

¹ **Marine ice in Larsen Ice Shelf**

Paul R. Holland,¹ Hugh F. J. Corr,¹ David G. Vaughan,¹ Adrian Jenkins,¹

and Pedro Skvarca²

¹British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge,
UK.

²División Glaciología, Instituto Antártico
Argentino, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

2 It is argued that Larsen Ice Shelf contains marine ice formed by oceanic
3 freezing and other mechanisms. Missing basal returns in airborne radar sound-
4 ings and observations of a smooth and healed surface coincide downstream
5 of regions where an ocean model predicts freezing. Visible imagery suggests
6 that marine ice currently stabilizes Larsen C Ice Shelf and implicates fail-
7 ure of marine flow bands in the 2002 Larsen B Ice Shelf collapse. Ocean mod-
8 eling indicates that any regime change towards the incursion of warmer Mod-
9 ified Weddell Deep Water into the Larsen C cavity could curtail basal freez-
10 ing and its stabilizing influence.

1. Introduction

Ice shelves around the Antarctic Peninsula (AP) have shown progressive and ongoing retreat in recent decades that is widely believed to be associated with rapid atmospheric warming [Vaughan and Doake, 1996]. West of the AP, air and ocean temperatures are relatively high and few ice-shelf fragments remain, while on the eastern side both are lower and much of Larsen Ice Shelf (LIS) is still present. However, collapses of Larsen A Ice Shelf in 1995 and Larsen B Ice Shelf (LBIS) in 2002 have led to concern over the stability of the larger Larsen C Ice Shelf (LCIS). Such collapses have little direct effect on sea level, but the accompanying reduced buttressing of inshore glaciers led to increased ice discharge and sea-level rise [Rignot *et al.*, 2004].

In addition to atmospheric effects, it is argued that LIS has been thinned by increased ocean melting [Shepherd *et al.*, 2003], possibly linked to Weddell Deep Water (WDW) warming in recovery from the 1970s Weddell Polynya [Robertson *et al.*, 2002]. Nicholls *et al.* [2004] observed Modified Weddell Deep Water (MWDW) and Ice Shelf Water (ISW; below the surface freezing point and thus laced with ice-shelf meltwater) at the northern end of LCIS and deduced that the ISW was derived from MWDW cooled to the surface freezing point by interaction with the atmosphere. If waters flushing LCIS cavity are universally constrained to the surface freezing point, WDW warming could not thin LCIS.

The ocean may freeze onto ice shelves as well as melting them, and there is reason to expect that this occurs beneath LIS. Water at the surface freezing point melts ice shelves because the freezing temperature decreases with pressure, and resulting meltwater generates a thermohaline circulation in which cold, buoyant, ascending currents may become supercooled and form marine ice on the base of the ice shelf [Robin, 1979]. Other processes can also form marine ice [Vaughan *et al.*, 1993; Rignot and MacAyeal, 1998]. We utilized airborne radio-echo sounding (RES) data,

33 satellite visible imagery, and an ocean model to assess the evidence for, and effect of, marine ice
34 in LIS.

2. Survey data

35 We analyzed data from the 1997–1998 British Antarctic Survey–Instituto Antártico Argentino
36 airborne RES survey (‘9798’ data), seeking evidence of marine ice and ice drafts for the ocean
37 model. The survey used differential GPS and a radar transmitting a conventional $0.25\text{-}\mu\text{s}$ pulse
38 around 150 MHz. Missions were flown with a nominal 150-m terrain clearance and ice thickness
39 was derived using an $168\text{-m } \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ wave-velocity and 10-m firm correction. Position was determined
40 to < 0.5 m and crossover analysis yielded RMS differences of 12 m ice thickness and 4 m elevation.

