-265.
- 925 Gerber, P.J., Steinfeld, H., Henderson, B., Mottet, A., Opio, C., Dijkman, J., Falcucci, A., Tempio, G., 2013. Tackling
- 926 climate change through livestock: a global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities. FAO, Rome.
- 927 Glaesner, N., Helming, K., de Vries, W., 2014. Do current European policies prevent soil threats and support soil
 928 functions? Sustainability 6, 9538-9563. doi 10.3390/su6129538
- 929 Gorniak, T., Meyer, U., Südekum, K.-H., Dänicke, S., 2014. Impact of mild heat stress on dry matter intake, milk yield
- and milk composition in mid-lactation Holstein dairy cows in a temperate climate. Archives of Animal Nutrition
 68, 358-369. doi 10.1080/1745039x.2014.950451
- 932 Gottschalk, P., Smith, J.U., Wattenbach, M., Bellarby, J., Stehfest, E., Arnell, N.W., Osborn, T.J., Jones, C., Smith, P.,
- 2012. How will organic carbon stocks in mineral soils evolve under future climate? Global projections using RothC
 for a range of climate change scenarios. Biogeosciences 9, 3151-3171. doi
- 935 Graux, A.-I., Bellocchi, G., Lardy, R., Soussana, J.-F., 2013. Ensemble modelling of climate change risks and
- opportunities for managed grasslands in France. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 170, 114-131. doi
 10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.06.010
- Graux, A.I., Gaurut, M., Agabriel, J., Baumont, R., Delagarde, R., Delaby, L., Soussana, J.F., 2011. Development of the
- 939 Pasture Simulation Model for assessing livestock production under climate change. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
 940 Environment 144, 69-91. doi 10.1016/j.agee.2011.07.001
- Guisan, A., Thuiller, W., 2005. Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple habitat models. Ecology
 Letters 8, 993-1009. doi 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00792.x
- Hammami, H., Bormann, J., M'hamdi, N., Montaldo, H.H., Gengler, N., 2013. Evaluation of heat stress effects on
- 944 production traits and somatic cell score of Holsteins in a temperate environment. Journal of Dairy Science 96,
 945 1844-1855. doi 10.3168/jds.2012-5947
- 946 Havlík, P., Valin, H., Herrero, M., Obersteiner, M., Schmid, E., Rufino, M.C., Mosnier, A., Thornton, P.K., Böttcher, H.,
- 947 Conant, R.T., Frank, S., Fritz, S., Fuss, S., Kraxner, F., Notenbaert, A., 2014. Climate change mitigation through
- 948 livestock system transitions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 3709-3714. doi
 949 10.1073/pnas.1308044111
- 950 Heikkinen, R.K., Luoto, M., Araújo, M.B., Virkkala, R., Thuiller, W., Sykes, M.T., 2006. Methods and uncertainties in
- bioclimatic envelope modelling under climate change. Progress in Physical Geography 30, 751-777. doi
 10.1177/0309133306071957
- Henle, K., Alard, D., Clitherow, J., Cobb, P., Firbank, L., Kull, T., McCracken, D., Moritz, R.F.A., Niemelä, J., Rebane, M.,
 Wascher, D., Watt, A., Young, J., 2008. Identifying and managing the conflicts between agriculture and biodiversity
- 955 conservation in Europe–A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 124, 60-71. doi
- 956 10.1016/j.agee.2007.09.005
- 957 Herbut, P., Angrecka, S., 2015. Experimental and model analysis of mechanical ventilation of a milking parlor in
- summer. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers 58, 1079-1086. doi
- 959 Hidy, D., Barcza, Z., Haszpra, L., Churkina, G., Pinter, K., Nagy, Z., 2012. Development of the Biome-BGC model for
- simulation of managed herbaceous ecosystems. Ecological Modelling 226, 99-119. doi
- 961 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.11.008
- Hijmans, R.J., Graham, C.H., 2006. The ability of climate envelope models to predict the effect of climate change on
- 963 species distributions. Global Change Biology 12, 2272-2281. doi 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01256.x
 964 Hill, D.L., Wall, E., 2015. Dairy cattle in a temperate climate: the effects of weather on milk yield and compose
- Hill, D.L., Wall, E., 2015. Dairy cattle in a temperate climate: the effects of weather on milk yield and composition
 depend on management. animal 9, 138-149. doi doi:10.1017/S1751731114002456
- 966 Hill, M.J., 2003. Generating generic response signals for scenario calculation of management effects on carbon
- 967 sequestration in agriculture: approximation of main effects using CENTURY. Environmental Modelling & Software
- 968 18, 899-913. doi 10.1016/S1364-8152(03)00054-9

HilleRisLambers, J., Adler, P.B., Harpole, W.S., Levine, J.M., Mayfield, M.M., 2012. Rethinking community assembly

through the lens of coexistence theory. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 43, 227-248. doi
doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160411

972 Höglind, M., Bakken, A.K., Jørgensen, M., Østrem, L., 2010. Tolerance to frost and ice encasement in cultivars of

timothy and perennial ryegrass during winter. Grass and Forage Science 65, 431-445. doi 10.1111/j.13652494.2010.00762.x

- 975 Höglind, M., Bonesmo, H., 2002. Modelling forage quality development in timothy leaves and stems: A mechanistic
- 976 approach, in: Durand, I.-L. (Ed.), Multi-function grasslands: Quality forages, animal products and landscapes.
