NERC Open Research Archive



Article (refereed) - postprint

Maziarz, Marta; Broughton, Richard K.; Wesolowski, Tomasz. 2017. **Microclimate in tree cavities and nest-boxes: implications for hole-nesting birds.** *Forest Ecology and Management*, 389. 306-313. <u>10.1016/j.foreco.2017.01.001</u>

© 2017 Elsevier B.V.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/515741/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Forest Ecology and Management*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Forest Ecology and Management*, 389. 306-313.

10.1016/j.foreco.2017.01.001

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1 Microclimate in tree cavities and nest-boxes: implications

2 for hole-nesting birds

3

4 Marta Maziarz^a, Richard K. Broughton^b and Tomasz Wesołowski^a

5

⁶ ^a Laboratory of Forest Biology, Wrocław University, Sienkiewicza 21, 50 335 Wrocław,

7 Poland

8 ^bCentre for Ecology & Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford,

9 Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK

10 Corresponding author: Marta Maziarz, email: mart.ann.maz@gmail.com, tel. +4871 322 28

11 17, Laboratory of Forest Biology, Wrocław University, Sienkiewicza 21, 50 335 Wrocław,

12 Poland

13

14 Abstract

15 The provision of nest-boxes is widely used as a conservation intervention to increase the 16 availability of cavities for hole-nesting birds, particularly in managed forests, but it is 17 uncertain whether nest-boxes are an appropriate substitute for tree cavities. Tree cavities 18 and nest-boxes may differ in many aspects, including microclimate, but there are few data 19 with which to examine this. We measured the air temperature and relative humidity in vacant 20 tree cavities previously used by breeding marsh tits Poecile palustris (a non-excavating 21 forest passerine) and in nest-boxes provided for this species that had similar dimensions to 22 natural nest sites, and we compared values from both with ambient conditions. We examined 23 how tree cavity characteristics influenced microclimate and if similar conditions were 24 replicated in nest-boxes. Tree cavities, particularly those in thicker parts of trees, were more 25 efficient thermal insulators, with temperature extremes dampened to a greater extent relative 26 to ambient values. In contrast, the nest-boxes provided poor insulation with negligible

buffering against ambient temperatures. Mean daily relative humidity was high (on average c. 90%) in tree cavities, which all had walls of living wood, and this averaged 24% higher than in nest-boxes at comparable ambient conditions (mean humidity 76-78%). These results support previous studies that incorporated various types of tree cavities and nest-boxes, indicating that the environment within nest-boxes differs significantly from that of tree cavities. We conclude that providing nest-boxes may affect microclimatic conditions available for cavity-users, which may have ecological implications for nesting birds.

34

Key words: air temperature, relative humidity, *Poecile palustris*, marsh tit, nest-site
 availability

37

38 **1. Introduction**

39 Tree cavities are used by many forest organisms, and the availability of tree holes is 40 fundamental to maintaining forest biodiversity (Gibbons and Lindenmayer, 2002). Retention 41 of cavity-bearing trees may conflict with forestry management, however, as older or decaying 42 trees are often removed as a standard practice (Newton 1998, Cockle et al., 2010; 43 Wesołowski and Martin, in press). In consequence, cavity resource limitation can be a 44 problem for some species, and non-excavating birds that rely on pre-existing tree holes for 45 nesting seem to be particularly vulnerable in this regard (reviewed in Newton, 1998). Nest-46 boxes are a popular management tool to increase nest site availability for hole-nesting birds, 47 but their provision may have some negative aspects (McComb and Noble, 1981; Mänd et al., 48 2005; Wesołowski and Martin, in press). Although increasing the availability of cavities by 49 providing nest-boxes has facilitated the population recovery or increase of several bird 50 species (reviewed in Newton, 1998; Goldingay and Stevens, 2009; and Lindenmayer et al., 51 2009), there is uncertainty as to whether nest-boxes can be considered an adequate 52 functional substitute for tree holes due to apparent variation in the breeding ecology of birds 53 occupying artificial and natural nest-sites (e.g. Czeszczewik et al., 1999; Mänd et al., 2005; 54 Lambrechts et al., 2010; Wesołowski, 2011). These differences may involve reduced

breeding success and survival if predators learn to exploit nest-boxes, or artificially reduced predation risk if extra protection is added (reviewed in Wesołowski, 2011). Nest-boxes may also have the counter-productive effect of providing additional nest sites for potential competitors of the target species (e.g. Mänd et al., 2005; Wesołowski, 2011; Broughton and Hinsley, 2014). Further understanding of the differences between tree cavities and nestboxes, and the implications for nesting birds, would inform the conservation and management strategies directed at such species in managed forests.

62 The insulating function of nest cavities may be particularly important for altricial 63 passerines, whose nestlings are initially incapable of thermoregulation (Hansell, 2000). Poor 64 insulation from ambient temperatures may raise the risk of nestling hypothermia and 65 increase parental costs of warming eggs or nestlings in cool weather (O'Connor, 1975; 66 Haftorn and Reinertsen, 1985), or risk hyperthermia and dehydration in hot environments 67 (Kluijver, 1951; Mertens, 1977; van Balen, 1984; Erbelding-Denk and Trillmich, 1990; 68 Rendell and Verbeek, 1996; Salaberria et al., 2014). Sufficient humidity can also be 69 important, for example in preventing excessive water loss (Mersten-Katz et al., 2012), but 70 heavily saturated air can hinder evaporation and gaseous exchange (Walsberg and Schmidt, 71 1992). If different thermal and humidity options are available, therefore, birds should seek to 72 occupy cavities that would favour successful reproduction and minimise the parental 73 investment of energy.

74 As the microclimate of tree holes can vary with location and dimensions (e.g. Wiebe, 75 2001; Paclík and Weidinger, 2007; Coombs et al., 2010; Maziarz and Wesołowski, 2013), it 76 could be expected that different types of cavity would provide contrasting environments, and 77 so nesting birds would be able to select on the basis of attributes that were most preferable. 78 In forest habitats that are least modified by humans, tree cavities are numerous and diverse 79 (reviewed in Wesołowski and Martin, in press) and so a wide spectrum of microclimatic 80 conditions may be available for hole-nesting birds. There are few data with which to test this 81 assumption, however, as there are limited studies of air temperature and humidity in tree 82 cavities available for nesting birds. The initial cavity microclimate that birds may experience

when selecting their nest sites have been investigated in Northern flickers (*Colaptes auratus*;
Howe et al., 1987; Wiebe, 2001), South Island saddlebacks (*Philesturnus c. carunculatus*;
Rhodes et al., 2009) and great tits (*Parus major*; Maziarz and Wesołowski, 2013). The
characteristics of nesting or other tree holes are also seldom reported in the literature;
among 19 papers detailing the microclimate of tree cavities only twelve contained information
on entrance diameter and ten on the state of cavity walls (living vs. dead), with eight
commenting on cavity floor size and five on tree girth at the height of the hole.

