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Summary

An understanding of the fate of nitrate in groundwater is vital for managing risks associated with nitrate pollution, and to safeguard groundwater supplies and groundwater-dependent surface waters. One of the main measures to control nitrate is the designation of nitrate vulnerable zones (NVZs). Recent review of the designation process in England has highlighted that in some locations measured groundwater nitrate concentrations were not as high as expected. A possible reason for this is that the nitrate is being transformed through denitrification. This review forms the first stage in the development of a tiered approach to assessing denitrification potential across groundwater in England (and Wales) using three main lines of evidence: groundwater quality indicators, geological mapping of confined aquifers and superficial deposits which can influence redox status and a mass balance approach.

Denitrification can take place either heterotrophically, where microorganisms use a sequence of ions as electron acceptors to enable the consumption of organic carbon, generating intermediates or by-products that can be used as process indicators, or autotrophically where pyrite is used as an electron donor and is oxidised to sulphate. Other nitrogen cycle processes which can produce some similar intermediates can include dissimilatory nitrate reduction directly to ammonia (DRNA), assimilatory nitrate reduction to organic nitrogen, anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) and nitrification.

Demonstrating and quantifying denitrification is difficult, requiring specialist measurements and with different problems in environment and scale. Stable isotopic methods have been the most widely applied. Only a mass balance approach appears to be feasible in groundwater without new specialist measurements.

Groundwater quality indicators

A sequence of threshold concentrations for identifying redox processes have already been developed by other workers and these combined with existing monitoring data should form the basis for identifying areas where denitrification is either likely or unlikely. Groundwater quality from the Environment Agency strategic monitoring network includes nitrogen species, TON or NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ and other parameters that could be indicative of reducing conditions, dissolved O₂, dissolved organic C, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, SO₄²⁻ and Eh.

Mapping of confined zones and key superficial deposits

Many studies have confirmed the presence of redox boundaries in confined aquifers. Literature data on low redox zones indicating denitrification has focussed predominantly on the confined zones of major aquifers. In England and Wales, these have included the Chalk, Permo-Triassic sandstone and the Lincolnshire Limestone. The boundary occurs some distance down dip from the start of confinement depending on the aquifer flow regime. Both BGS/Environment Agency Baseline reports and the Environment Agency’s groundwater quality reports contain qualitative statements about denitrification in areas of confined aquifers. These include the limestones of the Corallian, the Great and Inferior Oolites, the Zechstein Group (Magnesian Limestone) and the Carboniferous, and the sandstones of the Palaeogene, Crag, Lower Greensand, Millstone Grit and the Old Red Sandstone. The confined zones of aquifers or groups of aquifers can be represented by defining aquifer subcrop from the BGS 3D model and applying a lower depth limit (conventionally 400 m).

Superficial deposits also have a role, both in confinement of underlying aquifers and as a source of organic rich infiltration. The hyporheic zone can also have a role and this needs to be taken into account in assessing the extent of denitrification potential. These can be represented from superficial cover mapping. Predisposing settings include were sediment thickness and
permeability, groundwater baseflow index as a measure of groundwater–stream connectivity, and sediment geochemistry.

*Mass balance approach*

In some areas, inputs of nitrogen do not appear to be reflected in current groundwater concentrations. Inputs could include applications to arable land, urban areas and aerial deposition. One example of this is the area defined during NVZ designation.

Each of these lines of evidence is likely to provide a partial picture of denitrification potential. A tiered approach will be developed to combine these into a useful format together with applicability and limitations for each type of evidence.
1 Introduction

1.1 BACKGROUND

An understanding of the fate of nitrate in groundwater is vital for managing risks associated with nitrate pollution, and to safeguard groundwater supplies and groundwater-dependent surface waters Rivett et al. (2008). In recognition of the risks and observed impacts, the European Commission introduced a directive to control the inputs of agricultural sources of nitrate to groundwater and surface water through the Nitrates Directive and the more recent Water Framework Directive. One of the main measures to control nitrate is the designation of nitrate vulnerable zones (NVZs). Recent review of the designation of NVZs in England has highlighted that in some locations measured groundwater nitrate concentrations were not as high as expected based on the model used. A possible reason for this is that the nitrate is being transformed through denitrification.

1.2 AIMS AND APPROACH

To develop a tiered approach to assessing denitrification potential across groundwater in England (and Wales) using literature and existing groundwater and other relevant data. It is proposed to use three main lines of evidence:

1. Literature data on documented low redox zones indicating denitrification. These are predominantly in the confined zones of major aquifers. Sources will include papers and other published material, such as the BGS/Environment Agency Baseline reports and the Environment Agency’s groundwater quality reports.

2. Groundwater monitoring data. In the first instance, values from the Environment Agency strategic monitoring network will be used. This will include nitrogen species, TON or NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$. It will also include parameters that could be indicative of suitable reducing conditions, dissolved O$_2$, dissolved organic C, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and Eh. These will also be used to attempt to delineate areas where widespread denitrification is unlikely.

3. Mass balance approaches. These will identify areas where inputs of N do not appear to be reflected in current groundwater concentrations. Inputs could include N applications to arable land and urban areas, and aerial deposition.

Each of these lines of evidence is likely to provide a partial picture of denitrification potential. A tiered approach will be developed to combine these into a useful format together with applicability and limitations for each type of evidence. The results will be related to the geological setting for both bedrock and superficial deposits.

1.3 STRUCTURE

This report addresses the first line of evidence. Section 2 provides a high-level review of denitrification processes, their measurement and parameters that could be used as potential indicators to be taken forwards to the evaluation of monitoring data. Section 3 reviews typical settings from the international literature and Section 4 reviews relevant data and estimates from the UK related to denitrification; this will include both published literature and Environment Agency and BGS reports. This will provide conceptual type settings in England where denitrification is likely to occur. Section 5 provides and approach to data requirements and construction of the map.
2 Processes, measurements and indicators

2.1 DEINITRIFICATION PROCESS

Nitrogen is an essential nutrient for plant and animal growth, and is cycled in the natural environment in a complex series of biological and chemical processes (Hiscock et al., 1991). In groundwater, denitrification is the dominant nitrate (NO$_3^-$) attenuation process and becomes active where oxygen is depleted. In aerobic heterotrophic respiration, organic carbon is oxidized preferentially with the electron acceptor that supplies most energy to the microorganisms, namely free oxygen (O$_2$) (Rivett et al., 2008). With an excess of organic carbon, aerobic bacteria consume dissolved oxygen (DO) until it is depleted whereupon reduction of other electron acceptors becomes energetically favourable. Once DO is consumed, facultative anaerobes (bacteria capable of growing with or without O$_2$) use NO$_3^-$ as an electron acceptor for anaerobic respiration, the denitrification process. The sequence of predominant terminal electron-accepting processes (TEAPs) was set out by Lovley and Chapelle (1995).

Denitrification is the reduction of NO$_3^-$ normally carried out by anaerobic bacteria to form N species ultimately lost to the atmosphere. This process produces a series of intermediates, including the nitrite ion (NO$_2^-$), nitric oxide (NO) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), with the final step being the production of gaseous nitrogen (N$_2$). Denitrification is thus a dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction process with N being lost from the groundwater system. These intermediaries have been used to demonstrate denitrification (Anderson et al., 2014; Bragan et al., 1997; Duff and Triska, 1990; Groffman et al., 1998; Li et al., 2013; Mühlherr and Hiscock, 1997, 1998). Isotopic fractionation has also been used (Granger et al., 2008).

HETEROTROPHIC DEINITRIFICATION

There have been a number of reviews of groundwater denitrification in the UK context. Hiscock et al. (1991) reviewed the necessary environmental conditions for denitrification in groundwater and extended these to artificial denitrification. They stated that most denitrifying bacteria are heterotrophic and are able to utilize a wide range of carbon compounds (sugars, organic acids, amino acids) as electron sources. Nutrient requirements are further discussed by Champ et al. (1979) and Bitton and Gerba (1984). Historical evidence appeared to show that NO$_3^-$ reduction was not observed at concentrations above 0.2 mg/L (Skerman and MacRae, 1957). This did not take account of more modern concepts of small, protected niches (hotspots) which enable organisms to live in conditions different from the bulk conditions. A biofilm even just a few cells thick can provide enough cover to have an anaerobic layer in an ostensibly aerobic environment. Dependence on pH range and temperature are covered by Gauntlett and Craft (1979). However Rivett et al. (2008) concluded that the critical limiting factors are oxygen tension and electron donor concentration and availability. Variability in other environmental conditions such as NO$_3^-$ concentration, nutrient availability, pH, temperature, presence of toxins and microbial acclimation appears to be less important, exerting only secondary influences on denitrification rates. Korom (1992) included both denitrification and dissimilatory reduction to ammonia (DNRA) in their review of saturated zone processes. They concluded that natural denitrification can decrease NO$_3^-$ contamination in modern waters but that it was difficult to predict the rate.
Figure 2.1  The sequence of reaction zones developing as groundwater moves along flow pathways from recharge to confined conditions (after Shand et al., 2007) (from Appelo and Postma, 2005)

Table 2.1  Electron acceptor sequence for oxidation of organic carbon (after Edmunds et al., 1984; Lovley and Chapelle, 1995; Rivett et al., 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electron acceptor</th>
<th>Initial species</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>ΔG kJ/eq</th>
<th>Relative energy yield</th>
<th>Solution Eh (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Aerobic oxidation</td>
<td>-125 – -120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>NO₃⁻</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>Denitrification</td>
<td>-119 – -114</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>+231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄</td>
<td>DNRA</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Mn⁴⁺ sp.</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ sp.</td>
<td>Manganese reduction</td>
<td>-81.3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>+62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Fe³⁺ sp.</td>
<td>Fe²⁺ sp.</td>
<td>Iron reduction</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>HS⁻</td>
<td>Sulphate reduction</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Methanogenesis</td>
<td>-23→-22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After consumption of NO₃⁻, a further sequence of ions can be used as electron acceptors with decreasing energetic yields in a step-wise process. These include reduction of Mn⁴⁺ then Fe³⁺ to soluble oxidation states (Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ species) with increase in observed concentrations, reduction of sulphate (SO₄²⁻) to S species, and finally the reduction of carbon dioxide to methane (Figure 2.1 and Table 2.1). The presence or absence of these parameters can be used as indicators of low redox and therefore of denitrification potential (McMahon and Chapelle, 2008). This reaction sequence is commonly seen along groundwater flow lines (Edmunds et al., 1982; Edmunds et al., 1984) typically as aquifers become confined.

Most likely denitrifying organisms possess truncated pathways which require synergistic relationships among different denitrifying species to complete reduction to N₂ (Jones et al., 2013) and this can be the source of the accumulation of N₂O as a greenhouse gas (Müller et al., 2014). Seitzinger et al. (2006) argue that groundwater is an important location for denitrification due to long groundwater residence times, but the uncertainty is large.
AUTOTROPHIC DENITRIFICATION

Korom (1992) also discuss the denitrification process in the context of addition of NO$_3^-$ to groundwater where Mn, Fe or SO$_4^-$ have already been reduced, where autotrophic denitrification may occur using the reduced inorganic compounds as electron donors. They found that groundwater containing Fe$^{2+}$ did not contain any observable NO$_3^-$. Autotrophic denitrification coupled to sulphide or Fe oxidation has been proven for microbiological isolates ((Straub et al., 1996; Weber et al., 2006) but demonstrating this at the field-scale is more difficult. Nitrate reduction by oxidation of pyrite should lead to increased concentrations of SO$_4^-$. Schwientek et al. (2008) looked for evidence that denitrification could be regulated by pyrite oxidation. A combination of sulphur isotopes coupled with assessment of long (c 100 years) travel times indicated that this was likely to be at a very slow rate. Zhang et al. (2009) showed that NO$_3^-$ removal from the groundwater below cultivated fields at Oostrum, Netherlands, correlated with SO$_4^-$ production, and the release of dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ and pyrite-associated trace metals (e.g. As, Ni, Co and Zn). These results, and the presence of pyrite in the sediment matrix within the NO$_3^-$ removal zone, indicated that denitrification coupled to pyrite oxidation (autotrophic denitrification) was a major process in the aquifer. A number of modelling studies also indicated that pyrite oxidation was a potential pathway (e.g. Wriedt and Rode, 2006). These processes via Fe or sulphur oxidation are termed chemoautotrophic denitrification (Burgin and Hamilton, 2007).

Jahangir et al. (2013) found a positive correlation between groundwater SO$_4^-$ concentration and denitrification rate and suggest that, due to low DOC in most groundwater environments, denitrification may well be autotrophic. A similar correlation with NH$_4^+$ was attributed to possible DRNA.

2.2 OTHER N CYCLE PROCESSES

Denitrification is only part of the nitrogen cycle and a number of other processes leading to the immobilisation of N or to the production of similar intermediate species may be operating. These include:

- **Dissimilatory nitrate reduction directly to NH$_4^+$ (DRNA).** DRNA occurs under much the same conditions as denitrification, but is less commonly observed in practice. Compared to NO$_3^-$ the resultant NH$_4^+$ is a more biologically available and less mobile form of inorganic N (Burgin and Hamilton, 2007). The partitioning of NO$_3^-$ between denitrification and DRNA is believed to be controlled by the availability of organic matter: DRNA is the favoured process when NO$_3^-$ (electron acceptor) supplies are limiting and denitrification is favoured when carbon (electron donor) supplies are limiting (Korom, 1992). There are two recognised DRNA pathways, fermentation of organic matter and autotrophic sulphur oxidation, where N$_2$ may be produced (Burgin and Hamilton, 2007).