- 977 Proceedings of the 19th general meeting of the European Grassland Federation. Association Française pour la
- 978 Production Fourragère, La Rochelle, France, pp. 27-30.
- Höglind, M., Thorsen, S.M., Semenov, M.A., 2013. Assessing uncertainties in impact of climate change on grass
- production in Northern Europe using ensembles of global climate models. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology
 170, 103-113. doi 10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.02.010
- 982 Holzworth, D.P., Snow, V., Janssen, S., Athanasiadis, I.N., Donatelli, M., Hoogenboom, G., White, J.W., Thorburn, P.,
- 983 2015. Agricultural production systems modelling and software: Current status and future prospects.
- 984 Environmental Modelling & Software 72, 276-286. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.12.013
- Howden, S.M., Turnpenny, J., 1998. Working document 98/03: Modelling heat stress and water loss of beef cattle
 in subtropical Queensland under current climates and climate change. CSIRO Wildlife and Ecology, Lyneham,
 Australia.
- 988 Huysveld, S., Van linden, V., De Meester, S., Peiren, N., Muylle, H., Lauwers, L., Dewulf, J., 2015. Resource use
- assessment of an agricultural system from a life cycle perspective a dairy farm as case study. Agricultural
 Systems 135, 77-89. doi 10.1016/j.agsy.2014.12.008
- 991 IPCC, 2006. Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 992 Isbell, F., Calcagno, V., Hector, A., Connolly, J., Harpole, W.S., Reich, P.B., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Tilman,
- D., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B.J., Zavaleta, E.S., Loreau, M., 2011. High plant diversity is needed to
 maintain ecosystem services. Nature 477, 199-202. doi 10.1038/nature10282
- Jégo, G., Belanger, G., Tremblay, G.F., Jing, Q., Baron, V.S., 2013. Calibration and performance evaluation of the
- STICS crop model for simulating timothy growth and nutritive value. Field Crops Research 151, 65-77. doi
 10.1016/j.fcr.2013.07.003
- 998 Jégo, G., Chantigny, M., Pattey, E., Belanger, G., Rochette, P., Vanasse, A., Goyer, C., 2014. Improved snow-cover
- model for multi-annual simulations with the STICS crop model under cold, humid continental climates.
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 195-196, 38-51. doi 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.05.002
- 1000 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 195-196, 38-51. doi 10.1016/j.agriormet.2014.05.002 1001 Jing, Q., Belanger, G., Baron, V., Bonesmo, H., Virkajarvi, P., 2013. Simulating the Nutritive Value of Timothy
- Summer Regrowth. Agronomy Journal 105, 563-572. doi 10.2134/agronj2012.0331
- 1003 Johst, K., Drechsler, M., Mewes, M., Sturm, A., Wätzold, F., 2015. A novel modeling approach to evaluate the
- ecological effects of timing and location of grassland conservation measures. Biological Conservation 182, 44-52.
 doi 10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.033
- 1006 Jouven, M., Carrere, P., Baumont, R., 2006. Model predicting dynamics of biomass, structure and digestibility of
- herbage in managed permanent pastures. 1. Model description. Grass and Forage Science 61, 112-124. doi
 1008 10.1111/j.1365-2494.2006.00515.x
- 1009 Kenyon, F., Sargison, N.D., Skuce, P.J., Jackson, F., 2009. Sheep helminth parasitic disease in south eastern Scotland
- 1010 arising as a possible consequence of climate change. Veterinary Parasitology 163, 293-297. doi 10.1016 / ivetpar 2009.03.027
- 1011 10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.03.027
- 1012 Kipling, R.P., Saetnan, E., Scollan, N., Bartley, D., Bellocchi, G., Hutchings, N.J., Dalgaard, T., van den Pol-van
- 1013 Dasselaar, A., 2014. Modelling livestock and grassland systems under climate change, in: Hopkins, A., Collins, R.,
- 1014 Fraser, M., King, V., Lloyd, D., Moorby, J., Robson, P. (Eds.), EGF at 50: The future of European grasslands.
- Proceedings of the 25th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation. Prifysgol Aberystwyth,
 Aberystwyth, pp. 97-99.
- 1017 Knapp, A.K., Briggs, J.M., Koelliker, J.K., 2001. Frequency and extent of water limitation to primary production in a
- 1018 mesic temperate grassland. Ecosystems 4, 19-28. doi 10.1007/s100210000057
- Kochy, M., 2008. Effects of simulated daily precipitation patterns on annual plant populations depend on life stage
 and climatic region. BMC ecology 8, 4. doi 10.1186/1472-6785-8-4
- 1021 Kreyling, J., Wenigmann, M., Beierkuhnlein, C., Jentsch, A., 2008. Effects of extreme weather events on plant
- 1022 productivity and tissue die-back are modified by community composition. Ecosystems 11, 752-763. doi
- 1023 10.1007/s10021-008-9157-9
- Lacetera, N., Segnalini, M., Bernabucci, U., Ronchi, B., Vitali, A., Tran, A., Guis, H., Caminade, C., Calvete, C., Morse, A.,
- 1025 Baylis, M., Nardone, A., 2013. Climate Induced Effects on Livestock Population and Productivity in the
- 1026 Mediterranean Area, in: Navarra, A., Tubiana, L. (Eds.), Regional Assessment of Climate Change in the
- 1027 Mediterranean. Springer Netherlands, pp. 135-156.