90 The differences in insulation between tree cavities and nest-boxes may affect their use 91 by birds (reviewed in Goldingay and Stevens, 2009), but variation in microclimate between 92 these cavities remains poorly documented. The few studies to date suggest that nest-boxes 93 tend to be less humid than tree cavities, and poorer insulators against ambient temperatures 94 (McComb and Noble, 1981; Isaac et al., 2008a; Grüebler et al., 2014). Additionally, 95 compared to tree cavities, nest-boxes deployed in a given area are usually more uniform in 96 dimensions and location above the ground, and so offer a limited variety of nesting 97 possibilities for non-excavators (reviewed in Lambrechts et al., 2010). Different types of nest-98 box also seem to provide a rather similar microclimate in general (Goldingay, 2015; Ellis, 99 2016), which may lessen the opportunity for birds to find optimal thermal and humidity 100 conditions. As such, reducing the number and diversity of cavities, by removing cavity-rich 101 trees and providing nest-boxes, would diminish the cavity microclimate options available to 102 nesting birds. To test this assumption more studies of tree cavities and nest-boxes are 103 needed.

Here, we present the first data on air temperature and humidity in tree cavities and nest-boxes used as nest sites by marsh tits (*Poecile palustris*), a Palaearctic hole-nesting species that relies on pre-existing cavities (Cramp and Perrins, 1993; Wesołowski, 1999). We examine how the tree cavity situation and dimensions influence the initial cavity microclimate that the birds may experience when selecting their nest sites, and check if these conditions are replicated in nest-boxes with dimensions approximating those of treecavities. We put these data into a wider context by comparing them with the published 111 measurements of thermal and humidity properties of tree cavities and nest boxes usable for 112 birds and mammals. We draw general conclusions on the microclimatic properties of tree 113 cavities and nest-boxes, and discuss the implications for the ecology and conservation of the 114 cavity-nesting species that use them.

115

116 **2. Materials and Methods**

117 **2.1. Study area**

The study capitalised on parallel long-term studies of marsh tits carried out in Białowieża National Park (hereafter 'BNP'; eastern Poland, 52°40'N, 23°50'E) and at Monks Wood National Nature Reserve (eastern England, 52° 24' N, 0° 14' W). The 47.5 km² of strictly protected old-growth stands within BNP are a relic of the primeval mixed-deciduous forests which once covered much of lowland Europe (Tomiałojć and Wesołowski, 2004). Monks Wood in the English lowlands is 155 ha of mature, secondary, deciduous woodland that has been largely unmanaged for a century (Broughton et al., 2012).

125 The microclimate of tree cavities in BNP was measured in 2013-2014 within study plots 126 situated in oak-lime-hornbeam (Tilio-Carpinetum) stands (for detailed descriptions see 127 Tomiałojć et al., 1984; Wesołowski, 1996; Wesołowski et al., 2015). Tree holes are 128 superabundant here and birds have a wide array of nesting options, whilst nest-boxes are 129 not provided (Wesołowski, 2007). Instead, nest-boxes with dimensions specifically designed 130 to mimic the natural holes of Marsh Tits were already available during 2015 in Monks Wood, 131 a woodland composed of English oak (Quercus robur), common ash (Fraxinus excelsior) and 132 field maple (Acer campestre; Broughton and Hinsley, 2014). These nest-boxes had been in 133 situ and maintained (to remove old nest material) for at least two years previously, during a 134 population study of marsh tits, and so provided a convenient opportunity to acquire 135 measurements of temperature and humidity to compare with tree cavities used by this 136 species in BNP. In both study areas the data were collected in April-May, during the time 137 corresponding to the incubation period of local marsh tits.

139 **2.2. Microclimate measurements**

140 Measurements of air temperature and relative humidity were taken from a respective 24 and 141 15 tree cavities in BNP, which had been used by marsh tits in previous breeding seasons but 142 were unoccupied during data collection (due to high abundance of tree holes providing 143 alternative nest sites; Wesołowski 2006, 2007). Eighteen cavities were used for breeding by 144 marsh tits one year before the study, and six remaining ones 2-7 years prior to the study, 145 with all considered to be still usable by marsh tits. As nest material in tree cavities disappears 146 between consecutive breeding seasons (Wesołowski, 2000; Hebda et al., 2013), the vacant 147 cavities contained no discernible nest remnants during data collection. The tree cavities were 148 formed by natural decay in living trunks of limes *Tilia cordata* (84%) or hornbeams *Carpinus* 149 betulus (16%), and the median tree girth at breast height was 68 cm. Cavity dimensions were 150 measured using a collapsible ruler and flexible torch (for detailed description and explanation 151 of parameters see Wesołowski, 1996 and Maziarz et al., 2015); the dimensions and other 152 cavity properties are given in Table 1.

153 Air temperature and humidity were recorded from a respective 18 and 15 empty nest-154 boxes in Monks Wood, which were constructed from pine planks to dimensions 155 approximating tree cavities used by this species (Broughton and Hinsley, 2014; Table 1). The 156 nest-boxes were in good condition but remained unoccupied in the current year, with either 157 marsh tits or blue tits (Cyanistes caeruleus) having used them in a previous breeding season 158 (Broughton and Hinsley, 2014). Joins in the walls and floor were filled and the external walls 159 were painted with preservative and a marine varnish to seal any cracks. The nest-boxes 160 were attached to trees and located at least 150 m from the woodland edge, under a mature 161 tree canopy (Broughton and Hinsley, 2014). The entrance orientation both of nest-boxes and 162 tree cavities was randomly distributed through the four cardinal directions (respectively χ^2 = 163 1.7 and 2.7, df = 3, p > 0.4; Table 1).

164 For microclimate measurements we used temperature (DS1922L) and temperature and 165 humidity (DS1923) data loggers (iButtons), tested and calibrated by Dallas

166 Semiconductor/Maxim Inc. (Maxim Integrated Products, 2011a; 2011b). The operating range

167 for DS1922L was -10°C to +65°C, and for DS1923 from -20°C to +85°C and 0% to 100% 168 relative humidity. Measurement precision for temperature was ± 0.5 °C and for humidity ± 5 %. 169 The measurements were taken simultaneously by paired data loggers of the same 170 type, positioned inside and outside of each cavity/nest-box, to test the buffering from ambient 171 conditions. The internal data logger was mounted with a thin wire usually 8-11 cm below the 172 entrance hole. The external logger was hung in a radiation shelter (tubular white plastic 173 sleeve of c. 7 cm circumference, open at both sides to permit free air movement and shading 174 of the sensor) and placed in close proximity to the cavity/nest-box, 2-4 m above the ground 175 (above ground frosts) to detect relative differences between ambient air and microclimate of 176 the tree cavity. The mean daily temperatures recorded by the external loggers at tree cavities 177 (on average 15.4°C, from 9.6°C to 19.2°C) closely corresponded to the mean daily values 178 received on the same days from the local weather station at BNP (the Institute of 179 Meteorology and Water Management-National Research Institute in Białowieża; on average 180 15.3°C, from 9.7°C to 19.2°C; $r_{\rm S} = 0.98$, p < 0.001).

Both data loggers in a set were programmed to simultaneously initiate recording at the expected time of their installation at the cavity/nest-box and continue at five-minute intervals (recording resolution was 0.0625°C temperature and 0.04% humidity). After a minimum 48 hours from installation the loggers were removed and the data were uploaded to a computer using a 1-Wire adapter and Maxim software.