- **Assimilatory nitrate reduction (NO$_3^-$ => organic N).** In the presence of NH$_4^+$, this is generally taken up preferentially from water by biomass. It can also be taken up by phreatophytes such as poplars or willows (Rivett et al., 2008).

- **Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) (NO$_2^-$ + NH$_4^+$ => N$_2$).** This mainly occurs in soils and in the marine environment and estuaries (Dalsgaard et al., 2005).

- **Nitrification (NH$_4^+$ => N$_2$O => NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$).** Buss et al. (2004) reviewed the attenuation of ammonium, although in the context of landfill leachate contamination, and showed this to be predominantly due to nitrification and to cation exchange predominantly on clay minerals. The presence of N$_2$O as an indicator of denitrification is not necessarily conclusive as it may form from partial nitrification of ammonium (De Groot et al., 1994; Kinniburgh et al., 1999).
Abiotic processes Burgin and Hamilton (2007) review the relative importance of these processes for the removal of N and conclude that although relatively little is known, they may still be significant in the removal of up to 50% of NO₃ loading.

2.3 MEASUREMENTS OF DENITRIFICATION

Groffman et al. (2006) set out the available approaches to demonstrating and quantifying denitrification (Table 2.2). They conclude that this process is very difficult to measure with different problems in various environments and at different scales. They predicted that mass balance and stoichiometric approaches combined with point measurements would be likely to provide the greatest improvements to current understanding. The references provided in Table 2.2 were added from the groundwater literature during compilation of this report. These demonstrate that stable isotopic methods have been the most widely applied. Rivett et al. (2007) also describe some of these methods to confirm NO₃ attenuation occurrence. Of the methods shown in Table 2.2, only the mass balance approach appears to be feasible in groundwater without new specialist measurements.

2.3.1 Rates

There appear to be very few estimates of denitrification rates in groundwater. Korom (1992) tabulated a range of laboratory denitrification rates for aquifer samples in the range of 0.004 to 1.16 mgN/kg dry sediment/day and for aquifers of <LOD to 3.1 mgN/L/day. They also include half-lives of 1.2 to 2.1 years in sand and gravelly sand from Kölle et al. (1985) and Böttcher et al. (1989).

Tesoriero et al. (2000) investigated the rate and mechanisms of NO₃ removal in an unconfined sand and gravel aquifer using a series of well nests in the Abbotsford-Sumas aquifer on the west USA-Canadian border. Little or no denitrification was observed in the upland portions of the aquifer but a gradual redox gradient as water moved deeper into the aquifer was observed. A complete loss of NO₃ was observed and pyrite oxidation was considered to be the electron source. Denitrification rate estimates were based on mass balance calculations using NO₃ and excess N₂ coupled with groundwater travel times. Denitrification rates in the deep, upland portions of the aquifer were found to range from <0.01 to 0.14 mM of N per year; rates at the redox gradient along the shallow flow path range from 1.0 to 2.7 mM of N/year.

Zhang et al. (2009) estimated the rate of denitrification in the Oostrum study to be 0.6 mM NO₃/year for a 5-m section of depleted aquifer at the top of a well with NO₃ concentration of 3 mM in the lower sections.

Jahangir et al. (2013) measured in-situ groundwater denitrification rates in subsoil, at the bedrock interface and in bedrock at two sites in Ireland, grassland and arable, using an in-situ ‘push–pull’ method with ¹⁵N-labelled NO₃⁻. Measured groundwater denitrification rates ranged from 1.3 to 469.5 μg N/kg/day. Exceptionally high denitrification rates observed at the bedrock interface at the grassland site (470±152 μg N/kg/day) suggest that deep groundwater can serve as substantial hotspots for NO₃−-N removal. However, denitrification rates at the other locations were low. Denitrification rates were negatively correlated with ambient DO, redox potential, permeability and NO₃⁻ (all p values, p<0.01) and positively correlated with SO₄²⁻ (p<0.05). A higher mean N₂O/(N₂O+N₂) ratios at an arable site (0.28) compared to a grassland site (0.10) revealed that the arable site had higher potential to indirect N₂O emissions.
Table 2.2 Methods for assessing denitrification in soils, sediments and water (after Groffman et al., 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene-based methods</td>
<td>Inhibit the reduction of N₂O to N₂</td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Bragan et al. (1997); Groffman et al. (1999); Mühlherr and Hiscock (1997, 1998); Weymann et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁵N tracers</td>
<td>Addition of radio-labelled NO₃</td>
<td>Isotope fractionation, or dilution, ¹⁵N mass balance, direct measurement of ¹⁵N-labelled gases</td>
<td>Christensen et al. (1990). Few recent references for groundwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct N₂ quantification</td>
<td>Direct estimation from soil</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>Vogel et al. (1981); Wilson et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂:Ar quantification</td>
<td>Estimate excess N₂</td>
<td>Needs precise MS measurements</td>
<td>Blicher-Mathiesen et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass balance approaches</td>
<td>Estimate by difference</td>
<td>Measure all other fluxes, generally whole catchment</td>
<td>Böhlke et al. (2002); Lindsey et al. (2003); Tesoriero et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoichiometric approaches</td>
<td>Uses constant C:N:P in organic matter to estimate N losses</td>
<td>Problems with surface water due to changes in C &amp; P</td>
<td>Works for marine environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable isotopes to measure isotopic fractionation</td>
<td>Use δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O (plus S and C isotopes)</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
<td>Aravena and Robertson (1998); Böhlke and Denver (1995); Böhlke et al. (2002); Böttcher et al. (1990); Chen and MacQuarrie (2005); Fukada et al. (2003); Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel (1998, 2003); Komor and Anderson (1993); Mariotti et al. (1988); Wilson et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-situ gradients</td>
<td>Use environmental tracers as age indicators</td>
<td>CFCs, SF₆, ³H, ¹⁴C</td>
<td>Böhlke et al. (2002); Cook and Böhlke (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular approaches</td>
<td>Study microbial community</td>
<td>Functional genes in denitrification pathway-DNA probes, PCR</td>
<td>Barrett et al. (2013); Wakelin et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keuskamp et al. (2012) attempted to model the extent of denitrification and N₂O production at the European scale using an improved version of the IMAGE model. They assumed a first order decay process with a range of half-lives for NO₃, based on lithology, texture and effective porosity. These ranged from 1 year for silici-clastic medium fine consolidated rocks (pyrite-bearing), 2 years for alluvial materials to 5 years for unconsolidated coarse sediments, based on the data from Korom, (2002) and Zessner et al. (2005). In all river basins modelled deep groundwater NO₃ outflow was much less than the outflow from shallow groundwater. Modelled N₂O production was very heterogeneous, with large regions having no groundwater and therefore short travel times or no deep groundwater, and therefore no denitrification or N₂O production expected.
2.4 OTHER POTENTIAL INDICATORS

2.4.1 Redox sequence ions

It is anticipated that there will be a sequence of redox changes as water migrates from upland recharge areas to lowland discharge areas under confined conditions. Champ et al. (1979) identified 3 zones O₂-NO₃, Fe-Mn, sulphide. Hiscock et al. (1991) showed change in redox potential is often accompanied by a sequential reduction in dissolved groundwater species which is sited as proof of denitrification.

This reaction sequence is commonly seen along groundwater flow lines (Edmunds et al., 1982; Edmunds et al., 1984) typically as aquifers become confined. Water at recharge is generally saturated with DO at the partial pressure of the atmosphere (10-12 mg/L depending upon barometric conditions). Passing through the soil and the unsaturated zone some of this O₂ will react as a result of microbiological processes and oxidation-reduction reactions. However, almost all water reaching the water table still contains several mg/L O₂. Geochemical and microbial reactions progressively remove the O₂ along flow lines. Once all the O₂ has reacted an abrupt change of water chemistry takes place (redox boundary). Down-gradient of the redox boundary, denitrification occurs and it is likely that Fe²⁺ concentrations will increase. Sulphate reduction and the production of sulphide (H₂S as S²⁻ in solution) may also occur at greater depths (Figure 2.2).

Denitrification can also be important in shallow groundwater where recharge contains an elevated loading of organic carbon. (Smith et al., 1991; Spalding and Parrott, 1994; Zarnetske et al., 2011b). In their review of floodplain processes, Stuart and Lapworth (2011) tabulated a set of criteria characterising the redox zones (Table 2.3) based on earlier work. These used a series of indicators including the electron acceptors O₂, NO₃ and SO₄, intermediates NO₂ and N₂O and the solid phase acceptors Mn⁴⁺ and Fe³⁺, indicated by the presence of dissolved Mn and Fe, and eventually methane. The values shown in Table 2.3 were applied to landfill leachate plumes and some concentrations, particularly for Fe, are high.

![Schematic redox boundary in the Lincolnshire Limestone aquifer](Image)

Figure 2.2 Schematic redox boundary in the Lincolnshire Limestone aquifer (after Griffiths et al., 2006)
Table 2.3  Redox processes in order of decreasing energy yield and criteria for assigning redox status (in Stuart and Lapworth (2011) after Lyngkilde and Christensen (1992) and Bjerg et al. (1995))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respiration</th>
<th>Energy yielding process</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Reference for criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>O₂ reduction</td>
<td>O₂ mg/L</td>
<td>≥0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃⁻ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₂⁻ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SO₄²⁻ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃⁻ reduction</td>
<td>NO₃⁻ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn⁴⁺ reduction</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe³⁺ reduction</td>
<td>Fe²⁺ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO₄²⁻ reduction</td>
<td>SO₄²⁻ mg/L</td>
<td>≥0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methano genesis</td>
<td>CH₄ mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

McMahon and Chapelle (2008) evaluated redox conditions in 15 principal aquifers across the USA. They used a series of indicators including the electron acceptors DO, NO₃ and SO₄, and the solid phase acceptors Mn⁴⁺ and Fe³⁺, indicated by the presence of dissolved Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ (Table 2.4). Parameters included were chosen because they were relatively inexpensive and easy to measure, and most of them were commonly measured in regional water quality assessments.
They recommended that other redox indicators, such as NH₄, H₂S, CH₄, and H₂, should be measured whenever possible. An internally consistent set of threshold criteria was developed and applied to the aquifers using data from the NWQA Program. These were then related to both natural (As) and anthropogenic (NO₃ and VOCs) contaminant distribution. The redox conditions explained many of the observed water quality trends at the regional scale. Identification of zones of redox heterogeneity were also important for assessing the fate and transport of contaminants. This approach is similar to that of Lyngkilde and Christensen (1992) and Bjerg et al. (1995) above but uses lower concentrations.

Zhang et al. (2009) studied NO₃ removal from a sandy aquifer below cultivated fields and forested areas at Oostrum in the Netherlands. Nitrate loss correlated with SO₄ production, and increase in dissolved Fe²⁺ and pyrite-associated trace metals (e.g. As, Ni, Co and Zn) in a zone between 10 and 20 m deep. These results indicated that denitrification coupled to pyrite oxidation is a major process in the aquifer. Significant NO₃ loss coupled to SO₄ production was further confirmed by comparing historical estimates of regional SO₄ and NO₃ loadings to age-dated groundwater SO₄ and NO₃ concentrations, for the period 1950–2000. This highlights a warning against always anticipating SO₄ removal as redox decreases.

Lee et al. (2008) characterised the redox conditions in an arsenic-affected aquifer in the Lanyang Plain, Taiwan. Discriminant analysis was adopted to delineate three redox zones (oxidative, transitional and reductive zones) in different aquifers and yielded over 90% agreement with groundwater quality data. According to the DA results, the groundwater of the Lanyang plain was classified as Zone 1 (oxidizing zone) if DO ≥ 1 mg/L or NO₃ ≥ 0.35 mg/L, Zone 2 (transitional zone), if DO was between 0.3 and 1 mg/L or NO₃ < 0.35 mg/L and Zone 3 (reducing zone) if DO< 0.3 mg/L or HS⁻ ≥ 0.07mg/L.

2.4.2 Predisposing settings approach

Smith et al. (2009) proposed a classification scheme for pollutant attenuation at the groundwater-surface water interface/mixing zone. They assumed that a variety of factors influence the rate and magnitude of natural attenuation processes in the subsurface. These included contaminant-specific properties, such as structure, composition, sorption properties and recalcitrance, and environmental properties including sediment geochemistry, hydrochemistry, transport velocity and residence time in reactive zones. The primary properties included were sediment thickness and permeability (as a measure of relative residence time within the GW–SW interface), groundwater baseflow index as a measure of groundwater–stream connectivity, and sediment geochemistry using cation exchange capacity, the fraction of organic carbon (fₒc) and total inorganic carbon (TIC) to represent the retardation potential.

They postulated that in the case of denitrification the fₒc of the sediments may be used as a proxy measure of denitrification potential, on the assumption that denitrifying bacteria are ubiquitous in the environment and that fₒc is a reasonable indicator of redox conditions (Rivett et al., 2008). Where the fₒc value is high, anaerobic conditions conducive to denitrification are more likely to dominate. Thresholds were proposed as 3% organic carbon content along a riparian flow line based on work by Dahl et al. (2007) and Hoffman et al. (2000). The work was focussed on data collected under river baseflow conditions and analysis using national scale data and was related to surface water aquifer conditions.

