An investigation into the mechanisms controlling seasonal speed-up events at a High Arctic glacier

Robert G. Bingham¹, Alun L. Hubbard^{2,3}, Peter W. Nienow³ and Martin J. Sharp⁴

¹ British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0ET, UK. Email: rgbi@bas.ac.uk

² Centre for Glaciology, Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Wales Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB, UK.

³ School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Drummond Street, Edinburgh, EH8 9XP, UK.

⁴ Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2E3, Canada.

1 ABSTRACT

2

3 Seasonal variations in ice motion have been observed at several polythermal ice masses across 4 the High Arctic, including the Greenland Ice Sheet. However, such variations in ice motion and their possible driving mechanisms are rarely incorporated in models of the response of High 5 Arctic ice masses to predicted climate warming. Here we use a three-dimensional finite-6 7 difference flow model, constrained by field data, to investigate seasonal variations in the 8 distribution of basal sliding at polythermal John Evans Glacier, Ellesmere Island, Canada. Our 9 results suggest that speedups observed at the surface during the melt season result directly from 10 changes in rates of basal motion. They also suggest that stress-gradient coupling is ineffective at transmitting basal motion anomalies to the upper part of the glacier, in contrast with findings 11 12 from an earlier flow-line study at the same glacier. We suggest that stress-gradient coupling is 13 limited through the effect of high drag imposed by a partially frozen bed, and friction induced by 14 valley walls and significant topographic pinning points. Our findings imply that stress-gradient coupling may play a limited role in transmitting supraglacially-forced basal motion anomalies 15 16 through Arctic valley and outlet glaciers with complex topographic settings; and highlight the 17 importance of dynamically incorporating basal motion into models predicting the response of the 18 Arctic's land ice to climate change.

19 **INTRODUCTION**

20

21 Intra-annual variations in ice motion, with higher velocities during the melt season, have been 22 observed at several predominantly cold polythermal glaciers [Bingham et al., 2003; Müller and 23 Iken, 1973; Rabus and Echelmeyer, 1997; Rippin et al., 2005] including the Greenland Ice Sheet 24 [Zwally et al., 2002]. Such seasonal speedups have typically been attributed to 'supraglacial 25 hydraulic forcing,' the effect of supraglacial meltwater penetrating to the glacier bed and 26 generating excess basal water pressures (or reduced basal friction) and enhanced basal motion 27 [Bingham et al., 2005; Zwally et al., 2002]. These processes offer significant potential to 28 accelerate the response of ice masses to climate warming by facilitating the rapid transmission of 29 increasing volumes of surface runoff to the subglacial hydraulic system, thereby potentially 30 increasing basal motion and raising the overall rate of ice flux to lower elevations [Parizek and 31 Alley, 2004; Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006]. The concern is particularly acute over the High 32 Arctic (>75°N), where substantial warming and corresponding increases in surface melting have 33 been observed over the last two decades [Abdalati and Steffen, 2001; Hanna et al., 2005; IPCC, 34 2007; Steffen et al., 2004], and many glaciers terminate offshore, where calving provides an 35 efficient mass sink through which ice loss is translated rapidly into sea-level rise. However, most 36 current models used to project the contribution to sea level from the High Arctic's land ice do not 37 incorporate any dynamic feedbacks in response to increased melt rates [Alley et al., 2005; IPCC, 38 2007; *Rignot and Kanagaratnam*, 2006], due in part to limited knowledge of the mechanism(s) 39 by which supraglacial water penetrates to the base and induce(s) a dynamic response. Rare 40 exceptions to date are *Parizek and Allev*'s [2004] simulations of the Greenland Ice Sheet's 41 response to three different global warming scenarios incorporating seasonally-enhanced basal 42 motion, and Pattvn et al.'s [2005] model of polythermal McCall Glacier, Alaska, which 43 highlights the potential significance of basal motion for the annual flow regime.

45 Recent research by van der Veen [2007] has shown theoretically that supraglacial-subglacial 46 connections can be established through ice several hundreds of metres thick over periods of only 47 a few hours by the water-driven propagation of crevasses. This requires the ice to be under tensile stress and crevasse-filling rates of at least 1 m hr⁻¹, most feasibly attained by surface water 48 49 ponding into supraglacial lakes overlying the crevasses before they begin to propagate 50 downwards [van der Veen, 2007]. The mechanism has been observed directly at John Evans 51 Glacier, Canada [Boon and Sharp, 2003]; and satellite observations of supraglacial lakes forming 52 and draining seasonally over the Greenland Ice Sheet imply its wider occurrence [McMillan et 53 al., 2007; Sneed and Hamilton, 2007]. These findings confirm that supraglacial hydraulic forcing 54 is likely in the High Arctic, but the requirement for surface water to overcome the thermal barrier 55 of cold ice at the surface means it occurs via far fewer and more widely spaced supraglacial-56 subglacial connections than on temperate glaciers. In a typical temperate glacier, supraglacial 57 hydraulic forcing causes speedups in basal motion where supraglacial inputs locally reduce basal 58 traction; this then alters the stress fields in the surrounding ice, such that the initial speedup is 59 transmitted through the ice to the surface and adjacent regions by stress-gradient coupling [Blatter et al., 1998; Nienow et al., 2006]. What remains unclear in High Arctic ice masses is the 60 61 extent to which meltwater inputs limited to fewer and more widely spaced locations can cause 62 either localised or more spatially extensive speedup events.

63

In this paper, we investigate seasonal variations in the distribution of basal sliding under a polythermal (predominantly cold) High Arctic glacier, and their effects on the overall flow regime. More specifically, we use an existing three-dimensional, finite-difference glacier flow model [*Blatter*, 1995; *Hubbard et al.*, 1998] to assess the extent to which localised perturbations in basal traction, such as those typically provided by supraglacial hydraulic forcing, can be transmitted through such a glacier by stress-gradient coupling, particularly along the (longitudinal) direction of the main flow-line. We apply the model to John Evans Glacier,

Nunavut, Canada, where detailed field measurements of ice motion and hydrology were obtained between 1994 and 2002. In doing so, we aim to improve the current understanding of the lengthscales of stress-gradient coupling in predominantly cold ice masses, which has important implications for the response of High Arctic glaciers and the Greenland Ice Sheet to supraglacial hydraulic forcing.

