An audit of performance in the processing of macro-invertebrate samples in 1992. Forth River Purification Board

R.J.M Gunn, BA J.M. Winder, BSc J.H. Blackburn, BSc J.F. Wright, PhD





INSTITUTE OF FRESHWATER ECOLOGY River Laboratory, East Stoke, Wareham, Dorset BH20 6BB

Tel: 0929 462314 Fax: 0929 462180

An audit of performance in the processing of macro-invertebrate samples in 1992. Forth River Purification Board

R.J.M. Gunn, J.M. Winder, J.H. Blackburn & J.F. Wright

Project leader:

R J M Gunn

Report date:

February 1993

Report to:

Forth River Purification Board

IFE Report Ref:

RL/T04071a1/13

TFS Project No:

T04071a1

This is an unpublished report and should not be cited without permission, which should be sought through the Director of the Institute of Freshwater Ecology in the first instance.

The Institute of Freshwater Ecology is part of the Terrestrial and Freshwater Sciences Directorate of the Natural Environment Research Council.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1992 the sampling of aquatic macro-invertebrates for the biological assessment of river quality continued throughout the United Kingdom. This task was undertaken by the National Rivers Authority (NRA) in England and Wales, the River Purification Boards (RPBs) in Scotland and the Industrial Research & Technology Unit (IRTU) in Northern Ireland.

In view of the number of staff involved and the variability of sample processing techniques, it was recognised that an independent quality control exercise was necessary to promote a consistently high level of reliability. The IFE was contracted to undertake an audit of the sample sorting and identification performance of each NRA region, several RPBs and the IRTU. This report presents the results of 31 samples audited for Forth River Purification Board. The IFE was not required to perform any statistical analyses nor interpretation of the results of the audit.

Each organisation employed standard collection procedures, as used in the 1990 River Quality Survey, and the sampling strategy was therefore compatible with RIVPACS (River InVertebrate Prediction And Classification System), which has been developed by the Institute of Freshwater Ecology (IFE).

Samples were sorted by NRA, RPB and IRTU personnel for the families of macro-invertebrates included in the Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) system. Taxa present were recorded on site data sheets. Sample processing and recording techniques varied from region to region.

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

Samples for audit were selected internally by each of the agencies being monitored. The biologists processing these samples had no prior knowledge of the samples to be audited.

The manner of sample selection, which biologists would be monitored and the number of audit samples from each season, were left to the discretion of the agency, within the limits of the total number of samples that IFE was contracted to audit.

3. SAMPLE PROCESSING

The normal protocol for NRA, RPB and IRTU biologists was to sort their samples within the laboratory and to select examples of each scoring taxon within the BMWP system. In most cases, the invertebrates were placed in a vial of preservative (4% formaldehyde solution or 70% industrial alcohol) and the BMWP taxa were listed on a data sheet. The vial of animals and the sorted material were then returned to the sample container and preservative added. Thus, each sample available to IFE for audit should have included:

- i) a list of the BMWP families found in the sample
- ii) a vial containing representatives from each family
- iii) the preserved sample

When these three elements were present, the sequence of operations at IFE was as follows:

- a) The remainder of the sample was sorted and the BMWP families listed
- b) The families contained within the vial were identified and listed
- c) A comparison was made between the RPB listing of families and those identified from the vial by IFE
- d) A comparison was made between the RPB listing of families and those found in the sample by IFE
- e) "Losses" or "gains" from the RPB listing of families were noted. In the case of "gains", each additional family was identified, where possible, to species level, in order to clarify any specific repetitive errors.

For a number of different reasons, some samples did not include a vial containing representative examples of the families listed on the data sheet. Others arrived with the vial damaged in transit such that the representative examples were no longer separated. For these samples, only operations a), d) and e) above were appropriate.

Several directives were issued to IFE relating to the treatment of BMWP taxa. Terrestrial representatives of BMWP scoring families, animals deemed to have been dead at the time of sampling, cast insect skins, pupal exuviae, empty mollusc shells and posterior ends of "living" specimens were to be excluded from the listing of families present. Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae, which appear in the BMWP list, were also to be excluded for the purposes of the audit. Trichopteran pupae, although not routinely identified by many biologists, were to be included in the listing of families.