186

187 **2.3. Data analysis**

Relative air humidity was recorded to a standardised temperature of 25°C and systematically inflated when humidity exceeded 70% for extended periods. The humidity values were later corrected to the actual temperature and for saturation drift following the manufacturer's equations (Maxim Integrated Products, 2011b; p. 53). From each sample we selected a 24hour sequence of records from 00:01 to 24:00 and calculated hourly means to define: (1) mean, minimum and maximum hourly mean temperature/humidity of a day, (2) the hour of minimum and maximum hourly mean temperature during the day, (3) daily amplitude, i.e. the difference between minimum and maximum hourly mean temperature, and (4) the rate of temperature change($^{\circ}C \cdot h^{-1}$), i.e. the quotient of daily amplitude and the duration(hours) from minimum to maximum hourly mean temperature during the day.

198 To compare thermal conditions between tree cavities and nest-boxes we standardised 199 observed internal temperature values to varying ambient conditions by using 'temperature 200 differences' (subtracting mean hourly or mean daily ambient values from the corresponding 201 cavity readings). The relationships between internal and ambient air temperature were 202 assessed using Spearman's rank-order correlation, and similarly the relationship between a 203 cavity's thermal conditions and its structural characteristics. Additionally, a Multiple Linear 204 Regression model was used to examine the capacity of the maximum ambient air 205 temperature and the tree circumference at the hole height (predictor variables) to shape the 206 maximum cavity-internal air temperature (response variable). In this analysis the maximum 207 internal and ambient temperature values were the raw data recorded in 5-minute sampling 208 intervals. Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare differences in thermal and humidity 209 conditions between tree holes and nest-boxes, and paired t-tests to compare the conditions 210 inside and outside of tree holes and nest-boxes. Humidity values were logit transformed 211 before statistical analysis. All statistical calculations followed formulae in R version 3.1.2 (The 212 R Core Team, 2014).

213

214 **3. Results**

3.1. Tree cavities

Mean daily temperature in tree cavities was strongly dependent on mean daily ambient temperature ($r_s = 0.95$, p < 0.001, n = 24), but the pattern of internal temperature change during a day differed from the ambient (Fig. 1a). The daily minima inside tree cavities averaged 2.0°C higher and the maxima 2.5°C lower compared to the ambient values (Table 2), resulting in a lower average daily amplitude of 8.8°C in the cavity and 13.3°C outside (paired *t*-test: t = -7.2, p < 0.001). The rate of temperature change in cavities was approximately half of that recorded outside (Table 2), with daily extremes lagging 1-4 hoursbehind the ambient (Fig. 1a).

224 The rate of temperature change was significantly lower in those cavities located in 225 thicker parts of trees ($r_{\rm S}$ = -0.60, p = 0.003, n = 22). In cavities in thicker trees the least 226 entrance diameter was smaller ($r_{\rm S}$ = -0.52, p = 0.014, n = 22), the greatest floor diameter was 227 larger ($r_s = 0.48$, p = 0.024, n = 22) and the cavity walls were thicker ($r_s = 0.91$, p < 0.001, n = 228 22). Mean daily internal-ambient temperature differences were related neither to the hole-229 height above the ground nor to the internal cavity dimensions ($r_{\rm S} < 0.3$, p > 0.19, n = 22). In 230 consequence, the maximum ambient values and the tree thickness at hole height were good predictors of maximum internal temperatures ($R^2 = 0.82$, residual SE = 1.40, F_{2.19} = 42.4, p < 231 232 0.001; Table 3).

Hourly mean relative humidity in tree cavities was stable throughout the day (Fig. 1b), often exceeding 90%, whereas mean hourly ambient humidity varied during a day and averaged 15% lower in absolute terms than inside cavities (Fig. 1b; Table 2).

236

237 **3.2. Nest-boxes**

238 Mean daily internal and ambient temperatures of nest-boxes were strongly correlated ($r_s =$ 239 0.95, p < 0.001, n = 18), and the pattern of temperature change throughout the day inside 240 nest-boxes closely followed that of outside (Fig. 1c). Internal daily minimum and maximum 241 temperatures were both higher than the ambient by respective averages of 0.3°C and 1.1°C, 242 and these extremes typically lagged up to 1 hour behind the ambient temperature extremes 243 (Fig.1c). The average daily amplitude of 13.4°C inside nest-boxes was significantly greater 244 than the mean 12.5°C outside (paired t-test, t = 3.3, df = 17, p = 0.004), but the internal and 245 ambient temperatures changed at the same rate (mean $1.3 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C} \cdot h^{-1}$; Table 2).

The nest-boxes were comparatively warmer than the tree cavities, relative to ambient conditions. The mean daily internal-ambient temperature differences for nest-boxes (on average 0.6°C) were significantly greater than those for tree cavities (on average -0.2°C; Mann-Whitney test, W = 367, p < 0.001). The hourly mean temperatures inside nest-boxes 250 slightly exceeded the respective ambient values for most of the day and, as such, hourly 251 mean internal-ambient temperature differences remained stable, at just above zero 252 throughout the day (Fig. 2). In contrast to nest-boxes, the hourly mean internal-ambient 253 temperature differences in tree cavities fluctuated greatly during the 24 hours (Fig. 2). 254 Hourly mean relative humidity inside nest-boxes was comparatively stable throughout 255 the day, with a mean daily amplitude of 10% compared to the 39% variation recorded outside 256 (Table 2, Fig. 1d). The average mean daily humidity of 67% was some 11% lower than the 257 ambient value (Table 2). The nest-boxes were substantially less humid than tree cavities 258 despite similar ambient conditions (Table 2); mean daily humidity inside nest boxes was 24% 259 lower than in tree cavities, which was a highly significant difference (Mann-Whitney test, W =260 0, p < 0.001).

261

262 **4. Discussion**

4.1. Microclimate of tree cavities

264 Tree cavities used by marsh tits offered a microclimate that was significantly buffered from 265 outside conditions. Although air temperatures inside the cavities were strongly affected by 266 ambient temperatures, the internal daily temperature extremes were reduced and typically 267 lagged several hours behind the ambient. Consequently, the internal temperatures changed 268 at a lower rate than outside. A thorough literature review revealed a similar buffering effect in 269 almost all studies incorporating various empty tree cavities (Table 4), indicating that 270 dampening of the daily temperature fluctuations constitutes an inherent feature of most tree 271 cavities.

The mean daily temperature amplitude of c. 9°C in tree holes used by marsh tits was one of the highest recorded in tree cavities so far; it ranged between 1°C and 16°C in other studies (Table 4). The temperature amplitude of marsh tit cavities was surprisingly large for holes in living wood, where the amplitude is typically 2-3°C (Table 4). Instead, the high temperature amplitude in tree cavities of marsh tits was more typical of cavities with walls of dead wood (Wiebe, 2001; Maziarz and Wesołowski, 2013), which is supposed to have lesser heat capacity and, thus, insulate less efficiently than live wood (e.g. McComb and Noble,
1981; Hooge et al., 1999; Wiebe, 2001). As the amplitude of temperature variation inside
marsh tit cavities was also comparatively high (a ratio of 0.7 between the mean internal and
ambient amplitudes; Table 4) this suggests that the greater temperature variation was due to
lower thermal buffering of the marsh tit cavities rather than more variable ambient conditions.

