1   **Interacting effects of land use type, soil microbes and plant traits on aggregate stability**

2

3   **Author list / address list:**

4   Luis Merino-Martín[1, 2, 3], Alexia Stokes[1], Hyun S. Gweon[2], Lur Moragues-Saitua[4], Siobhan Staunton[5],

5   Claude Plassard[5], Anna Oliver[2], Yves Le Bissonnais[6], Robert I. Griffiths[2].

6   *[1] Amap, University Montpellier, INRAE, Cirad, Cnrs, Ird, Montpellier, France.*
7   *[2] Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom.*
8   *[3] CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Univ Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France.*
9   *[4] Department of Conservation of Natural Resources, NEIKER-Tecnalia, Derio, Spain.*
10   *[5] Eco&Sols, INRAE, CIRAD, IRD, Institut Agro, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.*
11   *[6] LISAH, INRAE, IRD, Institut Agro, University Montpellier, Montpellier, France.*
12   *[*]Corresponding author. E-mail address: luismerinomartin@gmail.com (L. Merino-Martín).*

13

14   For submission to *Soil Biology and Biochemistry.*

15   **Article type:** Full paper.

16   **Word count:**

Total word count (excluding summary, highlights, references and legends):	10329	No. of figures:	8
Summary:	427	No. of Tables:	6
Introduction:	1396	No of Supporting Information files:	6 tables 5 figures 1 research note
Materials and methods:	4497		
Results:	2013		
Discussion:	1989		
Conclusions:	325		
Acknowledgements:	96		

17

18

19 **Summary**

20 Soil aggregates are critical to soil functionality, but there remain many uncertainties with respect to

21 the role of biotic factors in forming aggregates. Understanding the interacting effects of soil, land use

22 type, vegetation and microbial communities is a major challenge that needs assessment in both field

23 and controlled laboratory conditions, as well as in bulk and rhizosphere soils. To address these effects

24 and their feedbacks, we first examined the influence of soil, root and litter characteristics along a land

25 use gradient (ancient woodland, secondary woodland, grassland, pasture and arable land) on microbial

26 community structure (in both bulk and rhizosphere soil), as well as on aggregate stability. Then, we

27 performed an inoculation experiment where we extracted soil columns from the arable and secondary

28 woodland and used a third unstructured loamy soil as a control. We sterilized these three soils to

29 remove microbial communities, and then either inoculated the tops of sterilized soil columns with soil

30 from the secondary woodland or the arable field sites. Control columns of all soil types were not

31 inoculated. In a fully-crossed design, we planted two species possessing distinct root system

32 morphological traits: *Brachypodium sylvaticum* (fibrous system with many thin and fine roots) and

33 *Urtica dioica* (taproot system with few fine roots). After four months, microbial communities (in bulk

34 and rhizospheric soil) and aggregate stability were measured, along with root traits. In both the field

35 and laboratory experiments, bacterial (16S) and fungal (ITS) biodiversity was determined using high

36 throughput sequencing. In the field study we found that: i) there were strong relationships between

37 aggregate stability and microbial community composition that were driven by land use, ii) the

38 relationship between aggregate stability along the land use gradient and the trophic nature of bacterial

39 communities was not significant, but that certain soil, root and litter parameters shaped bacterial

40 phyla, with oligotrophic bacteria conditioned by the rhizosphere niche, and copiotrophic phyla more

41 dependent on bulk soil conditions, iii) land use gradient (from woodland to arable), reduced the

42 relative abundance of saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi with an increase in the relative

43 abundance of Ascomycota and a reduction in the relative abundance of Basidiomycota. In the

44 laboratory experiment we found that: i) the inoculation of sterilized soils with soils from the field

45 significantly increased aggregate stability in control soil that was initially poorly structured, ii) the

46 effects of inoculation on aggregate stability were similar when either secondary woodland or arable

47   soils were used as inoculums and iii) these effects were affected significantly by root length density.

48   Our results show that microbial communities influence soil structure and that bacterial communities

49   are intimately associated to rhizospheric conditions and root traits (of which root length density was

50   the most pertinent).

51   **Key words:** bacteria, fungi, glomalin, ergosterol, ITS, root traits, root systems, soil structure, 16S.

1. Introduction

Soil structure is an essential factor driving the success of many ecosystem services worldwide (Adhikari and Hartemink, 2016), but most soils have been severely degraded in recent decades, due largely to anthropogenic pressures (Jie et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2015). Therefore, the capacity of soil to retain water, maintain biodiversity, sustain agriculture and resist flooding, erosion and landslides is compromised, unless we can find mechanisms by which soil structure can be restored rapidly. Soil is composed of a solid phase of particles and a pore phase that provides pathways for the transport of water, nutrients and gases, as well as habitats for microorganisms and fauna. The spatial configuration of soil particles and pores is arranged in aggregates and their stability is an important aspect of soil structure. Apart from soil texture (Bissonnais and Arrouays, 1997), diverse biotic and abiotic factors drive the rate of aggregation and its stability, e.g. microorganisms (Tisdall, 1994; Chenu and Sotzky, 2002; Lehmann et al., 2017), fauna (Lee and Foster, 1991; Ayuke et al., 2011), litter and root traits (Baumert et al., 2018; Poirier et al., 2018), environmental variables (e.g., tillage, freeze-thaw, wetting and drying cycles) (Lavee et al., 1996; Six et al., 1998; Gispert et al., 2013b) and inorganic binding agents (e.g., clay minerals, oxides and polyvalent cations such as calcium) (Denef and Six, 2005). Although many studies have focused on how individual factors modify soil aggregation and stability, very few have considered combinations of driving factors and their effects (Six et al., 2004; Baumert et al., 2018), particularly complex biotic factors. However, a better understanding of these interactions will improve soil restoration and the production of ecosystem services (Lavelle et al., 2020).

The importance of biotic factors for soil aggregation, and in particular soil microorganisms, was first suggested by Tisdall (1994) and has since been recognized worldwide (e.g. Degens, 1997; Chotte, 2005; Gupta and Germida, 2015). In a global meta-analysis, Lehmann et al. (2017) found a positive effect of soil biota on aggregation, with bacteria and fungi being generally more important for aggregation than other groups. Good soil structure is usually associated with high microbial biomass (Degens, 1997) and activity (Cui and Holden, 2015), mainly through the chemical binding action of microbial compounds such as bacterial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) or soil proteins, or through the physical enmeshment of soil particles by fungal hyphae (Oades and Waters, 1991; Rillig

4

79 and Mummey, 2006). Rillig et al. (2002a,b) attributed the positive effect of plant roots on aggregation

80 to the excretion of a protein by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) called glomalin. This protein is

81 operationally defined by its extraction method and belongs to a group of proteins after called

82 glomalin-related soil proteins (GRSPs) that are no longer believed to be solely of fungal origin.

83 Ergosterol is the most abundant sterol in fungal cell membranes and since fungal hyphae enmeshment

84 is a major factor for the formation of macroaggregates, it should be related to aggregate stability. Yet,

85 the feedback mechanisms between aggregate formation and stability and the influence of microbial

86 communities are not fully understood and identifying the relationships between changes in microbial

87 community structure and GRSP and ergosterol are key for understanding soil aggregation processes.

88 Root system morphology can influence soil aggregation through changes in the distribution of carbon

89 inputs into soil (Carter et al., 1994; Degens, 1997). Fine roots have direct effects on macroaggregate

90 stability through the production of mucilage and exudates and indirect effects through the promotion

91 of hyphal growth and the release of root-derived particulate organic matter (POM) that stimulate

92 microbial activity (Morel et al., 1991; Miller and Jastrow, 1992; Brax et al., 2020). Consequently,

93 plants with fibrous root systems, that have numerous, evenly distributed thin and fine roots, may have

94 more available mucilage/exudates, as well as more easily degradable POM, than plants with taproot

95 systems. Taproot systems generally comprise one large central root and less thin or fine roots (Miller

96 and Jastrow, 1992; Degens, 1997), thus the potential input of total carbon into soil is reduced. We ask

97 therefore, if root system morphology, and individual root traits such as diameter, length and carbon

98 and nitrogen content (Saleem et al., 2018), influence the composition of microbial communities and

99 so can modify macroaggregate formation and stability.

100 Soil aggregates are important for microbial community ecology, evolution and for microbially

101 mediated cycles (Goebel et al., 2009; Rillig et al., 2016; Rillig et al., 2017). The heterogeneity of

102 pores and water films on soil particles leads to a diversity of microhabitats and gradients of abiotic

103 traits (e.g. nutrients and pH) at microscales (Or et al., 2007). Soil carbon type and availability

104 provides strong selective pressures for the different lifestyle strategies among copiotrophs (common

105 in carbon-rich environments) and oligotrophs (present in habitats of low carbon flux) (Fierer et al.,

106 2007; Eilers et al., 2010; Trivedi et al., 2017). Trivedi et al. (2017) showed that aggregate size

107 modulated the effects of management practices on soil carbon, with oligotrophs (e.g. Acidobacteria,

108 Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia) inhabiting microaggregates containing higher proportions of

109 recalcitrant carbon and copiotrophs (e.g. α and β Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria)

110 living in macroaggregates with more labile carbon. Hence, soils with high macroaggregate stability

111 should be dominated by copiotrophs. However, aggregate size is not the only factor influencing the

112 abundance of copiotrophs and oligotrophs. Lauber et al. (2009) and Carbonetto et al. (2014) showed

113 that agro-systems had a higher abundance of copiotrophs than in forests, probably because of greater

114 nitrogen fertilization stimulating copiotrophic communities (Fierer et al., 2012). Complex interactions

115 in soil can influence the abundance of different lifestyle strategies, e.g., rhizosphere communities are

116 enriched with rhizodeposits (Dennis et al., 2010) and as a consequence, increase copiotroph

117 abundance compared to bulk soil (Peiffer et al., 2013; Lladó and Baldrian, 2017). However, studies on

118 how root and litter traits affect the abundance of these trophic modes in the different soil niches are

119 notably lacking (Saleem et al., 2018), even though these scaled down studies are still needed to

120 decipher the rules of rhizosphere community assembly (Brunel et al., 2020). The disturbance of soils

121 through management also decreases soil fungal diversity, increasing the prevalence of generalist taxa,

122 reducing the relative abundances of saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi and increasing the

123 abundance of pathogenic fungi (Mueller et al., 2016; Marín et al., 2017). Managed land use types also

124 possess more Ascomycota and less Basidiomycota compared to undisturbed natural ecosystems (de

125 Castro et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 2016). Here, we ask if differences in the abovementioned bacterial

126 and fungal trophic modes are due mainly to differences in aggregate formation, soil chemical

127 characteristics or plant traits, along a land use gradient.

128 Since the complex feedbacks between aggregate formation and microbial communities are not fully

129 understood, studies that integrate field observation with experimental testing are needed. Here, we

130 performed two studies to determine the combined effects of soil type, root system morphological traits

131 and microbial communities on soil aggregation and stability. We first surveyed these responses in the

132 field across a gradient of different land use types (grassland, pasture, arable land, secondary woodland

133 and ancient woodland) and hypothesized (hypothesis 1) that there are strong relationships between

134 soil aggregate stability and microbial community structure that are driven by land use. Additionally,

135 we hypothesize (hypothesis 2) that soils of higher aggregate stability will have characteristic

136 communities comprising increased bacterial copiotrophs and increased relative abundance of

137 saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi related to a decrease in the relative abundance of Ascomycota

138 and an increase of Basidiomycota. However, this relationship may be influenced by other edaphic

139 factors (e.g. soil nitrogen content) and root and litter traits, or soil habitat (e.g., with the rhizosphere

140 niche favouring copiotrophic bacterial communities).

141 Then, to experimentally test interactive effects of plants and microbes on aggregate stability, we

142 performed a controlled experiment where we sterilized soil samples from arable land and secondary

143 woodland as well as a control soil; and inoculated into sterilized soil in which was grown two species

144 of herbaceous plants with different root system morphologies. We hypothesize (hypothesis 3) that

145 very fibrous root systems will increase aggregate stability, because more labile carbon will be

146 available for microbial communities from fine root exudates and mucilage. We further hypothesize

147 (hypothesis 4) that the effect of inoculation on aggregate stability will be greater with the inoculum

148 coming from the soil with highest aggregate stability (secondary woodland), and this is related to

149 source microbial community characteristics (measured using sequencing, GRSP, fungal biomass

150 ergosterol).

