

Article (refereed) - postprint

Woolway, R. Iestyn; Jennings, Eleanor; Shatwell, Tom; Golub, Malgorzata; Pierson, Don C.; Maberly, Stephen C. 2021. **Lake heatwaves under climate change.** *Nature*, 589 (7842). 402-407. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-03119-1>

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2020

For use in accordance with Nature Research's Terms of Reuse of archived manuscripts

This version is available at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/529490>

Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>.

This document is the authors' final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. There may be differences between this and the publisher's version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at <https://www.nature.com/>

Contact UKCEH NORA team at
noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

Title: Lake heatwaves under climate change

Authors: R. Iestyn Woolway^{1,2*}, Eleanor Jennings¹, Tom Shatwell³, Malgorzata Golub⁴, Don C. Pierson⁴, Stephen C. Maberly⁵

Affiliations:

¹Centre for Freshwater and Environmental Studies, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk, Ireland

²European Space Agency Climate Office, ECSAT, Harwell Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, UK

³Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), Department of Lake Research, Brückstrasse 3a, 39114 Magdeburg, Germany

⁴Uppsala University, Department of Ecology and Genetics/Limnology, Kåbovägen 4A, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden

⁵UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster, UK

*Correspondence to: riwoolway@gmail.com

Summary

Lake ecosystems, and the organisms that live within them, are vulnerable to temperature change¹⁻⁵, including the increased occurrence of thermal extremes⁶. However, very little is known about lake heatwaves—periods of extreme warm lake surface water temperature—and how they may change under global warming. Here we use satellite observations and a numerical model to investigate changes in lake heatwaves for hundreds of lakes worldwide from 1901 to 2099. We show that lake heatwaves will become hotter and longer by the end of the twenty-first century. For the high-greenhouse-gas-emission scenario (Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5), the average intensity of lake heatwaves, defined relative to the historical period (1970 to 1999), will increase from 3.7 ± 0.1 to 5.4 ± 0.8 degrees Celsius and their average duration will increase dramatically from 7.7 ± 0.4 to 95.5 ± 35.3 days. In the low-greenhouse-gas-emission RCP 2.6 scenario, heatwave intensity and duration will increase to 4.0 ± 0.2 degrees Celsius and 27.0 ± 7.6 days, respectively. Surface heatwaves are longer-lasting but less intense in deeper lakes (up to 60 metres deep) than in shallower lakes during both historic and future periods. As lakes warm during the twenty-first century^{7,8}, their heatwaves will begin to extend across multiple seasons, with some lakes reaching a permanent heatwave state. Lake heatwaves are likely to exacerbate the adverse effects of long-term warming in lakes and exert widespread influence on their physical structure and chemical properties. Lake heatwaves could alter species composition by pushing aquatic species and ecosystems to the limits of their resilience. This in turn could threaten lake biodiversity⁹ and the key ecological and economic benefits that lakes provide to society.

Main text:

There is compelling evidence that climate change is leading to more frequent and intense heatwaves over land^{10,11} and at the surface of the ocean¹²⁻¹⁶, increasing the risk of severe and in some cases irreversible ecological and socioeconomic impacts¹⁷. In comparison, we know

44 much less about heatwaves in lakes and how they will change within a warming world. This
45 knowledge gap is of considerable concern given the high vulnerability of lakes, and the
46 ecosystem goods and services that they provide, to thermal extremes^{6,18}.

47

48 A lake heatwave event can be defined, similar to marine heatwaves^{13,17,19}, as a period in which
49 lake surface temperatures exceed a local and seasonally varying 90th percentile threshold,
50 relative to a baseline climatological mean (the average temperature for the day/month of year
51 evaluated over the base period), for at least five days (see Methods; Extended Data Fig. 1a).
52 Here, we quantify past changes and assess future ones for different lake heatwave
53 characteristics using a lake model forced with atmospheric data (air temperature, solar and
54 thermal radiation, wind speed, atmospheric pressure, humidity) from an ensemble of four bias-
55 corrected 20th and 21st century climate projections (see Methods). Specifically, using satellite-
56 derived lake surface temperatures to optimize key parameters of a lake model (i.e., to represent
57 the thermal dynamics of the individual lakes), we simulate daily temperatures for hundreds of
58 lakes worldwide (Extended Data Fig. 2a-c), and investigate how lake heatwave intensity and
59 duration respond to climate change. The ability of the optimized lake model to simulate lake
60 heatwaves is evaluated by comparing the simulations with satellite-derived lake temperatures
61 during the historic period (see Methods). Good agreement was obtained between simulations
62 and observations of lake heatwaves and also of mean lake surface temperatures (Extended Data
63 Fig. 3). Using the optimized model, we simulated daily lake surface temperatures for all studied
64 lakes from 1901 to 2099. Historical simulations used anthropogenic greenhouse gas and
65 aerosol forcing in addition to natural forcing, and cover the period 1901 to 2005. Future
66 projections, which represent the evolution of the climate system subject to three different
67 anthropogenic greenhouse gas emission scenarios covering the period 2006 to 2099, RCP 2.6
68 (low-emission scenario), 6.0 (medium-emission), and 8.5 (high-emission), are also
69 investigated. For all model experiments, the climatological mean used to define anomalies was
70 calculated relative to a 30-year base period (1970 to 1999).

