

## Article (refereed) – Published version

---

Jullion, Loïc; Naveira Garabato, Alberto C.; Meredith, Michael P.; Holland, Paul R.; Courtois, Peggy; King, Brian A.. 2013 [Decadal freshening of the Antarctic Bottom Water exported from the Weddell Sea](#). *Journal of Climate*, 26 (20). 8111-8125. [10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00765.1](#)

This version available at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/502594/>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

© Copyright 2013 American Meteorological Society (AMS).  
Permission to use figures, tables, and brief excerpts from this work in scientific and educational works is hereby granted provided that the source is acknowledged. Any use of material in this work that is determined to be “fair use” under Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act September 2010 Page 2 or that satisfies the conditions specified in Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §108, as revised by P.L. 94-553) does not require the AMS’s permission. Republication, systematic reproduction, posting in electronic form, such as on a web site or in a searchable database, or other uses of this material, except as exempted by the above statement, requires written permission or a license from the AMS. Additional details are provided in the AMS Copyright Policy, available on the AMS Web site located at (<http://www.ametsoc.org/>) or from the AMS at 617-227-2425 or [copyrights@ametsoc.org](mailto:copyrights@ametsoc.org).

Contact NOC NORA team at  
[publications@noc.soton.ac.uk](mailto:publications@noc.soton.ac.uk)

# Decadal Freshening of the Antarctic Bottom Water Exported from the Weddell Sea

LOÏC JULLION\* AND ALBERTO C. NAVEIRA GARABATO

*National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom*

MICHAEL P. MEREDITH

*British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, and Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, United Kingdom*

PAUL R. HOLLAND

*British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, United Kingdom*

PEGGY COURTOIS

*National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom*

BRIAN A. KING

*National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom*

(Manuscript received 23 October 2012, in final form 30 April 2013)

## ABSTRACT

Recent decadal changes in Southern Hemisphere climate have driven strong responses from the cryosphere. Concurrently, there has been a marked freshening of the shelf and bottom waters across a wide sector of the Southern Ocean, hypothesized to be caused by accelerated glacial melt in response to a greater flux of warm waters from the Antarctic Circumpolar Current onto the shelves of West Antarctica. However, the circumpolar pattern of changes has been incomplete: no decadal freshening in the deep layers of the Atlantic sector has been observed. In this study, the authors document a significant freshening of the Antarctic Bottom Water exported from the Weddell Sea, which is the source for the abyssal layer of the Atlantic overturning circulation, and trace its possible origin to atmospheric-forced changes in the ice shelves and sea ice on the eastern flank of the Antarctic Peninsula that include an anthropogenic component. These findings suggest that the expansive and relatively cool Weddell gyre does not insulate the bottom water formation regions in the Atlantic sector from the ongoing changes in climatic forcing over the Antarctic region.

## 1. Introduction

Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW), filling the deepest layer of the world's oceans, plays a critical role in the lower limb of the global oceanic overturning circulation and contributes to the regulation of the earth's climate by storing heat, freshwater, and biogeochemical tracers in the abyssal ocean (Orsi et al. 1999). Changes in the

properties of AABW or in the strength of its circulation have the potential to significantly impact the global ocean's energy budget, sea level, and the deep ocean ecosystem (Purkey and Johnson 2010; Church et al. 2011; Sutherland et al. 2012).

The properties of AABW are set by interactions between the ocean, the atmosphere, and the cryosphere in the margins of Antarctica and are very sensitive to the drastic and rapid climate changes occurring in the region (Foster and Carmack 1976; Gill 1973; Orsi et al. 1999; Jacobs 2004; Nicholls et al. 2009). Near the continental slope, warm deep water (WDW) originating in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) penetrates onto the shelf in certain locations, often in modified form. This mixes with cold shelf waters that are made saline by

---

\* Current affiliation: Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Institute, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida.

---

Corresponding author address: Loïc Jullion, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Institute, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306.  
E-mail: l.jullion@fsu.edu




FIG. 1. (left) Map of Drake Passage and SR1b station positions (red). Schematic views of the Weddell gyre (black) and AABW circulation (blue) are shown by the arrows. The southern boundary (SB) of the ACC (Orsi et al. 1995) is marked (green). The black box delineates the area of the map shown in right panel. (right) Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Mosaic of Antarctica image map of the Antarctic Peninsula (corresponding to the black box in left panel) downloaded from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (<http://nsidc.org/data/moa/index.html>). The near-bottom salinity of hydrographic stations in the vicinity of the LIS is shown by the colored circles. CTD data were obtained from the Pangaea website (<http://www.pangaea.de/>) and include stations from the Ice Station Weddell, Winter Weddell Gyre Study, Ice Station Polarstern, and ANT\_X\_7 cruises. The thin white line is the 1000-m isobath and the thick white line delimits the shelf region where shelf waters are saline enough (Gill 1973) to contribute to AABW formation.

brine rejection during sea ice formation, creating dense products that spill off the shelf and entrain further midlayer waters as they descend in plumes (Killworth 1974; Carmack and Foster 1975; Foldvik et al. 2004; Wilchinsky and Feltham 2009). Interaction of shelf waters with the underside of floating ice shelves is also important in setting the properties of some of the shelf waters, with temperatures below the surface freezing point made possible by the pressure at which the interaction occurs (Nicholls et al. 2009).

In the Weddell Sea, the locally formed variety of AABW comprises two water masses, namely Weddell Sea Bottom Water (WSBW) and Weddell Sea Deep Water (WSDW). WSBW, formed primarily in the southern Weddell Sea near the Filchner–Ronne ice shelf (Nicholls et al. 2009), is the coldest and densest of AABW varieties [ $\Theta < -0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $\gamma'' > 28.31 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , where  $\Theta$  is potential temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and  $\gamma''$  is neutral density]. WSBW is too dense to overflow the topographic barriers isolating the Weddell Sea from the lower-latitude Southern Ocean to the north (Fig. 1a). The lighter WSDW ( $0^{\circ} > \Theta > -0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $28.26 < \gamma'' < 28.31 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) is either produced directly by mixing between dense shelf waters and WDW (Foldvik et al. 2004; Nicholls et al. 2009) or indirectly by slow diapycnal upwelling of WSBW within the Weddell gyre. The WSDW formed near the Larsen ice shelves (LIS) in the western Weddell Sea

(Fig. 1b) is generally lighter and fresher than the AABW formed farther south (Fahrbach et al. 1995; Gordon et al. 2001; Huhn et al. 2008; Gordon et al. 2010) and is preferentially exported toward the Scotia Sea through several deep gaps in the South Scotia Ridge (SSR; Naveira Garabato et al. 2002b).

The occurrence of extensive decadal-scale changes in the bottom layer of the ocean has been recently reported. The abyssal layer of the Southern Hemisphere oceans warmed significantly between the 1980s and the 2000s (Johnson and Doney 2006; Johnson et al. 2008a,b; Purkey and Johnson 2010). Purkey and Johnson (2012) argued that this warming was potentially an indication of a slowdown of the lower limb of the meridional overturning circulation (MOC). In the Indian and Pacific Ocean sectors of the Southern Ocean, AABW and its precursor shelf waters have been freshening since the 1960s. In the Ross Sea, the shelf and bottom waters have freshened at rates of  $0.03 \text{ decade}^{-1}$  and  $0.01 \text{ decade}^{-1}$ , respectively, since 1958 (Jacobs and Giulivi 2010). Off Adélie Land, Aoki et al. (2005) and Rintoul (2007) reported an AABW freshening of 0.03 between the 1990s and the mid-2000s. The origin of the freshening trend in the Indo-Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean has been attributed to an accelerated melting of the ice shelves of West Antarctica (Shepherd et al. 2004; Rintoul 2007; Jacobs et al. 2002; Jacobs and Giulivi 2010), where the

proximity of the ACC to the continent allows warm water to flood coastal areas (Thoma et al. 2008; Jacobs et al. 2011).