151 **2. Material and methods**

152 **2.1. Field sampling**

153 The study site is located in Wytham, Oxfordshire, southern England (1° 20 ′ W, 51° 47 ′ N), where

154 three land uses (grassland, pasture and arable) and two forests (ancient woodland and secondary

155 woodland) were selected (Fig. 1). The forests are located in a 410 ha area of mixed woodlands and

156 grassland, on a hill owned by the University of Oxford since 1943. These forests have been studied

157 for several decades (Watts, 1969; Mihók *et al.*, 2009) and the management history of the site is well

158 documented (Gibson, 1986). In this area, we selected two forests for our study, an ancient woodland

159   and a secondary woodland. The ancient woodland was traditionally managed by coppicing, but this

160   management stopped between 40 and 100 years ago (differing locations were abandoned at different

161   times). *Acer pseudoplatanus* L. and *Quercus robur* L. are abundant and *Corylus avellana* L. was the

162   main coppice species. The dominant tree species of the naturally regenerated secondary woodland are

163   *Fraxinus excelsior* L. and *Acer pseudoplatanus* L. (Savill et al., 2011). The ground flora of these

164   woodlands is characterised by dominant *Mercurialis perennis* (dog's mercury) and changeable

165   combinations of *Endymion non-scripta* (bluebell), *Circaea lutetiana*, *Geum urbanum*, *Arum*

166   *maculatum* and *Viola riviniana/reichenbachiana*. *Rubus fruticosa* and bryophytes are common

167   features of the field layer, in addition to the sedge *Carex sylvatica* and the grasses *Poa trivialis* and

168   *Brachypodium sylvatica* (Butt et al., 2009). The three other land uses that were selected for this study

169   are in an adjacent farmland. The arable site was cultivated with winter oats (*Avena sativa* L.) with no

170   fertilization in 2014 (the sampling year) and with spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), fertilized at a

171   rate 164 kg N ha^{-1} and 7 t ha^{-1} of pig manure in 2013. In this arable site, soil was ploughed prior to our

172   sampling. The other two sites, grassland and pasture, have been permanent pastures since 1992, with

173   the only difference that the grassland had not been actively grazed the year preceding the study (2013-

174   2014), increasing drastically the aboveground biomass of vegetation. Soils in the area span a

175   geological sequence from Oxford Clay to Upper Corallian sand and silt to Upper Corallian limestone

176   and Coral Rag developing soils with characteristic textures (Savill et al., 2011). All sites selected for

177   this study are located on the Oxford Clay formation with Denchworth series soil (Eutric Vertic

178   Stagnosols *sensu* USS Working Group WRB (2014)).

179   We collected soil samples to examine relationships in soil properties and microbial communities

180   between sites and to provide background data for the inoculation experiment (see below). In each land

181   use type, sampling was performed in three plots that were randomly located and samples were

182   collected at a depth of 0-0.1 m except for aggregate stability samples and soil physicochemical

183   properties that were collected at two depths (0-10 cm and 20-30 cm). Depth can influence aggregate

184   stability as it affects soil properties that are related to soil aggregate stability such as total organic

185   carbon, total nitrogen, freeze–thaw cycles, etc. Four different soil samples were collected at each

186   depth using sterilised material: (i) one soil cylinder (1.01 dm^3) for fine root analysis, (ii) 10 to 20 g of

187   soil for microbial analysis placed in a plastic bag, (iii) soil for measurements of aggregate stability (at

188   depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m) placed in 0.75 dm^3 containers and (iv) two soil samples for soil

189   physicochemical analyses (at depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m). Litter from the surface of each soil

190   core was sampled and kept in plastic bags for further analysis. A total of 15 samples of each type were

191   collected: five land uses x three samples at each land use (except for aggregate stability and soil

192   physicochemical properties where a total of 30 samples were collected, since six samples were

193   collected at each plot; three at 0-0.1 m and three at 0.2-0.3 m). Soil samples were collected during

194   July 7th - 10th, 2014, at the peak of the summer season when microbial activity was expected to be at

195   its maximum (Sarathchandra et al., 1988; Bardgett et al., 1997). Soil physicochemical properties of

196   the five sites are given in Table 1.

197   Samples for root, litter and microbial analysis were stored at -20ºC prior to analysis. Soil samples for

198   aggregate stability tests were air-dried at ambient temperature and analysed within one month.

2.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment setup and sampling

200   Intact soil cores with a diameter of 110 mm and a length of 150 mm (1.42 dm^3) were collected from

201   two field sites, arable land and secondary woodland in close proximity (300 m) for the laboratory

202   inoculation experiment (Fig. 2). These two land uses were selected based on their large differences in

203   soil aggregate stability and the minimal differences in soil textural properties (Table 1, Fig. 3). Thirty-

204   three intact soil cores in the arable land site were collected on January 7th, 2015 and another set of 33

205   soil cores were collected in Wytham woods on January 8th, 2015 (Figs. S1 and S2a). Thirty-three pots

206   were also filled with a commercial loamy soil (Boughton Kettering Loam, Boughton Loam Ltd, U.K.)

207   to be used as a control treatment because this loamy soil lacks structural stability. Before and after

208   sterilization, three samples from each provenance were collected for the measurement of

209   physicochemical properties (Table S1).

210   After the field collection, the upper 30 mm of each soil core were removed to allow subsequent

211   inoculation with 15 mm of non sterile soil from the arable and woodland soils (0.14 dm^3, 10% of total

212   volume) and 15 mm free for water ponding from irrigation (Figs. S2b and c). Thirty soil cores of each

213   treatment (arable, woodland and control) were sterilized by gamma irradiation at Elgin Synergy

214   Health PLC (Swindon, U.K.) with a dose between 50.5 and 52.1 kGy on January 29th, 2015. Three

215   cores of each soil were used to assess aggregate stability after gamma radiation and compared with

216   the three non sterilized cores to verify that there was no effect of gamma irradiation on aggregate

217   stability. Similarly, one soil sample in each of these three cores was collected to assess changes in

218   microbial communities before and after the gamma radiation (three samples assessed before and three

219   samples after).

220   After irradiation, the upper 15 mm of 18 soil cores was inoculated with fresh soil collected on

221   February 9th, 2015 from the arable and woodland fields (nine soil cores from each provenance) and

222   another set of nine soil cores were not inoculated (controls).

223   In three replicates of each combination, seeds from two species with either tap/adventitious (*Urtica*

224   *dioica*) or fibrous (*Brachypodium sylvaticum*) root systems (*sensu* Fitter and Peat, 1994) were sown

225   and a third treatment with no plants was used as a control (see Fig. 2 and Fig. S2 for a detailed

226   illustration of procedure). Seeds of the two selected species, abundant in the Wytham area with

227   contrasting root systems (Savill et al., 2011), were obtained from two different suppliers: Emorsgate

228   Seeds, U.K. (*B. sylvaticum*) and Naturescape British Wildflowers, U.K. (*U. dioica*). Seeds were

229   sterilized by placing them in a mesh bag and left for 30 min in a 2% sodium hypochlorite solution.

230   They were removed from the bag and rinsed three times (for 5 min each) in sterilized deionised water

231   (Commander et al., 2009). Then, seeds were germinated for three weeks in sterilized, deionised water

232   before sowing. Ten seeds of each species were sown in each pot. Plants were grown in a temperature

233   and light controlled facility for 4 months (February 12th to June 15th, 2018, Fig. S2d). Pots were

234   regularly weeded by hand for the first six weeks, but after that, the species that were sown

235   commenced growth and weeding was ceased to avoid disturbance, although some seedlings emerged

236   (particularly in treatments inoculated with arable soil).

237   The first three irrigation events were performed with autoclaved deionised water, but afterwards, pots

238   were watered with tap water using an automatic station (to promote downward movement of

239   inoculum). To identify microbial communities existing in tap water and subtract them from the later

240   microbial analysis on soils, 5 l of tap water were collected at the beginning and the end of the

241   experiment. This water was filtered, and DNA was extracted.

242   **2.3. Sample analysis**

243   **2.3.1. Soil respiration, moisture and physicochemical properties**

244   **2.3.1.1. Field study**

245   Soil collected in the field study was sieved at 2 mm after air drying and the physicochemical

246   properties of the < 2 mm soil fraction was quantified by Natural Resource Management (Berkshire,

247   UK). Soil pH was measured in water as 1:2.5 extract. Soil organic matter content (SOM) was

248   determined via loss-on-ignition at 500 °C (Dean 1974). Total nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) were

249   determined via the DUMAS method (Shea and Watts 1939). Available potassium (K) and magnesium

250   (Mg) were determined through ammonium nitrate extraction and available phosphorus (P) was

251   measured using the Olsen method (extraction with 0.5 M sodium bicarbonate solution, (Olsen et al.

252   1954)). Soil texture was determined by laser-diffraction analysis (McCave et al. 1986). Each soil

253   sample was previously digested in hydrogen peroxide solution to destroy the organic matter and

254   sodium hexametaphospate to release the bound clay particles.

255   Aggregate stability was determined by the fast wetting standard method, ISO/CD 10930, developed

256   by Le Bissonnais (Le Bissonnais, 1996). This methodology is appropriate to compare the behaviour of

257   a large range of soils during rapid wetting (mimicking heavy rainstorms in summer). A quantity of 5 g

258   of aggregates (3-5 mm) were gently immersed in 50 ml of deionized water for 10 minutes; water was

259   then removed with a pipette and the soil material was transferred to a 50 μm sieve previously

260   immersed in ethanol. The 50 μm sieve immersed in ethanol was gently moved five times to separate

261   fragments smaller and bigger than 50 μm. The >50 μm fraction was collected, oven-dried and gently

262 dry-sieved by hand on a column of six sieves: 2000, 1000, 500, 200, 100 and 50 μm. The mass

263 percentage of each size fraction was calculated, and the aggregate stability was expressed by

264 computation of the mean weight diameter (MWD).

2.3.1.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment

266 Soil samples collected from the inoculation experiment were sent to the chemical analysis laboratory

267 of Forest Research (Surrey, UK). Soil pH was measured in a suspension of 5 g of soil with 25 ml of

268 water that was shaken on an orbital shaker for 15 min and settled for 45 min prior to pH measurement

269 using a Sentek pH electrode (Reference method: ISO 10390). Total N, C, soil organic carbon (SOC)

270 and inorganic carbon ($CaCO_3$) were measured with a combustion method using a Carlo Erba CN

271 analyser (Reference method ISO 10694 & 13878). Aggregate stability was measured with the fast

272 wetting standard method described above.

273 We examined if microbial inoculation (through the addition of surface soil) changed soil texture and

274 aggregate stability in deeper soil. The top 15 mm of soil was removed, and aggregate stability

275 measured in the remaining soil. Texture of the aggregates obtained at the end of the experiment was

276 measured by the CIRAD - US Analyses (Montpellier, France). The objective was to test whether the

277 texture of the obtained aggregates was more related to the inoculated core (origin) or to the *inoculum*

278 (inoculation treatment) and so, if there was any possible influence of inoculation with soil on the

279 results of stability tests. Soil texture of aggregates was determined with an automated pipette method

280 (Reference method AFNOR NF X 31-107) using a Texsol granulometer (ISITEC-LAB) on samples

281 pre-treated following the standard method NF ISO 11464 (X31-412).

282 Two additional measurements in soils were made: i) the rate of exchange of CO_2 (assimilation; g

283 (CO_2) m^{-2} h^{-1}) measured with an EGM-4 Environmental Gas Monitor for CO_2 and a SRC-1 Soil

284 Respiration Chamber (PP Systems, Amesbury, MA, U.S.A.) in June 15th-17th, 2015 and ii) soil water

285 content measured at two dates (June 8th and 12th, 2015) after irrigation with a WET Sensor (Delta-T

286 Devices Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

2.3.2. Aboveground vegetation, fine roots and litter

In the soil inoculation experiment, all aboveground vegetation biomass was cut at the surface at the end of the experiment and dried at 60°C until constant weight (Fig. S2e). Then, a core (0.295 dm^3) was collected in each of the pots for the assessment of root properties (Fig. S2f and Fig. S3).

Root samples from both the field study and the inoculation experiment were washed gently with deionised water and divided in two subsamples: 1) a representative subsample that was selected for scanning and later drying in the oven at 40°C (n=3 for each land use in the field experiment and n = 27 for each provenance; arable, woodland and control; in the inoculation experiment) and 2) remaining root material of the sample that was dried at 40°C until constant weight (n=3 for each land use in the field experiment and n = 27 for each provenance in the inoculation experiment). Both subsamples were weighed before and after drying. Roots selected for morphological measurement were stained with methylene blue (1 gl^{-1}) to increase the contrast and allow the detection of fine roots. Then, roots were placed in a tray with deionised water and scanned (Epson© V700 Perfection) at a resolution of 1200 dpi. Analysed subsample roots were then recovered, and oven dried at 40 °C and weighed to obtain dry mass. Root images were analysed with the WinRhizo® software (Pro version 2007, Regent Instrument, Quebec, Canada) using the automatic thresholding option and Lagarde's mode, with a filter identifying roots when length was five times the width. We measured total root length and the length of roots in seven diameter classes (roots with a width of 0 - 1 mm were separated into 0.2 mm classes and roots with a width of 1 - 2 mm were separated into 0.5 mm classes). Debris was removed with a filter that eliminated fragments that were less than five times longer than their width. Specific root length (SRL) was calculated as the ratio between total root length and root dry mass. The percentages of very fine (VFR, diameter < 0.2 mm) and fine (FR, 0.2 < diameter < 1 mm) roots were defined as the ratio of length in the concerned root classes to total root length (Miller and Jastrow, 1990). Total root mass density (RMD) was calculated by the ratio of total root dry mass and the soil volume extracted. Total root length density (RLD) was calculated by the ratio of total root length and the soil volume extracted. Total root dry mass was calculated as the sum of the dry mass of

13

313   roots selected for morphological analysis and those of the remaining roots. Root dry matter content

314   (RDMC) was calculated as the ratio of the root dry weight and fresh weight.

315   The concentrations of water soluble compounds (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin; mg g^{-1}) in root

316   and litter samples from the field experiment (n = 11 for litter, i.e. 3 replicates x 4 treatments = 12

317   excluding 1 sample with insufficient mass; and n = 4 for roots as replicates were combined due to

318   limited sample amount; "arable" was excluded from these measurements), were obtained by the Van

319   Soest Method (Van Soest, 1963) with a Fibersac fibre analyser (Ankom, Macedon, USA). Root C and

320   N concentrations were measured in roots from the field study (n=12, i.e. 3 replicates x 4 treatments

321   since arable was excluded from these measurements) and the inoculation experiment (n = 3 for each

322   treatment with roots) and litter C and N were measured in samples from the field experiment (n=15)

323   using an elemental analyser (Thermo-Finnigan EA1112, Italy).

324   **2.3.3. Soil microbial communities**

325   **2.3.3.1. Obtaining rhizosphere and bulk soil samples**

326   In both the field study and laboratory inoculation experiment, roots were cleaned and rhizosphere and

327   bulk soil samples obtained following the protocols of Bulgarelli et al. (2012, 2015). Briefly, loose soil

328   was manually removed from the root system and stored as the bulk soil sample. Roots were collected

329   in 50 ml falcon tubes containing 10 ml PBS-S buffer (130 mM NaCl, 7 mM Na_2HPO_4, 3mM

330   NaH_2PO_4, pH 7.0, 0.02 % Silwet L-77) and washed for 20 minutes at 180 rpm on a shaking platform.

331   These roots were transferred to a new falcon tube and subjected to a second washing treatment (20

332   minutes at 180 rpm in 3 ml PBS-S buffer). The soil suspensions collected in the falcon tubes after the

333   first and second washing treatments were combined, centrifuged at 4000 g for 20 min and the pellet,

334   considered as the rhizosphere sample, was frozen and stored at -20°C until further analysis.

