

## **Supplementary information**

**Fig.1S** Layout of 72 mesocosms assigned to three experimental treatments: 1) biochar (+ or – at 2 % w/w); 2) crop type (barley, perennial ryegrass, or unvegetated); and 3) soil texture (sandy clay, sandy silt loam, clay loam) placed in an outdoor enclosure at the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH) in Penicuik, UK (55° 51' N, 3° 12' W, 189 metres above sea level).



**Table 1S.** Spearman correlation coefficients between edaphic properties, plant biomass and the soil biota. \*  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*  $P < 0.01$  and \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ .

**Table 2S.** All tests from a linear mixed model (LMM) of the response of soil carbon content (total C and adjusted C<sub>A</sub> to account for the proportion of C added to the soil as biochar) to experimental treatments and covariates. Values are estimates of fixed effects and type III (adjusted for other significant terms) F & p statistics  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Annual measurements of soil carbon (n = 3) at the mesocosm level were accounted for using an autoregressive AR(1) structure.  $\times$  = interaction. Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam, SC: sandy clay; Collembola, Acari or Nematoda = density of these soil invertebrates. Bold text indicates significant terms retained in final LMM  $\alpha = 0.05$ , interactions only reported where significant or marginally non-significant (i.e.  $p = 0.05$ ).

Response	Fixed effect	Class	Estimate	F <sub>(ndf, ddf)</sub>	p
<b>Soil carbon (C) content %</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b>2.385 ± 0.236</b>		
	<b>Soil texture</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b>1.59 ± 0.17</b>	<b>63.04<sub>(2,125)</sub></b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
Random effects:		<b>CL</b>	<b>0.78 ± 0.18</b>		
Spatial block = 0.0005		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
Mesocosm AR(1) = 0.008	<b>Biochar</b>	+	<b>0.82 ± 0.13</b>	<b>155.33<sub>(1,71)</sub></b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
Residual variance = 0.278		-	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Nematoda</b>		<b>-0.0004 ± 0.021</b>	<b>6.80<sub>(1,182)</sub></b>	<b>0.010</b>
	<b>Crop type</b>	<b>Barley</b>	<b>-0.59 ± 0.28</b>	<b>3.94<sub>(2,69)</sub></b>	<b>0.024</b>
		<b>Ryegrass</b>	<b>0.13 ± 0.28</b>		
		<b>Unvegetated</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Fungi:bacteria		-0.74 ± 0.48	0.02 <sub>(1,69)</sub>	0.884
	<b>Biochar × Soil texture</b>	<b>+ × SLZ</b>	<b>-0.14 ± 0.19</b>	<b>7.28<sub>(2,72)</sub></b>	<b>0.001</b>
		<b>+ × CL</b>	<b>0.55 ± 0.19</b>		
		<b>+ × SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>- × SZL</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>- × CL</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>- × SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Nematoda × Soil texture</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b>0.006 ± 0.032</b>	<b>4.72<sub>(2,182)</sub></b>	<b>0.010</b>
		<b>CL</b>	<b>-0.201 ± 0.070</b>		
		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Fungi:bacteria × crop type	Barley	1.56 ± 0.64	3.18 <sub>(2,70)</sub>	0.048
		Ryegrass	0.56 ± 0.54		
		Unvegetated	0		
	Year			0.43 <sub>(2,133)</sub>	0.650
	Collembola			2.16 <sub>(1,76)</sub>	0.145
	Acari			0.32 <sub>(1,68)</sub>	0.576
	Crop biomass			0.06 <sub>(1,171)</sub>	0.804
	Soil moisture			0.07 <sub>(1,181)</sub>	0.795
	Soil N content %			0.00 <sub>(1,102)</sub>	0.996
<b>Adjusted soil C<sub>A</sub> content</b>					
%	<b>Soil texture</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b>1.60 ± 0.13</b>	<b>128.00<sub>(2,77)</sub></b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
Random effects estimate:		<b>CL</b>	<b>0.58 ± 0.13</b>		
Spatial block = 0		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
Mesocosm AR(1) = 0.073	<b>Biochar</b>	+	<b>-0.71 ± 0.13</b>	<b>63.62<sub>(1,77)</sub></b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
Residual variance = 0.287		-	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Collembola</b>		<b>0.78 ± 0.29</b>	<b>7.25<sub>(1,78)</sub></b>	<b>0.009</b>
	<b>Biochar × Soil texture</b>	<b>+ × SZL</b>	<b>-0.141 ± 0.189</b>	<b>4.26<sub>(2,78)</sub></b>	<b>0.018</b>
		<b>+ × CL</b>	<b>0.403 ± 0.190</b>		
		<b>+ × SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>- × SZL</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>- × CL</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>- × SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Nematoda			0.27 <sub>(1,196)</sub>	0.605
	Acari			0.00 <sub>(1,74)</sub>	0.957
	Crop biomass			0.01 <sub>(1,202)</sub>	0.933
	Soil moisture			0.01 <sub>(1,193)</sub>	0.942
	Year			0.17 <sub>(2,141)</sub>	0.845
	Soil N content %			0.10 <sub>(1,105)</sub>	0.747