In the Weddell Sea, however, no evidence of a freshening of the AABW has thus far been found (Fahrbach et al. 2011). Using eight hydrographic sections along the Greenwich meridian between 1984 and 2008, Fahrbach et al. (2011) suggested that the WSDW and WSBW in the central Weddell Sea were dominated by multiannual cycles. This lack of a clear freshening trend has led to the perception of this sector as being largely resilient to the ongoing changes in Southern Hemisphere climate, most likely owing to the region's ice shelves being sheltered from the influence of the ACC by the cyclonic Weddell gyre. Recently, however, model simulations have raised the prospect of potentially rapid changes in the southern Weddell Sea ice shelves in response to atmospheric-driven perturbations in the ocean circulation caused in part by receding sea ice (Hellmer et al. 2012). The realism of the climatic sensitivities of AABW properties apparent in these model results is unclear, but it does call for further detailed understanding of the response of the ocean–ice system in the Atlantic sector to climatic changes in forcing, underpinned by observations.

Here, we provide direct evidence that the AABW exported from the Weddell gyre to the lower limb of the Atlantic MOC has been freshening over the last decade and trace the most likely origin of this freshening to atmospheric-forced ice shelf collapse, deglaciation, and sea ice changes on the eastern side of the Antarctic Peninsula. These findings complete the pattern of circumpolar freshening of dense waters of Antarctic origin, but also suggest that the processes driving the freshening in the Atlantic sector are distinct from those in the Indo-Pacific sector.

## 2. Data

### a. The SR1b repeat hydrographic section

Detection and attribution of decadal change in ocean circulation and climate is a foremost problem in global environmental sciences. In the upper ocean, the advent of an array of profiling floats has revolutionized the ability to quantify and understand such changes (Roemmich et al. 2009), but achieving the same in the deep ocean remains a significant challenge. Nowhere is this more true than in the data-sparse Southern Ocean. In this context, the SR1b repeat hydrographic section in Drake Passage (between South America and the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula, Fig. 1a) represents a unique dataset. It is one of the most frequently occupied continent-to-continent oceanographic transects in the world, with 18 occupations since 1993. There have been only two Antarctic

summer seasons (1995/96 and 1998/99) in which the SR1b transect could not be conducted due to logistical constraints. During the 2008/09 season, there were two occupations of the section within three months, the common November–December occupation and another in February 2009 (Table 1).

The Drake Passage hydrographic program is a joint effort between the National Oceanography Centre in Southampton and the British Antarctic Survey in Cambridge, United Kingdom. Most section occupations were conducted using the RRS *James Clark Ross*. Each occupation typically consists of 30 full-depth CTD stations between Burdwood Bank and Elephant Island in the eastern Drake Passage, with a typical horizontal resolution of 30 nautical miles. In early cruises, the data were collected using a Neil Brown Instrument MkIIIc CTD, whereas the later cruises were undertaken using a Sea-Bird 911 plus CTD. A description of the CTD calibration and measurement errors is given in the appendix. Characteristic distributions of potential temperature and salinity are shown in Figs. 2b and 2c. AABW, defined for this work as water denser than  $\gamma^{\theta} = 28.26 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , fills the abyssal layer of the southern end of the section, its northward extent being delineated by the Polar Front (PF; Fig. 2).

In the ACC, averaging properties over a fixed latitudinal band and pressure range can introduce biases owing to isopycnal heave and frontal displacement. On the other hand, the use of neutral density (Jackett and McDougall 1997) as a vertical axis isolates property changes on isopycnals from those associated with heave, while dynamic height  $\phi$  as a horizontal axis provides an accurate proxy for the cross-stream positioning of observations within the ACC. The along-section gradient in  $\phi$  has been shown to agree well with the thermohaline definition of the ACC fronts given in Orsi et al. (1995) [see Naveira Garabato et al. (2009) for more details]. Therefore, to prevent ACC frontal meandering and isopycnal heave from affecting our record of AABW properties, the data from each of the 18 repeat sections are here gridded in  $\gamma^{\theta}$ – $\phi$  space. For this study, we use  $\phi$  at 400 dbar relative to 2000 dbar (Fig. 2a) so as to exclude the influence of high-frequency upper-ocean water mass variability and of changes in the bottom layer under scrutiny here. An assessment of the propagation of the CTD measurement errors through the gridding procedure is given in the appendix.

### b. Sea ice concentration

Monthly means of sea ice concentration from the *Nimbus-7* Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR) and Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Special Sensor Microwave Imager

TABLE 1. Drake Passage (SR1b) section details. Cruise numbers starting with JR were made onboard the Royal Research Ship (RRS) *James Clark Ross* and those starting with JC were made onboard the RRS *James Cook*. The CTDs are SeaBird (SB) and Neil Brown Instrument (NBI). The SBE thermometers are SeaBird Electronics. The batch number of the Standard Sea Water (SSW) used for salinity calibration is given when available.

Season	Start date	End date	Ship/cruise	CTD type	Thermometer	SSW batch
1993/94	21 Nov 1993	26 Nov 1993	JR0a	NBI MkIIIc	Reversing	P120, 123
1994/95	15 Nov 1994	21 Nov 1994	JR0b	NBI MkIIIc	Reversing	P123
1995/96	No cruise	—	—	—	—	—
1996/97	15 Nov 1996	20 Nov 1996	JR 16	NBI MkIIIc	Reversing	Unknown
1997/98	29 Dec 1997	7 Jan 1998	JR 27	NBI MkIIIc	Reversing	P132
1998/99	No cruise	—	—	—	—	—
1999/00	12 Feb 2000	17 Feb 2000	JR 47	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P132
2000/01	22 Nov 2000	28 Nov 2000	JR 55	NBI MkIIIc	Reversing	P136, 138
2001/02	20 Nov 2001	26 Nov 2001	JR 67	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P137, 139, 140
2002/03	27 Dec 2002	1 Jan 2003	JR 81	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P140
2003/04	11 Dec 2003	15 Dec 2003	JR 94	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P143
2004/05	2 Dec 2004	8 Dec 2004	JR115	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P143, 144
2005/06	7 Dec 2005	12 Dec 2005	JR139	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P144, 146
2006/07	8 Dec 2006	12 Dec 2006	JR163	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P144, 146
2007/08	30 Nov 2007	5 Dec 2007	JR193	SB 911 plus	No	P147
2008/09	13 Dec 2008	18 Dec 2008	JR194	SB 911 plus	SBE35	Unknown
2008/09	20 Feb 2009	26 Feb 2009	JC031	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P150
2009/10	19 Nov 2009	26 Nov 2009	JR195	SB 911 plus	SBE35	P150
2010/11	19 Nov 2010	26 Nov 2010	JR242	SB 911 plus	SBE35	Unknown
2010/11	1 Mar 2011	7 Mar 2011	JR276	SB 911 plus	SBE35	Unknown
2011/12	28 Nov 2011	5 Dec 2011	JR265	SB 911 plus	SBE35	Unknown

(SSM/I)–Special Sensor Microwave Imager/Sounder (SSM/IS) passive microwave sea ice concentration measurements between October 1978 and December 2010 (Cavalieri et al. 1996) were obtained from the National Snow and Ice Data Center in Boulder, Colorado (<http://nsidc.org>). Since 1 January 2011, the daily mean sea ice concentration based solely on DMSP SSM/I–SSM/IS data (Maslanik 1999) were obtained from the same data center, and the monthly means subsequently calculated.

### 3. Freshening of AABW

The SR1b repeat hydrographic section is optimally located to study changes in the AABW outflow from the Weddell Sea. It lies directly downstream of Orkney Passage, the main gap in the South Scotia Ridge through which AABW flows into the Scotia Sea (Naveira Garabato et al. 2002b; Nowlin and Zenk 1988), and a sufficient distance downstream of the AABW source regions for the substantial seasonal signal in outflow speed and properties (Gordon et al. 2010) to be largely eroded. AABW is always present at the southern end of the section, typically occupying the bottom 500–1000 m of the water column south of the Polar Front (Fig. 2).

The deep  $\Theta$ – $S$  properties, gridded in dynamic height and neutral density, south of the Polar Front are shown in Fig. 3. For clarity, the mean  $\Theta$ – $S$  profile for each section

is shown. The two deep-water masses exhibit different types of variability. The Lower Circumpolar Deep Water (LCDW), characterized by a temperature and salinity maximum, does not exhibit a long-term change in its thermohaline properties as the most recent sections (light colors) are superimposed on top of the earliest sections (Fig. 3, left). On the contrary, the AABW has experienced a steady decrease in salinity (and a simultaneous temperature decrease along isopycnals) since occupation of the section commenced, as manifested in the progressive displacement of the entire deep  $\Theta$ – $S$  profile below  $28.26 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  toward lower salinity values (Fig. 3, right).

