

Supplementary File: Duration and nature of the end-Cryogenian (Marinoan) glaciation

¹Anthony R. Prave, ²Daniel J. Condon, ³Karl Heinz Hoffmann, ²Simon Tapster, and ⁴Anthony E. Fallick

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Science, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9AL, United Kingdom

²NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory, British Geological Survey, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, United Kingdom

³Geological Survey of Namibia, 1 Aviation Road, Windhoek, Namibia

⁴Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre, East Kilbride, G75 0QF, United Kingdom

U-Pb geochronology

U-Pb dates were obtained by the chemical abrasion isotope dilution thermal ionisation mass spectrometry (CA-ID-TIMS) method on selected single zircon grains (Tables 1 and 2), extracted from an aliquot of Sample DW-1 and NAV-00-2B. Sample DW-1 is located at 15.14693E 20.20940S; Sample NAV-00-2B was reported in Hoffmann et al. (2004).

Zircon grains were isolated from the rock sample using standard magnetic and density separation techniques, annealed in a muffle furnace at 900°C for 60 hours in quartz beakers. Zircon crystals, selected for analyses based on external morphology, were transferred to 3 ml Teflon PFA beakers, washed in dilute HNO₃ and water, and loaded into 300 µl Teflon PFA microcapsules. Fifteen microcapsules were placed in a large-capacity Parr vessel, and the crystals partially dissolved in 120 µl of 29 M HF for 12 hours at 180°C. The contents of each microcapsule were returned to 3 ml Teflon PFA beakers, the HF removed and the residual grains immersed in 3.5 M HNO₃, ultrasonically cleaned for an hour, and fluxed on a hotplate at 80°C for an hour. The HNO₃ was removed and the grains were rinsed twice in ultrapure H₂O before being reloaded into the same 300 µl Teflon PFA microcapsules (rinsed and fluxed in 6 M HCl during crystal sonication and washing) and spiked with the EARTHTIME mixed ²³³U-²³⁵U-²⁰⁵Pb-²⁰²Pb tracer solution (ET2535). These chemically abraded grains were dissolved in Parr vessels in 120 µl of 29 M HF with a trace of 3.5 M HNO₃ at 220°C for 60 hours, dried to fluorides, and then re-dissolved in 6 M HCl at 180°C overnight. U and Pb were separated from the zircon matrix using an HCl-based anion exchange chromatographic procedure¹ eluted together and dried with 2 µl of 0.05N H₃PO₄.

Pb and U were loaded on a single outgassed Re filament in 5 µl of a silica-gel/phosphoric acid mixture², and U and Pb isotopic measurements made on a Thermo Triton multi-collector thermal ionisation mass spectrometer equipped with an ion-counting SEM detector. Pb isotopes were measured by peak-jumping all isotopes on the SEM detector for 100 to 150 cycles. Pb mass fractionation was externally corrected using a mass bias factor of $0.14 \pm 0.03\%$ /a.m.u. determined

39 via measurements of $^{202}\text{Pb}/^{205}\text{Pb}$ (ET2535)-spiked samples analysed during the same experimental
40 period. Transitory isobaric interferences due to high-molecular weight organics, particularly on
41 ^{204}Pb and ^{207}Pb , disappeared within approximately 30 cycles, and ionisation efficiency averaged 10^4
42 cps/pg of each Pb isotope. Linearity (to $\geq 1.4 \times 10^6$ cps) and the associated deadtime correction of
43 the SEM detector were monitored by repeated analyses of NBS982, and have been constant since
44 installation in 2006. Uranium was analysed as UO_2^+ ions in static Faraday mode on 10^{12} ohm
45 resistors for 150 to 200 cycles, and corrected for isobaric interference of $^{233}\text{U}^{18}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$ on $^{235}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$
46 with an $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ of 0.00206. Ionisation efficiency averaged 20 mV/ng of each U isotope. U mass
47 fractionation was corrected using the known $^{233}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U}$ ratio of the ET2535 tracer solution.

