Check for updates

DATA NOTE



The genome sequence of the European shag, Gulosus

aristotelis (previously Phalacrocorax aristotelis) (Linnaeus,

1761) [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review]

Hannah M. Ravenswater¹, Fiona Greco¹, Sarah J. Burthe², Emma J. A. Cunningham¹, Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team, Tree of Life Core Informatics collective, Darwin Tree of Life Consortium

¹The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK ²UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, England, UK

✔1 First published: 11 Mar 2024, 9:144 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.21119.1 Latest published: 11 Mar 2024, 9:144 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.21119.1

Abstract

We present a genome assembly from an individual female *Gulosus aristotelis*, previously known as *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*, (the European shag; Chordata; Aves; Pelecaniformes; Phalacrocoracidae). The genome sequence is 1,279.1 megabases in span. Most of the assembly is scaffolded into 36 chromosomal pseudomolecules, including the Z and W sex chromosomes. The mitochondrial genome has also been assembled and is 18.61 kilobases in length. Gene annotation of this assembly on Ensembl identified 16,474 protein coding genes.

Keywords

Gulosus aristotelis, Phalacrocorax aristotelis, European shag, genome sequence, chromosomal, Pelecaniformes



This article is included in the Tree of Life gateway.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status AWAITING PEER REVIEW

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Darwin Tree of Life Consortium (mark.blaxter@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: Ravenswater HM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Greco F: Investigation, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Burthe SJ: Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Cunningham EJA: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing;

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute [206194, https://doi.org/10.35802/206194] and the Darwin Tree of Life Discretionary Award [218328, https://doi.org/10.35802/218328] and NERC awards NE/S007407/1, NE/L002558/1 and NE/V001779/1.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2024 Ravenswater HM *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Ravenswater HM, Greco F, Burthe SJ *et al.* The genome sequence of the European shag, *Gulosus aristotelis* (previously *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) (Linnaeus, 1761) [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review] Wellcome Open Research 2024, 9:144 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.21119.1

First published: 11 Mar 2024, 9:144 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.21119.1

Species taxonomy

Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Deuterostomia; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Gnathostomata; Teleostomi; Euteleostomi; Sarcopterygii; Dipnotetrapodomorpha; Tetrapoda; Amniota; Sauropsida; Sauria; Archelosauria; Archosauria; Dinosauria; Saurischia; Theropoda; Coelurosauria; Aves; Neognathae; Suliformes; Phalacrocoracidae; *Phalacrocorax; Phalacrocorax aristotelis* (Linnaeus, 1761) (NCBI:txid126867).

Background

Gulosus aristotelis (previously known as *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) and commonly known as the European shag, is a large seabird species within the cormorant family, Phalacrocoridae (Croxall, 1987; Thanou *et al.*, 2017). European shags have dark-green plumage in adulthood but that can often appear black, a yellow gape, green eyes, black legs and feet and a crest during the breeding season (Wanless & Harris, 1997). Juve-nile plumage is lighter brown and present up to two years old (Wanless & Harris, 1997). The species displays sexual dimorphism, with males weighing 1900 g on average, compared to 1600 g in females (Daunt *et al.*, 2001). Sex is typically identified using vocalisations in adults (Snow, 1960) and molecular techniques in juveniles (Thanou *et al.*, 2013).

European Shags are benthic foot-propelled pursuit divers, with a partially wettable plumage that facilitates diving in shallow waters but requires individuals to return to shore each day to dry and roost (Grémillet *et al.*, 1998), increasing their vulnerability to inclement weather conditions. The species' diet primarily consists of fish with some predation of crustations, with both temporal and spatial variability consumption of prey species (Howells *et al.*, 2017; Howells *et al.*, 2018; Velando & Freire, 1999).