For each section occupation, the salinity is averaged between  $\gamma^t = 28.26 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  (the upper bound of AABW in Drake Passage) and  $\gamma^t = 28.31 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  (the densest AABW class that is present in all section occupations), and the thickness of the layer bounded by the two density surfaces is calculated. This procedure excludes the component of interannual variability in AABW properties introduced by the intermittent presence of denser AABW classes, which has been shown to reflect wind-driven changes in the flow of AABW over the South Scotia Ridge (Fig. 1) rather than perturbations to AABW properties at formation (Jullion et al. 2010; Meredith et al. 2011).

The resulting time series (Fig. 4a) shows a statistically significant (at the 99% level of confidence) freshening tendency of  $0.004 \text{ decade}^{-1}$ , totaling  $0.007$  (std dev =  $0.0033$ ) between 1993 and 2011. A two-point regression






FIG. 2. (a) Dynamic height at 400 dbar relative to 2000 dbar and positions of the main fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current: SB, PF, and Sub-Antarctic Front (SAF). Illustrative (b) salinity and (b) potential temperature distributions from the 2011/12 SR1b section occupation. The neutral density limits of the main water masses (black) and the upper boundary of AABW ( $\gamma'' = 28.26 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) (white) are shown in (b) and (c), and the Sub-Antarctic Mode Water (SAMW), Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), and Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW) in (c).

model did not find any statistically significant point of inflection in the trend, suggesting that it is not possible to determine precisely the starting time of the trend based on the available data. All of the data used were collected during the same Antarctic late spring/summer season, thus reducing the possibility of any seasonal aliasing of the trend. Moreover, the two occupations in the 2008/09 season exhibit little difference in the AABW salinity, despite having been conducted three months apart (Fig. 4a), suggesting negligible intraseasonal variability

in the AABW properties. No systematic change is observed in the thickness of the AABW layer examined (Fig. 4b), indicating that there is no evidence here for a significant alteration in the rate of AABW export from the Weddell gyre.

The same analysis was done for the LCDW salinity maximum ( $\gamma'' = 28.00\text{--}28.11 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ; Figs. 4c,d) in order to investigate any intercruise biases. The calculated freshening trend is not statistically significant at the 90% significance level ( $p = 0.14$ ). The LCDW time series is dominated by a multiannual fluctuation, with a period of no salinity change between 1993 and 2002, followed by an abrupt salinity decrease between 2003 and 2007 and a recovery between 2008 and 2012. The interannual variability of LCDW, large compared to the AABW time series, is consistent with Provost et al. (2011), who observed large (0.01 in salinity  $S$ ) high frequency (3 weeks) along-stream changes in the LCDW density range during two occupations of a section across Drake Passage. Moreover, there is no statistically significant correlation between the two time series ( $r = 0.36$ ,  $p = 0.13$ ), confirming that the AABW trend is not dominated by intercruises biases. The magnitude of the AABW trend (0.007 between 1993 and 2012) is larger than the uncertainties associated with the propagation of measurement errors through the gridding procedure [ $O(0.0005)$ , see error bars in Fig. 4 and the appendix for details]. Overall, the absence of correlation between the AABW and LCDW salinity time series and the small errors compared with the trend suggest that the trend observed is not contaminated by intercruise biases or by measurement errors.

This freshening trend is approximately a factor of 2 smaller than the equivalent freshening trends reported for AABW in the Indo-Pacific sector (Jacobs and Giulivi 2010; Aoki et al. 2005; Rintoul 2007). The extent to which the factor-of-2 difference in the rate of freshening is influenced by proximity to the source region (which was substantially less in the studies concerned with Indo-Pacific AABW) is unknown, though it is worth noting that intense diapycnal mixing processes in Orkney Passage and the Scotia Sea (Naveira Garabato et al. 2004) are likely to dampen any signals of source water mass change emanating from the Weddell Sea.

The freshening of the AABW in Drake Passage may be conceivably caused by several processes. First, a change in the balance of the rates of AABW export through the different deep passages in the South Scotia Ridge, where thermohaline characteristics differ on isopycnals (Naveira Garabato et al. 2002a), could result in modified AABW properties north of the ridge. In the absence of sustained observations across all of the passages, assessing variations in export routes is difficult.




FIG. 3. Time series of the 18  $\Theta$ - $S$  mean profiles of the AABW on the SR1b section gridded into dynamic height/neutral density space (see section 2). The profiles are color coded as a function of year. The upper neutral density limit of AABW ( $\gamma'' = 28.26 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) is shown. AABW is only present in the southernmost part of the SR1b section south of the Polar Front (Fig. 2).

Nonetheless, the analysis of a recent repeat of a section of hydrographic and velocity measurements along the South Scotia Ridge in 2010 [L. Jullion et al. (2013), manuscript in preparation] shows no evidence of significant change relative to a section occupation in 1999 (Naveira Garabato et al. 2002b). Perturbations in wind forcing are also thought to influence the export of AABW over the Scotia Ridge (Jullion et al. 2010) on interannual and longer time scales. A decadal-scale weakening of the wind stress over the northern Weddell Sea could potentially explain the freshening by allowing colder, fresher AABW to flow through Orkney Passage (Meredith et al. 2008). However, the decrease in wind stress that would be required for this is not supported by observations (Marshall et al. 2004). Further, such a decrease in wind stress would also result in a reduction in the temperature and speed of the AABW outflow (Meredith et al. 2011), thereby leading to a decrease in the volume of AABW in the Scotia Sea for which there is no evidence in our observations (Fig. 4a).

Second, a change in the relative contributions of the different source waters that mix to form AABW could be responsible for the observed freshening. AABW is formed as a mixture of two main precursor water masses: the WDW ( $S \sim 34.5$  and  $\Theta \sim -0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) imported to the Weddell gyre from the ACC and the high-salinity shelf waters formed during brine rejection ( $S \sim 34.7$  and  $\Theta \sim -1.9^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). Any modification in the proportion in which

these two primary component water masses mix could result in changes in the properties of AABW. Tracer-based studies suggest that the AABW in the northern Weddell Sea is made up of  $\sim 25\%$  shelf water. A 5% change in the source water mixing ratio would thus be enough to account for the measured freshening. However, such an increase in the proportion of WDW contributing to AABW production would also drive a warming of the AABW (by  $\sim 0.07^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), which is not consistent with our observations. We conclude therefore that a change in the mixing ratio of AABW source waters does not provide a plausible explanation for the observed freshening. Such a change would result in a translation along the existing  $\Theta$ - $S$  relationship, rather than the measured shift to a different  $\Theta$ - $S$  profile with lower salinities observed here.

Finally, a source water mass property change could give rise to the freshening of AABW in Drake Passage. Extensive observations of WDW and the overlying winter water in the Weddell gyre have yielded no indication of a freshening of those water masses over the period of interest (Fahrback et al. 2011; Behrendt et al. 2011). Therefore, we deduce that the AABW freshening reported here is likely to originate from the second major precursor water mass: the dense shelf waters formed on the continental shelves of the southern or western Weddell Sea.