4. REPORTING

The results of each sample audit were recorded on a standard report form (Table 1). For audit samples where a vial of animals was included, the comparison between the RPB listing and the taxa found in the vial by IFE was shown in box A of the report form. Discrepancies could be due to carelessness, misidentifications or errors in completing the RPB data sheet. Families not on the RPB listing but found by IFE in the remainder of the sample were entered in box B of the report form under "additional families". When the families listed as "losses" in section A of the report form were compared with the full list of families recorded in the sample by IFE, some apparent losses from the vial were offset by the presence of those families in the remainder of the sample. These taxa were therefore listed in the "losses" box of section A and the "gains" box of section B and were neither a net loss nor a net gain. In these cases, the families were marked with an asterisk in both boxes. Such errors are noted as "omissions" in Tables 2, 3 and 4 which summarise the results.

Species identifications, state of development (eg adult or larval coleopterans) and the presence of a single representative of a family within the remainder of the sample were recorded in the notes section of the report form. Where the RPB data sheet indicated that a family was noted and released at the site, this was recorded in the notes section but not included as a "loss", even though the family was not found in the vial.

For those samples in which the vial of animals was damaged or missing, box A of the report form was not applicable (N/a). Families not on the list but present in the sample were entered in box B under "additional families" as before. Families recorded on the list but not found by IFE were indicated on the left hand side of box B. If the vial of animals was retained by the sorter, entries in this box could include the sole representative of a family which was removed, a family seen at the site which escaped or was released (without mention being made on the data sheet), inaccurate identification, the wrong family box being ticked on the data sheet or the family being present in the sample but missed by IFE.

Results of the audits of individual samples are presented in the Appendix.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Kay Symes for help with production of results and to Valerie Palmer for typing the manuscript.

TABLE 1. The IFE Report form

1992 RIVER QUALITY SURVEY AQC - BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES REGION RIVER DATE SITE SORTER SAMPLE CODE AQC OF BMVP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL B. IN SAMPLE BMWP FAMILIES NOT ADDITIONAL FAMILIES VIAL FOUND BY IFE FOUND BY IFE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE В BMWP FAMILIES NOT ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE SAMPLE Differences between: (This box only completed i) BMWP families listed when no vial is on sample data sheet supplied with sample) and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE NET LOSSES NET GAINS NOTES:

TABLE 2. The 10 spring samples audited for Forth RPB.

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Allan Water	Bridge of Allan	MP	0	2	0
Devon	B908 Bridge	MP	0	4	0
Almond	Cowhill	HT	0	0	0
South Esk	d/s Gore Water	SdP	0	2	0
Almond	Blackburn	HT	0	0	0
Devon	Dollarfield	MP	0	2	0
Dalhousie Burn	u/s Lothian Bridge	SdP	0	1	0
Teith	Torrie Cottages	KM	0	0	0
Killandean Burn	Almond confluence	KM	. 0	1	0
Almond	d/s Livingstone Village	KM	0	0	0

TABLE 3. The 6 summer samples audited for Forth RPB.

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Almond	Birdsmill	НТ	0	0	0
Leven	Balfour Bridge	PW	0	2	1
Avon	Slamannan Bridge	HT	1	5	0
Teith	Bridge of Teith	PW	0	2	0
Leven	d/s National Steel Foundry	PW	0	2	0
Water of Leith	Currie	SdP	.0	1	0

TABLE 4. The 15 autumn samples audited for Forth RPB.

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Killandean Burn	u/s Almond confluence	HT	0	0	0
Water of Leith	Murrayfield	SdP	0	1	0
Dreel Burn	Anstruther	IF	0	1	0
Tiel Burn	A92 Bridge	IF	0	6	0
Biel Water	Biel Mill	SdP	0	6	0
Middleton South Burn	Borthwick	SdP	0	. 1	0
Water of Chon	Loch Dhu outlet	PW	0	3	0
Leven	Balfour Bridge	IF	0	3	0
Eas Gobhain	Garchonzie Bridge	PW	0	2	0
Almond	Kirkliston	HT	0	0	0
Keithing Burn	Pargillis Bridge	IF	0	3	. 0
Cocklemill Burn	A917 Bridge	IF	0	0	0
Bannock Burn	Bannockburn	PW	0	2	0
Leven	d/s National Steel Foundry	ΙF	0	1	0
Forth	Cobleland	PW	0	4	0

