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Abstract

The response to CO$_2$ exposure of a variety of carbonate cemented rocks has been investigated using pressurised batch experiments conducted under simulated reservoir conditions, 70°C and 20 MPa, and with a duration of up to 14 months. Calcite, dolomite, ankerite and siderite cement were present in the unreacted reservoir rocks and caprocks.

Core plugs of the reservoir rocks were used in order to investigate the alterations in situ. Crushing of the caprock samples was necessary to maximise reactions within the relatively short duration of the laboratory experiments.

Synthetic brines were constructed for each batch experiment to match the specific formation water composition known from the reservoir and caprock formations in each well. Chemical matched synthetic brines proved crucial in order to avoid reactions due to non-equilibria of the fluids with the rock samples, for example observations of the dissolution of anhydrite, which were not associated with the CO$_2$ injection, but rather caused by mismatched brines.

Carbonate dissolution as a response to CO$_2$ injection was confirmed in all batch experiments by both petrographical observations and geochemical changes in the brines. Increased Ca and Mg concentrations after 1 month reaction with CO$_2$ and crushed caprocks are ascribed to calcite and dolomite dissolution, respectively, though not verified petrographically. Ankerite and possible siderite dissolution in the sandstone plugs are observed petrographically after 7 months reaction with CO$_2$; and are accompanied by increased Fe and Mn contents in the reacted fluids. Clear evidence for calcite dissolution in sandstone plugs is observed petrographically after 14 months of reaction with CO$_2$, and is associated with increased amounts of Ca (and Mg) in the reacted fluid. Dolomite in sandstones shows only minor dissolution features, which are not clearly supported by increased Mg content in the reacted fluid.

Silicate dissolution cannot be demonstrated, either by chemical changes in the fluids, as Si and Al concentrations remain below the analytical detection limits, nor by petrographical changes, as partly dissolved feldspar grains and authigenic analcime are present in the sediments prior to the experiments. It is noteworthy, that authigenic K-feldspar and authigenic albite in sandstones show no signs of dissolution and consequently seem to be stable under the experimental conditions.
1. Introduction

The concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere has increased rapidly in the last 50 years and irreversible climate changes are predicted if the atmospheric carbon dioxide content continues to increase (Ketzer et al. 2009). Subsurface geological storage of CO₂ has been suggested as a possible method for the reduction of CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere. Some geological factors, though, need to be satisfied. Preferably, the geological structure should be closed, the overlying cap rock must hinder vertical migration of CO₂ (Chadwick et al. 2009) and the CO₂–rock interactions within the reservoir rock must not degrade its quality substantially. In Denmark several reservoir rocks exist with potential to be used for CO₂ storage, but none of these reservoir rocks nor their overlying cap rock have been tested experimentally. In order to address this, the most obvious reservoir rocks with different mineralogical compositions (Bunter Sandstone Formation, Skagerrak Formation, Gassum Formation and Halder Sand Formation) and their overlying caprocks (Fjerritslev Formation and Børgelum Formation) have been chosen for investigation of their chemical stability when exposed to CO₂ saturated fluids.

Natural analogue studies and field pilot studies are the most realistic ways of testing the effects of CO₂ storage. However, before field tests can be considered, a reasonable reservoir capability and caprock integrity must be demonstrated. Laboratory experiments supplemented with geochemical modelling are recommended ways of initial assessment (Chadwick et al. 2009). Though codes and databases used for modelling have been refined (e.g. Xu et al. 2004; Ketzer et al. 2009), models still have to be verified experimentally. Laboratory experiments are set up to mimic reservoir conditions, and many experiments have been conducted at or near reservoir pressures and temperatures (e.g. Pearce et al. 1996; Shiraki and Dunn 2000; Bertier et al. 2006; Wigand et al. 2008; Ketzer et al. 2009). Siliciclastic sediments experimentally exposed to CO₂ commonly show the dissolution of carbonate cement and feldspar grains (e.g. Pearce et al. 1996; Shiraki and Dunn 2000; Bertier et al. 2006; Wigand et al. 2009; Ketzer et al. 2009), and feldspar dissolution is supported by observations from natural analogues (e.g. Stevens et al. 2001; Baines and Worden 2004). Clay mineral and carbonate precipitation is documented in some experiments and natural analogues (e.g. Pearce et al. 1996; Shiraki and Dunn 2000; Stevens et al. 2001; Baines and Worden 2004; Bertier et al. 2006; Ketzer et al. 2009).

Together with the temperature and pressure, the ionic strength of the fluid can influence the solubility of CO₂ in water as well as mineral dissolution / precipitation rates (e.g. Weiss 1974; Duan and Sun 2003; Allan et al. 2011), and
therefore careful decisions regarding the fluid composition is important for laboratory experiments. Though ionic strength is considered, composition of the formation water has achieved less attention and is to some degree disregarded. Saline brines with different concentrations (c. 10 – 200 g/L total dissolved solids) of NaCl (Pearce et al. 1996; Shiraki and Dunn 2000; Wigand et al. 2008) or Na(Ca, Mg, K)Cl (Shiraki and Dunn 2000; Bertier et al. 2006; Ketzer et al. 2009) have been studied experimentally. Despite the findings of Shiraki and Dunn (2000) that anhydrite dissolution occurred only in brine under-saturated with SO$_4^{2-}$, and not in brines containing SO$_4^{2-}$, most experiments are performed with simple saline brines of Na(Ca, Mg, K)Cl. In the present experiments synthetic formation brines were used with compositions selected to resemble the in situ formation water for each sample (compare Laier 2008). Parallel N$_2$ experiments were run in order to register mismatched brines and reactions associated with the experimental set up rather than CO$_2$ exposure, for example corrosion of steel vessels (as described by Bertier et al. 2006). Plugs of the reservoir rocks were used instead of the usual crushed samples in order to enable/facilitate identification of in situ alteration phenomena. By taking these precautions we were able to distinguish artefacts related to the experimental setup (or sampling) from actual mineral reaction caused by rock interactions with CO$_2$. The purpose of this paper is primarily to investigate the mineral reactions taking place during the CO$_2$ experiments with duration of up to 14 months; and secondarily to identify the possible pitfalls when dealing with laboratory experiments.
2. Geological background and sediment mineralogy/petrography

The Danish part of the Norwegian-Danish Basin was formed in Late Carboniferous-Early Permian period by crustal stretching of the area between the stable Fennoscadian Shield and the Ringkøbing-Fyn High consisting of shallow basement highs (Fig. 1). The extension phase was followed by regional subsidence governed by thermal cooling during the Mesozoic. In all, 5-9 km of sandstones, mudstones, evaporates and carbonates were deposited in a wide range of environments (Bertelsen 1980; Nielsen and Japsen 1991; Michelsen et al. 2003). Reservoirs potentially suitable for CO$_2$ storage are found at several stratigraphic levels. The most important among these are the Lower Triassic Bunter Sandstone Formation, the Triassic Skagerrak Formation, the Upper Triassic-lowermost Jurassic Gassum Formation and the Middle Jurassic Haldager Sand Formation (Fig. 1; Frykman et al. 2009; Mathiesen et al. 2010).