According to the existing literature, the AABW overflowing the South Scotia Ridge is mostly ventilated by




FIG. 4. Time series of (a) salinity and (b) layer thickness of the AABW ( $\gamma^{\theta} = 28.26\text{--}28.31 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) on the SR1b sections. Time series of (c) salinity and (d) layer thickness of the LCDW ( $\gamma^{\theta} = 28.00\text{--}28.11 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) on the SR1b sections. Linear trends of the salinity time series are shown by the dashed lines. Error bar on the mean salinity and thickness are shown as vertical bars (see the appendix for details on the error calculation).

relatively fresh shelf waters from the northeastern margin of the Antarctic Peninsula (Gordon et al. 2001), specifically from the continental shelf area off the Larsen ice shelves (Fahrbach et al. 1995; Huhn et al. 2008). That the vicinity of LIS is key to the formation of the AABW of interest here is also indicated by the correlation between winter sea ice concentration and AABW salinity in Drake Passage (Fig. 5). The correlation is significant, reflecting the role of sea ice production in raising the salinity of the shelf waters sufficiently for them to participate in AABW formation. The lag at peak correlation (15–18 months) is consistent with an estimated transit time from Orkney Passage to the SR1b section area of about 5 months (Meredith et al. 2011), and with an estimated transit time from the LIS region to Orkney Passage of about 7 months [assuming a distance of 1800 km between the sill of Orkney Passage and the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula and a mean deep boundary current speed of  $10 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (Gordon et al. 2010)]. The modest level of significance of the correlation ( $\sim 80\%$ ) may be explained by a variety of factors, most notably the unavoidable simplicity of the assumed local linear relationship between sea ice concentration (the measured parameter) and production (the variable of relevance to shelf water salinity) and the relatively low number of

degrees of freedom in our time series of measurements. The freshening tendency in Drake Passage is not observed within the AABW formed farther south near the Filchner–Ronne Ice Shelf (Fahrbach et al. 2011), which




FIG. 5. Map of the correlation between the SR1b AABW salinity and the winter (June–August) sea ice concentration 18 months before in the western Weddell Sea. The black rectangle shows the location of the statistically significant correlation (at the 80% confidence level, represented as thin dashed black lines) off the LIS.



indicates that the freshening originates downstream (to the north) of that region.

A further indication that a change in shelf water properties may be the main driver of the measured AABW freshening stems from the recent observation of a significant freshening of the shelf waters ( $\sim 0.09$ ) in the western Weddell Sea between 1989 and 2006 by Hellmer et al. (2011), although we caution that this result was based on observations from only three years in that period. Since the AABW in the Weddell gyre contains around one-quarter shelf waters (Meredith et al. 2000), the observed AABW freshening of  $\sim 0.007$  requires the shelf waters off the LIS to have freshened by  $\Delta S \sim -0.03$ , broadly in line with the previous measurement of a shelf water freshening in the area (Hellmer et al. 2011). In the following, we seek to determine the causes of the shelf water freshening off the LIS.

#### 4. Discussion

##### a. A freshwater budget of the LIS region

We construct a simplified freshwater budget of the continental shelf region off the Larsen ice shelves to estimate the additional (relative to the prefreshening era) freshwater volume  $V_{fw}$  that is required to have entered the area to explain the observed AABW freshening. It is worth noting that any attempt to calculate an accurate estimate of  $V_{fw}$  and to precisely determine the driving mechanism are subject to significant uncertainty, given the sparse data available in the region. Therefore, we restrict our analyses to order-of-magnitude calculations so as to identify the processes most likely to play a primary role in the freshening of the AABW.

Salt and mass conservation respectively dictate that

$$S_0 V_0 \rho_0 = S_f V_f \rho_f \quad \text{and} \quad (1)$$

$$V_f \rho_f = V_0 \rho_0 + V_{fw} \rho_{fw}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\rho_0$ ,  $S_0$ , and  $V_0$  are the initial in situ density, salinity, and volume of the shelf waters, respectively, and  $\rho_f$  and  $S_f$  are the density and salinity of the shelf waters after modification by the input of an additional  $V_{fw}$  of density  $\rho_{fw}$ . Combining these two equations, we obtain

$$V_{fw} = \frac{-V_0 \rho_0}{S_f \rho_{fw}} (S_f - S_0). \quad (3)$$

We set  $\rho_0 = 1027 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  and  $\rho_{fw} = 1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , and define  $V_0$  as the volume of the continental shelf adjacent to the LIS where shelf water salinity has been observed to exceed the threshold value for AABW ventilation (Gill 1973). Using available in situ data on the continental

shelf (Fig. 1b), the latitudinal extent of the shelf area where the bottom salinity of the shelf waters was greater than the Gill threshold is approximated ( $64^\circ$ – $70^\circ\text{S}$ ). The longitudinal extent of the control volume is defined by the coast and the 1000-m isobath based on the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) One Minute Grid (<http://www.gebco.net/>), and the average depth of the shelf is estimated to be approximately 500 m. This yields  $V_0 \sim 9 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^3$ . To estimate  $S_f$ , we refer to the most recent stations in the control area, occupied in 2004 (Fig. 1b), which reveal that  $S_f \sim 34.6$ . Thus, we find that  $V_{fw} \approx 8 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3$ .

##### b. Origin of the freshening

This excess freshwater supply to the control area may have been associated with three mechanisms: an increase in net precipitation, a reduction in sea ice production, or an increase in freshwater runoff from the LIS and tributary glaciers. The plausibility of each of these mechanisms contributing significantly to the observed shelf water freshening is examined next.

##### 1) PRECIPITATION

In order for the excess  $V_{fw}$  to have been supplied by an increase in net precipitation in the continental shelf region off the LIS, an additional  $\sim 40$  cm of fresh water is required to have precipitated there between 1993 and 2011, relative to the prefreshening era. Estimates of net precipitation in the oceanic region adjacent to the LIS are  $O(50 \text{ cm yr}^{-1})$  (Bromwich et al. 2011; Munneke et al. 2012), so a modest percentage increase in the annual-mean value would have been sufficient to account for the observed freshening signal. A recent intercomparison of several atmospheric reanalyses revealed no detectable decadal-scale tendency in net precipitation in our control region (Bromwich et al. 2011). Thus, while the uncertainties in the reanalyses do not allow us to rule it out, the scenario of a major contribution to  $V_{fw}$  from an increase in net precipitation is not supported by any existing evidence.

##### 2) SEA ICE

Sea ice production in winter is a key process in the formation of AABW, as the resulting brine rejection makes shelf waters dense enough to cascade down the continental slope. Thus, sea ice production acts as the main transmitter of any climatic signal imprinted in shelf waters (regardless of the signal's origin) to the AABW layer, and one can expect a relationship between variability in sea ice concentration near the LIS and AABW salinity in Drake Passage. The existence of such a relationship is suggested by the substantial degree of positive correlation that occurs between interannual-scale fluctuations

TABLE 2. Total ice loss by the Larsen A Ice Shelf (LAIS) and Larsen B Ice Shelf (LBIS) and tributary glaciers based on published data (assuming density of ice is  $900 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ). Ice shelf volume losses are estimated from the total volume losses for each ice shelf (Shepherd et al. 2010) distributed in time according to the ice-area losses (Scambos et al. 2003).

Period	Location	Total ice loss ( $\text{km}^3$ )
1993	Gustav; LAIS	$190 \pm 40$
1995	Gustav; LAIS; LBIS	$950 \pm 200$
1998–2000	LBIS	$740 \pm 150$
2002	LBIS	$1000 \pm 200$
2001–06	LBIS (Crane–Drygalski glaciers)	$62 \pm 14$ (Shuman et al. 2011)
2006–11	LBIS (Pequod–Hektoria)	$50 \pm 12$ (Berthier et al. 2012)
2003	LBIS (Leppard–Drygalski)	$27 \pm 9$ (Rignot et al. 2004)
2005	LBIS (Leppard–Drygalski)	$34 \pm 10$ (Rignot 2006)
2008	LBIS (Pequod–Hektoria)	$4 \pm 2$ (Rott et al. 2011)

in AABW salinity in the SR1b section and sea ice concentration anomalies off the LIS (Fig. 5a). The pattern of the correlation and its lag (15–18 months), described in the previous section, suggests that a reduction in sea ice production cannot be excluded as a contributor to the observed AABW freshening trend.

The plausibility of this contribution may be assessed by considering, once again, a simple freshwater budget. Salt and mass conservation dictate that

$$\rho_0 V_0 S_0 = \rho_f V_f S_f + \rho_{si} V_{si} S_{si} \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$\rho_0 V_0 = \rho_f V_f + \rho_{si} V_{si}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\rho_{si} = 920 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  and  $S_{si} = 6$  are the characteristic density and salinity of sea ice, respectively (Eicken 1997), and  $V_{si}$  is a change in sea ice volume. From these expressions, we estimate the reduction in sea ice production required to explain the observed freshening as

$$V_{si} = -\frac{\rho_0 V_0}{\rho_{si}(S_f - S_{si})}(S_f - S_0) \quad (6)$$

and obtain  $V_{si} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3$  by substituting the appropriate values quoted above. Assuming a full sea ice cover over the control area ( $\sim 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^2$ ) in winter (Stammerjohn et al. 2008) and a characteristic sea ice thickness of 2 m (Zwally et al. 2008), this value of  $V_{si}$  implies that a reduction of  $\sim 25\%$  of the total sea ice production between 1993 and 2011 (or a  $\sim 1\%$  decrease in the net annual sea ice production averaged between 1993 and 2011) is required to account for the observed freshening signal. In the absence of reliable estimates of sea ice production near the LIS, assessing the extent to which a reduction in sea ice production may explain the observed AABW freshening is difficult. Tamura et al. (2008) used sea ice concentration data from satellite and air–sea reanalysis products to estimate the sea ice production in several polynyas around Antarctica (but not

near the LIS) and reported a 30% decrease in the production of sea ice in Weddell Sea polynyas between 1992 and 2001. While this result suggests that trends of the correct sign and order of magnitude are at least plausible in the region, the lack of appropriate sea ice production estimates for the LIS area prevents us from making a definitive statement on the importance of reduced sea ice production in the observed AABW freshening.

