



Article (refereed) - postprint

Prudhomme, Christel; Sauquet, Eric; Watts, Glenn. 2015. **Low flow response surfaces for drought decision support: a case study from the UK**. *Journal of Extreme Events*, 2 (2), 1550005. 10.1142/S2345737615500050

© 2015 World Scientific Publishing Company

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/512607/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. There may be differences between this and the publisher's version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at http://www.worldscientific.com/worldscient/joee

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

- 1 Low flow response surfaces for drought decision support: a case study from the UK
- 2
- 3 Christel Prudhomme*1,2, Eric Sauquet3,1, Glenn Watts4,5
- 4 1. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, OX10 8BB, UK
- 5 2. Department of Geography, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK
- 6 3. IRSTEA, UR HHLY, Hydrology-Hydraulics, 5 rue de la Doua CS70077, F-69626 VILLEURBANNE cedex,
- 7 France
- 8 4. Evidence Directorate, Environment Agency, Deanery Road, Bristol, BS1 5AH, UK
- 9 5. Department of Geography, King's College London, London WC2R 2LS
- 10
- 11 Corresponding author: Christel Prudhomme (email: chrp@ceh.ac.uk)
- 12
- 13 Main body work count: 8829
- 14 Prepared for Journal of Extreme Events (Special Issue)
- 15
- 16 Abstract
- 17 Droughts are complex natural hazards, and planning future management is complicated by the
- difficulty of projecting future drought and low flow conditions. This paper demonstrates the use of a
- 19 response surface approach to explore the hydrological behaviour of catchments under a range of
- 20 possible future conditions. Choosing appropriate hydrological metrics ensures that the response
- surfaces are relevant to decision-making. Examples from two contrasting English catchments show
- 22 how low flows in different catchments respond to changes in rainfall and temperature. In an upland
- 23 western catchment, the Mint, low flows respond most to rainfall and temperature changes in summer,
- but in the groundwater dominated catchment of the Thet, changes in spring rainfall have the biggest
- 25 impact on summer flows. Response surfaces are useful for understanding long-term changes, such as
- those projected in climate projections, but they may also prove useful in drought event management,
- 27 where possible future conditions can be plotted onto the surface to understand the range of
- 28 conditions the manager faces. Developing effective response surfaces requires considerable
- 29 involvement and learning from catchment decision-makers at an early stage, and this should be
- 30 considered in any planned application.
- 31 Keywords
- 32 adaptation; climate change; drought planning; hydrological modelling; uncertainty; water resources
- 33 planning; scenario-neutral; bottom-up approaches

1. Introduction and background

Droughts are complex natural hazards, with the threat they pose reflecting not only atmospheric, hydrological and biogeophysical processes (Touma et al. 2015) but also the way that people interact with and manage water (Sofoulis 2005, Watts et al. 2012, Lloyd-Hughes 2014)). While droughts are a problem for most of the world (Kallis 2008), universal definitions are probably impossible (Lloyd-Hughes 2014), though in broad terms all droughts are caused by a deviation below long-term average rainfall (Tallaksen and Van Lanen 2004). In temperate climates such as northern Europe, this complexity means that historical major droughts show different spatial and temporal footprints (Parry et al. 2012), partly because every drought develops differently. For example, in the English lowlands, no single large scale atmospheric driver can explain the occurrence of multi-annual droughts (Folland et al. 2014).

With poor predictability of drought initiation and termination (Weisheimer and Palmer 2014), water managers must plan for a range of different possible droughts, usually relying on past experience and historical records to provide the context for their plans. However, even this presents problems. There are relatively few droughts in the instrumental historical record, and there is no reason to expect that droughts of recent decades present a full picture of possible droughts under the current climate. For example, in the UK, twentieth century droughts typically lasted no longer than two years, but in the nineteenth century several droughts were of much greater duration (Jones et al. 2006, Marsh et al. 2007). As a result, water supply planning tends to take a precautionary approach, with long-term plans based on hydrological variability supplemented by drought plans that can cope with a wide range of possible conditions (Spraggs et al. 2015, Watts et al. 2012, Wilhite et al. 2007).

As the climate changes in response to anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases, drought frequencies and characteristics are also expected to change globally (Prudhomme et al. 2014) and in Europe (e.g Vidal et al. 2012, Prudhomme et al. 2012). However, the regional picture is much less clear, partly because of Global Climate Model (GCM) uncertainties (Stocker et al. 2013) and also because of the difficulty of downscaling GCM results to a scale relevant to drought management decisions (Ekström et al. 2015). This makes the conventional 'top down' climate change impact study particularly problematical for drought management: new hydrological projections for a given region may be markedly different from previous results, necessitating a new impact study and possibly requiring a new drought plan. Such difficulties have led some authors to question the utility of climate change impact studies for developing robust adaptation plans; instead they advocate 'bottom up' approaches (Wilby and Dessai 2010).

Concerns over the value of impact studies have led to the development of the scenario-neutral approach. In this, changes in a policy-relevant indicator are calculated for a range of plausible climatic changes, with the results shown as response surfaces (Prudhomme et al. 2010). Understanding the response to a range of possible changes has several benefits. As new climate projections become available, these can be mapped onto the response surface, hence avoiding the need for new impact studies every time climate models change. Perhaps more importantly, the shape of the response surface helps the decision-maker understand the changes to which the system is most sensitive, encouraging management responses that are robust to a range of feasible changes. In some respects the scenario-neutral approach is similar to Robust Decision Making (RDM, Lempert et al. 2006) and Decision Scaling (Brown et al. 2012) but does not try to model the impact of strategies or decisions.

Instead the scenario-neutral approach illustrates the response of the system indicator to change, leaving decisions firmly situated with the decision-maker. This may be desirable for some decision-makers, who may prefer this clear separation of science and policy (Gluckman 2014). Scenario-neutral approaches have been used successfully in a number of water management questions, including seasonal river flows in large rivers in Europe (Weiß 2011), lake water levels in Sweden (Wetterhall et al. 2011) and the USA (Brown et al. 2011), changes in flood peaks in the UK (Prudhomme et al. 2013), and changes in urban water supply robustness in the USA (Whateley et al. 2014).

This paper demonstrates the utility of the scenario-neutral approach in planning future drought management by considering low flow response surfaces for two contrasting English catchments from northwest and eastern England. The approach consists first of identifying appropriate indicators that are relevant to drought management, next using hydrological modelling to develop response surfaces for these indicators, and then interpreting these indicators to illuminate the challenges that catchment managers face. The paper finishes with a discussion of the benefits and difficulties of using the scenario-neutral approach in this way.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Case study

- The analysis was conducted on two contrasting English catchments: the Mint at Mint Bridge in Cumbria, north west England (National River Flow Archive NRFA number 73011) and the Thet at Bridgham in Norfolk, in eastern England (NRFA number 33044) (Table 1 and Figure 1).
- The Mint is a small upland catchment of just under 70 km², with annual average rainfall of more than 1500 mm but only around 400 mm of evaporation, resulting in an average annual runoff of nearly 1200 mm. The catchment is largely impermeable and fast-responding. This catchment is used here to be representative of typical upland catchments in Wales and western and northern England. In such catchments, water supply is usually provided by reservoirs formed by building an impounding dam across a valley, capturing all of the upstream flow. In the UK, such reservoirs typically fill every winter but are susceptible to intense spring and summer droughts, with the lowest levels reached in autumn. There are several problems in managing such supply systems. Droughts can develop very quickly during a single year, but as a series of consecutive dry months is very unusual it would not be prudent to take drought measures after one or two dry months. However, as a severe drought develops there are often very few options to enhance supplies.

