

1 **MONITORING AND MODELLING OF THE IRISH SEA AND LIVERPOOL**  
2 **BAY: AN OVERVIEW AND AN SPM CASE STUDY.**

3

4 V. Krivtsov<sup>1\*</sup>, M.J. Howarth<sup>2</sup>, S.E. Jones<sup>1</sup>, A. Souza<sup>2</sup>, and C.F. Jago<sup>1</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>*School of Ocean Sciences, University of Wales Bangor, Marine Science*

7 *Laboratories, Menai Bridge, Anglesey LL59 5AB*

8 <sup>2</sup>*Proudman Oceanographic Laboratories, Joseph Proudman Building, 6 Brownlow*

9 *street, Liverpool L3 5DA*

10 \*Corresponding author. Present address: CECS, The Crew Building, King's Buildings,  
11 University of Edinburgh, West mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JN, Scotland, UK. email:  
12 [e96kri69@netscape.net](mailto:e96kri69@netscape.net)

13

14

1 **Abstract**

2

3 Suspended particulate matter (SPM) is an important constituent of marine ecosystems,  
4 and is involved in a wide range of biogeochemical processes. Because availability of  
5 light is dependent upon the concentration of suspended solids, correct representation  
6 of SPM dynamics is paramount for simulating the dynamics of primary producers,  
7 and therefore for simulating all the other ecological variables. This paper presents a  
8 brief overview of the Irish Sea/Liverpool Bay monitoring & modelling programme,  
9 and on the basis of a case study, discusses the ways how the models' explanatory and  
10 predictive powers may be further enhanced by taking detailed account of patterns and  
11 processes related to the SPM dynamics and particle size distribution. Here we identify  
12 (using the results of monitoring data combined with tidal predictions generated by  
13 POLPRED model, and a Matlab script specially written to carry out Stepwise  
14 Regression Modelling on these data) the meteorological and oceanographic variables  
15 especially important for the characterisation of SPM in Liverpool Bay. In particular,  
16 in the stepwise regression models, variables related to winds, waves, and tidal currents  
17 appear to explain considerable percent of the variance observed. Tides appear to  
18 matter more during springs than neaps, but overall show weaker relationships with  
19 SPM variables than winds and waves. These results are important for further  
20 developments and applications of the POLCOMS and ERSEM models, and may have  
21 implications for a number of ecological and oceanographic issues.

22

23

24 Key words: suspended sediment, size spectrum, biogeochemical cycling, LISST,  
25 statistical modelling, regression trees, flocculation, resuspension, indirect effects

1

## 2 **1. Introduction**

3

4 Suspended particulate matter (SPM) in the marine environment is represented by a  
5 mixture of organic and inorganic particles, and is characterised by complex structural  
6 and dynamical transformations (Jones et al., 1998, Richard et al., 1997, Jago and  
7 Jones, 2000). SPM is an important ecosystem constituent, and its characteristics  
8 influence overall ecosystem functioning through a wide range of biogeochemical  
9 processes (Tett et al., 1993, Guo & Zhang, 2005, Liu et al., 2005, Hill et al., 2006).  
10 Consequently, consideration of SPM has been useful in a number of ecosystem  
11 modelling studies (see, e.g., Hakanson & Eckhell, 2005, Huret et al., 2007, and  
12 references therein). A number of processes important for our understanding of the  
13 observed SPM patterns include, among others, advection, resuspension,  
14 sedimentation, flocculation, disaggregation, precipitation and dissolution. Of a  
15 particular interest is biological mediation of SPM aggregation during, e.g.,  
16 phytoplankton blooms, resulting in increased particle size and settling flux of SPM to  
17 the seabed (Jones et al., 1998; Jago and Jones, 2000 ).

18

19 This paper presents some results from the joint investigations carried out by POL  
20 (Proudman Oceanographic Laboratories) and the School of Ocean Sciences  
21 (University of Wales, Bangor) in the Irish Sea, where a regular monitoring  
22 programme is being conducted with the aim to develop the underpinning science for  
23 marine management. The investigations are focused on the impacts of storms and  
24 eutrophication, the relative importance of events viz-a-viz the mean, and the  
25 implications for the modelling analysis of the overall ecosystem functioning,

1 currently carried out by POL using ERSEM (European Regional Seas Ecosystem  
2 Model) component of POLCOMS (POL Coastal Ocean Modelling System).

3

4 *1.1 Site Description*

5

6 The study site is located in Liverpool Bay in the Irish Sea. The Irish Sea is connected  
7 to the rest of the North West European Shelf through the North Channel and St.  
8 Georges Channel in the south and so experiences interaction and exchanges with the  
9 North East Atlantic Ocean. The study area is characterised by strong tides (0.75 – 1  
10 m/s on springs), occasional large storm surges and waves, freshwater input (the  
11 principal rivers Mersey, Dee and Ribble, the average total input of freshwater  
12 approximately 220 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), stratification, intertidal regions with exposed banks, high  
13 suspended sediment concentration and complex biogeochemical interactions. Tides in  
14 the area take the form of a standing wave, resulting from reflection of the Kelvin  
15 wave at the Lancashire coast. Tidal ranges are considerable, and reach approximately  
16 8 m at the mouths of Dee and Mersey. Maximum currents occur 3 hr after and before  
17 high water (Miller, 1985). The Bay is also under considerable human impact as  
18 regards river-borne nutrients and pollutants, and therefore of concern to regulatory  
19 agencies.