**Table 3S.** All tests from a linear mixed model (LMM) of the response of soil microbial biomass (PLFA analysis) to experimental treatments and covariates. Values are estimates of fixed effects and type III (adjusted for other significant terms)  $F$  &  $p$  statistics  $\alpha = 0.05$ .  $\times$  = interaction. Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam, SC: sandy clay; Collembola, Acari or Nematoda = density of these soil invertebrates. Bold text indicates significant terms retained in final LMM  $\alpha = 0.05$ , interactions only reported where significant or marginally (i.e.  $p = 0.05$ ) non-significant.

Response	Fixed effect	Class	Estimate	$F_{(ndf, ddf)}$	$p$
<b>Fungal-to-bacterial ratio</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>3.709 \pm 1.126</math></b>		
	<b>Crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>-0.752 \pm 0.439</math></b>	<b><math>3.00_{(2,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.058</b>
Random effects:		Ryegrass	<b><math>-1.097 \pm 0.466</math></b>		
Spatial block = 0		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
Residual variance = 0.024	<b>Soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>2.306 \pm 0.588</math></b>	<b><math>8.70_{(2,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.0005</b>
		CL	<b><math>1.559 \pm 0.583</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Biochar</b>	+	<b><math>0.196 \pm 0.067</math></b>	<b><math>8.53_{(1,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.005</b>
		-	<b>0</b>		
		Acari	$0.016 \pm 0.345$	$3.01_{(1,54)}$	0.089
		<b>Soil pH</b>	<b><math>-0.673 \pm 0.204</math></b>	<b><math>10.92_{(1,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.002</b>
		<b>Soil N content</b>	<b><math>-0.958 \pm 1.950</math></b>	<b><math>4.22_{(1,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.045</b>
		Soil moisture	$0.045 \pm 0.024$	$1.68_{(1,54)}$	0.201
	<b>Acari × crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>-0.507 \pm 0.413</math></b>	<b><math>10.77_{(2,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.0001</b>
		Ryegrass	<b><math>1.468 \pm 0.467</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Soil N content × crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>4.977 \pm 2.444</math></b>	<b><math>3.77_{(2,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.029</b>
		Ryegrass	<b><math>6.610 \pm 2.538</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Soil moisture × soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>-0.131 \pm 0.041</math></b>	<b><math>5.59_{(2,54)}</math></b>	<b>0.006</b>
		CL	<b><math>-0.083 \pm 0.040</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
		Crop biomass		$0.00_{(1,51)}$	0.966
		Nematoda		$0.82_{(1,53)}$	0.369
		Collembola		$0.01_{(1,53)}$	0.908
<b>Total PLFA</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>35800 \pm 1900</math></b>		
Random effects:	<b>Crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>5055 \pm 2624</math></b>	<b><math>25.61_{(2,60)}</math></b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
Spatial block = 0		Ryegrass	<b><math>14366 \pm 2981</math></b>		
Residual variance =		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
$2.17 \times 10^7$	<b>Soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>-12081 \pm 2684</math></b>	<b><math>19.17_{(2,60)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
		CL	<b><math>-6909 \pm 2336</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
		Biochar		$0.10_{(1,59)}$	0.754
		Acari		$1.99_{(1,60)}$	0.163
	<b>Crop type × soil texture</b>	Barley × SZL	<b><math>10302 \pm 3624</math></b>	<b><math>5.84_{(4,60)}</math></b>	<b>0.0005</b>
		Barley × CL	<b><math>-906 \pm 3300</math></b>		
		Barley × SC	<b>0</b>		
		Ryegrass × SZL	<b><math>12654 \pm 3575</math></b>		
		Ryegrass × CL	<b><math>-3388 \pm 3302</math></b>		
		Ryegrass × SC	<b>0</b>		
		Unvegetated × SZL	<b>0</b>		
		Unvegetated × CL	<b>0</b>		
		Unvegetated × SC	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Acari × crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>-33154 \pm 12770</math></b>	<b><math>8.44_{(2,60)}</math></b>	<b>0.0006</b>
		Ryegrass	<b><math>15143 \pm 13916</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
		Soil pH		$0.53_{(1,59)}$	0.469
		Soil N content		$0.09_{(1,59)}$	0.763
		Soil moisture		$0.24_{(1,57)}$	0.623
		Crop biomass		$0.05_{(1,57)}$	0.828