In contrast, the Thet is typical of a lowland catchment with limited rainfall (just over 600 mm) but a similar level of potential evapotranspiration, which means that the average annual runoff is only around 140 mm in this 280 km² catchment. The mixture of permeable chalk overlain by clay means that the catchment responds relatively quickly to heavy rainfall events, but also has a relatively high baseflow flow index (BFI, Gustard et al. 1992) of 0.74 showing a groundwater-dominated regime. Located in an agricultural region with high irrigation needs, the Thet is typical of a catchment requiring careful water management planning partly because of conflicting water needs. Public water supply systems in such catchments typically combine groundwater abstraction with pumped storage reservoirs, and are generally resilient to single year droughts but are stretched by longer droughts, particularly when there are consecutive dry winters with limited groundwater recharge. At the same

- time, agricultural abstraction can be limited towards the end of a single dry summer. Under climate
- change, warmer temperature are expected to drive higher water needs by crops but this may not be
- 118 compensated by changes in rainfall patterns: for example, in the latest UK projections, rainfall is
- projected to increase during winters but not in summer (Murphy et al. 2009).
- 120 Average catchment daily time series were extracted from 1 km² resolution Gridded Estimates of Areal
- 121 Rainfall GEAR data set (Keller et al. 2015, Tanguy et al. 2014) for precipitation and the 5 km Met Office
- 122 UKCP09 data set (Perry et al. 2009) for temperature. Average catchment monthly potential
- 123 evapotranspiration PET was extracted from the Met Office Rainfall and Evaporation Calculation
- 124 System MORECS (Thompson et al. 1982) and uniformly converted to daily PET. Daily discharge data
- and catchment information was available from the National River Flow Archive.
- Table 1. Main characteristics of the two basins. Statistics are computed on records available within the period 01/01/1961
- to 31/12/2011 (1: from http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/index.html)
- Figure 1. Location of case study catchments: A: Mint at Mint Bridge; B: Thet at Bridgham. Grey shading shows the main
- 129 aquifers.

2.2. Hydrological regime and associated indices

- 131 The first stage in the response surface approach is to identify decision-relevant indices that can be
- characterised using appropriate hydrological models. The success of the approach depends on finding
- indices that are both useful for decisions and sensitive to changes in climate. For this case study, four
- 134 hydrological indices were selected for their relevance for water management and their
- complementarity in describing the different components of low flow regime:
- 136 1. daily flow exceeded 90% of the time on average (Q90);
- mean daily flow between April and September (QAS);
- 3. annual maximum duration with flow continuously below Q90 with a 5 year return period (Q90 dur 5yr); and
- 4. date when flow first falls below Q90 (Q90_day_1).
- Details of the calculation are given in the appendices. Q90 and QAS characterise water resource
- available during the drier spring and summer months. Q90 dur 5yr characterises the duration of
- severe low flow events. Q90_day_1 is an indicator of seasonality. Table 2 (next section) gives values
- of each hydrological index for the two case study catchments. QAS and Q90 are expressed in mm to
- allow comparison with climate forcing.
- 146 Figure 2 shows, for both catchments, the recorded low flow periods within each water year, defined
- as flow below Q90, in a circular diagram. The water year has also been calculated for each catchment,
- and its start is displayed as a black dot. In England, the conventional definition of the water year begins
- on 1 October. Here we calculate a dynamic low flow water year centred on the low flow period. The
- start of the water year is defined as the average day associated with the annual maximum mean daily
- 151 flow, and is computed for each catchment. This approach allows analysis of the way catchment
- hydrological response changes with climate change. In the Mint, low flow periods start in early June
- and end in late August while they are delayed by around 1 month in the Thet (starting in early July and
- ending in late September). The Mint is also associated with large variability of flow, with only 10% of
- 155 years without periods under Q90, against nearly 30% in the Thet (dashed inner circle). This is likely to
- be due to low storage capacity to sustain flows during period of no rain in the Mint. As a result, the

water year also starts later in the Mint than in the Thet, as high flow from the recharge season continue into winter (late January and early January, respectively). The baseflow regime also influences when low flow occurs in the year, with more episodes of continuous low flow (length of orange lines in Figure 2) and slightly longer Q90_dur_5yr (Table 2) found in the Thet than in the Mint. In contrast, the flashier regime of the Mint results in a larger variability in the start of the low period periods compared with that in the Thet.

Figure 2. Circular diagram of the periods of flow below Q90 recorded during each water year with available observations for the Mint at Mint Bridge and the Thet at Bridgham, ranked by increasing duration of low flow period. The radius of the dashed blue inner circle gives the proportion of water years without any recorded flow below Q90. The thickness of the grey ring gives the proportion of incomplete years with flow below Q90.

2.3. Sensitivity framework

163

164

165

166

- The next stage in developing a sensitivity framework is to identify the climatic parameters for the 168 response surface. Following Prudhomme et al. (2010), the sensitivity of the catchments' low flow 169 regime to climatic changes was quantified from changes in precipitation and temperature. The range 170 171 and seasonality of changes tested were chosen to encompass the range of climate changes in Western 172 Europe from CMIP5 projections including both uncertainty in atmospheric forcing and climate modelling as given by Terray and Boé (2013). The changes represent the absolute deviation from the 173 174 baseline climatology for both temperature (°C) and precipitation (mm), and were applied here to the full observational climatic record 1961-2011. 175
- As it is not possible to test every possible combination of possible monthly changes in rainfall and temperature, idealised models must be fitted. The effect of seasonality of precipitation change on river flow was accounted for by introducing correction factors ΔP to the baseline precipitation reference for each month i, i= 1,..., 12, through the following cosine function suggested by Prudhomme et al. (2010):
- 181 Equation 1 $\Delta P(i) = P_0 + A_P \cdot cos\left((i \varphi_P) \cdot \frac{\pi}{6}\right)$
- The mean annual change is P_0 and A_P is the semi-amplitude of change (see Wilks 2006 for terminology and equations; semi-amplitude is half the difference between highest and lowest values).
- To capture the significant asymmetry of projected ranges of changes in seasonal temperature suggested by Terray and Boé (2013) in Western Europe (minimum in DJF and maximum in JAS), a modified harmonic equation was used to define the factors ΔT for temperature:
- 187 Equation 2 $\Delta T(i) = T_0 + A_T \cdot \left[1 \cos\left((i \varphi_T) \cdot \frac{\pi}{6}\right)\right]$
- The mean annual change associated with Equation 2 is given by T_0+AT .
- Monthly climate change factors were used to perturb the baseline time series to create new input for the hydrological model as follow.
- 191 For precipitation, monthly scale factors were applied so that the frequency of dry days is preserved:
- 192 Equation 3 $P^*(d) = P(d) \cdot (\overline{PM}(month(d)) + \Delta P(month(d)) / \overline{PM}(month(d))$