48
49 Data reduction was done using the open-source ET Redux system^{3,4} using the algorithms of
50 McLean et al.⁴, ET2535 tracer solution^{5,6} and U decay constants recommended by Jaffey et al.⁷. A
51 value of 138.818 ± 0.045 was used for the $^{238}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U}_{\text{zircon}}$ based upon the work of⁸ whereas a value
52 of 137.88 was used in the prior study²⁰ study. $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios and dates were corrected for initial
53 ^{230}Th disequilibrium using a $\text{Th}/\text{U}[\text{magma}] = 3 \pm 1$ resulting in an increase in the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates of
54 ~ 0.09 Myr (no Th correction was made for date presented in Hoffmann et al.⁹). All common Pb in
55 analyses was attributed to laboratory blank and subtracted based on the measured laboratory Pb
56 isotopic composition and associated uncertainty. U blanks were estimated at 0.1 pg, based upon
57 replicate total procedural blanks.

58
59 In this manuscript the date uncertainties reporting is as A/B/C and reflect the following sources: (A)
60 analytical, (B) analytical + tracer solution and (C) analytical + tracer solution + decay constants.
61 The A uncertainty is the internal error based on analytical uncertainties only, including counting
62 statistics, subtraction of tracer solution, and blank and initial common Pb subtraction. It is given at
63 the 2σ confidence interval. This error should be considered when comparing our date with
64 $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates from other laboratories that used the same EARTHTIME tracer solution or a tracer
65 solution that was cross-calibrated using related gravimetric reference materials. The B uncertainty
66 includes uncertainty in the tracer calibration and should be used when comparing our dates with
67 those derived from laboratories that did not use the same EARTHTIME tracer solution or a tracer
68 solution that was cross-calibrated using reliable gravimetric reference material^{9,10}. The C
69 uncertainty includes A and B in addition to uncertainty in the ^{238}U decay constant⁷. This uncertainty
70 level should be used when comparing our dates with those derived from other decay schemes (e.g.
71 $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$, ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os).

72

73 Ten zircon U-Pb dates were obtained and are presented in Supplementary Table 1 (and Figure 6A
 74 of the main paper). All dates are concordant and yield a weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ date of $639.1 \pm$
 75 $1.7/1.8/5.0$ Ma (MSWD = 0.38, $n = 10$). The U-Pb data for this same sample dataset is not so
 76 simple and does not form a coherent population and yield an MSWD that indicates excess scatter.
 77 One fraction (z16) is distinctly younger than the main cluster (see Fig. 6A main paper) and is
 78 considered to reflect residual Pb-loss. The remaining nine data points yield a weighted mean
 79 $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ date of 639.59 ± 0.42 Ma (internal uncertainties only 95% conf., MSWD = 6.4), but with
 80 an MSWD value that still indicates excess scatter. Evaluation of this dataset shows a strong
 81 clustering around 639.5 Ma and yield a weighted mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ date of $639.29 \pm 0.26/0.31/0.75$
 82 Ma (95% conf. MSWD = 2.6). We consider this to be the best approximation of the zircon
 83 population within sample DW-1 that best represents the timing of eruption, and hence the age for
 84 the stratigraphic level at which DW-1 was sampled within the Ghaub Formation.
 85