41 Figure 1 shows near-continuous 9798 RES surface returns, but basal returns are missing in
42 open rifts and (shaded) flow bands in the wake of promontories and islands; we hypothesize that
43 marine ice causes the latter. Marine ice basal returns are rarely detectable because it has high
44 dielectric absorption [Thyssen, 1988] and can form an unconsolidated, diffuse base [Engelhardt
45 and Determann, 1987]. Meteoric–marine ice interfaces are more commonly detected, but no such
46 signal occurs in the 9798 data, perhaps because the radar was optimized for thicker ice. Thus, we
47 could not derive marine ice thickness from isostatic anomaly (e.g. Fricker *et al.* [2001], who also
48 had some missing returns over marine ice).

49 In LCIS, visible imagery supports a marine origin of these flow bands (Figure 1). First, they
50 are smooth when, situated between glacier flow units, they might be heavily crevassed by shear.
51 Second, other flow units contain rifts whose lateral propagation is clearly limited by the marine
52 bands; this process governs iceberg-calving and ice-front geometry. There are several reasons
53 why such behavior implies marine ice: oceanic freezing increases mean ice-shelf temperature and
54 thus decreases its viscosity [Larour *et al.*, 2005]; ice’s critical crevassing strain-rate decreases

55 with temperature [Vaughan, 1993], so warm marine ice will increasingly deform rather than fail
56 in response to stress; and marine ice heals rifts, binding their edges together with deformable
57 material [Rignot and MacAyeal, 1998].

58 Ice draft (Figure 2) was obtained by combining 9798 basal elevations with older BEDMAP ice
59 thicknesses [Lythe *et al.*, 2001] using a 9798 thickness–elevation relation (also used to fill missing
60 basal returns). Latitude-dependence improved the relation (significance level $\ll 1\%$), so we used
61 $h = -274.5 + 0.10D - 4.22\phi$, where h , D , and ϕ are surface elevation, ice thickness, and latitude.
62 We attribute the northward-decreasing intercept in this relation to firn compaction as a result of
63 higher air temperatures [Vaughan and Doake, 1996]. If this spatial correlation implies a temporal
64 firn depth–temperature linkage, recent warming may have caused firn compaction that contributed
65 to LIS surface lowering [Shepherd *et al.*, 2003]. Drafts outside our area of interest were filled using
66 the 9798 relation and an elevation dataset [Liu *et al.*, 2001] and the final data were adjusted to the
67 EIGEN-GL04C geoid [Förste *et al.*, 2008] and smoothed for model stability [Holland and Feltham,
68 2006]. The eastern boundary approximately follows the LCIS ice front, including most of LBIS
69 and easing the implementation of model boundary conditions.

70 Inferred marine bands emanate from ‘source’ regions thinned by ice flow divergence from stag-
71 nant promontories (Figure 2). This provides at least three candidate formation mechanisms,
72 producing different types of marine ice that we cannot differentiate: a) ‘sea ice’, formation of
73 saline ice mélange in rifts. This grows at the rate of landfast sea ice with additional basal freezing
74 [Khazendar and Jenkins, 2003] and occurs in rifted source regions in LBIS [Glasser and Scambos,
75 2008] that are absent in LCIS (Figure 1). b) ‘flooding’, seawater infiltration of firn. Wilkins Ice
76 Shelf firn floods when it is depressed below sea level before pore close-off [Vaughan *et al.*, 1993],
77 probably generating marine ice at the surface accumulation rate. LIS sources are similar: near-
78 stagnant ice, 100–200 m thick, with 10–50 cm a⁻¹ water-equivalent accumulation [Turner *et al.*,

2002]. c) ‘oceanic’ basal freezing. Ice-shelf basal melting commonly peaks near deep-drafted glacier inflows, and rising buoyant meltwater may supercool due to its increasing in-situ freezing temperature [Robin, 1979], freezing onto the ice base and growing and depositing frazil ice crystals. However, Coriolis force causes meltwater to flow geostrophically across-slope, so the primary constraints forcing it to ascend and supercool are grounded-ice barriers perpendicular to draft contours [Holland and Feltham, 2006]. Therefore, in general the most favorable oceanic freezing locations are the marine ice sources observed here, basal ‘hollows’ (ice-draft minima) downstream of deep inflows bounded to the left by grounded ice [e.g Fricker *et al.*, 2001]. Oceanic freezing rates vary depending upon local conditions, so we modeled ocean properties to assess freezing beneath LIS.