### 3) INCREASED GLACIAL LOSS

The glaciers and ice shelves of the eastern Antarctic Peninsula have undergone considerable changes over the last 15 years. The breakup of major ice shelves [Larsen A in 1995 (Rott et al. 1996) and Larsen B in 2002 (Scambos et al. 2003)] and the subsequent acceleration of their tributary glaciers owing to the removal of the ice shelf buttressing effect (Rignot et al. 2004; Shuman et al. 2011) has resulted in a large volume of ice being discharged to the ocean in the control area of our freshwater budget. We estimate the net excess freshwater loss by the ice shelves and their tributary glaciers by collating the findings of a range of glaciological studies (Table 2) and conclude that the volume of freshwater added to the continental shelf off the LIS between 1993 and 2011 was  $V_{gi} \sim 3.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^3$ . The bulk of  $V_{gi}$  ( $\sim 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^3$ ) is contributed by the disintegration of the ice shelves themselves, whereas the contribution of accelerated tributary glaciers is an order of magnitude smaller ( $\sim 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3$ ).

While  $V_{gi}$  dwarfs our estimate of the volume of excess freshwater required to explain the observed freshening signal by two orders of magnitude, the fraction of  $V_{gi}$  that enters the continental shelf in liquid form and thereby effects a freshening of the local shelf waters is uncertain, as it depends on the poorly constrained trajectories and melt rates of the numerous small icebergs into which the Larsen A and B ice shelves fragmented (Scambos et al. 2003; MacAyeal et al. 2003). In the western Weddell Sea, icebergs have been observed to drift at rates  $O(400 \text{ m h}^{-1})$  (Rack and Rott 2004; Schodlok et al. 2006).

The area loss during the collapse of the Larsen A and B ice shelves has been estimated to be around  $1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2$  (Rack and Rott 2004). Given the characteristic iceberg drift rate and the width of the continental shelf in front of the LIS ( $\sim 300 \text{ km}$ ), the residence time of the icebergs generated by the Larsen A and B ice shelf disintegration events may be crudely estimated at around one month. The melting rates of icebergs are uncertain and depend upon iceberg size, geometry, and adjacent meteorological (air temperature) and oceanographic (water temperature) conditions. Observed and modeled melt rates vary by one order of magnitude ( $0.2\text{--}2 \text{ m month}^{-1}$ ) (Schodlok et al. 2006; Jansen et al. 2007). Taking these rates to be representative, the volume lost on the continental shelf off the LIS by the icebergs resulting from the ice shelf collapses may then be estimated as  $0.2\text{--}2 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3$ , which is substantially less than  $V_{\text{fw}}$ . While the uncertainty surrounding several steps of this calculation again prevents us from being categorical, the estimate suggests that the icebergs deriving from the disintegration of the A and B sectors of the LIS probably played a temporary or minor role in freshening the local shelf waters.

The mass loss resulting from the Larsen A and B tributary glaciers after the ice shelf breakups is likely to provide a more significant and persistent source of freshwater to the coastal region off the LIS over the study period than the disintegration of the ice shelves themselves. Silva et al. (2006) reported a modeled total iceberg melt rate of  $0.5 \text{ m m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for the western Weddell Sea and showed that only 3% of small icebergs (such as those generated by the acceleration of LIS tributary glaciers) reach north of  $63^\circ \text{S}$ , suggesting a significant melting of small icebergs in the coastal region to the east of the Antarctic Peninsula. Since the accelerated LIS thinning and retreat rate is still one order of magnitude larger than  $V_{\text{fw}}$  ( $\sim 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3$ ; Table 2), a melting of only 25% of the resulting small icebergs within the LIS region would be sufficient to explain the observed AABW freshening. This scenario appears plausible in light of the preceding argument, leading us to conclude that increased glacial loss from the LIS is likely to be a significant player in the freshening.

## 5. Conclusions

A time series of AABW salinity in Drake Passage has been constructed using 18 repeats of the SR1b hydrographic section between 1993 and 2010. A significant freshening of the bottom water ( $0.004 \text{ decade}^{-1}$ ) has been reported with no significant decrease in the thickness of the AABW layer. We deduce that increased glacial loss from the Antarctic Peninsula following the

breakup of the Larsen A and B ice shelves is likely to have contributed significantly to the observed AABW freshening. The implication of reduced sea ice production or increased precipitation off the LIS in the freshening cannot be ruled out with the available data, but the sheer size of the glacial contribution suggests that it may be the dominant driver.

There is evidence that the AABW freshening in the Indo-Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean is primarily forced by deglaciation in areas of West Antarctica, where an ocean-induced enhancement of basal melting has been observed in recent decades (Jacobs et al. 2002; Rintoul 2007; Jacobs and Giulivi 2010; Pritchard et al. 2012). In contrast, the changes in glacial runoff in the western Weddell Sea that primarily underpin the freshening of the region's AABW outflow are argued here to result from atmospheric forcing. The collapse of the Larsen A and B ice shelves and subsequent acceleration in glacial runoff have been linked to the summertime intensification of the circumpolar westerly winds over the Southern Ocean in recent decades (Scambos et al. 2003; van den Broeke 2005), which has been attributed in part to anthropogenic processes, including ozone depletion (Thompson and Solomon 2002). The intensification of the westerlies has been shown to lead to a weakening of the blocking effect of the Antarctic Peninsula orography, with the associated promotion of advection of relatively warm maritime air onto the eastern side of the peninsula (Orr et al. 2004; Marshall et al. 2006) raising regional summer temperatures by  $2^\circ \text{C}$  over the last four decades (King et al. 2004). This summer warming has been highlighted as pivotal in the enhanced surface melting of the LIS that heralded the collapse of large sectors of the ice shelf around the turn of the century (Scambos et al. 2003; van den Broeke 2005). Consequently, to the extent that the AABW freshening observed here can be attributed to the collapse of parts of the LIS and acceleration of its tributary glaciers, the same freshening can also be attributed to the strengthening atmospheric circulation over this part of the Southern Ocean, with a previously argued anthropogenic cause.

A continuation of the AABW freshening tendency over the coming decades may be expected on the basis of our present glaciological knowledge of the LIS region. For example, the acceleration of glacial runoff in the Larsen B area observed over the last 15 years is expected to persist into the years to come (Shuman et al. 2011). Further, the intact Larsen C Ice Shelf contains a volume of fresh water greater than that in the collapsed Larsen A and B sectors by an order of magnitude and buttresses a much larger glacial catchment. Larsen C is already thinning in response to variations in climatic forcing (Shepherd et al. 2003; Fricker and Padman 2012), and its

snowpack is showing signs of meltwater influence (Holland et al. 2011). These changes seemingly herald a progression toward the point at which further atmospheric melting may drive crevassing and ice-shelf failure, following which a large volume of freshwater is expected to enter the continental shelf region off the LIS from which the AABW outflow from the Weddell Sea is ventilated.