- 193 With P(d) and $P^*(d)$ baseline and perturbed precipitation for day d respectively, $\overline{PM}(month(d))$
- average monthly baseline precipitation for month month(d) and $\Delta P(month(d))$ precipitation change
- factor for month *month(d)*, all in mm.
- 196 Perturbed daily temperatures T^* for day d (in °C) were obtained by additive changes:
- 197 Equation 4 $T^*(d) = T(d) + \Delta T(month(d))$
- 198 With T(d) and $T^*(d)$ baseline and perturbed daily temperature for day d, respectively, and
- $\Delta T(month(d))$ temperature change factor for month month(d), all in °C
- 200 Changes in potential evapotranspiration PET were calculated (in mm) by applying the temperature-
- 201 based formula suggested by Oudin et al. (2005) to baseline temperature data. The perturbed PET
- values are calculated as follows:

- 203 Equation 5 $PET^*(d) = \max\left(PET(d) + \frac{R_a}{28.5} \frac{\left(\Delta T(month(d)) + 5\right)}{100}; 0\right)$
- With PET(d) and $PET^*(d)$ baseline and perturbed potential evapotranspiration for day d in mm,
- 205 respectively, R_a the extra-terrestrial global radiation for the catchment in MJ m⁻² day⁻¹, and
- $\Delta T(month(d))$ temperature change factor for month month(d) in °C.

2.4. Rainfall-runoff modelling

For identifying the catchment responses to climatic changes, a rainfall-runoff model was used so that the hydrological indicators derived from simulations based on the climate scenarios were compared to those derived from simulations based on observed climate. The conceptual lumped rainfall-runoff model GR5J (Le Moine 2008) was used for the hydrological modelling. GR5J is a modified version of GR4J originally developed by Perrin et al. (2003), considered to be well suited to simulating low flow conditions. It was chosen for its ease of calibration and the good performance of the GR4J model across a wide range of riverflow regimes (Zhang et al. 2014, Seiller et al. 2015, Wu et al. 2014, Tian et al. 2013). The GR5J model has five parameters to be fitted (Figure 3): the capacity of soil moisture reservoir (X1) and of the routing reservoir (X3), the time base of a unit hydrograph (X4) and two parameters of the groundwater exchange function F (X2 and X5). GR5J is combined with a simple snowmelt runoff module using a temperature index (degree day) approach. Snowmelt rate is proportional to the difference between the daily air temperature and the temperature Tm where melting is initiated. The degree-day factor for melt and the melting temperature are fixed to average values of 3.7 mm/°C and 0°C, respectively.

Figure 3. Schematic of the conceptual lumped rainfall-runoff model GR5J (modified by Le Moine 2008).

The Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency criterion NSE (Nash and Sutcliffe 1970) calculated on the square root of the daily discharges NSE_{sqrt} (Equation 6) was used as objective function to calibrate the five free parameters while giving less emphasis to extreme high discharges. In addition NSE_{Inv} (Equation 7) was also calculated as it gives a special emphasis of very low flows and very little to high flow (Pushpalatha 2013), but was not used for optimisation of the model parameters as this might result in a poorer overall fit. The first two years of available daily catchment rainfall and potential evapotranspiration forcing (generated from 1-km grids and available from 01/01/1961 to 31/12/1962) were used as a spin-up to limit the influence of reservoir initialization on the calibration results.

231 Equation 6
$$NSE_{SQRT} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{d=1}^{D} \left(\sqrt{Q_0^d} - \sqrt{Q_m^d} \right)^2}{\sum_{d=1}^{T} \left(\sqrt{Q_0^d} - \sqrt{Q_0^d} \right)^2}$$

232 Equation 7
$$NSE_{Inv} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{d=1}^{D} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + Q_d^d} \frac{1}{\varepsilon + Q_m^d}\right)^2}{\sum_{d=1}^{T} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + Q_d^d} \frac{1}{\varepsilon + Q_m^d}\right)^2}$$

- 233 With Q_0^d observed daily discharge for day d, Q_m^d simulated daily discharge for day d, \bar{X} the long term 234 mean of variable X, D the number of days of record and ε a small operator to avoid division by zero.
 - NSE_{Sqrt} calculated over 1963-2011 is equal to 0.91 and 0.93 for the Thet and Mint catchments respectively, suggesting a good reproduction of the daily variation of flows in both catchments. NSE_{Inv} was also calculated so that calibration could be compared with the range of performance of GR5J published for over 1000 French basins (Pushpalatha et al. 2011). The NSE_{Inv} of 0.69 and 0.82 found for the Thet and Mint, respectively, are in line with those obtained in the French basins with oceanic climates, where runoff generation is mainly controlled by rainfall and evapotranspiration processes (showing NSE_{Inv} mostly between 0.16 and 0.78). Finally, visual assessment of the median, 10th and 90th percentile daily hydrograph confirmed the good performance of GR5J (Figure 4). Note the better fit for the Mint than for the Thet where the GR5J model suggests less severe low flow in summer than observations. The day-to-day variability given by the interdecile range is correctly reproduced for the Mint whereas an overestimation is noticeable for the Thet particularly in autumn. However in the rest of this paper, results are expressed as changes from GR5J outputs obtained under baseline and 'scenario' conditions, hence removing the effect of any systematic bias in the simulation such as delayed low flow period.
- Figure 4. Simulated (red) and observed (black) median annual hydrographs for the Mint at Mint Bridge and the Thet at Bridgham. Shading indicates the interdecile range of the daily discharge for both observations and simulations. Note the log y-axis and the difference in scale
- The four hydrological indices were derived from observations and simulations for the period 1963-2011 (Table 2) and calculated over two distinct periods: all dates with observations within 1963-2011 (Sim|Obs) for comparison with statistics derived from observations (Obs); and complete 1963-2011 period (SimRef) for comparison between catchments. Results show good match between observed and simulated hydrological indicators and absolute relative errors lower than 15%. This suggests that GR5J performs reasonably well, capturing the average pattern of river flows as well as the interannual variability at daily time step.
- Table 2. Low flow hydrological indices calculated from all available observations (Obs) and simulated time series (Sim | Obs: for dates with observation available within 1963-2011; Sim Ref: complete 1963 and 2011 period).