- 1028 Lardy, R., Bellocchi, G., Soussana, J.F., 2011. A new method to determine soil organic carbon equilibrium.
- 1029 Environmental Modelling & Software 26, 1759-1763. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.05.016
- 1030 Lazzarotto, P., Calanca, P., Fuhrer, J., 2009. Dynamics of grass-clover mixtures-An analysis of the response to
- management with the PROductive GRASsland Simulator (PROGRASS). Ecological Modelling 220, 703-724. doi
 1032 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.11.023
- Leclère, D., Jayet, P.-A., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., 2013. Farm-level autonomous adaptation of European agricultural
- 1034 supply to climate change. Ecological Economics 87, 1-14. doi 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.11.010
- Lee, M., Manning, P., Rist, J., Power, S.A., Marsh, C., 2010. A global comparison of grassland biomass responses to CO₂ and nitrogen enrichment. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365, 2047-
- 1037 2056. doi 10.1098/rstb.2010.0028
- 1038 Leip, A., Weiss, F., Wassenaar, T., Perez, I., Fellmann, T., Loudjani, P., Tubiello, F., Grandgirard, D., Monni, S., Biala,
- 1039 K., 2010. Evaluation of the Livestock Sector's Contribution to the EU Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GGELS), Brussels.
- 1040 Lemaire, G., Wilkins, R., Hodgson, J., 2005. Challenges for grassland science: managing research priorities.
- 1041 Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 108, 99-108. doi 10.1016/j.agee.2005.01.003
- 1042 Lessard, J.-P., Belmaker, J., Myers, J.A., Chase, J.M., Rahbek, C., 2012. Inferring local ecological processes amid
- species pool influences. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27, 600-607. doi 10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.006
- Li, C., Frolking, S., Frolking, T.A., 1992. A model of nitrous-oxide evolution fromsoil driven by rainfall events 1.
- 1045 Model structure and sensitivity. Journal of Geophysical Research—Atmospheres 97, 9759-9776. doi
- Li, C., Frolking, S., Harriss, R., 1994. Modeling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils. Global Biogeochemical
 Cycles 8, 237-254. doi 10.1029/94gb00767
- Li, C., Salas, W., Zhang, R., Krauter, C., Rotz, A., Mitloehner, F., 2012. Manure-DNDC: a biogeochemical process
 model for quantifying greenhouse gas and ammonia emissions from livestock manure systems. Nutr Cycl
- 1050Agroecosyst 93, 163-200. doi 10.1007/s10705-012-9507-z
- Li, F.Y., Snow, V.O., Holzworth, D.P., 2011. Modelling the seasonal and geographical pattern of pasture production
- 1052 in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research 54, 331-352. doi 10.1080/00288233.2011.613403
- Lu, C., Tian, H., 2013. Net greenhouse gas balance in response to nitrogen enrichment: perspectives from a coupled
 biogeochemical model. Global Change Biology 19, 571-588. doi 10.1111/gcb.12049
- 1055 Lugato, E., Panagos, P., Bampa, F., Jones, A., Montanarella, L., 2014. A new baseline of organic carbon stock in
- European agricultural soils using a modelling approach. Global Change Biology 20, 313-326. doi
 10.1111/gcb.12292
- Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux,, A.-I., B.T., H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G, 2015. Regional-scale analysis of carbon
 and water cycles on managed grassland systems ☆. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007
- 1060 Maes, J., Paracchini, M.L., Zulian, G., Dunbar, M.B., Alkemade, R., 2012. Synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem
- service supply, biodiversity, and habitat conservation status in Europe. Biological Conservation 155, 1-12. doi
 1062 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.06.016
- Maire, V., Soussana, J.-F., Gross, N., Bachelet, B., Pagès, L., Martin, R., Reinhold, T., Wirth, C., Hill, D., 2013. Plasticity
 of plant form and function sustains productivity and dominance along environment and competition gradients. A
 modeling experiment with Gemini. Ecological Modelling 254, 80-91. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.03.039
- 1066 Marquer, P., Rabade, T., Forti, R., 2014. Eurostat: Statistics explained Meat production statistics. EC.
- Marriott, C.A., Fothergill, M., Jeangros, B., Scotton, M., Louault, F., 2004. Long-term impacts of extensification of
 grassland management on biodiversity and productivity in upland areas. A review. Agronomie 24, 447-461. doi
 1069 10.1051/agro:2004041
- Martin, G., Martin-Clouaire, R., Duru, M., 2013. Farming system design to feed the changing world. A review. Agron.