2.4.3 Absence of predicted N

Denitrification can be inferred from the absence of groundwater concentrations which would be predicted from the nitrogen source term. Inputs could include N applications to arable land and urban areas, and aerial deposition.
NVZs

An example of this approach was produced by Stuart et al. (2017). Figure 2.3 uses data compiled for the NVZ designation process to highlight areas where N applications are high but groundwater concentrations do not reflect this and the overall risk is not high enough for NVZ designation. Areas where this may be due to a long travel time from the surface have been excluded by defining a travel time of <10 years.

![Figure 2.3 Areas of England and Wales where the NVZ designation process showed that the nitrate source term was significant (pressure score >3) but nitrate concentrations measured in groundwater were not high leading to an intermediate risk (score is between 3 and 8) (Stuart et al., 2017)](image)

N IN RAINFALL

Nitrogen species in rainfall recharge has been estimated for the individual areas covered by the BGS Baseline Survey. These reports indicate that evaporated rainfall, concentrations multiplied by 3, is likely to contain between 0.75 and 5.5 mg/L of N as NO₃ and NH₄. Assuming this is all oxidised to NO₃, this would represent between 3.2 and 23.5 mg/L in recharge. Lowest concentrations were measured in North Yorkshire and Wessex, and in other sites in the west and southwest and, and the highest in Derbyshire and the North Downs. Areas in central England tended to have about 2-3 mg/L N in evaporated rainfall. Highest concentrations of NO₃ were estimated for the North Downs, Derbyshire Carboniferous Limestone and sites up the east coast. Highest concentrations of NH₄ were also estimated in East Anglia.

Clearly, this simple pattern does not allow for a number of processes that could intercept N including runoff and plant up-take.
RoTAP (2012) have also modelled nitrogen concentrations in rainfall. Figure 2.4 shows both aerosol and volume weighted concentrations of NO₃ in precipitation in 2008. Note precipitation units in µeq/L. The lower limit (11 µeq/L) corresponds to 0.7 mg/L NO₃ (0.22 mg/L as N) and the upper (32 µeq/L) to 1.98 mg/L (0.49 mg/L NO₃ as N). Multiplying these by 3 as above indicates areas of Wales and Western Scotland to be receiving <0.66 mg/L NO₃-N and East Anglia to be receiving rainfall with >1.5 NO₃-N.

A similar RoTAP map for reduced N species indicates a similar distribution for particulate NH₃ with masses about 1.5 times higher, but with higher masses extending to London and to the extreme southwest. The conversion into concentrations in precipitation was not estimated but it can be assumed that the amount deposited could be 1.5 times that of NO₃. Gaseous concentrations however have a different distribution.

This could suggest N deposition in rainfall to be between <1.7 mg/L and >3.8 mg/L or assuming this all to be oxidised to NO₃ as <7.6 to >17 mg/L. This broadly agrees with estimates made in the baseline reports.

Figure 2.4 Annual average measured concentrations of (a) aerosol NO₃− and (b) volume-weighted concentrations of NO₃− in precipitation in 2008 (from RoTAP, 2012)
2.5 REGIONAL/NATIONAL APPROACHES

2.5.1 Modelling approach

Keuskamp et al. (2012) describe a spatial model for simulating the fate of N in both soil and groundwater with the aim of predicting both N leaching and N₂O emissions. This used an improved version of the global IMAGE model (Bouwman et al., 2006) which takes spatial heterogeneity at the European scale into account.

This model uses a 1×1 km grid and computes a steady state annual water balance at the surface.

- Runoff is partitioned into three depth classes, surface runoff in the top 1 metre, shallow groundwater in the next 5 metres draining to local surface water at short distances and deep groundwater below 6 metres and 50 m thick draining to large rivers at greater distances.
- Travel time distribution calculated by using lithological classes and the time distribution described by Meinardi (1994) assuming that the deep system is fed by a vertically, uniformly draining shallow system.
- N leaching factors were based on the MITERRA model (Velthof et al., 2009) and required N inputs per land use type, soil texture, rooting depth, soil temperature and soil organic carbon.
- Denitrification was calculated using a hole-in-the-pipe model and assuming a first order decay process. In this model, the rate of NO flux from soils depends on both the “flow through the pipe”—the rates of nitrification and denitrification—and the size of the “holes in the pipe,” which were determined by environmental conditions such as soil moisture and temperature (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). The more N “flowing through the pipe,” the higher NO fluxes will be for a given set of environmental conditions.

The model predicted that travel times were 0.5 to 10 years in shallow groundwater and mainly between 50 and 200 years for deep systems. At the European scale only what appear to be areas of the Chalk were plotted on the distribution map for the UK. None of the large rivers systems for which a detailed nitrogen budget has been reported are in the UK. Calculated NO₃ delivery to the Thames, Severn and Trent was close to reported values whereas it was greater for the Mersey.

2.5.2 Statistical approaches

DENMARK

In a national assessment, the groundwater nitrate reduction for catchments without monitoring data, was estimated by a statistical model (Blicher-Mathiesen et al., 2014). The N reduction was estimated as the fraction of aerobic/anoxic water flux above and the anaerobic water flux beneath the redox cline in 1 km² grids. The depth to the redox cline was defined from the changes in the sediment colour from 12,000 national boreholes or from geological description. The estimated N reduction was calibrated to the N reduction calculated for 56 large (>50 km²) monitored catchments. The results showed areas over 80% of the loading was removed between the root zone and the marine receptor.

NEW ZEALAND

Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) for predicting groundwater redox status was applied to a major dairy farming region in New Zealand (Wilson et al., 2018). Data cases were developed by assigning a redox status to samples derived from a regional groundwater quality database. This was based on NO₃ <0.5 mg/L, Mn >0.5 mg/L and DO <1.0 mg/L. The majority of groundwater samples were from inland alluvial aquifers.
Pre-existing regional-scale geospatial databases were used as training variables for the discriminant functions. Variables included elevation, slope, drainage, land use and water depth. The models predicted 23% of the region as being reducing at shallow depths (<15 m), and 37% at medium depths (15–75 m). Predictions were made at a sub-regional level to determine whether improvements could be made with discriminant functions trained by local data. The results indicated that any gains in predictive success were offset by loss of confidence in the predictions due to the reduction in the number of samples used.

The regional scale model predictions indicated that subsurface reducing conditions predominated at low elevations on the coastal plains where poorly drained soils are widespread. Additional indicators for subsurface denitrification are a high carbon content of the soil, a shallow water table, and low-permeability clastic sediments.

2.5.3 Review approach

A map for spatially variable nitrate reduction in groundwater covering six countries in the Baltic Sea Basin, Denmark, Sweden, Finland, Lithuania, Poland and Germany was developed (Højberg et al., 2017) designed to provide an independent estimate of the nitrogen reduction in groundwater. The total area discharging to the Baltic Sea is about 1,720,270 km² spanning large climatic and land use variations. Mapping was based on review of national data and studies including process-based models, source apportionment models and hydrological and drainage maps. Depending on availability, different approaches were used for the countries ranging from national modelling for Denmark and Sweden to expert judgement for Poland.

The review revealed large variations in the hydrogeochemical conditions important for transport and degradation of nitrogen in groundwater. This included the hydrogeology, the reducing conditions of the subsurface, and the fraction of water transported by drainage systems bypassing the reducing subsurface environments. Significant variations in groundwater reduction between the countries and within most of the countries were found, indicating that strategies for nitrogen regulation and mitigation measures may be optimised, if variation in the natural reduction of nitrate is considered.
3 Typical settings

3.1 AQUIFERS

3.1.1 Confined zones

Many studies have confirmed the presence of redox boundaries in confined aquifers similar to Figure 2.2. The boundary occurs some distance down dip from the start of confinement depending on the aquifer flow regime. For the UK these studies are described further in Section 4.

In a study of the Triassic sandstone aquifer of Lorraine (LTSA), Celle-Jeanton et al. (2009) found an increase in most of the chemical parameters along the flow path, from unconfined to confined aquifer. This general trend was correlated with the evolution of groundwater residence time and was very close to the conceptual model developed for the East Midlands Aquifer, UK, which showed similar geological and hydrogeological patterns. However, in the LTSA, there was only limited contamination by NO3 with concentrations varying from 1.2 to 14.3 mg/L.

3.1.2 Unconfined zones

An assessment of NO3 concentrations in groundwater in the United States by Burow et al. (2010) indicated that concentrations were highest in shallow groundwater beneath agricultural land use in areas with well-drained soils and oxic geochemical conditions. Concentrations were lowest in deep groundwater where groundwater is reduced, or where groundwater is older and concentrations reflect historically low N application rates. Classification and regression tree analysis was used to identify the relative importance of N inputs, biogeochemical processes, and physical aquifer properties in explaining groundwater NO3 concentrations. The analysis showed that dissolved Fe concentrations explained most of the variation in groundwater NO3 concentration, followed by Mn, Ca, farm N fertilizer inputs, percent well-drained soils and DO. Overall, NO3 concentrations in groundwater were most significantly affected by redox conditions, followed by nonpoint-source N inputs, indicating importantly that redox can overcome N inputs.

Geochemical and isotopic tools were applied at aquifer, transect, and subtransect scales by Hinkle et al. (2007) to provide a framework for understanding sources, transport, and fate of dissolved inorganic N in a sandy aquifer near La Pine, Oregon. NO3 was a common contaminant in shallow groundwater in this area, whereas high concentrations of NH4 (up to 39 mg/L as N) were present in deep groundwater. N concentrations, N/Cl ratios, tracer-based groundwater ages, N isotope data, and hydraulic gradients indicated that septic tank effluent was the primary source. Nitrogen isotope data, N/Cl and N/C ratios, 3H data, and hydraulic considerations pointed to a natural, sedimentary organic matter source for the NH4. Low recharge rates and flow velocities largely restricted anthropogenic NO3 to isolated plumes within several metres of the water table. Geochemical and isotopic data indicated that denitrification also affected NO3 gradients in the aquifer. Groundwater evolved from oxic to increasingly reduced conditions. Suboxic conditions were achieved after about 15–30 years of transport below the water table. NO3 was denitrified near the oxic/suboxic boundary. Denitrification was characterized at the aquifer scale with a redox boundary approach that captured spatial variability in the distribution of electron donors.

3.1.3 Superficial deposits

Postma et al. (1991) investigated NO3 distribution and reduction processes an unconfined Quaternary sandy aquifer in Denmark. The aquifer was subdivided into an upper 10- to 15-m thick oxic zone that contained O2 and NO3-, and a lower anoxic zone characterized by Fe2+-rich
waters. The redox boundary was very sharp, suggesting that reduction processes were fast compared to the rate of downward water transport. Nitrate-contaminated groundwater TDSs were two to four times higher than in groundwater derived from a forested area. The persistence of the high content of TDS in the anoxic zone and the absence of both NO₂ and NH₄ indicated the downward migration of contaminants and that active NO₃ to N₂ reduction was taking place. Possible electron donors in the reduced zone of the aquifer were organic matter and small amounts of pyrite. Electron balances based on concentrations of O₂, NO₃-, SO₄²⁻ and total inorganic carbon indicated that pyrite was by far the dominant electron donor even though organic matter was much more abundant.

Puckett and Cowdery (2002) used a combination of ground-water modelling, chemical and dissolved gas analyses, and age dating of water to determine the relation between changes in agricultural practices, and NO₃ concentrations in ground water of a glacial outwash aquifer in Minnesota. The results revealed a redox zonation throughout the saturated zone with O₂ reduction near the water table, NO₃ reduction immediately below it, and then a large zone of Fe³⁺ reduction, with a small area of SO₄ reduction and methanogenesis near the end of the transect. Modelling supported the hypothesis that OC was the electron donor. Denitrification rates were small and were limited by the small amounts of OC, 0.01 to 1.45%. In spite of the OC limitation, denitrification was virtually complete because residence time (50-70 years) was sufficient to allow even slow processes to reach completion.

3.1.4 Organic rich recharge

We find mention of increased rates of denitrification under areas where recharge is high in DOM. It is believed that the organotrophic denitrification in groundwater relies mainly on sedimentary, “autochthonous” organic carbon (Ghiorse and Wilson, 1988; Obermann, 1990). The rate of denitrification is most often related to the amount of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in porewater or groundwater, or the amount of soluble organic carbon rather than the total amount of solid fraction (Rivett, 2008).

Work by Siemens et al. (2003) under a range of soils, organic-rich plagacic anthrosols and reducing gleyic podzols and eutric gleysols where the water table was high, to determine whether DOC from soils could be a carbon source for organotrophic denitrification. They found that leached DOC contributed negligibly to the denitrification process because the DOC in the soils themselves appeared not to be bioavailable and concentration patterns did not appear to correspond to distribution of denitrification. They concluded that denitrification in the groundwater below was being controlled by the limited translocation of organic carbon to the soils by crop roots. Denitrification is also likely in aquifers affected by infiltration of DOC-rich surface water. See following section

3.2 RIPARIAN ZONES, HYPORHEIC ZONES AND FLOODPLAINS

Floodplains act as the collection point for groundwater, overland flow and river water (Burt et al., 2002) (Figure 3.1). As a result, the water table is usually close to the surface and the soil and any unsaturated zone will be close to saturation. A number of studies have shown that flow paths are affected by inundation pattern, hydraulic connectivity in the system and the degree of floodplain saturation. On the landscape scale the floodplain is the reactive interface between the upland and the river (Lewandowski and Nützmann, 2010). On the floodplain scale the hyporheic zone is the reactive interface between the aquifer and surface water. In both interfaces the flow paths and flow velocities are of importance for biogeochemical processes. The same processes that occur in the floodplain also occur in the hyporheic zone although higher concentrations in the riverbed sediments indicate more intense processes.
3.2.1 Heterogeneity

McClain et al. (2003) showed that rates and reactions of biogeochemical processes vary in space and time and these variations are often enhanced at terrestrial-aquatic interfaces. They defined biogeochemical “hot spots” as patches that show disproportionately high reaction rates relative to the surrounding matrix, whereas “hot moments” were short periods of time that exhibit disproportionately high reaction rates relative to longer intervening time periods. Hot spots occur where hydrological flow paths converge with substrates or other flow paths containing complementary or missing reactants. Hot moments occur when episodic hydrological flow paths reactivate and/or mobilize accumulated reactants.