The freshening of Atlantic-sourced AABW reported here completes a circumpolar-wide pattern of AABW freshening, albeit with different mechanisms being important in different sectors. As much of the AABW exported through Orkney Passage ultimately escapes the Scotia Sea into the wider South Atlantic (Naveira Garabato et al. 2002a), our results suggest that the AABW feeding the lower limb of the Atlantic overturning circulation is freshening. Relating this freshening to the strength of the lower limb of the Atlantic MOC is not straightforward: it is possible that horizontal density gradients may be modified, with impacts on abyssal current speeds. However, the broadscale finding of an AABW freshening in Drake Passage with no attendant decrease in layer thickness argues that the formation properties that are being climatically altered. We have argued that dense water production in the Weddell Sea is sensitive to the impact of regional and large-scale decadal climatic change and that the Weddell gyre does not insulate the bottom water formation regions from such change.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank the National Environmental Research Council for financial support of the Antarctic Deep Rates of Export project (NE/E01366X/1). The SR1b section is a joint effort between the National Oceanography Centre, Southampton (NOCS), and the British Antarctic Survey (BAS) in Cambridge, United Kingdom. Researchers and students from other institutions have also made invaluable contributions to the cruises. The measurements could not have been achieved without the willing cooperation and support of the planning and operations groups at NOCS and BAS. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for useful comments, which contributed to improving the quality of the paper. Finally, we gratefully acknowledge the outstanding contribution of the Masters, officers, and crew of RRS *James Clark Ross* and RRS *James Cook*.

## APPENDIX

### CTD Calibration, Data Accuracy, and Errors

To detect water-mass changes in the deep ocean, it is necessary to quantitatively assess the data quality, as the signals of change are small and can potentially be

affected by measurement error and intercruise biases. Here, we investigate CTD measurement errors and the propagation of these errors through the procedure of gridding in density and dynamic height coordinates. Salinity errors are particularly important here because the reported signal is a freshening and because salinity is the dominant factor in density changes at low temperature.

#### a. CTD measurement error

We conduct an error analysis similar to that of Naveira Garabato et al. (2009) and Williams et al. (2006), who used the same dataset to investigate the variability of other water masses. Starting with measurement error, we define the error on the CTD data as the quadratic sum of two terms:

$$\sigma_{\text{ctd}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{sy}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{sb}}^2}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $\sigma_{\text{sy}}^2$  is the systematic error representing the accuracy of the measurement of a tracer and  $\sigma_{\text{sb}}$  is the sampling error both in the vertical and the horizontal directions. Sampling error is particularly important for bottle data because of their coarse vertical resolution. CTD data have a much higher vertical resolution (1 or 2 dbar when averaged) but still suffer from a limited horizontal resolution (the station spacing) and might be affected by small-scale structures. The sampling error is estimated by horizontally subsampling the 1997 section (which had 50 stations instead of the usual 32) and regridding the subsampled section to calculate the mean salinity and temperature of the AABW. The sampling error is found to be 0.002 in salinity and 0.002°C in temperature.

The systematic error represents the accuracy of the measurement of a tracer. A detailed account of the data processing can be found in the individual cruise reports (<http://noc.ac.uk/drake-passage>). For each cruise, the CTD data were carefully analyzed so as to identify and correct for potential issues with the data. Concerning the pressure and temperature measurements, cruise reports show that the data accuracy is  $\sigma_{\text{ctd}_p} = 1$  dbar in pressure and  $\sigma_{\text{ctd}_T} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ °C in temperature.

CTD conductivity sensors, particularly in the early cruises, were subject to drift and imprecisions in the measurements, requiring that the CTD data are calibrated against conductivity measured in water samples collected at different depths during station occupations. Twelve water samples covering the whole water column were typically taken at each station. Conductivity/salinity was measured for each water sample using a Guildline Autosol 8400B salinometer. The salinometer was standardized using International Association for the Physical



Sciences of the Oceans (IAPSO) SSW (see Table 1 for details on the different batches used). The differences between the CTD salinity and bottle data were calculated and, if necessary, offsets were applied to minimize the residuals between the CTD and bottle conductivities (In 1994/95, due to issues with the conductivity cell, extra care was taken and the CTD minus bottle differences were examined on a station by station basis so that the mean difference between the bottle and CTD salinities is  $-1 \times 10^{-4}$ ). Several duplicates were taken during each cruise to monitor the performance of the salinometer, and the differences between duplicates were found to be of the order  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ . Furthermore, the quality of the CTD salinity increased with improvements in CTD sensors and few/small corrections were needed in the most recent cruises.

The accuracy and reliability of the SSW has been investigated by several independent groups (Mantyla 1980, 1987, 1994; Kawano et al. 2006; Bacon et al. 2000, 2007). The accuracy and consistency of the different batch of SSW is important as they are used to calibrate the salinometer and thus help calibrate both the bottle and CTD salinity. Kawano et al. (2006) found that the batch-to-batch differences improved significantly since the 1980s and the standard deviation of is  $0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ . Their proposed correction for the SSW batch used during the different SR1b cruises is of the order  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ . Moreover, Bacon et al. (2007) performed a detailed analysis of the uncertainties and stability of the SSW manufacturing process. They demonstrated that there was no significant change in the label conductivity ratio for SSW batches P130–P144 outside the uncertainty of the conductivity ratio. Gouretski and Jancke (2000) analyzed a large hydrographic dataset and found that difference in SSW batch did not seem to be the main cause of intercruise biases. The systematic error resulting from the CTD calibration and SSW batch differences is therefore small ( $2 \times 10^{-3}$ ).

### b. Error propagation

These errors on the CTD salinity data propagate through our salinity field gridded in  $\gamma^n - \Phi$  by 1) affecting the values of salinity used to calculate the mean and 2) biasing the coordinate system as both density and dynamic height are a function of salinity. Errors in temperature and pressure measurement also impact the calculation of density and dynamic height. Isolating the relative contribution of the two on the mean salinity of the AABW is difficult, so instead we investigate their combined effect. To estimate the influence of these errors, we introduce in each temperature, salinity, and pressure field an error:

$$S = S + \sigma_{\text{ctd}_S}$$

$$T = T + \sigma_{\text{ctd}_T}$$

$$P = P + \sigma_{\text{ctd}_P}$$

where  $\sigma_{\text{ctd}_S}$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{ctd}_T}$ , and  $\sigma_{\text{ctd}_P}$  are normally distributed random errors with mean equal to zero and standard deviation equal to  $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $2.2 \times 10^{-3}^\circ\text{C}$ , and 1 dbar, respectively. The same gridding procedure is then applied using the biased fields, and the mean AABW salinity and thickness are then calculated and compared with our time series. The mean regridding errors calculated are  $\sigma_{\text{rg}_S} = 6 \times 10^{-4}$  (std dev =  $6 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and  $\sigma_{\text{rg}_{\text{Th}}} = 30.7 \text{ m}$  (std dev = 37.5 m) for the mean AABW salinity and thickness, respectively.

The final error to consider is the standard error of the mean salinity defined as

$$\sigma_e = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where  $s$  is the standard deviation of the salinity mean for a given cruise and  $n$  is the number of samples (the number of grid points used to calculate the mean). The mean standard error found here is  $\sigma_{e_S} = 4 \times 10^{-4}$  for salinity and  $\sigma_{e_{\text{Th}}} = 32.4 \text{ m}$  for the thickness.

The total error on salinity is  $\sigma_S = \sqrt{\sigma_{e_S}^2 + \sigma_{\text{rg}_S}^2}$  and on thickness is  $\sigma_{\text{Th}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{e_{\text{Th}}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{rg}_{\text{Th}}}^2}$ . The errors are plotted as error bars in Fig. 4. The error analysis reveals that the observed salinity trend is larger than the potential errors coming from CTD measurement errors and their subsequent propagation through the derived quantities presented in this study.

### REFERENCES

- Aoki, S., S. Rintoul, S. Ushio, S. Watanabe, and N. Bindoff, 2005: Freshening of the Adélie Land Bottom Water near  $140^\circ\text{E}$ . *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L23601, doi:10.1029/2005GL024246.
- Bacon, S., H. Snaith, and M. Yelland, 2000: An evaluation of some recent batches of IAPSO standard seawater. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **17**, 854–861.
- , F. Culkin, N. Higgs, and P. Ridout, 2007: IAPSO standard seawater: Definition of the uncertainty in the calibration procedure, and stability of recent batches. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **24**, 1785–1799.
- Behrendt, A., E. Fahrbach, M. Hoppema, G. Rohardt, O. Boebel, O. Klatt, A. Wisotzki, and H. Witte, 2011: Variations of winter water properties and sea ice along the Greenwich meridian on decadal time scales. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, **58**, 2524–2532, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.07.001.
- Berthier, E., T. A. Scambos, and C. A. Shuman, 2012: Mass loss of Larsen B tributary glaciers (Antarctic Peninsula) unabated since 2002. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L13501, doi:10.1029/2012GL051755.