2.5. Climate-low flow response surfaces

The most comprehensive way to present the sensitivity analysis results is as response surfaces, i.e. hydrological indices associated with the climatic scenarios in a two dimensional space. As a compromise between clarity of the figures versus representation of the complexity of the climate-to-flow processes, a 2-dimensional response surface was chosen, with axes represented by the main climate drivers and the colour gradient showing the response of each given hydrological indicator. In Prudhomme et al. (2010) the two axes were defined by the variation of the two parameters of the harmonic function applied to precipitation. However, this representation ignores most of the climatic

variations described by the methodology, which imposes 12 changes (each scenario has different monthly changes) to three variables (P, T and PET). While a representation of all degrees of freedom would be incomprehensible, the choice of the axes is important as the response surfaces aim to highlight the climatic factors influencing most the low flow regime. Priority was given to include variables directly available from GCMs output, i.e. P and T, with changes in PET being implicit from changes in T. Climate elasticity, a measure of the strength of the link between river flow and climate (Schaake 1990, Sankarasubramanian et al. 2001), and linear correlation were also applied between each hydrological index, mean temperature and total precipitation over the four seasons to identify the climate variables with the largest influence on hydrological variability and to inform the choice of the representation as response surfaces. Here the nonparametric elasticity estimator was used:

279 Equation 8
$$\varepsilon(X,Y) = median\left(\frac{X(ty) - \overline{X}}{Y(ty) - \overline{Y}}\overline{X}\right)$$

where $\varepsilon(X, Y)$ is the elasticity of the variable X to the factor Y, X(ty) and Y(ty) are the values of X and Y for year ty, N is the total number of available years of record, \overline{X} and \overline{Y} are the long term mean values of X and Y, respectively. For this application, X is one of the hydrological indices average (Q90, QAS, Q90_dur_5yr, Q90_day_1), Y being precipitation or temperature averaged at different time scales (from month to year).

Finally, bi-linear interpolation of the 1050 scenarios on a given response surfaces was conducted using the function Interpol of the akima R package (Albrecht 2015). The response surfaces are constructed by plotting the hydrological indicator derived from a given climate scenario, the (x,y) coordinates given by the scenarios' climate indicators. Some climate indicators however can have the same value while resulting from different scenarios and annual pathways (e.g. precipitation mean annual change). This means that a same point on the response surface can be associated with different hydrological indicator values. In this case, response surfaces are interpolated based on the minimum, mean and maximum response to capture the range and associated uncertainty in the climate-to-low-flow response. For clarity only the response surfaces based on the mean of all responses are shown in the paper.

3. Response surfaces and applications

3.1. Constructed climate scenario

Using Equation 1, an ensemble of 35 precipitation scenarios was created associated with mean monthly changes P_0 ranging from -20 to +20 mm and semi-amplitudes A_P ranging from 0 to 26.67 mm/season, all of them by increments of 6.67 mm/season. The parameter φ_P was fixed to 1 (minimum in January and maximum in July; Figure 5 right). The majority of scenarios of the framework describe drier, hotter summers (Figure 5); precipitation changes during the transition seasons MAM and SON are evenly distributed while winters are generally wetter. Only a few scenarios are associated with a small cooling.

A set of 30 temperature scenarios was created using Equation 2 to describe mean annual changes $(T_0 + A_T)$ from 0.5°C to 8.5°C by increments of 1°C, with five semi-amplitudes A_T ranging from -0.5°C to 3.5°C and six values for T_0 varying from 0 to 5°C, all of them by increments of 1°C. The parameter A_T takes a negative value when absolute changes are higher in winter than in summer. Minimum and maximum changes occur in February and in August (φ_T =2), respectively (Figure 5, left).

Changes in precipitation and temperature were combined independently to create a set of 1050 scenarios.

Figure 5. Monthly changes in temperature and precipitation associated with the sensitivity framework scenarios

3.2. Elasticity and response surfaces

Elasticity estimates were obtained from an extended dataset of 247 near natural gauged basins in the UK with more than 30 years of flow records, including the Mint and the Thet. The analysis of the elasticity was performed at national scale to identify more clearly the variables to which low flows are sensitive. Climate elasticity was assessed at monthly time scale.

For each basin, monthly elasticities were calculated independently for temperature and precipitation respectively, and the month with the highest absolute value of elasticity was identified for each climate variable. To illustrate the analysis, results are provided for the two hydrological indices Q90 and Q90_day_1. Figure 6 shows for each month the proportion of basins where elasticity for this month is ranked first. Q90 is particularly sensitive to changes in both summer precipitation and temperature: summer climate governs the severity of low flows. On the other hand, for the seasonality index Q90_day_1, the maxima of the two curves are not observed during the same period of the year: Q90_day_1 is most sensitive to changes in spring precipitation but to changes in summer temperature. The differing sensitivity of the two low flow indices highlights that different aspects of low flows are governed by different processes, confirming the complexity of the climate-to-low-flow relationships.

Figure 6. Proportion of basins when absolute value of elasticity of a given month is largest of the year.

The elasticity analysis was complemented by visual examination of response surfaces to identify any discontinuities due to the influence of other factors not represented by the axes of the surfaces. Results show different sensitivity for the indicators and catchments: Q90 is sensitive to spring and summer climate (April to September AMJJAS) in the Mint and to summer and autumn (July to November JJASON) in the Thet. Summer flow (QAS) is also sensitive to spring and summer climate (AMJJAS) in the Mint but to spring climate (March to May MAM) in the Thet. The duration of severe low flow periods is sensitive to summer climate (JJA) in the Mint and autumn (SON) in the Thet. The first day of the low flow period is sensitive to the whole year climate for the Mint and to spring climate (MAM) in the Thet. This demonstrates that the different low flow indices capture different low flow behaviours, each governed by a different climate signal.

Climate-to-low-flow response surfaces for each hydrological index are shown for both catchments in Figure 7; note however the different scales.

Figure 7. Climate-to-low-flow response surfaces of the Mint at Mint Bridge and the Thet at Bridgham with x-axis: precipitation; y-axis: temperature. Note the difference in scale and climate drivers associated with each response surface.

Reference values (SimRef) are shown as black bar in the key and as a black square on the response surface.

There is a direct link between same season climate and flow changes in the Mint with spring to summer flow QAS showing a clear relationship with spring to summer precipitation and temperature (P-AMJJAS and T-AMJJAS). This is probably because of the low storage in this catchment, meaning that there is little "memory" in the system: QAS decreases with precipitation. It is also notable that additional temperature increase and its associated PET increase can compensate for precipitation

increase and lead to decrease in QAS; all scenarios with a spring-summer temperature rise of 4°C or more are associated with a reduction of QAS even when precipitation increases.