Gulosus aristotelis breeds colonially, creating nest sites on cliff ledges or cavities under rocks (Velando & Freire, 2001; Wanless & Harris, 1997). European shags start breeding at 2 to 3 years (Aebischer, 1986), with the lifespan of over 14 years on average (Herborn et al., 2014), with the oldest individual recorded on the Isle of May, an important seabird breeding colony where shags have been intensively monitored since the 1970s, at 23 years-old (Hall, 2004). Breeding occurs seasonally, with shags laying on average a clutch of three eggs, which hatch asynchronously after an approximately 35-day incubation period (Granroth-Wilding et al., 2014; Snow, 1960). Both sexes provide parental care, in the form of incubation and provisioning until fledging of chicks at approximately 55 days (Daunt et al., 2007; Snow, 1960). During the non-breeding season, European shags remain coastal but may migrate variable distances from their breeding location. For example, on the Isle of May in Scotland, approximately 50% of the population migrates during the winter, with half the population remaining resident at the breeding area and half migrating up and down the entire East coast of the UK and, more rarely, across the North Sea to the Netherlands (Acker et al., 2023).

G.aristotelis has a range that covers most of the coastline of Europe, with high concentrations the Atlantic coast and

Mediterranean, and smaller populations at its southern range limit on the coast of North Africa (GBIF Secretariat, 2023). With a European breeding population estimated at 152,000 (BirdLife International, 2021), European shags are listed as 'least concern' but decreasing on the IUCN red list (BirdLife International, 2018), with threats including climate change and extreme weather events, changing prey availability, pollution and disease (BirdLife International, 2018; Hicks *et al.*, 2019). Similarly to other seabirds, European shags are ecologically important, not only in their role as marine predators but as key indicators of marine ecosystem health and environmental change (Parsons *et al.*, 2008; Piatt & Sydeman, 2007).

Long term monitoring programmes of seabird colonies, including European shags, such as that carried out on the Isle of May in Scotland (from which this specimen originates), are crucial in building a picture of the interacting processes that threaten seabirds. Therefore, the availability of this complete reference genome is a vital step in combining molecular tools with existing large life history datasets. For example, this genome will immediately aid the completion of an epigenetic clock, widening access to age data for birds that have not been individually marked as chicks, as well as the impact of stressors on biological aging where birds are of known age. This includes responses to infection and the genome sequence will be used to explore the molecular mechanisms of resistance and immunity against parasitism and disease, including long term chronic infections with parasites that are ubiquitous across individuals (Granroth-Wilding et al., 2017), and species differences in response to recent Avian Influenza outbreaks.

The genome of the European shag, *Gulosus aristotelis*, was sequenced as part of the Darwin Tree of Life Project and the Vertebrate Genomes Project (VGP). Here we present a chromosomally complete genome sequence for *Gulosus aristotelis*, based on one female specimen from the Isle of May National Nature Reserve, Scotland.

Genome sequence report

The genome was sequenced from a blood sample taken from one female *Gulosus aritotelis* (previously *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) (Figure 1) temporarily caught under licence from



Figure 1. Photograph of a *Gulosus aristotelis* (previously *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) (bGulAri2) from same study **population as the specimen used for genome sequencing.** Photograph by Fiona Greco.

Isle of May National Nature Reserve, Scotland, UK (56.19, –2.57). A total of 42-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads was generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 52 missing joins or mis-joins, reducing the scaffold number by 9.49%, and decreasing the scaffold N50 by 6.93%.

The final assembly has a total length of 1,279.1 Mb in 352 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 78.9 Mb (Table 1). The snail plot in Figure 2 provides a summary of the assembly statistics, while the distribution of assembly scaffolds on GC proportion and coverage is shown in Figure 3. The cumulative assembly plot in Figure 4 shows curves for subsets of scaffolds assigned to different phyla. Most (96.21%) of the assembly

Table 1. Genome data for Phalacrocorax aristotelis, bGulAri2.1.