20

21 **2. Monitoring & Modelling Programme**

22

23 The Liverpool Bay research is part of a wider monitoring and modelling programme  
24 of the eastern Irish Sea, which integrates (near) real-time and supplementary  
25 measurements with coupled models in a pre-operational coastal prediction system. It

1 is led by POL and carried out in cooperation with CEFAS and SOS amongst other  
2 universities and research intitutions. Real time current measurements are obtained  
3 from a sea-bed mounted Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler, deployment started in  
4 August 2002, data are transferred to land via acoustic modems and the Orbcomm  
5 satellite e-mail system, and from a shore based HF radar via telephone landlines.  
6 There are also real time measurements from a surface buoy and a directional wave  
7 buoy and from an instrumented ferry, all via Orbcomm.

8

9 An extensive array of complementary physical and biogeochemical measurements on  
10 differing space and time scales has been established with a particular aspiration of  
11 measuring vertical gradients to test the 3-D models (Fig. 1). In particular:

- 12 • A central mooring at 53° 32' N 3° 21.8' W, installed in August 2002,  
13 providing *in situ* time series of current, temperature and salinity profiles. The  
14 site is close to the Mersey Bar Light and 20 km from the mouth of the Mersey.  
15 The water depth is 20 m below lowest tide, with a range of 10 m at equinoctial  
16 spring tides. An Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) in a sea bed  
17 frame records the current profile in 1 m bins from 2.5 m above the bed, and in  
18 addition directional waves. Acoustic transmission of data from a separate  
19 ADCP to a surface buoy has been successfully tested and installation is in  
20 progress so that the data can be transmitted in real-time using the Orbcomm  
21 satellite system. Conductivity, temperature, turbidity and fluorecence are  
22 also recorded on the frame.
- 23 • A CEFAS surface SmartBuoy installed in November 2002. This records  
24 surface properties including salinity, temperature, turbidity, nutrients,  
25 irradiance and chlorophyll and transmits the data in real-time via Orbcomm

- 1           (<http://www.cefasdirect.co.uk/monitoring>). The buoy also collects daily water  
2           samples.
- 3           • A directional wave buoy installed in November 2002 transmitting spectral  
4           wave components in real-time (<http://www.cefas.co.uk/wavenet>). Both the  
5           SmartBuoy and the WaveNet are located adjacent to the central mooring.
  - 6           • A second site was established in 2005, 21 km to the west of the first, at 53° 27′  
7           N 3° 38.6′W for estimation of horizontal gradients with the deployment of an  
8           ADCP frame. A second SmartBuoy has also been deployed.
  - 9           • Mooring maintenance is carried out by the RV Prince Madog at approximately  
10          six week intervals (four weeks in the summer to overcome biofouling of the  
11          SmartBuoy optical sensors). Spatial surveys of Liverpool Bay are carried out  
12          on each cruise (black crosses in Fig. 1, comprising 34 vertical profiles, on a 5  
13          nautical mile (9.3 km) grid, of CTD, SPM, some bed sediment sampling, and,  
14          since October 2003, surface and bed nutrients).
  - 15          • The Birkenhead – Belfast ferry has been equipped with instruments for near  
16          surface (5m depth) temperature, salinity, turbidity, chlorophyll. The  
17          measurements began in December 2003. The 135 mile crossing takes 7 hours  
18          and a round trip takes place each day. The data are recorded every 30 s and 15  
19          minute values transmitted to the laboratory by Orbcomm. The route is  
20          scientifically varied passing through six completely different hydrodynamic  
21          regions, whose differences in hydrodynamics are also reflected in their  
22          ecological functioning. This ferry is one of the nine ferry routes under study  
23          in the EU FERRYBOX project ([www.ferrybox.com](http://www.ferrybox.com)).
  - 24          • A phased array HF radar system measuring surface currents and waves on a 4  
25          km grid which started operation in 2004. The two sites are at Llanddulas, in

1 North Wales, and at Formby. The data at all cells are recorded every 20  
2 minutes and hourly values transmitted to the laboratory by telephone landline.

3 • The UK Tide Gauge Network has been upgraded to allow real-time  
4 transmission of data from all tide gauges around the UK. The tide gauges in  
5 the Irish Sea, with additional sensors for met, waves, temperature and salinity  
6 where appropriate, are being incorporated into the Observatory.

7 • Bidston Observatory has been a meteorological recording station since 1867.  
8 This provides local real-time weather information (atmospheric pressure, wind  
9 speed and direction, cloud cover, rainfall). The station stopped recording in  
10 2004 and has been superseded by a new station on Hilbre Island in the Dee  
11 Estuary, 10 km to the north west of Bidston Observatory, which also includes  
12 a web camera.

13 • Satellite data - infra-red (for sea surface temperature) and visible (for  
14 chlorophyll and suspended sediment) is provided by the Remote Sensing Data  
15 Acquisition Service (RSDAS, Plymouth) of NERC. Daily and weekly  
16 composite images are obtained via ftp but the extensive cloud cover over the  
17 Irish Sea means the daily images are often of little use. Weekly composite  
18 images are usually 90-100% complete.

19

20 The measurements are integrated with a suite of nested 3-dimensional hydrodynamic  
21 and ecological models run daily, focusing on the Observatory area by covering the  
22 ocean / shelf of northwest Europe (at 12 km resolution), the Irish Sea (at 1.8 km) and  
23 Liverpool Bay (at 200-300m resolution). All measurements and model outputs are  
24 displayed on the web-site (<http://coastobs.pol.ac.uk>).

