## Tall tower measurements of methane, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions in London, UK.

<u>Carole Helfter<sup>1</sup></u>, Anja Tremper<sup>2</sup>, Eiko Nemitz<sup>1</sup>, Janet F. Barlow<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK.

<sup>2</sup> Environmental Research Group, King's College London, London, UK.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK.





# BT Tower – site description







## BT tower: flux footprint (2007)





Centre for Ecology & Hydrology NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Footprint model: Kormann and Meixner , Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. (2001) BT tower footprint: Helfter et al., Atm. Chem. & Phys. (2011)



#### BT Tower – data coverage

Data coverage: 15/09/2011 – 30/06/2013







# Urban sources (LAEI)

Sources of urban CH<sub>4</sub> (inventory)

Sources of urban CO<sub>2</sub> (inventory)



### **Concentration distribution**



Range: 352 – 518 ppm 1<sup>st</sup> quartile: 391 ppm 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile: 404 ppm

Range: 1811 – 2781 ppb 1<sup>st</sup> quartile: 1890 ppb 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile: 1958 ppb

Range: 6 – 721 ppb 1<sup>st</sup> quartile: 140 ppb 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile: 205 ppb

- Spatially heterogeneous distributions of all 3 pollutants.
- Distribution of central hotspots consistent among the 3 species.





#### Seasonal distributions



- Concentrations decrease in summer (reduction in traffic, heating, lower background...).
- CO<sub>2</sub> dominated by local sources all year.
- CH<sub>4</sub>: possible transport from rural areas in summer.

## Flux distributions



- Heterogeneous distributions of all 3 pollutants.
- Comparable range (ratio max/min ~ 6).
- "Excess" CH<sub>4</sub> from S-E (non-traffic source as local minimum for F<sub>CO</sub> found in S-E).
- N-E: local maximum in FCO<sub>2</sub>/FCH<sub>4</sub> due to traffic.

## Diurnal trends (weekday & weekend flux)



Decrease in magnitude and later start at weekends

## Diurnal & seasonal trends - F



- 30% reduction between winter and summer
- 20% reduction between weekdays and weekends





## Diurnal & seasonal trends - I



- 65% reduction between winter and summer
- 25% reduction between weekdays and weekends





## Diurnal & seasonal trends - F



- 25% reduction between winter and summer
- 10% reduction between weekdays and weekends





## Seasonal trends







#### Summary: diurnal and seasonal trends

- Fluxes of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> reduced by ca. 20% at weekends, -10% for FCH<sub>4</sub>.
  - ➤ Lower traffic volumes at weekends.
  - Reduced commercial natural gas consumption at weekends.
- Winter-to-summer reduction of mean emissions (FCO: 65%; FCO<sub>2</sub>: -30%; FCH<sub>4</sub>: < -25%).
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Reduction in natural gas consumption (FCO, FCO<sub>2</sub>, FCH<sub>4</sub>).
  - > Seasonal variations in traffic loads (FCO, FCO<sub>2</sub>, FCH<sub>4</sub>).
  - > Air temperature: no cold starts in summer (FCO).

Inventories attribute 86% of FCH<sub>4</sub> to fugitive gas (constant leakage rate?), and 9% to gas consumption; 60% increase in FCH<sub>4</sub> measured during the day. Question: Why do we see a diurnal trend in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions despite a constant pressure in the distribution network?





### Boundary layer height

#### Winter 2012

#### Summer 2012





LIDAR work: Barlow et al. (2014), Environ. Fluid Mech.



#### Diurnal & seasonal trends – Emission factors







## $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $N_2O$ : winter 2014



CETT Centre for Ecology & Hydrology NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL  $FN_2O$  hotspots more localised but consistent with  $FCO_2$  &  $FCH_4$ .

## $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $N_2O$ : winter 2014





CW-QCL detection limit ~ 10<sup>-2</sup> nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Rannik et al., Biogeosciences Discussions (2014)



## BT tower - annual GHG budgets

		CO <sub>2</sub> [tons km <sup>-2</sup> ]	CH <sub>4</sub> [tons km <sup>-2</sup> ]	CO [tons km <sup>-2</sup> ]	N <sub>2</sub> O [tons km <sup>-2</sup> ]
ſ	Measured at BT tower <sup>1</sup>	41000	75 <b>(CO<sub>2</sub>e 1875)</b>	156	0.36 (CO <sub>2</sub> e 107)
	Westminster (LAEI) <sup>2</sup>	46000	34	145	0.42
	London aircraft measurements (July 2012) <sup>3</sup>	29000	66	106	
London (Autumn 2007 & 2008) <sup>4</sup>				150 to 220	
	mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	CH <sub>4</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O/CO <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O/CH <sub>4</sub>	CO/CO <sub>2</sub>
	BT tower measurements	4.5 10 <sup>-3</sup>	<b>1.1 10</b> <sup>-5</sup>	3.0 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.0 10 <sup>-3</sup>
	LAEI	<b>2.1</b> 10 <sup>-3</sup>	9.2 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.3 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.9 10 <sup>-3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measured 2012 data (February 2014 for N<sub>2</sub>O)



<sup>2</sup> London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory (LAEI), 2012 data
<sup>3</sup>O'Shea et al. (2014), Journal of Geophysical Research
<sup>4</sup>Harrison (2012), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics



# Summary

- Dynamic system exhibiting temporal and spatial patterns.
- Annual budgets for the FCO<sub>2</sub>, FCO & FN<sub>2</sub>O gas in reasonable agreement with atmospheric inventory. Measured FCH<sub>4</sub> is 2x larger than inventory value.
- Atmospheric transport probably contributes to diurnal trends of all gas species. However, agreement between inventory and measured FCO<sub>2</sub>, FCO & FN<sub>2</sub>O suggests that there is no systematic loss of flux (advection, storage).
- Effects of (potentially spurious) diurnal trends minimised by integration over longer time periods (daily and beyond).
- Is atmospheric inventory underestimating a source of CH<sub>4</sub>? Issue with spatial attribution of CH<sub>4</sub> sources?