76 BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY

77

78 Physical setting

79

John Evans Glacier (79°40'N, 74°00'W; hereafter JEG) covers 165 km² and flows 15 km from an 80 81 altitude of 1500 m to terminate on land at 100 m a.s.l. near the head of Allman Bay, eastern 82 Ellesmere Island (Fig. 1a). Ground-based radio-echo sounding (RES), conducted at 3200 points 83 across the glacier, has been used to determine its thickness and basal thermal conditions [Copland 84 and Sharp, 2001]. Over the lower ablation zone (LABZ; 0-5 km above the terminus), the lower 85 accumulation zone (LACZ; 8-11 km above the terminus) and the upper accumulation zone 86 (UACZ; 11-15 km above the terminus), ice is typically 100-200 m thick. Over the upper ablation 87 zone (UABZ; 5-8 km above the terminus) ice attains depths up to 400 m, and flows across an 88 overdeepened trough (Fig. 1b). At the upper boundary of the UABZ, i.e. approximately at the 89 equilibrium-line altitude (ELA; 8 km above the terminus and 800 m a.s.l.), ice flows either side of 90 a nunatak, while at the lower limit of the UABZ (4 km above the terminus) the ice thins 91 significantly over a subglacial bedrock riegel (Fig. 1b). Thermal conditions were determined by 92 analysing all traces for residual bed reflection power (BRP_r), the bed reflection power corrected 93 for ice thickness, for which values >1 generally indicate warm basal ice (after Gades et al., 94 [2000]). Over most of the ablation zone – but not at the margins and terminus - high BRP_r (Fig. 95 1c) indicates warm basal ice. In the LABZ an internal reflector up to 40 m above the bed was 96 interpreted as the boundary between warm basal ice and cold overlying ice [Copland and Sharp, 2001]. Throughout the whole accumulation zone and over the margins, terminus and riegel, low 97 98 BRP_r (Fig. 1c) implies cold basal ice. Thermistors emplaced 15 m below the ice surface across 99 the glacier yielded values ranging from -9.5 to -15.1° C (Fig. 1c), confirming that surface ice 100 remains cold all year round. Results from the radar survey therefore suggest the glacier is 101 polythermal but almost entirely cold, with warm ice only detected at and near the ice-bed interface within the ablation zone (Fig. 1d). Hereafter we use the phrase 'predominantly cold' to
describe the thermal regime of JEG, to distinguish it amongst the full continuum of polythermal
regimes which can exhibit widely contrasting hydrology/dynamics relationships (see *Blatter and Hutter* [1991] for a range of polythermal regimes; JEG manifests the form shown in their Fig.
106
10.

107

108 **Observed motion patterns**

109

Measurements of glacier surface motion between 1998 and 2002 [*Bingham et al.*, 2003; *Copland et al.*, 2003b] reveal an annual pattern of ice motion with fastest flow (~20 m a⁻¹) in the LABZ (Fig. 2a). Superimposed onto this pattern is a more complex picture of glacier-wide seasonal variations in ice motion (Fig. 2b-d). In particular, we identify three key periods of the year over which distinct motion distributions are observed (as reported in more detail in *Bingham et al.*, [2003]). These periods may be summarised as follows:

116 (i) *Winter* (September – May; Fig. 2b). During this period, there is very little, if any, surface 117 melting, no surface runoff reaches the base, and there is no subglacial outflow. Ice flows 118 more slowly at this time of year across all parts of the glacier than at any other time.

119 (ii) Spring (mid-late June; Fig. 2c). Over the month preceding this period, surface melt is 120 generated down-glacier of the ELA. In the LABZ it drains over the surface to the margins, 121 but in the UABZ most drains instead into a series of surface ponds. The beginning of 122 'spring' is defined by the sudden drainage of these surface melt-ponds into the glacier interior via five moulins that open over the riegel at the lower limit of the UABZ (h1-h5, 123 124 Fig. 1a; also cf. Fig. 2c), and a corresponding outburst of meltwater from the subglacial 125 outlet at the terminus which typically occurs 1-2 days later. Surface motion across the 126 LABZ dramatically increases at this time, often exceeding twice the mean annual speed during the first 2-3 days [Copland et al., 2003a; Bingham et al., 2006], after which it slows 127

but remains around 1.2-1.5 times winter speeds. Surface motion also increases over the
UABZ and the LACZ, but no increase in surface motion is observed over the UACZ.

- (iii) *Summer* (July; Fig. 2d). During this period, the snowline retreats into the UACZ, and
 surface runoff occurs widely across the glacier. Supraglacial melt continues to drain into the
 five riegel moulins (h1-h5), but much up-glacier melt now drains into the glacier interior via
 two further moulins (h6 and h7) which open 11 km above the terminus (i.e. defining the
 boundary between the LACZ and the UACZ). Peak seasonal flow velocities are observed
 throughout the UABZ and LACZ; flow increases above winter levels in the UACZ; and
 high flow continues across the LABZ.
- 137

138 The higher velocities observed across the glacier during spring and summer undoubtedly result 139 from supraglacial hydraulic forcing. In particular, faster ice motion through the LABZ during 140 spring is associated with widespread surface uplift over the same region [Copland et al., 2003a; 141 Bingham et al., 2006], and is consistent with surface melt suddenly gaining access to an 142 inefficient subglacial drainage system via the freshly-opened riegel moulins [Bingham et al., 143 2005]. However, what is not clear is the extent to which this localised dynamic response down-144 glacier of the riegel is transmitted up-glacier through stress-gradient coupling. Copland et al. 145 [2003b], using a single flow-line model, postulated that supraglacial hydraulic forcing beneath 146 the LABZ was sufficient to draw down ice from the accumulation zone provided the longitudinal 147 coupling length exceeded 4 times the ice thickness. They supported this assertion with the 148 theoretical inference that longitudinal coupling is significantly more effective in cold ice than 149 temperate ice [Kamb and Echelmeyer, 1986]. Since that interpretation, however, a number of 150 issues have arisen. Firstly, Copland et al. [2003b] compared winter motion only with 'summer' 151 motion, where their definition of 'summer' comprised an amalgamated period combining the 152 spring and summer periods we have defined above. As we have seen, the glacier's dynamic 153 response, and probably therefore the nature of its forcing/coupling mechanisms, evolves

154 markedly as the melt season progresses, most notably up-glacier of the LABZ (cf. Figs. 2c and 155 2d). Secondly, they neglected the existence of the accumulation zone moulins (h6 and h7 at the 156 head of the LACZ), whose connectivity to the subglacial outlet has been confirmed by dye 157 tracing [Bingham et al., 2005]. The existence of these higher-altitude moulins raises the 158 possibility that supraglacial hydraulic forcing may impact directly on basal sliding some way up-159 glacier of the riegel moulins (i.e. across the LACZ and/or the UABZ), so that we do not 160 necessarily need to appeal to extensive longitudinal coupling with supraglacial hydraulic forcing 161 further down-glacier (as do Copland et al. [2003b]). Finally, Bingham et al. [2005] have 162 demonstrated that the subglacial drainage system beneath the LABZ can evolve rapidly into a 163 highly efficient configuration in the days following the spring event, reducing the impact of 164 supraglacial hydraulic forcing on basal motion across the LABZ later in the summer.