86 Fifteen zircon U-Pb dates are presented in Table 1 and are presented graphically in Figure 6A of the
 87 main paper. A coherent set of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ dates yield a weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ date of $634.8 \pm$
 88 $1.5/1.7/4.9$ Ma (MSWD = 0.96, $n = 15$). The U-Pb data for this same sample dataset is also not so
 89 simple and does not form a coherent population. One fraction (z12) is normally discordant with a
 90 younger U-Pb age indicating Pb-loss and is disregarded from further discussion. The remaining
 91 fractions have $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ dates that do not overlap and there is no correlation with $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates
 92 such that the data form a short linear array that plots across the concordia band (defined by the ^{235}U
 93 and ^{238}U decay constants uncertainties⁷), with two values reversely discordant. Based upon
 94 analyses of chemically abraded zircon data we would expect closed system zircon to plot towards
 95 the lower limits of the concordia uncertainty band^{11,12}. However, in this data set, analyses plot from
 96 this region towards and across the upper uncertainty bound (see Fig. 6A in the main paper). Based
 97 upon long-term reproducibility of U-Pb data from the NIGL ID-TIMS laboratory, and coherent U-
 98 Pb data obtained for a high proportion of samples analysed, we suggest this variation is real and not
 99 an artefact of mass spectrometry and that this reflects real U/Pb variation in the analysed sample
 100 (which has been annealed and leached). One option is that the older U-Pb dates reflecting analyses
 101 of pre-eruptive zircon, and the apparent lack of corresponding variation in the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ dates is
 102 due to being obscured by their larger uncertainties. An alternative is that the analyses with older
 103 $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ dates are from a single concordant age population and that these older dates reflect un-
 104 supported radiogenic Pb. Whilst this is unlikely to occur at a bulk level (i.e., single crystal) it is
 105 possible that in zircons with fine scale U zonation redistribution of radiogenic Pb occurs at the sub-
 106 micron level^{13,14}, which is then enhanced by the thermal annealing and chemical leaching process¹⁵.
 107 This possibility requires further investigation.

108

109 Either of these scenarios for explaining the scatter in the NAV-00-2B U-Pb require an interpretive
110 framework where the younger dates are considered to most closely reflect the age of the erupted
111 zircons and inferentially the age of the ash layer. This in turn requires the subjective selection of a
112 date from which to derive an interpreted age for the sample. In Figure 1 we show a number of
113 viable interpretations for this sample, selecting different sub-populations from the cluster of
114 youngest dates. Our preferred interpreted date is Interpretation B, a weighted mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ date
115 based upon the youngest five dates: $635.21 \pm 0.59/0.61/0.92$ Ma (95% conf. MSWD = 3.4). We
116 consider this to be the best approximation of the zircon population within sample NAV-00-2B that
117 best represents the timing of eruption, and hence the age for the stratigraphic level at which NAV-
118 00-2B was sampled within the Ghaub Formation. Each of the other alternative interpreted ages
119 (Fig. 1) overlap with each other and thus the choice of interpreted date has no significant impact.
120 We consider that alternative interpretations based upon the older age (ca. 636.5 Ma) are much more
121 difficult to justify as they require the cluster of concordant overlapping dates at ca. 635.5 Ma to be
122 too young due to Pb-loss, which we consider highly unlikely.

123

124 **References cited**

- 125 1. Krogh, T. E., 1973, A low contamination method for hydrothermal decomposition of zircon and
126 extraction of U and Pb for isotopic age determination. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*
127 37, 485-494.
- 128 2. Gerstenberger, H. and Haase, G., 1977, A highly effective emitter substance for mass
129 spectrometric Pb isotope ratio determinations. *Chemical Geology* 136, 309.
- 130 3. Bowring, J. F., McLean, N. M., and Bowring, S. A., 2011, Engineering cyber infrastructure for
131 U-Pb geochronology: Tripoli and U-Pb_Redux. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 12, Q0AA19,
132 doi:10.1029/2010gc003479.
- 133 4. McLean, N., Bowring, J., and Bowring, S., 2011, An Algorithm for U-Pb Isotope Dilution Data
134 Reduction and Uncertainty Propagation. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*.
- 135 5. McLean, N., Condon, D. J., Schoene, B., and Bowring, S. A., 2015, Evaluating Uncertainties in
136 the Calibration of Isotopic Reference Materials and Multi-Element Isotopic Tracers
137 (EARTHTIME Tracer Calibration Part II). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*,
138 doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.02.040.
- 139 6. Condon, D. J., Schoene, B., Mclean, N., Bowring, S. A., and Parrish, R., 2015, Metrology and
140 Traceability of U-Pb Isotope Dilution Geochronology (EARTHTIME Tracer Calibration
141 Part I). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.026.