- Bromwich, D. H., J. P. Nicolas, and A. J. Monaghan, 2011: An assessment of precipitation changes over Antarctica and the Southern Ocean since 1989 in contemporary global reanalyses. *J. Climate*, **24**, 4189–4209.
- Carmack, E., and T. Foster, 1975: Flow of water out of the Weddell Sea. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **22**, 711–724.
- Cavalieri, D., C. Parkinson, P. Gloersen, and H. J. Zwally, 1996: Sea ice concentrations from *Nimbus-7* SMMR and DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS passive microwave data. National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO, digital media. [Available online at [http://nsidc.org/data/docs/daac/nsidc0051\\_gsfc\\_seaice.gd.html](http://nsidc.org/data/docs/daac/nsidc0051_gsfc_seaice.gd.html).]
- Church, J. A., and Coauthors, 2011: Revisiting the Earth's sea-level and energy budgets from 1961 to 2008. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L18601, doi:10.1029/2011GL048794.
- Eicken, H., 1997: Salinity profiles of Antarctic sea ice: Field data and model results. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **97** (C10), 15 545–15 557.
- Fahrbach, E., G. Rohardt, N. Scheele, M. Schröder, V. Strass, and A. Wisotzki, 1995: Formation and discharge of deep and bottom water in the northwestern Weddell Sea. *J. Mar. Res.*, **53**, 515–538.
- , M. Hoppema, G. Rohardt, O. Boebel, O. Klatt, and A. Wisotzki, 2011: Warming of deep and abyssal water masses along the Greenwich meridian on decadal time scales the Weddell Gyre as a heat buffer. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, **58**, 2509–2523, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.06.007.
- Foldvik, A., and Coauthors, 2004: Ice shelf water overflow and bottom water formation in the southern Weddell Sea. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, C02015, doi:10.1029/2003JC002008.
- Foster, T., and E. Carmack, 1976: Frontal zone mixing and Antarctic Bottom Water formation in the southern Weddell Sea. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **23**, 301–317.
- Fricker, H. A., and L. Padman, 2012: Thirty years of elevation change on Antarctic peninsula ice shelves from multi-mission satellite radar altimetry. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, C02026, doi:10.1029/2011JC007126.
- Gill, A., 1973: Circulation and bottom water production in the Weddell Sea. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **20**, 111–140.
- Gordon, A. L., M. Visbeck, and B. Huber, 2001: Export of Weddell Sea deep and bottom water. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106** (C5), 9005–9017.
- , B. Huber, D. Mckee, and M. Visbeck, 2010: A seasonal cycle in the export of bottom water from the Weddell sea. *Nat. Geosci.*, **3**, 551–556, doi:10.1038/ngeo916.
- Gouretski, V., and K. Jancke, 2000: Systematic errors as the cause for an apparent deep water property variability: Global analysis of the WOCE and historical hydrographic data. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, **48**, 337–402.
- Hellmer, H. H., O. Huhn, D. Gomis, and R. Timmermann, 2011: On the freshening of the northwestern Weddell Sea continental shelf. *Ocean Sci.*, **7**, 305–316, doi:10.5194/os-7-305-2011.
- , F. Kauker, R. Timmermann, J. Determann, and J. Rae, 2012: Twenty-first-century warming of a large Antarctic ice-shelf cavity by a redirected coastal current. *Nature*, **485**, 225–228, doi:10.1038/nature11064.
- Holland, P. R., H. F. J. Corr, H. D. Pritchard, D. G. Vaughan, R. J. Arthern, A. Jenkins, and M. Tedesco, 2011: The air content of Larsen ice shelf. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L10503, doi:10.1029/2011GL047245.
- Huhn, O., H. H. Hellmer, M. Rhein, C. Rodehacke, W. Roether, M. Schodlok, and M. Schroder, 2008: Evidence of deep- and bottom-water formation in the western Weddell Sea. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, **55**, 1098–1116.
- Jackett, D., and T. McDougall, 1997: A neutral density variable for the world's oceans. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **27**, 237–263.
- Jacobs, S. S., 2004: Bottom water production and its links with the thermohaline circulation. *Antarct. Sci.*, **16**, 427–437, doi:10.1017/S095410200400224X.
- , and C. F. Giulivi, 2010: Large multidecadal salinity trends near the Pacific Antarctic continental margin. *J. Climate*, **23**, 4508–4524.
- , —, and P. Mele, 2002: Freshening of the Ross Sea during the late 20th century. *Science*, **297**, 386–389.
- , A. Jenkins, C. F. Giulivi, and P. Dutrieux, 2011: Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under Pine Island Glacier ice shelf. *Nat. Geosci.*, **4**, 519–523, doi:10.1038/ngeo1188.
- Jansen, D., M. Schodlok, and W. Rack, 2007: Basal melting of A-38b: A physical model constrained by satellite observations. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, **111**, 195–203.
- Johnson, G. C., and S. C. Doney, 2006: Recent western South Atlantic bottom water warming. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L14614, doi:10.1029/2006GL026769.
- , S. G. Purkey, and J. L. Bullister, 2008a: Warming and freshening in the abyssal southeastern Indian Ocean. *J. Climate*, **21**, 5351–5363.
- , —, and J. M. Toole, 2008b: Reduced Antarctic meridional overturning circulation reaches the North Atlantic Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **35**, L22601, doi:10.1029/2008GL035619.
- Jullion, L., S. C. Jones, A. C. N. Garabato, and M. P. Meredith, 2010: Wind-controlled export of Antarctic Bottom Water from the Weddell Sea. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, L09609, doi:10.1029/2010GL042822.
- Kawano, T., M. Aoyama, T. Joyce, H. Uchida, Y. Takatsuki, and M. Fukasawa, 2006: The latest batch-to-batch difference table of standard seawater and its application to the WOCE one-time sections. *J. Oceanogr.*, **62**, 777–792.
- Killworth, P., 1974: Baroclinic model of motions on antarctic continental shelves. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **21**, 815–837.
- King, J., J. Turner, G. Marshall, W. Connolley, and T. Lachlan-Cope, 2004: Antarctic Peninsula climate variability and its causes as revealed by analysis of instrumental records. *Antarctic Peninsula Climate Variability: A Historical and Paleo-environmental Perspective*, E. Domack et al., Eds., Antarctic Research Series, Vol. 79, Amer. Geophys. Union, 17–30.
- MacAyeal, D., T. Scambos, C. Hulbe, and M. Fahnestock, 2003: Catastrophic ice-shelf break-up by an ice-shelf-fragment-capsize mechanism. *J. Glaciol.*, **49**, 22–36.
- Mantyla, A., 1980: Electric conductivity comparisons of standard seawater batches P29 to P84. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **27A**, 837–846.
- , 1987: Standard seawater comparisons updated. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **17**, 543–548.
- , 1994: The treatment of inconsistencies in Atlantic deep water salinity data. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **41**, 1387–1405.
- Marshall, G. J., P. A. Stott, J. Turner, W. M. Connolley, J. C. King, and T. A. Lachlan-Cope, 2004: Causes of exceptional atmospheric circulation changes in the Southern Hemisphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L14205, doi:10.1029/2004GL019952.
- , A. Orr, N. P. M. van Lipzig, and J. King, 2006: The impact of a changing Southern Hemisphere annular mode on Antarctic Peninsula summer temperatures. *J. Climate*, **19**, 5388–5404.
- Maslanik, J., 1999: Near-real-time DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS daily polar gridded sea ice concentrations. National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO, digital media. [Available online at [http://nsidc.org/data/docs/daac/nsidc0081\\_ssmi\\_nrt\\_seaice.gd.html](http://nsidc.org/data/docs/daac/nsidc0081_ssmi_nrt_seaice.gd.html).]