165

Here we attempt to resolve the seasonal distribution of basal sliding, and reassess the possible glacier-wide impact of localised perturbations in basal sliding rate via longitudinal stresses, using a fully three-dimensional, finite-difference model of glacier flow with a mixed thermal regime.

169

170 Model description

171

172 The model is based on that developed by *Blatter* [1995], which provides first-order solutions to 173 the mass- and force-balance equations for three-dimensional grounded ice masses in steady state. 174 Model derivation, numerical implementation, proof through comparison with an idealised case solution, and its application to studying basal motion beneath the temperate Haut Glacier d'Arolla 175 are given by Blatter [1995], Blatter et al. (1998), Colinge and Blatter [1998], Hubbard et al. 176 177 [1998] and Nienow et al. [2005] respectively. The model has been validated in a recent Ice Sheet 178 Model Intercomparison Project for Higher-Order Models [ISMIP-HOM; Pattyn et al., 2007] for 179 both real and hypothetical glacier geometries with a variety of fixed and mixed basal boundary

180 conditions. It calculates longitudinal and lateral stress gradients, handles a nonlinear constitutive 181 relation and calculates the steady state stress and velocity fields for a given geometry and a 182 prescribed velocity or traction distribution at the glacier bed and a vanishing shear traction at the 183 surface. It does not explicitly incorporate a physical description of the mechanisms that induce 184 spatial variations in basal drag (such as supraglacial hydraulic forcing); rather, the model is used 185 heuristically to derive the thermal and basal motion fields that replicate the observed surface flow 186 fields, and interpret the likely mechanisms which may bring about the optimum basal velocities 187 retrospectively in the context of the field observations described above.

188

189 The model is fully thermodynamic and the computed three-dimensional stress field is coupled to 190 strain-rates through a constitutive relation which takes the form of Glen's law given by:

191
$$D = A (I_{\Pi} + t_0)^{(n-1)/2} \Sigma$$

where D is the strain rate tensor, A is the 'rate factor', I_{Π} is the second invariant of the stress 192 193 deviator (Σ), *n* is the flow law exponent (taken as 3), and t_0 is a nominally small constant which 194 ensures a finite viscosity is retained when approaching the limit of zero stress at ice divides 195 [Blatter, 1995]. Specifically, the thermal regime couples to the rate factor, A, which can be 196 treated as a constant for isothermal conditions, or can vary as a function of temperature (or other 197 physical qualities) following the Arrhenius relation [Paterson, 1994, p. 86]. For simulating 198 polythermal conditions, the model calculates the three-dimensional temperature field based on 199 horizontal and vertical advection, conduction and internal strain and basal frictional heating 200 which are explicitly coupled to the mechanical component. Upper and lower boundary conditions 201 are provided by the mean annual surface temperature (obtained from thermistor measurements 15 202 m beneath the glacier surface; Fig. 1c) and the geothermal heat flux which is assumed constant 203 across the bed. The thermal component of the model is spun-up under an initial condition of 204 isothermal ice (~-10°C) which is iterated through time in consort with the ice mechanics until the thermomechanical regime attains equilibrium. Sensitivity experiments reveal that the result is 205

robust and independent of the initial spin-up condition, though initiating with colder (~-12°C)
rather than warmer ice leads to a quicker steady-state solution.

208

209 The model requires six inputs: bed and surface slopes, surface temperature, ice thickness, the 210 basal motion field and the geothermal heat flux. The model is run at 250 m horizontal grid 211 resolution, with the glacier geometry (thickness and slopes) derived directly from the bed and 212 surface DEMs produced by Copland and Sharp [2001] and kept constant for all the experiments 213 presented. The model solves the coupled thermal and mechanical components in consort by 214 integrating vertically from the bed to the surface using a second-order Runge-Kutta scheme to 215 satisfy the surface boundary condition of negligible shear stress, and the unknown basal shear 216 traction is modified using a fixed-point iteration scheme [Hubbard et al., 1998]. The computed 217 steady-state thermal and velocity fields generated are then compared with the field measurements 218 made at JEG.

219

220 Control experiments

221

222 Before proceeding with the main series of modelling experiments, two control runs were 223 conducted in which basal motion was precluded (i.e. basal motion was prescribed as zero), and only thermal conditions were allowed to alter. The aim was to discern whether the model could 224 225 simulate observed surface motion at any time without recourse to basal motion. As an initial 226 control (JEG01), the model was applied in temperate isothermal mode, equivalent to raising all 227 ice to pressure-melting point (PMP) by specifying a constant rate factor for temperate ice (A = 2.148×10^{-16} kPa⁻³ a⁻¹; Paterson, 1994, p.97) across the entire domain. The objective of JEG01 228 229 was to determine the highest velocities that could be accounted for by internal deformation alone, 230 taking the extreme (and unrealistic) end-member that JEG is temperate and isothermal. In other words, it tests the degree to which warming the ice, rather than introducing basal motion, wouldbe reflected in surface ice flow.

233

234 The steady-state surface velocity field produced by experiment JEG01 is shown in Fig. 3a. 235 Significantly, modelled surface velocities through much of the ablation zone remain close to or 236 below those observed at any time of year (Fig. 3b), even during winter, when the slowest surface 237 motion is recorded (Fig. 3c). Thus, even by raising all ice to pressure-melting point we cannot 238 account for the observed magnitudes of ice motion through the ablation zone by appealing to 239 internal deformation alone, hence another mechanism must be responsible. By apparent contrast, 240 across much of the accumulation zone the temperate and isothermal experiment massively 241 overestimates ice flow (Fig. 3a & c). This is not especially meaningful, however, because we 242 know that in reality ice throughout the accumulation zone is almost entirely cold, and therefore 243 will experience much lower rates of deformation than are implied by this isothermal run. It does 244 demonstrate, however, that internal deformation is highly sensitive to the thermal evolution, and 245 so it is necessary to investigate more realistic thermal boundary conditions.

246

We therefore ran a second control experiment, JEG02, with zero basal motion but a fully evolved steady-state polythermal regime. We prescribed surface temperatures across the glacier ranging between -12 and -10°C, based on the 15-m thermistor measurements made during the field observations (Fig. 1c), and set a value of 60 mW m⁻² for the geothermal heat-flux after direct measurements made at the similar geophysical setting of Barnes Ice Cap, Baffin Island [*Classen*, 1977].

