

2  
3 The West Gondwana Margin: Proterozoic to Mesozoic  
4

5 The longevity and extent of the oceanic southern margin of Gondwana have  
6 made it the subject of intense study for more than 70 years. It was one of the cradles  
7 of terrane theory and remains a proving ground for theories of supercontinent  
8 amalgamation and break-up. Investigation of processes on this margin, such as  
9 accretionary orogenesis and terrane analysis, are vital to our understanding of the  
10 Proterozoic and Phanerozoic evolution of the continental crust. In this special issue of  
11 Gondwana Research, entitled “The West Gondwana Margin: Proterozoic to  
12 Mesozoic”, we have assembled 9 research papers addressing various aspects of the  
13 evolution of the West Gondwana margin, first presented at the international meeting  
14 ‘Gondwana 12 (Geological and Biological Heritage of Gondwana)’, held in Mendoza,  
15 Argentina, in November 2005. Many concern southern South America, which has a  
16 fairly continuous Proterozoic to Mesozoic geological record.

17 A Focus Paper by A.P.M. Vaughan and R.J. Pankhurst provides a “Tectonic  
18 overview of the West Gondwana margin”. It provides an up-to-date definition of West  
19 Gondwana based on the cratonic elements, the Mesoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic  
20 mobile belts, the Palaeozoic–Mesozoic terranes, and the boundary with East  
21 Gondwana. The history of formation and dispersal of the supercontinent is  
22 summarized, and each sector of the oceanic margin receives a brief state-of-the-art  
23 review. Part of the discussion concentrates on the South American sector, where there  
24 are widely-debated hypotheses of collisional accretion involving pre-existing  
25 continental crustal terranes (the Precordillera, Pampia and Chilenia terranes, and  
26 Patagonia). Voluminous silicic magmatic provinces characterise this part of the  
27 margin and abundant accretionary complexes form the outermost and youngest part.  
28 The geology of the South African sector is treated next, followed by West Antarctica,  
29 with the most up-to-date summary so far, including the most recent results from  
30 terrane studies of the Antarctic Peninsula. The final sections deal with New Zealand,  
31 Victoria Land and the Transantarctic Mountains – parts of the East Gondwana margin  
32 that acted as sinks for, or sources of, West Gondwana sedimentary material or

33 terranes. The paper finishes with some observations on possible future directions for  
34 studies of the Gondwana margin.

35         The other contributions are ordered broadly in terms of the chronology of  
36 processes. The paper by C. Casquet, R.J. Pankhurst, C.W. Rapela, C. Galindo, C.M.  
37 Fanning, M. Chiaradia, E. Baldo, J.M. Gonzalez-Casado and J.A. Dahlquist on “The  
38 Maz terrane: a Mesoproterozoic domain in the western Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina,  
39 equivalent to the Arequipa–Antofalla block of southern Perú? Implications for West  
40 Gondwana margin evolution” sheds new light on the Middle and Late Proterozoic  
41 evolution of the western Amazonia margin that preceded final amalgamation of West  
42 Gondwana in the Late Neoproterozoic – Early Cambrian. The Maz terrane (Western  
43 Sierras Pampeanas) is recognised as a new continental terrane that underwent  
44 Grenvillian-age orogeny and was thoroughly rejuvenated during the Ordovician  
45 Famatinian orogeny. Nd- and Pb-isotope geochemistry allows correlation of Maz  
46 metasedimentary rocks with the Mesoproterozoic northern part of the Arequipa–  
47 Antofalla craton, a region of pre-Andean basement in southern Perú. These were  
48 probably continuous along the palaeo-margin of the Amazonia craton, at least until  
49 the end of the Neoproterozoic.

50         The paper by A.B. Guerreschi and R. D. Martino (“Field and textural evidence  
51 of two migmatization events in the Sierras de Córdoba, Argentina”) focuses on  
52 tectonothermal evolution in the internal part of the Pampean orogen where migmatites  
53 are widespread. The authors introduce for the first time the pull-push concept for the  
54 Pampean orogen, i.e., a succession of compressional and extensional events, mirroring  
55 the tectonic processes of subduction and collision of the Pampia terrane against the  
56 West Gondwana margin. In contrast to former views of this being a short-lived Early  
57 Cambrian orogeny, they suggest a long duration, starting some 30 to 50 Ma earlier, in  
58 the Late Neoproterozoic.