25

1

2 The Coastal Observatory uses POLCOMS (the Proudman Oceanographic Laboratory  
3 Coastal Ocean Modeling System, see [www.pol.ac.uk/home/research/polcoms](http://www.pol.ac.uk/home/research/polcoms)), a 3-  
4 dimensional modelling system whose main elements are a 3-dimensional baroclinic  
5 hydrodynamic model (Holt & James, 2001) linked to a surface wave model (Wolf et  
6 al., 2002, Osuna et al., 2007), a sediment resuspension and transport model (Holt &  
7 James, 1999) and an ecosystem model (ERSEM – the European Regional Seas  
8 Ecosystem Model – Barreta et al., 1995; Blackford et al., 2004) with benthic and  
9 pelagic components. This modelling system has been developed primarily to  
10 investigate physical-biogeochemical interactions in shelf seas, see for example Allen  
11 et al. (2001), Proctor et al. (2002) and Proctor et al. (2003) for a fuller description of  
12 the system, although brief details are given below.

13 Operational nested 3-dimensional models covering the NE Atlantic ocean / shelf of  
14 northwest Europe (the Atlantic Margin Model (AMM) with 12 km resolution), the  
15 NW European Shelf (Medium Resolution Continental Shelf model (MRCS), 7km)  
16 and Irish Sea (Irish Sea model (IRS), 1.8 km) have been set up, and one is being set  
17 up for Liverpool Bay (LB, at 200 m resolution); a schematic of these domains is  
18 shown in Fig. 2. At the UK Met Office, POLCOMS on the AMM (Atlantic Margin  
19 Model) ocean/shelf domain (20°W - 15°E, 40° - 65°N), driven by surface fluxes from  
20 the Met Office mesoscale (12 km) unified meteorological model and lateral ocean  
21 fluxes from the North Atlantic 1/3<sup>rd</sup> degree FOAM (Forecast Ocean Assimilation  
22 Model, Cattle et al. (1998)), has been operational since December 2002. This model  
23 produces daily hindcasts (24-hour) and forecasts (48-hour) and provides the boundary  
24 conditions (temperature, salinity, elevations, currents) for both the MRCS and the  
25 Irish Sea model. The Irish Sea model, was brought on-line in July 2003 and the

1 MRCS in February 2005 to run in pre-operational mode. Both AMM and IRS are now  
2 operational on the POL 192 processor (Pentium P4) Linux cluster. Models run daily  
3 in near-real time, either at the Met Office or at POL with the necessary forcing  
4 information transferred by ftp between the two computers. The Irish Sea model in turn  
5 provides boundary conditions to the Liverpool Bay model which is currently under  
6 development and includes wetting and drying processes to accommodate the  
7 significant intertidal regions which arise from the 12 m spring tidal range. Local river  
8 discharges are planned for inclusion in real-time through a link-up to the Environment  
9 Agency river-flow network; at present monthly climatological inflows are prescribed.

10

11 The implementation of the MRCS has fully coupled hydrodynamics-sediments-  
12 ecosystem 3-D interaction. This model runs in near real-time as a 7-day hindcast once  
13 per week. The components of the coupled model are shown in Fig. 3. The  
14 hydrodynamic component of POLCOMS is the three-dimensional baroclinic B-grid  
15 model described by Holt and James (2001) and Proctor and James (1996). It is a  
16 primitive equation finite difference model, solving for velocity ( $u,v,w$ ), surface  
17 elevation,  $\zeta$ , potential temperature,  $T$ , salinity,  $S$  and turbulent kinetic energy,  $q^2$  in  
18 spherical polar  $s$ -coordinates (Song and Haidvogel, 1994). The model uses forward-  
19 time-centred-space differencing, with time splitting between external (fast) and  
20 internal (slow) modes. It employs a sophisticated advection scheme (the "Piecewise  
21 Parabolic Method", James, 1996) to minimize numerical diffusion and ensure the  
22 preservation of features even on coarse grids under oscillatory flows. This scheme  
23 also ensures positivity, is not subject to a vertical CFL restriction on the time step  
24 (James, 2000), and a flux matching scheme gives conservation of volume over both  
25 the internal and external time steps. Horizontal pressure gradients are calculated by

1 interpolation (using a cubic-spline) onto horizontal planes to avoid the errors  
2 associated with calculating these on s-coordinates where the topography is steep.  
3 Turbulent viscosities and diffusivities are calculated using a Mellor-Yamada level 2.5  
4 turbulence closure, but with an algebraically specified mixing length. In shelf-sea  
5 applications, such as considered here, the model is run without (imposed) horizontal  
6 diffusion since the parameterization of these processes is poorly understood and even  
7 with a sophisticated advection scheme, there is sufficient numerical diffusion to  
8 account for horizontal diffusion on the shelf.

9

10 The POLCOMS system includes the suspended particulate material (SPM) model  
11 described by Holt and James (1999) and Souza et al., 2007, which describes the  
12 deposition and resuspension of inorganic fine suspended material. This  
13 implementation uses two sediment classes with settling velocities of  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  and  
14  $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ; SPM size distributions (according to settling velocities) in the southern  
15 North Sea tend to approximate a bimodal distribution (Jago and Jones, 1998). The fine  
16 SPM class is initialized with a constant suspended load of  $1.5 \text{ gm}^{-3}$  and is not  
17 transported in the horizontal. The coarser class is initialised with a bed load of  $100 \text{ gm}^{-2}$   
18 in water depths less than 20m. It is also supplied by riverine sources where data is  
19 available and by coastal erosion sources from the east coast of Great Britain. This is  
20 taken to occur from October to February and the total source is 3.8 M tonnes per year,  
21 a value that lies between the estimates of McCave (1987) and Odd and Murphy  
22 (1992).