283 The temperature in tree cavities used by marsh tits changed by an average 0.8° C·h⁻¹, 284 which was three to four times faster than in tree cavities used by great tits in BNP (average 285 $0.2-0.3^{\circ}$ C·h⁻¹; Maziarz and Wesołowski, 2013). The great tit cavities had a floor area twice as 286 large as those of marsh tits, and were situated in parts of trees that were twice as thick 287 (reviewed in Maziarz et al., 2015). Similarly, those marsh tit cavities in thicker parts of trees, 288 which also tended to have a greater floor diameter and thicker walls, were more efficient 289 insulators with a lower daily rate of temperature change. Such an effect has also been found 290 in other studies (e.g. Calder et al., 1983 in Gibbons and Lindenmayer, 2002; Wiebe, 2001; 291 Isaac et al., 2008b; Rhodes et al., 2009; Coombs et al., 2010; Maziarz and Wesołowski, 292 2013; Otto et al., 2016), showing that cavities situated in trees of various size may create a 293 wide spectrum of insulation options for their users.

294 The mean daily relative humidity in marsh tit tree cavities was high (mean 91%) and 295 stable throughout the day, in contrast to a much lower (mean 76%) and fluctuating ambient 296 humidity. A stable humidity throughout the day that averaged c. 90% was also found in other 297 unoccupied cavities (Sedgeley, 2001; Maziarz and Wesołowski, 2013). Yet, Clement and 298 Castleberry (2013) reported a daily air humidity fluctuating between 80% and 90% inside tree 299 cavities, at ambient humidity of 70-95%. McComb and Noble (1981) recorded values as low 300 as 74% in tree cavities, and O'Connell and Keppel (2016) between 37 % and 56%, but this 301 was still usually above the ambient humidity. As studies of humidity are mostly from cavities 302 in living trees, where the air is constantly saturated with water from the surrounding growing 303 walls, they should not be generalised to cavities in dead wood without further study. It could 304 be surmised that cavities in living and decaying substrates could exhibit a range of humidity 305 values, some of which could be relatively dry.

306

307 **4.2.** Microclimate in nest-boxes compared to tree cavities

308 The microclimate in empty nest-boxes designed for marsh tits differed significantly from that 309 inside the tree cavities used by this species. Compared to the tree holes, the nest-boxes 310 were warmer and offered negligible buffering against ambient temperatures; indeed, the daily 311 minima and maxima were both slightly higher than the ambient values. The pattern of 312 temperature change inside nest-boxes used in this study was generally similar to that found 313 in all other studies incorporating small to large-sized nest-boxes (3.2-15 cm entrance 314 diameter, 121-1800 cm² floor area), whether constructed of wood or sawdust and concrete; 315 the maximum internal temperatures almost always exceeded the ambient ones, but the 316 minima were usually slightly lower than outside (Table 5).

317 As in our study, the temperature amplitudes in other nest-boxes were high, varying 318 between 6°C and 20°C across studies (c. 13°C in this study), and also had large internal-319 ambient amplitude ratios ranging from 0.8 to 1.4 (1.1 in marsh tit nest-boxes; Table 5). This 320 shows that the thermal properties of the marsh tit nest-boxes appear typical of such devices 321 in general. The low thermal buffering found in nest-box studies is in stark contrast to that of 322 tree cavities, and appears to override other factors such as situation or internal dimensions. 323 This may be due to the generally much thinner walls, floors and roofs of nest-boxes, which 324 are typically constructed of sheets of wood or a moulded sawdust-concrete mix, whereas 325 tree cavities are encased within a solid tree stem that usually extends many metres above 326 and below the cavity itself.

At an average 67%, the mean daily humidity in the marsh tit nest-boxes was a mean 24% lower than in the tree cavities, despite similar ambient conditions. This difference between nest-boxes and tree cavities in the current study was remarkable and much greater than the 1% disparity reported by McComb and Noble (1981) in other nest-boxes. The 64% mean relative humidity in wooden nest-boxes measured by Amat-Valero et al. (2014) was close to that found in the marsh tit nest-boxes, but Erbelding-Denk and Trillmich (1990) recorded much lower values of 49% and 59% in two empty nest-boxes at midday. Olszewski 334 (1971) reported a higher humidity than the current study, averaging 84-85% in sawdust and 335 concrete nest-boxes despite a similar ambient mean of 79%. Ellis (2016) gave average 336 values of 86-99% humidity in plywood nest boxes of various dimensions, which was 337 exceptionally high and comparable to tree cavities, but was still lower than the ambient 338 humidity. The majority of reported humidity values in nest-boxes, however, fall well below 339 those recorded in tree holes, demonstrating that nest-boxes are generally much drier places 340 than tree cavities for nesting birds, with the air in the latter constantly saturated with water 341 from living walls.

342

4.3. Implications of microclimate differences between tree cavities and nest-boxes

The current results provide evidence that nest-boxes differ from tree cavities; they are drier and less well insulated, which has further implications for cavity-nesting birds. Thus, providing nest-boxes in areas where the diversity of the tree cavity resource has been reduced in the course of forest management may change the character of thermal and humidity options available for nesting birds, and cause further complications.

349 Effective insulation against harsh ambient conditions is important for endothermic 350 animals to conserve energy during various stages of reproduction, and the buffering 351 properties of cavities are potentially important in environments where temperatures fluctuate 352 greatly within and between days and seasons (O'Connor, 1975; Haftorn and Reinertsen, 353 1985; Hansell, 2000; Goldingay and Stevens, 2009). Installing poorly-insulating nest-boxes in 354 such areas may expose their users to greater extremes of temperature than they would 355 otherwise experience in tree cavities (Isaac et al., 2008a). For example, mortality of 356 passerine chicks due to hyperthermia has only been reported from nest-boxes (e.g. Kluijver, 357 1951; Mertens, 1977; van Balen, 1984; Erbelding-Denk and Trillmich, 1990; Rendell and 358 Verbeek, 1996), indicating a greater potential for overheating than in generally cooler tree 359 cavities. This risk could be reduced by placing nest-boxes with improved insulation in shaded 360 sites (Isaac et al., 2008a; Goldingay, 2015), but hyperthermia and dehydration may still be 361 difficult to avoid in hot climates (Goldingay and Stevens, 2009, Salaberria et al., 2014).

362 Nest-boxes that are drier than tree cavities could have some advantages for breeding 363 birds, such as a lower risk of nest-soaking (reviewed in Wesołowski, 2011; Wesołowski and 364 Martin, in press), though a low humidity could also carry risks. The relatively dry and warm 365 environment in nest-boxes can be attractive to nesting Aculeata bees and wasps, which may 366 be significant competitors of birds that are capable of deterring or usurping nesting 367 passerines from nest-boxes, but they are rarely found in tree cavities (Broughton et al., 368 2015). Similarly, the drier and warmer environment of nest-boxes may foster the occurrence 369 and development of flea larvae in bird nests (Eeva et al., 1994; Heeb et al., 2000), facilitating 370 flea infestations in nest-boxes but explaining the low occurrence of these ectoparasites in 371 tree cavities (Wesołowski and Stańska, 2001; Hebda and Wesołowski, 2012). Abundant fleas 372 in nests can lead to reduced growth of nestlings and increased mortality, or abandonment by 373 adult birds (reviewed in Mazgajski, 2007). As such, provisioning nest-boxes can lead to 374 increased ectoparasite loads and competition between nesting birds and social bees and 375 wasps, both of which can reduce the breeding success of birds.