- 142 7. Jaffey, A. H., Flynn, K. F., Glendenin, L. E., Bentley, W. C., and Essling, A. M., 1971, Precision
143 measurement of half-lives and specific of ^{235}U and ^{238}U . *Physics Reviews* C4, 1889-1906.
- 144 8. Hiess, J., Condon, D. J., McLean, N., and Noble, S. R., 2012, $^{238}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U}$ Systematics in Terrestrial
145 Uranium-Bearing Minerals. *Science* 335, 1610-1614, doi:10.1126/science.1215507.
- 146 9. Hoffmann, K.-H., Condon, D., Bowring, S., and Crowley, J., 2004, U-Pb zircon date from the
147 Neoproterozoic Ghaub Formation, Namibia: constraints on Marinoan glaciation. *Geology*
148 32, 817.
- 149 10. Condon, D. *et al.*, 2005, U-Pb ages from the Neoproterozoic Doushantuo formation, China.
150 *Science* 308, 95.
- 151 11. Mattinson, J. M., 2010, Analysis of the relative decay constants of ^{235}U and ^{238}U by multi-
152 step CA-TIMS measurements of closed-system natural zircon samples. *Chemical Geology*
153 275, 186-198.
- 154 12. Schoene, B., Crowley, J. L., Condon, D. J., Schmitz, M. D., and Bowring, S. A., 2006,
155 Reassessing the uranium decay constants for geochronology using ID-TIMS U-Pb data.
156 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 70, 426-445.
- 157 13. Mattinson, J. M., Graubard, C. M., Parkinson, D. L., and McClelland, W. C., 1996, in *Earth*
158 *Processes: Reading the Isotopic Code* 355-370. American Geophysical Union.
- 159 14. Williams, I. S., Compston, W., Black, L. P., Ireland, T. R., and Foster, J. J., 1984, Unsupported
160 radiogenic Pb in zircon: a cause of anomalously high Pb-Pb, U-Pb and Th-Pb ages. *Contrib*
161 *Mineral Petrol* 88, 322-327, doi:10.1007/BF00376756.
- 162 15. Mattinson, J. M., 2005, Zircon U-Pb chemical abrasion ("CA-TIMS") method: Combined
163 annealing and multi-step partial dissolution analysis for improved precision and accuracy of
164 zircon ages. *Chemical Geology* 220, 47-66.