Project accession data			
Assembly identifier	bGulAri2.1		
Species	Gulosus aristotelis (previously Phalacrocorax aristotelis)		
Specimen	bGulAri2		
NCBI taxonomy ID	126867		
BioProject	PRJEB57282		
BioSample ID	SAMEA10059652		
Isolate information	bGulAri2, female: blood sample (DNA, Hi-C and RNA sequencing)		
Assembly metrics*		Benchmark	
Consensus quality (QV)	61.7	≥ 50	
k-mer completeness	100.0%	≥ 95%	
BUSCO**	C:97.2%[S:96.6%,D:0.6%],F:0.5%, M:2.2%,n:8,338	C ≥ 95%	
Percentage of assembly mapped to chromosomes	96.21%	≥ 95%	
Sex chromosomes	ZW	localised homologous pairs	
Organelles	Mitochondrial genome: 18.61 kb	complete single alleles	
Raw data accessions			
PacificBiosciences SEQUEL II	ERR10462081, ERR10462082		
Hi-C Illumina	ERR10466815		
PolyA RNA-Seq Illumina	ERR11606292		
Genome assembly			
Assembly accession	GCA_949628215.1		
Accession of alternate haplotype	GCA_949628205.1		
Span (Mb)	1,279.1		
Number of contigs	575		
Contig N50 length (Mb)	11.4		
Number of scaffolds	352		
Scaffold N50 length (Mb)	78.9		
Longest scaffold (Mb)	219.24		
Genome annotation			
Number of protein-coding genes	16,474		
Number of non-coding genes	1,001		
Number of gene transcripts	26,595		

* Assembly metric benchmarks are adapted from column VGP-2020 of "Table 1: Proposed standards and metrics for defining genome assembly quality" from Rhie *et al.* (2021).

** BUSCO scores based on the aves_odb10 BUSCO set using version 5.3.2. C = complete [S = single copy, D = duplicated], F = fragmented, M = missing, n = number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/bGulAri2_1/dataset/bGulAri2_1/busco.



Figure 2. Genome assembly of *Gulosus aristotelis***, (previously***Phalacrocorax aristotelis***), bGulAri2.1: metrics.** The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The main plot is divided into 1,000 size-ordered bins around the circumference with each bin representing 0.1% of the 1,279,134,750 bp assembly. The distribution of scaffold lengths is shown in dark grey with the plot radius scaled to the longest scaffold present in the assembly (219,240,020 bp, shown in red). Orange and pale-orange arcs show the N50 and N90 scaffold lengths (78,889,319 and 13,321,506 bp), respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative scaffold count on a log scale with white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue and pale-blue area around the outside of the plot shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages in the same bins as the inner plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the aves_odb10 set is shown in the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/bGulAri2_1/dataset/bGulAri2_1/snail.

sequence was assigned to 36 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 34 autosomes and the Z and W sex chromosomes. Chromosome-scale scaffolds confirmed by the Hi-C data are named in order of size (Figure 5; Table 2). While not fully phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited. The mitochondrial genome was also assembled and can be found as a contig within the multifasta file of the genome submission.

The estimated Quality Value (QV) of the final assembly is 61.7 with *k*-mer completeness of 100.0%, and the assembly has a BUSCO v5.3.2 completeness of 97.2% (single = 96.6%, duplicated = 0.6%), using the aves_odb10 reference set (n = 8,338).

Metadata for specimens, barcode results, spectra estimates, sequencing runs, contaminants and pre-curation assembly statistics are given at https://links.tol.sanger.ac.uk/species/126867.



Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Phalacrocorax aristotelis***, bGulAri2.1: BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot.** Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/bGulAri2_1/dataset/bGulAri2_1/blob.

Genome annotation report

The *Gulosus aritotelis* (previously *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) genome assembly (GCA_949628215.1) was annotated at the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) using the Ensembl rapid annotation pipeline. The resulting annotation includes 26,595 transcribed mRNAs from 16,474 protein-coding and 1,001 non-coding genes (Table 1; https://rapid.ensembl.org/Phalacrocorax_aristotelis_GCA_949628215.1/Info/Index).

Methods

Sample acquisition and nucleic acid extraction

A blood sample was taken from a female *Gulosus aristotelis* (previously *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) (specimen ID SAN0001768, ToLID bGulAri2) from the Isle of May National Nature Reserve, Scotland, UK (latitude 56.19, longitude –2.57) on 2021-06-29. The species was identified by Hannah Ravenswater (University of Edinburgh) and, Fiona Greco (University



Figure 4. Genome assembly of *Gulosus aristotelis*, **bGulAri2.1: BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot.** The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/bGulAri2_1/dataset/bGulAri2_1/cumulative.

of Edinburgh) and Sarah Burthe (UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology). Capture occurred via crook at the nest site during late chick rearing, and the individual released to the same location. Blood sampling was conducted by appropriately trained personal license holders, acting under a UK Home Office Project License in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. The blood sample was collected via brachial venepuncture of the live specimen using a 25-gauge needle. 75 μ l blood was placed in 500 μ l 95% ethanol, and then frozen at -80 °C within 120 mins.