 Sustain. Dev. 33, 131-149. doi 10.1007/s13593-011-0075-4
- 1072 Martre, P., Wallach, D., Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Jones, J.W., Rötter, R.P., Boote, K.J., Ruane, A.C., Thorburn, P.J.,
- 1073 Cammarano, D., Hatfield, J.L., Rosenzweig, C., Aggarwal, P.K., Angulo, C., Basso, B., Bertuzzi, P., Biernath, C., Brisson,
- 1074 N., Challinor, A.J., Doltra, J., Gayler, S., Goldberg, R., Grant, R.F., Heng, L., Hooker, J., Hunt, L.A., Ingwersen, J.,
- 1075 Izaurralde, R.C., Kersebaum, K.C., Müller, C., Kumar, S.N., Nendel, C., O'Leary, G., Olesen, J.E., Osborne, T.M., Palosuo,
- 1076 T., Priesack, E., Ripoche, D., Semenov, M.A., Shcherbak, I., Steduto, P., Stöckle, C.O., Stratonovitch, P., Streck, T.,
- Supit, I., Tao, F., Travasso, M., Waha, K., White, J.W., Wolf, J., 2015. Multimodel ensembles of wheat growth: many
 models are better than one. Global Change Biology 21, 911-925. doi 10.1111/gcb.12768
- 1079 Matthews, K.B., Rivington, M., Blackstock, K., McCrum, G., Buchan, K., Miller, D.G., 2011. Raising the bar? The
- 1080 challenges of evaluating the outcomes of environmental modelling and software. Environmental Modelling &
 1081 Software 26, 247-257. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.03.031
- 1082 Meier, M.S., Stoessel, F., Jungbluth, N., Juraske, R., Schader, C., Stolze, M., 2015. Environmental impacts of organic
- 1083 and conventional agricultural products Are the differences captured by life cycle assessment? Journal of
- 1084
 Environmental Management 149, 193-208. doi 10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.10.006

- 1085 Meul, M., Van Middelaar, C.E., de Boer, I.J.M., Van Passel, S., Fremaut, D., Haesaert, G., 2014. Potential of life cycle 1086 assessment to support environmental decision making at commercial dairy farms. Agricultural Systems 131, 105-1087 115. doi 10.1016/j.agsy.2014.08.006 1088 Mewes, M., Drechsler, M., Johst, K., Sturm, A., Wätzold, F., 2015. A systematic approach for assessing spatially and 1089 temporally differentiated opportunity costs of biodiversity conservation measures in grasslands. Agricultural 1090 Systems 137, 76-88. doi 10.1016/j.agsy.2015.03.010 Miranda, N.D., Tuomisto, H.L., McCulloch, M.D., 2015. Meta-analysis of greenhouse gas emissions from anaerobic 1091 1092 digestion processes in dairy farms. Environmental Science & Technology 49, 5211-5219. doi 1093 10.1021/acs.est.5b00018 1094 Mitchell, M.A., 2006. Using physiological models to define environmental control strategies, in: Gous, R., Fisher, C., 1095 Morris, T.R. (Eds.), Mechanistic Modelling in Pig and Poultry Production. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxford, 1096 pp. 209-228. Morignat, E., Gay, E., Vinard, J.-L., Calavas, D., Hénaux, V., 2015. Ouantifying the influence of ambient temperature 1097 1098 on dairy and beef cattle mortality in France from a time-series analysis. Environmental Research 140, 524-534. 1099 doi 10.1016/j.envres.2015.05.001 1100 Mouysset, L., Doyen, L., Pereau, J.-C., Jiguet, F., 2014. Benefits and costs of biodiversity in agricultural public 1101 policies. European Review of Agricultural Economics. doi 10.1093/erae/jbu005 1102 Nardone, A., Ronchi, B., Lacetera, N., Ranieri, M.S., Bernabucci, U., 2010. Effects of climate changes on animal 1103 production and sustainability of livestock systems. Livestock Science 130, 57-69. doi 10.1016/j.livsci.2010.02.011 Nayak, D., Saetnan, E., Cheng, K., Wang, W., Koslowski, F., Cheng, Y.-F., Zhu, W.Y., Wang, J.-K., Liu, J.-X., Moran, D., 1104 1105 Yan, X., Cardenas, L., Newbold, J., Pan, G., Lu, Y., Smith, P., 2015. Management opportunities to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from Chinese agriculture. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 209, 108-124. doi 1106 1107 10.1016/j.agee.2015.04.035 Neef, A., Neubert, D., 2011. Stakeholder participation in agricultural research projects: a conceptual framework for 1108 1109 reflection and decision-making. Agric Hum Values 28, 179-194. doi 10.1007/s10460-010-9272-z 1110 Nelson, E., Mendoza, G., Regetz, J., Polasky, S., Tallis, H., Cameron, D., Chan, K.M.A., Daily, G.C., Goldstein, J., Kareiva, P.M., Lonsdorf, E., Naidoo, R., Ricketts, T.H., Shaw, M., 2009. Modeling multiple ecosystem services, biodiversity 1111 conservation, commodity production, and tradeoffs at landscape scales. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1112 7, 4-11. doi 10.1890/080023 1113 1114 Nelson, G.C., van der Mensbrugghe, D., Ahammad, H., Blanc, E., Calvin, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Heyhoe, E., Kyle, P., Lotze-Campen, H., von Lampe, M., Mason d'Croz, D., van Meijl, H., Müller, C., Reilly, J., Robertson, R., Sands, R.D., 1115 1116 Schmitz, C., Tabeau, A., Takahashi, K., Valin, H., Willenbockel, D., 2014. Agriculture and climate change in global 1117 scenarios: why don't the models agree. Agricultural Economics 45, 85-101. doi 10.1111/agec.12091 1118 O'Brien, D., Shalloo, L., Patton, J., Buckley, F., Grainger, C., Wallace, M., 2012. Evaluation of the effect of accounting
 - method, IPCC v. LCA, on grass-based and confinement dairy systems' greenhouse gas emissions. animal 6, 1512 1527. doi doi:10.1017/S1751731112000316
 - O'Connor, L.J., Walkden-Brown, S.W., Kahn, L.P., 2006. Ecology of the free-living stages of major trichostrongylid
 parasites of sheep. Veterinary Parasitology 142, 1-15. doi 10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.08.035
 - 1123 Oliver, T.H., Heard, M.S., Isaac, N.J.B., Roy, D.B., Procter, D., Eigenbrod, F., Freckleton, R., Hector, A., Orme, C.D.L.,
 - 1124 Petchey, O.L., Proença, V., Raffaelli, D., Suttle, K.B., Mace, G.M., Martín-López, B., Woodcock, B.A., Bullock, J.M., 2015.