253

The steady-state surface velocity field (contoured) and basal temperature field (graduated shading) derived by JEG02 are shown in Fig. 3b. JEG02 demonstrates two things. Firstly, in terms of the glacier's dynamics, it reveals that with no basal motion, surface velocities are

257 underestimated across all parts of the glacier regardless of the time of year. Modelled surface 258 velocities across the LABZ are 2-4 times lower than observed over winter and an order of 259 magnitude lower than those observed over summer (Fig. 3c); and modelled surface velocities 260 across the accumulation zone similarly underestimate observed speeds (Fig. 3b & c). JEG02, in 261 combination with JEG01, therefore strongly suggests that significant basal motion must be 262 occurring across zones of this predominantly cold glacier. Secondly, in terms of the glacier's 263 thermal conditions, JEG02 evolves a steady-state basal temperature field (Fig. 3b) that reasonably 264 approximates that measured by RES (cf. Fig. 1c), with colder basal ice in the accumulation zone 265 than in the ablation zone (Fig. 3c). However, nowhere in the ablation zone do basal temperatures 266 in JEG02 attain PMP. This may be because the geothermal heat flux we specify (60 mW m^{-2}) is too low, although the region's geology and longstanding tectonic stability (Hodgson and others, 267 268 1989) provide no evidence that geothermal heating in this region should be significantly higher. 269 Furthermore, sensitivity experiments indicate that even with geothermal heat flux increased by a factor of 50% to 90 mW m⁻², nowhere does the bed of JEG attain PMP under equilibrium 270 271 conditions with basal motion precluded. A more tangible explanation could be that the heat 272 deficit results from the model not accounting for sensible heat transferred by water from the 273 surface to the bed, and the frictional heating that results from en- and subglacial water flow. Such 274 frictional heating is probably an important heat source throughout at least the LABZ, where RES 275 indicates warm basal ice and supraglacial hydraulic forcing is known to occur [Bingham et al., 276 2005]. We therefore believe that the thermal conditions used to force JEG02 represent a good 277 thermal model within the limits of this study.

278

Thus, we used the control runs described above to determine reasonable thermal conditions for the model, and to confirm that basal motion is required beneath all or part of JEG to replicate the observed surface motion fields through all parts of the year. The main series of modelling runs we describe below was therefore designed to determine the degree to which basal motion is required to replicate the observed surface motion fields at different times of the year, and to assess to what degree the required forcing can be localised around supraglacial inputs or may be transmitted to adjacent regions of the glacier through stress-gradient coupling.

286

287 Modelling experiments

288

289 In the following series of experiments, we used the same thermal inputs as those used for JEG02, 290 but varied the magnitude of basal forcing and the areas over which it is active for different times 291 of year. We estimated the magnitude of basal forcing over given periods based on the differences 292 between observed surface motion fields (Figs. 2b-d) and the modelled surface motion field 293 resulting from no basal motion (JEG02; Fig. 3b). This makes the implicit assumption that any 294 measured surface motion over any given period that exceeds that produced by JEG02 at steady 295 state is a direct surface expression of enhanced basal motion. We thereby derived 'residual' 296 winter, spring and summer basal motion fields by subtracting the JEG02 surface motion field 297 (Fig. 3b) from the winter, spring and summer surface motion fields (Figs. 2b-d) respectively.

298

299 We performed five numerical experiments, as follows:

300

JEG03 was forced with 'residual winter' motion (Fig. 4a), i.e. the residual of Fig. 3b and Fig.
2b. The rationale was to investigate the degree to which surface velocities observed across the
glacier over winter may result from a basal component of motion.

304

JEG04 was forced with 'residual summer' motion (Fig. 4b), i.e. the residual of Fig. 3b and Fig. 2d. The rationale for this experiment was to investigate whether the faster velocities observed over the glacier during summer may adequately be explained by increasing the basal component relative to winter, such as might result from supraglacial hydraulic forcing.

JEG03 and JEG04 assume basal motion is ubiquitous. However, given the highly localised nature of the supraglacial-subglacial connections we have observed, and the relatively short periods over which they are active (1-2 months per year), it may be more reasonable to suppose that when supraglacial hydraulic forcing is taking place (i.e. during spring and summer), the resultant basal forcing is concentrated around and downstream from supraglacial input locations. Therefore, in experiments JEG05, JEG06, and JEG07, we test the effects of prescribing only partial basal motion fields across selected zones of JEG during spring and summer:

317

318 JEG05 was forced with 'residual spring' basal motion only across the LABZ (Fig. 5a). The 319 rationale for this experiment was to investigate (i) the effect of a localised increase in basal 320 forcing beneath the LABZ, over a period when we know localised supraglacial hydraulic 321 forcing is taking place due to meltwater inputs into moulins h1-h5 (Fig. 1a), and (ii) the 322 degree to which this localised excess ice flow can be transmitted up-glacier through 323 longitudinal coupling alone. We consider the spring period for this test because this is when 324 peak velocities are observed across the LABZ, so we would expect up-glacier stress-gradient 325 coupling to be at its strongest.

326

JEG06 was similar to JEG05, but with the active basal motion field extended up-glacier to the head of the UABZ (Fig. 5b). This represents the known up-glacier limit of warm basal ice [*Copland and Sharp*, 2001], hence the up-glacier limit of any expected basal motion, although no supraglacial inputs have been observed up-glacier of the LABZ during spring. Here again we test the efficacy of longitudinal coupling, by testing whether expanding the limit of basal motion up-glacier has any positive effect on ice motion through the cold accumulation zone.

334	JEG07 was forced with 'residual summer' basal motion <i>only across the UABZ</i> (Fig. 5c). This
335	reflects our expectation that later in the melt season the region of highest basal forcing may
336	migrate up-glacier from the LABZ to the UABZ due to (i) the onset of drainage into the
337	accumulation-zone moulins (h6 and h7, Fig. 1a), delivering surface runoff that might first
338	encounter warm basal ice at the head of the UABZ, and (ii) the increased efficiency of
339	subglacial drainage through the LABZ evident by July [after Bingham and others, 2005],
340	which would act to dampen basal forcing down-glacier of the UABZ.