	Nematoda		0.74 <sub>(1,57)</sub>	0.393
	Collembola		0.09 <sub>(1,59)</sub>	0.761
<b>Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF 16:1ω5)</b>				
Random effects:	Biochar	+	-6.5 ± 78.2	0.01 <sub>(1,64)</sub>
Spatial block = 0		-	0	0.934
Residual variance = 39218	<b>Crop type</b>			
	Barley		<b>281.3 ± 57.5</b>	<b>114.78</b> <sub>(2,64)</sub>
	Ryegrass		<b>947.2 ± 63.5</b>	<b>&lt; 0.0001</b>
	Unvegetated		<b>0</b>	
	<b>Soil texture</b>			
	SZL		<b>-194.8 ± 58.8</b>	<b>5.65</b> <sub>(2,64)</sub>
	CL		<b>-68.4 ± 58.6</b>	<b>0.006</b>
	SC		<b>0</b>	
	Collembola		-303.6 ± 271.8	0.47 <sub>(1,64)</sub>
	Collembola × biochar	+	<b>870.0 ± 345.3</b>	<b>6.35</b> <sub>(1,64)</sub>
		-	<b>0</b>	<b>0.014</b>
	Soil pH			1.84 <sub>(1,63)</sub>
	Acari			0.12 <sub>(1,63)</sub>
	Soil N content			0.16 <sub>(1,63)</sub>
	Soil moisture			0.691
	Crop biomass			2.04 <sub>(1,61)</sub>
	Nematoda			0.158
				2.96 <sub>(1,61)</sub>
				0.090
				1.77 <sub>(1,61)</sub>
				0.188

**Table 4S.** All tests from a linear mixed model (LMM) of the response of soil invertebrate densities ( $n \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil) to experimental treatments and covariates. Values are estimates of fixed effects and type III (adjusted for other significant terms)  $F$  &  $p$  statistics  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Annual measurements of nematoda ( $n = 3$ ) at the mesocosm level were accounted for using an autoregressive AR(1) structure.  $\times$  = interaction. Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam, SC: sandy clay; Collembola, Acari or Nematoda = density of these soil invertebrates. Bold text indicates significant terms retained in final LMM  $\alpha = 0.05$ , interactions only reported where significant or marginally (i.e.  $p = 0.05$ ) non-significant.