 - 1125Biodiversity and resilience of ecosystem functions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30, 673-684. doi112610.1016/j.tree.2015.08.009
 - Opio, C., Gerber, P., Mottet, A., Falcucci, A., Tempio, G., Macleod, M., Vellinga, T., Henderson, B., Steinfeld, H., 2013.
 Greenhouse gas emissions from ruminant supply chains: A global life cycle assessment, Rome.
 - 1129 Pagel, J., Schurr, F.M., 2012. Forecasting species ranges by statistical estimation of ecological niches and spatial
 - population dynamics. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21, 293-304. doi 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00663.x
 Parton, W.J., Hartman, M.D., Ojima, D.S., Schimel, D.S., 1998. DAYCENT and its land surface sub-model: description
 - 1132 and testing. Global and Planetary Change 19, 35-48. doi 10.1016/S0921-8181(98)00040-X
 - Perego, A., Giussani, A., Sanna, M., Fumagalli, M., Carozzi, M., Alfieri, L., Brenna, S., Acutis, M., 2013. The ARMOSA simulation crop model: overall features, calibration and validation results. Italian Journal of Agrometeorology-
 - 1135 Rivista Italiana Di Agrometeorologia 18, 23-38. doi
 - 1136 Peterson, P.R., Sheaffer, C.C., Hall, M.H., 1992. Drought effects on perennial forage legume yield and quality.
 - 1137 Agronomy Journal 84, 774-779. doi
 - 1138 Popp, A., Humpenoder, F., Weindl, I., Bodirsky, B.L., Bonsch, M., Lotze-Campen, H., Muller, C., Biewald, A., Rolinski,
 - S., Stevanovic, M., Dietrich, J.P., 2014. Land-use protection for climate change mitigation. Nature Clim. Change 4, 1005–1008. doi:10.1028/nclimate2444
 - **1140** 1095-1098. doi 10.1038/nclimate2444
 - 1141 Purse, B.V., Mellor, P.S., Rogers, D.J., Samuel, A.R., Mertens, P.P.C., Baylis, M., 2005. Climate change and the recent
 - emergence of bluetongue in Europe. Nat Rev Micro 3, 171-181. doi 10.1038/nrmicro1090

- Radoń, J., Bieda, W., Lendelová, J., Pogran, Š., 2014. Computational model of heat exchange between dairy cow and
 bedding. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 107, 29-37. doi 10.1016/j.compag.2014.06.006
- 1145 Ripple, W.J., Smith, P., Haberl, H., Montzka, S.A., McAlpine, C., Boucher, D.H., 2014. Ruminants, climate change and climate policy. Nature Clim. Change 4, 2-5. doi 10.1038/nclimate2081
- 1147 Robertson, A.D., Davies, C.A., Smith, P., Dondini, M., McNamara, N.P., 2015. Modelling the carbon cycle of
- 1148 Miscanthus plantations: existing models and the potential for their improvement. GCB Bioenergy 7, 405-421. doi 1149 10.1111/gcbb.12144
- 1150 Robertson, M.P., Peter, C.I., Villet, M.H., Ripley, B.S., 2003. Comparing models for predicting species' potential
- 1151 distributions: a case study using correlative and mechanistic predictive modelling techniques. Ecological
- 1152 Modelling 164, 153-167. doi 10.1016/S0304-3800(03)00028-0
- 1153 Rodela, R., Cundill, G., Wals, A.E.J., 2012. An analysis of the methodological underpinnings of social learning
- research in natural resource management. Ecological Economics 77, 16-26. doi 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.02.032
- 1155 Rotz, C.A., Montes, F., Hafner, S.D., Heber, A.J., Grant, R.H., 2014. Ammonia emission model for whole farm
- evaluation of dairy production systems. Journal of Environmental Quality 43, 1143-1158. doi
 10.2134/jeq2013.04.0121
- Ryals, R., Hartman, M.D., Parton, W.J., DeLonge, M.S., Silver, W.L., 2015. Long-term climate change mitigation
 potential with organic matter management on grasslands. Ecological Applications 25, 531-545. doi 10.1890/132126.1
- Scherr, S., Shames, S., Friedman, R., 2012. From climate-smart agriculture to climate-smart landscapes. Agric &
 Food Secur 1, 1-15. doi 10.1186/2048-7010-1-12
- 1163 Schils, R.L.M., de Haan, M.H.A., Hemmer, J.G.A., van den Pol-van Dasselaar, A., de Boer, J.A., Evers, A.G., Holshof, G.,
- van Middelkoop, J.C., Zom, R.L.G., 2007. DairyWise, a whole-farm dairy model. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 53345346. doi 10.3168/jds.2006-842
- 1166 Schmitz, C., van Meijl, H., Kyle, P., Nelson, G.C., Fujimori, S., Gurgel, A., Havlik, P., Heyhoe, E., d'Croz, D.M., Popp, A.,
- Sands, R., Tabeau, A., van der Mensbrugghe, D., von Lampe, M., Wise, M., Blanc, E., Hasegawa, T., Kavallari, A., Valin,
 H., 2014. Land-use change trajectories up to 2050: insights from a global agro-economic model comparison.