341 **RESULTS**

342

343 Figure 4 shows the results from model experiments JEG03 and JEG04, respectively forced with 344 residual winter (Fig. 4a) and residual summer (Fig. 4b) basal motion fields. The attempt to 345 reproduce the winter surface motion field (JEG03; Fig. 4a) replicates well the general dynamic 346 behaviour observed at the surface, although along the glacier centreline surface velocities are 347 generally slightly underestimated (Fig. 4c). At steady state, warmer basal temperatures are found 348 more generally in the LABZ than elsewhere (Fig. 4a), although for the most part the base remains 349 well below melting point and nowhere is the modelled basal temperature field at melting point. 350 The attempt to reproduce the summer surface motion field (JEG04; Fig. 4b) also performs 351 reasonably in terms of the basic pattern, simulating the increase in velocities observed across 352 much of the surface with respect to winter. Along the glacier centreline (Fig. 4c) the model generally underestimates the observed surface velocity by 15-30% $(3 - 7 \text{ m a}^{-1})$, except in the 353 LABZ, where the observed summer flow is overestimated by 60% (15 m a⁻¹), and in the lowest 2 354 km, where it is underestimated by 15% (~ 3 m a⁻¹). The increased flow through most of the 355 356 glacier warms more of the base (Fig. 4b) than in the winter model (Fig. 4a), with a greater 357 proportion of the ablation zone at warmer basal temperatures. Nevertheless, PMP is still not 358 attained anywhere at the glacier base.

359

Figure 5 shows the results from experiments where only partial basal forcing fields were prescribed. JEG05 and JEG06, forced with residual spring basal motion only in the LABZ (Fig. 5a) and only down-glacier of the nunatak (Fig. 5b) respectively, both reproduce well the observed dynamic behaviour in spring wherever basal motion is prescribed (Fig. 5d). Notably, as soon as the boundary into the zero basal motion field is crossed (i.e. up-glacier of the riegel in JEG05 and up-glacier of the nunatak in JEG06) each model significantly underestimates observed flow. This conclusion is also valid for JEG07 (Fig. 5c), in which surface velocities are massively

367 underestimated (relative to those observed in summer) in the LABZ where zero basal motion was 368 prescribed. The most significant finding from these three models is that wherever zero basal 369 motion is prescribed, the local surface velocity response fails to attain the observed magnitudes 370 (Fig. 5d); the implication is that high velocities at the surface result from locally high rates of 371 basal motion, rather than from stress-gradient coupling. This is highlighted by the failure of all 372 three models to replicate observed velocities in the accumulation zone through stress-gradient 373 coupling alone. Once again, it is also notable that although the modelled basal temperature fields 374 reproduce the general pattern of observed variation in BRP_r, nowhere does even the warmest 375 modelled basal ice quite reach pressure-melting point (Figs. 5a-c).

376 **DISCUSSION**

377

378 Our model results support the contention, based on earlier field observations, that a substantial 379 component of the annual flow of JEG results from basal motion, despite the prevalence of cold 380 ice throughout much of the glacier. It is notable that regardless of the time of year, and even when 381 the temperature of all the ice is raised to PMP - significantly increasing the internal deformation 382 rate – a considerable basal perturbation is required in the ablation zone for the observed surface 383 velocities to be modelled. These findings are entirely consistent with our field observations that 384 basal ice in the ablation zone is at PMP, permitting basal motion, and that during spring and 385 summer basal motion beneath the ablation zone is enhanced by supraglacial hydraulic forcing. 386 We have also learnt that even during winter a significant basal motion component is required 387 beneath the ablation zone, and that during spring and summer basal motion is likely beneath the 388 LACZ.

389

390 Critically, the modelling suggests that almost all excess motion observed at the surface is a direct 391 expression of local basal motion, and in particular the effect of stress-gradient coupling on 392 transmitting basal motion anomalies up-glacier is muted. The evidence for minimal longitudinal 393 coupling is provided by those experiments (JEG05-07; Fig. 5) where we restricted basal forcing 394 to localised sectors of the bed. Enhancing basal motion only across the LABZ during spring 395 (JEG05; Fig. 5a), when the most pronounced surface speedup is observed across the LABZ, 396 causes a negligible increase in surface flow up-glacier, and does not induce an increase in surface 397 motion in the accumulation zone. Expanding the basal motion field up-glacier additionally to 398 incorporate the UABZ (JEG06; Fig. 5b) also has a negligible effect on ice flow in the 399 accumulation zone, and even raising basal motion beneath the UABZ to the maximum summer 400 levels (JEG07; Fig. 5c) fails to increase flow up-glacier of the ablation zone. Our findings 401 therefore do not support the contention of Copland et al. [2003b], derived from 2-dimensional

402 (flowline) modelling, that JEG has a longitudinal coupling length of 4 times the local ice 403 thickness. This has three implications: firstly, significantly different conclusions can be drawn 404 concerning the efficacy of longitudinal coupling depending on whether modelling is conducted in 405 two or three dimensions; secondly, we need to explain why stress-gradient coupling is less 406 effective than suggested by *Copland et al.* [2003b]; and thirdly, the high motion observed in the 407 accumulation zone likely results directly from basal motion in the accumulation zone suggesting 408 that at least part of the bed in this region is at PMP.

409

410 Earlier research at the temperate Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland, has highlighted the 411 possibility of overestimating the effectiveness of stress-gradient coupling using a flowline model 412 rather than a three-dimensional model. Blatter et al. [1998] applied a flowline model to the 413 glacier which derived a longitudinal coupling length-scale of 3-5 times the ice thickness; but the 414 same formulation incorporated into a three-dimensional model [Nienow et al., 2005] suggested 415 that up-glacier coupling actually occurred over distances of less than one ice thickness. The 416 discrepancy may arise for a number of reasons. In the Arolla work, it was suggested that 417 transverse stresses, not taken into account by single flow-line models, significantly reduce the 418 efficacy of longitudinal stress-gradient coupling, effectively producing a rapid longitudinal 419 dissipation of supraglacially-forced basal motion anomalies [Nienow et al., 2005]. Lateral 420 variations in basal drag, such as might be imposed by 'sticky' patches of basal ice away from a 421 subglacial channel, or by friction against valley walls, are also neglected in the two dimensional 422 case. At JEG, patches of cold basal ice may additionally act as significant sticky spots. JEG also 423 flows over, and/or around, at least two significant topographic pinning points, the riegel between 424 the LABZ and the UABZ, and the nunatak between the UABZ and the LACZ, and these might 425 also suppress longitudinal stress-gradient coupling.