335   **2.3.3.2. DNA extraction, amplicon library construction and sequencing**

336   Total DNA was extracted from soil (0.25 g) and the rhizosphere fractions (0.25 g when possible and

337   the entire material available when quantity was less than 0.25 g). DNA extraction was performed

338   using PowerSoil®-htp96 Well Soil DNA Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions

339   (MOBIO Laboratories, UK).

340   Bacterial and fungal community biodiversity was assessed using Illumina amplicon sequencing of

341   16S rRNA genes (bacteria) and the Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (fungi) to

342   phylogenetically identify responsive taxa. A phylogenetic analysis was also performed for bacterial

343   communities. Amplicon libraries were constructed according to the dual indexing strategy of Kozich

344   et al. (2013), with each primer consisting of the appropriate Illumina adapter, an 8-nt index sequence,

345   a 10-nt pad sequence, a 2-nt linker and the gene specific primer. For 16S, the V3-V4 hypervariable

346   regions of the 16S rRNA gene was targeted using primers based upon the universal primer sequence

347   341F and 806R. For ITS, region 2 (ITS2) was amplified utilising the fITS7 (forward) and ITS4

348   (reverse) primer sequences described in Ihrmark et al. (2012). Additional methodological details of

349   Illumina sequencing are described in Notes S1.

350   **2.3.3.3. Processing high-throughput data for community analysis**

351   Sequenced 16S rRNA paired-end reads were joined using PEAR (Zhang et al., 2014), quality filtered

352   using FASTX tools (hannonlab.cshl.edu), length filtered with the minimum length of 300 bps,

353   presence of PhiX and adapters were checked and removed with BBTools (jgi.doe.gov/data-and-

354   tools/bbtools/), and chimeras were identified and removed with VSEARCH_UCHIME_REF (Rognes

355   et al., 2016) using Greengenes Release 13_5 (at 97%) (DeSantis et al., 2006). Singletons were

356   removed and the resulting sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with

357   VSEARCH_CLUSTER (Rognes et al., 2016) at 97% sequence identity (Tindall et al., 2010).

358   Representative sequences for each OTU were taxonomically assigned by RDP Classifier with the

359   bootstrap threshold of 0.8 or greater (Wang et al., 2007) using the Greengenes Release 13_5 (full)

360   (DeSantis et al., 2006) as the reference. Unless stated otherwise, default parameters were used for the

361   steps listed. ITS2 sequences were processed using the PIPITS pipeline (Gweon et al., 2015), where

362   OTUs were taxonomically assigned against the UNITE database (Release 31.01.2016, Koljalg et al.

363   (2013).

2.3.4. Glomalin-Related Soil Proteins (GRSP).

Hurisso et al. (2018) recently proposed the term autoclaved-citrate extractable protein (ACE), to avoid the implied assumption to the fungal origin of these proteins, but here we use the term Glomalin-Related Soil Proteins (GRSP) for ease of comparison with other studies. In the inoculation experiment, soil samples from the middle of each soil core were collected after the incubation period for the assessment of GRSP, thought to be a marker of arbuscular mycorrhizal activity. Soil samples were stored at -20 °C until further processing. Operationally-defined GRSP fractions were obtained using the extraction methods proposed by Wright and Upadhyaya (1996) as follows. Easily extractable soil protein ($GRSP_{EE}$) was obtained by autoclaving soil in a solution of 20 mM sodium citrate at pH 7 for 30 min. Total GRSP ($GRSP_T$) was obtained by two successive autoclave extractions of soil in 50 mM sodium citrate at pH 8, each for 60 min. In each case, the soil:solution ratio was 1:8 and phases were separated after cooling by centrifugation at 15000 g for 15 min. For $GRSP_T$, after the first autoclave cycle, solution was removed and replaced by the same volume of new addition of citrate solution, the mixture was vortexed to re-suspend the soil. The solutions were combined to form the total extract. Solutions were frozen until required for analysis. After thawing, samples were centrifuged again at 15000 g for 15 minutes to remove any precipitate that may have formed. Protein in both extracts were assayed using the Bradford technique with Bradford QuickStart kits from BioRad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA), calibrated against solutions of bovine serum albumin (BSA) within the working range of 0-200 mg dm^{-3}. Following the recommendations proposed by Moragues-Saitua and co-workers (Moragues-Saitua et al., 2019), samples were diluted twofold (absorbance of about 0.1 at 465 nm), to reduce the interference of co-extracted coloured compounds. A sample volume of 20 µl were assayed in microplates with 230 µl Bradford dye reagent. Optical density was measured at 595 nm in ThermoScientific Multiskan GO spectrometer (Waltham,MA, USA) and sample blank colour absorbance at pH=1 corrected.

2.3.5. Ergosterol

389   Ergosterol, a proxy for active fungal biomass, was measured in soil samples that were obtained from

390   the middle of each core of the inoculation experiment after the incubation period. Ergosterol was

391   assessed following the method of Plassard et al. (2000). Briefly, ergosterol was extracted by mixing

392   very gently 0.5 g of soil in 3 ml of methanol containing polyclar (0.5%, w/v) (CAS n° 9009-39-8) in

393   screw-cap tubes. After firm closure, tubes were incubated at 4°C for 24 h, without shaking as

394   ergosterol is rapidly lost by oxidation. The concentration of ergosterol in filtered (0.45 μm) methanol

395   extracts was determined at 270 nm by high-performance liquid chromatography using a C18 column

396   and eluted with methanol flowing at 1 ml min^{-1}.

397   **2.4. Statistical analysis**

398   **2.4.1. Field study**

399   First, we examined the differences on soil, root and litter physicochemical characteristics and

400   aggregate stability among the different land uses. Then, we performed NMDS data ordinations of

401   microbial data and tested of the effect of land use and sample fraction on their structure

402   (Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance, PERMANOVA). Finally, we studied the

403   correlation between microbial community composition and environmental variables (Spearman

404   correlations between Shannon diversity indexes and distance-based redundancy analysis, dbRDA).

405   Soil aggregate stability, soil physicochemical properties and root and litter traits were analysed by

406   analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test. The

407   land use explanatory variable was treated as a factor. Relative abundance of the different phyla and

408   trophic modes were analysed by ANOVA and post hoc HSD with land use and sample fraction as

409   explanatory variables. All variables tested fulfilled ANOVA assumptions except for the Saprotroph

410   trophic mode that was inverse transformed to fulfil ANOVA assumptions. The relationships between

411   the relative abundance of bacterial phyla and the soil physicochemical variables, root and litter traits

412   were tested using Spearman correlations.

17

413 Similarities/dissimilarities between microbial communities were displayed using non-metric multi-

414 dimensional scaling (NMDS) of Bray–Curtis dissimilarity for bacterial and fungal species matrices.

415 To indicate similarities between treatments on the NMDS configuration, the points on the NMDS

416 ordination were overlapped with spider diagrams and convex hull polygons indicating land use and

417 soil fraction was indicated with different symbols. Surfaces were added to show soil aggregate

418 stability data on the ordinations. PERMANOVA tests (adonis R function) were performed for the

419 bacteria and fungi species matrices as the response and the two different factors (land use and soil

420 fraction) as the explanatory variables.

421 The Shannon diversity index for bacteria and fungi was calculated for each land use and sample

422 fraction (rhizosphere and bulk soil samples). The relationships between the microbial community

423 composition and the soil physicochemical variables, root and litter traits were tested using Spearman

424 correlations between these variables and alpha (Shannon diversity index) and beta diversities (NMDS

425 first and second axis) and a db-RDA. In order to select the environmental parameters to be included in

426 the constrained ordination, an initial db-RDA including all parameters was performed followed by a

427 stepwise model selection using Generalized Akaike Information Criterion (AIC, ordistep function

428 with a backward direction). Finally, the db-RDA analysis was performed only for the variables

429 obtained. ANOVA tests were performed on the final constrained ordination to confirm that the first

430 two axes and the environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant.

431 **2.4.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment**

432 First, we explored the differences on soil, vegetation and root traits and aggregate stability among the

433 different combinations of treatments: i) origin (control, arable and woodland), ii) inoculation (not

434 inoculated, arable and woodland) and iii) root treatment (no roots, fibrous and tap). Soil

435 physicochemical properties, aboveground vegetation biomass, root traits, aggregate stability and

436 aggregate fractions were analysed by ANOVA when ANOVA assumptions were fulfilled and with

437 Kruskal Wallis tests when they were not fulfilled. Origin, inoculation, and root treatment were used as

438 explanatory variables and were treated as factors. PCA was performed with a selection of variables

used for the description of soil, vegetation, and root properties. Variables included in the PCA were: aboveground biomass, CO_2 assimilation, soil water content, soil pH, SOC, soil C:N, SRL, VFR, MRD, RMD, RLD, root C:N, $GRSP_T$, ergosterol and MWD. These variables were selected based on their correlation and ecological significance (i.e. variables that were correlated but their source was ecologically different were kept, e.g. soil C:N and root C:N). Apart from the ANOVA performed for MWD with soil origin, inoculation and root treatment as factors, an additional analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model was tested and included RLD to explore separately the effect of root chemical (i.e. species effect) and root physical properties (quantity of roots) within the effect of root treatment.

Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) of Bray–Curtis dissimilarity were performed for bacterial and fungal species matrices obtained for bulk soil fraction (since the rhizosphere sample fraction was only available for treatments where roots were present). To indicate similarities between treatments on the NMDS configuration, the points on the NMDS ordination were overlapped with convex hull polygons indicating the inoculation treatment, origin was indicated with symbols of different colour and root treatment was indicated with different symbol shape. PERMANOVA tests (adonis R function) were performed for the bacteria and fungi species matrices as the response and the three different treatments (origin, inoculation and root treatment) as the explanatory variables using species matrix of bulk soil and rhizosphere fractions. Additional Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) were performed to test the effects of root treatment, and inoculation in each of the combinations of the experimental design in bulk soil sample fractions.

The Shannon diversity index for bacteria and fungi was calculated for each pot. In line with the field study, Spearman correlations between soil, vegetation, root traits and aggregate stability variables and alpha (Shannon diversity index) and beta diversities (NMDS first and second axis) were calculated. Likewise, a db-RDA was performed on species matrices of bacterial and fungal communities obtained from the bulk soil fraction. Environmental parameters included in the db-RDA were selected by a stepwise model selection process using AIC similar to the process performed for the field study. ANOVA tests were performed on the final constrained ordination to confirm that the first two axes and the environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant.

466   Finally, we explored the textural class (proportion of clay, fine silt, coarse silt, fine sand, coarse sand)

467   of soil aggregates used for the stability tests, to examine whether there was any possible influence of

468   inoculation on the results of stability tests. We depicted a soil texture triangle to show the texture of

469   the different treatment combinations and overlapped with ellipses discriminating the origin of the

470   sample and symbols of different colours indicating inoculation treatment. Then, we performed a

471   weighted Classical (Metric) Multidimensional Scaling with textural properties to explore the

472   differences among origin and inoculation treatment, the points on this ordination were overlapped

473   with convex hull polygons indicating the origin, and inoculation was indicated with symbols of

474   different colour. Finally, we performed an analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) to test the effects of

475   origin and inoculation treatments on the textural properties of aggregates.

476   All analyses were performed in RStudio Version 3.6.0 (R Core Team, 2019) using the vegan

477   (Oksanen et al., 2019) and ade4 (Dray and Dufour, 2007) packages.

3. Results

3.1. Field study: Relationships between soil aggregate stability and microbial communities among land use types

3.1.1. Relationships between land use and soil, litter and root properties

Soil physicochemical properties from samples taken at depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m, differed between land uses (Table 1). The arable field site had a higher pH and nutrient contents (P, K) but lower Mg, SOC, N and C than the other sites. The pasture site had the highest SOC, N and C contents. All soils had a loamy texture, but ancient and secondary woodlands and arable field sites possessed a clay loam texture and both the grassland and pasture had a sandy loam texture. Roots had similar traits between ancient and secondary woodlands (Table S2), with lower SRL (19.68 ± 4.06 and 13.16 ± 1.16 m g^{-1} respectively), lower MRD (0.44 ± 0.01 and 0.56 ± 0.02 mm respectively), lower RLD (5.69 ± 1.26 and 5.12 ± 0.90 km m^{-3}), higher N content (1.18 ± 0.06 and 1.48 ± 0.01 mg g^{-1} respectively) and lower C:N (35.04 ± 2.57 and 27.72 ± 0.69 respectively) than the other land use types. Litter traits had similar trends to that observed in roots: litter from ancient and secondary woodlands had higher hemicellulose (17.02 ± 1.01 and 19.88 ± 0.20 mg g^{-1} respectively) and N content (1.37 ± 0.07 and 1.43 ± 0.02 mg g^{-1} respectively) and lower C:N (26.62 ± 1.35 and 26.21 ± 1.08 respectively), compared to the other land use types. Soil aggregate stability was significantly different among land uses (Fig. 3), with pasture having the greatest MWD and arable land the lowest, with the other three land uses possessing an intermediate behaviour. A clear effect of soil depth was also identified (Fig. 3), highlighting the role of processes at the surface that promote aggregate stability and justifying the subsequent focus on soil sampled at a depth of 0-0.1 m.