- 1169 Agricultural Economics 45, 69-84. doi 10.1111/agec.12090
- 1170 Schneider, U.A., Havlík, P., Schmid, E., Valin, H., Mosnier, A., Obersteiner, M., Böttcher, H., Skalský, R., Balkovič, J.,
- 1171 Sauer, T., Fritz, S., 2011. Impacts of population growth, economic development, and technical change on global
- food production and consumption. Agricultural Systems 104, 204-215. doi 10.1016/j.agsy.2010.11.003
- 1173 Scholten, M.C.T., 2015. Research and innovation for a competitive and sustainable animal production sector in a
- 1174 climate changing Europe: linking up MACSUR with Animal Task Force. Advances in Animal Biosciences 6, 1-2. doi
- 1175 Schönhart, M., Nadeem, I., 2015. Direct climate change impacts on cattle indicated by THI models. Advances in
- 1176 Animal Biosciences 6, 17-20. doi doi:10.1017/S2040470014000430
- 1177 Schönhart, M., Schauppenlehner, T., Schmid, E., 2011. Integrated Bio-Economic Farm Modeling for Biodiversity
- Assessment at Landscape Level, in: Flichman, G. (Ed.), Bio-Economic Models applied to Agricultural Systems.
 Springer Netherlands, pp. 185-213.
- 1180 Segnalini, M., Bernabucci, U., Vitali, A., Nardone, A., Lacetera, N., 2013. Temperature humidity index scenarios in
- the Mediterranean basin. International Journal of Biometeorology 57, 451-458. doi 10.1007/s00484-012-0571-5
- 1182 Siedlok, F., Hibbert, P., 2014. The organization of interdisciplinary research: Modes, drivers and barriers.
- 1183 International Journal of Management Reviews 16, 194-210. doi 10.1111/ijmr.12016
- 1184 Silanikove, N., Koluman, N., 2015. Impact of climate change on the dairy industry in temperate zones: Predications
- on the overall negative impact and on the positive role of dairy goats in adaptation to earth warming. Small
 Ruminant Research 123, 27-34. doi 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2014.11.005
- 1187 Smith, L.A., Marion, G., Swain, D.L., White, P.C.L., Hutchings, M.R., 2009. The effect of grazing management on
- livestock exposure to parasites via the faecal-oral route. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 91, 95-106. doi
 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2009.05.026
- 1190 Smith, P., Falloon, P.D., Körschens, M., Shevtsova, L.K., Franko, U., Romanenkov, V., Coleman, K., Rodionova, V.,
- 1191 Smith, J.U., Schramm, G., 2002. EuroSOMNET a European database of long-term experiments on soil organic
- 1192 matter: the WWW metadatabase. The Journal of Agricultural Science 138, 123-134. doi
- doi:10.1017/S0021859601001800
- 1194 Smith, P., Smith, J.U., Powlson, D.S., McGill, W.B., Arah, J.R.M., Chertov, O.G., Coleman, K., Franko, U., Frolking, S.,
- 1195 Jenkinson, D.S., Jensen, L.S., Kelly, R.H., Klein-Gunnewiek, H., Komarov, A.S., Li, C., Molina, J.A.E., Mueller, T., Parton,
- 1196 W.J., Thornley, J.H.M., Whitmore, A.P., 1997. A comparison of the performance of nine soil organic matter models
- using datasets from seven long-term experiments. Geoderma 81, 153-225. doi 10.1016/S0016-7061(97)00087-6
- 1198 Snow, V.O., Rotz, C.A., Moore, A.D., Martin-Clouaire, R., Johnson, I.R., Hutchings, N.J., Eckard, R.J., 2014. The
- 1199 challenges and some solutions to process-based modelling of grazed agricultural systems. Environmental
- 1200 Modelling & Software 62, 420-436. doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.03.009

- 1201 Sommer, S.G., Olesen, J.E., Petersen, S.O., Weisbjerg, M.R., Valli, L., Rodhe, L., BÉLine, F., 2009. Region-specific
- 1202 assessment of greenhouse gas mitigation with different manure management strategies in four agroecological 1203 zones. Global Change Biology 15, 2825-2837. doi 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01888.x
- 1204 Soussana, J.-F., 2014. Research priorities for sustainable agri-food systems and life cycle assessment. Journal of 1205 Cleaner Production 73, 19-23. doi 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.061
- 1206 Soussana, J.-F., Fereres, E., Long, S.P., Mohren, F.G.M.J., Pandya-Lorch, R., Peltonen-Sainio, P., Porter, J.R., Rosswall,
- 1207 T., von Braun, J., 2012a. A European science plan to sustainably increase food security under climate change.