3. Model

A two-dimensional (depth-averaged) plume model [Holland and Feltham, 2006] was used to investigate LIS, representing buoyancy-driven meltwater flow but neglecting other important processes such as tides and water-column thickness variations. The model represents marine ice accretion in detail, simulating direct basal melting and freezing and the growth and deposition of frazil ice over 10 size classes. At 1-km resolution (10-s timestep), it resolves draft features and frazil dynamics relatively well.

Plume parameters used by Payne *et al.* [2007] and frazil settings of Holland *et al.* [2007] were unchanged except for the entrainment coefficient (see below) and latitude 67°S. The plume evolves to steady state from fixed-property inflow regions [Payne *et al.*, 2007] placed wherever draft exceeds 1000 m (400 m) beneath LCIS (LBIS). A uniform ambient ocean surrounds the active plume. From the observed LCIS outflow and simple theory, Nicholls *et al.* [2004] infer that the cavity contains MWDW at surface freezing temperature, so our basic ‘cool’ case used an ambient with

101 potential temperature $-1.9\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, salinity 34.65. We also ran an extreme ‘warm’ case at $-1.4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$,
102 34.57, properties of the warmest MWDW adjacent to LIS [Nicholls *et al.*, 2004].

103 After 240 days, the steady cool-case results feature a thick meltwater layer sourced in rapidly-
104 melting deep regions near the grounding line and flowing geostrophically along draft contours
105 (Figure 3a). The plume thickens in basal hollows because meltwater fills them before spilling
106 upwards over their sides. Under LCIS, meltwater from the whole grounding line combines into a
107 central plume that flows along-slope until it is deflected out of the cavity by Jason Peninsula. This
108 current reflects the entire LCIS system, so we tuned the entrainment coefficient to match obser-
109 vations of its $\approx 200\text{-m}$ thick outflow with potential temperature $-2.1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, salinity 34.55 [Nicholls
110 *et al.*, 2004]. Melt and freeze patterns were insensitive to this parameter, whose final value of
111 2×10^{-3} is within the range tested previously [Holland and Feltham, 2006; Payne *et al.*, 2007].

112 Generally weak melting (Figure 3b) peaks below deep glaciers, which have steep bases (hence
113 rapid currents and high turbulent heat flux) and large thermal driving (freezing temperature
114 decreases with depth). Marine ice accumulates in the larger hollows named in Figure 1, suggesting
115 that oceanic freezing is a primary source of marine ice advected downstream by the ice. Meltwater
116 is constrained to ascend into these hollows (and supercool) by grounded ice to its left. Vigorous
117 frazil deposition causes high accumulation near Churchill Peninsula, in agreement with the broad
118 marine band in Figure 1, which arises from the confluence of the central geostrophic plume and
119 boundary-trapped meltwater from the south.

120 Predicted LBIS freezing agrees with Figure 1, but the applicability to LBIS of the ambient ocean
121 forcing is uncertain and its marine ice could be entirely *mélange*. Oceanic freezing might contribute
122 marine ice to the LCIS rifts near Jason and Kenyon peninsulas but their processes are inaccurately
123 modeled here. Elsewhere beneath LCIS, freezing rates are apparently comparable to flooding ($<$
124 0.2 m a^{-1}), apart from near Churchill Peninsula, but this is probably an underestimation. In

125 general application the model predicts direct freezing (order 0.1 m a^{-1}), wherever the ice base
 126 is supercooled, surrounding focused frazil deposition (order 1 m a^{-1}), where the plume is depth-
 127 average supercooled; the latter is a smaller area because the freezing temperature decreases with
 128 depth [*Holland and Feltham, 2006*]. The plume is depth-average supercooled only off Churchill
 129 Peninsula, so other regions experience only direct freezing; part of the plume is supercooled and
 130 should grow frazil, but our depth-averaged model cannot resolve this. Three-dimensional models
 131 require considerable resources to run at frazil-resolving temporal and spatial resolution, and despite
 132 its simplicity our model demonstrates consistency between freezing locations and observed ocean
 133 conditions.