Many previous studies have confined measurements of denitrification and NO\textsubscript{3} retention to shallow sediments (<5 cm deep). Stelzer et al. (2011) determined the extent of NO\textsubscript{3} processing in deeper sediments of a sand plains stream by measuring denitrification in core sections to a depth of 25 cm and by assessing vertical NO\textsubscript{3} profiles, to a depth of 70 cm. Denitrification rates of sediment slurries based on acetylene blocking were higher in shallower core sections. However, core sections deeper than 5 cm accounted for 68% of the mean depth-integrated denitrification rate. Vertical profiles showed that NO\textsubscript{3} concentration in shallow ground water was about 10–60% of the NO\textsubscript{3} concentration of deep ground water.

3.2.2 Floodplains and riparian zones

Denitrification also occurs in other areas of groundwater where confined conditions exist, such as in riparian zones and floodplains (Hanson et al., 1994; Hill et al., 2000; Lowrance, 1992; Pinay et al., 1998; Watson et al., 2010).

Triska et al. (1993) investigated the terrestrial-aquatic interface beneath the riparian corridor at Little Lost Man Creek, California to assess hydrological and biological control of nutrient fluxes. Subsurface flow paths were defined from the channel toward the riparian zone and also from the riparian zone toward the channel using tracer-injection studies. Solute transport had a rapid channel component and a slow hyporheic flow component. DO concentration in the hyporheic zone ranged from <1.0 to 9.5 mg/L due to permeability variations in bankside sediments and the proportion of stream water in the lateral hyporheic zone. Both nitrification potential and channel exchange decreased with distance from the channel and were absent at sites lacking effective exchange, due to low DO. Denitrification potential was inversely related to channel exchange and was insignificant in channel sediments.

Vidon and Hill (2005) examined the linkages between hydrologic flow paths, patterns of electron donors and acceptors and the importance of denitrification as a NO\textsubscript{3} removal mechanism in riparian zones on glacial till and outwash landscapes in Ontario, Canada. NO\textsubscript{3}-N concentrations in shallow groundwater from adjacent cropland declined from 10–30 mg/L near the field-riparian edge to 1 mg/L in the riparian zones. Chloride data suggested that dilution could not account for this NO\textsubscript{3} decline. The riparian zones displayed a well-organized pattern of electron donors and
acceptors that resulted from the transport of oxic NO$_3$-rich groundwater to portions of the riparian zones where low DO concentrations and an increase in DOC concentrations were encountered. Work with $\delta^{15}$N and in-situ acetylene injection to piezometers indicated that denitrification was the primary mechanism of NO$_3$ removal in all zones. A shallow water table was not always necessary for efficient NO$_3$ removal by denitrification.

In the Lake Waco Wetland, Texas, NO$_3$ concentrations were reduced by more than 90% in the first 500 m downstream of the inflow, creating a distinct gradient in NO$_3$ concentration along the flow path of water (Scott et al., 2008). The relative importance of sediment denitrification, DNRA and N fixation was assessed along the NO$_3$ concentration gradient in the wetland. Potential denitrification was observed in all months, potential DNRA was observed only in summer months and N fixation was variable. Both sediments and the wetland were NO$_3$ sinks and accounted for 50% of wetland NO$_3$ removal. Sediments were an ammonium source, but the wetland was often a net sink. The importance of DNRA in freshwater sediments appeared to be minor relative to denitrification.

For the Spree River, Germany, Lewandowski and Nützmann (2010) found that the biogeochemical composition of subsurface water showed little temporal variability while spatial heterogeneity was high on the hectometre scale of the study site as well as on the centimetre scale of the bed sediments. Nitrate was eliminated very efficiently by denitrification in the anoxic aquifer of the floodplain while NH$_4^+$ concentrations increased under anoxic conditions. Ammonium was thought to originate from the mineralization of OM. The redox patchiness of floodplain aquifers favoured NO$_3$ removal, i.e. a temporal and spatial sequence of anoxic and oxic conditions eliminates N.

### 3.2.3 Hyporheic zones

The hyporheic zone (HZ) is the active zone between the surface stream and groundwater. Figure 3.2 shows a sketch cross-section of the HZ illustrating the common microbially-mediated N transformation processes. Surface waters supply NO$_3$- dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and DOC to the hyporheic zone. In aerobic regions of the hyporheic zone, DON can be mineralized to NH$_4^+$, which can be transformed via nitrification to create additional NO$_3^-$. The DOC and NO$_3$ also can be retained in the hyporheic zone via microbial assimilation processes. DOC and NO$_3$ entering anaerobic portions of the hyporheic zone can be utilized for denitrification, which produces N$_2$O and N$_2$, which can degas out of the stream system and return to the atmosphere.

Boulton et al. (1998) showed that exchanges of water, nutrients, and organic matter (OM) occur in response to variations in discharge and bed topography and porosity. Upwelling subsurface water supplies stream organisms with nutrients while downwelling stream water provides DO and OM to microbes and invertebrates in the hyporheic zone.

Dynamic gradients exist at all scales in the hyporheic zone and vary temporally (Boulton et al., 1998):

- Microscale - gradients in redox potential control chemical and microbially mediated nutrient transformations occurring on particle surfaces
- Stream-reach scale - hydrological exchange and water residence time are reflected in gradients in hyporheic faunal composition, uptake of dissolved organic carbon, and nitrification
- Catchment scale - the hyporheic corridor concept describes gradients, extending to alluvial aquifers kilometres from the main channel.
Across all scales, the functional significance of the HZ relates to its activity and connection with the surface stream. HZs have been identified as potential active areas for denitrification in many studies. Biogeochemical reactions associated with stream nitrogen cycling, such as nitrification and denitrification, can be strongly controlled by water and solute residence times in the HZ.

Denitrification in hyporheic sediments in a small forested stream in Canada was assessed by Duff and Triska (1990). Samples were obtained along transects perpendicular to the stream at two sites. In-situ denitrification was evident at all locations tested. Denitrifying potentials increased with distance from the stream channel. Both NO$_3$ and DOC decreased over summer in wells at the base of the forested slope. Acetylene-block experiments coupled with the chemistry data suggested that denitrification could modify the chemistry of water during passage through the HZ.

Roley et al. (2012) studied denitrification in the HZ and floodplains of an agriculturally-influenced headwater stream in Indiana, USA. They measured seasonal denitrification rate and NO$_3$ concentrations profiles in stream sediments to examine the potential for N removal in the HZ. Nitrate concentration and denitrification rates declined with depth into the HZ, but denitrification was still measurable to a depth of 20 cm. Floodplain denitrification rates increased over the course of an inundation event particularly where vegetation was present. Deep groundwater tended to be oxic (6.9 mg O$_2$/L) but approached anoxia (0.8 mg O$_2$/L) after passing through shallow, organic carbon-rich sediments, which suggested that the decline in the NO$_3$ concentrations of upwelling ground water was due to denitrification. Collectively the results suggest that there was substantial NO$_3$ removal occurring in deep sediments, below the HZ.

Storey et al. (2004) determined the distribution of nitrogen-transforming processes, and rate-controlling factors within the HZ of an agricultural lowland stream in Ontario, Canada. Physicochemical parameters were measured along a 10 m-long hyporheic flow line between down and upwelling zones. Sediment cores from the streambed surface and from various depths...
in each zone and water from the corresponding depth was percolated through each core in the laboratory. Denitrification was measured using a $^{15}$N-NO$_3$ tracer.

Physicochemical conditions, microbial processes and nitrogen processing distinguished shallow and downwelling zone samples from deeper and upwelling zone samples. Denitrification was highest in surface and downwelling zone cores, despite high O$_2$ levels, probably due to high pore-water NO$_3$ concentrations in these cores and isolation of denitrifying bacteria from O$_2$ in the bulk water by hyporheic biofilms. Denitrification was limited by DO inhibition in the downwelling group, and by NO$_3$ availability in the upwelling group. Strong evidence indicated that DNRA occurred in almost all cores, and outcompeted denitrification. Field patterns and lab experiments indicated that the HZ at this moderately N-rich site was a strong sink for NO3, fitting current theories that predict where HZs are NO3 sinks or sources.

Zarnetske et al. (2011a) used a steady state $^{15}$N-labelled NO$_3$ and Cl tracer to investigate the spatial and temporal conditions controlling denitrification dynamics in the HZ of an upland agricultural stream, Drift Creek, Oregon, USA. They measured solute concentrations ($^{15}$NO$_3^-$, $^{15}$N$_2$, as well as NO$_3^-$, NH$_3$, DOC, DO, Cl), and hydraulic transport parameters of the reach and along HZ flow paths of an instrumented gravel bar. HZ exchange was observed across the entire bar with flow path lengths up to 4.2 m and median residence times greater than 28.5 h. The HZ transitioned from a net nitrification environment at its head (short residence times) to a net denitrification environment at its tail (long residence times). NO$_3^-$ increased at short residence times from 0.32 to 0.54 mg N/L until a threshold of 6.9 h and then consistently decreased from 0.54 to 0.03 mg N/L.

Along these same flow paths, declines were seen in DO and DOC. The rates of the DO and DOC removal and net nitrification were greatest during short residence times, while the rate of denitrification was greatest at long residence times. An injection of labile DOC increased the rate of N removal suggesting that denitrification in anaerobic portions of the HZ is limited by labile DOC supply (Zarnetske et al., 2011b). $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ tracing confirmed that a fraction of the NO$_3^-$ removal was via denitrification across the entire bar HZ. Production of $^{15}$N$_2$ across all observed flow paths and residence times indicated that denitrification microsites were present even where nitrification was the net outcome. These findings showed that the HZ was an active nitrogen sink in this system and that the distinction between net nitrification and denitrification in the HZ was a function of residence time and threshold behaviour.

3.2.4 Complex settings

Feast et al. (1998) applied a detailed hydrochemical sampling programme to the River Bure catchment on the Chalk aquifer system of northeast Norfolk to understand the source and fate of NO$_3$. The Chalk aquifer is covered by a variety of superficial deposits including Pleistocene sand and the Lowestoft Till which are up to 30 m thick (Figure 3.3). Modern contaminants of a mainly agricultural origin produced high concentrations of NO$_3$ (>60 mg/L) in the unconfined valley areas, whereas in confined regions the levels of NO$_3$ were low and commonly below detection limits (<0.02 mg/L).
Samples from within the glacial deposits had $\delta^{15}N$ values of 13.7‰ and 9.3‰ while samples from the chalk alone had a narrower range of -2.1‰ to 11.5‰ and from the confined and semi-confined zones +4‰ and +10‰, characteristic of nitrified soil organic nitrogen. There was no apparent relationship between $\delta^{15}N$ and NO$_3$ concentration as would be anticipated if in-situ denitrification were controlling NO$_3$ concentrations. However, many Chalk groundwaters had high N$_2$/Ar ratios (39–72) indicating a significant contribution to dissolved N$_2$ from denitrification. Denitrification was believed to be occurring within the overlying glacial deposits, providing a mechanism for naturally improving groundwater quality. $\delta^{15}N$ values of low-NO$_3$ groundwaters from the confined zone were isotopically light (-3‰ to +4‰), inconsistent with an origin from denitrification: it is suggested that these waters had a pre-anthropogenic NO$_3$ signature.

Landon et al. (2011) used data from multiple sources to determine interrelations among hydrogeological factors, redox conditions, and temporal and spatial distributions of NO$_3$ in a 2,700-km$^2$ area of the eastern San Joaquin Valley, California, USA. The primary aquifers were a complex sequence of alluvial fan sediments deposited by major tributaries to the San Joaquin River. The main water-bearing units included unconsolidated alluvial-fan deposits and deeper Pleistocene and Pliocene-aged strata, and semi-consolidated rocks derived from fluvial deposits of predominantly andesitic volcanic detritus. Groundwater was predominantly modern, with detectable NO$_3$ and oxic conditions, but some zones were anoxic or mixed. Anoxic conditions were associated with long residence times that occurred near the valley trough and in areas of historical groundwater discharge with shallow depth to water and with interactions of shallow, modern groundwater with soils. NO$_3$ concentrations were significantly lower in anoxic than oxic or mixed redox groundwater, because residence times of anoxic waters exceed the duration of increased pumping and fertilizer use associated with modern agriculture. Effects of redox reactions on NO$_3$ concentrations were relatively minor. Dissolved N$_2$ gas data indicated that denitrification had eliminated >5mg/L NO$_3$–N in about 10% of 39 wells. Increasing NO$_3$ concentrations over time were slightly less prevalent in anoxic than oxic or mixed redox groundwater. Spatial and temporal trends of NO$_3$ were primarily controlled by water and NO$_3$ fluxes of modern land use.
4  UK aquifers

4.1  LITERATURE

Foster et al. (1985) set out some of the first collated evidence for biological denitrification in British aquifers. This was related to the Lincolnshire Limestone near Lincoln and the Chalk of Norfolk and was based on penetration of modern tritium-containing groundwater to depths where NO3 is negligible and the presence of bacterial consortia with denitrifying capability to depth. Denitrification has been demonstrated in other major aquifers in England, such as the Sherwood Sandstone where these become confined or very deep and there is a clear redox boundary (Edmunds et al., 1982; Smedley and Edmunds, 2002). There are also other more complex settings, where denitrification has been invoked to explain observations, such as zones of aquifers constrained by important marl bands. Other settings include floodplains and the hyporheic zone.