Response	Fixed effect	Class	Estimate	$F_{(\text{ndf}, \text{ddf})}$	$p$
<b>Nematode density</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>0.887 \pm 0.279</math></b>		
Random effects:	<b>Soil texture</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b><math>-1.121 \pm 0.291</math></b>	<b><math>11.38_{(2,150)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Spatial block = 0.004		<b>CL</b>	<b><math>-1.737 \pm 0.320</math></b>		
Mesocosm AR(1) = -0.043		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
Residual variance = 0.094	<b>Crop type</b>	<b>Barley</b>	<b><math>-0.169 \pm 0.088</math></b>	<b><math>11.78_{(2,91)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
		<b>Ryegrass</b>	<b><math>0.004 \pm 0.091</math></b>		
		<b>Unvegetated</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Biochar	+	-0.090	$4.00_{(1,97)}$	0.048
		-	0		
	<b>Soil moisture</b>		<b><math>-0.008 \pm 0.012</math></b>	<b><math>7.28_{(1,187)}</math></b>	<b>0.008</b>
	<b>Year</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b><math>-0.037 \pm 0.097</math></b>	<b><math>10.45_{(2,188)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
		<b>2012</b>	<b><math>-0.420 \pm 0.145</math></b>		
		<b>2013</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Soil N content			$0.000_{(1,184)}$	0.985
	<b>Soil moisture</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b><math>0.040 \pm 0.015</math></b>	<b><math>12.24_{(2,188)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
	<b><math>\times</math> soil texture</b>	<b>CL</b>	<b><math>0.086 \pm 0.018</math></b>		
		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Plant type</b>	<b>Barley <math>\times</math> SZL</b>	<b><math>0.403 \pm 0.125</math></b>	<b><math>4.86_{(4,84)}</math></b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b><math>\times</math> soil texture</b>	<b>Barley <math>\times</math> CL</b>	<b><math>0.383 \pm 0.124</math></b>		
		<b>Barley <math>\times</math> SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>Ryegrass <math>\times</math> SZL</b>	<b><math>0.483 \pm 0.128</math></b>		
		<b>Ryegrass <math>\times</math> CL</b>	<b><math>0.318 \pm 0.131</math></b>		
		<b>Ryegrass <math>\times</math> SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>Unvegetated <math>\times</math> SZL</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>Unvegetated <math>\times</math> CL</b>	<b>0</b>		
		<b>Unvegetated <math>\times</math> SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Soil N content	SZL	-0.188 $\pm$ 0.802	$3.14_{(2,124)}$	0.047
	$\times$ soil texture	CL	-1.959 $\pm$ 0.846		
		SC	0		
	Collembola			$3.39_{(1,80)}$	0.069
	Soil pH			$0.41_{(1,178)}$	0.523
	Crop biomass			$0.06_{(1,176)}$	0.810
	Fungi:bacteria			$1.03_{(1,77)}$	0.312
	Acari			$0.57_{(1,78)}$	0.452
<b>Collembolan density</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>-0.272 \pm 0.856</math></b>		
Random effects:	<b>Crop type</b>	<b>Barley</b>	<b><math>0.009 \pm 0.037</math></b>	<b><math>9.64_{(2,64)}</math></b>	<b>0.0002</b>
Spatial block = 0		<b>Ryegrass</b>	<b><math>0.140 \pm 0.036</math></b>		
Residual variance = 0.014		<b>Unvegetated</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Soil pH		0.062 $\pm$ 0.146	$0.98_{(1,64)}$	0.327
	<b>Soil texture</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b><math>1.640 \pm 1.400</math></b>	<b><math>3.94_{(2,64)}</math></b>	<b>0.024</b>
		<b>CL</b>	<b><math>-1.953 \pm 1.152</math></b>		
		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Soil texture <math>\times</math> pH</b>	<b>SZL</b>	<b><math>-0.248 \pm 0.224</math></b>	<b><math>3.97_{(2,64)}</math></b>	<b>0.024</b>
		<b>CL</b>	<b><math>0.315 \pm 0.190</math></b>		
		<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>		
	Biochar			$0.34_{(1,63)}$	0.560
	Crop biomass			$3.12_{(1,61)}$	0.082
	Soil N content			$0.24_{(1,63)}$	0.627
	Fungi:bacteria			$0.30_{(1,63)}$	0.586
	Soil moisture			$1.36_{(1,61)}$	0.248
	Acari			$2.40_{(1,63)}$	0.127