23 ERSEM is a generic ecosystem model, which was originally developed and applied  
24 in the context of the North Sea. This model takes the 'functional group approach'; the  
25 biota in the ecosystem is divided into three functional types: primary producers,

1 consumers and decomposers, which are subdivided on the basis of trophic links and/or  
2 size. Each functional group is defined by a number of explicitly modelled  
3 components: carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous and, in the case of diatoms, silicon,  
4 and the physiological and population processes are described by fluxes of these  
5 between the functional groups. Detailed descriptions of the model and its pelagic and  
6 benthic sub-models are given by Blackford et al. (2004), Baretta et al. (1995),  
7 Baretta-Bekker et al. (1998) and Ebenhöf et al. (1997), and will not be repeated  
8 here. The parameter set used for this model simulation is the same as used in previous  
9 studies (Allen et al., 2001, Holt et al., 2004) and can be found at  
10 <http://www.pml.ac.uk/ecomodels/ersem.htm>. The POLCOMS-ERSEM system is  
11 considered the state of the art in North Sea ecosystem modeling (Moll and Radach,  
12 2003). The ERSEM model is coupled as a subroutine in the hydrodynamic model: the  
13 52 pelagic state variables are advected (by the “Piecewise Parabolic Method”),  
14 diffused and the ERSEM equations integrated at the same time step as the baroclinic  
15 physics model (300s). Example outputs of MRCS for the 30 April 2005 are shown in  
16 Fig. 4.

17

### 18 **3. Case study: Suspended Particulate Matter**

19

20 Currently, the sediment submodel of POLCOMS realistically reproduces a number of  
21 SPM patterns in the Irish Sea in general, and in Liverpool Bay in particular (see an  
22 example of model simulations in Videoclip 1; for further details and more videoclips  
23 of simulations contact A. Souza, <http://www.pol.ac.uk/home/staff/?user=SouzAlex> ).  
24 This includes, e.g., elevated concentrations around the Liverpool Bay coast line and  
25 an SPM plume from the major freshwater inputs (rivers Dee, Mersey, Ribble) into the

1 bay. There are also frequent occasions of elevated concentrations in Morecambe Bay  
2 (and adjacent area) and off Hollyhead. The events of higher SPM concentrations  
3 appear to be dependent both on high river discharges (which tend to bring a lot of  
4 suspended solids from the catchments, especially during and after storm events), and  
5 on the periods of windy weather (in particular westerly high winds and gales), which  
6 cause mixing of the water column and sediment resuspension.

7

8 Correct representation of SPM is paramount for simulations of a marine ecosystem, as  
9 SPM dynamics will directly affect light availability for primary producers, alter  
10 physical characteristics of pelagic and benthic habitats, and influence biogeochemical  
11 cycling via adsorption/desorption of chemicals to/off particles. Clearly, these direct  
12 effects will propagate through a multitude of environmental interactions, thus  
13 indirectly affecting many other processes and components of the ecosystem (Krivtsov  
14 2001, 2004). Below we present some of the SPM monitoring results from 3 separate  
15 cruises on the RV 'Prince Madog' and use the patterns observed together with the  
16 results of regression tree analysis and stepwise regression modelling, to discuss  
17 implications for the overall ecosystem functioning and further improvements in the  
18 representation of the SPM submodel of POLCOMS.

19

### 20 *3.1 Observations and data mining*

21

22 The selection of data presented below to illustrate some typical patterns (Figures 5-  
23 13) were collected on 29-30 Oct 2004, 31 Jan-4 Feb 2005, and 15-17 Jun 2005 during  
24 3 separate research cruises. Principally, the observational evidence comes from  
25 applying two methods: gravimetric determinations using GFF filters, and the

1 LISST\_100 (Laser In-Situ Scattering and Transmissometry, Sequoia Ltd.) technique.  
2 The former technique enables measurements of SPM mass concentrations, e.g. in  
3 mg/l, whilst the latter provides estimates of volumetric concentrations (in microliters  
4 per liter) for 32 size classes corresponding (on a log scale) to particle median  
5 diameters between 2.5 and 500 microns. Due to the space constraints only a small  
6 number of graphs can be reproduced here; however, more graphs are available on  
7 request and, at the time of writing, can be found at the first author's home page  
8 (<http://www.sos.bangor.ac.uk/~oss00d/>).

9

10 To investigate whether the total SPM volume could be consistently described in terms  
11 of a few size classes, we applied the data mining method of regression trees using a  
12 Matlab function 'treefit'. Regression trees are a representation for piece-wise  
13 constant or piece-wise linear functions, and models are given in a form of hierarchical  
14 structures of their elements. The models predict the value of a dependent variable (i.e.  
15 in our case, total SPM volume measured by LISST) from the values of a set of  
16 independent variables (i.e. volumes of 32 size classes). The space of examples is  
17 partitioned into axis-parallel rectangles and a model is fitted to each of these  
18 partitions. A regression tree has an inverse hierarchical structure with a test in each  
19 inner node (junction from where two links go to the lower hierarchical levels). Each  
20 node tests the value of a certain independent variable, and each leaf (the lowest level  
21 of hierarchical tree) displays a linear equation or (in the analysis presented here) just a  
22 constant for predicting the value of the dependent variable.

23

24 *3.1.1 October*

1 The rivers' plume is a typical feature of the Liverpool Bay's oceanography. There  
2 appear (both from filtering, and LISST results) to be elevated concentrations off  
3 Dee/Mersey/ Ribble, and also at the west and North East (the latter possibly relating  
4 to the SPM load from Morecambe Bay). There also appear to be differences in the  
5 contributions of different size classes towards bottom and surface SPM, as visualised  
6 in the regression trees (Figures 8-9).