134 In the warm case (temperature increased by $0.5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) melting remains generally weak (Figure
 135 3c), but high melting near grounding lines increases in extent and rate. Freezing halts everywhere
 136 apart from Churchill Peninsula, where a reduction occurs as frazil deposition ceases. Freezing is
 137 bolstered south of Jason Peninsula by increased volumes of meltwater from upstream. Cool and
 138 warm cases have mean LIS melt rates of 0.27 m a^{-1} (15.1 Gt a^{-1}) and 1.26 m a^{-1} (69.5 Gt a^{-1})
 139 respectively, revealing a low sensitivity to temperature change ($2 \text{ m a}^{-1} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$) that fits the theory
 140 that ice shelves forced by cooler waters are less susceptible to warming [*Holland et al., 2008*]. Melt
 141 rates reflect our idealized model and are not best estimates; *Rignot et al. [2008]* estimate that the
 142 northern AP discharged 20 ± 3 and $49 \pm 3 \text{ Gt a}^{-1}$ in 1996 and 2006 respectively.

4. Discussion

143 It is informative to consider earlier studies of LBIS, which inferred weak ice downstream of Foy
 144 Point and Cape Disappointment [*Vieli et al., 2006; Khazendar et al., 2007*]. These were rifted
 145 ‘suture zones’, and their weakening could have contributed to LBIS collapse [*Glasser and Scambos,*
 146 2008]. Ice mélange formed in these rifts comprised the marine ice that we observe downstream,

147 confirming earlier speculation [*Khazendar et al.*, 2007]. Rifts lessened downstream, suggesting that
148 they were absent in the past [*Glasser and Scambos*, 2008] or are healed by compression [*Vieli et al.*,
149 2006]; we suggest that our inferred marine bands and modeled freezing are also consistent with
150 healing by marine ice. It is possible that climatic change reduced marine ice formation, weakening
151 sutures between flow units and contributing to LBIS collapse. Beneath LCIS, marine ice limits
152 rifts and appears to bind flow units together, so an understanding of its effect is necessary to assess
153 the future of LIS. Marine-ice mechanisms could stabilize ice shelves in the cool waters east of the
154 AP, but will be reduced in warmer conditions to its west.

155 Sea-ice formation in rifts will respond to changes in almost any climatic variable, while marine
156 ice formation by flooding would be affected by the increasing accumulation near LIS [*Thomas*
157 *et al.*, 2008]. Oceanic freezing will reduce if warmer waters access the cavity, providing a link
158 between LCIS stability and Weddell Sea conditions that is more complex than a simple melting–
159 temperature relationship. Our model suggests that the outflow observed by *Nicholls et al.* [2004]
160 derives from the whole ice shelf, so their deduction that cool MWDW drives melting, rather
161 than warming WDW, applies universally rather than just in the vicinity of their measurements.
162 All cavity waters are therefore sourced at the surface freezing temperature, so a warming would
163 require either weaker modification of the MWDW entering the cavity (reduced continental-shelf
164 sea ice formation) or an increased supply of warm off-shelf MWDW (changed ocean circulation).
165 Wind-forced changes of the latter type are thought to affect ice shelves in the Amundsen Sea
166 [*Thoma et al.*, 2008]. The pattern of LIS elevation change attributed to increased basal melting
167 [*Shepherd et al.*, 2003] apparently requires warming focused on the north of the cavity rather than
168 the uniform warming modeled here.

5. Conclusions

Airborne RES data, satellite visible imagery, and a simple ocean model lead us to the following conclusions:

1. LIS contains flow bands comprised of marine ice, which is advected downstream by ice flow after forming in thin areas between glacier flow units in the immediate wake of peninsulas. This is consistent with previously inferred LBIS rheologies.