In the Thet, the picture is more complicated: the best climate-to-spring-autumn flow relationship is found with spring precipitation but links with temperature are less smooth, illustrating that climate-to-low-flow processes are complex and cannot be captured completely by a 2-dimensional response surface. Unlike the Mint, the Thet has a large groundwater component that delays the climate-to-low-flow response, so changes in spring precipitation have the largest influence on changes in QAS. As the Thet is located in one of the driest regions of England, where actual evapotranspiration is limited by water availability in the soil, an increase in temperature (and by extension, in potential evapotransporation) is not necessarily associated with increase in water losses as evaporation is already limited. As a result, an increase in P first enhances PET before resulting in flow increase, and increase in T is only associated with decrease in flow if P also decreases.

In the Mint, changes in Q90 show a very similar response to climate than QAS, albeit with a slightly stronger signal of decrease (i.e. more scenarios lead to a reduction of Q90 than QAS). In the Thet there is a clearer relationship in the climate-to-low-flow signal for Q90 than for QAS: summer to autumn climate influences most the low flow changes, and there are fewer discontinuities in the response surface (appearing as horizontal graduations in the surfaces and non-uniform relationship between QAS and spring temperature). This suggests that Q90 is mainly controlled by summer and autumn precipitation and temperature signal. Note that relative increase in Q90 are however much larger for the Thet than for the Mint as wetter winters sustain flows all year round, including low flows.

Q90_dur_5yr describes the length of severe continuous low flow periods. Both catchments show an increase in low flow duration for most scenarios but show a very different range of changes, with the Mint showing a maximum increase just above 3 months, against nearly a year for the most extreme scenarios in the Thet. This is likely to reflect a baseflow-dominated signal (see Figure 4) with much smoother hydrograph resulting in uninterrupted periods of low flow.

For the Mint, the date of the first day of low flow is earlier when precipitation decreases or temperature increases by more than 2-3 °C, and later when mean annual precipitation increases. In the Thet, the pattern is similar but with much earlier occurrence possible; for the most extreme scenarios, flow is always lower than baseline Q90 hence first day of occurrence is the 1st January.

3.3. Response surfaces and mitigation strategies

One of the strength of the response surfaces is that they can be put into the context of specific weather scenarios. For example this could be during a drought event, where forecast weather anomalies can be considered as future possible range and mapped onto the response surfaces to visualise possible drought trajectories. These trajectories can then be considered when management options are evaluated. Response surfaces could also be used for long term planning under different assumptions of future climate. For example, the Copenhagen Accord recognised that emissions reductions should try to avoid a global temperature rise of more than 2°C (UNFCCC 2009); while this does not necessarily correspond to uniform warming across the globe, it is possible to assess the impact of different levels of warming using response surfaces as this might put mitigation strategies into a more local context. Figure 8 shows the range of changes in Q90 associated with a local annual warming ranging from 2.5 to 5.5°C for the Mint and the Thet as described by the set of scenarios of

Figure 5. For both catchments, there is a wide range of responses reflecting the sensitivity of the catchments to the range of precipitation scenarios explored. However, sensitivity to temperature is also visible in the change in the distribution of the response associated with each temperature set. This influence is the largest for the Mint which shows a much stronger decrease in Q90 for the warmest scenarios (black). For the Thet, the influence of temperature is less apparent, mainly shown in the upper tail of the distribution, possibly because evaporative losses do not increase much under warmer climate as they are already water limited. Note that this is an illustrative example as the precipitation scenarios have not been sampled for consistency with climate model projections of given global temperature rise, but they demonstrate how the response surfaces can help in exploring the effect of mitigation policies.

Figure 8. Distribution of changes in Q90 associated with annual warming scenarios for the Mint at Mint Bridge and the Thet at Bridgham.

3.4. Response surfaces and drought management planning

Local adaptation planning generally relies on assessing the impact of plausible local climate change scenarios, and response surfaces can help with such assessment by overlaying climate change factors from state-of-the-art climate change projections on the surfaces and identifying the associated responses. Here we used the UKCP09 probabilistic sample climate change factors for the 2050s associated with the medium emission scenario (Murphy et al. 2009). Monthly change factors were extracted for each catchment and combined to be expressed in the same unit as the relevant response surfaces: for the Thet, July to November consistent with the Q90 response surface and for the Mint, June to August consistent with changes in Q90_dur_5yr. Each pair of change factors was displayed on the relevant response surface (Figure 9 top), and the associated change in the low flow indicator quantified and shown as cumulative density function (Figure 9 bottom). Note that the response surface domain for precipitation does not fully capture the variability of the UKCP09 sample, which has a longer tail towards wetter scenarios for both catchments.

Here only the value of climate change factors for the season represented in the response surface was considered and the within-year variability of each UKCP09 scenarios was ignored. This means that the whole annual pathway of some UKCP09 scenarios might be very different from that of the scenarios explored in the sensitivity framework. A more sophisticated method could be used where a sine curve would be fitted to each UKCP09 monthly scenarios and higher likelihood weights given to scenarios closest to those used in the sensitivity framework.

Figure 9. UKCP09 probabilistic samples for the 2050s medium emission (black dots) and climate-low flow response surface and derived risk curves (based on the proportion of UKCP09 scenarios for 2050s time horizon within the explored climatic range) for the Mint a Mint Bridge and the Thet at Bridgham. Response surfaces as described in Figure 7. The risk curves show the percentage of scenarios with changes greater or equal to a response threshold. See text for details.

Similarly to the differences in the catchment climate-to-low-flow responses, the magnitude of possible changes under UKCP09 by the 2050s also shows contrast between the two catchments: assuming all UKCP09 scenarios not plotted on this surface would suggest an increase in the indicator (located on the right-hand side of the curve) and looking again at Q90, the Thet shows a relatively small range of changes, with relatively modest decreases in low flows even under the driest and warmest projections (less than 0.05mm decrease; see risk curve in Figure 9), and around 28% of all UKCP09 scenarios associated with a decrease. However, in the Mint, more of the UKCP09 projections give decreases in

Q90, with a much larger reduction in Q90 from the driest and warmest projections; around 85% of the UKCP09 scenarios within the response surfaces show a decrease, corresponding to a minimum of 46% of all UKCP09 scenarios (not shown). This may suggest that the Mint is more sensitive to local climate change, and that managers in catchments like this must pay particular attention to the possible impacts on low flows. It also seems clear that the Mint is more vulnerable to UKCP09 changes than the Thet, as a larger proportion of UKCP09 scenarios suggest a decrease of Q90. However it is important to note the range in UKCP09 scenarios, and hence uncertainty associated with the climatic signal, is wider for precipitation in the Mint than in the Thet for the months relevant to low flow processes (as shown by the proportion in UKCP09 scenarios captured by each response surface). As projections of rainfall under climate change are inherently more uncertain than projections of temperature (Shepherd 2014), the response surfaces may show that planning for the Mint is relatively more uncertain than for the Thet.

Looking at a range of different response surfaces also helps to illuminate some of the other conditions for which the water resources manager may need to plan: for example, in the Mint at least 49% of UKCP09 scenarios suggest an increase in the duration of severe low flow periods (assuming that the 30% of scenarios outside the response surface all are associated with a decrease) by up to 80 days (Figure 9), while in the Thet the proportion is much smaller but the expected maximum increase will reach 250 days for the most extreme UKCP09 scenario (not shown).