7

8 It is noteworthy that LISST and filtering results correlated well (Table 1a). However,  
9 there was no conclusive increase in correlation strengths whilst using beam  
10 attenuation or volume/diameter ratio in comparison with using the total SPM volume  
11 measured by LISST, hence no solid evidence that particles behaved like fractal  
12 aggregates. Improvement of statistical relationships between filtering (i.e. mass  
13 concentrations) and LISST data whilst using Beam Attenuation or  $V/d$  (as opposed to  
14 the volumetric concentration) would have been indicative of fractal behaviour (for  
15 more information on modelling of SPM fractal aggregates see Winterwerp, 1998, and  
16 references therein).

17

18 Interestingly, median diameter showed an inverse relationship with SPM for bottom  
19 data, whilst no significant relationship for the surface data was found. Consequently,  
20 the spatial distribution of the median diameter was markedly different from the  
21 distributions of SPM total weight and volume.

22

23 *3.1.2 January-February*

24

1 Due to a bad weather in winter only a limited number of sampling stations (16) have  
2 been visited during the cruise, which imposes limitations on the dataset collected.  
3 However, elevated concentrations off Mersey/Ribble (noted previously) are apparent  
4 in the winter data as well (both filtering and LISST, although the latter more  
5 prominent as regards surface data). Like before, there also appear to be differences in  
6 the contributions of different size classes towards bottom and surface SPM, as  
7 visualised in the regression trees (Figures 10-11). It should be noted, however, that the  
8 regression trees obtained for this data set also differed considerably from the ones  
9 obtained for Oct 2004. Interestingly, the strength of the correlations between filtering  
10 and LISST data was improved by using beam attenuation or Volume/diameter ratio  
11 variables, hence indicating that particles might have been behaving as fractal  
12 aggregates.

13

### 14 *3.1.3 June*

15

16 This time the weather was calm, and most sampling stations (34) have been visited.  
17 However, being summer, the range of the concentrations recorded for this data set was  
18 much smaller, which might have weakened the correlations revealed.

19

20 The LISST and filtering results correlated significantly, but the strength of the  
21 relationships obtained was limited (see Table 1). Similar to the Oct 2004 results, but  
22 in contrast with the winter data, the correlations were not improved by using beam  
23 attenuation or Volume/diameter ratio variables, hence providing no evidence that  
24 particles were behaving like fractal aggregates.

25

1 Consistent with the previous occasions, elevated concentrations were noted off  
2 Dee/Mersey and Ribble. Like before, there also appear to be differences in the  
3 contributions of different size classes towards bottom and surface SPM, as visualised  
4 in the regression trees, whilst the regression trees obtained for this data set (Figures  
5 12-13) also differed considerably from the ones obtained for the previous occasions.  
6  
7 Finally, LISST Total V (i.e. the sum of volumetric concentrations of all the 32 size  
8 classes expressed in microlitres/l) and median diameter were positively correlated,  
9 whilst the relationships between SPM ppm (i.e. mass concentration expressed in mg/l)  
10 and median diameter were not significant. That contrasts remarkably with the  
11 previous occasions when inverse relationships were found.

12

13 *3.2 Stepwise regression modelling*

14

15 User-written Matlab programs were used to carry out Stepwise Regression Modelling  
16 analysis on the dataset containing bottom and surface SPM concentrations, median  
17 diameters, volume over diameter ratios (i.e. V/d), and a wide range of oceanographic  
18 variables. The final models (see examples in Tables 2-3) expressing relationships  
19 between SPM and environmental variables were of variable strength, and were  
20 generally better for SPM concentrations than for median diameters. In many cases  
21 models for beam attenuation and/or V/d were stronger than models for SPM volumes,  
22 thus providing evidence that particles might have been behaving like fractal  
23 aggregates (see Winterwerp, 1998, and references therein).

24

1 Despite the acknowledged complexity, our analysis helps to identify environmental  
2 variables important for the SPM characterisation. In particular, in the stepwise  
3 regression models, variables related to winds, waves, and tidal currents appear to  
4 explain considerable percent of the variance observed. Tides appear to matter more  
5 during springs (Table 2) than neaps (Table 3) but overall (i.e. on the basis of a  
6 number of cruises in addition to the ones illustrated here) show weaker relationships  
7 with SPM variables than winds and waves. Due to the Bay's geography and  
8 meteorological patterns (i.e. relatively long fetch from the West and predominance of  
9 Westerly winds), winds and waves are expected to be especially important during  
10 stronger, in particular W winds. Waves appear to be a significant predictor for a  
11 number of cruises, and influence the SPM in their own right (i.e. in addition to the  
12 purely wind effect). In Summer, the situation is especially complicated by the  
13 concurrent biological activity, and the patterns observed are likely to be a combined  
14 result of the superimposed direct and indirect interactions (Krivtsov, 2004). The most  
15 important predictor for the SPM variables in June appeared to be salinity, thus  
16 emphasising the importance of the inshore-offshore gradient.

17

18

### 19 *3.3 Discussion*

20

21 Although the patterns obtained using the simulation model simulations broadly  
22 represent the patterns observed in the total SPM volume, there is still scope for  
23 improvement. A number of previous studies have emphasised the difficulties  
24 involving modelling the SPM patterns (see, e.g. Tappin et al., 2002, Allen et al., in  
25 press, and references therein) and addressed errors in the simulations of the

1 POLCOMS-ERSEM complex (e.g. Lewis et al., 2006, Allen et al., in press). It should  
2 be noted that the aim of this paper is not to investigate the errors in the modelling  
3 simulations, but rather to use the patterns observed in the monitoring programme,  
4 together with the results of regression tree analysis and stepwise regression modelling,  
5 to further our understanding of the SPM characterisation, and to suggest possible  
6 improvements to the simulation models.