427 Our conclusion that stress-gradient coupling is ineffective at transmitting down-glacier basal 428 motion anomalies up-glacier of the nunatak suggests that basal motion must be responsible for 429 the significant speedup observed during summer in the LACZ. This means that we must reconcile 430 the existence of basal motion there with RES data that suggest the basal interface is cold (Fig. 431 1c). The most likely explanation is that at least some parts of the basal interface in the LACZ are 432 at PMP, and were not detected by RES. This raises two issues: firstly, how may warm basal ice 433 be generated beneath the LACZ despite modelled thermal conditions to the contrary, and 434 secondly, why was it not detected during RES surveying? On the first point, some 'relict' warm 435 basal ice may survive from a period of thicker glacial cover, when ice thickness in the LACZ was sufficient to raise basal ice to pressure melting point and insulate it from the cold climate above. 436 437 This same argument has been put forward to explain anomalous warm sectors of polythermal 438 Laika Glacier, Canadian Arctic [Blatter and Hutter, 1991], and McCall Glacier, Alaska [Pattvn et 439 al., 2005]. Alternatively, or in addition, heat supplied by surface runoff accessing the subglacial 440 interface (e.g. through moulins h6 and h7) may maintain parts of the basal interface at pressure 441 melting point. On the potential failure of the RES technique to identify warm ice beneath the 442 accumulation zone, the interpretation of high BRP_r as a reliable indicator of cold ice may be 443 overstated, or it is possible that the RES coverage simply missed areas of warm ice.

444

445 As with any modelling exercise, our study has limitations that future efforts must address. Firstly, 446 while we have modelled the effect of perturbing basal motion fields on surface motion, we have 447 not explicitly incorporated the mechanism responsible for perturbing the basal motion fields in 448 the first place, i.e. the supraglacial hydraulic forcing mechanism. This requires an incorporation 449 of fracture mechanics into the ice-flow component which was beyond the scope of this study, but 450 which should be incorporated into an improved holistic formulation of the system. Secondly, 451 although we have reproduced well the general pattern of basal temperature variation over the 452 glacier as determined from RES, our modelling has shown a general tendency to underestimate 453 basal temperatures such that PMP was not attained anywhere at the base. As discussed in relation 454 to control experiment JEG02, we attribute the discrepancy to the model not incorporating heat 455 supplied to the base by seasonal inputs of water, and frictional heating generated by this water as 456 it melts a passage beneath the glacier. Therefore, future simulations might be improved with an 457 explicit incorporation of a water-derived heat expression. Finally, there may be a problem in 458 assuming that longitudinal coupling only affects the deformational component of flow. It seems 459 intuitive that it will also affect the rate of basal sliding with the potential that local perturbations 460 in basal traction could propagate velocity perturbations over greater distances than suggested by 461 our modelling. Unfortunately, there is currently no theoretical basis for addressing this issue and 462 it is a problem inherent to all higher order ice flow models.

463

Wider implications for the dynamic response of High Arctic land ice to climate warming465

466 The findings from this study have a number of wider implications for predicting the likely 467 response of High Arctic ice masses, including the Greenland Ice Sheet, to projected climate 468 warming. The recent Fourth Assessment report of the IPCC [2007] has suggested that dynamic 469 processes related to ice flow could increase the vulnerability of the ice sheets to warming, but 470 effectively incorporating these processes into models remains one of the greatest challenges in 471 predicting the future contribution of ice sheets to sea level. The same point is equally applicable 472 to the smaller High Arctic glaciers and ice caps, which are also responding rapidly to climate 473 warming and are also contributing to rising sea levels. As we have noted, seasonal speedups have 474 been observed and attributed to supraglacial hydraulic forcing at a number of High Arctic glaciers 475 [Bingham et al., 2003; Müller and Iken, 1973; Rippin et al., 2005], but it has been difficult to 476 apportion the surface response between localised basal motion and stress-gradient coupling with 477 a non-local basal anomaly. Longitudinal stress-gradient coupling has generally been considered 478 more effective in colder ice [Copland et al., 2003b; Kamb and Echelmeyer, 1986], and this has 479 been used to suggest that even small amounts of basal forcing in one location can drive a 480 widespread speedup through a large proportion of an ice mass. This is a serious concern taken in 481 the context that summers across the High Arctic are becoming longer and warmer, generating 482 larger volumes of surface runoff over longer periods, and increasing the number and duration of 483 supraglacial-subglacial hydraulic connections. However, our study suggests that other factors, 484 such as lateral variations in basal drag, or the presence of topographic pinning points, 485 significantly reduce the efficacy of longitudinal coupling, at least in a valley-glacier setting, and 486 limit the dynamic response to localised areas of supraglacial hydraulic forcing.

487

488 Our study also underlines the importance of incorporating basal motion into models predicting 489 the response of High Arctic glaciers and the Greenland Ice Sheet to climate warming. Clearly, at 490 JEG, despite the vast majority of the ice being cold, a significant proportion of the ice flow 491 results directly from basal motion. Only by including this basal motion in our model can we 492 simulate the current flow regime at the glacier, hence any study seeking to project the response of 493 High Arctic glaciers to climate warming must incorporate at the very least a sliding 'law', if not a 494 more complex incorporation of subglacial processes, and should take into account supraglacial 495 hydraulic forcing. Seasonal speedups observed on Greenland outlet glaciers [Joughin et al., 1996; 496 Mohr et al., 1998] also suggest they have a warm basal interface, and therefore that basal motion 497 is an important dynamic process at least at the fringes of the continental ice sheet. Recently it has 498 come to light that many of Greenland's outlet glaciers are accelerating over annual/decadal 499 timescales [Howat et al., 2005; Luckman et al., 2006; Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006; Stearns 500 and Hamilton, 2007], and many possible causes for this phenomenon have been proposed, 501 including an increased influence of supraglacial hydraulic forcing [Zwally et al., 2002], or 502 oceanic warming [Pavne et al., 2005], leading to ice-shelf removal and/or ice-front retreat, 503 reducing resistance to flow. Whatever the catalyst(s) for these marked accelerations, it is certain 504 that beneath Greenland's outlet glaciers much basal ice is warm, thus basal motion forms a

- 505 significant component of the dynamic response and must be incorporated into models seeking to
- 506 project the response of *all* High Arctic land ice to climatic warming.

508 CONCLUSIONS

509

510 John Evans Glacier experiences significant seasonal increases in surface velocity every melt 511 season [Bingham et al., 2003]. This phenomenon has been linked to 'supraglacial hydraulic 512 forcing,' whereby surface meltwater drains into a distributed subglacial drainage system, and 513 induces enhanced basal motion across unspecified parts of the bed. What has remained unclear 514 until now is the extent to which such a basal perturbation over one part of the bed may be 515 transmitted more widely through the glacier through the effect of stress-gradient coupling. We 516 have used a three-dimensional, thermally-coupled flow model incorporating stress-gradient 517 coupling to investigate where, and by how much, the basal velocity field needs to be perturbed to 518 reproduce the surface velocity fields at different times of the year. Prescribing zero basal motion 519 in the model but varying thermal conditions, we were unable to simulate the surface velocity field 520 at any time of year in all parts of the glacier except the upper accumulation zone. Hence, we infer 521 that basal motion takes place downglacier of all known supraglacial-subglacial hydraulic 522 connections during at least part of the year. By varying the magnitude and pattern of the basal 523 velocity perturbation, the model was better able to replicate the observed surface velocity fields at 524 different times of the year.