The workflow for high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) includes a sequence of core procedures: sample preparation; sample homogenisation, DNA extraction, fragmentation, and clean-up. In sample preparation, the bGulAri2 sample was weighed and dissected on dry ice (Jay *et al.*, 2023). The blood sample was homogenised using a PowerMasher II tissue disruptor (Denton *et al.*, 2023a).

HMW DNA was extracted using the Nanobind whole blood protocol (Pacific Biosciences *et al.*, 2023). DNA was sheared into an average fragment size of 12–20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system with speed setting 31 (Bates *et al.*, 2023). Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation (Oatley *et al.*, 2023): in brief, the method employs a 1.8X ratio of AMPure PB beads to sample to eliminate shorter fragments



Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Gulosus aristotelis*, bGulAri2.1: Hi-C contact map of the bGulAri2.1 assembly, visualised using HiGlass. Chromosomes are shown in order of size from left to right and top to bottom. An interactive version of this figure may be viewed at https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=AXw7sVdmRrWp1DFeFKZT-Q.

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Length (Mb)	GC%
OX451222.1	1	219.24	41.0
OX451223.1	2	168.56	40.5
OX451224.1	3	131.34	41.0
OX451225.1	4	84.76	40.5
OX451227.1	5	71.72	42.0
OX451228.1	6	63.33	43.0
OX451229.1	7	55.81	43.0
OX451230.1	8	46.83	43.5
OX451231.1	9	39.05	42.0
OX451233.1	10	26.91	44.5
OX451234.1	11	24.85	44.0
OX451235.1	12	24.68	43.5
OX451236.1	13	19.06	47.0
OX451237.1	14	17.93	42.0
OX451238.1	15	17.37	46.0
OX451239.1	16	14.99	47.5
OX451240.1	17	13.73	48.0

Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomoleculesin the genome assembly of Phalacrocoraxaristotelis, bGulAri2.

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Length (Mb)	GC%
OX451241.1	18	13.32	46.5
OX451242.1	19	9.84	47.5
OX451243.1	20	9.08	51.0
OX451244.1	21	8.89	52.5
OX451245.1	22	8.36	49.0
OX451246.1	23	8.19	52.5
OX451247.1	24	7.62	50.5
OX451248.1	25	3.89	57.0
OX451249.1	26	3.57	58.5
OX451250.1	27	2.68	47.5
OX451251.1	28	1.64	59.0
OX451252.1	29	1.11	54.5
OX451253.1	30	0.71	62.0
OX451254.1	31	0.63	57.5
OX451255.1	32	0.33	63.0
OX451256.1	33	0.23	61.5
OX451257.1	34	0.23	59.5
OX451232.1	W	31.35	47.5
OX451226.1	Z	78.89	40.5
OX451258.1	MT	0.02	44.5

and concentrate the DNA. The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer and Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

RNA was extracted from a blood sample from bGulAri2 in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using the RNA Extraction: Automated MagMaxTM *mir*Vana protocol (do Amaral *et al.*, 2023). The RNA concentration was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and a Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit RNA Broad-Range Assay kit. Analysis of the integrity of the RNA was done using the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit and Eukaryotic Total RNA assay.

Protocols developed by the WSI Tree of Life laboratory are publicly available on protocols.io (Denton *et al.*, 2023b).

Sequencing

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus DNA sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers' instructions. Poly(A) RNA-Seq libraries were constructed using the NEB Ultra II RNA Library Prep kit. DNA and RNA sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations core at the WSI on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (HiFi) and Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (RNA-Seq) instruments. Hi-C data were also generated from a blood sample from bGulAri2 using the Arima2 kit and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument.