3.1.2. Effects of land use on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities

Land use type affected the relative abundance of Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Fig. 4a) with the arable land having a significantly higher relative abundance of Actinobacteria and lower relative abundance of Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia compared to other land uses. In addition, a significant interaction was found between land use and sample fraction for Acidobacteria, with a decreased relative abundance in woodland rhizosphere soils but not in woodland bulk soil

21

505 samples. Sample fraction also significantly affected the relative abundance of Bacteriodetes and

506 Verrucomicrobia (Fig. 4a), which were more abundant in bulk soils for Bacteroidetes and the opposite

507 for Verrucomicrobia, with only a significant effect of land use type in the rhizosphere for

508 Verrucomicrobia. Correlations between relative abundance of bacterial phyla and soil, root and litter

509 variables showed that oligotrophic Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia were significantly correlated to

510 root and litter physicochemical variables (i.e. SRL, VFR, MRD, RDMC, root and litter N and C:N,

511 litter lignin) in the rhizosphere but not in the bulk soil (Table 2). Conversely, copiotrophic phyla

512 (Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria) showed similar correlations in bulk soil but not in

513 the rhizosphere. The relative abundance of these copiotrophic phyla were correlated with some soil

514 physicochemical traits (pH, P, sand content) and for the specific case of Actinobacteria, this phylum

515 showed significant correlations with root and litter traits (SRL, RMD, root N, C:N and hemicellulose

516 and litter fibres, Table 2). For fungi, land use influenced the relative abundance of Ascomycota and

517 Zygomycota, with a significant effect of sample fraction for Ascomycota (Fig. 4b). Arable land had

518 the highest relative abundances of Ascomycota, whilst the secondary woodland possessed the lowest

519 relative abundance of Ascomycota and the highest abundance of Zygomycota. Regarding trophic

520 mode, land use type affected the relative abundance of saprotrophs and symbiotrophs with the arable

521 field having the lowest abundances. The land use type also influenced the relative abundance of fungi

522 belonging to an unspecific category that includes pathotrophs, saprotrophs and symbiotrophs, with the

523 highest relative abundance in secondary woodland (Fig. 4c).

524 NMDS ordinations showed that bacterial and fungal communities were markedly distinct between

525 land use types (Figure 5a). These findings were supported by the PERMANOVA performed for the

526 bacterial and fungal species matrices (Table 3), which showed that land use type was by far the most

527 important factor structuring bacterial and fungal communities (R^2=0.44 p=0.01 and R^2=0.53 p=0.01,

528 respectively) irrespective of whether communities were taken from the rhizosphere or bulk soils

529 (Table 3). Significant trends between changes in community structure and soil aggregate stability

530 were also observed, with arable communities having the lowest MWD (Figure 5a).

3.1.3. Relationships between environmental variables and bacterial and fungal communities

among land use types

The results of the relationships between soil physicochemical properties, root and litter traits (Tables 1 and S2, Figure 3) and microbial community composition are shown in the db-RDA (Figure 5b) and Spearman correlations with alpha and beta diversities (Table S4). The final db-RDA analysis (Figure 5b) shows only the environmental parameters that were selected through stepwise model selection: MWD, soil pH and P, and MRD for bacterial communities and MWD, RLD, FR and soil pH and P for fungal communities. There was a clear separation between land uses for bacterial communities (Figure 5b), with three main groups: i) woodlands (ancient and secondary), ii) grasslands (actively and not actively grazed) and iii) arable land. Soil from woodlands had significantly greater MWD and higher MRD, whereas arable land had a significantly greater soil pH and P (Figure 5b). For fungal communities, a similar configuration was found among the three land use types, but soil from grasslands had a significantly higher RLD, and woodlands had a greater FR. ANCOVA tests performed on the final constrained ordination confirmed that the first two axes, the environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant.

Bacterial and fungal alpha diversities (Table S3) were not significantly correlated with any of the variables measured (Table S4). Bacterial beta diversity was significantly and positively correlated with soil pH, clay and magnesium contents, soil C:N, FR, MRD, root and litter N and litter lignin and negatively correlated to MWD, sand content, SRL, VFR and root and litter C:N and hemicellulose. Similarly, fungal beta diversity was significantly and positively correlated ($p < 0.001$ and $R^2 \geq 0.65$) with soil pH, clay and magnesium contents, soil C:N, FR, MRD, root N, and litter lignin, and negatively with sand content, SRL, VFR, RLD, root C:N and root and litter hemicellulose (Table S4).

3.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment: Effect of microbial inoculation on soil aggregate stability and interactions with soil origin and root systems.

3.2.1. Effects of soil origin, inoculation and root systems on soil properties and plant traits

23

556   The PCA performed with a selection of variables used for the description of soil, plant and root traits

557   explained 56.7% of the variance (Fig. 6). The first PCA axis (horizontal), accounted for 43.7% of the

558   variation and the second PCA axis accounted for 13% of the variation. This PCA showed a clear

559   differentiation between control, and either arable land or woodland. The main contributors to these

560   differences were soil pH, SOC and MWD. Control soil had significantly higher pH, soil and root C:N

561   ratios and lower SOC, MWD, $GRSP_T$ and ergosterol content compared to soils from the arable land

562   and woodland.

563   All soil properties assessed (e.g. pH, SOC, C, N) were influenced significantly by the origin of the

564   soil (i.e. control, arable and woodland, Table S5, $p<0.05$). Additionally, a significant effect of

565   inoculation was found for soil water content ($p<0.001$), which may be because this was the only

566   variable that was measured in the top 0.1 m of the soil profile, therefore including the soil used for

567   inoculation. Aboveground plant biomass was significantly affected by soil origin and root system type

568   ($p<0.001$) but not by inoculation. Concerning root traits, soil origin affected significantly root biomass

569   ($p=0.014$), SRL, VFR, FR, MRD, N and C:N ($p<0.001$) and root system type affected root biomass

570   ($p<0.001$), SRL ($p<0.020$), RMD and RLD ($p<0.001$) but no effect of inoculation was found (Table

571   S5, Fig. 7).

572   **3.2.2. Effects of soil origin, inoculation and root systems on the structure of bacterial and fungal**

573   **communities.**

574   NMDS ordinations showed that bacterial and fungal communities were markedly distinct between

575   inoculation treatments and soil origin but there were weaker effects of root system types (Figure 8a).

576   These findings were supported by the PERMANOVA performed for the bacterial and fungal species

577   matrices (Table 4), which showed that the main factors structuring bacterial communities were

578   inoculation ($R^2=0.18$, $p<0.001$) and origin ($R^2=0.05$, $p<0.001$) in bulk soil, and inoculation ($R^2=0.26$,

579     $p<0.001$), origin ($R^2=0.09$, $p<0.001$) and root system ($R^2=0.06$, $p<0.001$) in the rhizosphere. The

580   results observed for fungal communities were different, with inoculation ($R^2=0.16$, $p<0.001$), origin

581   ($R^2=0.03$, $p<0.001$) and root system ($R^2=0.02$, $p<0.001$) significantly affecting fungal communities in

582   the bulk soil. However, for fungal communities in the rhizosphere, only inoculation treatments

583   (R^2=0.24, p<0.001) and soil origin (R^2=0.08, p<0.001) had significant effects. Overall, considering

584   the R^2 values, the influence of root system type was stronger on the structure of bacterial communities

585   than for fungal communities. Furthermore, the interaction between origin and inoculation was

586   significant in all cases (p<0.001), indicating that the effect of inoculation was affected by the soil

587   origin. Additionally, for fungal communities in the bulk soil, the effect of root system type was

588   affected by both inoculation (inoculation*root treatment R^2=0.03, p<0.001) and soil origin

589   (origin*root treatment R^2=0.03, p=0.042). The overall plant root system effects were minor compared

590   to soil properties, nevertheless significant effects of root systems in certain soils were observed (Table

591   5). The effect of root system type was context-specific: in the non-inoculated control soil both

592   bacterial and fungal communities were significantly affected (p=0.013 and 0.012 respectively), while

593   for the field-sampled soils root system significantly influenced fungal communities only in arable soil

594   non-inoculated (p=0.001) and inoculated with woodland soil (p=0.015). In contrast, inoculation

595   affected both bacterial and fungal communities (p<0.05) for all combinations of treatments.

596   The dbRDA constrained ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices showed that the main

597   factors affecting the structure of microbial communities were MWD, RMD and soil C:N for bacterial

598   communities and MWD, soil C, RMD, $GRSP_T$ and ergosterol for fungal communities (Fig. 8b).

599   ANCOVA tests performed on the final constrained ordination confirmed that the first two axes, the

600   environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant. Bacterial and fungal

601   alpha diversities did not show significant correlations with any of the variables measured (Table S6).

602   Bacterial beta diversity was positively correlated (p < 0.001 and $R^2 \geq 0.3$) with some soil properties

603   (SOC, N, C, $GRSP_{EE}$ and MWD) and with root N, and negatively correlated with soil pH, soil C:N,

604    $CaCO_3$ and root C:N. Fungal beta diversity was significantly and negatively correlated (p < 0.001 and

605    $R^2 \geq 0.3$) with soil pH and $CaCO_3$, but positively correlated with MWD and ergosterol (Table S6). It

606   is interesting to note that GRSP was strongly related to bacterial beta diversity, even more than with

607   fungal beta diversity, lending support to the increasing evidence that GSRP is not solely of AFM

608   fungal origin (Cissé et al., 2020).

3.2.3. Effects of soil origin, inoculation and root treatments on soil aggregate stability.

Soil aggregate stability (MWD) was significantly affected by soil origin ($p<0.001$) and inoculation ($p=0.04$) but not by root system type (Table 6, Figure 7a). The inoculation increased aggregate stability in the poorly structured control soil, but not in arable and woodland soils (Figure 7a). The effect of inoculation was mainly on macroaggregates and their dispersion (fractions 1-2 mm, 0.5-1 mm and 0.1-0.2 mm; Table S5). The ANCOVA model including RLD showed that the effect of root system type was again not significant, but a significant interaction did occur between inoculation and RLD, revealing that the number of roots modified the effect of inoculation ($p<0.001$; Table 6). The correlations between aggregate stability and the reputed fungal markers $GRSP_T$, $GRSP_{EE}$ and ergosterol were only significant when all three soils were analysed together (Fig. S4), in agreement with the results of ANOVA tests that showed that only the effect of soil origin was significant (Table S5). The analysis of the textural properties of soil aggregates used for the aggregate stability tests showed that removing the top 15 mm after the experiment for all soil analyses was efficient and there was no possible influence of soil addition on the results of stability tests (Fig. S5a and S5b), since the texture of aggregates used for the tests was related to origin ($p=0.001$) but not to inoculation ($p=0.015$, Fig. S5c).

4. Discussion

Hypothesis 1: There are strong relationships between soil aggregate stability and microbial

community structure that are driven by land use.

In agreement with our first hypothesis, the impact of land use significantly affected soil aggregate
stability and the structure of microbial communities. A large body of previous research has found that
the conversion of natural forest to cropland results in a decline of soil quality, SOC and aggregate
stability and the opposite is true for soils restored after a disturbance (Barto et al., 2010; Duchicela et
al., 2013; Delelegn et al., 2017). Positive relationships between SOC and aggregate stability have
been found in different soil types, such as black soils (Zhang et al., 2012), Typic Ustochrepts (Saha et
al., 2011), and loamy soils (Vermic Haplubrepts) where SOC is thought to increase aggregate stability
by lowering the wettability and increasing the cohesion of aggregates (Chenu et al., 2000). Thus,
differences in SOC might explain the lowest aggregate stability in the arable field and the highest
stability in pasture and grassland. Apart from this influence of SOC on MWD, we found other factors
influencing MWD in our land use gradient that impact aggregate stability, as described previously: i)
agricultural practices such as the mechanical action of tillage (Balesdent et al., 2000), management
inputs (e.g. fertilizer, herbicide (Steenwerth et al., 2002)) and grazing (Barto et al., 2010), ii) the
presence of roots (measured through RLD; Hudek et al. (2017)), iii) the higher C:N in roots and litter
implying a higher content in recalcitrant matter that influences fungal activity and MWD as a
consequence (Bossuyt et al., 2001).

We demonstrated a strong impact of land use gradient on the structure of bacterial and fungal
communities. A regional study in Great Basin Province (California, USA) identified that agricultural
management can have larger effects on soil microbial communities than elevation and precipitation
gradients (Drenovsky et al., 2010). However, fungal and bacterial communities differ in their
responses to changes in agricultural practices. Previous research has shown that tillage reduces
microbial biomass and abundance of AMF (Helgason et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013) and that the
structure of the microbial communities responds strongly to land use with higher proportions of fungi

652 observed in natural ecosystems compared with agro-systems (Fanin and Bertrand, 2016). In a study

653 across a range of European field sites, land use intensification effects on microbial communities were

654 stronger when land use change affected soil conditions such as pH, carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous

655 contents (Thomson et al., 2015). Here, although we found significant relationships between the

656 changes in microbial community structure, aggregate stability and soil properties along the land use

657 gradient, causality cannot be confirmed.

658 *Hypothesis 2: The arable field site with lower aggregate stability will have a lower relative*

659 *abundance of copiotrophic communities and reduced relative abundances of saprotrophic and*

660 *ectomycorrhizal fungi, but the rhizosphere niche will favour copiotrophic bacterial communities.*

661 Given that microaggregates are considered to hold oligotrophic communities and macroaggregates

662 favour copiotrophic communities due to their differences in contents of labile/recalcitrant carbon

663 (Trivedi et al., 2017), we expected to find an increase in the relative abundance of copiotrophic

664 communities in soils with higher soil aggregate stability (i.e. higher MWD after disruption). In line

665 with this hypothesis, the arable field (with the lowest soil aggregate stability), had a lower relative

666 abundance of copiotrophic Proteobacteria phyla. However, we did not observe similar trends for

667 copiotrophic Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes, and oligotrophic Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi and

668 Verrucomicrobia. In addition, correlations between relative abundance of bacterial phyla and MWD

669 were not significant. However, other soil physicochemical factors and notably root and litter

670 physicochemical traits, affected the relative abundance of these phyla with a differential effect on bulk

671 and rhizosphere niches. Lauber et al. (2008) also showed that rather than land use itself, changes in

672 soil edaphic properties explained changes in life strategies of bacterial communities. Since

673 Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia were correlated to root and litter physicochemical variables in the

674 rhizosphere but not in the bulk soil, we conclude that oligotrophic bacteria are more dependent on

675 rhizosphere conditions where labile carbon originates mostly from rhizodeposits, exudates and

676 mucigel (Dennis et al., 2010). On the contrary, copiotrophic phyla were more dependent on bulk soil

677 conditions where there are more exopolysaccharides released into the soil by the microorganisms

678 themselves. The relative abundance of these copiotrophic phyla was correlated with some soil

679   physicochemical traits (pH, P, sand content) and for the specific case of Actinobacteria, this phylum

680   showed correlations with root and litter traits (SRL, RMD, root N, C:N and hemicellulose and litter

681   fibres, Table 2). This particular behaviour of Actinobacteria could be because Actinobacteria exhibits

682   both copiotrophic and oligotrophic life strategies consistent with their capacity to degrade both labile

683   and complex carbon substrates (Ho et al., 2017). Bergmann et al. (2011) found that Verrucomicrobia

684   are oligotrophic with a highly variable relative abundance across soils, and that members of this

685   phylum were most abundant in soils from grasslands and prairies. However, these authors

686   acknowledged that the ecology of Verrucomicrobia remains poorly understood, and different factors

687   may affect the distribution of this phylum.