167 Supplementary File Table 1. U-Pb analyses of Sample DW-1.

Table 1. U-Pb geochronology data for Sample DW-1													
DW-1		Dates (Ma)				Composition							
Fraction	206Pb/ 238U a	±2σ abs	207Pb/ 235U a	±2σ abs	207Pb/ 206Pb a	±2σ abs	Corr. coef.	% disc b	Th/ U c	Pb* (pg) d	Pbc (pg) e	Pb*/ Pbc f	Pb*/ Pbc f
Zircon													
z4	639.891333	0.593356873	Mean = 639.29±0.26 [0.041%] 95% conf. Wtd by data-pt errs only, 0 of 9 rej. MSWD = 2.6, probability = 0.008			3143716	5.254532308	0.46132761	-0.090246937	0.327013873	11.0945386	0.319776778	34.69463498
z11	639.9597341	0.61116763			0903181	4.419009599	0.444784829	0.176353311	0.33060166	38.57476318	1.064909851	36.22350112	
z16	637.4957231	0.40515678			6570565	3.932135184	0.35297439	0.493451899	0.32174235	19.93618539	0.43823687	45.49180309	
z17	639.0061232	0.303639676			0301121	5.005617457	0.249856013	-0.152972577	0.323888831	30.22943263	0.902513177	33.9472718	
z21	638.8758748	0.477552322	638.4905503	1.058814803	637.1271885	4.310621783	0.454308748	-0.274464237	0.30921582	13.59503911	0.288702932	47.09006243	
z22	639.6185865	0.385317862	639.3808723	1.567990007	638.5409702	6.992279451	0.18125451	-0.168762282	0.32381833	12.20140233	0.5066661	24.08174208	
z24	639.3983143	0.357451224	639.2112335	0.995533127	638.5500308	4.275124401	0.324632524	-0.132845268	0.303400454	12.45031276	0.298627603	41.69178809	
z25	639.0243525	0.437395676	639.3931888	1.951032642	640.6967066	8.618269804	0.23102117	0.317045465	0.26102117	13.53249896	0.744581906	18.17462772	
z26	639.1746318	0.395637107	639.8462088	1.665327279	642.1281997	7.280926619	0.270898422	0.473914918	0.322849444	9.54954437	0.394464528	24.20887988	
z27	639.3743696	0.518935497	639.9054507	3.063162745	641.7809117	13.69295819	0.163923419	0.374978758	0.322526696	18.45161327	1.643269872	11.22859585	
Isotopic Ratios													
	206Pb/ 204Pb g	206Pb/ 238U h	±2σ %	207Pb/ 235U h	±2σ %	207Pb/ 206Pb h	±2σ %	208Pb/ 232Th h	±2σ %				
z4	2191.901766	0.104356865	0.097406106	0.877744978	0.273430886	0.0610295	0.242138987	-	-				
z11	2283.914697	0.104368583	0.100319739	0.878568751	0.229445772	0.061079918	0.202943566	-	-				
z16	2872.317701	0.103946514	0.066748714	0.874839743	0.195417113	0.061067613	0.179965816	-	-				
z17	2118.54203	0.104205227	0.049911498	0.875946448	0.240065945	0.060993075	0.23040829	-	-				
z21	2982.862756	0.104182917	0.078514014	0.875391464	0.223398226	0.060967484	0.197704085	-	-				
z22	1528.448508	0.104310141	0.063279853	0.877036592	0.330497673	0.061007561	0.232425933	-	-				
z24	2647.031	0.104272408	0.058722617	0.876723024	0.209876305	0.061007818	0.19607984	-	-				
z25	1159.850691	0.10420835	0.07189601	0.87705936	0.411228915	0.061068739	0.399461002	-	-				
z26	1536.499467	0.104234091	0.065017487	0.877897009	0.350451737	0.061111967	0.337115973	-	-				
z27	722.4353758	0.104268306	0.08525453	0.878006577	0.645267067	0.061099539	0.636046517	-	-				
a Isotopic dates calculated using the decay constants λ ²³⁸ = 1.55125E-10 and λ ²³⁵ = 9.8485E-10 (Jaffey et al. 1971).													
b % discordance = 100 - (100 * (206Pb/238U date) / (207Pb/206Pb date))													
c Th contents calculated from radiogenic 208Pb and the 230Th-corrected 206Pb/238U date of the sample, assuming concordance between the U-Pb and Th-Pb systems.													
d Total mass of radiogenic Pb.													
e Total mass of common Pb.													
f Ratio of radiogenic Pb (including 208Pb) to common Pb.													
g Measured ratio corrected for fractionation and spike contribution only.													
h Measured ratios corrected for fractionation, tracer and blank.													