Approaches to the estimation of denitrification in the aquifers of the UK have been reviewed by Rivett et al. (2007). The text below has been predominantly summarised from this review. These studies indicate that the potential for denitrification in the unsaturated and unconfined saturated zones of major British aquifers is low.

4.1.1  Unsaturated zone

CHALK

Mass balance investigations to track the progress of a packet of water through the unsaturated zone was used by Kinniburgh et al. (1999) on an extensive set of repeated drillings undertaken at five sites in East Anglia (Parker et al., 1991a). Although the approach was of limited value in quantifying small amounts of denitrification, it could be concluded that, at the scale of metres to tens of metres, denitrification was probably insignificant in the unsaturated zone of the Chalk.

Gale et al. (1994) examined evidence for denitrification in core profiles at Ogbourne St. George on the Wiltshire Chalk. The site exhibited a NO3 front moving downward at about 0.8 m/year with input NO3 peaks smoothed by the large seasonal water table fluctuations of about 20 m. Nitrate, DO and OC were all thought to be replenished annually by rapid fissure flow to the water table. Nitrate, N2O and excess N2 were estimated to represent, at most, a few per cent decrease in the NO3 load. Supporting microbiological studies showed that denitrifiers were present at all depths. Laboratory microcosms using core indicated a 2% NO3 to NO2 conversion over 21 days.

More recent studies of gases in the Chalk at Bridget’s Farm, Hampshire, used carbon isotope evidence to indicate that most of the unsaturated zone CO2 was from bacterial breakdown of organic matter in overlying soils (Kinniburgh et al., 1999). Although some N2O was detected the source could have been the soil zone or nitrification of NH4 (Buss et al., 2004). Marginally elevated N2/Ar ratios indicating an excess N2 of about 0.5% were indicative of denitrification, but accounted for a decrease in NO3 of 0.4 mg N/L, compared with the mean unsaturated zone concentration of 26 mg N/L. Slightly negative values of δ15N-N2 supported the above, implying minor denitrification at rates that were not quantifiable. Examination of the δ15N composition of infiltrating NO3 relative to the underlying groundwater NO3 similarly confirmed that denitrification was not a significant process in the unsaturated zone.

SHERWOOD SANDSTONE

The most significant NO3 attenuation study on the Sherwood Sandstone unsaturated zone was undertaken at Gleadthorpe, Nottinghamshire (Kinniburgh et al., 1999). The unsaturated zone thickness was 8–12 m and influenced by nearby public water supply abstractions. Similar
research methods were employed as at the Bridget’s Farm site. Denitrification was not found to be a significant process overall, although there was some evidence of its occurrence, including the following:

- Nitrate depletion just beneath the water table in one borehole, where NO$_3$ concentrations declined with depth whereas nitrite increased;
- In one near-surface sample, $\delta^{15}$N-N$_2$ and N$_2$/Ar data were consistent with minor denitrification;
- Denitrifying bacteria were found at all depths, indicating the potential for denitrification;
- N$_2$O was above atmospheric concentrations, though it was unclear whether this was due to soil-zone denitrification or oxidation of ammonium: sufficient (small) quantities of ammonium were present throughout.

The N$_2$/Ar data suggested that denitrification accounted for a maximum decrease of 0.8 mg N/L compared with an average unsaturated zone concentration of 37 mg N/L. Kinniburgh et al. (1999) concluded that denitrification is limited in the sandstone unsaturated zone as a result of low supplies of labile organic carbon, leading to low rates of microbial activity and little chance of the development of anaerobic hotspots.

4.1.2 Saturated zone

CHALK

Circumstantial evidence for denitrification within the drift and Chalk aquifer system of Norfolk, U.K. was reported by Parker and James (1985). Results from core analyses showed that tritium had penetrated to the base of the overlying 30 m of glacial sand (19-58 TU) and into the upper levels of the Chalk (9-39 TU). This confirmed that there was at least a component of modern water in the Chalk, which in the absence of NO$_3$ (< 0.2 mg N/L) in the Chalk groundwater, was evidence for denitrification. A bacteriological survey in the area revealed potential denitrifiers in cores from the top of the Chalk. Regular analysis of pumped water from the Chalk showed that the mobile fissure water contained less than 2 mg/L DOC. Preliminary investigations suggested that the pore water contained 10-20 mg/L DOC and that the rock matrix perhaps greater than 1000 mg of organic carbon per kg dry weight. If correct, to obtain a porewater DOC of 30 mg/L for Chalk with a porosity of 40% would have required the dissolution of about 1% of the matrix. However, Bishop and Lloyd (1990) used isotope and hydrochemical modelling to suggest that substantial amounts of NO$_3$ reduction had not occurred and that the low NO$_3$ concentrations in the confined part of the aquifer were predominantly the result of lower NO$_3$ inputs in the past.

Mühlherr and Hiscock (1997) measured N$_2$O and other nitrate species in samples collected from boreholes and springs in the unconfined chalk aquifer of Cambridgeshire in an area of intensive arable farming. A very good positive correlation between N$_2$O and NO$_3$ concentrations was obtained but no relationship was established between N$_2$O and NO$_2$ or NH$_4$ concentrations. Concentrations of N$_2$O and NO$_3$ increased continuously in the direction of groundwater flow, within the range reported in previous studies of nitrification. Corresponding DO concentrations in groundwater samples were moderately undersaturated, further indicating that the main source of N$_2$O in this area is probably nitrification. No consumption of N$_2$O seemed to take place within the unconfined aquifer with degassing to the atmosphere apparently being the sole mechanism for N$_2$O removal from groundwater.

SHERWOOD SANDSTONE

Although NO$_3$ concentrations are commonly low where the sandstones are confined, there is little direct evidence of denitrification. The south Yorkshire Sherwood Sandstone aquifer is variability
covered by drift deposits. Many of the large water abstractions are located on sandstone ‘islands’ that protrude through the drift). A key study showed that deep (50–100 m) penetration of NO₃ in these unconfined portions contrasted with immediately adjacent areas confined beneath low-permeability superficial deposits that contained near undetectable concentrations of NO₃ throughout the water column (Parker et al., 1985). It is significant that an abrupt change in NO₃ concentration has been maintained at the confined–unconfined boundary, despite the presence of major abstractions in the confined zone. Denitrification, although not definitively proven at the site, was considered to be probable (Parker et al., 1991b).

The potential for denitrification was also explored in the Nottinghamshire sandstone, mainly differentiated by less drift cover than the south Yorkshire counterpart and more oxygenated groundwater (Wilson et al., 1990). Widespread denitrification was not observed in the aquifer except in two isolated locations, where it was ascribed to relatively low DO concentrations being present.

**LINCOLNSHIRE LIMESTONE**

Foster et al. (1985) provided NO₃, tritium and bacterial activity data for cores taken from the Lincolnshire Limestone that supported denitrification, e.g., penetration of thermonuclear tritium further down-dip from outcrop than both NO₃ and DO. Wilson et al. (1990) also presented evidence for denitrification that included concentrations of excess nitrogen and isotopic ratios.

Bottrell et al. (2000) more recently explored the control exerted on bacterial reduction reactions by the dual-porosity characteristics of the Lincolnshire Limestone. By analogy with SO₄-reducing reactions in the aquifer, they concluded that the potential for denitrification in the shallow confined zone is poor. Reaction rates were limited by lack of an electron donor in the fissures; were NO₃ to diffuse into the pores where organic carbon and sulphides were present, NO₃-reducing bacteria would be excluded by the narrow pore throats of the matrix (similar to the Chalk).

Roberts and McArthur (1998) highlighted the importance of greater DOC inputs to the Lincolnshire Limestone aquifer in unconfined areas. Where the River Glen crossed the aquifer it recharged the aquifer year-round because of drawdown due to the proximity of large public water supplies. In winter, NO₃-rich surface waters generated by rainfall-runoff recharge the aquifer, led to the development of NO₃ plumes emanating from rivers and swallow holes. In summer, runoff was negligible and groundwater baseflow was low, so the rivers comprised mostly DOC-rich effluent from small sewage treatment works: this then recharged into the aquifer. Denitrification was significant in the anaerobic groundwaters thus created but the contribution to denitrification from reduction of in-situ sulphide, appeared greater than that from DOC.

Mühlherr and Hiscock (1998) measured N₂O and other N species in groundwater from important limestone aquifers in the UK. Nitrous oxide levels were generally very high, with concentrations exceeding the concentration of air-equilibrated water by up to 320 times. The correlations between N₂O, NO₃ and DO, as well as the spatial distribution of these chemical parameters, were used to identify nitrification as the main N₂O production mechanism in the investigated aquifers. Most of the N₂O in groundwater appeared to be produced via nitrification in the unsaturated zone, which was probably strongly supplemented by nitrogenous compounds from anthropogenic land applications. Nitrous oxide production in the saturated zone was less substantial and could have also been denitrification mediated; denitrification under very reducing aquifer conditions can result in nitrous oxide consumption. The observed high N₂O concentrations in groundwater, which were most likely caused by agricultural aquifer pollution.
4.1.3 **Redox boundaries**

Evidence of denitrification comes from the confined (anoxic) parts of some British aquifers from the work of Edmunds and others. This has also been confirmed by the occurrence of modern tritium-enriched groundwater with negligible NO₃ (Lawrence and Foster, 1986) and from the demonstration of appropriate bacteria in core samples.

Sequential redox processes have been described in three contrasting principal UK aquifers that have confined zones (Edmunds and Walton, 1983; Edmunds et al., 1982; Edmunds et al., 1984):

- **The Berkshire Chalk** on the western rim of the London Basin has an average thickness of 250 m. It dips to the east, is confined below Palaeogene sands and clays in the centre of the syncline and is underlain by the Upper Greensand and then the Gault Formation that serves as the basal aquiclude. It is a dual-porosity aquifer with moderate transmissivity in this area (270-450 m²/day) decreasing with depth.

- **The Jurassic Lincolnshire limestone** is some 30 m thick at outcrop thinning down dip to the east. It is confined above and below by predominantly clay rich sequence of the Rutland and Grantham Formations and is artesian at depth. It showed a clear sequence of hydrochemical changes from a sharp redox boundary some 12 km from outcrop. The unaltered limestone at depth was grey due to fine-grained Fe sulphides but diagenesis by migrating groundwater has progressively oxidised the rock to a light brown. Groundwater movement is maintained by fissure flow and transmissivities are in excess of 1000 m²/day.

- **Hydrochemical processes** have been studied along a downgradient profile of some 30 km in the Sherwood Sandstone. It is confined by the Mercia Mudstone and underlain by generally impermeable Permian sequences. It dips to the east at about 1 in 50 and varies in thickness between 120 m in the south to 300 m in the north. It has a typical redbed lithology devoid of reducing horizons. Groundwater movement is predominantly intergranular, but is enhanced by fissures. Transmissivities in the confined zone vary between 350 and 850 m²/day (Edmunds et al., 1982).

At the time of reporting all three aquifers had high input levels of anthropogenic NO₃. The occurrence of NO₃-free groundwater was coincident with the redox boundary and with the complete reaction of DO in all three. Edmunds and Walton (1983) followed several indicators of agricultural pollution (Ca, K, SO₄ and NO₃) across the redox boundary in the Lincolnshire Limestone. The migration eastwards of Ca, K and SO₄ but not NO₃ provided circumstantial evidence of denitrification. Ammonia was found only in the NO₃-free zones in all three aquifers, produced by DNRA or to the accumulation of NH₄⁺ by incongruent reaction of clays.

Water quality in the Lincolnshire Limestone has been interpreted in a number of ways. Peach (1984) found that in the northern Lincolnshire Limestone, modern recharge appeared not to flow down dip to a large extent, as there was little evidence for high NO₃, SO₄, Cl or Ca groundwater downgradient. In the north, Peach described three ages of water with different origins:

1) Relatively modern recharge water with leakage of high-Na water from the overlying Rutland Formation (Thorncroft Sand);
2) Old recharge water, probably post-last glaciation to present;
3) Very old saline water, probably emplaced during Rutland Formation times.

Edmunds (1973, 1976) studied hydrochemical variations along a 28-km flow line in the southern Lincolnshire Limestone in 1969 and found solution, redox and ion-exchange reactions, SO₄ reduction and mixing with saline formation water to all be important processes within the aquifer. Edmunds and Walton (1983) re-sampled the same aquifer profile after 10 years to look at hydrogeochemical evolution with time and found that the overall sequence was remarkably similar in both distance from outcrop and absolute concentration but that, close to the redox
boundary, down-gradient increases in Ca, SO₄ Cl and NO₃ had occurred, together with a less abrupt redox boundary. Edmunds and Walton (1983) postulated that these changes were initiated by the migration of agrichemical pollutants and that the results indicate that the aquifer has a considerable capacity for in-situ NO₃ reduction.