525

526 The modelling reported here implies that an earlier reported longitudinal coupling length-scale of 527 4 times the ice thickness for this mostly cold glacier, based on a single flow-line model [Copland 528 et al., 2003b], is a significant overestimate. Rather, by varying the areas of active basal motion at 529 the bed, we have found that it is difficult to transmit basal motion anomalies up-glacier by more 530 than one ice thickness, and in some sectors of the glacier the coupling length is severely reduced 531 through the effect of topographic pinning points, especially around the nunatak marking the 532 divide between the accumulation and ablation zones. This has two significant implications. 533 Firstly, it shows that radically different estimates of the efficacy of longitudinal stress-gradient 534 coupling are obtained when modelling in three, as opposed to two, dimensions, and the former 535 dramatically reduces the estimated longitudinal-coupling length scale relative to the latter. The 536 reasons for this need to be further investigated, but the implication is that although longitudinal 537 coupling has previously been stated to be more effective in colder ice masses, its role in 538 transmitting basal motion anomalies over large distances may have been overstated. Hence, 539 secondly, most surface velocity increases, such as those observed here, and at glaciers with 540 comparable thermal regimes, probably arise directly from local basal forcing, and do not reflect 541 stress-gradient coupling with non-local basal forcing. Recent studies have highlighted the neglect 542 of potentially critical dynamic processes in models seeking to predict the contribution of High 543 Arctic glaciers and the Greenland Ice Sheet to sea level rise. Our experiments highlight the 544 importance of incorporating a basal motion component into future formulations.

545 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was developed under the UK NERC-ARCICE programme. Fieldwork at John Evans Glacier was supported by NERC, NSERC, the University of Alberta Circumpolar Institute and Northern Science Training Programme, and the Geological Society of America, and was conducted with the kind permission of the communities of Grise Fjord and Resolute Bay. Field support and logistics were provided by the Polar Continental Shelf Project, Natural Resources Canada (PCSP/EPCP contribution number ######). We thank Heinz Blatter and an anonymous reviewer for careful reviews.

553 **REFERENCES**

- 554
- Abdalati, W., and K. Steffen (2001) Greenland ice sheet melt extent: 1979-1999. J. Geophys. *Res.*, 106, 33983-33988.
- 557
- 558 Alley, R.B., T.K. Dupont, B.R. Parizek, and S. Anandakrishnan (2005), Access of surface
- meltwater to beds of sub-freezing glaciers: preliminary insights. Ann. Glaciol., 40, 8-14.

560

- 561 Bingham, R.G., P.W, Nienow, and M.J. Sharp (2003), Intra-seasonal and intra-annual flow 562 dynamics of a High Arctic polythermal valley glacier, *Ann. Glaciol.*, *37*, 181-188.
- 563
- Bingham, R.G., P.W. Nienow, M.J. Sharp, and S. Boon (2005), Subglacial drainage processes at
 a High Arctic polythermal valley glacier, *J. Glaciol.*, *51*, 15-24.
- 566
- Bingham, R.G., P.W. Nienow, M.J. Sharp, and L. Copland (2006), Hydrology and dynamics of a
 polythermal (mostly cold) High Arctic glacier, *Earth Surf. Process. Landform.*, *31*, 1463-1479.
- 569
- 570 Blatter, H. (1995), Velocity and stress fields in grounded glaciers: a simple algorithm for 571 including deviatoric stress gradients, *J. Glaciol.*, *41*, 333-344.
- 572
- 573 Blatter, H., G.K.C. Clarke, and J. Colinge (1998), Stress and velocity fields in glaciers. Part II.
 574 Sliding and basal stress distribution, *J. Glaciol.*, *44*, 457-466.

575

576 Blatter, H., and K. Hutter (1991), Polythermal conditions in Arctic glaciers, *J. Glaciol.*, *37*, 261577 269.

579	Boon, S. and M. Sharp (2003), The role of hydrologically-driven ice fracture in drainage system
580	evolution on an Arctic glacier, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1916, doi:10.1029/2003GL018034.
581	
582	Classen, D.F. (1977), Temperature profiles for the Barnes Ice Cap surge zone, J. Glaciol., 18,
583	391-405.
584	
585	Colinge, J., and H. Blatter (1998), Stress and velocity fields in glaciers. Part I: Finite-difference
586	schemes for higher-order glacier models, J. Glaciol., 44, 448-456.
587	
588	Copland, L., and M. Sharp (2001), Mapping hydrological and thermal conditions beneath a
589	polythermal glacier with radio-echo sounding, J. Glaciol., 47, 232-242.
590	
591	Copland, L., M. Sharp, and P. Nienow (2003a), Links between short-term velocity variations and
592	the subglacial hydrology of a predominantly cold polythermal glacier, J. Glaciol., 49, 337-348.
593	
594	Copland, L., M.J. Sharp, P.W. Nienow, and R.G. Bingham (2003b), The distribution of basal
595	motion beneath a High Arctic polythermal glacier, J. Glaciol., 49, 407-414.
596	
597	Gades, A.M., C.F. Raymond, H. Conway, and R. Jacobel (2000), Bed properties of Siple Dome
598	and adjacent ice streams, West Antarctica, inferred from radio-echo sounding measurements, J.
599	<i>Glaciol.</i> , 46, 88-94.
600	
601	Hanna, E., P. Huybrechts, I. Janssons, J. Cappelen, K. Steffen, and A. Stephens (2005), Runoff
602	and mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet: 1958-2003, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D13108, doi:
603	10.1029/2004JD005641.
604	

605	Howat, I.M., I. Joughin, S. Tulaczyk, and S. Gogineni (2005), Rapid retreat and acceleration of
606	Helheim Glacier, east Greenland, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L22502, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34,
607	L03501, doi: 10.1029/2006GL028697.

Hubbard, A., H. Blatter, P. Nienow, D. Mair, and B. Hubbard (1998) Comparison of a threedimensional model for glacier flow with field data from Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland, *J. Glaciol.*, 44, 368-378.

612

613 IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) (2007), *Climate Change 2007: The Physical*614 *Science Basis*, IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.

615

Joughin, I., S. Tulaczyk, M. Fahnestock, and R. Kwok (1996), A mini-surge on the Ryder
Glacier, Greenland, observed by satellite radar interferometry, *Science*, *274*, 228-230.

618

Kamb, B., and K.A. Echelmeyer (1986), Stress-gradient coupling in glacier flow: I. Longitudinal
averaging of the influence of ice thickness and surface slope, *J. Glaciol.*, *32*, 267-284.