Genome assembly, curation and evaluation

Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021) and haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with

purge_dups (Guan *et al.*, 2020). The assembly was then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao *et al.*, 2014) using YaHS (Zhou *et al.*, 2023). The assembly was checked for contamination and corrected using the gEVAL system (Chow *et al.*, 2016) as described previously (Howe *et al.*, 2021). Manual curation was performed using gEVAL, HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018) and PretextView (Harry, 2022). The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva *et al.*, 2023), which runs MitoFinder (Allio *et al.*, 2020) or MITOS (Bernt *et al.*, 2013) and uses these annotations to select the final mitochondrial contig and to ensure the general quality of the sequence.

A Hi-C map for the final assembly was produced using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019) in the Cooler file format (Abdennur & Mirny, 2020). To assess the assembly metrics, the *k*-mer completeness and QV consensus quality values were calculated in Merqury (Rhie *et al.*, 2020). This work was done using Nextflow (Di Tommaso *et al.*, 2017) DSL2 pipelines "sanger-tol/readmapping" (Surana *et al.*, 2023a) and "sanger-tol/genomenote" (Surana *et al.*, 2023b). The genome was nalysed within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis *et al.*, 2020) and BUSCO scores (Manni *et al.*, 2021; Simão *et al.*, 2015) were calculated.

Table 3 contains a list of relevant software tool versions and sources.

Genome annotation

The Ensembl Genebuild annotation system (Aken *et al.*, 2016) at the EBI was used to generate annotation for the *Gulosus aritotelis* assembly (GCA_949628215.1). Annotation was created primarily through alignment of transcriptomic data to the genome, with gap filling via protein-to-genome alignments

	Table 3.	Software	tools:	versions	and	sources.
--	----------	----------	--------	----------	-----	----------

Software tool	Version	Source
BlobToolKit	4.1.7	https://github.com/blobtoolkit/blobtoolkit
BUSCO	5.3.2	https://gitlab.com/ezlab/busco
gEVAL	N/A	https://geval.org.uk/
Hifiasm	0.16.1	https://github.com/chhylp123/hifiasm
HiGlass	1.11.6	https://github.com/higlass/higlass
Merqury	MerquryFK	https://github.com/thegenemyers/MERQURY.FK
MitoHiFi	2	https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi
PretextView	0.2	https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView
purge_dups	1.2.3	https://github.com/dfguan/purge_dups
sanger-tol/genomenote	v1.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/genomenote
sanger-tol/readmapping	1.1.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/readmapping/tree/1.1.0
YaHS	yahs- 1.1.91eebc2	https://github.com/c-zhou/yahs

of a select set of proteins from UniProt (UniProt Consortium, 2019).

Institute), and in some circumstances other Darwin Tree of Life collaborators.

Wellcome Sanger Institute – Legal and Governance

The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner. The submission of materials by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner is subject to the **'Darwin Tree of Life Project Sampling Code of Practice'**, which can be found in full on the Darwin Tree of Life website here. By agreeing with and signing up to the Sampling Code of Practice, the Darwin Tree of Life Partner agrees they will meet the legal and ethical requirements and standards set out within this document in respect of all samples acquired for, and supplied to, the Darwin Tree of Life Project.

Further, the Wellcome Sanger Institute employs a process whereby due diligence is carried out proportionate to the nature of the materials themselves, and the circumstances under which they have been/are to be collected and provided for use. The purpose of this is to address and mitigate any potential legal and/or ethical implications of receipt and use of the materials as part of the research project, and to ensure that in doing so we align with best practice wherever possible. The overarching areas of consideration are:

- Ethical review of provenance and sourcing of the material
- Legality of collection, transfer and use (national and international)

Each transfer of samples is further undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Darwin Tree of Life Partner, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger

Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: *Gulosus aristotelis* (European shag). Accession number PRJEB57282; https://identifiers.org/ena.embl/PRJEB57282 (Wellcome Sanger Institute, 2023). The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *Gulosus aristotelis* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project and the Vertebrate Genomes Project (VGP). All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.

Author information

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4893703.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team are listed here: https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.10066175.

Members of Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.10043364.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10066637.

Members of the Tree of Life Core Informatics collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5013541.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Consortium are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4783558.