APPENDIX

Results of individual sample audits

REG	HON Forth RPB	RIVER Allan V	Vater
D	ATE 20.5.92	SITE Bridge	of Allan
SOR	TER MP	SAMPLE CODE	
AQC O	OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN SAM	APLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	•	1 Hydrobiidae 2 Leptoceridae
ì	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 2
	1 Potamopyrgus jenkinsi 2 Ceraclea dissimilis	1 only	

REC	GION Forth RPB	RIVER	Devon			
Ē	DATE 20.5.92] site [B908 B1	ridge		
SOF	RTER MP	SAMPLE CODE				
AQC (AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None		
В	SAMPLE Differences between:	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE		ADDITIONAL FAMILIES 1 Hydrobiidae 2 Caenidae		
	i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	when no vial is		3 Leuctridae 4 Lepidostomatidae		
1	NOTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 4		
	1 Potamopyrgus jenkinsi 2 Caenis rivulorum 1 on 3 Leuctra fusca 4 Lepidostoma hirtum 1 o					

REGIO	N Forth RPB	RIVER A	lmond			
DAT	E 9.4.92	SITE C	owhill			
SORTE	R HT	SAMPLE CODE				
AQC OF 1	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i	ifferences between:) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i	SAMPLE ifferences between:) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only comp when no vial is				
NOT	ES:	NET LOSSES	0 NET GAINS 0			

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	South I	Esk
DATE	9.4.92	SITE	d/s Go	re Water
SORTER	SdP	SAMPLE CODE		
QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) ii)	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i)	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		_	1 Planariidaè 2 Limnephilidae
NOTES	5:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
	Polycelis felina 1 on Potamophylax sp. 1 on		only)	

REG	ION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Almond		
D.	ATE	9.4.92	SITE	Blackbu	urn	
SOR	TER	НТ	SAMPLE CODE			
AQC O	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES N FOUND BY IFE	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
	i) H	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None	
В			BMWP FAMILIES N FOUND BY IFE	от	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
	i)] ii)]	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only c	_	None	
N	OTES		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0	

REG1	ION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Devon	
DA	ATE	20.5.92	SITE	Dollari	field
SOR	ΓER	MP	SAMPLE CODE		
AQC OI	F BMV	WP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL +	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) I (ii) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		,	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	ОТ	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) 1 ii) 1	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			1 Perlidae 2 Dytiscidae
N ₀	OTES	:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
		inocras cephalotes 1 reodytes sanmarkii (a			

REG	ION Forth RPB	RIVER	Dalhous	sie Burn		
D	ATE 9.4.92	SITE	u/s Lot	thian Bridge		
SOR	TER SdP	SAMPLE CODE				
AQC O	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES N	or	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only c		1 Asellidae		
Ŋ	IOTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1		
	1 Asellus aquaticus					

REGI	ON Forth RPB	RIVER	Teith	
DA	TE 21.5.92	SITE	Torrie	Cottages
SORT	TER KM	SAMPLE CODE		
AQC OF	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL +	B. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	·	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		_	None
NC	OTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Killand	dean Burn
DATE	9.4.92	SITE	Almond	Confluence
SORTER	KM	SAMPLE CODE		
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	[AL +	B. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) 1 ii) 1	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES N	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) ; ii) ;	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		_	1 Perlodidae
NOTES	:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1
1 1	soperla grammatica 1	only		

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Almond
DATE	9.4.92	SITE	d/s Livingstone Village
SORTER	КМ	SAMPLE CODE	
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	AL + B.	IN SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) 1 (ii) 1	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) 1 (ii) 1	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		
NOTES	;	NET LOSSES	0 NET GAINS 0

REC	GION Forth RPB	RIVER Almon	nd
]	DATE 9.7.92	SITE Birds	smill
SOI	RTER HT	SAMPLE CODE 16/10	0/13.83
AQC (OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN S	SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В	DAMPI E	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		None
Ŋ	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0
	•		

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Leven	
DATE	26.8.92	SITE	Balfou	r Bridge
SORTER	PW	SAMPLE CODE	2/10/8	
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + E	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) F	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	1 Chironomidae*		None
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	ΥT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) E	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		_	2 Hydrobiidae 3 Hydroptilidae 4 Chironomidae*
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
3 ну	otamopyrgus jenkinsi ydroptila sp. rthocladiinae	1 only		