Bunter Sandstone Formation and Skagerrak Formation

The Bunter Sandstone Formation, with up to four regional sandstone units of fluvial and aeolian origin, and interbedded with thick mudstones, is present onshore Denmark in the North German Basin south of the Ringkøbing-Fyn High (Bertelsen 1980; Michelsen and Clausen 2002). Closer towards the northern and eastern margin of the Danish Basin, the well-sorted Bunter Sandstone Formation passes into the poorly-moderately sorted sandstones and mudstones of the Skagerrak Formation (Fig. 1B), which encompasses an up to 2–3 km thick, heterogeneous basin margin succession formed during the Early–Late Triassic (Bertelsen 1980; Nielsen 2003). Both the Bunter Sandstone Formation and the Skagerrak Formation contain mudstones that may act as internal caprocks for the interbedded sandstones, although their sealing capacity has not yet been fully investigated.

The sandstones of the Bunter Sandstone Formation consist mainly of arkoses and subarkoses (Fig. 2; Weibel and Friis 2004). K-feldspar is more common than plagioclase, which is typically more intensively dissolved. Some samples contain numerous ooids; carbonate clasts or clay intraclasts – formed as “rip-up” clasts. The volumetrically important authigenic phases in the Bunter Sandstone Formation are carbonates (calcite and dolomite), anhydrite and clay minerals (illite, chlorite, mixed-layer illite/smectite, mixed-layer smectite/chlorite). Dolomite, calcite and anhydrite may occur as
pore-filling cement. Authigenic calcite also occurs as overgrowths on ooids or other carbonate rock fragments and
dolomite occurs as rhombohedral-shaped single crystals commonly in clay intraclasts. Analcime is present in most
samples and occurs as perfect, outer crystal shapes, whereas the internal parts frequently have irregular dissolution
voids. Common subordinate authigenic minerals are feldspar overgrowths, quartz overgrowths, barite, red coatings of
primarily hematite, anatase and other authigenic opaque minerals (Weibel and Friis, 2004).

The sandstones and conglomerates of the Skagerrak Formation are arkoses, lithic arkoses and subarkoses (Fig. 2;
Weibel 1998). The feldspar group is completely dominated by K-feldspar, though rare plagioclase grains have been
identified. Rock fragments are mainly igneous and rarely metamorphic, and in specific geographic areas volcanic rock
fragments are common. Clay intraclasts, which probably are rip-up clasts from overbank deposits, occur in high
abundance in few samples. Mica occurs in small amounts in most samples. Transparent and opaque heavy minerals are
common accessory minerals. The alteration of opaque minerals includes, amongst others, leucoxene replacement of
ilmenite and hematization of magnetite, (Weibel 1999; Weibel and Friis 2007). The dominating porosity reducing
cements in the Skagerrak Formation are carbonates and clays. The clays are dominated by smectite in the shallow wells,
whereas mixed-layer illite/smectite and illite becomes more abundant with increased burial depth (Weibel 1999). The
carbonate cement typically consists of dolomite, which occurs as rhombohedral-shaped crystals or as a pore filling
cement, commonly replacing other mineral phases. Occasionally, the pore filling carbonate cement is calcite or ankerite
cement instead of dolomite. The red colourations of the shallow buried sandstones (< 2100 m) originate from goethite
needles, which are pseudomorphously transformed into hematite needles in the deeper buried parts (>2700 m) (Weibel
1999; Weibel and Groberty 1999). Of minor volumetrically importance are quartz overgrowths, feldspar overgrowths
and anatase. Anhydrite is a rare late authigenic phase and corrosive to all other mineral phases.

Gassum Formation

The Gassum Formation is present in most of the Danish area as a 50–300 m thick succession of fluvial and marine
sandstones interbedded with marine, lagoonal and lacustrine mudstones reflecting repeated fluctuations of sea-level
during deposition (Nielsen 2003). The formation is overlain by thick marine mudstones of the Fjerritslev Formation
with large lateral continuity forming a highly competent caprock unit. This probably makes the Gassum Formation one
of the most promising reservoirs for CO₂ storage in the Danish subsurface.
The sandstones of the Gassum Formation are mainly subarkoses and arkoses (Fig. 2; Friis 1987). The feldspar abundance varies across the basin being relatively more abundant in the north-western part than in the eastern part. K-feldspar is more common than albite and Ca-rich plagioclase has not been observed. Alteration of feldspar grains includes partly dissolution, replacement by kaolinite or carbonate, and incipient albitisation at deep burial. Mica, present in all samples, shows varying degrees of alteration from expansion along cleavage planes caused by precipitation of authigenic minerals to compaction along stylolites in the deepest buried parts of the Gassum Formation. Transparent and opaque heavy minerals occur as accessory minerals. The transparent heavy minerals are rutile, zircon and tourmaline, and the opaque minerals are dominated by leucoxene altered ilmenite with adjacent pyrite precipitation (Weibel and Friis 2007). The porosity reduction is mainly due to compaction at burial depths down to 1500 m (Friis 1987) and minor changes in the porosity are mainly the result of limited amounts of authigenic phases as siderite, pyrite and calcite besides generation of secondary porosity by feldspar dissolution. At burial depths below 1500 m, the porosity reduction is caused in particular by quartz diagenesis and locally by ankerite cement and kaolinite precipitation. Volumetrically, minor authigenic phases include illite, chlorite and albite.

Haldager Sand Formation

The Haldager Sand Formation, dominated by marine and fluvial sandstones, is mainly present in the fault-bounded Sorgenfrei-Tornquist Zone where it locally attains up to 200 m in thickness; outside this zone, it wedges out rapidly toward southwest and northeast (Nielsen 2003). The Haldager Sand Formation is overlain by interbedded marine sandstones and mudstones of the Flyvbjerg Formation and marine mudstones of the Børglum Formation. Toward southwest and west, the Flyvbjerg Formation wedges out and the Haldager Sand Formation is in places directly overlain by marine mudstones of the Børglum Formation, which has a large caprock potential.