71

72 Simulated lake heatwave events from 1901 to 2099 are summarized to produce a set of
73 characteristics for lake heatwaves. We derived metrics for duration (time between start and end
74 dates of a lake heatwave event) and intensity (mean temperature anomaly over the heatwave).
75 We also use an intensity-based lake heatwave category to define the relative strength of each
76 lake heatwave (e.g., Extended Data Fig. 1b), where each event is classed as being Moderate,
77 Strong, Severe, or Extreme following the definitions of ref. 20. These categories are defined
78 by the maximum intensity of each lake heatwave event scaled by the threshold temperature
79 anomaly exceeding the climatological mean. A “Moderate” category is defined as a period of
80 time in which the lake surface temperature is above the 90th percentile of the climatological
81 distribution; “Strong” if the largest temperature anomaly during the event is more than twice
82 as large as the difference between the seasonal average and the 90th percentile; “Severe” if the
83 largest anomaly is more than triple the difference; and “Extreme” at four times or greater. We
84 calculated time series of the annual average intensity and average duration of lake heatwave
85 events, as well as the total number of lake heatwave days within a year, and the number of days
86 belonging to each of the defined lake heatwave categories. The season of lake heatwave
87 occurrence was also investigated.

88

89 Our global lake temperature simulations suggest that a typical lake heatwave event, averaged
90 for all years from 1970 to 1999, had an average intensity of 3.7 ± 0.1 °C and lasted, on average,
91 7.7 ± 0.4 days (quoted uncertainties represent the standard deviation from the lake model driven
92 by the four climate model projections). Lake heatwave intensity and duration vary depending
93 on the climate model projection used with a range of 0.1 °C and 0.8 days, respectively, across
94 the lake-climate model ensembles (i.e., difference between the minimum and maximum of the
95 simulations). Hereafter, for each lake heatwave metric quoted, we also provide the minimum
96 and maximum from the four climate model ensembles (i.e., [min, max]). During the 21st
97 century, lake heatwave intensity and duration was projected to increase considerably
98 worldwide (Fig. 1). Some lakes have already experienced noticeable change in recent decades
99 (Extended Data Fig. 1c-f). The magnitude of change of these lake heatwave metrics during the
100 21st century increases with the severity of the RCP scenario. For the low greenhouse gas
101 emission scenario, the average intensity of lake heatwaves, averaged for all years from 2070 to
102 2099, will increase to 4.0 ± 0.2 [3.7, 4.2] °C and the average duration will increase 3-fold to
103 27.0 ± 7.6 days [16.1, 33.7]. Under the high greenhouse gas emissions scenario, the intensity
104 and duration of lake heatwaves will be much greater by the end of the 21st century. The average
105 intensity of lake heatwaves will increase to 5.4 ± 0.8 [4.3, 6.1] °C, and the average duration of
106 lake heatwaves will increase 12-fold to 95.5 ± 35.3 [45.8, 125.6] days (Fig. 1). Similar to marine
107 heatwaves^{12,13}, the intensity of lake heatwaves is linked to temperature variability. It is higher
108 in regions with high surface temperature variability such as high latitude lakes⁸, and lower in
109 regions with low variability, such as in tropical lakes (Fig. 1c). However, the projected lake
110 heatwave events at higher latitudes tended to be relatively short-lived compared to those
111 experienced in low-latitude lakes, in particular under future climate change (Fig. 1f).