4. Conclusion

How do these response surfaces illuminate problems of future water resources and drought management? At the simplest level, they show the different hydrological responses of the contrasting catchments, demonstrated by the different climate drivers associated with the same indicators in the two catchments. In the Mint, representative of typical catchments in Wales and western and northern England, low flows mostly respond to changes in spring and summer rainfall and temperatures while in the Thet, typical of a lowland catchment with limited rainfall and high potential evapotranspiration, the primary climate drivers change with the indicators from spring for first low flow occurrence to summer/autumn for low flow magnitude.

It is easy to concentrate on the worst possible outcomes for water resources, but the response surfaces also provide a valuable reminder that the range of possible future climates translates into a wide range of future hydrological conditions. Catchment managers may decide to ignore some of the more favourable outcomes, but in doing so they will be forced explicitly to contemplate their risk tolerance.

It seems that response surfaces are a valuable tool for understanding and communicating the range of possible changes that climate change may bring. However, their use in water resources problems is perhaps less straightforward than in some previous applications such as understanding changes in flood peaks e.g. Prudhomme et al. (2010). Water resources respond not only to the magnitude of changes in rainfall and temperature but also to the timing of these changes; for example, reductions in winter rainfall may be more or less important than equivalent changes in spring, summer or autumn, depending on catchment characteristics. This means that multiple response surfaces are needed to explain the range of possible changes. Identifying and then interpreting these response surfaces is far

from trivial. Inappropriate identification of response surfaces could lead to poor adaptation response, with a risk of misplaced confidence in inadequate interventions.

In addition, the choice and pattern of the climate scenarios explored in the sensitivity framework have also an influence on the result. Here, consistently with most future climate-change signals, a simple cosine function was used to describe a seasonal change in the climatology, which fixes the seasons of maxima and minima. Different seasonal patterns, or more complex variability in the seasonal changes of precipitation and temperature, would influence the response surfaces but also greatly add in complexity to both their representation and interpretation. One important limitation of the methodology is its inevitable simplification of complex processes, and its function as a screening tool rather than as a comprehensive process-based impact analysis.

Finally, for response surfaces to be of full value to water resources managers, the managers themselves will need to invest time in investigating and understanding water resources system response. While this is unlikely to be wasted effort, it does mean that managers need to be involved early in a project, which may not always be welcome to busy managers facing other, more immediate pressures.

This paper demonstrates that the scenario-neutral approach can be of great value in understanding future pressures on water resources. The response surfaces developed here look only at hydrological response and hence possible impacts of climate change, but the concept could be developed further in various directions. One simple development would be to work with catchment managers to identify regions of the response surface that would either cause different levels of impact or demand different types of response. Fung et al. (2013) demonstrated this with a simple matrix of changes in the duration and magnitude of low flows, looking at the possible impact on ecosystem form and function in a chalk catchment in southern England. Their matrix was a simple grid; on a response surface, it would be possible to identify different regions of irregular shape, making the response surface approach much more flexible. It may also be possible to identify thresholds beyond which change would demand alternative approaches to management, and also which climate drivers would result in the threshold being crossed. Such thresholds could include regulatory thresholds, such as abstraction licence conditions or discharge consents. It may also be valuable to develop response surfaces that reflect variables that are of more direct relevance to water resources managers, such as reservoir deployable output. This could be valuable in planning climate change adaptation interventions, though every time the water supply system changes the response surface would also change and need to be recalculated, negating some of the benefits of the response surface approach. However, exploring these concepts further could add to the benefits of scenario-neutral approaches and improve the flexibility of approaches to climate change adaptation.

5. Acknowledgements

Christel Prudhomme was funded by NERC-Water Resource Science Area National Capability. Funding for Eric Sauquet was provided by a grant from la Region Rhône-Alpes. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors alone and not of the organisations for which they work. The authors thank three anonymous reviewers for their suggestions to improve the manuscript.

6. References

511

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

529

530

531

532

534

535

536537

538

539

540

541

542

543

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

- Albrecht, G. (2015). Package 'akima'. Interpolation of irregularly spaced data. Package r. Version 0.5.11.
- Brown, C., Ghile, Y., Laverty, M. & Li, K. (2012). Decision scaling: Linking bottom-up vulnerability analysis with climate projections in the water sector. *Water Resources Research*, 48: W09537.
- 516 Brown, C., Werick, W., Leger, W. & Fay, D. (2011). A decision-analytic approach to managing climate 517 risks: Application to the upper great lakes. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources* 518 *Association*, 47: 524-534.
 - Ekström, M., Grose, M. R. & Whetton, P. H. (2015). An appraisal of downscaling methods used in climate change research. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 6: 301-319.
 - Folland, C. K., Hannaford, J., Bloomfield, J. P., Kendon, M., Svensson, C., Marchant, B. P., Prior, J. & Wallace, E. (2014). Multi-annual droughts in the english lowlands: A review of their characteristics and climate drivers in the winter half year. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 11: 12933-12985.
 - Fung, F., Watts, G., Lopez, A., Orr, H., New, M. & Extence, C. (2013). Using large climate ensembles to plan for the hydrological impact of climate change in the freshwater environment. *Water Resources Management*, 27: 1063-1084.
- 528 Gluckman, P. (2014). Policy: The art of science advice to government. *Nature*, 507: 163-165.
 - Gustard, A., Bullock, A. & Dixon, J. M. (1992). Low flow estimation in the united kingdom. *In:* HYDROLOGY, I. O. (ed.). Wallingford: Institute of Hydrology Report 108.
 - Jones, P. D., Lister, D. H., Wilby, R. L. & Kostopoulou, E. (2006). Extended riverflow reconstructions for england and wales, 1865-2002. *International Journal of Climatology*, 26: 219-231.
- 533 Kallis, G. (2008). Droughts. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 33: 85-118.
 - Keller, V. D. J., Tanguy, M., Prosdocimi, I., Terry, J. A., Hitt, O., Cole, S. J., Fry, M., Morris, D. G. & Dixon, H. (2015). Ceh-gear: 1 km resolution daily and monthly areal rainfall estimates for the uk for hydrological use. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.*, 8: 83-112.
 - Le Moine, N. (2008). Le bassin versant de surface vu par le souterrain : Une voie d'amélioration des performances et du réalisme des modèles pluie-débit ? PhD Doctorat Géosciences et Ressources Naturelles PhD, Université Pierre et Marie Curie Paris VI.
 - Lempert, R. J., Groves, D. G., Popper, S. W. & Bankes, S. C. (2006). A general, analytic method for generating robust strategies and narrative scenarios. *Management Science*, 52: 514-528.
 - Lloyd-Hughes, B. (2014). The impracticality of a universal drought definition. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 117: 607-611.
- 544 Marsh, T., Cole, G. & Wilby, R. (2007). Major droughts in england and wales, 1800–2006. *Weather*, 62: 87-93.
 - Murphy, J. M., Sexton, D. M. H., Jenkins, G. J., Booth, B. B. B., Brown, C. C., Clark, R. T., Collins, M., Harris, G. R., Kendon, E. J., Betts, R. A., Brown, S. J., Humphrey, K. A., Mccarthy, M. P., Mcdonald, R. E., Stephens, A., Wallace, C., Warren, R., Wilby, R. & Wood, R. A. (2009). Uk climate projections science report: Climate change projections. Exeter, UK: Met Office Hadley Centre.
 - Nash, J. E. & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part i -- a discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, 10: 282-290.
 - Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F. & Loumagne, C. (2005). Which potential evapotranspiration input for a lumped rainfall—runoff model?: Part 2—towards a simple and efficient potential evapotranspiration model for rainfall—runoff modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 303: 290-306.
- Parry, S., Hannaford, J., Lloyd-Hughes, B. & Prudhomme, C. (2012). Multi-year droughts in europe: Analysis of development and causes. *Hydrology Research*, 43: 689-706.
- 559 Perrin, C., Michel, C. & Andréassian, V. (2003). Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow 560 simulation. *Journal of Hydrology,* 279: 275-289.