7

8 It has previously been shown (Jago and Jones, 1998) that the particle size distribution  
9 in the Irish Sea can be approximated as bimodal. Consequently, the current  
10 representation of the SPM submodel of a typical POLCOMS application considers  
11 only 2 size classes. The study of Holt and James (1999) considered 4 size classes, but  
12 they only covered diameters up to 35 microns. However, considering the regression  
13 trees presented here and the profiles of observed particle diameters, it appears that the  
14 dynamics of particle size distribution is rather complex, and a large proportion of  
15 SPM is present in flocks larger than 100 microns (see also Fig. 6). There is evidence  
16 (data not shown) that in the Irish Sea a number of processes are affecting particle size  
17 distribution, including, e.g., advection, resuspension, sedimentation, flocculation,  
18 disaggregation, precipitation and dissolution. Some of these processes (advection,  
19 resuspension, sedimentation) are currently represented in the POLCOMS; the others,  
20 however, remain the scope for future work.

21

22 Particle sizes tend to increase offshore but the trend is often complicated, also spectra  
23 from the same station sampled at different stages of the tide may be very different  
24 (e.g. stations 1 and 9, which have the same location, see Figure 6). In Summer,  
25 increases in median diameter are sometimes evident at the pycnocline. In the Dee

1 estuary, increases in particle diameter have been observed during the slack water,  
2 when particles may be behaving like fractal aggregates. Once the current increases,  
3 disaggregation occurs and the flocs tend to be broken down. Alterations in particles'  
4 diameter will inevitably result in the alterations of density, and hence alter the rate of  
5 sedimentation.

6

7 Particle size distribution and hence the dynamics of SPM may be complicated further  
8 by the activity of biota. In particular, flocculation may be enhanced by particle  
9 agglutination due to increased concentrations of mucus secreted by algae (see, e.g.  
10 Jones et al., 1998; Jago and Jones, 2000, and references therein), with a consequent  
11 effect on particle settling velocity (Jago et al., 2002). In the aftermath of blooms, the  
12 enhanced settling flux gives rise to low density, organic-rich, benthic fluff on the bed  
13 (Jago et al., 1993), which influences a number of physical and biological processes.

14

15 SPM ingestion by zooplankton will be followed by egesting of faecal pellets, thus  
16 dramatically increasing SPM sedimentation (Krivtsov et al., 2001). On the other hand,  
17 trophic activity of shredders may increase inputs of smaller-sized particles.

18 Furthermore, considering that much SPM settles to the bottom during slacks and is  
19 resuspended during periods of larger currents, it is inevitable that the bottom  
20 processes (e.g. sediment consolidation, bioturbation and ingestion by benthic fauna)

21 will have an important role to play for improving representation of the SPM

22 dynamics. Considering the above, whilst the current representation of modelled

23 processes and the simple bimodal approximation of particle size diameter are

24 logistically convenient, it seems likely that explanatory power (and hence the value as

1 a predictive tool) of model simulations may be further enhanced by considering  
2 processes outlined above.

3  
4 Ecosystem dynamics in an aquatic environment is characterised by a multitude of  
5 direct and indirect interactions (Richard et al., 1997, Krivtsov, 2001, 2004, Krivtsov et  
6 al., 2001), and this complexity often leads to the scatter in the data, making modelling  
7 rather challenging (Tapping et al., 2002). However, SPM is an important ecosystem  
8 constituent, and its characteristics influence overall ecosystem functioning through a  
9 wide range of biogeochemical processes (see, e.g., Tett et al., 1993, Krivtsov et al.,  
10 2002, and references therein). Thus correct representation of SPM dynamics is crucial  
11 for representation of biogeochemical cycles of nutrients, in particular N, P, and Si  
12 (Krivtsov et al, 1998, 2001). It should also be noted that the availability of light is  
13 dependent upon the concentration of suspended solids (Krivtsov et al., 2000). Hence,  
14 correct representation of SPM dynamics is paramount for simulating the dynamics of  
15 primary producers, and therefore for simulating all the other variables of ERSEM.

16  
17 Furthermore, light penetration into the water column depends on the profile of particle  
18 size spectrum (with smaller particles being particularly efficient in light attenuation).  
19 As our analysis has shown, the characteristics of the SPM-related variables are  
20 particularly influenced by wind and wave induced turbulence, and it is therefore  
21 expected that during rough weather light penetration into the water column should  
22 decrease due to the combined effect of resuspension and disaggregation of flocks.  
23 However, changes in particle size distribution due to flocculation and disaggregation  
24 are not currently represented in the POLCOMS-ERSEM complex. In a recent study  
25 (Lewis et al, 2006) it was reported that the observed spring peak of diatoms (data

1 collected by continuous plankton recorders) occurred considerably later than the peak  
2 simulated by ERSEM. Consequently, the authors suggested that the phytoplankton  
3 response to light may have to be recalibrated. However, it is likely that light  
4 availability in winter and spring has partly been affected by changes in particle size  
5 distribution. We, therefore, suggest that further developments of the POLCOMS-  
6 ERSEM complex should benefit from a more detailed representation of the SPM  
7 dynamics.

8

### 9 **Acknowledgements**

10 We are indebted to the technical staff both at POL and SOS, and to the crew of RV  
11 'Prince Madog'. This paper utilises, with great thanks, materials obtained from  
12 CEFAS and UK Meteorological Office. The comments of two anonymous referees  
13 have been very helpful for improving the MS.

14

### 15 **References**

16

17 Allen, J.I., Blackford, J., Ashworth, M.I., Proctor, R., Holt, J.T., Siddorn, J.,  
18 2001. A highly spatially resolved ecosystem model for the northwest European  
19 continental shelf. *Sarsia*, 86, 423-440.