1208 Global Change Biology 18, 3269-3271. doi 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02746.x

- 1209 Soussana, J.-F., Maire, V., Gross, N., Bachelet, B., Pagès, L., Martin, R., Hill, D., Wirth, C., 2012b. Gemini: A grassland 1210 model simulating the role of plant traits for community dynamics and ecosystem functioning. Parameterization 1211 and evaluation. Ecological Modelling 231, 134-145. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.02.002
- 1212 Soussana, J.F., Allard, V., Pilegaard, K., Ambus, P., Amman, C., Campbell, C., Ceschia, E., Clifton-Brown, J., Czobel, S.,
- 1213 Domingues, R., Flechard, C., Fuhrer, J., Hensen, A., Horvath, L., Jones, M., Kasper, G., Martin, C., Nagy, Z., Neftel, A.,
- 1214 Raschi, A., Baronti, S., Rees, R.M., Skiba, U., Stefani, P., Manca, G., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z., Valentini, R., 2007. Full
- 1215 accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) budget of nine European grassland sites. Agriculture,

1216 Ecosystems & Environment 121, 121-134. doi 10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.022

- 1217 Soussana, J.F., Tallec, T., Blanfort, V., 2010. Mitigating the greenhouse gas balance of ruminant production systems 1218 through carbon sequestration in grasslands. animal 4, 334-350. doi doi:10.1017/S1751731109990784
- 1219 Sterk, B., van Ittersum, M.K., Leeuwis, C., 2011. How, when, and for what reasons does land use modelling
- 1220 contribute to societal problem solving? Environmental Modelling & Software 26, 310-316. doi
- 1221 10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.06.004
- 1222 Stromberg, B.E., 1997. Environmental factors influencing transmission. Veterinary Parasitology 72, 247-264. doi 1223 10.1016/S0304-4017(97)00100-3
- Tatem, A.J., Baylis, M., Mellor, P.S., Purse, B.V., Capela, R., Pena, I., Rogers, D.J., 2003. Prediction of bluetongue 1224
- 1225 vector distribution in Europe and north Africa using satellite imagery. Veterinary Microbiology 97, 13-29. doi 1226 10.1016/j.vetmic.2003.08.009
- Tedeschi, L.O., Cavalcanti, L.F.L., Fonseca, M.A., Herrero, M., Thornton, P.K., 2014. The evolution and evaluation of 1227 1228 dairy cattle models for predicting milk production: an agricultural model intercomparison and improvement 1229 project (AgMIP) for livestock. Animal Production Science 54, 2052-2067. doi 10.1071/AN14620
- 1230 Thompson, V.A., Barioni, L.G., Rumsey, T.R., Fadel, J.G., Sainz, R.D., 2014. The development of a dynamic,
- 1231 mechanistic, thermal balance model for Bos indicus and Bos taurus. The Journal of Agricultural Science 152, 464-
- 1232 482. doi doi:10.1017/S002185961300049X
- 1233 Thornton, P.K., 2010. Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. Philosophical Transactions of the 1234 Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365, 2853-2867. doi 10.1098/rstb.2010.0134
- 1235 Thorsen, S.M., Höglind, M., 2010a. Assessing winter survival of forage grasses in Norway under future climate
- 1236 scenarios by simulating potential frost tolerance in combination with simple agroclimatic indices. 150, 1272– 1237 1282. doi 10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.05.010
- 1238 Thorsen, S.M., Höglind, M., 2010b. Modelling cold hardening and dehardening in timothy. Sensitivity analysis and 1239 Bayesian model comparison. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 1529-1542. doi
- 1240 10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.08.001
- 1241 Tian, H., Melillo, J., Lu, C., Kicklighter, D., Liu, M., Ren, W., Xu, X., Chen, G., Zhang, C., Pan, S., Liu, J., Running, S., 2011.
- 1242 China's terrestrial carbon balance: Contributions from multiple global change factors. Global Biogeochemical
- 1243 Cycles 25, n/a-n/a. doi 10.1029/2010gb003838
- 1244 Tilman, D., Clark, M., 2014. Global diets link environmental sustainability and human health. Nature 515, 518-522. 1245 doi 10.1038/nature13959
- 1246 Tixier, P., Peyrard, N., Aubertot, J.-N., Gaba, S., Radoszycki, J., Caron-Lormier, G., Vinatier, F., Mollot, G., Sabbadin, R., 1247 2013. Modelling interaction networks for enhanced ecosystem services in agroecosystems. Advances in Ecological 1248
- Research 49, 437-480. doi 10.1016/B978-0-12-420002-9.00007-X
- 1249 Valdivia, R.O., Antle, J.M., Claessens, L., Nelson, G.C., Rosenzweig, C., Ruane, A.C., Vervoort, J., 2013. Representative
- 1250 agricultural pathways and scenarios: A trans-disciplinary approach to agricultural model inter-comparison,
- 1251 improvement and climate impact assessment, Water, Food, Energy and Innovation for a Sustainable World: ASA,
- 1252 CSSA and SSSA International Annual Meetings. ASA, CSSA and SSSA, Tampa, Florida. 1253
- Valin, H., Sands, R.D., van der Mensbrugghe, D., Nelson, G.C., Ahammad, H., Blanc, E., Bodirsky, B., Fujimori, S.,
- 1254 Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Heyhoe, E., Kyle, P., Mason-D'Croz, D., Paltsev, S., Rolinski, S., Tabeau, A., van Meijl, H., von
- 1255 Lampe, M., Willenbockel, D., 2014. The future of food demand: understanding differences in global economic 1256 models. Agricultural Economics 45, 51-67. doi 10.1111/agec.12089
- 1257 van Dijk, J., David, G.P., Baird, G., Morgan, E.R., 2008. Back to the future: Developing hypotheses on the effects of
- 1258 climate change on ovine parasitic gastroenteritis from historical data. Veterinary Parasitology 158, 73-84. doi
- 1259 10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.08.006

- 1260 van Dijk, J., de Louw, M.D.E., Kalis, L.P.A., Morgan, E.R., 2009. Ultraviolet light increases mortality of nematode
- larvae and can explain patterns of larval availability at pasture. International Journal for Parasitology 39, 1151 1156. doi 10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.03.004
- van Latesteijn, H.C., 1999. Land use in Europe a methodology for policy-oriented future studies. Sdu Uitgevers,
 The Hague.