376 Accumulation of nest material between breeding seasons is another frequent 377 phenomenon of nest-boxes that is rarely observed in tree cavities, most probably due to 378 humid conditions in the latter promoting decomposition of nests over winter (Wesołowski, 379 2000; Hebda et al., 2013). The accumulation of nesting material in nest-boxes may induce 380 infestations by overwintering fleas, and also reduce the functional depth of the cavity for 381 birds, which reduces nest-site safety (Rendell and Verbeek, 1996; reviewed in Mazgajski, 382 2007). Regular cleaning of nest-boxes is necessary to alleviate these problems, but such 383 maintenance is labour intensive (Møller, 1989; Rendell and Verbeek, 1996; Wesołowski, 384 2011).

All of these practical and ecological differences between tree holes and nest-boxes have implications for nest-box studies of cavity-nesting birds, which are the basis of much of our understanding of their breeding ecology. Such limitations should, therefore, be considered if attempting to extrapolate results from nest-boxes to a wider population of birds 389 breeding in tree holes, as the conclusions reached could be misleading (Lambrechts et al.,

390 2010; Wesołowski, 2011).

391 In summary, nest-boxes generally appear to provide a relatively warm and dry 392 microclimate which is distinct from cool and humid tree cavities. The contrasting microclimate 393 of nest-boxes and tree cavities is one of several important, often inter-linked, distinctions that 394 have direct ecological impacts on their use by cavity-nesting species. Providing nest-boxes 395 should therefore be undertaken with consideration of their limitations and potential 396 influences. For species conservation, the provision of nest-boxes should be regarded as a 397 targeted and temporary intervention rather than routine practice. In the long term, the 398 retention of cavity-bearing trees is a more sustainable, cost-effective and less disruptive 399 measure (Goldingay and Stevens, 2009; Lindenmayer et al., 2009; Cockle et al., 2010; 400 Wesołowski and Martin, in press).

401

402 Acknowledgements

403 The authors are very grateful to M. Cholewa, M. Czuchra, G. Hebda, and P. Rowiński for 404 participation in fieldwork, including nest finding and checking. We also thank M. 405 Wojciechowski and S. Freeman for technical and statistical advice, the administration of 406 Białowieża National Park for kind cooperation, and Natural England for access to Monks 407 Wood NNR. R. Goldingay and an anonymous reviewer provided valuable comments for 408 improvements to an earlier draft of the manuscript. The work was supported by an internal 409 grant from the Faculty of Biological Sciences, Wrocław University (MM, TW), and studies at 410 Monks Wood were funded by the Natural Environment Research Council.

411

412 **References**

413 Amat-Valero, M., Calero-Torralbo, M.A., Václav, R., Valera, F., 2014. Cavity types and

414 microclimate: implications for ecological, evolutionary, and conservation studies. Int. J.

415 Biometeorol. 58, 1983-1994.

416 van Balen, J.H., 1984. The relationship between nest-box size, occupation and breeding

- 417 parameters of the Great Tit *Parus major* and some other hole-nesting species. Ardea 72,
 418 163–175.
- 419 Broughton, R.K., Hebda, G., Maziarz, M., Smith, K.W., Smith, L., Hinsley, S.A., 2015. Nest-
- 420 site competition between bumblebees (Bombidae), social wasps (Vespidae) and cavity-
- 421 nesting birds in Britain and the Western Palearctic. Bird Study 62, 427-437.
- 422 Broughton, R.K., Hill, R.A., Freeman, S.N., Bellamy, P.E., Hinsley, S.A., 2012. Describing
- 423 habitat occupation by woodland birds with territory mapping and remotely sensed data:
- 424 an example using the marsh tit (*Poecile palustris*). Condor 114, 812-822.
- Broughton, R.K., Hinsley, S.A., 2014. A nestbox trial for British marsh tits *Poecile palustris*.
 Ringing & Migration 29, 77-80.
- 427 Calder, T.G., Golding, B.G., Manderson, A.D., 1983. Management for Arboreal Species in
- 428 the Wombat State Forest. Environmental Report No. 16. Graduate School of

429 Environmental Science, Monash University. Unpublished, Melbourne.

- 430 Clement, M.J., Castleberry, S.B., 2013. Tree structure and cavity microclimate: implications
 431 for bats and birds. Int. J. Biometeorol. 57, 437-450.
- 432 Cockle, K., Martin, K., Drever, M. C., 2010. Supply of tree-holes limits nest density of cavity-
- 433 nesting birds in primary and logged subtropical Atlantic forest. Biological Conservation
 434 143, 2851-2857.
- 435 Coombs, A.B., Bowman, J., Garroway, C.J., 2010. Thermal properties of tree-cavities during
 436 winter in a northern hardwood forest. J. Wildlife. Manage. 74, 1875-1881.
- 437 Cramp, S., Perrins, C.M. (eds), 1993. The Birds of the Western Palearctic, Vol. VII:
- 438 Flycatchers to Shrikes. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 439 Czeszczewik, D., Walankiewicz, W., Mitrus, C., Nowakowski, W., 1999. Nest-box data of
- 440 Pied Flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca* may lead to erroneous generalizations. Vogelwelt
 441 120, 361-365.
- 442 Doucette, L.I., Brigham, R.M., Pavey, C.R., Geiser, F., 2011. Roost type influences torpor
- 443 use by Australian owlet-nightjars. Naturwissenschaften 98, 845-854.

- 444 Eeva, T., Lehikoinen, E., Nurmi, J., 1994. Effects of ectoparasites on breeding success of
- Great Tits (*Parus major*) and Pied Flycatchers (*Ficedula hypoleuca*) in an air pollution
 gradient. Can. J. Zoology 72, 624-635.
- Ellis, M.V., 2016. Influence of design on the microclimate in nest boxes exposed to direct
 sunshine. Australian Zoologist 38, 95-101.
- 449 Erbelding-Denk, C., Trillmich, F., 1990. Nestbox climate and its effects on Starling (*Sturnus*
- 450 *vulgaris*) nestlings. J. Ornithol. 131, 73-84 [in German, English summary]
- García-Navas, V., Arroyo, L., Sanz, J.J., 2010. Woodcrete nestboxes: are they adequate for
 multi brooded species? Ardeola 57, 159-166.
- 453 Gibbons, P., Lindenmayer, D., 2002. Tree Hollows and Wildlife Conservation in Australia.
- 454 CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood.
- Goldingay, R.L., 2015. Temperature variation in nest boxes in eastern Australia. Australian
 Mammology 37, 225-233.
- Goldingay, R.L., Stevens, J.R., 2009. Use of artificial tree hollows by Australian birds and
 bats. Wildlife Research 36, 81-97.
- 459 Grüebler, M.U., Widmer, S., Korner-Nievergelt, F., Naef-Daenzer, B., 2014. Temperature
- 460 characteristics of winter roost-sites for birds and mammals: tree cavities and
- 461 anthropogenic alternatives. Int. J. Biometeorol. 58, 629-637.
- 462 Haftorn, S., Reinertsen, R.E., 1985. The effect of temperature and clutch size on the
- 463 energetic cost of incubation in a free-living Blue Tit (*Parus caeruleus*). Auk 102, 470464 478.
- 465 Hansell, M.H., 2000. Bird Nests and Construction Behaviour. Cambridge University Press,
 466 Cambridge.
- 467 Hebda, G., Pochrząst, K., Mitrus, S., Wesołowski, T., 2013. Disappearance rates of old nest
- 468 material from tree cavities: an experimental study. Scandinavian Journal of Forest
 469 Research 28, 445-450.
- 470 Hebda, G., Wesołowski, T., 2012. Low flea loads in birds' nests in tree cavities. Ornis
- 471 Fennica 89, 139-144.