**Mite density**

Random effects:	Crop type	0.58 <sub>(2,68)</sub>	0.561
Spatial block = 0.0004	Soil texture	2.48 <sub>(2,68)</sub>	0.091
Residual variance =	Biochar	0.07 <sub>(1,69)</sub>	0.799
0.0131	Crop biomass	1.86 <sub>(1,67)</sub>	0.177
	Fungi:bacteria	1.64 <sub>(1,69)</sub>	0.204
	Soil pH	3.28 <sub>(1,68)</sub>	0.074
	Soil moisture	1.31 <sub>(1,68)</sub>	0.257
	Soil N content	1.84 <sub>(1,69)</sub>	0.179
	Collembola	0.65 <sub>(1,69)</sub>	0.424

**Table 5S.** All tests from a linear mixed model (LMM) of the response of plant biomass ( $\text{ng}^{-1}$  soil) to experimental treatments and covariates. Values are estimates of fixed effects and type III (adjusted for other significant terms)  $F$  &  $p$  statistics  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Yearly measurements of aboveground plant biomass ( $n = 3$ ) at the mesocosm level were accounted for using an autoregressive AR(1) structure.  $\times$  = interaction. Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam, SC: sandy clay; Collembola, Acari or Nematoda = density of these soil invertebrates. Bold text indicates significant terms retained in final LMM  $\alpha = 0.05$ , interactions only reported where significant or marginally (i.e.  $p = 0.05$ ) non-significant.

Response	Fixed effect	Class	Estimate	$F_{(\text{ndf}, \text{ddf})}$	$p$
<b>Aboveground plant biomass</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>0.831 \pm 0.238</math></b>		
Random effects:	<b>Crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>0.896 \pm 0.194</math></b>	<b><math>21.31_{(1,113)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Spatial block = 0.0007		Ryegrass	<b>0</b>		
Mesocosm AR(1) = 0.087	<b>Soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>-0.378 \pm 0.157</math></b>	<b><math>6.68_{(2,101)}</math></b>	<b>0.002</b>
Residual variance = 0.043		CL	<b><math>-0.531 \pm 0.148</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Year</b>	2011	<b><math>-0.258 \pm 0.081</math></b>	<b><math>38.17_{(2,118)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
		2012	<b><math>-0.918 \pm 0.114</math></b>		
		2013	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Soil moisture</b>		<b><math>-0.003 \pm 0.010</math></b>	<b><math>4.61_{(1,117)}</math></b>	<b>0.034</b>
	<b>Nematoda</b>		<b><math>-0.024 \pm 0.009</math></b>	<b><math>7.98_{(1,118)}</math></b>	<b>0.006</b>
	<b>Acari</b>		<b><math>0.929 \pm 0.573</math></b>	<b><math>4.52_{(1,58)}</math></b>	<b>0.038</b>
	Soil nitrogen content			$1.08_{(1,117)}$	0.300
	<b>Soil moisture <math>\times</math> crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>0.041 \pm 0.010</math></b>	<b><math>17.77_{(1,115)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
		Ryegrass	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Nitrogen content <math>\times</math> crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>-6.57 \pm 0.546</math></b>	<b><math>97.9_{(1,145)}</math></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
		Ryegrass	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Nitrogen content <math>\times</math> soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>1.414 \pm 0.601</math></b>	<b><math>6.67_{(2,79)}</math></b>	<b>0.002</b>
		CL	<b><math>2.338 \pm 0.661</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Acari <math>\times</math> crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>0.885 \pm 0.428</math></b>	<b><math>4.27_{(1,58)}</math></b>	<b>0.043</b>
		Ryegrass	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Acari <math>\times</math> soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>-1.641 \pm 0.605</math></b>	<b><math>3.68_{(2,57)}</math></b>	<b>0.031</b>
		CL	<b><math>-1.135 \pm 0.581</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	Collembola			$0.43_{(1,57)}$	0.512
	Fungi:bacteria			$2.29_{(1,53)}$	0.136
	Biochar	+-		$0.19_{(1,62)}$	0.666
	Soil pH			$0.05_{(1,89)}$	0.823
<b>Root biomass</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>0.0006 \pm 0.0009</math></b>		
Random effects:	Crop type	Barley	$-0.0003 \pm 0.001$	$0.23_{(1,30)}$	0.638
Spatial block = 0		Ryegrass	<b>0</b>		
Residual variance = 1.39 E-6	<b>Soil texture</b>	SZL	$0.0006 \pm 0.0007$	$0.54_{(2,30)}$	0.590
		CL	$-0.0001 \pm 0.001$		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
		Acari	$-0.0027 \pm 0.0044$	$1.62_{(1,52)}$	0.213
		Nematoda	$-0.0001 \pm 0.0001$	$2.85_{(1,30)}$	0.102
	<b>Acari <math>\times</math> soil texture</b>	SZL	<b><math>0.018 \pm 0.005</math></b>	<b><math>12.21_{(2,30)}</math></b>	<b>0.0001</b>
		CL	<b><math>-0.001 \pm 0.006</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Nematoda <math>\times</math> crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>0.001 \pm 0.001</math></b>	<b><math>7.48_{(1,30)}</math></b>	<b>0.010</b>
		Ryegrass	<b>0</b>		
	Fungi:bacteria			$0.27_{(1,29)}$	0.608
	Biochar			$0.10_{(1,29)}$	0.755
	Collembola			$2.13_{(1,29)}$	0.155
	Soil moisture			$0.13_{(1,30)}$	0.722
	Soil nitrogen content			$0.02_{(1,30)}$	0.891
	Soil pH			$0.01_{(1,29)}$	0.911