688   Studies of bacterial communities inhabiting the rhizosphere in grasslands (Mao et al., 2014; Shi et al.,

689   2015) and croplands (Peiffer et al., 2013; Donn et al., 2015) found that rhizosphere samples are

690   enriched in Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidetes. However, here we found an enrichment

691   of Verrucomicrobia (oligotrophic) and diminution of Bacteroidetes (copiotrophic) and Acidobacteria

692   (oligotrophic) in rhizosphere communities. Thus, the hypothesis that the rhizospheric niche favours

693   copiotrophic bacterial taxa (Peiffer et al., 2013; Lladó and Baldrian, 2017) was only confirmed with

694   the reduced relative abundance of the oligotrophic Acidobacteria, highlighting the heterogeneous

695   nature of the rhizosphere and the importance of studying the relationships between soil, root and

696   physicochemical variables and the relative abundance of trophic modes in both rhizosphere and bulk

697   soils that are detailed above.

698   Fungal symbiotrophs and saprotrophs are among the most sensitive to management practices

699   (Hartmann et al., 2012; Orgiazzi et al., 2012; Mueller et al., 2016). For example, Orgiazzi et al. (2012)

700   found that ectomycorrhizal phylotypes were numerous in natural sites with trees but were missing in

701   anthropogenic and grass-covered sites, whereas Lauber et al. (2008) found that coprophilous fungi

702   were common in grazed sites. Management disturbances have been found to increase the relative

703   abundance of Ascomycota and decrease Basidiomycota (Thomson et al., 2015). Similarly, we

704   observed the expected decrease in fungal saprotrophs and symbiotrophs at the arable site and a higher

705 relative abundance of Ascomycota, although a large proportion of unidentified trophic modes may be

706 influencing our results.

707 *Hypothesis 3: Microbial inoculation of soils and dense root systems increase soil aggregate stability*

708 *in unstructured soils.*

709 Inoculation of live soil communities had large impacts on the sterilised soil communities, irrespective

710 of soil origin (sterilised control, arable or woodland). However, the effect of the inoculation on the

711 structure of bacterial communities was dependent on the origin of the soils. This result agrees with

712 previous studies that found that texture and pore size distribution strongly determined the fate of

713 introduced microorganisms (Rutherford and Juma, 1992; Huysman and Verstraete, 1993; van Veen et

714 al., 1997). Additionally, the effect of root system type was only evident on bacterial communities in

715 the rhizosphere, but not on communities inhabiting bulk soil, which is likely due to differences in root

716 length and the increased microbial numbers in the rhizosphere carbon rich habitat (Jones et al., 2009).

717 In a previous study, we also found that the interactions between microbial community structure and

718 root traits were more intense in communities isolated from rhizosphere compared to bulk soil, and that

719 bacterial community composition was better explained by root traits than in fungal communities

720 (Merino-Martín et al., 2020). We showed that root system type only affected the structure of bacterial

721 communities in control soils that were not inoculated, stressing that the role of roots was secondary

722 compared to inoculation and initial soil structure. Correspondingly, root system type affected fungal

723 community structure in non-inoculated control soil, but it also affected significantly the non-

724 inoculated arable soil and arable soil with woodland inoculum, which may be because it had the

725 lowest relative abundance of fungal communities and the least developed mycorrhizal networks.

726 These limited effects of root system type are in agreement with other studies that proposed a hierarchy

727 in the contribution of soils and root systems (traits and exudates), with soil physicochemical

728 properties contributing most strongly to the microbiome and root traits and exudates gradually altering

729 this soil microbiome (Bever et al., 2012; Philippot et al., 2013; van der Putten et al., 2013).

730 As hypothesized, the microbial inoculation with soils increased aggregate stability in the poorly

731 structured control soil, but not in arable (with low aggregate stability) and woodland soils (with high

732 aggregate stability). This finding is in line with Barto et al. (2010), who concluded that, in highly

733 aggregated soils, abiotic factors can be more important for determining soil aggregation than biotic

734 factors. However, besides the lack of initial structure, the control soil also showed very distinct

735 physicochemical properties that can influence the effect of microbial inoculation on aggregate

736 stability, such as lower contents of SOC and soil N, and higher pH and $CaCO_3$ content (Al-Ani and

737 Dudas, 1988; Chenu et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2017). Even though the focus of our research was on

738 bacterial and fungal communities, we acknowledge that our soil inoculation method may have

739 included soil fauna, such as nematodes, protozoa, or collembolans that were not explored in our study

740 (van de Voorde et al., 2012).

741 *Hypothesis 4: A greater effect of inoculation on aggregate stability is expected in soils inoculated*

742 *with woodland soil.*

743 Surprisingly, we did not observe greater aggregate stability in soil inoculated with woodland

744 compared to arable soil. These two soils, woodland and arable, were intrinsically similar but with

745 significantly different aggregate stability. In a similar experiment, Duchicela et al. (2012) found

746 greater stable aggregates in pots inoculated with soil communities from remnant grasslands, compared

747 to soils inoculated with communities from disturbed post-agricultural sites. These authors suggested

748 that the use of the same suite of native prairie plant species across both remnant and disturbed soils in

749 their experiment could explain this effect, reflecting local coadaptation (Duchicela et al., 2012).

750 Furthermore, the effects of inoculation may also depend on the starting point, the incubation time and

751 conditions after inoculation and not only on the inoculum used.

752 Several laboratory inoculation experiments also revealed that mycorrhizal fungi play an important

753 role in stabilizing aggregates. Harris et al. (1964) found that even if bacteria were more important than

754 fungi in the primary stabilization of microaggregates, fungi were involved in the stabilization of

755 macroaggregates. Bearden and Petersen (2000) found that AM fungi contributed to the stabilization of

756 aggregates in a vertisol, and that the effect was significant after only one growing season. Bossuyt et

757 al. (2001) found that macroaggregate formation was positively influenced by fungal activity but was

758 not significantly influenced by residue quality or bacterial activity. Most of these inoculation studies

759 found that the mechanism by which mycorrhizal fungi increase the stability of aggregates is not only

760 related to hyphae formation, but is also through the stimulation of root growth (Bearden and Petersen,

761 2000; Graf and Frei, 2013; Bast et al., 2016). In agreement with these authors, we found that in the

762 model including root length density (RLD), there was an effect of the interaction between the

763 inoculation treatment and RLD on aggregate stability, which was remarkable, given the absence of the

764 effect of root type.

765 We did not observe any effect of inoculation and root system type on the content of glomalin related

766 soil proteins (GRSP) or ergosterol. A significant correlation between these compounds and aggregate

767 stability was found, but it was driven by the different origins of the soils. The legacy effect of soil

768 origin on these compounds emphasizes their recalcitrant nature that has been widely discussed in

769 previous research (Lorenz et al., 2007; Gispert et al., 2013a; Cissé et al., 2020). In addition, GRSP

770 does not appear to be a good predictor of fungal activity, nor of increased physical stability.

771 **6. Conclusions**

772 Our results, from field and experimental approaches, depict the complex relationships between soil

773 properties, land use and plant traits shaping microbial communities and affecting soil structure. Our

774 field study demonstrated the existence of strong relationships between land use, microbial

775 communities and soil aggregate stability. Even though we did not find consistent relationships

776 between land use gradient, aggregate stability and the relative abundance of specific bacterial trophic

777 modes, the importance of root traits shaping the relative abundance of bacterial phyla was

778 demonstrated. Rhizosphere conditions shaped bacterial communities with oligotrophic bacteria

779 conditioned by the rhizospheric environment, where labile C is found, whilst copiotrophic phyla were

780 more dependent on bulk soil conditions. Fungal communities responded to the land use gradient with

781 a lower relative abundance of saprophytic and symbiotic in disturbed sites. The inoculation

782 experiment showed that microbial inoculation affects aggregate stability in the control soil (that had

783 very low aggregate stability initially), but not in soils sterilized from the arable field and the

784 secondary woodland, possibly because in aggregated soils, abiotic factors can be more important for

785   determining soil aggregation than biotic factors. We did not observe differential effects of the

786   different inoculums (arable *versus* secondary woodland), most likely due to the short period of the

787   inoculation experiment. Again, the importance of roots for bacterial communities in the rhizosphere

788   niche was highlighted in the inoculation experiment, with root system type affecting only the structure

789   of bacterial communities but not fungal communities, and only in the rhizosphere but not in bulk

790   soils. Additionally, root system type only affected the structure of bacterial communities in control

791   soils that were not inoculated, stressing that the role of roots was secondary compared to inoculation

792   and initial soil structure. Finally, even though we did not find a significant effect of root system type

793   on aggregate stability, we have shown that the effect of the microbial inoculation was modulated by

794   root length density, highlighting the role of roots in shaping microbial communities and their effects

795   on soil structure.

Tables:

805   **Table 1.** Soil physicochemical properties of sites selected for the field study (mean of the six samples

806   collected at depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m). Sand, silt, clay, soil organic carbon (SOC), total

807   nitrogen (N) and total carbon (C) are expressed in % w/w. Available P, K and Mg are expressed in

808   mg/l. Carbon:nitrogen (C:N) ratio is expressed in :1. F and p values are shown. Post hoc comparisons

	Ancient Woodland	Secondary Woodland	Grassland	Pasture	Arable	F value	Pr(>F)
pH	5.87 ± 0.16 a	6.38 ± 0.15 ab	6.30 ± 0.15 a	6.10 ± 0.06 a	7.00 ± 0.22 b	7.376	<0.001
P	12.73 ± 2.44 a	10.50 ± 2.53 a	14.10 ± 2.03 a	20.07 ± 2.38 a	80.47 ± 2.47 b	157.2	<0.001
K	321.17 ± 28.01 a	253.50 ± 41.88 a	254.67 ± 29.70 a	205.67 ± 15.24 a	595.00 ± 49.26 b	19.99	<0.001
Mg	256.33 ± 10.19 b	247.50 ± 5.91 ab	229.50 ± 7.10 ab	222.50 ± 5.46 ab	209.00 ± 16.65 a	3.661	0.018
Sand	35.00 ± 2.85 ac	25.67 ± 2.63 a	40.17 ± 5.31 bc	52.67 ± 2.76 b	29.17 ± 1.47 ac	10.57	<0.001
Silt	41.50 ± 1.15 a	44.00 ± 0.52 a	38.33 ± 2.62 ab	32.83 ± 1.58 b	40.50 ± 1.02 a	7.416	<0.001
Clay	23.50 ± 1.88 ab	30.33 ± 2.28 a	21.50 ± 2.92 ab	14.50 ± 1.23 b	30.33 ± 2.23 a	9.331	<0.001
Texture	Clay Loam	Clay Loam	Sandy Loam	Sandy Loam	Clay Loam	-	-
SOC	11.28 ± 1.34 bc	13.60 ± 1.38 abc	14.78 ± 1.37 ab	17.43 ± 1.46 a	8.52 ± 0.29 c	7.435	<0.001
Total Nitrogen	0.46 ± 0.06 ab	0.59 ± 0.05 ab	0.66 ± 0.05 ac	0.87 ± 0.06 c	0.42 ± 0.01 b	12.76	<0.001
Total Carbon	5.25 ± 0.73 b	6.52 ± 0.74 ab	6.97 ± 0.68 ab	8.56 ± 0.75 a	4.36 ± 0.12 b	6.202	<0.001
C:N	11.18 ± 0.25 a	11.02 ± 0.40 a	10.42 ± 0.30 a	9.85 ± 0.18 a	10.52 ± 0.46 a	2.51	0.067

809   were performed with Tukey HSD.