- Heeb, P., Kölliker, M., Richner, H., 2000. Bird-ectoparasite interactions, nest humidity and
 ectoparasite community structure. Ecology 81, 958-968.
- Hooge, P.N., Stanback, M.T., Koenig, W.D., 1999. Nest-site selection in the Acorn
 Woodpecker. Auk 116, 45-54.
- 476 Howe, S., Kilgore, D.L., Colby, C., 1987. Respiratory gas concentrations and temperatures
- within nest cavities of the northern flicker (*Colaptes auratus*). Can. J. Zoolog. 65, 15411547.
- Isaac, J.L., de Gabriel, J.L., Goodman, B.A., 2008b. Microclimate of daytime den sites in a
 tropical possum: implications for the conservation of tropical arboreal marsupials. Animal

481 Conservation 11, 281-287.

- 482 Isaac, J.L., Parsons, M., Goodman, B.A., 2008a. How hot do nest boxes get in the tropics? A
- 483 study of nest boxes for the endangered mahogany glider. Wildlife Research 35, 441-484 445.
- Kluijver, H.N., 1951. The population ecology of the Great Tit, *Parus m. major* L. Ardea 39, 1135.
- Lambrechts, M.M., Adriaensen, F., Ardia, D.R., et al., 2010. The design of artificial nestboxes
 for the study of secondary hole-nesting birds: a review of methodological inconsistencies
 and potential biases. Acta Ornithol 45, 1-26.
- 490 Lindenmayer, D.B., Welsh, A., Donnelly, C., Crane, M., Michael, D., Macgregor, C.,
- 491 McBurney, L., Montague-Drake, R., Gibbons, P., 2009. Are nest boxes a viable
- 492 alternative source of cavities for hollow-dependent animals? Long-term monitoring of
- 493 nest box occupancy, pest use and attrition. Biological Conservation 142, 33-42.
- 494 Mänd, R., Tilgar, V., Lõhmus, A., Leivits, A., 2005. Providing nest boxes for hole-nesting
- 495 birds Does habitat matter? Biodiversity and Conservation 14, 1823-1840.
- 496 Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., 2011a. DS1922L/ DS1922T: Temperature Logger iButton
- 497 with 8KB Data-Log Memory. 19-4990, Rev 10.
- Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., 2011b. DS1923: Hygrochron Temperature/Humidity Logger
 iButton with 8KB Data-Log Memory. 19-4991, Rev 4.

- 500 Mazgajski, T.D., 2007. Effect of old nest material on nest site selection and breeding
- 501 parameters in secondary hole nesters a review. Acta Ornithol. 42, 1-14.
- Maziarz, M., Wesołowski, T., 2013. Microclimate of tree cavities used by Great Tits (*Parus major*) in a primeval forest. Avian Biology Research 6, 47-56.
- 504 Maziarz, M., Wesołowski, T., Hebda, G., Cholewa, M., 2015. Natural nest-sites of Great Tits
- 505 (*Parus major*) in a primeval temperate forest (Białowieża National Park, Poland). J.
- 506 Ornithol. 156, 613-623.
- 507 McComb, W.C., Noble, R.E., 1981. Microclimates of nest boxes and natural cavities in 508 bottomland hardwoods. J. Wildl. Manage. 45, 284-289.
- 509 Mersten-Katz, C., Barnea, A., Yom-Tov, Y., Ar, A., 2012. The woodpecker's cavity
- 510 microenvironment: advantageous or restricting? Avian Biology Research 5, 227-237.
- 511 Mertens, J.A.L., 1977. Thermal conditions for successful breeding in great tits (Parus major
- 512 L.). I. Relation of growth and development of temperature regulation in nestling Great
 513 Tits. Oecologia 28, 1-29.
- 514 Møller, A.P., 1989. Parasites, predators and nest boxes: facts and artefacts in nest box
 515 studies of birds? Oikos 56, 421-423.
- 516 Newton, I., 1998. Population Limitation in Birds. Academic Press, San Diego.
- 517 O'Connell, C., Keppel, G. 2016. Deep tree hollows: important refuges from extreme
 518 temperatures. Wildlife Biology 22, 305-310.
- 519 O'Connor, R.J., 1975. The influence of brood size upon metabolic rate and body temperature
- in nestling blue tits *Parus caeruleus* and house sparrows *Passer domesticus*. Journal of
 Zoology 175, 391-403.
- 522 Olszewski, J.L., 1971. Comparison of the microclimate of nesting boxes. Wiad. Ekol. 17, 164 523 169 [in Polish, English summary]
- 524 Otto, M.S., Becker, N.I., Encarnação J.A., 2016. Roost characteristics as indicators for 525 heterothermic behavior of forest-dwelling bats. Ecol. Res. 31, 385-391.
- 526 Paclík, M., Weidinger, K., 2007. Microclimate of tree-cavities during winter nights -
- 527 implications for roost site selection in birds. Int. J. Biometeorol. 51, 287-293.

- 528 R Core Team, 2014. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
- 529 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. http://www.R-project.org
- Rendell, W.B., Verbeek, N.A.M., 1996. Are avian ectoparasites more numerous in nest
 boxes with old nest material? Can. J. Zoology 74, 1819-1825.
- 532 Rhodes, B., O'Donnell, C., Jamieson, I., 2009. Microclimate of natural cavity nests and its
- 533 implications for a threatened secondary-cavity-nesting passerine of New Zealand, the
- 534 South Island Saddleback. Condor 111, 462-469.
- 535 Ruczyński, I., 2006. Influence of temperature on maternity roost selection by noctule bats
- 536 (*Nyctalus noctula*) and Leisler's bats (*N. leisleri*) in Białowieża Primeval Forest, Poland.
- 537 Can. J. Zoolog. 84, 900-907.
- 538 Salaberria, C., Celis, P., López-Rull, I., Gil, D., 2014. Effects of temperature and nest heat
- exposure on nestling growth, dehydration and survival in a Mediterranean hole-nesting
 passerine. Ibis 156, 265-275.
- 541 Sedgeley, J.A., 2001. Quality of cavity microclimate as a factor influencing selection of
- 542 maternity roosts by a tree-dwelling bat, *Chalinolobus tuberculatus*, in New Zealand. J.
- 543 Appl. Ecol. 38, 425-438.
- 544 Smith, P.G., Racey, P.A., 2005. The itinerant Natterer: physical and thermal characteristics
- of summer roosts of *Myotis nattereri* (Mammalia: Chiroptera). J. Zool., Lond. 266, 171180.
- 547 Stains, H.J., 1961. Comparisons of temperatures inside and outside two tree dens used by
 548 racoons. Ecology 42, 410-413.
- Tomiałojć L., Wesołowski, T., 2004. Diversity of the Białowieża Forest avifauna in space and
 time. J. Ornithol. 145, 81-92.
- 551 Tomiałojć, L., Wesołowski, T., Walankiewicz, W., 1984. Breeding bird community of a
- primaeval temperate forest (Białowieża National Park, Poland). Acta Ornithol. 20, 241-310.
- Walsberg, G.E., Schmidt, C.A., 1992. Effects of variable humidity on embryonic development
 and hatching success of mourning doves. Auk 109, 309-314.