2. Different types of marine ice, which we cannot distinguish, could be formed by ocean freezing, sea ice formation in rifts, and seawater-flooded firn. The model indicates that rising meltwater causes significant oceanic freezing beneath LIS. Visible imagery suggests that sea-ice formation in rifts occurred only in LBIS at the time of survey. Flooding could generate marine ice at the surface accumulation rate and cannot be ruled out.

3. Marine ice laterally limits rifts formed in LCIS meteoric flow units and thereby controls iceberg calving and ice-front geometry. Warm marine ice has a low viscosity and can deform rapidly without fracturing, and oceanic freezing heals rifted meteoric ice. Marine ice could thus reduce the likelihood of LCIS collapse. Failure of marine bands was implicated in the LBIS collapse.

4. A LCIS cavity filled with warmer water would experience greater melting and less-widespread freezing. The model suggests that MWDW melts LCIS, so such a change could arise through reduced cooling of MWDW over the continental shelf or an increased supply of warmer MWDW onto the shelf. The observed WDW warming probably cannot affect LCIS.

In-situ survey, ice-coring, and improved ocean modeling and observation are now necessary to confirm the origin and properties of LIS marine ice.

References

- ADD Consortium (2002), Antarctic Digital Database, SCAR, Cambridge, <http://www.add.scar.org/>.
- Engelhardt, H., and J. Determann (1987), Borehole evidence for a thick layer of basal ice in the central Ronne Ice Shelf, *Nature*, *327*, 318–319.
- Förste, C., et al. (2008), The GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam/Groupe de Recherche de Geodesie Spatiale satellite-only and combined gravity field models: EIGEN-GL04S1 and EIGEN-GL04C, *J. Geod.*, *82*, 331346, doi:10.1007/s00190-007-0183-8.
- Fricker, H. A., S. Popov, I. Allison, and N. Young (2001), Distribution of marine ice beneath the Amery Ice Shelf, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*, 2241–2244.
- Glasser, N. F., and T. A. Scambos (2008), A structural glaciological analysis of the 2002 Larsen B Ice Shelf collapse, *J. Glaciol.*, *54*, 3–16.
- Holland, P. R., and D. L. Feltham (2006), The effects of rotation and ice shelf topography on frazil-laden Ice Shelf Water plumes, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *36*, 2312–2327.
- Holland, P. R., D. L. Feltham, and A. Jenkins (2007), Ice Shelf Water plume flow beneath Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, C05044, doi:10.1029/2006JC003915.
- Holland, P. R., A. Jenkins, and D. M. Holland (2008), The response of ice shelf basal melting to variations in ocean temperature, *J. Clim.*, *21*, 2558–2572.
- Khazendar, A., and A. Jenkins (2003), A model of marine ice formation within Antarctic ice shelf rifts, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*, 3235–3248.
- Khazendar, A., E. Rignot, and E. Larour (2007), Larsen B Ice Shelf rheology preceding its disintegration inferred by a control method, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L19503, doi:10.1029/2007GL030980.

- Larour, E., E. Rignot, I. Joughin, and D. Aubry (2005), Rheology of the Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica, inferred from satellite radar interferometry data using an inverse control method, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*, L05503, doi:10.1029/2004GL021693.
- Liu, H., K. Jezek, B. Li, and Z. Zhao (2001), RAMP digital elevation model, NSIDC, Boulder, <http://www.nsidc.org/>.
- Lythe, M. B., D. G. Vaughan, and the BEDMAP Consortium (2001), BEDMAP: a new ice thickness and subglacial topographic model of Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*, 11 335–11 351.
- Nicholls, K. W., C. J. Pudsey, and P. Morris (2004), Summertime water masses off the northern Larsen C Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L09309, doi:10.1029/2004GL019924.
- Payne, A. J., P. R. Holland, A. P. Shepherd, I. C. Rutt, A. Jenkins, and I. Joughin (2007), Numerical modelling of ocean–ice interactions under Pine Island Bay’s ice shelf, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, C10019, doi:10.1029/2006JC003733.
- Rignot, E., and D. R. MacAyeal (1998), Ice-shelf dynamics near the front of the Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica, revealed by SAR interferometry, *J. Glaciol.*, *44*, 405–418.
- Rignot, E., G. Casassa, P. Gogineni, A. Rivera, and R. Thomas (2004), Accelerated ice discharge from the Antarctic Peninsula following the collapse of Larsen B Ice Shelf, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L18401, doi:10.1029/2004GL020697.
- Rignot, E., J. L. Bamber, M. R. van den Broeke, C. Davis, Y. Li, W. J. van de Berg, and E. van Meijgaard (2008), Recent Antarctic ice mass loss from radar interferometry and regional climate modelling, *Nature Geoscience*, *1*, 106–110, doi:10.1038/ngeo102.
- Robertson, R., M. Visbeck, A. L. Gordon, and E. Fahrbach (2002), Long-term temperature trends in the deep waters of the Weddell Sea, *Deep-Sea Res. II*, *49*, 4791–4806.
- Robin, G. de Q. (1979), Formation, flow and disintegration of ice shelves, *J. Glaciol.*, *24*, 259–271.