Howard (1985) defines water type in his study of the Lincolnshire Chalk by origin and his confined water represents old low-salinity water that replenished the aquifer when groundwater levels began to recover after the Devensian glacial episode. This has low N but does not necessarily represent denitrification as initial N would have depended on vegetation at the time of recharge. Some of this reducing water contained NH₄.

Table 4.2 summarises the range of values measures for key parameters in redox zones of the three main UK aquifers. These demonstrate a consistent pattern with the anaerobic zone characterised by DO <0.4 mg/L, NO₃ generally <1, Fe >100 µg/L Mn>4 µg/L. Edmunds and Elliot also suggest the use the decline of DO to indicate reducing conditions. Smedley and Edmunds (2002) however use temperature (in this case 13°C) as an indicator of confinement.

Table 4.1 Ranges of literature values of chemical characteristics of redox zones identified in UK aquifers (all in mg/L except Fe and Mn (µg/L) and Eh (mV))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Zone 1 (Aerobic)</th>
<th>Zone 2 (Intermediate)</th>
<th>Zone 3 (Anaerobic)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chalk</td>
<td>Berkshire</td>
<td>DO = 4–10</td>
<td>DO = &lt;LOD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Edmunds et al. (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ = 20–30</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;LOD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eh = +350–00</td>
<td>Eh = 0–+50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄ = &lt;0.01</td>
<td>NH₄ = 0.05–0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe = &lt;0.3</td>
<td>Fe = 7–170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn = &lt;0.03</td>
<td>Mn = 4–57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearshire</td>
<td>NO₃ = 26–77</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;4</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;5</td>
<td>Howard (1985)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eh = &gt;+300</td>
<td>Eh = --50--+100</td>
<td>Eh = 115–1970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn=5–37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>London Basin</td>
<td>NO₃ = 2.5–51</td>
<td>NO₃ = 2.5</td>
<td>Edmunds et al. (1999)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smedley Sandstone</td>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>DO = 2.5–10</td>
<td>DO = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Edmunds et al. (1982)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ = 6.8–54</td>
<td>NO₃ = 0.02–6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eh = +127–336</td>
<td>Eh = -7–+406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe = &lt;4–236</td>
<td>Fe = 6–372</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn = &lt;0.5–21</td>
<td>Mn = 1.5–6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DO = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Edmunds et al. (1984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Eh = 0–+100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe = 100–2000</td>
<td>Fe=130–2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DO = &lt;0.4</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;0.4–2.1</td>
<td>Smedley and Edmunds (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;5</td>
<td>Eh = 99–+183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincolshire 1969</td>
<td>DO = 1.5–7</td>
<td>DO = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Edmunds et al. (1984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ = 6–40</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;LOD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eh = +400</td>
<td>Eh = 0–+100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Fe = 100–1800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincolshire 1983</td>
<td>DO = 0.5–7</td>
<td>DO = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Edmunds et al. (1984); Edmunds and Walton (1983)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ = 10–62</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;LOD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eh = +400</td>
<td>Eh = 0–+100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe = &lt;LOD</td>
<td>Fe = 30–2800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincolshire</td>
<td>NO₃ = 25</td>
<td>NO₃ = &lt;5</td>
<td>Lawrence and Foster (1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1.4 Deeper systems

In deeper aquifer systems, input of organic carbon from the soil reservoir is not important, and so the likely source of degradable organic carbon is the geologic material comprising the aquifer matrix (Hiscock et al., 1991).

An example of denitrification at depth in a confined aquifer is described by Lawrence and Foster (1986) for the Lincolnshire Limestone in the U.K. In this fissured limestone aquifer, an eastward decline in NO₃ concentration accompanies progressive removal of DO and lowering of the redox potential. Over a distance of 10 km the groundwater NO₃ concentration declines from a maximum of 25 mg N/l at outcrop to less than 5 mg N/l when confined, representing a NO₃ removal rate of 0.01-0.05 mg N/l/d (expressed as NO₃ loss per rate of flow of groundwater through the aquifer). Four cored boreholes were drilled along a groundwater flow line and the material recovered examined for organic carbon content and the presence of denitrifying bacteria. The results showed that the DOC content of the pore water ranges between 13 and 28 mg/l and that of the mobile groundwater between 1.6 and 3.4 mg/l. Denitrifying bacteria were cultured from samples scraped from fissure walls, but not from samples incubated with pore water. Thus, it appears that the source of organic carbon supporting denitrification is contained in the limestone matrix, and that the very small pore size of the matrix restricts denitrification to short distances from fissure walls.

4.1.5 Floodplains

Gooddy et al. (2014) investigated a peri-urban floodplain of the River Thames adjoining the city of Oxford, UK, over a period of three years through repeated sampling of groundwaters from existing and specially constructed piezometers. An intensive study of nitrogen dynamics through the use of N-species chemistry, nitrogen isotopes and dissolved nitrous oxide reveals that there is little or no denitrification in the majority of a landfill plume, and neither is the ammonium significantly retarded by sorption to the aquifer sediments.

4.2 BASELINE DATA

Baseline data for NO₃ concentrations in water for England and Wales have been assumed to demonstrate denitrification where NO₃ concentrations were below the limit of detection (Shand et al., 2007). These authors also assess the presence of NO₂ as a good indicator of on-going denitrification.

Figure 4.1 shows that the redox potential (Eh) and DO concentration provide the primary indicators of the redox status of natural groundwaters in the Lincolnshire Limestone (Griffiths et al., 2006). As the aquifer becomes increasingly confined, DO becomes depleted due to redox reactions. Once contact with O₂ ceases, Eh falls by ca. 300 mV. This marked redox boundary has considerable implications for the subsequent down-gradient hydrogeochemistry. On the basis of the samples collected as part of the Baseline project the initial Eh values appear to have been lower (+100 to +300 mV) and the reduction in Eh with distance down gradient less marked. The change in redox conditions is more obviously seen in the DO concentrations that were reduced to less than detection (< 0.1 mg/L) within a couple of kilometres of the aquifer becoming confined.

The decrease in NO₃ concentrations down the hydraulic gradient (Figure 4.1) was consistent with denitrification: NO₃ is only stable in the presence of DO. Although old (pre-intensive agriculture) groundwaters were expected to have lower concentrations, it is likely that recharge waters contained detectable NO₃. Edmunds and Walton (1983) observed that NO₃ levels decreased gradually due to dispersion and in situ microbial reduction and became consistently low (<1 mg/L as NO₃-N) once DO was below 0.2 mg/L as NO₃-N (or even less).
Figure 4.1 Variation in redox sensitive parameters and species across the Lincolnshire Limestone aquifer. Samples plotted across down-dip sections in the north (red) centre (green) and south (blue) of the study area to illustrate geochemical evolution as groundwater moves downgradient from the outcrop area (from Griffiths et al. (2006)). The corresponding edge of the outcrop area for each section across the aquifer is represented as a dashed line.

Table 4.2 sets out data from the confined and unconfined zones where these have been separately tabulated within the Baseline project. For the Chalk and the limestone, the differences in NO$_3$, Fe and Mn are clear and are in line with suggested indicator values for low redox zones. The Lower Greensand is low in Mn and elevated concentrations are not seen. Denitrification is identified in many of the other Baselines reports and these are discussed below.
Table 4.2  Comparison of median data from unconfined and confined zones from baseline data (N species as N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Unconfined</th>
<th>Confined</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO(_3)-N (mg/L)</td>
<td>NO(_2)-N (mg/L)</td>
<td>Fe (µg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire Humber Chalk</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colne-Lee Chalk</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Downs Chalk</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincolnshire Limestone</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Greensand – Folkestone Formation</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHALK**

In the Chalk aquifer of the North Downs NO\(_3\)-N concentrations in groundwaters from the unconfined aquifer often reach close to or in excess of the EC maximum permissible value for drinking water of 11.3 mg/L (up to 28 mg/L observed) (Smedley et al., 2003). In the confined aquifer, the reducing conditions observed produce groundwaters with low NO\(_3\) concentrations, either due to denitrification or to the presence of pre-modern (unpolluted) recharge. The relatively high concentrations of NH\(_4\)-N observed in the confined aquifer (up to 4.4 mg/L) are a relatively common feature of groundwaters from reducing aquifers and were considered to be naturally-derived, rather than as a result of local pollution. In the Colne-Lee Chalk, the most dramatic changes in water quality occur where the Chalk becomes confined beneath Palaeogene sediments coinciding approximately with the redox boundary in the aquifer (Shand et al., 2003b). This is partly due to the development of a redox boundary, situated close the boundary with overlying Palaeogene rocks. Oxygen decreases to less than detection limit in the confined aquifer and consequently NO\(_3\) is removed from the system by denitrification or NO\(_3\) reduction and Fe and Mn increase. In the Chalk of Dorset NO\(_3\) concentrations were uniformly high across the unconfined aquifer, even at deeper sites Edmunds et al. (2002). Deeper groundwater is probably slow moving Holocene recharge separated from the main groundwater circulation of the present day. To the east of the unconfined/confined contact groundwaters were reducing.

Other areas of the Chalk have similar water quality. In the Chalk of Yorkshire the presence of NO\(_3\) at concentrations greater than the EC maximum permissible value (11.3 mg/L) in some groundwater sources is one of the most significant problems (Smedley et al., 2004). Further east where the Chalk is covered by thick Superficial deposits, the aquifer becomes confined. Here, redox processes exert an important influence, with the redox boundary marking a zone of significant change in the concentrations of a number of elements. In the semi-confined dip-slope groundwaters of the Beverley–Driffield area, where superficial cover is largely arenaceous, NO\(_3\)-N concentrations were generally in the range 2–10 mg/L. Concentrations in the confined aquifer were low (usually <1 mg/L and often much lower), either as a result of denitrification or recharge.
of the groundwaters at a time before the use of modern agricultural chemicals. Since the NO$_3$-N concentrations in pre-pollution recharge are likely to have been of the order of 3–4 mg/L this implies that some degree of denitrification has taken place in the confined aquifer.

In the Chalk of north Norfolk NO$_3$-N concentrations vary across the aquifer, with lowest concentrations in boreholes beneath the Palaeogene cover and highest in the unconfined coastal aquifer, and shows an inverse relationship with Fe (Smedley et al., 2004). Both Fe and Mn would be expected to be ubiquitous in the aquifer, with the Mn being released from the calcite phase during re-precipitation. Ammonium (NH$_4^+$) concentrations were elevated in several of the samples associated with reducing conditions, and were probably derived from clays. The low redox potential, and thus NO$_3$-N concentrations found in the Waveney catchment may reflect the protective function of the more argillaceous nature of the till that is dominant in this area, compared to North Norfolk. The groundwaters of the Crag have varying redox status across the outcrop. Many samples in the Waveney catchment did not contain DO, whilst in the North they were generally oxidising. Concentrations of Fe generally exceeding 2 mg/L in the Waveney catchment while concentrations of both Mn and Fe were generally low in the North Norfolk region.

In contrast, Ander et al. (2004) only found one low NO$_3$ sample associated with low DO, and high Mn and Fe in the Chalk of central East Anglia and Stuart and Smedley (2009) found the Chalk aquifer of Hampshire to have elevated NO$_3$ concentrations and low concentrations of Fe, Mn, NO$_2$ and NH$_4$.

In summary, a pattern of reducing conditions in the Chalk is found where the aquifer is confined by overlying Palaeogene strata or by low permeability argillaceous superficial deposits.

PERMO-TRIASSIC SANDSTONE

For the Sherwood Sandstone Shand et al. (2002) describe the presence of “windows” in the superficial deposits in the Vale of York, where NO$_3$-rich recharge occurs. Beneath the impermeable superficial deposits, redox boundaries have developed allowing NO$_3$ reduction to occur. The redox control is also manifested by increases of Fe, Mn and NO$_2$ along flow lines beneath the clays. Griffiths et al. (2003a) reported that little denitrification was occurring in the sandstones of Cheshire at Mickledale but that low NO$_3$ found in some samples in the survey may indicate that some denitrification or NO$_3$ reduction has occurred. In Staffordshire Tyler-Whittle et al. (2002) found that oxidising conditions extended up to depths of 380 m and concentrations of NO$_3$ were high throughout most of the region. Reducing conditions were present where the sandstone is confined beneath the Mercia Mudstone, to the southeast and at isolated sites within the outcrop due to the presence of less permeable horizons within the aquifer. The reduced nitrogen species NO$_2$ and NH$_4$ were only present in the confined reduced groundwater, taken to indicate that denitrification and/or NO$_3$ reduction has occurred. The decrease in NO$_3$ concentrations at depth in the unconfined aquifer was thought to represent baseline conditions not reduced water. In the Manchester area, the presence of thick impermeable drift over much of the aquifer has allowed reducing conditions to exist even at shallow depths. This has given rise to high dissolved Fe, and NO$_3$ concentrations were very low due to denitrification (Griffiths et al., 2003b).

For the Liverpool area, Griffiths et al. (2005) found that high NO$_3$ concentrations were often associated with permeable drift, especially in some of the shallower boreholes, or unconfined conditions. High concentrations were also found in areas covered by impermeable drift deposits but these tend to be located along river valleys where drift thicknesses may be less due to erosion and local drift windows. NO$_3$ concentrations were higher, as expected, in oxidising waters with denitrification probably creating low concentrations where the groundwaters were reducing. For
Shropshire (Smedley et al., 2005) considered that the limited occurrence of observed reducing groundwaters suggested that either such conditions are of localised extent or that they have not been developed significantly for groundwater use. The reducing groundwaters were apparently distributed sporadically across the aquifer, related to the distribution of superficial deposits. Bearcock and Smedley (2012) do not report evidence of denitrification in the Otter Sandstone of Devon and Somerset.