References

Abdennur N, Mirny LA: Cooler: Scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics*. 2020; 36(1): 311–316. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Acker P, Daunt F, Wanless S, et al.: Additive genetic and environmental variation interact to shape the dynamics of seasonal migration in a wild bird population. Evolution. 2023; 77(10): 2128–2143. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Aebischer NJ: Retrospective Investigation of an Ecological Disaster in the Shag, *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*: A General Method Based on Long-Term Marking. J Anim Ecol. 1986; 55(2): 613. Publisher Full Text

Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, et al.: **The Ensembl gene annotation system.** Database (Oxford). 2016; **2016**: baw093.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, et al.: MitoFinder: Efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 2020; **20**(4): 892–905. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Bates A, Clayton-Lucey I, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA Fragmentation: Diagenode Megaruptor® 3 for LI PacBio. Protocols.Io. 2023. Publisher Full Text Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, *et al.*: **MITOS: Improved** *de novo* **metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation**. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*. 2013; **69**(2): 313–319. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text**

BirdLife International: **Gulosus aristotelis.** The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2018.

BirdLife International: **European Red List of Birds.** Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2021. **Reference Source**

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, *et al.*: **BlobToolKit - interactive quality** assessment of genome assemblies. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 2020; **10**(4): 1361–1374. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, *et al.*: Haplotype-resolved *de novo* assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nat Methods*. 2021; **18**(2): 170–175.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Chow W, Brugger K, Caccamo M, et al.: gEVAL — a web-based browser for evaluating genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2016; 32(16): 2508–2510. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Croxall JP: **Seabirds: feeding ecology and role in marine ecosystems.** Cambridge University Press, 1987; **8**. **Reference Source** Daunt F, Afanasyev V, Adam A, et al.: From cradle to early grave: juvenile mortality in European shags Phalacrocorax aristotelis results from inadequate development of foraging proficiency. *Biol Lett.* 2007; **3**(4): 371–374. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Daunt F, Monaghan P, Wanless S, et al.: Sons and daughters: age-specific differences in parental rearing capacities. Funct Ecol. 2001; 15(2): 211–216. **Publisher Full Text**

Denton A, Oatley G, Cornwell C, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life Sample Homogenisation: PowerMash. protocols.io. 2023a. **Publisher Full Text**

Denton A, Yatsenko H, Jay J, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life Wet Laboratory Protocol Collection V.1. protocols.io. 2023b. **Publisher Full Text**

Di Tommaso P, Chatzou M, Floden EW, et al.: Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(4): 316–319. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

do Amaral RJV, Bates A, Denton A, *et al.*: Sanger Tree of Life RNA Extraction: Automated MagMax™ mirVana. *Protocols.Io.* 2023. **Publisher Full Text**

GBIF Secretariat: *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* (Linnaeus, 1761). *GBIF Backbone Taxonomy*. 2023; [Accessed 15 February 2024]. **Reference Source**

Granroth-Wilding HMV, Burthe SJ, Lewis S, et al.: Parasitism in early life: environmental conditions shape within-brood variation in responses to infection. Ecol Evol. 2014; 4(17): 3408-3419.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Granroth-Wilding HMV, Daunt F, Cunningham EJA, et al.: Between-individual variation in nematode burden among juveniles in a wild host. Parasitology. 2017; **144**(2): 248–258. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text**

Grémillet D, Tuschy I, Kierspel M: Body temperature and insulation in diving Great Cormorants and European Shags. Funct Ecol. 1998; 12(3): 386-394. Publisher Full Text

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(9): 2896-2898

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Hall ME: Senescence and reproductive performance in the European shag (Phalacrocorax aristotelis). University of Glasgow (United Kingdom), 2004. **Reference Source**

Harry E: PretextView (Paired REad TEXTure Viewer): A desktop application for viewing pretext contact maps. 2022; [Accessed 19 October 2022]. Reference

Herborn KA, Heidinger BJ, Boner W, et al.: Stress exposure in early post-natal life reduces telomere length: an experimental demonstration in a long-lived seabird. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2014; **281**(1782): 20133151. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Hicks O, Green JA, Daunt F, et al.: Sublethal effects of natural parasitism act through maternal, but not paternal, reproductive success in a wild population. Ecology. 2019; 100(8): e02772.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, et al.: Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation. GigaScience. Oxford University Press, 2021; **10**(1): giaa153.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Howells R, Burthe S, Green J, et al.: From days to decades: short- and long-term variation in environmental conditions affect offspring diet composition of a marine top predator. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 2017; **583**: 227–242. **Publisher Full Text**

Howells RJ, Burthe SJ, Green JA, et al.: Pronounced long-term trends in year-round diet composition of the European shag Phalacrocorax aristotelis. Mar Biol. 2018; 165(12): 188. **Publisher Full Text**

Jay J, Yatsenko H, Narváez-Gómez JP, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life Sample Preparation: Triage and Dissection. protocols.io. 2023.