The sandstones of the Haldager Sand Formation are mainly quartz arenites with subordinate subarkoses (Fig. 2). Quartz dominates the framework grains. K-feldspar is more common than plagioclase, the latter being extremely rare in the deepest wells. Rock fragments are of plutonic, metamorphic or sedimentary origin. Organic matter is abundant in some samples, and occasional root or soil horizons have been reported (Nielsen and Friis 1984; Nielsen 2003). Mica is commonly concentrated in the same samples as the organic matter. Completely kaolinised mica is found in most samples of the Haldager Sand Formation. The authigenic phases is similar to those of the Gassum Formation, however
their abundance is typically lower. Early quartz and kaolinite occur randomly distributed in the paralic sandstones, whereas calcite cement may be important in the marine deposits (Nielsen and Friis 1984). Siderite, anatase, pyrite and alteration products of pyrite like iron-oxide/hydroxides and jarosite are common minor authigenic phases.

**Fjerritslev Formation**

Fjerritslev Formation is an Early Jurassic thick (up to at least 900 m) relatively uniform succession of marine claystones with varying content of silt and sandstone laminae (Pedersen 1986; Michelsen et al. 2003; Nielsen 2003). Depositional environment vary from deep offshore central in the Danish Basin to lower shoreface in the Fennoscandian Border zone (Pedersen 1985; Michelsen et al 2003; Nielsen 2003). The Fjerritslev Formation is dominated by quartz and relatively high amounts of illite and kaolinite, moderate amounts of siderite, minor amounts of calcite, dolomite, chlorite and K-feldspar (Table 2).

**Børglum Formation**

Børglum Formation is an Upper Jurassic offshore claystone with varying amounts of silt (Michelsen et al. 2003). Thicknesses up to 300 m occur in the centre of the Danish Basin with thinning towards north-east and south-west (Michelsen et al. 2003). The Børglum Formation is characterised by relatively high amounts of illite, kaolinite and quartz, minor amount of chlorite, smectite, plagioclase and K-feldspar and very low contents of ankerite and pyrite (Table 2).
3. Methods

3.1. Batch laboratory experiments

All the experiments were performed as batch experiments, using purpose built stainless steel high-pressure reactors, fitted with PTFE liners (Bateman, and Purser, 2010). The batch vessels were fitted with two ports one to maintain gas pressure during the experiments, and the second port fitted with a PEEK dip tube with a filter polypropylene assembly, to allow samples of the fluid to be taken for chemical analysis. Small plug samples were used for the experiments investigating sandstones. This enabled the observation of alterations occurring within the intact sandstones and the description of how alteration gradually penetrates from the rim towards the centre of the plug. For the caprock experiments, the mudstones were carefully crushed and the 250 – 500 µm size fraction used. The caprock samples were crushed as their low porosities were expected to slow the transport of fluid to the mineral surfaces too much for any significant reaction to occur within the duration of the experiments. A quantitative mineralogical composition of the applied sandstone plugs and a semi-quantitative composition of the mudstone samples are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Sandstone plugs and claystone fragments were cleaned in methanol prior to the experiments in order to remove salts from dried out pore fluid.

Assembly of the batch vessels involved first weighing out the sample (core, or crushed sample) into the PTFE liners, followed by the addition of the synthetic formation water. In the experiments using crushed samples a 4:1 water:rock mass ratio was used. Where intact cores were used, an approximate 4:1 water:rock mass ratio was used. A small magnetic stirrer bead was added, and the liner placed into the appropriate steel vessel. The vessels were then placed on top of magnetic stirrers, within an oven (accurate to better than ± 0.5°C) and connected to the appropriate pressure line. Parallel experiments with an inert gas (N₂) were run simultaneously with the CO₂ experiments in order to provide a ‘base case’ with which to determine which reactions were due to CO₂ reaction and those due to the establishment of fluid/rock equilibrium. The CO₂ and N₂ gases were supplied to the reactors from a pair of ISCO™ syringe pumps running in ‘constant pressure’ mode.

Two batch experiments were conducted for each rock sample, in order to obtain 8 fluid samples taken over a period of 14 months, and to allow the sampling of the reacted solids after both 7 and 14 months reaction. The rock samples were
reacted with an appropriate synthetic formation water, with fluid samples taken during one series of batch experiments after 1, 3, 5 and 7 months. In the other series of batch experiments, fluid samples were taken after 7, 9, 11 and 14 months. On termination of each series of batch experiments, after 7 and 14 months, respectively, the reacted rock samples were recovered from the vessels, and cleaned in isopropyl alcohol, in order to minimise 'salting out' of the brines before being analysed. The experiments were undertaken close to reservoir conditions with a temperature of 70°C and at a pressure of 20 MPa, using synthetic formation water (Table 3). The composition of the synthetic pore fluids was based on chemistry of the formation water either from the same wells as the core material or if not available from a nearby well (Table 3).

3.2. Analytical methods

The experimental fluids sampled from the batch vessels were analysed for major and some minor cations (Si, Al, Fe, Sr, Ba, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cr, Mo, Cd, P, Pb, V, Li, B, As, Se and total S) using inductively coupled plasma - optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), and for major and some minor anions (F⁻, Br⁻, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, HPO₄²⁻) using ion chromatography (IC). SO₄²⁻ was calculated from total S under the assumption that all sulphur occurred as sulphate. Analysis of HCO₃⁻ was performed by titration against sulphuric acid. Measurements of pH were made on cooled, depressurised samples at room temperature, using an Orion® 900A pH meter calibrated using Whatman® NBS traceable buffers at pH 4, 7 and 10.

Petrography was evaluated from transmitted light and reflected light microscopy of polished thin sections. Modal compositions of the sandstones were obtained by point counting minimum 500 points in each thin section, excluding the porosity. The thin sections were etched and stained for K-feldspar. Supplementary studies of crystal morphologies, dissolution features and paragenetic relationships were performed on gold coated rock chips mounted on stubs and on carbon coated thin sections using a Phillips XL 40 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The scanning electron microscope was equipped with secondary electron detector (SE), back-scatter detector (BSE); and with a Thermo Nanotrace 30 mm² detector surface window and a Pioneer Voyager 2.7 10 mm² window Si(Li) detector energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) system. The electron beam was generated by a tungsten filament operating at 17 kV and 50-70 µA. Potential risks during the experiment are leaks, evaporation and drying of the top of the plug as more fluid samples are removed. Therefore, petrographical investigations were preferentially undertaken of the bottom-part,
outermost part of the plugs. Recognition of intensified dissolution on already partly dissolved detrital grains and partly dissolved authigenic phases is somewhat subjective.

Chemical composition of the carbonates was undertaken using a JEOL JXA-8200 Microprobe working at 15kV and 12µA and the smallest possible beam size (5µm) for siderite, ankerite and dolomite, whereas a larger beam size (~ 10 µm) was used when measuring calcite.

Bulk samples for X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) were mounted with random orientation. Samples were scanned on an automated Philips® PW 3710 X-ray diffractometer with automatic divergence slit, using graphite monochromated CuKα radiation. Quantification of major mineral phases based on bulk samples was done by Rietveld analysis of X-ray diffractograms.