- Wesołowski, T., 1996. Natural nest sites of marsh tit (*Parus palustris*) in a primaeval forest
 (Białowieża National Park, Poland). Vogelwarte 38, 235-249.
- 558 Wesołowski, T., 1999. Marsh tits (*Parus palustris*) are not excavators. Ibis 141, 149.
- 559 Wesołowski, T., 2000. What happens to old nests in natural cavities? Auk 117, 498-500.
- 560 Wesołowski, T. 2006. Nest-site re-use: Marsh Tit *Poecile palustris* decisions in a primeval
 561 forest. Bird Study 53:199-204
- •
- 562 Wesołowski, T., 2007. Lessons from long-term hole-nester studies in a primeval temperate
 563 forest. J. Ornithol. (Suppl.2), 148, S395–S405.
- 564 Wesołowski, T., 2011. Reports from nestbox studies: a review of inadequacies. Acta
 565 Ornithol. 46, 13-17.
- 566 Wesołowski, T., Czeszczewik, D., Hebda, G., Maziarz, M., Mitrus, C., Rowiński, P., 2015. 40
- years of breeding bird community dynamics in a primeval temperate forest (Białowieża
 National Park, Poland). Acta Ornithol. 50, 95-120.
- 569 Wesołowski, T., Martin, K. (in press) Tree holes and hole nesting birds in European and
- 570 North American forests. In: Mikusiński, G., Roberge, J.-M., Fuller, R.J. (eds), Ecology
- and Conservation of European Forest Birds, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 572 Wesołowski, T., Stańska, M., 2001. High ectoparasite loads in hole nesting birds a nest box
- 573 bias? Journal of Avian Biology 32, 281-285.
- Wiebe, K.L., 2001. Microclimate of tree cavity nests: is it important for reproductive success
 in Northern Flickers? Auk 118, 412-421.
- 576 Willis, C.K.R., Brigham, R.M., 2007. Social thermoregulation exerts more influence than
- 577 microclimate on forest roost preferences by a cavity-dwelling bat. Behav. Ecol.
- 578 Sociobiol. 62, 97-108.
- 579





583 Fig. 1. Daily changes in the mean hourly air temperature and relative humidity in vacant tree 584 cavities of marsh tits in Białowieża National Park (Poland), respectively: a) n = 24, and b) n = 585 15 (black dots), and in nest-boxes at Monks Wood (England), respectively: c) n = 18, and d) 586 n = 15 (black dots) in relation to ambient conditions (white dots). Shown are means (dots) 587 and SE (whiskers). Measurements in tree cavities were taken in April-May 2013 and 2014, 588 and measurements in nest-boxes in May 2015.



591

592 Fig. 2. Daily changes of mean hourly internal-ambient temperature differences in vacant tree

593 cavities of marsh tits in Białowieża National Park (Poland) (black dots; n = 24) and in nest-

594 boxes at Monks Wood (England) (white dots; n = 18). Shown are means (dots) and SE

595 (whiskers). "0" level occurs when internal and ambient temperatures are equal.

596 Measurements in tree cavities were taken in April-May 2013 and 2014, and measurements in

597 nest-boxes in May 2015.

598

600 Figure legends

- 601 Fig. 1. Daily changes in the mean hourly air temperature and relative humidity in vacant tree
- 602 cavities of marsh tits in Białowieża National Park (Poland), respectively: a) n = 24, and b) n =
- 603 15 (black dots), and in nest-boxes at Monks Wood (England), respectively: c) n = 18, and d)
- n = 15 (black dots) in relation to ambient conditions (white dots). Shown are means (dots)
- and SE (whiskers). Measurements in tree cavities were taken in April-May 2013 and 2014,
- and measurements in nest-boxes in May 2015.

607

608

- 609 Fig. 2. Daily changes of mean hourly internal-ambient temperature differences in vacant tree
- 610 cavities of marsh tits in Białowieża National Park(Poland) (black dots; n = 24) and in nest-
- boxes at Monks Wood (England) (white dots; n = 18). Shown are means (dots) and SE
- 612 (whiskers). "0" level occurs when internal and ambient temperatures are equal.
- 613 Measurements in tree cavities were taken in April-May 2013 and 2014, and measurements in
- 614 nest-boxes in May 2015.

615

617 Tables

Table 1. The location and dimensions of vacant tree cavities previously used by marsh tits in Białowieża National Park, Poland (n = 22), and nest-boxes targeted at this species in Monks Wood, England (n = 18). For tree cavities the wall thickness was assessed indirectly as half of the difference between tree diameter at hole-height and greatest cavity floor diameter. Shown are medians (and ranges). For detailed description of assessment of cavity

623 characteristics see Wesołowski (1996) and Maziarz et al. (2015).

Cavity parameters	Tree cavities	Nest-boxes
Entrance diameter (cm):		
least	2.3 (2-7)	2.6 (–)
greatest	6.8 (3-10)	2.6 (–)
shape	ellipse	circular
Floor diameter (cm):		
least	7.0 (5-14)	7.8 (–)
greatest	9.0 (6-15)	7.8 (–)
shape	ellipse	square
Depth (cm)	18.0 (10-30)	15.0 (–)
Wall thickness (cm)	6.0 (2.3-19.2)	2.2 (–)
Tree girth at hole height (cm)	67.0 (38-158)	_
Height above ground (m)	1.5 (0.8-4.5) ^a	1.8 (1.5-2.0)
Entrance orientation (% of nest-		
sites):		
northern	42.9 ^a	36.1
eastern	14.3 ^a	27.8
southern	17.9 ^a	16.7
western	25.0 ª	19.4

^a measured for 14 tree cavities

625 Table 2. Comparison of internal and ambient daily air temperatures and relative humidity of vacant tree cavities previously used by marsh tits in

626 Białowieża National Park (Poland) and nest-boxes targeted at this species in Monks Wood (England). The values shown refer to hourly means.

Variable	Tree-cavities						Nest-boxes					
	Internal		ambient		paired t-test		internal		Ambient		paired t-test	
	mean (SD)	Range	mean (SD)	range	t	р	mean (SD) range		mean (SD)	range	t	р
Daily temperature (°C)	n = 24 cavit	ies					n = 18 boxes					
mean	14.8 (3.0)	9-19	15.0 (2.9)	9-19	-1.6	0.117	10.6 (3.0)	7-14	10.1 (3.3)	7-14	6.7	<0.001
minimum	10.4 (4.2)	0-16	8.4 (3.9)	-1-13	8.3	<0.001	4.6 (4.1)	0-9	4.3 (4.3)	-1-9	3.9	0.001
maximum	19.2 (3.1)	15-25	21.7 (3.8)	16-27	-5.9	<0.001	18.0 (3.1)	13-23	16.9 (3.7)	13-21	4.1	<0.001
rate of change (°C·h ⁻¹)	0.8 (0.4)	0-2	1.5 (0.6)	0-3	-6.5	<0.001	1.3 (0.5)	1-2	1.3 (0.5)	1-2	-0.2	0.863
Daily relative humidity (%)	n = 15 cavi	n = 15 cavities n = 15 boxes										
mean	91.4 (3.1)	86-96	75.9 (8.3)	62-87	9.5	<0.001	67.4 (6.0)	58-77	78.0 (5.5)	72-84	-6.6	<0.001
minimum	86.3 (6.9)	68-95	52.1 (14.8)	29-72	12.1	<0.001	62.9 (7.2)	50-75	54.9 (9.3)	42-65	3.3	0.005
maximum	94.4 (2.1)	91-97	92.7 (3.0)	88-97	2.3	0.040	73.1 (5.6)	63-81	93.8 (1.3)	92-97	-17.0	<0.001

Table 3. The results of the Multiple Linear Regression model to predict the maximum daily air temperature in marsh tit tree cavities. The response variable was the maximum internal temperature recorded during 5-minute sampling intervals, and predictor variables were corresponding maximum ambient temperature and the tree circumference at hole height.