Perry, M., Hollis, D. & Elms, M. (2009). The generation of daily gridded datasets of temperature and rainfall for the uk. *Climate memorandum No 24*. Exeter: National Climate Information Centre, Met Office.

- Prudhomme, C., Giuntoli, I., Robinson, E. L., Clark, D. B., Arnell, N. W., Dankers, R., Fekete, B. M., Franssen, W., Gerten, D., Gosling, S. N., Hagemann, S., Hannah, D. M., Kim, H., Masaki, Y., Satoh, Y., Stacke, T., Wada, Y. & Wisser, D. (2014). Hydrological droughts in the 21st century, hotspots and uncertainties from a global multimodel ensemble experiment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111: 3262-3267.
- Prudhomme, C., Kay, A., Crooks, S. & Reynard, N. (2013). Climate change and river flooding: Part 2 sensitivity characterisation for british catchments and example vulnerability assessments. *Climatic Change*, 119: 949-964.
- Prudhomme, C., Wilby, L. R., Crooks, S. M., Kay, A. L. & Reynard, N. S. (2010). Scenario-neutral approach to climate change impact studies: Application to flood risk. *Journal of Hydrology*, 390: 198-209.
- Prudhomme, C., Young, A., Watts, G., Haxton, T., Crooks, S., Williamson, J., Davies, H., Dadson, S. & Allen, S. (2012). The drying up of britain? A national estimate of changes in seasonal river flows from 11 regional climate model simulations. *Hydrological Processes*, 26: 1115-1118.
- Pushpalatha, R. (2013). Low-flow simulation and forecasting on french river basins: A hydrological modelling approach. PhD, AgroParis Tech.
- Pushpalatha, R., Perrin, C., Le Moine, N., Mathevet, T. & Andréassian, V. (2011). A downward structural sensitivity analysis of hydrological models to improve low-flow simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 411: 66-76.
- Sankarasubramanian, A., Vogel, R. M. & Limbrunner, J. F. (2001). Climate elasticity of streamflow in the united states. *Water Resources Research*, 37: 1771-1781.
- Schaake, J. C. (1990). From climate to flow. *In:* WAGGONER, P. E. (ed.) *Climate change and u.S. Water resources*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Seiller, G., Hajji, I. & Anctil, F. (2015). Improving the temporal transposability of lumped hydrological models on twenty diversified u.S. Watersheds. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 3: 379-399.
- Shepherd, T. G. (2014). Atmospheric circulation as a source of uncertainty in climate change projections. *Nature Geoscience*, 7: 703-708.
- Sofoulis, Z. (2005). Big water, everyday water: A sociotechnical perspective. *Continuum*, 19: 445-463.
- Spraggs, G., Peaver, L., Jones, P. & Ede, P. (2015). Re-construction of historic drought in the anglian region (uk) over the period 1798–2010 and the implications for water resources and drought management. *Journal of Hydrology*, 526: 231-252.
- Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Alexander, L. V., Allen, S. K., Bindoff, N. L., BréOn, F.-M., Church, J. A., Cubasch, U., Emori, S., Forster, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gillett, N., Gregory, J. M., Hartmann, D. L., Jansen, E., Kirtman, B., Knutti, R., Krishna kumar, K., Lemke, P., Marotzke, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Meehl, G. A., Mokhov, I. I., Piao, S., Ramaswamy, V., Randall, D., Rhein, M., Rojas, M., Sabine, C., Shindell, D., Talley, L. D., Vaughan, D. G. & Xie, S.-P. (2013). Technical summary. *In:* STOCKER, T. F., QIN, D., PLATTNER, G.-K., TIGNOR, M., ALLEN, S. K., BOSCHUNG, J., NAUELS, A., XIA, Y., BEX, V. & MIDGLEY, P. M. (eds.) *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group i to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.* Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Tallaksen, L. M. & Van Lanen, H. a. J. (eds.) (2004). *Hydrological drought processes and estimation methods for streamflow and groundwater*: Elsevier.
- Tanguy, M., Dixon, H., Prosdocimi, I., Morris, D. G. & Keller, V. D. J. (2014). Gridded estimates of daily and monthly areal rainfall for the united kingdom (1890-2012) [ceh-gear]. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre.

- Terray, L. & Boé, J. (2013). Quantifying 21st-century france climate change and related uncertainties.

 Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 345: 136-149.
- Thompson, N., Barrie, I. A. & Ayles, M. (1982). The meteorological office rainfall and evaporation calculation system: Morecs (july 1981). *Hydrological Memorandum N 45*. Bracknell, UK: Met. Office.
- Tian, Y., Xu, Y.-P. & Zhang, X.-J. (2013). Assessment of climate change impacts on river high flows through comparative use of gr4j, hbv and xinanjiang models. *Water Resources Management,* 27: 2871-2888.
- Touma, D., Ashfaq, M., Nayak, M. A., Kao, S.-C. & Diffenbaugh, N. S. (2015). A multi-model and multiindex evaluation of drought characteristics in the 21st century. *Journal of Hydrology*, 526: 196-207.
- 622 Unfccc (2009). Copenhagen accord. Fccc/cp/2009/I.7.