20 Allen, J. I., Holt, J. T., Blackford, J., Proctor, R., Error quantification of a  
21 high-resolution coupled hydrodynamic-ecosystem coastal-ocean model: Part 2.  
22 Chlorophyll-a, nutrients and SPM. *Journal of Marine Systems* (In Press).

23 Baretta, J.W., Ebenhoeh, W., Ruardij, P., 1995. The European Regional Seas  
24 Ecosystem Model, a complex marine ecosystem model. *Netherlands Journal of*  
25 *Sea Research*, 33 (3-4), 233-246.

1           Baretta-Bekker, J.G., Baretta, J.W., Hansen, A.S., Riemann, B., 1998. An  
2 improved model of carbon and nutrient dynamics in the microbial food web in  
3 marine enclosures. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 14(1), 91-108.

4           Blackford, J.C., Allen, J.I., Gilbert, F.J., 2004. Ecosystem dynamics at six  
5 contrasting sites: a generic model study. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 52, 217-234.

6           Cattle, H., Bell, M.J., Holt, M.W., 1998. Operational analysis and forecasting  
7 of the global ocean - the UK Met Office FOAM system. *Oceanology International*  
8 *98: The global ocean*, held 10-13 March 1998, Brighton, U.K. Volume 1: 161-169.  
9 ISBN: 0900254203.

10          Ebenhöh, W., Baretta-Bekker, J.G., Baretta, J.W., 1997. The primary  
11 production module in the marine ecosystem model ERSEM II, with emphasis on  
12 the light forcing. *Journal of Sea Research*, 38(3-4), 173-193.

13          Guo, X. W., Zhang, Y. S., 2005. Particle flux through the Huanghai Sea cold  
14 water mass. *Acta Oceanol Sin* 24, 78-88.

15          Hakanson, L., Eckhell, J., 2005. Suspended particulate matter (SPM) in the  
16 Baltic Sea - New empirical data and models. *Ecol Model* 189, 130-150.

17          Hill, J. M., McQuaid, C. D., Kaehler, S., 2006. Biogeographic and nearshore-  
18 offshore trends in isotope ratios of intertidal mussels and their food sources around  
19 the coast of southern Africa. *Mar Ecol-Prog Ser* 318, 63-73.

20          Holt, J.T., James, I.D., 2001. An s-coordinate density evolving model of the  
21 north west European continental shelf. Part 1: Model description and density  
22 structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, C7, 14015-14034.

23          Holt, J.T., Proctor, R., 2003. The role of advection in determining the  
24 temperature structure of the Irish Sea. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 33(11),  
25 2288-2306.

1 Holt, J.T., and James, I.D., 1999. A Simulation of the Southern North Sea in  
2 comparison with measurements from the North Sea Project. Part 2: Suspended  
3 Particulate Matter, *Continental Shelf Research*, 19, 1617-1642.

4 Holt, J.T., Proctor, R., Blackford, J.C., Allen, J.I., Ashworth, M., 2004.  
5 Advective controls on primary production in the stratified western Irish Sea: an  
6 eddy-resolving model study. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109 (C05024).

7 Huret, M., Gohin, F., Delmas, D., Lunven, M., Garçon, V., 2007. Use of  
8 SeaWiFS data for light availability and parameter estimation of a phytoplankton  
9 production model of the Bay of Biscay. *Journal of Marine Systems* 65, 509-531.

10 Jago, C.F., Bale, A.J., Green, M.O., Howarth, M.J., Jones, S.E., McCave,  
11 I.N., Millward, G.E., Morris, A.W., Rowden, A.A., Williams, J.J., 1993.  
12 Resuspension processes and seston dynamics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A* 343,  
13 475–491.

14 Jago, C., Jones, S.E., 1998. Observation and modelling of the dynamics of  
15 benthic fluff resuspended from a sandy bed in the southern North Sea. *Continental  
16 Shelf Research*, 18, 1255.

17 Jago, C.F., Jones, S.E., 2000. Aggregation of suspended particles in shelf  
18 waters: mediation by plankton and turbulence. 10th Int. Biennial Conf. Phys.  
19 Estuaries and Coastal Seas, Norfolk, VA, SRAMSOE Rep. 366, Virginia Inst. Mar.  
20 Sci. Extended Abstracts, pp. 274–276.

21 James, I.D., 1996. Advection schemes for shelf sea models. *Journal of  
22 Marine Systems*, 8, 237-254.

23 James, I.D., 2000. A high-performance explicit vertical advection scheme for  
24 ocean models: how PPM can beat the CFL condition. *Applied Mathematical  
25 Modelling*, 24(1), 1-9.

1            Jones, S.E., Jago, C.F., Bale, A.J., Chapman, D., Howland, R., Jackson, J.,  
2            1998. Aggregation and resuspension of suspended particulate matter at a  
3            seasonally stratified site in the southern North Sea: physical and biological  
4            controls. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 18, 1283–1310.

5            Kao, S. J., Shiah, F. K., Wang, C. H., Liu, K. K., 2006. Efficient trapping of  
6            organic carbon in sediments on the continental margin with high fluvial sediment  
7            input off southwestern Taiwan. *Cont Shelf Res* 26, 2520-2537.

8            Krivtsov, V., Goldspink, C., Sigeo, D.C., Bellinger, E.G., 2001. Expansion  
9            of the model ‘Rostherne’ for fish and zooplankton: role of top-down effects in  
10            modifying the prevailing pattern of ecosystem functioning. *Ecological Modelling*,  
11            138 (1-3), 153 - 172.