Parameter	Estimate	SD error	t	р
Intercept	7.78	1.94	4.02	0.0007
Maximum ambient temperature	0.65	0.08	8.45	< 0.0001
Tree circumference	-0.05	0.01	-4.04	0.0007

Former occupants	n	State of	Daily temp	Daily temp. (°C)		Temp. amplitude (°C)			Source	
		walls	min	max	in	out	in/out	(hours)		
None	2	living	in > out	in < out	7	10	0.7	1-2	McComb and Noble (1981)	
None	2	_a	in > out	in > out	8	9	0.9	1-2	Calder (1983) in Gibbons and Lindenmayer (2002)	
None	24	living	in > out	in < out	2	9	0.3	2-3	Sedgeley (2001); knot-holes	
None	11	living	in > out	in < out	5	10	0.5	2-3	Sedgeley (2001); trunk holes	
None	12	_	in > out	in < out	4	12	0.4	2-4	Ruczyński (2006)	
None	70	dead ^b	in > out	_	_	_	_	_	Paclík and Weidinger (2007)	
None	14	living	in = out	in > out	9	7	1.3	0-1	Isaac et al. (2008b)	
None	34	living ^c	in > out	in < out	2	4	0.5	_	Rhodes et al. (2009)	
None	104	_	in > out	in < out	11	43	0.3	2-6	Coombs et al. (2010)	
None	45	_	in > out	in < out	3	8	0.4	1-2	Clement and Castleberry (2013)	
None	21	living	in > out	in < out	3	5	0.6	1-2	Grüebler et al. (2014)	
None	1	_	in > out	in < out	16	23	0.7	1-2	O'Connell and Keppel (2016)	
Birds										
Aegotheles cristatus	11	_	in > out	in < out	12	15	0.8	_	Doucette et al. (2011)	
Colaptes auratus	1	_	in > out	in > out	13	14	0.9	-6-2	Howe et al. (1987)	
Colaptes auratus	86	dead ^b	in > out	in < out	11	26	0.4	2-5	Wiebe (2001)	
Philesturnus c. carunculatus	34	living ^c	in > out	in < out	1	4	0.4	-	Rhodes et al. (2009)	

Table 4. A review of relationships between daily thermal conditions inside (in) and outside (out) of vacant tree cavities. Time lag is the number

635 of hours after which the internal daily minimum and maximum temperatures followed the ambient extremes; n = sample size.

Parus major	35	living	in > out	in < out	3	9	0.3	3-6	Maziarz and Wesołowski (2013)
Poecile palustris	24	living	in > out	in < out	9	13	0.7	2-3	this study
Mammals									
Trichosurus vulpecula	10	living	in > out	in ≥ out	7	7	1.0	0-1	Isaac et al. (2008b)
Eptesicus fuscus	19	_	in > out	in < out	8	12	0.7	2-4	Willis and Brigham (2007)
Nyctalus noctula/leisleri	12	_	in > out	in < out	4	12	0.4	4-5	Ruczyński (2006)
Plecotus auritus	6	_	in > out	in < out	3	7	0.5	2-6	Otto et al. (2016)
Chalinolobus tuberculatus	24	living	in > out	in < out	2	9	0.2	4-5	Sedgeley (2001); knot-holes
Ch. tuberculatus	11	living	in > out	in < out	3	10	0.3	5	Sedgeley (2001); trunk holes
Myotis bechsteinii	6	_	in > out	in < out	2	7	0.2	4-7	Otto et al. (2016)
M. nattereri	6	_	in > out	in < out	2	7	0.3	3-8	Otto et al. (2016)
M. nattereri	3	living	in > out	in < out	2	10	0.2	2-3	Smith and Racey (2005)
Procyon lotor	2	living	in > out	in < out	2	10	0.2	2-5	Stains (1961)

636 ^a data unavailable; ^b c. 50% of cavities in dead trees; ^c 20% of cavities in dead trees

Table 5. A review of the relationship between daily thermal conditions inside (in) and outside (out) of vacant nest-boxes. Time lag is the number

639 of hours after which the internal daily minimum and maximum temperatures followed the ambient extremes; values below "0" indicate that

640	internal extremes preceded the ambient ones; n = sample size.
-----	---

Studied	n	Entrance	Floor	Material	Daily temp. (°C)		Temp. amplitude (°C)			Time lag	Source
occupants		diameter (cm)	diameter (cm)		min	max	in	out	in/out	(hours)	
None	2	13 x 13	30 x 60	wood	in = out	in > out	10	9	1.1	0-1	McComb and Noble (1981)
None	1	3.3 x 3.3	11 x 11	wood	in ≤ out	in > out	17	12	1.4	-1-0	Olszewski (1971)
None	1	4.7 x 4.7	13 x 13	wood	in ≤ out	in < out	10	12	0.8	-1-0	Olszewski (1971)
None	1	4.7 x 4.7	13 x 13	sawdust concrete	in ≤ out	in > out	15	12	1.3	0-1	Olszewski (1971)
None	4	10 x 10	26 x 25	plywood	in ≤ out	in > out	20	16	1.3	0	Ellis (2016)
None	4	10 x 10	25 x 25	plywood	in ≤ out	in > out	20	16	1.3	0	Ellis (2016)
None	4	6 x 6	20 x 25	plywood	in ≤ out	in > out	20	16	1.3	0	Ellis (2016)
None	4	8 x 8	25 x 25	plywood	in ≤ out	in > out	20	16	1.3	0	Ellis (2016)
None	4	15 x 10	26 x 25	plywood	in ≤ out	in > out	20	16	1.3	0	Ellis (2016)
None	4	5 x 5	31 x 15	plywood	in ≤ out	in > out	20	16	1.3	0	Ellis (2016)
Athene noctua	18	6.5 x 6.5	18 x 83	wood	in ≤ out	in > out	6	5	1.2	0-1	Grüebler et al. (2014)
Coracias garrulus	17	6 x 6	21 x 21	wood	_a	-	14	13	1.1	-	Amat-Valero et al. (2014)
Poecile palustris	18	2.6 x 2.6	8 x 8	wood	in > out	in > out	13	13	1.1	0-1	this study
Passer montanus	3	3.2 x 3.2	11 x 11	woodcrete	-	-	18	_	-	-	García-Navas et al. (2010)
P. montanus	3	3.2 x 3.2	12 x 12	wood	-	_	15	-	_	-	García-Navas et al. (2010)

641 ^a data unavailable