112

113 Our simulations also showed a dependence of the average intensity and duration of heatwave
114 events on average lake depth (\log_{10} transformed) (Fig. 1g-i). To investigate this depth
115 dependence further, we first separated the studied lakes according to the thermal regions in
116 which they reside. By following the definitions of ref. 8, we separated the studied lakes into
117 nine thermal regions, which are categorized according to their seasonal patterns of surface
118 temperature (Extended Data Fig. 2d-g). Given the preponderance of lakes in high, northern
119 latitudes²¹ (Extended Data Fig. 2g), over 70% of our studied lakes are situated within the three
120 northernmost thermal regions: Northern Frigid ($n = 87$), Northern Cool ($n = 313$), and Northern
121 Temperate ($n = 123$). Within each of the nine thermal regions, we calculated the relationship
122 between lake depth and the intensity and duration of lake heatwaves (Extended Data Fig. 4-6).
123 For lakes situated in the Northern Cool region, where the majority of the studied lakes are
124 located, we calculated a statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) relationship between lake depth
125 and lake heatwave intensity ($R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.72$) and duration ($R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.42$) under RCP 8.5 (Fig. 1g-
126 i). Similar relationships were also observed under different climate trajectories as well as within
127 the other thermal regions with a sufficient number of lakes to make such comparisons
128 (Extended Data Fig. 4-6). Overall, we find that deeper lakes experience less intense but longer
129 lasting lake heatwaves. This depth effect is primarily because surface temperature anomalies
130 in deep lakes, due to their large thermal inertia, are (i) less sensitive to day-to-day changes in
131 atmospheric forcing and short-term climatic extremes and (ii) surface thermal anomalies are

132 eroded more slowly^{22,23}. Additional lake-specific factors, such as the surrounding topography
133 and mixing regimes, as well as temporal variations in these lake attributes and over-lake
134 meteorology (e.g., wind speed), can also be important for influencing heatwaves in lakes.
135 However, our analysis suggests that lake depth explains a large proportion of the variability in
136 lake heatwaves within each lake thermal region.

137
138 The RCP scenario had a strong influence on the projected intensity of events and therefore the
139 exposure to the most extreme lake heatwaves during the 21st century (Fig. 2). During, and
140 particularly towards the latter stages of the 20th century (averaged for all years from 1970 to
141 1999), the majority of lake heatwave events worldwide were categorized as Moderate (70±3.2
142 [66.5, 73.9] %) with relatively few Strong events (22±2.8 [19.5, 25.2] %) and very few Severe
143 (4±0.6 [3.0, 4.4] %) or Extreme (4±0.3 [3.3, 4.0] %) events. Under the RCP 2.6 scenario, future
144 projections suggest that by the end of the 21st century (averaged for all years from 2070 to
145 2099) there will be a more even partition between the four lake heatwave categories (i.e., %
146 contributions of Moderate : Strong : Severe : Extreme = 28±9.6 [20.5, 41.9] : 40±2.2 [37.3,
147 42.5] : 14±3.5 [9.2, 16.8] : 18±6.8 [9.9, 25.4]) indicating an increase in Strong, Severe and
148 Extreme lake heatwaves. Under RCP 8.5, Extreme lake heatwaves were projected to make up
149 the majority of all events (65±17.4%) by the end of the 21st century (Fig. 2), whereas Moderate
150 events were rare (4±3.1%; % contributions of Moderate : Strong : Severe : Extreme = 4±3.1
151 [1.6, 11.3] : 14±9.1 [7.3, 27.7] : 17±3.9 [12.1, 21.7] : 65±17.4 [39.4, 79.0]).

152
153 During the historical period, lake heatwaves were prominent features in lakes during Spring,
154 Summer and/or Fall with ~27±3%, ~38±4%, and ~24±4%, respectively, of the lakes studied
155 experiencing a lake heatwave event, on average, within a given year. As the climate warms
156 during the 21st century, and lake heatwaves become more intense and longer lasting, the time
157 of year in which they occur will also change (Fig. 3). Specifically, under the high greenhouse
158 gas emission scenario we project that by the end of the 21st century, lake heatwaves will no
159 longer be restricted to a single season but will extend across multiple seasons (Fig. 3e-l). Under
160 this scenario, 35±3% of the lakes included in our simulations experienced heatwaves that began
161 in Spring and ended in Summer (Fig. 3f), and/or began in Summer and ended in Fall (38±3%;
162 Fig. 3g). By the end of the century, more than 17±2% of lakes experienced a lake heatwave
163 event that began in Spring and was maintained until Fall (Fig. 3j).