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER Avo	n
DATE	15.7.92	SITE Sla	mannan Bridge
SORTER	НТ	SAMPLE CODE 14/	10/33.38
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B. IN	SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	1 Nemouridae	2 Taeniopterygidae
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) E	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		5 Hydrophilidae
NOTES:	:	NET LOSSES 1	NET GAINS 5
3 Pi 4 Ca 5 Ir	aeniopteryx nebulosa isidium sp 1 only aenis rivulorum ndet Hydrophilid (lar nyacophila dorsalis (

REG	ION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Teith	
D	ATE	4.8.92	SITE	Bridge	of Teith, Doune
SOR'	TER	PW	SAMPLE CODE	9/10/8	
AQC OI	F BMW	P FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL +	B. IN SAI	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	OT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E o ii) E	Terences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found n VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO	OT .	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) B o ii) B	SAMPLE erences between: MWP families listed on sample data sheet and MWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE			1 Hydrophilidae 2 Sericostomatidae
NO	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
		mnebius truncatellus ricostoma personatum			

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER Leven	
DATE	26.8.92	SITE d/s Na	ational Steel Foundry
SORTER	PW	SAMPLE CODE 2/10/	1.6
AQC OF BM	MWP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B. IN SA	AMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i)	fferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	1 Valvatidae
i)	SAMPLE fferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found		ADDITIONAL FAMILIES 2 Hydroptilidae
	in SAMPLE by IFE		
NOTES	5:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 2
	Valvata piscinalis Hydroptila sp. 1 only		
of "Va	79 suggests that only	lae entered on data sheet one of these taxa is in is not underlined, this	cluded. Since

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Water	of Leith
DATE	14.7.92	SITE	Currie	
SORTER	SdP	SAMPLE CODE	18/10/	19.55
AQC OF BMW	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + I	3. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) B	Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found n VIAL by IFE	None		None
i) B	MWP families listed		mpleted	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES 1 Ancylidae
ii) B	n sample data sheet and MWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE	supplied with sa	mple)	
NOTES:		NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 1
1 An	cylus fluviatilis 1	only		
	:			

REC	GION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Killan	dean Burn
Ι	DATE	21.10.92	SITE	u/s Al	mond confluence
SOF	RTER	НТ	SAMPLE CODE [16/220	/24.42
AQC (OF BMW	VP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B	B. IN SA	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E ii) B	Terences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	None	• , , . ,	None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) B o ii) B	SAMPLE Ferences between: MWP families listed on sample data sheet and MWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE		_	None
N I	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0
i de la constante de la consta					

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Water o	of Leith
DATE	13.11.92	SITE	Murrayi	Sield
SORTE	SdP	SAMPLE CODE	18/10/8	3.75
AQC OF E	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + E	3. IN SAM	IPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i)	fferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В	·	BMWP FAMILIES NO	Τ	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i)	SAMPLE fferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		·	1 Planorbidae
NOTE	S:	NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 1
1	Armiger crista 1 only			

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Oreel Burn
DATE	15.9.92	SITE A	Anstruther
SORTER	IF	SAMPLE CODE 1	/30/0.6
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B.	IN SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) F	SAMPLE Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only composite when no vial is	
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	O NET GAINS 1
F	olycelis nigra/tenuis	1 only	

REGIO	ON Forth RPB	RIVER	Tiel Burn
DAT	TE 25.11.92	SITE	A92 Bridge
SORTI	ER IF	SAMPLE CODE	
AQC OF	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B.	IN SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
j	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	1 Erpobdellidae
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
j	Differences between: a) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and b) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		4 Perlodidae
LON	ES:	NET LOSSES	0 NET GAINS 6
2 3 4 5	Erpobdella octoculata Armiger crista 1 only Paraleptophlebia sp. 1 Isoperla grammatica Tinodes waeneri 1 only Odontocerum albicorne	•	,

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Biel Wa	ater
DATE	14.10.92	SITE	Biel M	i11
SORTER	SdP	SAMPLE CODE	23/230,	/5.3
AQC OF BN	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL +	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i)	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i)	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		1 Planariidae 2 Sphaeriidae 3 Nemouridae 4 Perlodidae 5 Goeridae 6 Simuliidae
NOTES	:	NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 6
2 P 3 A 4 I 5 S	olycelis felina 1 onlisidium sp. 1 only mphinemura sulcicolli soperla sp. (juvenilė ilo pallipes imulium ornatum group	s, Protonemura me	yeri	-