In summary, a pattern of reducing conditions in the Permo-Triassic Sandstone is found where the aquifer is confined by overlying Mercia Mudstone Group strata or by low permeability argillaceous superficial deposits.

**Other Limestones**

The Jurassic contains a number of limestone-bearing strata that provide significant aquifers. In the Corallian of Oxfordshire and Wiltshire there is a large range of NO$_3$ concentrations (Cobbing et al., 2004). The highest were from unconfined sources with shallow groundwater the most seriously affected. However the median was low at 0.25 mg/L (NO$_3$-N), reflecting the reducing conditions in many of the groundwaters. The highest Fe concentrations were found in the circumneutral low-Eh reducing groundwaters. Manganese is more soluble over a wider range of pH-Eh conditions than Fe. In the Corallian of the Vale of Pickering, most of the groundwaters sampled had NO$_3$ concentrations consistent with oxidising conditions, although the lowest suggest that conditions were reducing in some (Bearcock et al., 2015). Iron has a wide range of concentrations similar to the Cotswolds Oolites, but less than the Corallian of Oxfordshire and Wiltshire.

In the Great and Inferior Oolite of the Cotswolds the majority of unconfined groundwaters, and in particular, spring waters in the region were affected by high NO$_3$ concentrations (Neumann et al., 2003). In confined groundwaters, where DO is reduced or absent, NO$_3$ concentrations were lower indicating that in-situ denitrification is likely to have occurred. However, some of these waters may even be of sufficient age to represent pre-modern water. In the Great Oolite limestone, the onset of reducing conditions at depths occurs before the aquifer becomes confined. Within the Inferior Oolite aquifer, all unconfined groundwaters appear to be oxidising. The redox boundary lies within the confined part of the Inferior Oolite aquifer. All groundwater samples obtained from the confined Inferior Oolite show reducing conditions the redox-boundary occurs some 7-8 km down gradient of nominal confinement. Iron concentrations increase in groundwaters beyond the redox boundary, reaching up to 1260 μg/L towards the end of the sampled flow line. Manganese concentrations are also high in some confined groundwater samples. Sulphate reduction is believed to have occurred in two boreholes drawing water from the confined limestone aquifers.

In the Lincolnshire Limestone NO$_3$-N concentrations display a particularly wide range from below detection limit to 20.7 mg/L which reflects the high NO$_3$ concentrations of the unconfined aquifer and low concentrations within the confined aquifer to the east (Griffiths et al., 2006). However, low NO$_3$ concentrations in the confined part of the aquifer were considered to be predominantly the result of lower NO$_3$ inputs in the past.

In the Permian Magnesian Limestone of County Durham and north Yorkshire (Bearcock and Smedley, 2009), the complex lithology and variable chemistry of the strata mean that sites with groundwaters of a very different chemistry occur in close proximity. The most oxidised waters were found in the east of the aquifer, and there is a general north-south trend, with groundwaters becoming more reducing towards the south. The NO$_3$-N has the largest concentration range, which can be related to the large variation in redox conditions, the population of low NO$_3$-N concentrations could represent NO3 lost in reducing conditions through denitrification.
Concentrations of Fe, Mn and SO₄ were particularly variable. Of those investigated, the element most frequently exceeding the drinking-water limit was Mn (28% of samples in the dataset had concentrations exceeding 50 μg/L and concentrations ranged up to 1300 μg/L).

In the Carboniferous Limestone of northern England concentrations of NO₃ were generally low (Abesser et al., 2005b). Some groundwaters clearly show enhanced levels particularly shallow groundwaters (< 40 m) and springs, but even in the deeper parts of the aquifer. Because many of the waters were relatively reducing, denitrification or DNRA may have lowered the concentrations. Nitrite and in particular NH₄-N concentrations above the EU MAC of 0.03 mg/L and 0.38 mg/L occur more commonly, although median values for the aquifer were low. Oxygen depletion and low redox conditions were typically associated with deeper groundwaters that frequently show increased Fe and Mn concentrations. However, concentrations in excess of the drinking water limits of 250 μg/L for Fe and 50 μg/L for Mn only occur where DO levels <2 mg/L and Eh <350 mV provide favourable conditions for reductive oxide dissolution. Similarly, NH₄-N concentrations in excess of 0.5 mg/L were found in deeper, mostly reducing (Eh <350 mV) groundwaters. The NO₃-N levels of these high NH₄-N groundwaters were generally low, signifying that DNRA may take place. The distribution of redox status in the groundwaters of the study area is complex, due to the variations in the occurrence of impermeable superficial deposits as well as due to the layered nature of the aquifer where limestones provide the water-bearing strata whereas the interbedded mudstones act as aquicludes or aquitards.

In the Derbyshire Dome, NO₃ is present in most groundwaters (<0.05–12.6 mg/LaS N) (Abesser and Smedley, 2008). Concentrations were mostly low (median 3.06 mg/L) but were generally higher in the groundwaters on the Carboniferous Limestone outcrop than on the Millstone Grit (except for one very young groundwater) as fractures in the limestone provide pathways of rapid transport to the water tables. Concentrations were generally highest in oxic groundwaters Nitrate is also detectable in most thermal waters (except for St Anne’s Well), indicating the presence of a component of recent recharge. Denitrification may have lowered NO₃-N concentrations in some groundwaters, as indicated.

In summary, limestones commonly exhibit a complex distribution of zones of low redox. These do occur in confined zones although the distance of the redox boundary from the confined/unconfined boundary is variable. Distribution may also be related to the distribution of superficial deposits and to layering in the aquifer. It has also been demonstrated that low redox does not necessarily imply that denitrification does occur.

OTHER SANDSTONES

In the confined sand aquifers of the Palaeogene in southeast England there is a large range of redox conditions with some samples exhibiting low NO₃ (Bearcock and Smedley, 2010). There appear to be “pockets” of reduced groundwaters throughout the London Palaeogene beds. There is a weak negative correlation between the Eh and the NH₄-N concentration, suggesting that NO₃ reduction occurs under the most reducing conditions. However, several fully oxidised sites have a relatively high NH₄-N concentration. A site near Ascot shows strongly reducing conditions sufficient to support SO₄ reduction. This is evidenced by a low SO₄ concentration (0.215 mg/L), a low NO₃-N concentration (0.23 mg/L), and a corresponding high NH₄-N concentration (6.7 mg/L). In Wessex the majority of unconfined groundwaters in the Palaeogene sediments have elevated NO₃ concentrations (Neumann et al., 2004). However, 70% of sampled groundwaters were strongly reducing, with some waters having a strong H₂S smell. In these waters, Fe and Mn concentrations were elevated while NO₃ concentrations were low indicating that denitrification is likely to have occurred.
In the Cretaceous Lower Greensand aquifer of southern England, NO$_3$ varies in concentration from below detection limit in the more reducing groundwaters to 16.3 mg/L NO$_3$-N in the more oxidising groundwaters (Shand et al., 2003a). The unconfined Folkestone Formation has predominantly high NO$_3$. The Hythe Formation show a wide range in NO$_3$-N concentrations (<0.03–15.8 mg/L) the lower concentrations being from parts of the aquifer confined beneath the Folkestone, Bargate and Sandgate strata. Many reducing groundwaters also have concentrations of NO$_3$ below the detection limit. In the Slough boreholes, the presence of H$_2$S gas was noted whilst sampling. The confined parts of the aquifer therefore, contain elevated concentrations of Fe. In contrast, Mn is often low most likely due to the low Mn concentrations in the aquifer.

Nitrate concentrations were variable in the Jurassic Bridport Sand Formation aquifer of southwest England (Shand et al., 2004). The highest concentrations occur in the more oxidising groundwaters. Fe and Mn provide a good indicator of the redox conditions in these circum-neutral pH groundwaters where DO and Eh are poorly constrained. Water quality is consistent with the removal of NO$_3$ by denitrification under reducing conditions. Water quality is influenced by both limitations on vertical hydraulic conductivity and by compartmentalisation of the aquifer by fracturing.

Nitrate concentrations were generally low throughout the Carboniferous Millstone Grit aquifer of northern England (mean <1 mg/L) partly due to denitrification or DNRA in these relatively reducing waters and partly due to the protection of the aquifer provided by its multi-layered nature as well as by the overlying superficial deposits (Abesser et al., 2005a). Groundwaters with Eh values below 350 mV and low concentrations of DO also contain high concentrations of dissolved Fe.

In the Devonian Old Red Sandstone aquifers in Wales and Herefordshire, groundwater is moderately pristine but with local inputs of NO$_3$ (Moreau et al., 2004). Most of the groundwaters studied contained DO and had moderately high Eh. The samples where NO$_3$ was below the limit of detection were moderately reducing and have most likely undergone denitrification. Ammonium concentrations were generally higher in the reducing groundwaters (up to 0.07 mg/L), but the sample with the highest NO$_3$ (15.6 mg/L NO$_3$-N) also contained NH$_4$ with a concentration of 0.11 mg/L, probably as a result of pollution. The abundance of oxidising groundwaters is reflected in low median concentrations of Fe and Mn (0.02 and 0.003 mg/L respectively), even though Fe oxides are abundant as cements in the aquifer. However, under reducing conditions, Fe and Mn are mobile and the more reducing groundwaters contain relatively high concentrations, up to 3.2 and 0.95 mg/L respectively.

In summary, the Lower Greensand demonstrates low redox zones related to confinement by the overlying Gault, but the pattern in the Palaeogene is more complex. Distribution may also be related to the distribution of superficial deposits and to layering in the aquifer. In older indurated sandstones, NO$_3$ concentrations were generally lower.

OTHER

In the Silurian and Ordovician strata of the Plynlimon catchment in Wales (Shand et al., 2005) NO$_3$ concentrations were low in both the upper catchments of the Severn and Wye. Nitrate concentrations varied from <LOD up to 1-2 mg L$^{-1}$ and NH$_4$ is present at up to 0.7 mg/L in the more reducing groundwaters. Manganese and Fe were high in many of the shallow groundwaters, particularly in the Wye catchment. This may be due to reducing conditions caused by more extensive superficial deposits in this part of the Wye catchment. In the Teifi catchment NO$_3$ concentrations were higher ranging up to 9.3 mg/L in the bedrock and 4.3 mg/L in the superficial deposits and NH$_4$ is present up to 1.7 mg/L in the bedrock. TOC concentrations may also reach moderately high concentrations, up to 7 mg/L. A strong smell of H$_2$S was noted in several
boreholes, indicating that SO4-reducing conditions occur at depth, and in shallow ground waters beneath organic-rich soils and clay.

In the granites of southwest England, a large range of NO3-N concentrations is observed at the shallowest depths (Smedley and Allen, 2004). Only three samples in the database used in this study were from boreholes with recorded depths greater than 80 m. These had comparatively low, though still detectable, NO3-N concentrations around 5 mg/L.

In summary, whilst there is evidence of low redox conditions, related to confinement by organic-rich superficial deposits, there is no direct evidence for denitrification.

4.3 ENVIRONMENT AGENCY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REVIEW REPORTS

Table 4.3 shows summary quality data for Environment Agency Groundwater Quality Monitoring Review reports where the text identifies that parts of the aquifer are confined. The full list of report summaries are in Appendix 1. These are taken from summaries showing the percentage of concentrations over the DWL. Where these were not provided data from the mean, 95 percentile and maximum have been used.

All areas which have been assessed as partly confined, except the Magnesian Limestone of the East Midlands show elevated concentrations of Fe and Mn and many of NO2 as well. Measurements for DO do not appear to be reliable and many indicate minimum concentrations of >1 mg/L. Comments suggest that elevated concentrations of NH4 were likely to be derived from local pollution.

These areas include the Carboniferous limestones and sandstones of northern England, parts of the Magnesian Limestone, Permo-Triassic sandstones of the northwest, Jurassic limestones of the Cotswolds and the Corallian, the Lower Greensand, areas of the Chalk confined by the Palaeogene or by low permeability superficial deposits and the Crag.