The samples were crushed manually and dispersed ultrasonically in distilled water. The fraction >30 µm was removed by sedimentation and the 2-30 µm by centrifugation in a centrifugal particle size analyser using the method described by Slater & Cohen (1962). The suspensions were flocculated in 1 M NaCl and following this excess salt was removed by centrifugation and washing with water and ethanol. Oriented specimens were prepared by pipette of the < 2 µm clay fraction, which were either Mg-saturated, K-saturated, Mg-saturated and ethylene glycolated or K-saturated and heated for an hour at 300°C. The clay specimens were analysed by a Phillips 1050 X-ray diffractometer with pulse-height selection and β-filtered Co-Kα. The discrete minerals were identified from peak positions on the XRD diffractograms.

The porosity and permeability were measured according to the API RP-40 standard (API 1998). Gas permeability was measured at a confining pressure ~ 2.8 MPa (400 psi), and at a mean N₂ gas pressure of ~ 1.5 bar (bar absolute) = 0.15 MPa (permeabilities below 0.05 mD was measured using a bubble flowmeter). He-porosity was measured unconfined.
4. Results

4.1. Fluid chemistry evolution during experiments

The changes in fluid composition during the batch experiments are shown for the chemical parameters expected to vary due to the CO₂ addition (HCO₃⁻, Mn, Ca, Mg, FeTOTAL, SO₄²⁻, K) (Figs 3 and 4).

The significant increase in HCO₃⁻ concentration in CO₂ experiments during the first month was accompanied by an increase in concentration of most major ions, Ca, Mg, Mn, Na K and SO₄²⁻ (assuming that the total sulphur measured by ICP exists as sulphate), in Figs 3 and 4. The concentration of the major ions even increase within the first month for the N₂ batches, which were intended as ‘blank’ control experiments. This illustrates that even though the initial composition of the synthetic pore fluid was carefully designed to represent formation water (‘reservoir estimate’ in Table 3 is indicated as ‘target’ on Figs 3 and 4), some degree of disequilibrium exists at the beginning of the experiments. The reacted fluid composition quickly approaches equilibrium, probably within the first 1000 minutes (e.g. Pokrovsky et al 2009; Schott et al. 2009) and the rapid far-from equilibrium reactions are not represented in the experiments.

Afterwards, slower overall mineral reactions take place as indicated by the less pronounced increase in ion concentrations during the remaining part of the experiments. Si and Al concentrations are not shown as the concentration of these two species remain below detection limits (0.75 mg/l for Si and 0.1 mg/l for Al) in most samples measured. The exception is the samples from the experiments with the Gassum Formation from the Stenlille-18 well.

Discarding the increase in concentration during the first month, the most pronounced changes in reacted fluids in the CO₂ experiments when compared with the N₂ experiments are:

- Increased Ca concentration (Bunter Sandstone and Fjerritslev formations; Figs 3 and 4),
- Increased Mn concentration (Skagerrak, Gassum, Haldager Sand, Børglum and Fjerritslev formations; Figs 3 and 4),
- Increased Fe concentration followed by a drop in Fe concentration (Skagerrak, Gassum, Haldager Sand, Børglum Formations; Figs 3 and 4),
- Increased Mg concentration (Børglum Formation; Fig. 4),
- Increased K concentration (Børglum Formation; Fig. 4).
The most pronounced changes in each experiment are summarised in Table 4. Increased [SO$_4^{2-}$] (measured as total-S) is observed in the N$_2$ experiment of Bunter Sandstone, Gassum and Børglum formations.

A gradual increase in concentration of the expected conservative elements (Br$^-$ and Cl$^-$) may be due to either minor evaporation or other experimental uncertainties. Consequently, the general increase in the major ions during the experiments may in addition to the mineral reactions with CO$_2$, reflect uncertainties that are related to the experimental set-up and/or the measurements of the fluid samples.

4.2. Petrographically observed mineral reaction during experiments

The advantage of applying sandstone plugs in the reservoir rock experiments rather than crushed samples is that it eliminates artefacts caused by the crushing process, so that more definite conclusions on the mineral alterations can be made from the petrographical analyses. Furthermore, the porosity and permeability could be measured both prior to and after the experiments. However, the use of plugs has made it important to concentrate observations close to the rim rather than in the more central parts of the plugs; i.e. as even in the 14 month duration experiments, the reactions cannot be found in the centre of the plugs. The draw-back of using the plugs is that the reactions are much slower compared to crushed samples and that the transport of fresh unreacted brine may be the limiting factor for reaction. However, the identification of mineral alteration by petrographical analysis, in the caprock samples is hampered by the crushing process as well as the fine-grained nature of the caprocks.

The most pronounced mineral alterations in the CO$_2$ experiments, when compared with the N$_2$ experiments, are:

- Dissolution of calcite (Bunter Sandstone Formation; Fig. 5),
- Dissolution of ankerite (Gassum and Skagerrak formations; Fig. 5),
- Dissolution of dolomite (Børglum and Bunter Sandstone formation; Fig. 5),
- Possible dissolution of siderite (Gassum Formation; Fig. 5),
- No identified dissolution features on authigenic albite (Gassum and Skagerrak formations; Fig. 6),
- No identified dissolution features on authigenic K-feldspar (Bunter Sandstone Formation; Fig. 6).

Dissolution of anhydrite (Bunter Sandstone Formation) is equally common in N$_2$ and CO$_2$ experiments.
4.2.1. **Bunter Sandstone Formation**

The plugs of the Bunter Sandstone Formation show incipient corrosion of calcite rhombs after 7 months of CO$_2$ experiment and clear signs of calcite cement dissolution after 14 months of experiments (Figs 5C and 5D). The calcite cement has a low content of trace elements (Table 5). Possible corrosion features on dolomite rhombs appear after 14 months. The Bunter Sandstone Formation contains partly dissolved feldspars and authigenic analcime with internal dissolution voids prior to the experiments. Based solely on the petrographical analysis, it is not possible to verify an increased degree of dissolution of these minerals during the experiment. Authigenic K-feldspar seems to be unaffected in both experiments (Figs 6E and 6F). Dissolution of anhydrite is observed in both the CO$_2$ and the N$_2$ pressurised experiments.

4.2.2. **Skagerrak Formation**

The Skagerrak Formation plugs show clear signs of ankerite dissolution after both 7 and 14 months of CO$_2$ exposure (Figs 5A and 5B). The ankerite cement has a high Ca, Fe, Mg and low Mn content (Table 5). Partly dissolved detrital feldspar grains occur in the Skagerrak Formation, but an increased degree of dissolution of detrital feldspar cannot be confirmed petrographically (Figs 6A and 6B). Authigenic albite shows no trace of corrosion (Figs 6C and 6D).