810

Table 2. Spearman's correlation coefficients between relative abundance of the different phyla of Bacteria and soil properties, root and litter traits for bulk soil and rhizosphere sample fractions in the field study (****P < 0.0001, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05). Correlations ≥ 0.65 are highlighted in bold and correlations present in only one sample fraction are highlighted in red.

| | | Bulk soil | | | | | | Rhizosphere | | | | | |
| | | Oligotrophic | | | Copiotrophic | | | Oligotrophic | | | Copiotrophic | | |
		Acidobacteria	Chloroflexi	Verrucomicrobia	Actinobacteria	Bacteroidetes	Proteobacteria	Acidobacteria	Chloroflexi	Verrucomicrobia	Actinobacteria	Bacteroidetes	Proteobacteria
Soil	MWD	0.01	-0.26	-0.06	-0.13	0.38	0.38	0.41	0.13	-0.18	-0.53	0.38	0.2
	pH	-0.3	0.72**	-0.08	0.44	-0.86**	-0.84****	0.2	0.4	-0.08	0.32	0.07	-0.87****
	P	-0.08	0.39	-0.48	0.67**	-0.29	-0.44	0.45	0.51	-0.64*	0.2	-0.12	-0.07
	Potassium	-0.2	0.49	-0.11	0.390	-0.4	-0.460	-0.09	0.2	-0.06	0.370	-0.35	-0.130
	Magnesium	-0.07	-0.38	0.45	-0.71**	0.24	0.38	-0.69**	-0.65*	0.67**	-0.5	0.23	0.38
	Sand	0.24	-0.55*	-0.17	-0.12	0.71**	0.70**	0.01	-0.1	-0.11	-0.13	-0.02	0.60*
	Silt	-0.39	0.25	0.46	-0.18	-0.61*	-0.43	-0.37	-0.17	0.49	0.04	0.18	-0.46
	Clay	-0.09	0.48	0.1	0.04	-0.61*	-0.59*	-0.02	0.04	0.09	0.08	-0.03	-0.52
	SOC	0.25	-0.29	-0.07	-0.25	0.47	0.5	0.14	-0.09	-0.02	-0.36	0.15	0.28
	N	0.19	-0.17	-0.08	-0.21	0.39	0.4	0.18	-0.03	-0.02	-0.38	0.16	0.21
	C	0.3	-0.24	-0.09	-0.25	0.44	0.46	0.14	-0.09	-0.01	-0.36	0.09	0.27
	C:N	0.60*	-0.35	0.29	-0.70**	0.24	0.4	-0.52	-0.73**	0.59*	-0.04	-0.4	0.16
Roots	SRL	-0.24	0.55*	-0.52*	0.82***	-0.18	-0.44	0.43	0.80**	-0.69**	0.47	-0.02	-0.21
	VFR	-0.16	0.29	-0.55*	0.54*	0.17	-0.04	0.41	0.75**	-0.68**	0.19	0.15	0.06
	FR	0.02	-0.24	0.52*	-0.38	-0.25	-0.08	-0.32	-0.64*	0.64*	-0.15	-0.1	-0.16
	MRD	0.2	-0.47	0.56*	-0.73**	0.05	0.28	-0.44	-0.80**	0.72**	-0.42	-0.11	0.14
	RDMC	-0.14	-0.60*	0.64*	-0.39	0.16	0.18	-0.42	-0.90**	0.69**	-0.34	-0.15	0.17
	RMD	0	-0.35	-0.05	-0.18	0.35	0.52*	0.31	0.14	-0.16	-0.31	0.45	0.22
	RLD	-0.07	-0.1	-0.3	-0.03	0.42	0.54*	0.16	0.36	-0.27	-0.2	0.42	0.43
	N	0.21	0.08	0.56	-0.77**	-0.38	-0.13	-0.44	-0.59*	0.71**	0.01	-0.19	-0.32
	C	0.31	-0.72**	0.04	-0.13	0.46	0.34	0.06	-0.42	-0.05	0.01	-0.09	0.09
	C:N	-0.21	-0.08	-0.56	0.77**	0.38	0.13	0.44	0.59*	-0.71**	-0.01	0.19	0.32
	lignin	-0.11	0.17	0.35	-0.35	-0.48	-0.32	-0.22	-0.15	0.45	0.22	0.22	-0.65*
	celulose	0.06	-0.09	0.24	-0.02	-0.09	-0.26	0.26	-0.24	0.13	-0.3	-0.28	-0.19
	hemicelulose	-0.11	0.04	-0.63*	0.76**	0.3	0.13	0.56	0.63*	-0.78**	-0.17	0.15	0.32
Litter	N	0.11	-0.06	0.5	-0.54*	-0.19	0	-0.57*	-0.53	0.68**	0.13	-0.13	-0.21
	C	-0.01	-0.03	-0.36	0.44	0.01	-0.12	0.60*	0.31	-0.45	-0.21	0.14	-0.19
	C:N	-0.09	0.05	-0.54*	0.56*	0.17	-0.01	0.61*	0.51	-0.68**	-0.16	0.15	0.09
	lignin	0.14	-0.29	0.61*	-0.74**	-0.1	0.24	-0.57	-0.79**	0.76**	0.27	-0.39	0.15
	celulose	0.2	0	0.36	-0.65*	0.1	-0.02	-0.2	-0.28	0.35	-0.47	0.09	0.02
	hemicelulose	-0.17	0.15	-0.47	0.75**	-0.01	-0.33	0.66*	0.68*	-0.71*	-0.17	0.35	-0.32

Table 3. Effects of land use and sample fraction and their interactions on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities assessed with PERMANOVA. The degrees of freedom (Df), sum of squares (sum of sqs), mean of squares (mean of sqs), the F. statistic, the proportion of the variance explained by each model (R²) and probability (P) are shown.

| Factors | Bacteria | | | | | | Fungi | | | | | |
	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R²	Pr(>F)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R²	Pr(>F)
Land use	4	1.5469	0.38673	4.4095	0.438	0.001	4	4.8003	1.20008	6.3216	0.533	0.001
Samplefraction	1	0.1366	0.1366	1.5575	0.039	0.093	1	0.1365	0.13649	0.719	0.015	0.745
Land use*Samplefraction	4	0.1793	0.04483	0.5112	0.051	1	4	0.4629	0.11572	0.6096	0.051	0.993
Residuals	19	1.6663	0.0877		0.472		19	3.6069	0.18984		0.400	
Total	28	3.5292			1		28	9.0067			1	

Table 4. Effects of inoculation, origin and root treatments and their interactions on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities assessed with PERMANOVA. The degrees of freedom (Df), sum of squares (sum of sqs), mean of squares (mean of sqs), the F. statistic, the proportion of the variance explained by each model (R^2) and probability (P) are shown.

Bulk soil

Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R^2	Pr(>F)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R^2	Pr(>F)
			Bacteria						Fungi			
Inoculation	2	9.214	4.6072	18.5425	0.182	**0.001**	2	10.144	5.0721	15.0325	0.164	**0.001**
Origin	2	2.603	1.3017	5.239	0.051	**0.001**	2	1.703	0.8513	2.523	0.028	**0.001**
Rootsystem	2	0.709	0.3547	1.4277	0.014	0.074	2	1.109	0.5545	1.6434	0.018	**0.004**
Inoculation*Origin	4	2.185	0.5463	2.1986	0.043	**0.001**	4	2.622	0.6554	1.9424	0.042	**0.001**
Inoculation*Rootsystem	4	1.042	0.2604	1.0481	0.02	0.34	4	1.902	0.4756	1.4095	0.03078	**0.01**
Origin*Rootsystem	4	0.919	0.2297	0.9247	0.018	0.623	4	1.697	0.4243	1.2575	0.027	**0.042**
Inoculation*Origin*Rootsystem	8	1.745	0.2181	0.8777	0.034	0.818	t 8	3.142	0.3927	1.164	0.051	0.059
Residuals	130	32.301	0.2485		0.64		117	39.477	0.3374		0.63883	
Total	156	50.718			1.000		143	61.795			1.000	

Rhizosphere

Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R^2	Pr(>F)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R^2	Pr(>F)
			Bacteria						Fungi			
Inoculation	2	3.5166	1.7583	12.2209	0.264	**0.001**	2	4.7153	2.35765	8.9631	0.241	**0.001**
Origin	2	1.1993	0.59963	4.1677	0.090	**0.001**	2	1.5272	0.7636	2.903	0.078	**0.001**
Rootsystem	1	0.8523	0.85235	5.9242	0.064	**0.001**	1	0.3279	0.32786	1.2464	0.017	0.182
Inoculation*Origin	4	1.4709	0.36771	2.5558	0.110	**0.001**	4	2.0624	0.51559	1.9601	0.105	**0.001**
Inoculation*Rootsystem	2	0.394	0.197	1.3692	0.03	0.086	2	0.6301	0.31504	1.1977	0.03218	0.167
Origin*Rootsystem	2	0.3101	0.15505	1.0777	0.023	0.329	2	0.5685	0.28424	1.0806	0.029	0.312
Inoculation*Origin*Rootsystem	4	0.7098	0.17746	1.2334	0.053	0.094	4	1.066	0.2665	1.0132	0.054	0.429
Residuals	34	4.8918	0.14388		0.37		33	8.6803	0.26304		0.44338	
Total	51	13.3448			1.000		50	19.5776			1.000	

824

825

826   **Table 5.** Effects of root system type and inoculation in each of the combinations of the experimental

827   design. The ANOSIM statistic R and the significance values are shown. The proportion of the

828   variance explained by each model (R^2) and probability (P) are shown.

829

| Origin | Inoculation | Effect of root system | | | |
| | | Bacteria | | Fungi | |
		R^2	Pr(>F)	R^2	Pr(>F)
Arable	Arable	-0.029	0.551	-0.008	0.520
Arable	NotInoculated	0.016	0.381	0.341	**0.001**
Arable	Woodland	0.005	0.398	0.251	**0.015**
Control	Arable	-0.080	0.818	-0.075	0.797
Control	NotInoculated	0.172	**0.013**	0.687	**0.012**
Control	Woodland	-0.021	0.461	-0.029	0.564
Woodland	Arable	-0.047	0.624	0.019	0.355
Woodland	NotInoculated	0.108	0.121	0.056	0.213
Woodland	Woodland	0.013	0.367	-0.004	0.483

| Origin | Root system | Effect of inoculation | | | |
| | | Bacteria | | Fungi | |
		R^2	Pr(>F)	R^2	Pr(>F)
Arable	Fibrous	0.460	**0.001**	0.709	**0.001**
Arable	Noroot	0.362	**0.003**	0.577	**0.001**
Arable	Tap	0.398	**0.004**	0.753	**0.001**
Control	Fibrous	0.616	**0.001**	0.825	**0.001**
Control	Noroot	0.501	**0.002**	0.798	**0.001**
Control	Tap	0.505	**0.002**	0.497	**0.001**
Woodland	Fibrous	0.513	**0.001**	0.789	**0.001**
Woodland	Noroot	0.590	**0.001**	0.612	**0.001**
Woodland	Tap	0.563	**0.001**	0.820	**0.001**

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839 **Table 6**. Effects of inoculation, soil origin and root system type and their interactions on soil

840 aggregate stability assessed with ANOVA. An additional ANCOVA model was tested including root

841 length density (RLD), to separate the effect of species and physical properties (quantity of roots)

842 within the effect of root system treatment. The degrees of freedom (Df), sum of squares (Sum of sqs),

843 mean of squares (Mean of sqs), the F. statistic and p-value (P) associated with the F statistic are

844 shown.

845

Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	Pr(>F)
Origin	2	45.76	22.881	460.529	**<0.001**
Inoculation	2	0.33	0.166	3.348	**0.043**
Root system	2	0.07	0.033	0.656	0.523
Origin*Inoculation	4	1.76	0.439	8.833	**<0.001**
Origin*Root system	4	0.3	0.076	1.531	0.206
Inoculation*Root system	4	0.06	0.016	0.318	0.865
Origin*Inoculation*Root system	8	0.37	0.046	0.92	0.507
Residuals	54	2.68	0.05		
Model including RLD					
Origin	2	45.76	22.88	871.82	**<0.001**
Inoculation	2	0.33	0.166	6.343	**0.005**
Root system	2	0.07	0.033	1.246	0.302
RLD	1	0.04	0.038	1.457	0.237
Origin*Inoculation	4	1.89	0.472	17.994	**<0.001**
Origin*Root system	4	0.24	0.059	2.264	0.085
Inoculation*Root system	4	0.04	0.01	0.385	0.817
Origin*RLD	2	0.01	0.006	0.22	0.803
Inoculation*RLD	2	0.6	0.301	11.462	**0.000**
Root system*RLD	2	0.04	0.019	0.707	0.501
Origin*Inoculation*Root system	8	0.38	0.048	1.83	0.109
Origin*Inoculation*RLD	4	0.38	0.096	3.649	**0.015**
Origin*Root system*RLD	4	0.37	0.092	3.5	**0.018**
Inoculation*Root system*RLD	3	0.31	0.104	3.955	**0.017**
Origin*Inoculation*Root system*RLD	5	0.06	0.011	0.43	0.824
Residuals	31	0.81	0.026		

Figures:

Figure 1. Location of sampling plots spanning a range of land uses. Red dots correspond to plots where sampling was performed. (Source: 51º46´46.91´´N and 1º19´35.76´´. Google Earth v7.3.2.5776, May 20, 2018. May 11, 2020).

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860 **Figure 2.** Schematic illustration of the treatments under study with three soil origins, three root

861 system types (fibrous root system of *Brachypodium sylvaticum*, taproot system of *Urtica dioca* and a

862 control with no roots present) and three inoculation treatments. Different coloured bars on tops of pots

863 refer to the source of soil inoculum (see legend).

864

Figure 3. Soil aggregate stability (MWD) measured in the field in the different land uses at the
surface and 0.2 – 0.3 m depth. Boxplots represent the minimum, maximum, median, first quartile and
third quartile in the data set. Different letters show post-hoc Tukey honestly significant difference
(HSD) results between land uses for the two different depths.

Figure 4. Boxplots with relative abundance of phyla found in the three plots in each land use in rhizosphere and bulk soil fractions for bacteria (a) and fungi (b) and proportion of fungal trophic modes (c). Boxplots represent the minimum, maximum, median, first quartile and third quartile in the data set. Different letters show post-hoc Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) results. Letters out of the boxplot figure show the overall effect including rhizosphere and bulk soils.

Figure 5. a) NMDS ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull polygons and spider diagrams containing plots of the five sites and overlaid gradients of aggregate stability and b) graphs of dbRDA constrained ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull polygons and spider diagrams containing plots of the five sites and significant variables obtained by automatic backward stepwise model building. Data are shown for rhizosphere (□) and bulk soil (○) fractions. Acronyms for land use types: AW isancient woodland, SW is secondary woodland, GR is grassland, PA is pasture, and AR is arable. Acronyms for factors: S_pH is soil pH, S_P is soil P, MWD is mean weight diameter, MRD is mean root diameter, FR is % fine roots, RLD is root length density.