**Table 6S.** All tests from a linear mixed model (LMM) of the response of net ecosystem exchange and ecosystem respiration to treatments, covariates and their interactions. Net CO<sub>2</sub> efflux data were expressed as positive values whereas net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake data were expressed as negative values. Values are estimates of fixed effects and type III (adjusted for other significant terms)  $F$  &  $p$  statistics  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Repeated measures at the mesocosm level accounted for with an AR(1) autoregressive structure.  $\times$  = interaction. Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam, SC: sandy clay; Collembola, Acari or Nematoda = density of these soil invertebrates. Bold text indicates significant terms retained in final LMM  $\alpha = 0.05$ , interactions only reported where significant or marginally (i.e.  $p = 0.05$ ) non-significant.

Response	Fixed effect	Class	Estimate	$F_{(ndf, ddf)}$	$p$
<b>Net ecosystem exchange</b>	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>0.304 \pm 0.274</math></b>		
Random effects:	<b>Crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>-1.314 \pm 0.377</math></b>	<b><math>6.07_{(2,299)}</math></b>	<b>0.003</b>
Spatial block = 0.00003		Ryegrass	<b><math>-0.469 \pm 0.364</math></b>		
Mesocosm AR(1) = -0.091		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
Residual variance = 0.069	Sin(Julian day)		-0.010 ± 0.025	0.08 <sub>(1,357)</sub>	0.779
	Cos(Julian day)		<b><math>0.083 \pm 0.021</math></b>	<b><math>308.39_{(1,378)}</math></b>	<0.0001
	Plant biomass		<b><math>0.010 \pm 0.003</math></b>	<b><math>7.92_{(1,316)}</math></b>	<b>0.005</b>
	Year	2011	<b><math>-0.282 \pm 0.031</math></b>	<b><math>41.95_{(2,323)}</math></b>	<0.0001
		2012	<b><math>-0.033 \pm 0.039</math></b>		
		2013	<b>0</b>		
	Soil texture	SZL	<b><math>0.033 \pm 0.023</math></b>	<b><math>7.48_{(2,256)}</math></b>	<b>0.001</b>
		CL	<b><math>0.088 \pm 0.023</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	Nematoda		<b><math>0.007 \pm 0.002</math></b>	<b><math>8.08_{(1,145)}</math></b>	<b>0.005</b>
	Soil pH		-0.031 ± 0.044	0.06 <sub>(1,278)</sub>	0.803
	Soil N content		-0.719 ± 0.363	0.71 <sub>(1,254)</sub>	0.399
	Sin(Julian day) × crop type	Barley	<b><math>0.090 \pm 0.027</math></b>	<b><math>6.16_{(2,357)}</math></b>	<b>0.002</b>
		Ryegrass	<b><math>0.022 \pm 0.026</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	Cos(Julian day) × crop type	Barley	<b><math>0.178 \pm 0.023</math></b>	<b><math>61.59_{(2,376)}</math></b>	<0.0001
		Ryegrass	<b><math>0.246 \pm 0.023</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	Sin(Julian day) × soil type	SZL	-0.026 ± 0.026	1.49 <sub>(2,340)</sub>	0.226