4.2.3. **Gassum Formation**

The Gassum Formation (Vedsted-1 well) plug shows clear signs of dissolution of ankerite cement after both 7 and 14 months of CO$_2$ exposure. The ankerite cement has a high content of Ca, Fe, Mg and a low content of Mn (Table 5). Siderite rhombs are partly dissolved in both the CO$_2$ and the N$_2$ experiments (Figs 5E and 5F). The siderite dissolution features in the N$_2$ experiments are of a peculiar character, which may be due to problems during thin section preparation. Siderite has typically a low Mn content (Table 5). Partly dissolved detrital K-feldspar and plagioclase are commonly observed both in the unreacted and reacted samples, whereas authigenic albite shows no corrosion features. A small colour change of the plugs is common in most experiments e.g. from whitish grey to yellowish grey. However, the plug from the Gassum Formation in the Vedsted-1 well changes its colour from whitish grey to orange during the CO$_2$ experiment, but not during the N$_2$ experiment.
The experiments with the Gassum Formation sample from the Stenlille-18 well were found to contain infiltrated drilling mud (consisting of smectitic clay, barite and calcite). This complicates the comparison of alterations in the solid rock samples with reacted fluid changes during the experiments. Partial dissolution of both detrital K-feldspar and plagioclase prior to and after the experiments is common. Siderite and calcite rhombs are rare constituents in the Gassum Formation in the Stenlille-18 well, partial dissolution of these due to CO₂ exposure cannot be verified by petrographical analysis. Euhedral pyrite occurs with etched surfaces after 7 months of experiment, whereas changes in the pyrite framboids are difficult to recognise. Gypsum is a common constituent prior to the cleaning in methanol of the plugs; some remnants may have survived.

4.2.4. Haldager Sand Formation

The Haldager Sand Formation contains abundant pyrite framboids with alteration rims of iron-oxide/hydroxides or jarosite. An increased degree of dissolution of pyrite alteration rims seems to occur in the CO₂ experiments and maybe also the N₂ experiments. The mineralogical composition of the dissolved alteration product cannot be verified petrographically; though the liberation of SO₄²⁻ suggests sulphate dissolution (e.g. gypsum, jarosite). Siderite has been observed previously in other samples examined from the Haldager Sand Formation (Weibel et al. 2010), but has not been positively identified in plugs used in this work.

4.2.5. Caprocks

The Fjerritslev Formation sample contains only small amounts of calcite, dolomite and siderite rhombs, though without clear signs of incipient dissolution. Dolomite appears with clear dissolution features after the CO₂ experiments in the Børglum Formation sample (Figs 5G and 5H).
4.3. **Porosity and permeability changes during experiments**

The sandstones porosities are unchanged or higher after the CO\textsubscript{2} experiments when the samples are cleaned in methanol (Fig. 7). Sandstone permeability is also higher after the CO\textsubscript{2} experiments, though it has not been possible to measure permeability for all experimental plugs.
5. Discussion

The parallel CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} experiments have ensured that identification of mineral changes related to mineral interaction with CO\textsubscript{2} can be distinguished from changes occurring due to the experimental setup. This way, we hope to emphasize the conclusions that can be drawn from the experiments as well as to discuss the pitfalls that may accompany experimental results.

5.1. Carbonate reactions

Carbonate dissolution is the most prominent reaction observed in the CO\textsubscript{2} pressurised experiments. Ankerite dissolution (Skagerrak and Gassum formations) is observed petrographically in the CO\textsubscript{2} experiments and is supported by observed increased Fe and Mn concentrations in the reacted fluids (Figs 3,5A and 5B). In addition, the ratio between Fe and Mn in the reacted fluids (Fe:Mn ~ 5:1) compares reasonably well to the measured composition of ankerite in the Gassum and Skagerrak formations (Table 5). The changes in aqueous Ca and Mg concentrations, due to ankerite dissolution, are too low to be detected given the relatively high initial content of these two ions in the initial fluids. Thus, using the ankerite composition of Table 5 and the observed Fe concentration of 3 mmol/L in the CO\textsubscript{2} batch from the Gassum experiment, one would expect an increase in Ca concentration of approximately 10 mmol/L which is less than 2% of the initial Ca concentration, and as such is lower than the 5% uncertainty related to the analytical measurement. Siderite (Gassum Formation) appears with two different types of dissolution features in the CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} experiments, that may have different origins, i.e. mineral reaction with CO\textsubscript{2} and/or sample preparation (Figs 5E and 5F). Siderite is subordinate to ankerite and therefore its dissolution does not affect the reacted fluid Fe:Mn ratio considerably (Fig. 3). Calcite dissolution (Bunter Sandstone Formation and Fjerritslev Formation) during CO\textsubscript{2} experiments is petrographically documented (Bunter Sandstone Formation) and supported by increased amounts of Ca in the reacted fluids (Figs 3, 5C and 5D). Incipient dissolution of dolomite rhombs (Bunter Sandstone and Børglum formations) could explain corrosion features and liberation of especially Mg and to a smaller extent Fe and Mn in the reacted fluid during the CO\textsubscript{2} experiment (Fig. 3, Table 5).
Based on the petrographical observations, dissolution of siderite was observed in the 7-month duration CO$_2$ experiments (though some minor alteration may also have occurred in the N$_2$ experiments). Intense dissolution of ankerite occurred over both 7- and 14-months of CO$_2$ exposure. Incipient calcite dissolution was visible after 7-months of reaction but more intense dissolution features were observed after 14 months of reaction. Incipient dissolution of dolomite could be recognised only after 14 months of experiment. The petrographically based ‘apparent sequence’ of carbonate reaction rates when exposed to CO$_2$, beginning with dissolution of siderite and ankerite, then calcite and ending with dolomite is supported by the results of Bertier et al. (2006), who found that Fe-rich parts of carbonate cement were least stable in CO$_2$ experiments. The lower dissolution rate of dolomite compared to calcite dissolution rates is in agreement with experimental findings both without and with the presence of CO$_2$ (e.g. Chou et al. 1989; Palandri and Kharaka 2004; Pokrovsky et al. 2009; Schott et al. 2009). However, the apparent overall sequence of dissolution rates observed in our experiments is more likely to reflect that the dissolution rate of carbonates in the experiments is limited by diffusion of fresh unreacted brine into the plug rather than the chemical reaction rate at the surface of the minerals. Thus, if only surface reactions were taken into account, dissolution of calcite prior to ankerite and siderite would be expected, as the reaction order for surface-controlled dissolution of divalent metal carbonates is Mg<Fe<Mn<Ca (Pokrovsky and Schott 2002). Similarly, the siderite dissolution rate (R: 1.8 $10^{-11}$ mol/cm$^2$s; Golubev et al. 2009) is in kinetic experiments at 25°C and pH: 4 under the influence of CO$_2$ lower than the rate of dolomite dissolution (R: 3.2 $10^{-10}$ mol/cm$^2$s; Chou et al 1989). Judging from the measured aqueous chemistry, the major dissolution of carbonates takes place within the first month of the experiments (Fig. 3), which is also in good agreement with the reaction rates observed in single mineral dissolution experiments (Golubev et al. 2009). Quantitative estimates on the carbonate dissolution rates in the sediments applied in our study would require more frequently fluid sampling in the early phase of the experiments than has been the case in these experiments.