164
165 By the end of the 21st century, the total annual duration of lake heatwave days per year, which
166 is typically greater at lower latitudes (Fig. 4b-c) and in deeper lakes (Fig. 4d-e; Extended Data
167 Fig. 7-8), is projected to increase considerably (Fig. 4a). In particular, under RCP 8.5, the
168 global average total duration of lake heatwave days, averaged for all years from 2070 to 2099,
169 will increase 12-fold to 219±44 [155.1, 254.4] days, compared to 17±3 [14.8, 20.0] days during
170 the historic period (i.e., averaged for all years from 1970 to 1999). Some lakes will also reach
171 a permanent lake heatwave state, which we define as when lake surface temperatures exceed
172 the lake heatwave threshold continuously over a full calendar year. The number of studied
173 lakes that will experience a permanent heatwave state will increase during the 21st century, but
174 will differ depending on the RCP scenario considered (Fig. 4e). Under RCP 8.5, over 80.5±47
175 [18, 124] of the studied lakes will reach a permanent heatwave state by 2099 (Fig. 4f). Seasonal

176 ice cover, which is important for a range of lake ecosystem services as well as the regulation
177 of the hydrological cycle²⁴, will influence the number of lakes that experience a permanent
178 heatwave, since lakes that freeze annually will not experience a heatwave throughout the entire
179 year. For the studied lakes that are projected to be ice-free by 2070-2099, the number of which
180 will increase during the 21st century (Extended Data Fig. 9a), we project that approximately
181 half ($45\pm 22\%$) of these will reach a permanent heatwave state by 2099 under RCP 8.5
182 (Extended Data Fig. 9b). The influence on lake heatwaves of increasingly ice-free winters is
183 already apparent in Lake Vättern, Sweden²⁵ (Extended Data Fig. 1c, e).

184
185 The emergence of a permanent lake heatwave state implies that extremes in the traditional
186 sense will no longer be ‘extreme’, and that there will be a substantial departure from the
187 ‘normal’ lake heatwave conditions, that have shaped lake ecosystems in the past, to a new
188 norm. This also suggests that the baseline period to maintain a 90th percentile definition into
189 the future could also be changing, if understanding the true extremes for any specific time
190 period was the focus of study. Indeed, by calculating a sliding 30-year climatological mean,
191 our simulations show that the surface temperature of the studied lakes will warm considerably
192 during the 21st century (Extended Data Fig. 9c). We test the influence of mean 21st century
193 surface temperature change on lake heatwaves by repeating our analysis after detrending the
194 lake surface temperature anomalies i.e., after removing the long-term warming signal¹⁵. Whilst
195 these metrics no longer strictly capture heatwaves, as least following the definitions of refs 12-
196 14, 16-17, 19 they are still useful in identifying extremes for any specific time period and for
197 investigating the primary drivers. The detrended surface temperature anomalies still
198 demonstrate an increase in ‘heatwave’ intensity and duration during the 21st century (RCP 8.5).
199 However, these changes are much-reduced compared to those calculated when the long-term
200 warming signal is included, particularly in terms of intensity which is influenced considerably
201 by the mean warming rate²⁶.

202
203 The choice of baseline and whether or not to detrend a time series prior to calculating lake
204 heatwaves¹⁵ depends on the application. A fixed baseline, as we have used here, is appropriate
205 for understanding impacts on species that adapt slowly (e.g., at evolutionary timescales); for
206 example, to identify how lake heatwaves may affect local species/ecosystems in the future.
207 However, if a species can adapt over, for example, decadal timescales to changing
208 temperatures, then a sliding baseline¹⁵ might be more appropriate, although there would
209 presumably be limits to the extent of possible adaptation. Given that micro-evolutionary rates
210 for a given species are unlikely to change rapidly enough to account for general rates of
211 warming over the 21st century, then the ability of a species to survive, or the effects on its
212 fitness through competition or interactions with other trophic levels, will depend on the
213 tolerance and effects of the increased temperature. Thus, although many aspects of species’
214 responses to shifting thermal regimes remain unclear, using a shifting baseline or a detrended
215 time series might not be effective for determining the potential ecological effects that lake
216 heatwaves may have in the future.