		CL	-0.045 ± 0.026		
		SC	0		
	Cos(Julian day) × soil texture	SZL	<b><math>-0.080 \pm 0.023</math></b>	<b><math>10.37_{(2,380)}</math></b>	<0.0001
		CL	<b><math>-0.097 \pm 0.023</math></b>		
		SC	<b>0</b>		
	Soil pH × crop type	Barley	<b><math>0.133 \pm 0.061</math></b>	<b><math>3.27_{(2,290)}</math></b>	<b>0.039</b>
		Ryegrass	<b><math>-0.017 \pm 0.059</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	Soil N content × crop type	Barley	<b><math>1.697 \pm 0.269</math></b>	<b><math>21.05_{(2,338)}</math></b>	<0.0001
		Ryegrass	<b><math>1.260 \pm 0.282</math></b>		
		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	Biochar			0.037 <sub>(1,261)</sub>	0.544
	Collembola			0.10 <sub>(1,228)</sub>	0.748
	Acari			0.10 <sub>(1,200)</sub>	0.757
	Fungi:bacteria			0.99 <sub>(1,216)</sub>	0.321
	Soil moisture			0.06 <sub>(1,284)</sub>	0.807
<b>Ecosystem respiration</b>					
Random effects:	<b>Intercept</b>		<b><math>0.044 \pm 0.007</math></b>		
Spatial block = 0	<b>Crop type</b>	Barley	<b><math>-0.007 \pm 0.007</math></b>	<b><math>17.87_{(2,185)}</math></b>	<0.0001
Mesocosm AR(1) = -0.25		Ryegrass	<b><math>-0.050 \pm 0.008</math></b>		
Residual variance = 0.013		Unvegetated	<b>0</b>		
	Sin(Julian day)		<b><math>-0.034 \pm 0.004</math></b>	<b><math>57.09_{(1,233)}</math></b>	<0.0001
	Cos(Julian day)		<b><math>-0.008 \pm 0.004</math></b>	<b><math>5.24_{(1,244)}</math></b>	<b>0.023</b>
	Plant biomass		<b><math>0.004 \pm 0.001</math></b>	<b><math>25.09_{(1,208)}</math></b>	<0.0001

<b>Year</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>-0.106 ± 0.011</b>	<b>55.76<sub>(2,274)</sub></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
	<b>2012</b>	<b>-0.055 ± 0.006</b>		
	<b>2013</b>	<b>0</b>		
<b>Nematoda</b>		<b>0.002 ± 0.001</b>	<b>6.38<sub>(1,200)</sub></b>	<b>0.0123</b>
<b>Plant biomass × crop type</b>	<b>Barley</b>	<b>-0.004 ± 0.001</b>	<b>22.49<sub>(1,207)</sub></b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
	<b>Ryegrass</b>	<b>0</b>		
	<b>Unvegetated</b>	<b>0</b>		
Biochar			<b>0.84<sub>(1,180)</sub></b>	<b>0.362</b>
Soil texture			<b>1.21<sub>(2,180)</sub></b>	<b>0.302</b>
Acari			<b>0.01<sub>(1,174)</sub></b>	<b>0.916</b>
Collembola			<b>0.85<sub>(1,179)</sub></b>	<b>0.357</b>
Fungi:bacteria			<b>0.01<sub>(1,175)</sub></b>	<b>0.939</b>
Soil pH			<b>0.15<sub>(1,215)</sub></b>	<b>0.702</b>
Soil N content			<b>0.16<sub>(1,179)</sub></b>	<b>0.687</b>
Soil moisture			<b>1.25<sub>(1,195)</sub></b>	<b>0.264</b>

**Table 7S.** Raw values of soil fauna density, averaged across 72 mesocosms (mean  $\pm$  S.E.). Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SC: sandy clay; SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam.