Carbonate dissolution would expect to result in a minor increase in porosity of the samples as a result of the reaction with CO$_2$ during experiments. The possible porosity change can be calculated from the observed difference in aqueous concentrations between the CO$_2$ experiments and the reference N$_2$ experiments and by assuming that the prominent carbonate dissolution occurring in each formation is that observed from the petrographical studies (cf. Table 4). E.g., the difference in Fe concentration of approximately 1.6 mmol/L between the parallel CO$_2$ and N$_2$ experiments with the Skagerrak Formation can be assumed to stem from the dissolution of ankerite (Table 6). The corresponding change in porosity can be estimated to be in the order of 0.2% (Table 6). In general, the calculated porosity changes that may
occur due to carbonate dissolution are small and range between 0.1-0.7 %. The calculated porosity changes correspond very well with the actually measured porosity changes in samples characterised by ankerite dissolution (Gassum Formation in the Vedsted-1 well and Skagerrak Formations), suggesting that in these samples, the major cause for porosity change may be the dissolution of carbonate. For other samples (Bunter Sandstone Formation, Gassum Formation in the Stenlille-18 well and Haldager Sand Formation), the estimated porosity change from the difference in aqueous chemistry is somewhat less than the measured porosity change, which may be partly due to dissolution of other minerals than carbonate and partly due to disintegration of the rocks and grain loss, making an accurate porosity measurement after experiments difficult. Similarly, the poor condition of samples after experiments hampered permeability measurements of all samples after experiments. However, for samples where permeability could be measured, the general increase in porosity seems to be associated with a corresponding increase in permeability (Fig. 7).

As demonstrated by the petrographical analysis, carbonate dissolution features occur primarily in the outer rim of the sandstone plugs. Dissolved CO₂, assuming the kinetics of CO₂ dissolution is faster than minerals dissolution rates (Allan et al. 2011), penetrates through the pore system in the sandstone plug and dissolution occurs where the fluid is in direct contact with carbonate cement. Complete dissolution does not take place in sandstone plugs over the 14 month-duration of the experiments. Crushing of the samples increases the surface area available for reaction and increases the likeliness of collision between dissolved CO₂ and carbonate minerals. Increased surface area in crushed caprock sample (Børglum Formation) explains the more pronounced dissolution of dolomite compared to the sandstone plug (Bunter Sandstone Formation). Diffusion rates of solutes into and out of the pores in the sandstone plugs limit the extent of the water-rock interaction. The difference between reaction rates in powdered samples, which is usually applied in laboratory experiments, compared with plug samples has important implications for forward modelling. Likely, an even larger difference exists between sandstone plugs exposed to agitated synthetic reacted fluid in batch experiments compared to reservoir sandstones with even more limited formation water convection. Thus, in geological settings the carbonate dissolution is likely to be even slower than observed in these long term (14 month) experiments.
5.2. Silicate reactions

Silicate dissolution cannot be confirmed with any certainty from the experiments. Both Si and Al concentrations in the reacted fluids remain below the analytical detection limits and give no indication of silicate dissolution. Petrographically, it is not possible to verify an increased degree of dissolution of albite (Bunter Sandstone Formation), K-feldspar (Skagerrak and Gassum Formation) and analcime (Bunter Sandstone Formation), but it cannot be excluded. However, authigenic K-feldspar (Bunter Sandstone Formation) and authigenic albite (Skagerrak and Gassum formations) seem to remain unaffected in the CO₂ experiments, and thus we infer this as evidence for a high degree of feldspar stability during experiments. This is different from observations of feldspar dissolution seen in other CO₂ experiments (Pearce et al. 1996; Shiraki and Dunn 2000; Wigand et al. 2008; Ketzer et al. 2009).

Carbonate dissolution rates of ~ 2 x 10⁻¹⁰ mol/cm² s (Jordan and Rammensee, 1998) exceed those of aluminosilicates ~ 10⁻¹⁹ – 10⁻¹⁵ mol/cm² s (Allan et al. 2011). If no carbonate minerals are present to provide pH buffering, aluminosilicates will start to dissolve. Experiments with sandstone of low carbonate content (Haldager Sand Formation) result in lower pH than other experiments, but still the Al and Si concentrations in the reacted fluids remain below the detection limits for the analytical methodology used. Sulphates are possibly dissolved in this experiment and in such a case silicate reactions may be subdued until less stable phases are dissolved. Prolonged CO₂ experiments could have a more clear effect on the silicates, as the use of sandstone plugs as opposed to crushed samples may have retarded the extent of some of the reactions.
5.3. Influence of experimental design on observations

5.3.1. Mineral reactions due to mismatched pore fluid composition

Several experiments conducted to examine the effect of CO$_2$ exposure on reservoir rocks have been performed with a synthetic pore fluid containing only NaCl, or combinations of Na(Ca, Mg, K)Cl (Pearce et al. 1996; Bertier et al. 2006; Wigand et al. 2008) and even de-ionised water (Pearce et al. 1996; Ketzer et al. 2009). Consequently in those experiments, there is a risk of dissolution being caused simply due to the pore fluid being under saturated with respect to the minerals present. The influence of pore fluid composition has been considered only in few experiments (e.g. Shiraki and Dunn 2000). In our experiments, we have aimed at using synthetic brines that were chemically comparable to the natural brines of the various formations.

Dissolution of anhydrite is from a petrographical point of view equally intensive in both the CO$_2$ and the N$_2$ experiments conducted with samples of the Bunter Sandstone Formation. This suggests that the initial sulphate content in the synthetic pore fluids was too low. If the sulphate concentration of the initial fluid had been closer to the natural content, then anhydrite dissolution would probably not have occurred, as documented by Shiraki and Dunn (2000).

Observations of anhydrite dissolution occurring in long-term (15 months) CO$_2$ experiments with solutions consisting of (Na, Mg, Ca, K)Cl (Fischer et al. 2010), de-ionised water, and synthetic seawater (Pearce et al. 1996) may consequently be a result of the under saturation with respect to sulphate.

Pore fluid changes during one N$_2$ pressurised experiments (Gassum Formation in the Stenlille-18 well) are characterised by increased sulphate content, which does not seem to have a straightforward petrographical explanation.