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Middle	ton South Burn
DATE	24.11.92	SITE	Borthw	ick
SORTER	SdP	SAMPLE CODE [20/400,	/25.96
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B	. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i)	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO	Т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) i	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			1 Hydrobiidae
NOTES	•	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1
1 P	otamopyrgus jenkinsi	1 only		

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Water	of Chon
DATE	10.11.92	SITE	Loch D	hu outlet
SORTER	PW	SAMPLE CODE	10/530	/65.12
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + F	3. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		1 Sericostomatidae
i) E	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		mpleted	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES 2 Elmidae 3 Hydroptilidae
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 3
2 Ou	ericostoma personatum ulimnius sp. (larva) xyethira sp.			

RE	GION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Leven	
1	DATE	7.10.92	SITE	Balfou	r Bridge
SOI	RTER	IF	SAMPLE CODE	2/10/8	
AQC (OF BMV	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL +	3. IN SA	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E	Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in VIAL by IFE		,	None
			BMWP FAMILIES NO)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
В	Diff i) E c	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	FOUND BY IFE (This box only co	ompleted	1 Elmidae
N	NOTES:		NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 3
	2 Hy	mis aenea (adults) droptila sp. (pupa) pidostoma hirtum 1 c			

REGI	ON Forth RPB	RIVER	Eas Go	bhain
DA	ATE 27.10.92	SITE	Garcho	nzie Bridge
SORT	PW PW	SAMPLE CODE	9/200/:	24.3
AQC OF	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + E	. IN SAI	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NC FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			1 Planariidae 2 Hydrophilidae
NO.	TES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
	1 Polycelis felina 1 onl 2 Hydraena gracilis (adu			- -

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Almond
DATE	8.10.92	SITE	Kirkliston
SORTER	НТ	SAMPLE CODE	16/10/9.09
AQC OF BMW	VP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B.	IN SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) E	Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) B	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0 NET GAINS 0
		-	

REC	GION Forth RPB	RIVER	Keithin	ng Burn
Ι	DATE 25.11.92	SITE	Pargill	is Bridge
SOF	RTER IF	SAMPLE CODE	3/160/3	.1
AQC (OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	VIAL + B	. IN SAM	PLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families lister on sample data shee and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	t 		1 Perlodidae
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families lister on sample data shee and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			2 Planariidae 3 Hydrobiidae
N	NOTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 3
	1 Isoperla grammatica 2 Crenobia alpina 1 on 3 Potamopyrgus jenkins			

REG	SION	Forth RPB	RIVER Cock	lemill Burn
D	ATE	25.11.92	SITE A917	Bridge
SOR	TER	IF	SAMPLE CODE 1/90/	/4.3
AQC O	F BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B. IN S	SAMPLE +
Á		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
-	i) E	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only complete when no vial is supplied with sample)	None d
N	OTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0
	,			
			•	
- 1				

REGION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Bannoc	k Burn
DATE	21.10.92	SITE	Bannoc	kburn
SORTER	PW	SAMPLE CODE	11/80/	7.3
AQC OF BM	NVP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B	. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i)	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE			None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) ii)	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co when no vial is	_	1 Planariidae 2 Hydrobiidae
NOTES	:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
	olycelis felina, P.ni otamopyrgus jenkinsi			

REC	GION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Leven	
I	DATE	7.10.92	SITE	d/s Na	tional Steel Foundry
SOI	RTER	IF	SAMPLE CODE	2/10/1	.6
AQC (OF BMV	WP FAMILIES A. IN VI	[AL +	3. IN SA	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E	Terences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO)Tr	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E c ii) E	SAMPLE Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	FOUND BY IFE (This box only cowhen no vial is	ompleted	1 Leptoceridae
N	OTES:		NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 1
	1 At	hripsodes cinereus			

REG	ION	Forth RPB	RIVER	Forth	
D	ATE	10.11.92	SITE	Coblela	and
SOR	TER	PW	SAMPLE CODE	10/10/	51.2
AQC O	F BMV	VP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL +	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC.	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E	Terences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE			1 Erpobdellidae 2 Gammaridae 3 Sialidae 4 Chironomidae
No	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 4
- the state of the	2 Ga 3 Si	det Erpobdellids (ju mmarus pulex 1 only alis fuliginosa 1 on thocladiinae 1 only			