44

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908 **Figure 6.** PCA of variables used for the description of soil, vegetation and root properties in the

909 experiment. Variables showed were selected based on their correlation and ecological significance

910 (i.e. variables that were correlated but their source was ecologically different were kept, e.g. soil C:N

911 and root C:N). Acronyms: S_pH is soil pH, S_C.N is soil C:N ratio, S_WC is soil water content,

912 S_CO2 is rate of exchange of CO_2, S_OC is soil organic carbon, MWD is mean weight diameter,

913 Ab_biomass is Aboveground biomass, R_C.N is root C:N ratio, VFR is % very fine roots, RMD is

914 root mean diameter, RLD is root length density, MRD is mean root diameter, SRL is specific root

915 length, GRSPT is total glomalin related soil proteins.

Figure 7. Selected specific traits of soil samples after incubation period: a) soil aggregate stability (mean weight diameter, MWD), b) root length density (RLD, km m^{-3}), c) specific root length (SRL, m gr^{-1}), d) soil pH, e) soil organic carbon (SOC, ww^{-1}), f) soil nitrogen (N, ww^{-1}), g) soil total inorganic carbon (CaCO$_3$, ww^{-1}). Boxplots represent the minimum, maximum, median, first quartile and third quartile in the data set.

Figure 8. a) NMDS ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull polygons containing plots of the three inoculation treatments (not inoculated, woodland and arable) and b) graphs of dbRDA constrained ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull polygons containing plots of the three inoculation treatments (not inoculated, woodland and arable) and significant variables obtained by automatic backward stepwise model building. Acronyms are: S_C is soil total carbon, S_C.N is soil C:N ratio, MWD is mean weight diameter, RMD is root mean diameter, GRSPT is total glomalin related soil proteins.

942   **References:**

943   Adhikari, K., Hartemink, A.E., 2016. Linking soils to ecosystem services — A global review. Geoderma
944   262, 101-111.
945   Al-Ani, A.N., Dudas, M.J., 1988. Influence of calcium carbonate on mean weight diameter of soil. Soil
946   and Tillage Research 11, 19-26.
947   Ayuke, F.O., Brussaard, L., Vanlauwe, B., Six, J., Lelei, D.K., Kibunja, C.N., Pulleman, M.M., 2011. Soil
948   fertility management: Impacts on soil macrofauna, soil aggregation and soil organic matter
949   allocation. Applied Soil Ecology 48, 53-62.
950   Balesdent, J., Chenu, C., Balabane, M., 2000. Relationship of soil organic matter dynamics to physical
951   protection and tillage. Soil and Tillage Research 53, 215-230.
952   Bardgett, R.D., Leemans, D.K., Cook, R., Hobbs, P.J., 1997. Seasonality of the soil biota of grazed and
953   ungrazed hill grasslands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 29, 1285-1294.
954   Barto, E.K., Alt, F., Oelmann, Y., Wilcke, W., Rillig, M.C., 2010. Contributions of biotic and abiotic
955   factors to soil aggregation across a land use gradient. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 42, 2316-2324.
956   Bast, A., Wilcke, W., Graf, F., Lüscher, P., Gärtner, H., 2016. Does mycorrhizal inoculation improve
957   plant survival, aggregate stability, and fine root development on a coarse-grained soil in an alpine
958   eco-engineering field experiment? Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 121, 2158-2171.
959   Baumert, V.L., Vasilyeva, N.A., Vladimirov, A.A., Meier, I.C., Kögel-Knabner, I., Mueller, C.W., 2018.
960   Root Exudates Induce Soil Macroaggregation Facilitated by Fungi in Subsoil. Frontiers in
961   Environmental Science 6.
962   Bearden, B., Petersen, L., 2000. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on soil structure and
963   aggregate stability of a vertisol. Plant and Soil, 173-183.
964   Bergmann, G.T., Bates, S.T., Eilers, K.G., Lauber, C.L., Caporaso, J.G., Walters, W.A., Knight, R., Fierer,
965   N., 2011. The under-recognized dominance of Verrucomicrobia in soil bacterial communities. Soil
966   biology & biochemistry 43, 1450-1455.
967   Bever, J.D., Platt, T.G., Morton, E.R., 2012. Microbial population and community dynamics on plant
968   roots and their feedbacks on plant communities. Annual Review of Microbiology 66, 265-283.
969   Bossuyt, H., Denef, K., Six, J., Frey, S.D., Merckx, R., Paustian, K., 2001. Influence of microbial
970   populations and residue quality on aggregate stability. Applied Soil Ecology 16, 195-208.
971   Brax, M., Buchmann, C., Kenngott, K., Schaumann, G.E., Diehl, D., 2020. Influence of the physico-
972   chemical properties of root mucilage and model substances on the microstructural stability of sand.
973   Biogeochemistry 147, 35-52.
974   Brunel, C., Pouteau, R., Dawson, W., Pester, M., Ramirez, K.S., van Kleunen, M., 2020. Towards
975   Unraveling Macroecological Patterns in Rhizosphere Microbiomes. Trends in Plant Science.
976   Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Münch, Philipp C., Weiman, A., Dröge, J., Pan, Y., McHardy, Alice C.,
977   Schulze-Lefert, P., 2015. Structure and Function of the Bacterial Root Microbiota in Wild and
978   Domesticated Barley. Cell Host & Microbe 17, 392-403.
979   Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., Rauf,
980   P., Huettel, B., Reinhardt, R., Schmelzer, E., Peplies, J., Gloeckner, F.O., Amann, R., Eickhorst, T.,
981   Schulze-Lefert, P., 2012. Revealing structure and assembly cues for Arabidopsis root-inhabiting
982   bacterial microbiota. Nature 488, 91-95.
983   Butt, N., Campbell, G., Malhi, Y., Morecroft, M., Fenn, K., Thomas, M., 2009. Initial Results from
984   Establishment of a Long-term Broadleaf Monitoring Plot at Wytham Woods, Oxford, UK.
985   Carbonetto, B., Rascovan, N., Álvarez, R., Mentaberry, A., Vázquez, M.P., 2014. Structure,
986   composition and metagenomic profile of soil microbiomes associated to agricultural land use and
987   tillage systems in Argentine Pampas. PLOS ONE 9, e99949-e99949.
988   Carter, M., Kunelius, H., Angers, D., 1994. Soil Structural Form and Stability, and Organic Matter
989   Under Cool-Season Perennial Grasses. Soil Science Society of America Journal - SSSAJ 58.
990   Chenu, C., Le Bissonnais, Y., Arrouays, D., 2000. Organic Matter Influence on Clay Wettability and Soil
991   Aggregate Stability. Soil Science Society of America Journal 64, 1479-1486.

992   Chenu, C., Sotzky, G., 2002. Interactions Between Microorganisms and Soil Particles, Interactions
993   between soil particles and microorganisms, pp. 3-40.
994   Chotte, J.-L., 2005. Importance of Microorganisms for Soil Aggregation, Microorganisms in Soils:
995   Roles in Genesis and Functions, pp. 107-119.
996   Cissé, G., van Oort, F., Chenu, C., Essi, M., Staunton, S., 2020. Is the operationally defined fraction of
997   soil organic matter, "GRSP" (glomalin-related soil protein), stable in soils? Evidence from trends in
998   long-term bare fallow soil. European Journal of Soil Science 10.1111/ejss.12974.
999   Commander, L.E., Merritt, D.J., Rokich, D.P., Dixon, K.W., 2009. Seed biology of Australian arid zone
1000   species: Germination of 18 species used for rehabilitation. Journal of Arid Environments 73, 617-625.
1001   Cui, J., Holden, N.M., 2015. The relationship between soil microbial activity and microbial biomass,
1002   soil structure and grassland management. Soil and Tillage Research 146, 32-38.
1003   de Castro, A.P., Quirino, B.F., Pappas, G., Jr., Kurokawa, A.S., Neto, E.L., Kruger, R.H., 2008. Diversity
1004   of soil fungal communities of Cerrado and its closely surrounding agriculture fields. Archives of
1005   Microbiology 190, 129-139.
1006   Degens, B.P., 1997. Macro-aggregation of soils by biological bonding and binding mechanisms and
1007   the factors affecting these: a review. Soil Research 35, 431-460.
1008   Delelegn, Y.T., Purahong, W., Blazevic, A., Yitaferu, B., Wubet, T., Göransson, H., Godbold, D.L., 2017.
1009   Changes in land use alter soil quality and aggregate stability in the highlands of northern Ethiopia.
1010   Scientific Reports 7, 13602.
1011   Denef, K., Six, J., 2005. Clay mineralogy determines the importance of biological versus abiotic
1012   processes for macroaggregate formation and stabilization. European Journal of Soil Science 56, 469-
1013   479.
1014   Dennis, P.G., Miller, A.J., Hirsch, P.R., 2010. Are root exudates more important than other sources of
1015   rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? FEMS Microbiology Ecology 72, 313-
1016   327.
1017   DeSantis, T.Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E.L., Keller, K., Huber, T., Dalevi, D., Hu,
1018   P., Andersen, G.L., 2006. Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and Workbench
1019   Compatible with ARB. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72, 5069-5072.
1020   Donn, S., Kirkegaard, J.A., Perera, G., Richardson, A.E., Watt, M., 2015. Evolution of bacterial
1021   communities in the wheat crop rhizosphere. Environmental Microbiology 17, 610-621.
1022   Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., 2007. The ade4 Package: Implementing the Duality Diagram for Ecologists.
1023   Journal of Statistical Software 22, 1-20.
1024   Drenovsky, R.E., Steenwerth, K.L., Jackson, L.E., Scow, K.M., 2010. Land use and climatic factors
1025   structure regional patterns in soil microbial communities. Global Ecology and Biogeography 19, 27-
1026   39.
1027   Duchicela, J., Sullivan, T.S., Bontti, E., Bever, J.D., 2013. Soil aggregate stability increase is strongly
1028   related to fungal community succession along an abandoned agricultural field chronosequence in
1029   the Bolivian Altiplano. Journal of Applied Ecology.
1030   Duchicela, J., Vogelsang, K.M., Schultz, P.a., Kaonongbua, W., Middleton, E.L., Bever, J.D., 2012. Non-
1031   native plants and soil microbes: potential contributors to the consistent reduction in soil aggregate
1032   stability caused by the disturbance of North American grasslands. New Phytologist 196, 212-222.
1033   Eilers, K.G., Lauber, C.L., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2010. Shifts in bacterial community structure
1034   associated with inputs of low molecular weight carbon compounds to soil. Soil Biology and
1035   Biochemistry 42, 896-903.
1036   Fanin, N., Bertrand, I., 2016. Aboveground litter quality is a better predictor than belowground
1037   microbial communities when estimating carbon mineralization along a land-use gradient. Soil
1038   Biology and Biochemistry 94, 48-60.
1039   Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A., Jackson, R.B., 2007. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
1040   Ecology 88, 1354-1364.

1041 Fierer, N., Lauber, C.L., Ramirez, K.S., Zaneveld, J., Bradford, M.A., Knight, R., 2012. Comparative
1042 metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen
1043 gradients. The ISME Journal 6, 1007-1017.

1044 Fitter, A.H., Peat, H.J., 1994. The Ecological Flora Database. Journal of Ecology 82, 415-425.

1045 Gispert, M., Emran, M., Pardini, G., Doni, S., Ceccanti, B., 2013a. The impact of land management
1046 and abandonment on soil enzymatic activity, glomalin content and aggregate stability. Geoderma
1047 202-203, 51-61.

1048 Gispert, M., Emran, M., Pardini, G., Doni, S., Ceccanti, B., 2013b. The impact of land management
1049 and abandonment on soil enzymatic activity, glomalin content and aggregate stability. Geoderma
1050 202–203, 51-61.

1051 Goebel, M.-O., Woche, S.K., Bachmann, J., 2009. Do soil aggregates really protect encapsulated
1052 organic matter against microbial decomposition? Biologia 64, 443-448.

1053 Graf, F., Frei, M., 2013. Soil aggregate stability related to soil density, root length, and mycorrhiza
1054 using site-specific Alnus incana and Melanogaster variegatus s.l. Ecological Engineering 57, 314-323.

1055 Gupta, V.V.S.R., Germida, J.J., 2015. Soil aggregation: Influence on microbial biomass and
1056 implications for biological processes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 80, A3-A9.

1057 Gweon, H.S., Oliver, A., Taylor, J., Booth, T., Gibbs, M., Read, D.S., Griffiths, R.I., Schonrogge, K.,
1058 2015. PIPITS: an automated pipeline for analyses of fungal internal transcribed spacer sequences
1059 from the Illumina sequencing platform. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6, 973-980.

1060 Harris, R.F., Chesters, G., Allen, O.N., Attoe, O.J., 1964. Mechanisms Involved in Soil Aggregate
1061 Stabilization by Fungi and Bacteria. Soil Science Society of America Journal 28, 529-532.

1062 Hartmann, M., Howes, C.G., VanInsberghe, D., Yu, H., Bachar, D., Christen, R., Henrik Nilsson, R.,
1063 Hallam, S.J., Mohn, W.W., 2012. Significant and persistent impact of timber harvesting on soil
1064 microbial communities in Northern coniferous forests. The ISME Journal 6, 2199-2218.

1065 Helgason, B.L., Walley, F.L., Germida, J.J., 2010. No-till soil management increases microbial biomass
1066 and alters community profiles in soil aggregates. Applied Soil Ecology 46, 390-397.

1067 Ho, A., Di Lonardo, D.P., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2017. Revisiting life strategy concepts in environmental
1068 microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93.

1069 Hudek, C., Stanchi, S., D'Amico, M., Freppaz, M., 2017. Quantifying the contribution of the root
1070 system of alpine vegetation in the soil aggregate stability of moraine. International Soil and Water
1071 Conservation Research 5, 36-42.

1072 Hurisso, T.T., Moebius-Clune, D.J., Culman, S.W., Moebius-Clune, B.N., Thies, J.E., van Es, H.M., 2018.
1073 Soil Protein as a Rapid Soil Health Indicator of Potentially Available Organic Nitrogen. Agricultural &
1074 Environmental Letters 3, 180006.

1075 Huysman, F., Verstraete, W., 1993. Effect of cell surface characteristics on the adhesion of bacteria
1076 to soil particles. Biology and Fertility of Soils 16, 21-26.