Sulphide/sulphate may originate from fines migration of barite present in the drilling mud, from remnants of gypsum due to insufficient cleaning in methanol or the result of pyrite corrosion. The most likely explanation is dissolution of remnants of gypsum as neither Fe nor Ba accompany the sulphate increase. Incomplete cleaning of caprocks may also result in local occurrences of gypsum (Børglum Formation), which reveals the inherent heterogeneity of the claystones.
5.4.1. Reactions related to the experimental set up

Contamination of the plugs from the Gazsum Formation (Stenille-18 well) with drilling mud may have lead to the presence of highly reactive mineral phases, such as smectite and calcite, as well as barite. Consequently, the presence of Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ concentrations above the detection limits, seen in these experiments may reflect reaction with these contaminant phases.

The decrease in Fe concentration in the last fluid samples taken from the Skagerrak Formation in CO₂ experiments (Fig. 3) may be due to precipitation of iron-oxide/hydroxides during the experiments. The oxidation of iron necessary for the iron-oxide/hydroxide precipitation may be due to a leak in the pressure vessel during the experiment though this has not been verified.
6. Conclusion

A variety of carbonate cements, calcite, ankerite, siderite and dolomite, are represented in the investigated sandstones (Bunter Sandstone, Skagerrak, Gassum and Haldager Sand formations) and two claystones, which are potential caprocks (Børglum and Fjerri tslev formations). Carbonate dissolution is the most prominent response to the presence of CO$_2$ in the experiments conducted at 70°C and 20 MPa with durations up to 14 months. Petrographical investigations indicate that the degree of dissolution varies according to the chemical composition of the carbonate cement. Ankerite cement (Skagerrak and Gassum formations) dissolution is observed petrographically after only 7 months of reaction, and this is supported by changes in the pore fluid chemistry. Possible corrosion of siderite (Gassum Formation) occurs after 14 months of reaction. Clear signs of calcite cement dissolution (Bunter Sandstone Formation) are observed in samples after 14 months reaction, and this is supported by increased Ca concentrations in the reacted fluid. Possible corrosion features on dolomite rhombs (Bunter Sandstone and Børglum formations) are recognised after 14 months of experiments. Dolomite dissolution, in the Børglum Formation is supported by increased Mg concentrations in the synthetic reacted fluids.

Our investigations emphasize the importance of having more than one feature indicating the same process, i.e. dissolution features and increased concentrations of associated elements in the reacted fluid samples. Carbonate dissolution features are accompanied by increased dissolved concentrations of Fe, Mn, Mg and Ca depending on the carbonate chemistry. Silicate dissolution cannot be confirmed in the experiments. Si and Al in the reacted fluids remain below detection limits and consequently fluid chemistry alone does not support silicate dissolution. Silicate dissolution of detrital feldspar grains and authigenic analcime had occurred prior to the experiments, and an increase in dissolution due to the exposure to CO$_2$ can therefore not be verified, nor rejected petrographically. However, authigenic K-feldspar and authigenic albite show no signs of corrosion.

The experiments also demonstrate the importance of using pore fluid with a chemical composition appropriate to the core sample. The larger the gap between the real and synthetic pore fluid compositions, the higher the risk of causing dissolution, which relates solely to the experimental setup rather than reaction with CO$_2$. The use of inert (with N$_2$ gas)
experiments, conducted in this study, running simultaneously with the CO₂ experiments has proven to be valuable for such a comparison.
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Figure captions

Figure 1

Figure 2
Traditional classification of sandstones according to McBride (1963).

Figure 3
Evolution of the fluid chemistry during CO$_2$ experiment and inert experiment with N$_2$ for the reservoir sandstones.

Figure 4
Evolution of the fluid chemistry during CO$_2$ experiment and inert experiment with N$_2$ for the caprocks.

Figure 5
Carbonate dissolution features in reservoir rocks and caprocks in CO$_2$ experiment compared with carbonate appearance in the inert N$_2$ experiment. A and B. Ankerite cement (An) with no dissolution features in N$_2$ experiment (7 months) and with dissolution along crystal faces, after CO$_2$ experiment (7 months), BSC images. Skagerrak Formation in the Vedsted-1 well, 2064.87m. C and D. Calcite cement (Ca) with no signs of dissolution after N$_2$ experiment (14 months) and with clear sign of dissolution after CO$_2$ experiment (14 months), BSC images. Bunter Sandstone Formation in the Tønder-4 well, depth 1663.27m. E and F. Siderite rhombs (Si) with some alteration after N$_2$ experiment (14 months) and with typical dissolution features after CO$_2$ experiment (14 months), BSC images. Gassum Formation in the Vedsted-1 well, depth 2010.1m. G and H. Dolomite (Do) crystals showing no sign of dissolution after N$_2$ experiment (14 months) possible incipient dissolution after CO$_2$ experiment (14 months), SEM images. Børglum Formation in the Haldager-1 well, 1041 m.
**Figure 6**

Silicate appearance after CO$_2$ experiment and after the inert experiment with N$_2$. A and B. Partly dissolved K-feldspar (K-f) with relatively unaffected albite lamellae (Al), prior to experiment and after CO$_2$ experiment (7 months), BSC images. Skagerrak Formation in the Vedsted-1 well, 2064.87m. C and D. Authigenic albite with no sign of dissolution after N$_2$ experiment (14 months) and after CO$_2$ experiment (14 months), SE images. Skagerrak Formation in the Vedsted-1 well, 2064.87m. E and F. K-feldspar overgrowth (K-fo) with no signs of dissolution after N$_2$ experiment (14 months) and after CO$_2$ experiment (14 months), SE images. Bunter Sandstone Formation in the Tønder-4 well, depth 1663.27m.

**Figure 7**

Porosity (A) and permeability (B) prior to experiments versus after experiment values.

**Table 1**

Modal composition obtained by point counting of the applied sandstone plugs, prior to experiments.

**Table 2**

Bulk rock mineral composition of the applied mudstones based on Rietveld analysis of X-ray diffractographs.

**Table 3**

Estimated composition of pore fluids in the Danish reservoir sandstones versus synthetic pore fluids applied in the experiments.

**Table 4**

Overview of prominent mineral and fluid changes during CO$_2$ and N$_2$ batch experiments.
Table 5

Chemical composition of carbonates investigated by microprobe analysis.