- Scambos, T. A., T. M. Haran, M. A. Fahnestock, T. H. Painter, and J. Bohlander (2007), MODIS-based Mosaic of Antarctica data sets: Continent-wide surface morphology and snow grain size, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, *111*, 242–257.
- Shepherd, A. P., D. J. Wingham, A. J. Payne, and P. Skvarca (2003), Larsen Ice Shelf has progressively thinned, *Science*, *302*, 856–859.
- Sievers, J., A. Grindel, and W. Meier (1989), Digital satellite image mapping of Antarctica, *Polarforschung*, *59*, 25–33.
- Thoma, M., A. Jenkins, D. Holland, and S. Jacobs (2008), Modelling Circumpolar Deep Water intrusions on the Amundsen Sea continental shelf, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*, L18602, doi:10.1029/2008GL034939.
- Thomas, E. R., G. J. Marshall, and J. R. McConnell (2008), A doubling in snow accumulation in the western Antarctic Peninsula since 1850, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*, L01706, doi:10.1029/2007GL032529.
- Thyssen, F. (1988), Special aspects of the central part of Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *Ann. Glaciol.*, *11*, 173–179.
- Turner, J., T. A. Lachlan-Cope, G. J. Marshall, E. M. Morris, R. Mulvaney, and W. Winter (2002), Spatial variability of Antarctic Peninsula net surface mass balance, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *107*, D134173, doi:10.1029/2001JD000755.
- Vaughan, D. G. (1993), Relating the occurrence of crevasses to surface strain rates, *J. Glaciol.*, *39*, 255–266.
- Vaughan, D. G., and C. S. M. Doake (1996), Recent atmospheric warming and retreat of ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula, *Nature*, *379*, 328–331.
- Vaughan, D. G., D. R. Mantripp, J. Sievers, and C. S. M. Doake (1993), A synthesis of remote sensing data on Wilkins Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *Ann. Glaciol.*, *17*, 211–218.

Vieli, A., A. J. Payne, Z. Du, and A. Shepherd (2006), Numerical modelling and data assimilation of the Larsen B Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Ser. A*, *364*, 1815–1839.

Figure 1. (a) 1986 Landsat image of LBIS [*Sievers et al.*, 1989] and (b) 2003-2004 MOA image of LCIS [*Scambos et al.*, 2007], both with 9798 survey data. Red and (overlain) blue points mark surface and basal returns, so visible red points indicate failure to detect the base. Yellow shading indicates proposed marine ice and yellow tracks are other surveys incorporated in the ice draft. The star indicates *Nicholls et al.* [2004] ocean observations. Major islands and peninsulas are named.

Figure 2. Derived ice draft (contoured within the model domain) and 1992 ice front [*ADD Consortium*, 2002].

Figure 3. (a) Cool case plume thickness (colored) and velocities (every fourth grid point). (b) Direct basal melt/freeze plus frazil precipitation in cool case (colored; m a^{-1} ice; melting is positive) and ice shelf draft (gray, 100–500 m in 25-m steps). (c) same as (b) for warm case.