This dataset will be evaluated in greater detail as part of the construction of the map.
Table 4.3  Summary data from Environment Agency Groundwater Quality Monitoring Reports where confined conditions are identified within the area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Area</th>
<th>Monitoring unit</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>NO₃-N (%&gt;QL-11.3 mg/L)</th>
<th>NO₂-N (%&gt;QL-0.03 mg/L)</th>
<th>NH₄-N (%&gt;QL-0.39 mg/L)</th>
<th>Fe (%&gt;QL-200 µg/L)</th>
<th>Mn (%&gt;QL-50 µg/L)</th>
<th>SO₄ (%&gt;QL-250 mg/L)</th>
<th>Evidence for confined conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ang 2*</td>
<td>Norfolk and Suffolk Crag</td>
<td>0-sat</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes –by drift</td>
<td>Environment Agency (2009c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 1*</td>
<td>East Hampshire Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;1.7</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes along tertiary edge semi-confined</td>
<td>Environment Agency (2004d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 13*</td>
<td>Chichester Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;1.9</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes in Littlehampton inlier</td>
<td>ENTEC (2008b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tham 3</td>
<td>Confined Chalk of the London Basin</td>
<td>0-sat</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tham 5</td>
<td>Kennet Valley Chalk</td>
<td>0.16-12</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2005a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang 1*</td>
<td>Norfolk and Suffolk Chalk</td>
<td>0-sat</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Environment Agency (2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang 3*</td>
<td>North Essex Chalk</td>
<td>1.1-9.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Environment Agency (2009d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang 4*</td>
<td>South Essex Chalk</td>
<td>0-7.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes mainly confined</td>
<td>Environment Agency (2009e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 8*</td>
<td>Kent Lower Greensand</td>
<td>&gt;2.5</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes, In northern boundary</td>
<td>ENTEC (2008e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 16*</td>
<td>Isle of Wight Lower Greensand</td>
<td>&gt;1.32</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ENTEC (2008d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 17*</td>
<td>Western Lower Greensand</td>
<td>&gt;1.1</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL in Folkestone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ENTEC (2008j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tham 6</td>
<td>Lower Greensand</td>
<td>0-8.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID1</td>
<td>Jurassic Limestone of Cotswolds Edge</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Besien et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tham 1</td>
<td>Corallian</td>
<td>0.1-10.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Duncan et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW3</td>
<td>Permo-Triassic sandstones of the Wirral and West Cheshire</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Low 2.2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006i)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Area</td>
<td>Monitoring unit</td>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>NO$_3$-N (%&gt;QL-11.3 mg/L)</td>
<td>NO$_2$-N (%&gt;QL-0.03 mg/L)</td>
<td>NH$_4$-N (%&gt;QL-0.39 mg/L)</td>
<td>Fe (%&gt;QL-200 µg/L)</td>
<td>Mn (%&gt;QL-50 µg/L)</td>
<td>SO$_4$ (%&gt;QL-250 mg/L)</td>
<td>Evidence for confined conditions</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW4</td>
<td>Permo-Triassic sandstones of Eden Valley and Carlisle Basin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.1 from evaporites</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW8</td>
<td>Sherwood Sandstone of Furness and West Cumbria</td>
<td>&gt; 0.02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID3</td>
<td>Magnesian Limestone of the East Midlands</td>
<td>1.02-9.61</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Besien and Pearson (2007b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE4</td>
<td>Skerne Magnesian limestone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2004a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE1</td>
<td>Till and Northumberland rivers Fell sandstone</td>
<td>Low except arable area</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>High in N centre. Up to 7.7 mg/L</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2004b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE2</td>
<td>Tyne Fell Sandstone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2004c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW2</td>
<td>Carboniferous Limestone, Millstone Grit and Coal Measures of the Lune and Kent</td>
<td>Low, 1.2% Low 0.79</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3.2 both</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW6</td>
<td>Carboniferous Limestone, Millstone Grit and Coal Measures of the Ribble</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW9</td>
<td>Millstone Grit and Coal Measures of Northern Manchester</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ESI (2006g)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Construction of a UK denitrification map

5.1 APPROACH

It is proposed to use a “lines of evidence” based approach. This will develop a series of GIS layers that will be overlain to provide the three main lines.

5.1.1 Hydrological settings favouring development of low redox zones

This line of evidence will draw on the material set out in Section 4. Literature data are predominantly related to the confined zones of the three principal aquifers. The distance of the redox boundary from outcrop has been established for these aquifers. This approach will be extended to the confined zones of other principal and secondary aquifers where this can potentially be corroborated by monitoring data.

Other areas that need to be considered are areas where there are significant low permeability or high organic carbon superficial deposits and areas of floodplain deposits that may confine underlying shallow groundwater.

Data which need to be collected are:

- Aquifer subcrop – This will be derived from the BGS 3D model. This can provide depths for the top of the main formations, e.g. Chalk Group, Lower Greensand Group, Corallian Group, Great and Inferior Oolite Groups, Lias, Sherwood Sandstone Group, Zechstein Group, Coal Measures, Dinantian Limestone, Devonian. The total aquifer depth will be defined as 400 m below the surface to retain consistency with other approaches.

- Superficial deposits:
  - Low permeability deposits classified using the approach of Griffiths et al. (2011). This approach will need to take account of both lithology and thickness
  - Organic deposits will include peat
  - Alluvium will be used as a simple surrogate for the presence of a hyporheic zone

5.1.2 Groundwater monitoring data indicating potential denitrification

In the first instance, values from the Environment Agency strategic monitoring network will be used. This will include oxidised nitrogen species, TON or NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$. It will also include parameters which could be indicative of suitable reducing conditions, DO, DOC, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$. These will also be used to attempt to delineate areas where widespread denitrification is unlikely. Indicator values for the presence of denitrification will be drawn from Sections 2 and 4, mainly from Table 2.4.

Data which need to be collected are:

- Environment Agency strategic monitoring data for TON or NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$. DO, DOC, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, including designated aquifer, borehole depth and confined/unconfined.

5.1.3 Mass balance approaches.

These will identify areas where inputs of N do not appear to be reflected in current groundwater concentrations. Particularly where N is absent Inputs could include N applications to arable
land and urban areas which will be drawn from data used in the NVZ delineation process, and also aerial deposition. Aerial deposition is represented within the NEAP-N model.

- nitrate loading concentrations related to the NVZ designation process
- Estimate of nitrate in recharge – The Baseline series reports make an estimation for each area

### 5.2 CONSTRUCTION OF MAP

Figure 5.1 Map component layers

Figure 5.2 Overlay of data layers
## Appendix 1 Summary of Environment Agency Groundwater Quality monitoring reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Monitoring unit</th>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>NO₂-N (%&gt;QL-11.3 mg/L)</th>
<th>NO₂-N (%&gt;QL-0.03 mg/L)</th>
<th>NH₄-N (%&gt;QL-200 µg/L)</th>
<th>Fe (%&gt;QL-50 µg/L)</th>
<th>Mn (%&gt;QL-50 µg/L)</th>
<th>SO₄ (%&gt;QL-250 mg/L)</th>
<th>Evidence for confined conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE1</td>
<td>Till and Northumberland rivers Fell sandstone</td>
<td>Low except arable area</td>
<td></td>
<td>High around Berwick. 10% &gt;QL</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>High in N centre. Up to 7.7 mg/L</td>
<td>High in centre. 33% &gt;QL</td>
<td>Elevated. 9</td>
<td>Yes (ESI, 2004b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE2</td>
<td>Tyne Fell Sandstone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes (ESI, 2004c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE4</td>
<td>Skerne Magnesian limestone</td>
<td>High in west. V low where confined</td>
<td>3.1% &gt;QL</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>High. 22% &gt;QL</td>
<td>Max around Newton Aycliffe. 30% &gt;QL</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes (ESI, 2004a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW1</td>
<td>Lake District</td>
<td>Low. 1.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>High near Kendal. 2</td>
<td>Low but 5.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Low. High related to pyrite</td>
<td>No (ESI, 2006d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW2</td>
<td>Carboniferous Limestone, Millstone Grit and Coal Measures of the Lune and Kent</td>
<td>Limestone Grit&amp;Coal</td>
<td>Low, 1.2%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2 both</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Yes (ESI, 2006f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW3</td>
<td>Permo-Triassic sandstones of the Wirral and West Cheshire</td>
<td>High on western margin 8.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.1 at polluted site</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Low. 2.2</td>
<td>Yes (ESI, 2006i)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW4</td>
<td>Permo-Triassic sandstones of Eden Valley and Carlisle Basin</td>
<td>St Bees Penrith</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.1 from evaporites 0</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
<td>(ESI, 2006b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW6</td>
<td>Carboniferous Limestone, Millstone Grit and Coal Measures of the Ribble</td>
<td>Limestone Grit Coal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes (ESI, 2006h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW8</td>
<td>Sherwood Sandstone of Furness and West Cumbria</td>
<td>Furness W Cumbria</td>
<td>&gt; 0.02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes no</td>
<td>(ESI, 2006c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Monitoring unit</td>
<td>Strata</td>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>NO$_2$-N (%$&gt;$QL-11.3 mg/L)</td>
<td>NO$_3$-N (%$&gt;$QL-0.03 mg/L)</td>
<td>NH$_4$-N (%$&gt;$QL-200 µg/L)</td>
<td>Fe (%$&gt;$QL-50µg/L)</td>
<td>Mn (%$&gt;$QL-100 µg/L)</td>
<td>SO$_4$ (%$&gt;$QL-250 mg/L)</td>
<td>Evidence for confined conditions</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW9</td>
<td>Millstone Grit and Coal Measures of Northern Manchester</td>
<td>Grit Coal</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ESI, 2006g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID1</td>
<td>Jurassic Limestone of Cotswolds Edge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(Besien et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID2</td>
<td>Carboniferous sandstones of Coventry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Besien and Pearson, 2007a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID3</td>
<td>Magnesian Limestone of the East Midlands</td>
<td>22 Low in confined zone</td>
<td>1.02-9.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(Besien and Pearson, 2007b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID4</td>
<td>Coal Measures of Wyre Forest</td>
<td>&gt;6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Pearson, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglian 1*</td>
<td>Norfolk and Suffolk Chalk</td>
<td>0-sat Mean&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0-sat</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes – by drift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglian 2*</td>
<td>Norfolk and Suffolk Crag</td>
<td>0-sat Mean&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>0-sat</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL 95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes – by drift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglian 3*</td>
<td>North Essex Chalk</td>
<td>1.1-9.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2009d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglian 4*</td>
<td>South Essex Chalk</td>
<td>0-7.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes mainly confined</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2009e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglian 5*</td>
<td>Essex gravels</td>
<td>2.4-sat</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 1</td>
<td>Corallian</td>
<td>0.1-10.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(Duncan et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 2</td>
<td>Upper River Lee Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;3.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rarely but by till</td>
<td>(ESI, 2005e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 3</td>
<td>Confined Chalk of London Basin</td>
<td>0-sat</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(ESI, 2006a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 4</td>
<td>Thames Valley gravels</td>
<td>&gt;2.2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ESI, 2005f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 5</td>
<td>Kennet Valley Chalk</td>
<td>0.16-12</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(ESI, 2005a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 6</td>
<td>Lower Greensand</td>
<td>0-8.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(ESI, 2006e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 7</td>
<td>Mid-Chilterns and Colne Chalk</td>
<td>0.92-12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ESI, 2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Monitoring unit</td>
<td>Strata</td>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>NO₂-N (%&gt;QL-11.3 mg/L)</td>
<td>NO₂-N (%&gt;QL-0.03 mg/L)</td>
<td>NH₄-N (%&gt;QL-0.39 mg/L)</td>
<td>Fe (%&gt;QL-200 µg/L)</td>
<td>Mn (%&gt;QL-50µg/L)</td>
<td>SO₄ (%&gt;QL-250 mg/L)</td>
<td>Evidence for confined conditions</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 8</td>
<td>North Downs Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NO₂ ascribed to mixing. Confined at 1 site</td>
<td>(ESI, 2005c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames 9</td>
<td>SW and Twyford Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;5.1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(ESI, 2005d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW1</td>
<td>&gt;4.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>&gt;5.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW4</td>
<td>Jurassic Limestone</td>
<td>Great &amp; Inferior Oolite</td>
<td>0.1-11.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW5</td>
<td>Mendips Limestone</td>
<td>&gt;5.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW6</td>
<td>Otter Sandstone</td>
<td>&gt;6.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None, but few points in confined</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 11*</td>
<td>Seaford &amp; Eastbourne Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No, Fe attributed to pipework</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 12*</td>
<td>East Kent Stour Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;1.2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 13*</td>
<td>Chichester Chalk</td>
<td>&gt;1.9</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;Q L</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;Q L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes in Littlehampton inlier</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 14*</td>
<td>North Kent Medway Chalk</td>
<td>0.56-4.2</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 15*</td>
<td>West Kent Darent and Cray Chalk</td>
<td>6.6-11.7</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;Q L</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 16*</td>
<td>Isle of Wight Lower Greensand</td>
<td>&gt;1.32</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;Q L</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;Q L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Monitoring unit</td>
<td>Strata</td>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>NO₂-N (%&gt;QL-11.3 mg/L)</td>
<td>NO₃-N (%&gt;QL-0.03 mg/L)</td>
<td>NH₄-N (%&gt;QL-200 µg/L)</td>
<td>Fe (%&gt;QL-50µg/L)</td>
<td>Mn (%&gt;QL-250 mg/L)</td>
<td>SO₄ (%&gt;QL-250 mg/L)</td>
<td>Evidence for confined conditions</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 17*</td>
<td>Western Lower Greensand</td>
<td>Folkestone and Hythe Fms</td>
<td>&gt;1.1</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL in Folkestone Fm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 1*</td>
<td>East Hants Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1.7</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes along tertiary edge semi-confined</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 2*</td>
<td>Isle of Wight Central Downs Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 3*</td>
<td>Isle of Wight Southern Downs Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 4*</td>
<td>River Itchen Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 5*</td>
<td>River Test Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 6*</td>
<td>Thanet Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Mean&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Environment Agency, 2004l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 7*</td>
<td>North Kent Swale Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No, CWF?</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 8*</td>
<td>Kent Lower Greensand</td>
<td>Folkestone Hythe</td>
<td>&gt;2.5</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>Yes, In northern boundary</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008e)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 9*</td>
<td>Worthing Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2.2</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern 10*</td>
<td>Brighton Chalk</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2.3</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>95%ile &gt;QL</td>
<td>Max&gt;QL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(ENTEC, 2008a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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