634 635

647

648

650

652

- Vidal, J. P., Martin, E., Kitova, N., Najac, J. & Soubeyroux, J. M. (2012). Evolution of spatio-temporal drought characteristics: Validation, projections and effect of adaptation scenarios. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16: 2935-2955.
- Watts, G., Christierson, B. V., Hannaford, J. & Lonsdale, K. (2012). Testing the resilience of water supply systems to long droughts. *Journal of Hydrology*, 414–415: 255-267.
- Weisheimer, A. & Palmer, T. N. (2014). On the reliability of seasonal climate forecasts. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 11.
- Weiß, M. (2011). Future water availability in selected european catchments: A probabilistic
 assessment of seasonal flows under the ipcc a1b emission scenario using response surfaces.
 Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 11: 2163-2171.
 - Wetterhall, F., Graham, L. P., Andréasson, J., Rosberg, J. & Yang, W. (2011). Using ensemble climate projections to assess probabilistic hydrological change in the nordic region. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 11: 2295-2306.
- Whateley, S., Steinschneider, S. & Brown, C. (2014). A climate change range-based method for estimating robustness for water resources supply. *Water Resources Research*, 50: 8944-8961.
- 638 Wilby, R. L. & Dessai, S. (2010). Robust adaptation to climate change. Weather, 65: 180-185.
- 639 Wilhite, D., Svoboda, M. & Hayes, M. (2007). Understanding the complex impacts of drought: A key to 640 enhancing drought mitigation and preparedness. *Water Resources Management*, 21: 763-774.
- Wilks, D. S. 2006. Statistical methods in the atmospheric science, Elsevier.
- Wu, W., Clark, J. S. & Vose, J. M. (2014). Response of hydrology to climate change in the southern appalachian mountains using bayesian inference. *Hydrological Processes*, 28: 1616-1626.
- Zhang, Y., Vaze, J., Chiew, F. H. S., Teng, J. & Li, M. (2014). Predicting hydrological signatures in ungauged catchments using spatial interpolation, index model, and rainfall–runoff modelling.
 Journal of Hydrology, 517: 936-948.

7. Appendix – Hydrological indices calculations

7.1. Daily flow exceeded 90% of the time Q90

The 10th percentile daily flow calculated over the relevant period.

7.2. Mean flow April to September QAS

The average daily flow between 1 April and 30 September.

7.1. Duration of severe low flow episodes Q90_dur_5yr

First the maximum duration of consecutive flows Q under Q90 for each water year (Q90_dur) was sampled by block maxima approach. Q90 dur 5yr is then defined as the empirical 80th percentile of

cumulative distribution function of Q90_dur. A non-parametric approach is used to estimate the quantile with a return period of 5 years since there is no guarantee that a distribution valid under current climate may still hold under climate change.

7.2. Day of first occurrence of low flow Q90_day_1

659 The procedure is as follow:

658

- 1. Identify $J_{1,i}$ first day with flow Q below Q90 for water year i, in Julian day;
- 661 2. Convert $J_{1,i}$ into angle, in radian, by $\theta(J_{1,i}) = \frac{2\pi}{365}J_{1,i}$;
- 3. Calculate mean of cosines and sines of each angle (years without flow below Q90 not accounted for);
- 4. Calculate associated angle as

$$\begin{cases}
\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\overline{\sin\theta(J_{1,i})}}{\overline{\cos\theta(J_{1},i)}}\right) & \overline{\sin\theta(J_{1,i})} > 0, \overline{\cos\theta(J_{1},i)} > 0
\end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\theta(Q90_day_1)}}{\left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\overline{\sin\theta(J_{1,i})}}{\overline{\cos\theta(J_{1},i)}}\right) + \pi \qquad \overline{\cos\theta(J_{1},i)} < 0
\end{cases}$$

$$\left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\overline{\sin\theta(J_{1,i})}}{\overline{\cos\theta(J_{1},i)}}\right) + 2\pi \quad \overline{\sin\theta(J_{1,i})} < 0, \overline{\cos\theta(J_{1},i)} > 0$$

5. Convert back into a date, in Julian day, by $Q90_day_1 = \frac{365}{2\pi} \overline{\theta(Q90_day_1)}$;

1	thow response surfaces for drought decision support: a case study from the UK					
2						
3	Christel Prudhomme* ^{1,2} , Eric Sauquet ^{3,1} , Glenn Watts ^{4,5}					
4	1. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, OX10 8BB, UK					
5	2. Department of Geography, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK					
6 7	3. IRSTEA, UR HHLY, Hydrology-Hydraulics, 5 rue de la Doua CS70077, F-69626 VILLEURBANNE cedex, France					
8	4. Evidence Directorate, Environment Agency, Deanery Road, Bristol, BS1 5AH, UK					
9	5. Department of Geography, King's College London, London WC2R 2LS					
10						
11	Corresponding author: Christel Prudhomme (email: chrp@ceh.ac.uk)					
12						
13	Tables captions					
14						
15 16	Table 1. Main characteristics of the two basins. Statistics are computed on records available within the period 01/01/1961 to 31/12/2011 (1: from http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/index.html)					
17 18	Table 2. Low flow hydrological indices calculated from all available observations (Obs) and simulated time series (Sim Obs: for dates with observation available within 1963-2011; Sim Ref: complete 1963 and 2011 period).					
19						

	Mint at Mint bridge 73011	Thet at Bridgham 33044		
Area (km²)	65.8	277.8		
Median elevation (m)	209.3	39.2		
Catchment description ¹	Geology: Steep, very wet catchment. Predominantly impervious Silurian slate with bands of flags and shale, small patches of Carboniferous Limestone and basal conglomerate, patchy Boulder Clay cover in middle and lower reaches. Land use: Sheep grazing with peat moorland in extreme north.			
Record period	01/08/1970-30/09/2013	01/06/1967-30/09/2013		
Mean annual	1585	636		
precipitation (mm)				
Mean annual	8.1 9.7			
temperature (°C)				
Mean annual potential	479	630		
evapotranspiration (mm)				
Mean annual runoff	1197	186		
(mm)				
Base Flow Index	0.31	0.74		
Factors affecting runoff ¹	Natural to within 10% at the 95 percentile flow	Groundwater abstraction and/or recharge, effluent returns and industrial and/or agricultural abstraction		

Table 1. Main characteristics of the two basins. Statistics are computed on records available within the period 01/01/1961 to 31/12/2011 (1: from http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/index.html)

	Mint at Mint bridge 73011			Thet at Bridgham 33044		
	Obs	Sim Obs	Sim Ref	Obs	Sim Obs	Sim Ref
Q90 mm/day	0.386	0.438	0.466	0.141	0.135	0.137
(m3/s)	0.294	0.334	0.355	0.453	0.434	0.440
QAS (mm/day)	1.858	1.740	1.828	0.344	0.354	0.354
(m3/s)	1.415	1.325	1.392	1.105	1.137	1.139
Q90_dur_5yr (day)	29	35	41.4	34.8	41.8	44.6
Q90_day_1 (Julian day)	158	155	146	196	226	225
(date)	7th June	4th June	26th May	15th July	14th Aug.	13th Aug.

Table 2. Low flow hydrological indices calculated from all available observations (Obs) and simulated time series (Sim | Obs: for dates with observation available within 1963-2011; Sim Ref: complete 1963 and 2011 period).



Mint at Mint Bridge

Thet at Bridgham