168 Supplementary File Table 2. U-Pb analyses of Sample NAV-00-2B.

169

Table 2. U-Pb geochronology data table for Sample NAV-00-2B													
Dates (Ma)				Composition									
Fraction	206Pb/ 238U a	±2σ abs	207Pb/ 235U a	±2σ abs	207Pb/ 206Pb a	±2σ abs	Corr. coef.	% disc b	Th/ U c	Pb* (pg) d	Pbc (pg) e	Pb*/ Pbc f	Pb*/ Pbc f
Zircon													
z1	630.325641	1.427257764	632.3425419	1.500529293	639.5593176	4.16779408	0.795684556	1.443724817	0.98958822	25.95593637	0.244748012	106.0491409	
z2	634.8152933	0.361089666	Mean = 635.48±0.53 [0.083%] 95% conf. Wtd by data-pt errs only, 0 of 7 rej. MSWD = 5.1, probability = 0.000		229458	3.268830557	0.442587284	0.111349646	0.781902518	32.11375832	0.488536297	65.73464149	
z3	635.0074513	0.616782193			642267	11.02132127	0.224344287	-0.481550138	0.836137413	29.01789356	1.796549443	16.152015	
z4	635.1102299	1.041523352			918621	5.189286138	0.552189166	-0.081685227	0.914774793	9.246059087	0.185963632	49.71971653	
z5	635.4811482	0.480901223			428952	6.55450615	0.261349317	0.44830873	0.921515449	24.2462356	0.818413401	29.62190246	
z6	635.9269141	0.537359089	635.7631766	4.551318296	639.801666	8.951018375	0.094388782	0.58109111	0.688131315	21.51517978	1.116393504	19.27203956	
z7	636.0251646	0.491656154	636.4320058	1.569225339	637.8769387	6.814527199	0.304270314	0.290302723	0.793830482	20.44741294	0.770848267	26.52585963	
z8	636.0837863	0.465091109	636.9009847	1.969787668	639.801666	8.951018375	0.094388782	0.58109111	0.688131315	21.51517978	1.116393504	19.27203956	
z9	636.4896989	0.346890026	636.6197383	1.05222859	637.0814001	4.612233493	0.268022026	0.092877607	0.89792076	25.57007839	0.56140369	45.54668745	
z10	636.3787891	0.450326407	636.9122513	2.014665057	638.2588544	8.926365678	0.231526821	0.270433457	1.003725792	29.83487454	1.432269794	20.83048505	
z11	636.5415143	0.504219156	636.3381731	1.125291111	635.6160755	5.042806223	0.225758259	-0.145597133	0.99329867	14.90304587	0.203369322	73.28069793	
z12	636.6413477	0.907141109	635.5496194	1.665459327	631.6691892	6.851032134	0.483192877	-0.787145967	0.68843899	8.542737957	0.259901246	32.86916894	
z13	637.0590132	0.742630458	636.914865	1.878832927	636.4034476	7.940933599	0.375616243	-0.103011012	0.889628354	27.29746509	0.783902052	34.8225458	
z14	637.2110942	0.904405834	635.060404	1.952228537	627.413444	6.212033955	0.893290154	-1.56159392	0.927082255	11.04128128	0.315825711	34.96004566	
z15	638.9526717	0.77786515	637.5635782	1.84922873	632.6433353	7.314631558	0.495113811	-0.997297539	1.018274826	9.36347568	0.244435542	38.30652286	
Isotopic Ratios													
	206Pb/ 204Pb g	206Pb/ 238U h	±2σ %	207Pb/ 235U h	±2σ %	207Pb/ 206Pb h	±2σ %	208Pb/ 232Th h	±2σ %	Fraction			
z1	5657.914072	0.1032719384	0.037682284	0.864070528	0.318823724	0.06103645	0.191094195	---	---				
z2	3688.313952	0.103487614	0.059727721	0.86901235	0.16855117	0.0609922052	0.148389858	-	-				
z3	908.4355099	0.103520508	0.101992359	0.867741875	0.524910654	0.060821432	0.510749441	-	-				
z4	2708.596134	0.103538102	0.1722019	0.868949178	0.288743899	0.060895704	0.238887211	-	-				
z5	1618.630386	0.1036016	0.079466399	0.870998998	0.315635823	0.061001944	0.302957196	-	-				
z6	2504.826885	0.103677916	0.088736539	0.869787694	0.229380104	0.060872268	0.20891154	-	-				
z7	1494.863496	0.103694737	0.081177473	0.871593226	0.331858556	0.060988733	0.315085512	-	-				
z8	1118.756286	0.103704774	0.076784578	0.872457866	0.416348587	0.061043327	0.414921316	-	-				
z9	2492.366572	0.103774273	0.057235328	0.871939294	0.222477296	0.060966187	0.211893889	-	-				
z10	1122.441766	0.103781652	0.07429709	0.872478643	0.425786815	0.060995561	0.413664883	-	-				
z11	3912.570586	0.103783146	0.08751051	0.871420278	0.238009026	0.060924688	0.232058769	-	-				
z12	1895.423855	0.10380024	0.149640369	0.869967484	0.352561718	0.060813101	0.31640832	-	-				
z13	1913.492038	0.103871757	0.122426573	0.872483463	0.397117512	0.060946982	0.367586862	-	-				
z14	1904.844159	0.1038978	0.149062248	0.86906744	0.413497059	0.060693092	0.286414064	-	-				