Publisher Full Text

Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. Genome Biol. 2018; **19**(1): 125

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, et al.: BUSCO Update: Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. Mol Biol Evol. 2021; 38(10): 4647-4654.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Oatley G, Sampaio F, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life Fragmented DNA clean up: Automated SPRI. protocols.io. 2023. Publisher Full Text

Pacific Biosciences, Denton A, Oatley G, *et al.*: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA Extraction: Manual Nucleated Blood Nanobind[®]. *protocols.io.* 2023; [Accessed 5 Ianuary 20241.

Publisher Full Text

Parsons M, Mitchell I, Butler A, et al.: Seabirds as indicators of the marine environment. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 2008; **65**(8): 1520–1526. Publisher Full Text

Piatt I, Sydeman W: Seabirds as indicators of marine ecosystems. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007; **352**: 199–204. Publisher Full Text

Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durd NC, et al.: A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell. 2014; 159(7) 1665-1680

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, et al.: Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature. 2021; 592(7856): 737-746

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, et al.: Merqury: Reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biol. 2020; 21(1): 245

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, et al.: BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015; 31(19): 3210-3212. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Snow B: The breeding biology of the Shag *Phala crocorax aristotelis* on the Island of Lundy, Bristol Channel. *Ibis*. 1960; **102**(4): 554–575. **Publisher Full Text**

Surana P, Muffato M, Qi G: sanger-tol/readmapping: sanger-tol/ readmapping v1.1.0 - Hebridean Black (1.1.0). Zenodo. 2023a. Publisher Full Text

Surana P, Muffato M, Sadasivan Baby C: sanger-tol/genomenote (v1.0.dev). Zenodo, 2023b.

Publisher Full Text

Thanou E, Giokas S, Goutner V, et al.: Efficiency and Accuracy of PCR-Based Sex Determination Methods in the European Phalacrocoracidae. Ann Zool Fenn. 2013; 50(1-2): 52-63.

Publisher Full Text

Thanou E, Sponza S, Nelson EJ, et al.: Genetic structure in the European endemic seabird, *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*, shaped by a complex interaction of historical and contemporary, physical and nonphysical drivers. *Mol Ecol.* 2017; 26(10): 2796-2811.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Uliano-Silva M, Ferreira JGRN, Krasheninnikova K, et al.: MitoHiFi: a python pipeline for mitochondrial genome assembly from PacBio high fidelity reads. BMC Bioinformatics. 2023; 24(1): 288. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

UniProt Consortium: UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2019; 47(D1): D506-D515. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Vasimuddin M, Misra S, Li H, et al.: Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration Of BWA-MEM for Multicore Systems. In: 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS). IEEE, 2019; 314–324. **Publisher Full Text**

Velando A, Freire J: Intercolony and seasonal differences in the breeding diet of European shags on the Galician coast (NW Spain). Mar Ecol Prog.Ser. 1999; **188**: 225–236. Publisher Full Text

Velando A, Freire J: Can the central-periphery distribution become general in seabird colonies? Nest spatial pattern in the European Shag. Condor. 2001: 103: 544-554.

Wanless S, Harris MP: Phalacrocorax aristotelis Shag. Birds of the Western Palearctic Update. 1997; 1(1): 3-13. **Reference Source**

Wellcome Sanger Institute: The genome sequence of the European shag, Gulosus aristotelis (previously Phalacrocorax aristotelis) (Linnaeus, 1761). European Nucleotide Archive. [dataset], accession number PRJEB57282, 2023. Zhou C, McCarthy SA, Durbin R: YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool.

Bioinformatics. Edited by C. Alkan, 2023; 39(1): btac808. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text