- 1265 van Oijen, M., Balkovič, J., Beer, C., Cameron, D.R., Ciais, P., Cramer, W., Kato, T., Kuhnert, M., Martin, R., Myneni, R.,
- 1266 Rammig, A., Rolinski, S., Soussana, J.F., Thonicke, K., Van der Velde, M., Xu, L., 2014. Impact of droughts on the
- carbon cycle in European vegetation: a probabilistic risk analysis using six vegetation models. Biogeosciences 11,
 6357-6375. doi 10.5194/bg-11-6357-2014
- van Paassen, A., Roetter, R.P., van Keulen, H., Hoanh, C.T., 2007. Can computer models stimulate learning about
 sustainable land use? Experience with LUPAS in the humid (sub-)tropics of Asia. Agricultural Systems 94, 874887. doi 10.1016/j.agsy.2006.11.012
- 1272 Vital, J.-A., Gaurut, M., Lardy, R., Viovy, N., Soussana, J.-F., Bellocchi, G., Martin, R., 2013. High-performance
- 1273 computing for climate change impact studies with the Pasture Simulation model. Computers and Electronics in
 1274 Agriculture 98, 131-135. doi 10.1016/j.compag.2013.08.004
- 1275 Vitali, A., Segnalini, M., Bertocchi, L., Bernabucci, U., Nardone, A., Lacetera, N., 2009. Seasonal pattern of mortality
 1276 and relationships between mortality and temperature-humidity index in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 92,
 1277 3781-3790. doi 10.3168/jds.2009-2127
- Voinov, A., Bousquet, F., 2010. Modelling with stakeholders. Environmental Modelling & Software 25, 1268-1281.
 doi 10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.03.007
- 1280 von Lampe, M., Willenbockel, D., Ahammad, H., Blanc, E., Cai, Y., Calvin, K., Fujimori, S., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P.,
- 1281 Heyhoe, E., Kyle, P., Lotze-Campen, H., Mason d'Croz, D., Nelson, G.C., Sands, R.D., Schmitz, C., Tabeau, A., Valin, H.,
- van der Mensbrugghe, D., van Meijl, H., 2014. Why do global long-term scenarios for agriculture differ? An
- overview of the AgMIP Global Economic Model Intercomparison. Agricultural Economics 45, 3-20. doi
 10.1111/agec.12086
- Waha, K., van Bussel, L.G.J., Mueller, C., Bondeau, A., 2012. Climate-driven simulation of global crop sowing dates.
 Global Ecology and Biogeography 21, 247-259. doi 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00678.x
- 1287 Weiske, A., Vabitsch, A., Olesen, J.E., Schelde, K., Michel, J., Friedrich, R., Kaltschmitt, M., 2006. Mitigation of
- greenhouse gas emissions in European conventional and organic dairy farming. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
 Environment 112, 221-232. doi 10.1016/j.agee.2005.08.023
- 1290 Williams, J.R., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R., Gassman, P.W., Green, C.H., 2008. History of model development at Temple,
- Texas. Hydrological Sciences Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques 53, 948-960. doi 10.1623/hysj.53.5.948
 Wilson, A., Mellor, P., 2008. Bluetongue in Europe: vectors, epidemiology and climate change. Parasitology
- 1293 Research 103, 69-77. doi 10.1007/s00436-008-1053-x
- Woodward, S.J.R., 2001. Validating a model that predicts daily growth and feed quality of New Zealand dairy
 pastures. Environment International 27, 133-137. doi 10.1016/s0160-4120(01)00073-3
- Wu, L., McGechan, M.B., McRoberts, N., Baddeley, J.A., Watson, C.A., 2007. SPACSYS: Integration of a 3D root
 architecture component to carbon, nitrogen and water cycling-model description. Ecological Modelling 200, 343 359. doi 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.08.010
- 1299 Yagasaki, Y., Shirato, Y., 2013. Rates and potentials of soil organic carbon sequestration in agricultural lands in
- 1300 Japan: an assessment using a process-based model and spatially-explicit land-use change inventories.
- 1301 Biogeosciences Discussions 10, 18359-18406. doi 10.5194/bgd-10-18359-2013
- Yagasaki, Y., Shirato, Y., 2014. Assessment on the rates and potentials of soil organic carbon sequestration in
 agricultural lands in Japan using a process-based model and spatially explicit land-use change inventories Part 2:
- 1304 Future potentials. Biogeosciences 11, 4443-4457. doi
- 1305 Yeluripati, J.B., del Prado, A., Sanz-Cobeña, A., Rees, R.M., Li, C., Chadwick, D., Tilston, E., Topp, C.F.E., Cardenas,
- 1306 L.M., Ingraham, P., Gilhespy, S., Anthony, S., Vetter, S.H., Misselbrook, T., Salas, W., Smith, P., 2015. Global Research
- 1307 Alliance Modelling Platform (GRAMP): An open web platform for modelling greenhouse gas emissions from agro-
- ecosystems. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 111, 112-120. doi 10.1016/j.compag.2014.11.016
- **1309** Zobel, M., 2015. The species pool concept as a framework for studying patterns of plant diversity. Journal of
- 1310Vegetation Science Published online. doi 10.1111/jvs.12333
- 1311