59         The paper by C.J. Chernicoff, E.O. Zappettini, J.O.S. Santos, Beyer, E. and  
60 N.J. McNaughton on “Foreland basin deposits associated with Cuyania accretion in  
61 La Pampa province, Argentina” presents a multidisciplinary study of part of a large  
62 pre-Carboniferous marine basin in western Argentina (the Curacó basin), whose  
63 depocentres are defined by aeromagnetic data. Field and petrological studies show  
64 that the sedimentary sequence in the easternmost depocentre, on Gondwana  
65 continental crust, can be divided into two distinct unconformable formations (Late  
66 Ordovician–Devonian and Permian, respectively). Sedimentary geochemistry of the

67 lower sequence indicates an active margin depositional environment, and U–Pb  
68 SHRIMP dating of detrital zircon confirms a Late Ordovician depositional age, with  
69 provenance from a Cambrian (Pampean) source. Hf isotope data on the zircons show  
70 that the source region was mature, but generally not as old as Palaeoproterozoic. The  
71 authors interpret this as a foreland basin resulting from Mid Ordovician collision of  
72 the Precordillera terrane (Cuyania), with sedimentation across the palaeo-suture.

73 The paper by J. L. Alonso, J. Gallastegui, J. Garcia-Sanseguno, P. Farias R.  
74 Rodríguez Fernández and V. A. Ramos on "Extensional tectonics and gravitational  
75 collapse in an Ordovician passive margin: the western Argentine Precordillera"  
76 describes ubiquitous extensional structures developed in Ordovician rocks in the  
77 Argentine Precordillera. These structures include normal faults and boudinaged  
78 sequences that illustrate a range of deformational styles developed while the  
79 sediments were still soft during the early stages of lithification. Structural data support  
80 the interpretation that gravitational collapse related to submarine sliding was the cause  
81 of extensional deformation. The new data support earlier conclusions locating an  
82 Ordovician continental slope between the ocean floor of the westernmost part of the  
83 Precordillera and the carbonate platform of the central Precordillera, interpreted as a  
84 passive continental margin.

85 The paper by B. Castro de Machuca, G. Arancibia, D. Morata, D. Belmar, L.  
86 Previley and S. Pontoriero on the "P-T-t evolution of an Early Silurian medium-grade  
87 shear zone on the west side of the Famatinian magmatic arc, Argentina: implication  
88 for the assembly of the western Gondwana margin" investigates the duration of the  
89 Famatinian tectono-thermal event that supposedly resulted from collision of the  
90 Laurentia-derived Precordillera terrane. The authors chose a shear zone within basic  
91 igneous rocks belonging to the Famatinian magmatic arc and determined an Early  
92 Silurian  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  age on amphibole, younger than Middle Ordovician peak-  
93 metamorphic ages obtained by other workers. Their interpretation is that this age  
94 represents cooling through late orogenic uplift and decompression of the Famatinian  
95 mobile belt. Determination of P-T conditions reinforce the view than Famatinian peak  
96 metamorphism was at high-T and intermediate-P.

97 S.E. Geuna, L.D. Escosteguy and R. Miró in their paper entitled  
98 "Palaeomagnetism of the Late Devonian–Early Carboniferous Achala Batholith,  
99 Córdoba, central Argentina: implications for the apparent polar wander path of  
100 Gondwana" argue for a mid-Palaeozoic age (380–360 Ma) for a palaeomagnetic pole

101 derived from the Achala batholith, a major post-orogenic complex emplaced in the  
102 metamorphic–plutonic basement of the Eastern Sierras Pampeanas. The body,  
103 consisting mainly of porphyritic to coarse-grained equigranular monzogranite, has a  
104 crystallization age of ~370 and a cooling age of ~340 Ma; it is exposed as  
105 asymmetric, eastward-tilted blocks. The palaeomagnetic pole based on 43 sites is  
106 located at 56°S, 307°E and fits a complex mid-Palaeozoic apparent polar wander path  
107 (“Y-type”) for Gondwana, which may involve rapid movement, true polar wander  
108 episodes and/or continental collisions before the final amalgamation of Pangea.