	Nematoda (individuals g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	Collembola (individuals g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	Acari (individuals g <sup>-1</sup> soil)
<b>Biochar</b>			
+	2.20 $\pm$ 0.22	0.20 $\pm$ 0.02	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02
-	2.64 $\pm$ 0.29	0.16 $\pm$ 0.02	0.13 $\pm$ 0.02
<b>Crop type</b>			
Barley	1.83 $\pm$ 0.22	0.15 $\pm$ 0.02	0.12 $\pm$ 0.02
Ryegrass	3.47 $\pm$ 0.37	0.27 $\pm$ 0.02	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02
Unvegetated	2.03 $\pm$ 0.34	0.13 $\pm$ 0.03	0.15 $\pm$ 0.02
<b>Soil texture</b>			
SC	3.39 $\pm$ 0.45	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02	0.11 $\pm$ 0.01
SZL	2.69 $\pm$ 0.35	0.21 $\pm$ 0.03	0.18 $\pm$ 0.03
CL	1.16 $\pm$ 0.09	0.20 $\pm$ 0.03	0.11 $\pm$ 0.02

**Table 8S.** Raw values of above- and belowground biomass, averaged across 72 mesocosms (mean  $\pm$  S.E.). Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SC: sandy clay; SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam.

	Aboveground biomass, barley ( $\text{g}^{-1}$ mesocosm)	Aboveground biomass, ryegrass ( $\text{g}^{-1}$ mesocosm)	Belowground biomass, barley ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ soil)	Belowground biomass, ryegrass ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ soil)
<b>Biochar</b>				
+	$37.44 \pm 8.38$	$8.36 \pm 1.11$	$0.70 \pm 0.14$	$3.30 \pm 0.60$
-	$34.48 \pm 7.08$	$7.80 \pm 1.21$	$0.82 \pm 0.40$	$3.40 \pm 1.03$
<b>Soil texture</b>				
SC	$64.62 \pm 10.14$	$12.73 \pm 1.40$	$1.40 \pm 0.45$	$4.61 \pm 1.33$
SZL	$21.82 \pm 2.40$	$5.16 \pm 3.51$	$0.24 \pm 0.16$	$2.48 \pm 0.73$
CL	$24.45 \pm 7.07$	$6.10 \pm 1.17$	$0.68 \pm 0.18$	$2.67 \pm 0.56$

**Table 9S.** Raw values of PLFA concentrations, averaged across 72 mesocosms (mean  $\pm$  S.E.). Biochar (+) vs Control (-); SC: sandy clay; SZL: sandy silt loam, CL: clay loam.

	Total PLFA (nmol g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	Fungal to Bacterial Ratio	AMF Fungal PLFA (nmol g <sup>-1</sup> soil)
<b>Biochar</b>			
+	39887 $\pm$ 1963	0.51 $\pm$ 0.04	1410 $\pm$ 84.7
-	38854 $\pm$ 1604	0.50 $\pm$ 0.04	1252 $\pm$ 68.6
<b>Crop type</b>			
Barley	35436 $\pm$ 1193	0.42 $\pm$ 0.03	1194 $\pm$ 51
Ryegrass	51158 $\pm$ 1504	0.69 $\pm$ 0.06	1878 $\pm$ 59
Unvegetated	31518 $\pm$ 1273	0.41 $\pm$ 0.03	921 $\pm$ 28
<b>Soil texture</b>			
SC	43763 $\pm$ 1884	0.43 $\pm$ 0.03	1409 $\pm$ 90
SZL	38930 $\pm$ 2659	0.57 $\pm$ 0.06	1214 $\pm$ 98
CL	35419 $\pm$ 1599	0.52 $\pm$ 0.04	1370 $\pm$ 97