Table 6

Porosity changes in CO₂ experiments calculated based on differences in aqueous concentrations between CO₂ and N₂ experiments as well as from He-porosimetry measurements prior to and after experiments. The estimated amount of carbonate dissolved varies between formations and probably reflect that both aqueous concentrations as well as the estimate on the initial carbonate content are connected with some degree of uncertainty.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Bunter Sandstone</th>
<th>Skagerrak</th>
<th>Gassum</th>
<th>Gassum</th>
<th>Haldager Sand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>1663.27</td>
<td>2064.87</td>
<td>2010.12</td>
<td>1663.44</td>
<td>1155.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Tønder-4</td>
<td>Vedsted-1</td>
<td>Vedsted-1</td>
<td>Stenlille-18</td>
<td>Vedsted-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples ID</td>
<td>VET-Sk206487</td>
<td>VE1-Ga201012</td>
<td>ST18-Ga166344</td>
<td>VE1-Ha115550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DETRITAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VE1</th>
<th>Sk206487</th>
<th>VE1</th>
<th>Ga201012</th>
<th>ST18</th>
<th>Ga166344</th>
<th>VE1</th>
<th>Ha115550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-feldspar</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mica</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracalists + allogenic clays</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary rock fragments</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphic rockfrag.</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic rock fragments</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plutonic rockfrag.</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent heavy min.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaque</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUTHIGENIC PHASES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VE1</th>
<th>Sk206487</th>
<th>VE1</th>
<th>Ga201012</th>
<th>ST18</th>
<th>Ga166344</th>
<th>VE1</th>
<th>Ha115550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite / ankerite</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analcime</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red coatings</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatase</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration clay</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smectite</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illitic and chloritic clays</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolin</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>K-feldspar</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Ankerite</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Sörlite</th>
<th>Arroydite</th>
<th>Analcime</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Chlorite</th>
<th>Illite / muscovite</th>
<th>Smectite</th>
<th>Gypsum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Børglum</td>
<td>Haldager-1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fjerritslev</td>
<td>Stenlille-1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that all values < 5 % are liable to high uncertainty. Tr = trace of the mineral.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Bunter Sandstone</th>
<th>Skagerrak</th>
<th>Gassum</th>
<th>Gassum</th>
<th>Haldager Sand</th>
<th>Børglum</th>
<th>Fjerritslev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Tender-4</td>
<td>Vedsted-1</td>
<td>Vedsted-1</td>
<td>Stenlille-18</td>
<td>Vedsted-1</td>
<td>Haldager-1</td>
<td>Stenlille-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formation water</td>
<td>Formation water</td>
<td>Formation water</td>
<td>Formation water</td>
<td>Formation water</td>
<td>Formation water</td>
<td>Formation water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Sample data from</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Sample data from</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Sample data from</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Sample data from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation</td>
<td>Tender-4</td>
<td>Skagerrak</td>
<td>Skagerrak</td>
<td>Gassum</td>
<td>Gassum</td>
<td>Haldager</td>
<td>Fjerritslev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEUS Fluid No.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starting ml</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel No.</td>
<td>BGS035</td>
<td>BGS038</td>
<td>BGS034</td>
<td>BGS031</td>
<td>BGS039</td>
<td>BGS021</td>
<td>BGS024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>OLD</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>OLD</td>
<td>OLD</td>
<td>OLD</td>
<td>OLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺ mg/l</td>
<td>2879</td>
<td>16985</td>
<td>23500</td>
<td>16985</td>
<td>9980</td>
<td>11500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺ mg/l</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>3340</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺ mg/l</td>
<td>100595</td>
<td>52004</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>52004</td>
<td>51202</td>
<td>59000</td>
<td>48035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺ mg/l</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻ mg/l</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻ mg/l</td>
<td>154429</td>
<td>137268</td>
<td>166000</td>
<td>137268</td>
<td>166000</td>
<td>100768</td>
<td>90285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻ mg/l</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br⁻ mg/l</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr mg/l</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fe mg/l</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si⁴⁺ mg/l</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pore fluids 2 and 7 are similar. Bdl = below detection limit. DST#3 = drill stem test
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Reactions due to CO₂ exposure</th>
<th>Reactions in N₂ experiment</th>
<th>Artefacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluid changes</td>
<td>Mineral alterations</td>
<td>Fluid changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunter Sandstone</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>Increase in Ca</td>
<td>Calcite dissolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in Mg?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolomite corrosion possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagerrak</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>Increase in Fe</td>
<td>Ankerite dissolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gassum (Vedsted-1 well)</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻, Increase in Mn</td>
<td>Initial increase in Fe, then drop</td>
<td>Ankerite dissolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gassum (Stenlille-18 well)</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>Increase in Fe</td>
<td>Siderite dissolution?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haldager Sand</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>Increase in Mn</td>
<td>Dissolution of jarosite?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fjerritslev</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>Increase in Mn, Increase in SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>Calcite dissolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Børglum</td>
<td>Increase in HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>Increase in Mg, Increase in Fe</td>
<td>Dolomite dissolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbonate phase</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>FeCO$_3$</th>
<th>CaCO$_3$</th>
<th>MgCO$_3$</th>
<th>MnCO$_3$</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Bunter Sandstone</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>96.78</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>98.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>Bunter Sandstone</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>53.82</td>
<td>37.45</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>93.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>Skagerrak</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>53.32</td>
<td>17.62</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>92.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>Gassum (Vedsted-1 well)</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>54.79</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>93.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>Gassum (Vedsted-1 well)</td>
<td>73.32</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>84.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>Gassum (Stenlille-1 well)</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>84.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation</td>
<td>Carbonate with identified dissolution</td>
<td>Water chemistry indicator</td>
<td>Δ Conc. (mmol/L)</td>
<td>Mineral density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>Mole mass (g/mol)</td>
<td>Δ Vol. (cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunter Sandstone</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagerrak</td>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vedsted-1)</td>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gassum (Stenlille-18)</td>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haldager Sand</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Excess dissolution observed in CO₂ batch reactors (cf. Table 4)
2) Increased concentration in water from CO₂ batch compared to N₂ batch
3) Calculated based on Table 5
4) Calculated porosity change stemming from the estimated dissolved mass/volume of the specific mineral
5) Measured porosity change during experiments – influenced by fines loss during experiments and cleaning
6) Comparably high due to anhydrite dissolution
7) Comparably high due to dissolution and fines migration of drilling mud
Figure 2

Quartz, quartzite and chert

Skagerrak Fm
Bunter Sandstone Fm
Gassum Fm
Haldager Sand Fm
Figure

$N_2$ experiment (14 months)

$CO_2$ experiment (14 months)
Prior to experiment

CO₂ experiment (14 months)
Figure A

Porosity after CO₂ experiment (%) vs Porosity prior to CO₂ experiment (%)

- Bunter Sandstone Fm (Tønder-4)
- Skagerrak Fm (Vedsted-1)
- Gassum Fm (Vedsted-1)
- Gassum Fm (Stenlille-18)
- Haldager Sand Fm (Vedsted-1)

Figure B

Permeability after CO₂ experiment (%) vs Permeability prior to CO₂ experiment (%)

- Log scale for permeability values.