12            Krivtsov V., 2001. Study of cause-effect relationships in the formation of  
13            biocenoses: their use for the control of eutrophication. *Russian Journal of Ecology*  
14            32(4), 230 - 234.

15            Krivtsov V., 2004. Investigations of Indirect Relationships In Ecology And  
16            Environmental Sciences: A Review And The Implications For Comparative  
17            Theoretical Ecosystem Analysis. *Ecological Modelling* 174 (1-2), 37-54.

18            Krivtsov V., Bellinger E., Sigeo D., 2002. Water and nutrient budgeting of  
19            Rostherne Mere, Cheshire, UK. *Nordic Hydrology* 33, 391-414.

20            Krivtsov V., Bellinger E.G., Sigeo D.C., Corliss J., 1998. Application of  
21            SEM XRMA data to lake ecosystem modelling. *Ecological Modelling*, 113/1-3,  
22            95-123.

23            Krivtsov V., Sigeo, D.C., Bellinger E.G., 2001. A one-year study of the  
24            Rostherne Mere ecosystem: seasonal dynamics of water chemistry, plankton,

1 internal nutrient release, and implications for long-term trophic status and overall  
2 functioning of the lake. *Hydrological Processes*, 15, 1489 - 1506.

3 Krivtsov, V., Bellinger, E., Sigeo, D., Corliss, J., 2000. Interrelations between  
4 Si and P biogeochemical cycles - a new approach to the solution of the  
5 eutrophication problem. *Hydrological Processes*, 14/2, 281 - 294.

6 Lewis, K., Allen, J. I., Richardson, A. J., Holt, J. T., 2006. Error  
7 quantification of a high resolution coupled hydrodynamic-ecosystem coastal-ocean  
8 model: Part 3, validation with Continuous Plankton Recorder data. *Journal of*  
9 *Marine Systems* 63, 209-224.

10 Liu, S. M., Zhang, J., Li, R. X., 2005. Ecological significance of biogenic  
11 silica in the East China Sea. *Mar Ecol-Prog Ser* 290, 15-26.

12 McCave, N., 1987. Fine sediment sources and sinks around the East Anglian  
13 Coast (UK). *Journal of the Geological Society*, 144, 149-152.

14 Miller, I., 1985. Nutrient dynamics in relation to a thermocline discontinuity  
15 in the NE Irish Sea. PhD thesis, University of Wales.

16 Moll, A., Radach, G., 2003. Review of three-dimensional ecological  
17 modelling related to the North sea: Part 1 models and their results. *Progress in*  
18 *Oceanography*, 57, 175-217.

19 Odd, N.V.M., Murphy, D.G., 1992. Particulate Pollutants in the North Sea.  
20 *Report SR292*, Hydraulics Research Wallingford.

21 Osuna, P., Souza, A. J., Wolf, J., 2007. Effects of the deep-water wave  
22 breaking dissipation on the wind-wave modelling in the Irish Sea. *Journal of*  
23 *Marine Systems* 67, 59-72.

24 Proctor, R., Howarth, M.J., Knight, P.J., Mills, D.K., 2004. The POL Coastal  
25 Observatory – Methodology and some first results. Pp 273-287 in *Proc. 8<sup>th</sup>*

1 *International Conference on Estuarine and Coastal Modeling*, 3-5 November  
2 2003, Monterey, California, USA. ASCE publication. 1119pp.

3 Proctor, R., Holt, J. T. , Allen, J. I., Blackford, J., 2003. Nutrient fluxes and  
4 budgets for the North West European Shelf from a three-dimensional model.  
5 *Science of the Total Environment*, 314-315, 769-785.

6 Richard, P., Riera, P.,Galois, R., 1997. Temporal variations in the chemical  
7 and carbon isotope compositions of marine and terrestrial organic inputs in the bay  
8 of Marennes-Oleron, France. *J Coastal Res* 13, 879-889.

9 Song, Y., Haidvogel, D., 1994. A semi-implicit ocean circulation model  
10 using a generalized topography-following coordinate system. *Journal of*  
11 *Computational Physics*, 115, 228-244.

12 Souza, A.J, Holt, J.T., Proctor, R., 2007. Modelling SPM on the NW European  
13 shelf seas. *Coastal and Shelf Sediment Transport*, 274, 147-158.

14 Tappin, A. D., Harris, J. R. W., Uncles, R. J.,Boorman, D., 2002. Potential  
15 modification of the fluxes of nitrogen from the Humber Estuary catchment (U.K.)  
16 to the North Sea in response to changing agricultural inputs and climate patterns.  
17 *Hydrobiologia* 475, 65-77.

18 Tett, P. , Joint, I., Purdie, D., Baars, M., Oosterhuis, S., Daneri, G., Hannah,  
19 F., Mills, D.K., Plummer, D., Pomroy, A., Walne, A.W., Witte, H.J.,1993.  
20 Biological consequences of tidal stirring gradients in the North Sea. *Phil. Trans. R.*  
21 *Soc. London A* 340, 493–508.

22 Winterwerp, J.C., 1998. A simple model for turbulence induced flocculation  
23 of cohesive sediment. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 36: 309 – 326.

24 Wolf, J., Wakelin, S.L., Holt,, J.T., 2002. A coupled model of waves and  
25 currents in the Irish Sea. *Proceedings of the Twelfth (2002) International Offshore*

1        *and Polar Engineering Conference*, Kitakyushu, Japan, May 26–31, 2002. Vol.  
2        3,108-114.

3

4        **Appendix: Videoclip 1.** Example of the sediment submodel simulations (to see the  
5        simulation please go to the Elsevier or ScienceDirect website).