1077 Ihrmark, K., Bodeker, I.T., Cruz-Martinez, K., Friberg, H., Kubartova, A., Schenck, J., Strid, Y., Stenlid,
1078 J., Brandstrom-Durling, M., Clemmensen, K.E., Lindahl, B.D., 2012. New primers to amplify the fungal
1079 ITS2 region--evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiology
1080 Ecology 82, 666-677.

1081 Jie, C., Jing-zhang, C., Man-zhi, T., Zi-tong, G., 2002. Soil degradation: a global problem endangering
1082 sustainable development. Journal of Geographical Sciences 12, 243-252.

1083 Jones, D.L., Nguyen, C., Finlay, R.D., 2009. Carbon flow in the rhizosphere: carbon trading at the soil–
1084 root interface. Plant and Soil 321, 5-33.

1085 Koljalg, U., Nilsson, R.H., Abarenkov, K., Tedersoo, L., Taylor, A.F., Bahram, M., Bates, S.T., Bruns,
1086 T.D., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Callaghan, T.M., Douglas, B., Drenkhan, T., Eberhardt, U., Duenas, M.,
1087 Grebenc, T., Griffith, G.W., Hartmann, M., Kirk, P.M., Kohout, P., Larsson, E., Lindahl, B.D., Lucking,
1088 R., Martin, M.P., Matheny, P.B., Nguyen, N.H., Niskanen, T., Oja, J., Peay, K.G., Peintner, U., Peterson,
1089 M., Poldmaa, K., Saag, L., Saar, I., Schussler, A., Scott, J.A., Senes, C., Smith, M.E., Suija, A., Taylor,
1090 D.L., Telleria, M.T., Weiss, M., Larsson, K.H., 2013. Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based
1091 identification of fungi. Molecular Ecology 22, 5271-5277.

1092 Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K., Schloss, P.D., 2013. Development of a dual-
1093 index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq
1094 Illumina sequencing platform. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79, 5112-5120.
1095 Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. Pyrosequencing-Based Assessment of Soil pH
1096 as a Predictor of Soil Bacterial Community Structure at the Continental Scale. Applied and
1097 Environmental Microbiology 75, 5111-5120.
1098 Lauber, C.L., Strickland, M.S., Bradford, M.A., Fierer, N., 2008. The influence of soil properties on the
1099 structure of bacterial and fungal communities across land-use types. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
1100 40, 2407-2415.
1101 Lavee, H., Sarah, P., Imeson, A.C., 1996. Aggregate Stability Dynamics as Affected by Soil
1102 Temperature and Moisture Regimes. Geografiska Annaler. Series A, Physical Geography 78, 73-82.
1103 Lavelle, P., Spain, A., Fonte, S., Bedano, J.C., Blanchart, E., Galindo, V., Grimaldi, M., Jimenez, J.J.,
1104 Velasquez, E., Zangerlé, A., 2020. Soil aggregation, ecosystem engineers and the C cycle. Acta
1105 Oecologica 105, 103561.
1106 Le Bissonnais, Y., 1996. Aggregate stability and assessment of soil crustability and erodibility: I.
1107 Theory and methodology. European Journal of Soil Science 47, 425-437.
1108 Lee, K., Foster, R., 1991. Soil fauna and soil structure. Soil Research 29, 745-775.
1109 Lehmann, A., Zheng, W., Rillig, M.C., 2017. Soil biota contributions to soil aggregation. Nat Ecol Evol
1110 1, 1828-1835.
1111 Lladó, S., Baldrian, P., 2017. Community-level physiological profiling analyses show potential to
1112 identify the copiotrophic bacteria present in soil environments. PLOS ONE 12, e0171638-e0171638.
1113 Lorenz, K., Lal, R., Preston, C.M., Nierop, K.G.J., 2007. Strengthening the soil organic carbon pool by
1114 increasing contributions from recalcitrant aliphatic bio(macro)molecules. Geoderma 142, 1-10.
1115 Mao, Y., Li, X., Smyth, E.M., Yannarell, A.C., Mackie, R.I., 2014. Enrichment of specific bacterial and
1116 eukaryotic microbes in the rhizosphere of switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) through root exudates.
1117 Environmental Microbiology Reports 6, 293-306.
1118 Marín, C., Godoy, R., Valenzuela, E., Schloter, M., Wubet, T., Boy, J., Gschwendtner, S., 2017.
1119 Functional land-use change effects on soil fungal communities in Chilean temperate rainforests.
1120 Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 17, 985-1002.
1121 Merino-Martín, L., Griffiths, R.I., Gweon, H.S., Furget-Bretagnon, C., Oliver, A., Mao, Z., Le Bissonnais,
1122 Y., Stokes, A., 2020. Rhizosphere bacteria are more strongly related to plant root traits than fungi in
1123 temperate montane forests: insights from closed and open forest patches along an elevational
1124 gradient. Plant and Soil.
1125 Miller, R., Jastrow, J., 1992. The Role of Mycorrhizal Fungi in Soil Conservation, pp. 29-44.
1126 Miller, R.M., Jastrow, J.D., 1990. Hierarchy of root and mycorrhizal fungal interactions with soil
1127 aggregation. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 22, 579-584.
1128 Morel, J.L., Habib, L., Plantureux, S., Guckert, A., 1991. Influence of maize root mucilage on soil
1129 aggregate stability. Plant and Soil 136, 111-119.
1130 Mueller, R.C., Rodrigues, J.L.M., Nüsslein, K., Bohannan, B.J.M., 2016. Land use change in the
1131 Amazon rain forest favours generalist fungi. Functional Ecology 30, 1845-1853.
1132 Oades, J., Waters, A., 1991. Aggregate hierarchy in soils. Soil Research 29, 815-828.
1133 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara,
1134 R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2019. vegan: Community
1135 Ecology Package, R package version 2.5-6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.
1136 Or, D., Smets, B.F., Wraith, J.M., Dechesne, A., Friedman, S.P., 2007. Physical constraints affecting
1137 bacterial habitats and activity in unsaturated porous media – a review. Advances in Water Resources
1138 30, 1505-1527.
1139 Orgiazzi, A., Lumini, E., Nilsson, R.H., Girlanda, M., Vizzini, A., Bonfante, P., Bianciotto, V., 2012.
1140 Unravelling Soil Fungal Communities from Different Mediterranean Land-Use Backgrounds. PLOS
1141 ONE 7, e34847.

1142   Peiffer, J.A., Spor, A., Koren, O., Jin, Z., Tringe, S.G., Dangl, J.L., Buckler, E.S., Ley, R.E., 2013. Diversity
1143   and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. Proceedings of the
1144   National Academy of Sciences 110, 6548-6553.

1145   Peng, X., Horn, R., Hallett, P., 2015. Soil structure and its functions in ecosystems: Phase matter &
1146   scale matter. Soil and Tillage Research 146, 1-3.

1147   Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J.M., Lemanceau, P., van der Putten, W.H., 2013. Going back to the roots:
1148   the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nature Reviews. Microbiology 11, 789-799.

1149   Plassard, C., Bonafos, B., Touraine, B., 2000. Differential effects of mineral and organic N sources,
1150   and of ectomycorrhizal infection by Hebeloma cylindrosporum, on growth and N utilization in Pinus
1151   pinaster. Plant, Cell & Environment 23, 1195-1205.

1152   Poirier, V., Roumet, C., Angers, D.A., Munson, A.D., 2018. Species and root traits impact
1153   macroaggregation in the rhizospheric soil of a Mediterranean common garden experiment. Plant
1154   and Soil 424, 289-302.

1155   R Core Team, 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
1156   Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

1157   Rillig, M.C., Lehmann, A., Aguilar-Trigueros, C.A., Antonovics, J., Caruso, T., Hempel, S., Lehmann, J.,
1158   Valyi, K., Verbruggen, E., Veresoglou, S.D., Powell, J.R., 2016. Soil microbes and community
1159   coalescence. Pedobiologia 59, 37-40.

1160   Rillig, M.C., Muller, L.A.H., Lehmann, A., 2017. Soil aggregates as massively concurrent evolutionary
1161   incubators. ISME J 11, 1943-1948.

1162   Rillig, M.C., Mummey, D.L., 2006. Mycorrhizas and soil structure. New Phytologist 171, 41-53.

1163   Rillig, M.C., Wright, S.F., Eviner, V.T., 2002a. The role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and glomalin in
1164   soil aggregation: comparing effects of five plant species. Plant and Soil 238, 325-333.

1165   Rillig, M.C., Wright, S.F., Shaw, M.R., Field, C.B., 2002b. Artificial climate warming positively affects
1166   arbuscular mycorrhizae but decreases soil aggregate water stability in an annual grassland. Oikos 97,
1167   52-58.

1168   Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool
1169   for metagenomics. PeerJ 4, e2584.

1170   Rutherford, P.M., Juma, N.G., 1992. Influence of texture on habitable pore space and bacterial-
1171   protozoan populations in soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils 12, 221-227.

1172   Saha, D., Kukal, S.S., Sharma, S., 2011. Landuse impacts on SOC fractions and aggregate stability in
1173   typic ustochrepts of Northwest India. Plant and Soil 339, 457-470.

1174   Saleem, M., Law, A.D., Sahib, M.R., Pervaiz, Z.H., Zhang, Q., 2018. Impact of root system architecture
1175   on rhizosphere and root microbiome. Rhizosphere 6, 47-51.

1176   Sarathchandra, S.U., Perrott, K.W., Boase, M.R., Waller, J.E., 1988. Seasonal changes and the effects
1177   of fertiliser on some chemical, biochemical and microbiological characteristics of high-producing
1178   pastoral soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils 6, 328-335.

1179   Savill, P.S.S., Perrins, C., Kirby, K., Fisher, N., 2011. Wytham Woods: Oxford's Ecological Laboratory.
1180   Wytham Woods: Oxford's Ecological Laboratory, 1-288.

1181   Shi, S., Nuccio, E., Herman, D.J., Rijkers, R., Estera, K., Li, J., da Rocha, U.N., He, Z., Pett-Ridge, J.,
1182   Brodie, E.L., Zhou, J., Firestone, M., 2015. Successional Trajectories of Rhizosphere Bacterial
1183   Communities over Consecutive Seasons. mBio 6, e00746-00715.

1184   Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., Denef, K., 2004. A history of research on the link between
1185   (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil and Tillage Research 79, 7-31.

1186   Six, J., Elliott, E.T., Paustian, K., Doran, J.W., 1998. Aggregation and Soil Organic Matter Accumulation
1187   in Cultivated and Native Grassland Soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 62, 1367-1377.

1188   Steenwerth, K.L., Jackson, L.E., Calderón, F.J., Stromberg, M.R., Scow, K.M., 2002. Soil microbial
1189   community composition and land use history in cultivated and grassland ecosystems of coastal
1190   California. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 1599-1611.

1191   Thomson, B.C., Tisserant, E., Plassart, P., Uroz, S., Griffiths, R.I., Hannula, S.E., Buée, M., Mougel, C.,
1192   Ranjard, L., Van Veen, J.A., Martin, F., Bailey, M.J., Lemanceau, P., 2015. Soil conditions and land use

1193 intensification effects on soil microbial communities across a range of European field sites. Soil
1194 Biology and Biochemistry 88, 403-413.
1195 Tindall, B.J., Rossello-Mora, R., Busse, H.J., Ludwig, W., Kampfer, P., 2010. Notes on the
1196 characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60, 249-266.
1197 Tisdall, J.M., 1994. Possible role of soil microorganisms in aggregation in soils. Plant and Soil 159,
1198 115-121.
1199 Trivedi, P., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T.C., Trivedi, C., Anderson, I.C., Lai, K., McNee, M.,
1200 Flower, K., Pal Singh, B., Minkey, D., Singh, B.K., 2017. Soil aggregation and associated microbial
1201 communities modify the impact of agricultural management on carbon content. Environmental
1202 Microbiology 19, 3070-3086.
1203 USS Working Group WRB, 2014. World Reference Base for soil resources 2014: international soil
1204 classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources
1205 Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome.
1206 van de Voorde, T.F.J., van der Putten, W.H., Bezemer, T.M., 2012. Soil inoculation method
1207 determines the strength of plant–soil interactions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 55, 1-6.
1208 van der Putten, W.H., Bardgett, R.D., Bever, J.D., Bezemer, T.M., Casper, B.B., Fukami, T., Kardol, P.,
1209 Klironomos, J.N., Kulmatiski, A., Schweitzer, J.A., Suding, K.N., Van de Voorde, T.F.J., Wardle, D.A.,
1210 2013. Plant–soil feedbacks: the past, the present and future challenges. Journal of Ecology 101, 265-
1211 276.
1212 Van Soest, P.J., 1963. Use of detergents in the analysis of fibrous feeds. 2. A rapid method for the
1213 determination of fiber and lignin. Journal of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists 46, 829-
1214 835.
1215 van Veen, J.A., van Overbeek, L.S., van Elsas, J.D., 1997. Fate and activity of microorganisms
1216 introduced into soil. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 61, 121-135.
1217 Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., Cole, J.R., 2007. Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment
1218 of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73,
1219 5261-5267.
1220 Wright, S.F., Upadhyaya, A., 1996. Extraction of an abundant and unusual protein from soil and
1221 comparison with hyphal protein of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Science 161, 575-586.
1222 Wu, X., Wei, Y., Wang, J., Wang, D., She, L., Wang, J., Cai, C., 2017. Effects of soil physicochemical
1223 properties on aggregate stability along a weathering gradient. CATENA 156, 205-215.
1224 Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A., 2014. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End
1225 reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics 30, 614-620.
1226 Zhang, S., Li, Q., Lü, Y., Zhang, X., Liang, W., 2013. Contributions of soil biota to C sequestration
1227 varied with aggregate fractions under different tillage systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 62,
1228 147-156.
1229 Zhang, S., Li, Q., Zhang, X., Wei, K., Chen, L., Liang, W., 2012. Effects of conservation tillage on soil
1230 aggregation and aggregate binding agents in black soil of Northeast China. Soil and Tillage Research
1231 124, 196-202.

1232