621

Luckman, A., T. Murray, R. de Lange, and E. Hanna, (2006), Rapid and synchronous icedynamic changes in East Greenland, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L03503, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34,
L03501, doi:10.1029/2005GL025428.

625

McMillan, M., P. Nienow, A. Shepherd, and T. Benham (2007), Satellite investigations of the
seasonal evolution of supra-glacial lakes at the margins of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Geophys. Res. Abstracts*, *9*, 10940.

630	Mohr, J.J., N. Reeh, and S.N. Madsen (1998), Three-dimensional glacial flow and surface
631	elevation measured with radar interferometry, Nature, 391, 273-276.
632	
633	Müller, F., and A. Iken (1973), Velocity fluctuations and water regime of Arctic valley glaciers,
634	International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication, 95, 165-182.
635	
636	Nienow, P.W., A.L. Hubbard, B.P. Hubbard, D.M. Chandler, D.W.F. Mair, M.J. Sharp, and I.C.
637	Willis (2005), Hydrological controls on diurnal ice flow variability in valley glaciers, J. Geophys.
638	Res., 110, F04002, doi:10. 1029/2003JF000112.
639	
640	Parizek, B.R., and R.B. Alley (2004), Implications of increased Greenland surface melt under
641	global-warming scenarios: ice-sheet simulations. Quat. Sci. Rev., 23, 1013-1027.
642	
643	Paterson, W.S.B. (1997), The Physics of Glaciers, 3 rd Edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.
644	
645	Pattyn, F., and the ISMIP-HOM participants (2007), ISMIP-HOM: Results of the Higher-Order
646	Ice-Sheet Model Intercomparison exercise, Geophys. Res. Abstracts, 9, 01351.
647	
648	Pattyn, F., M. Nolan, B. Rabus, and S. Takahashi (2005), Localized basal motion of a
649	polythermal Arctic glacier: McCall Glacier, Alaska, USA, Ann. Glaciol., 40, 47-51.
650	
651	Payne, A.J., P.R. Holland, A. Vieli, and D.L. Feltham (2005), Modelling the coupled evolution of
652	the ice shelf/stream flow system and the oceanic circulation in the ice-shelf cavity, EOS Trans.
653	AGU, 86, C44A-01.
654	

Rabus, B.T., and K.A. Echelmeyer (1997), The flow of a polythermal glacier: McCall Glacier,

656 Alaska, U.S.A., J. Glaciol., 43, 522-536.

657

- Rignot, E., and P. Kanagaratnam (2006), Changes in the velocity structure of the Greenland Ice
 Sheet, *Science*, *311*, 986-990.
- 660
- Rippin, D.M., I.C. Willis, N.S. Arnold, A.J. Hodson, and M. Brinkhaus (2005), Spatial and
 temporal variations in surface velocity and basal drag across the tongue of the polythermal glacier
 midre Lovénbreen, Svalbard, *J. Glaciol.*, *51*, 588-600.
- 664
- Sneed, W. A., and G.S. Hamilton (2007), Evolution of melt pond volume on the surface of the
 Greenland Ice Sheet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L03501, doi: 10.1029/2006GL028697.

667

Stearns, L.A., and G.S. Hamilton (2007), Rapid volume loss from two East Greenland outlet
glaciers quantified using repeat stereo satellite imagery, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L05503, doi:
10.1029/2006GL028902.

671

- Steffen, K., S.V. Nghiem, R. Huff, and G. Neumann (2004), The melt anomaly of 2002 on the
 Greenland Ice Sheet from active and passive microwave satellite observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L20402, doi:10.1029/2004GL020444.
- 675
- Van der Veen, C.J. (2007), Fracture propagation as a means of rapidly transferring surface
 meltwater to the base of glaciers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L01501, doi:10.1029/2006GL028385.
- Zwally, H.J., W. Abdalati, T. Herring, K. Larson, J. Saba, and K. Steffen (2002), Surface meltinduced acceleration of Greenland Ice-Sheet flow, *Science*, *297*, 218-222.

- 681 Figure captions
- 682

Figure 1: (a) Location map, showing the conceptual division of JEG into the upper accumulation zone (UACZ), lower accumulation zone (LACZ), upper ablation zone (UABZ) and lower ablation zone (LABZ). (b) DEM of bed elevation with RES lines superimposed. (c) Distribution of residual bed reflection power (BRP_r); values >1 indicate warm basal ice, values <1 ice frozen to the base. RES lines and 10-m depth thermistor measurements in °C are superimposed. (d) Conceptual side-view of the glacier along the long profile in Fig. 2a, with important features annotated.

690

691 Figure 2: (a) Annual surface velocity distribution, based on values measured between 1999 and 692 2001. Velocity stakes are shown as black dots; contours show velocity values interpolated onto a 693 200 m grid. Moulins that open during spring and summer are also shown. The red line shows the 694 glacier flow-line used for the glacier side-view in Fig. 1d and the long profile results in Figs. 3, 4 695 & 5. (b) Observed velocities over the 'winter' period between August 1999 and May 2000. The 696 contour field shows absolute values, while the colour scale is differenced with the annual 697 distribution. Inactive moulins are shown as crossed circles. (c) and (d) As for (b), but relating to 698 'spring' (June 2000) and 'summer' (July 2000) respectively.

699

Figure 3: Results from control experiments (a) JEG01 and (b) JEG02. LHS: basal temperature (colour-scale) and surface-velocity (contours) at steady state; RHS: surface-velocity field relative to the annual (colour-scale) with absolute velocities superimposed. (c) Velocity profiles along the long profile shown in Fig. 2a for experiments JEG01 and JEG02, compared with observed values in winter, spring and summer.

Figure 4: Results from experiments (a) JEG03 and (b) JEG04. The LHS shows the prescribed basal forcing fields (contours) and basal temperature fields evolved at steady state; the RHS shows the steady-state velocity fields both as absolute values (contours) and values relative to (a) and (b) summer. (c) Velocity profiles along the long profile shown in Fig. 2a for experiments JEG03 and JEG04, compared with observed values in winter and summer.

711

Figure 5: Results from experiments (a) JEG05, (b) JEG06 and (c) JEG07, in which basal forcing was prescribed only beneath selected areas of the glacier. The LHS shows the prescribed basal forcing fields (contours) and basal temperature fields evolved at steady state; the RHS shows the steady-state velocity fields both as absolute values (contours) and values relative to (a & b) spring and (c) summer. (d) Velocity profiles along the long profile shown in Fig. 2a for experiments JEG05, JEG06 and JEG07, compared with observed values in spring and summer.



Figure 1

(a) Annual surface velocity

(b) Winter relative to annual velocity



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5 parts (a) to (c)

Figure 5 part (d)