109         The paper by F.A. Sepúlveda , F.Hervé, M. Calderón and J. P. Lacassie on  
110 "Petrology of metamorphic and igneous units from the allochthonous Madre de Dios  
111 Terrane, Magallanes, Chile" describes metamorphosed pillow basalts,  
112 metahyaloclastites, banded metalliferous and radiolarian metacherts, metapelites and  
113 redeposited calcareous metasandstones of the Denaro complex, part of the Madre de  
114 Dios terrane. The basaltic rocks plot in the N- and E-type MORB fields of tectonic  
115 discriminant diagrams and were probably erupted along a constructive plate margin.  
116 They possess a foliation interpreted as having developed during accretion of the  
117 terrane to the Gondwana margin. The authors argue that the structural and  
118 metamorphic data (pumpellyite-actinolite facies) suggest formation in an accretionary  
119 wedge at relatively low T and P, probably during the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic  
120 Chonide event.

121         C. Adams (" Geochronology of Palaeozoic terranes at the Pacific Ocean  
122 margin of Zealandia") presents new geochronological data from the largely  
123 submerged part of East Gondwana of which New Zealand is the largest emergent part.  
124 This includes Lord Howe Rise, Challenger Plateau, the New Zealand mainland itself,  
125 Chatham Rise and Campbell Plateau. The new data suggest that that the Campbell  
126 Plateau is mostly underlain by Early Palaeozoic metasediments intruded by (1) Early  
127 to mid-Cretaceous granitoids along the western margin, extending north to New  
128 Zealand and south to Antarctica, and (2) Early Jurassic granitoids of Bounty Platform,  
129 extending to Marie Byrd Land.

130         The final paper is by D.H. Elliot and C.M. Fanning: " Detrital zircons from  
131 Upper Permian and Lower Triassic Victoria Group sandstones, Shackleton Glacier  
132 region, Antarctica: evidence for multiple sources along the Gondwana plate margin".  
133 This presents new SHRIMP zircon data showing that the Victoria Group sediments  
134 had a contemporaneous Late Permian magmatic source, with subsidiary

135 Neoproterozoic, Devonian and Cambrian sources. Palaeocurrent flow directions  
136 indicate derivation of the Permian detritus from the West Antarctic flank of the  
137 Beacon foreland basin, the inferred Panthallassan plate margin. The Devonian source  
138 is attributed to the Ford granodiorite suite in Marie Byrd Land, West Antarctica.  
139 Because the Beacon basin strata cover the Cambrian Ross orogen and extend onto  
140 older basement, the Cambrian and Upper Proterozoic zircons are interpreted as  
141 reworked from Devonian and/or Lower Permian Beacon sandstones exposed as a  
142 result of the onset of folding and thrusting in Late Permian time.

143         In assembling this special issue of Gondwana Research, we acknowledge the  
144 major contribution made by the authors in submitting their manuscripts and  
145 undertaking important revisions where necessary. We are all indebted to the following  
146 for generously giving their time in order to provide scholarly and painstaking reviews:  
147 J. Abati, J. Arribas, H. Bahlburg, E.G. Baldo, L. Barbero, M.A. Basei, J.D. Bradshaw,  
148 J.M. Cebria, M.J. Curtis, M. Flowerdew, J.D. Gleason, P. Gonzalez, D. Gregori, L.P.  
149 Gromet, F. Hervé, J.P. Hibbard, J.L. Isbell, R.J. Korsch, P.T. Leat, Jiabiao Li, E.J.  
150 Llambías, M. López de Luchi, Xiwu Luan, H.-J. Massonne, I. Metcalfe, C. Mpodozis,  
151 M. Palin, S.H. Peralta, O. Rabbia, A.E. Rapalini, J. Schwartz, L.A. Spalletti, R.A.J.  
152 Trouw, C.R. Van Staal, R. Varela, W. von Gosen, G. Vujovich, A. Wandres, N.  
153 Woodcock, Nengyou Wu, U. Zimmermann. We thank Gondwana Research for  
154 support in publishing this special issue and hope that the papers assembled herein will  
155 contribute to state-of-the-art knowledge and promote continued research resulting in a  
156 deepening understanding of the Gondwana margin in the future.

157

158 *Guest Editors*

159

160 C. Casquet

161 Universidad Complutense, Spain

162 E-mail address: [casquet@geo.ucm.es](mailto:casquet@geo.ucm.es) .

163 Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +34-913944908/+ 34-915442535.

164

165 R.J. Pankhurst

166 Visiting Research Associate

167 British Geological Survey, UK

168 E-mail address: [rjpt@nigl.nerc.ac.uk](mailto:rjpt@nigl.nerc.ac.uk) .

169

170 A.P.M. Vaughan

171 British Antarctic Survey, UK

172 E-mail address: [a.vaughan@bas.ac.uk](mailto:a.vaughan@bas.ac.uk) .

173