- Meredith, M. P., R. Locarnini, K. V. Scoy, A. Watson, K. Heywood, and B. King, 2000: On the sources of Weddell Gyre Antarctic Bottom Water. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105** (C1), 1093–1104.
- , A. C. Naveira Garabato, A. L. Gordon, and G. C. Johnson, 2008: Evolution of the deep and bottom waters of the Scotia Sea, Southern Ocean, during 1995–2005. *J. Climate*, **21**, 3327–3343.
- , A. L. Gordon, A. C. N. Garabato, E. P. Abrahamsen, B. A. Huber, L. Jullion, and H. J. Venables, 2011: Synchronous intensification and warming of Antarctic Bottom Water outflow from the Weddell Gyre. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L03603, doi:10.1029/2010GL046265.
- Munneke, P. K., G. Picard, M. R. V. D. Broeke, J. T. M. Lenaerts, and E. V. Meijgaard, 2012: Insignificant change in Antarctic snowmelt volume since 1979. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L01501, doi:10.1029/2011GL050207.
- Naveira Garabato, A. C., K. J. Heywood, and D. P. Stevens, 2002a: Modification and pathways of Southern Ocean deep waters in the Scotia Sea. *Deep-Sea Res. I*, **49**, 681–705.
- , E. McDonagh, D. P. Stevens, K. J. Heywood, and R. J. Sanders, 2002b: On the export of Antarctic Bottom Water from the Weddell Sea. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, **49**, 4715–4742.
- , K. L. Polzin, B. King, K. J. Heywood, and M. Visbeck, 2004: Widespread intense turbulent mixing in the Southern Ocean. *Science*, **303**, 210–213.
- , L. Jullion, D. P. Stevens, K. J. Heywood, and B. A. King, 2009: Variability of Subantarctic Mode Water and Antarctic Intermediate Water in the Drake Passage during the late-twentieth and early-twenty-first century. *J. Climate*, **22**, 3661–3688.
- Nicholls, K. W., S. Østerhus, K. Makinson, T. Gammelsrød, and E. Fahrbach, 2009: Ice-ocean processes over the continental shelf of the southern Weddell Sea, Antarctica: A review. *Rev. Geophys.*, **47**, RG3003, doi:10.1029/2007RG000250.
- Nowlin, W., Jr., and W. Zenk, 1988: Westward bottom currents along the margin of the south Shetland Island arc. *Deep-Sea Res. I*, **35**, 269–301.
- Orr, A., D. Cresswell, G. J. Marshall, J. Hunt, J. Sommeria, C. Wang, and M. Light, 2004: A ‘low-level’ explanation for the recent large warming trend over the western Antarctic Peninsula involving blocked winds and changes in zonal circulation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L06204, doi:10.1029/2003GL019160.
- Orsi, A., T. Whitworth, and W. D. Nowlin, 1995: On the meridional extent and fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Deep-Sea Res. I*, **42**, 641–673.
- , G. C. Johnson, and J. L. Bullister, 1999: Circulation, mixing, and production of Antarctic Bottom Water. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, **43**, 55–109.
- Pritchard, H. D., S. R. M. Ligtenberg, H. A. Fricker, D. G. Vaughan, M. R. van den Broeke, and L. Padman, 2012: Antarctic ice-sheet loss driven by basal melting of ice shelves. *Nature*, **484**, 502–505, doi:10.1038/nature10968.
- Provost, C., A. Renault, N. Barré, N. Sennéchal, V. Garçon, J. Sudre, and O. Huhn, 2011: Two repeat crossings of Drake Passage in austral summer 2006 short-term variations and evidence for considerable ventilation of intermediate and deep waters. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, **58**, 2555–2571.
- Purkey, S. G., and G. C. Johnson, 2010: Warming of global abyssal and deep southern ocean waters between the 1990s and 2000s: Contributions to global heat and sea level rise budgets. *J. Climate*, **23**, 6336–6351.
- , and —, 2012: Global contraction of Antarctic Bottom Water between the 1980s and 2000s. *J. Climate*, **25**, 5830–5844.
- Rack, W., and H. Rott, 2004: Pattern of retreat and disintegration of the Larsen B ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **39**, 505–510.
- Rignot, E., 2006: Changes in ice dynamics and mass balance of the Antarctic ice sheet. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc.*, **A364**, 1637–1655, doi:10.1098/rsta.2006.1793.
- , G. Casassa, P. Gogineni, W. Krabill, A. Rivera, and R. Thomas, 2004: Accelerated ice discharge from the Antarctic Peninsula following the collapse of Larsen B ice shelf. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L18401, doi:10.1029/2004GL020697.
- Rintoul, S. R., 2007: Rapid freshening of Antarctic Bottom Water formed in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**, L06606, doi:10.1029/2006GL028550.
- Roemmich, D., G. Johnson, S. Riser, R. Davis, J. Gilson, W. Owens, C. Schmid, and M. Ignaszewski, 2009: The Argo program: Observing the global oceans with profiling floats. *Oceanography*, **22**, 24–33.
- Rott, H., P. Skvarca, and T. Nagler, 1996: Rapid collapse of northern Larsen ice shelf, Antarctica. *Science*, **271**, 788–792, doi:10.1126/science.271.5250.788.
- , F. Müller, T. Nagler, and D. Floricioiu, 2011: The imbalance of glaciers after disintegration of Larsen-B ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula. *Cryosphere*, **5**, 125–134, doi:10.5194/tc-5-125-2011.
- Scambos, T. A., C. Hulbe, and M. Fahnestock, 2003: Climate-induced ice shelf disintegration in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Antarctic Peninsula Climate Variability: Historical and Paleoenvironmental Perspectives*, E. Domack et al., Eds., Antarctic Research Series, Vol. 79, Amer. Geophys. Union, 79–92.
- Schodlok, M. P., H. H. Hellmer, G. Rohardt, and E. Fahrbach, 2006: Weddell Sea iceberg drift: Five years of observations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, C06018, doi:10.1029/2004JC002661.
- Shepherd, A., D. Wingham, T. Payne, and P. Skvarca, 2003: Larsen ice shelf has progressively thinned. *Science*, **302**, 856–859.
- , —, and E. Rignot, 2004: Warm ocean is eroding West Antarctic ice sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L23402, doi:10.1029/2004GL021106.
- , —, D. Wallis, K. Giles, S. Laxon, and A. V. Sundal, 2010: Recent loss of floating ice and the consequent sea level contribution. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, L13503, doi:10.1029/2010GL042496.
- Shuman, C., E. Berthier, and T. Scambos, 2011: 2001–2009 elevation and mass losses in the Larsen A and B embayments, Antarctic Peninsula. *J. Glaciol.*, **57**, 737–754.
- Silva, T. A. M., G. R. Bigg, and K. W. Nicholls, 2006: Contribution of giant icebergs to the Southern Ocean freshwater flux. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, C03004, doi:10.1029/2004JC002843.
- Stammerjohn, S. E., D. G. Martinson, R. C. Smith, X. Yuan, and D. Rind, 2008: Trends in Antarctic annual sea ice retreat and advance and their relation to El Niño–Southern Oscillation and southern annular mode variability. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, C03S90, doi:10.1029/2007JC004269.
- Sutherland, W., and Coauthors, 2012: A horizon scan of global conservation issues for 2012. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, **37**, 12–18, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.10.011.
- Tamura, T., K. I. Ohshima, and S. Nishihashi, 2008: Mapping of sea ice production for Antarctic coastal polynyas. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **35**, L07606, doi:10.1029/2007GL032903.

- Thoma, M., A. Jenkins, D. Holland, and S. Jacobs, 2008: Modelling circumpolar deep water intrusions on the Amundsen Sea continental shelf, Antarctica. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **35**, L18602, doi:10.1029/2008GL034939.
- Thompson, D. W. J., and S. Solomon, 2002: Interpretation of recent southern hemisphere climate change. *Science*, **296**, 895–899, doi:10.1126/science.1069270.
- van den Broeke, M., 2005: Strong surface melting preceded collapse of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelf. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L12815, doi:10.1029/2005GL023247.
- Wilchinsky, A. V., and D. L. Feltham, 2009: Numerical simulation of the Filchner overflow. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **114**, C12012, doi:10.1029/2008JC005013.
- Williams, A., S. Bacon, and S. Cunningham, 2006: Variability of the lower circumpolar deep water in Drake Passage 1926–2004. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L03603, doi:10.1029/2005GL024226.
- Zwally, H. J., D. Yi, R. Kwok, and Y. Zhao, 2008: Icesat measurements of sea ice freeboard and estimates of sea ice thickness in the Weddell Sea. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, C02S15, doi:10.1029/2007JC004284.