217
218 We expect that the increases in the intensity and duration of lake heatwaves that we have
219 described here will emerge as agents of disturbance to lake ecosystems in the near-future, as

220 has already occurred on land during atmospheric heatwaves, with reported mass mortality of
221 birds and mammals^{27,28} and significant effects on human health²⁹. Moreover, while
222 atmospheric heatwaves in terrestrial environments can dissipate rapidly, lake heatwaves may
223 dissipate at a much lower rate as a result of the higher thermal capacity of water than air, and
224 indirect effects of lake heatwaves on water level, caused by increased evaporation²⁴, and on
225 changing stratification and mixing patterns⁷, so intensifying the ecological response. Aquatic
226 organisms in regions close to their critical thermal maximum will be especially affected by lake
227 heatwaves⁶, leading to possibly extreme population loss, as has been documented in the marine
228 environment¹⁷. The effects of heatwaves on freshwater species might be mitigated by
229 exploiting the temporal and spatial variation within a lake, including phenological change³⁰
230 and a potential thermal refuge at depth³¹. However, phenological change can lead to food-web
231 desynchronisation³² and the increased number of heatwave days that we forecast may limit a
232 seasonal escape, while a potential refuge in deeper and cooler water has not prevented past
233 mortality events caused by thermal extremes⁶. In addition, dispersal to cooler sites at higher
234 elevation, or higher latitude^{33,34}, will be constrained by the fragmented nature of lakes in the
235 landscape, exacerbated by the worldwide increase in the number of dams³⁵. Where local
236 extinctions and range contractions in lakes involve ‘keystone species’, ecosystem effects could
237 be particularly severe, via habitat loss and alterations to food web dynamics and species-
238 interactions. A departure from historical lake thermal conditions, in combination with
239 increased anthropogenic dispersal, may allow non-native species from warmer regions to
240 become established and thrive³⁶, further disrupting freshwater food webs. These complex
241 interactions are hard to forecast but the extreme heatwave in the summer of 2003 in central
242 Europe illustrated the range of effects that might be expected including increased thermal
243 stability and hypolimnetic oxygen depletion³⁷, production of cyanobacterial blooms³⁸ and a
244 regime shift from pelagic to benthic productivity³⁹.

245
246 There is increasing appreciation of the link between climate change and increasing extreme
247 events and concern over their ecological effects on fresh waters, including those of heatwaves
248 but also storms^{40,41} and droughts⁴². These ‘pulse events’ are likely to amplify any negative
249 consequences of long-term ‘ramp effects’ such as warming water. Our projections of future
250 increases of heatwave duration and intensity for lakes may be conservative, as climate models
251 tend to underestimate the influence of climatic extremes on various ecosystems⁴³. Nonetheless,
252 our analysis of changes to the physical environment of lakes point towards emerging challenges
253 to lake biodiversity and the benefits lakes provide to human populations.

254 **References**

255


- 256 1. O'Reilly, C. et al., Rapid and highly variable warming of lake surface waters around the
257 globe. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 10773-10781 (2015).
- 258 2. Sharma, S., Jackson, D.A., Minns, C.K. & Shuter, B.J. Will northern fish populations be in
259 hot water because of climate change? *Glob. Change Biol.* **13**, 2052-2064 (2007).
- 260 3. Farmer, T.M., Marschall, E.A., Dabrowski, K. & Ludsin, S.A. Short winters threaten
261 temperate fish populations. *Nat. Comm.* **6**, 74 (2015).
- 262 4. Hansen, G., Read, J., Hansen, J. & Winslow, L. Projected shifts in fish species dominance
263 in Wisconsin lakes under climate change. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**, 1463–1476 (2017).
- 264 5. Jonsson, T. & Setzer, M.A. A freshwater predator hit twice by the effects of warming across
265 trophic levels. *Nat. Comm.* **6**:5992 (2015).
- 266 6. Till, A. et al. Fish die-offs are concurrent with thermal extremes in north temperate lakes.
267 *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 637-641 (2019).
- 268 7. Woolway, R.I. & Merchant, C.J. Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response
269 to climate change. *Nat. Geosci.* **12**, 271-276 (2019).
- 270 8. Maberly, S.C. et al. Global lake thermal regions shift under climate change. *Nat. Comm.*
271 **11**:1232 (2020).
- 272 9. Abell, R. et al. Freshwater ecoregions of the world: A new map of biogeographic units for
273 freshwater biodiversity conservation. *BioScience* **58**, 403-414 (2008).
- 274 10. Fischer, E.M. & Schär, C. Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact
275 European heatwaves. *Nat. Geosci.* **3**, 398-403 (2010).
- 276 11. Seneviratne, S.I., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D. et al. Changes in climate extremes and their
277 impacts on the natural physical environment. In: *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events
278 and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation* [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker,
279 D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen,
280 M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the
281 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press,
282 Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, pp. 109-230. (2012).
- 283 12. Frölicher, T.L. et al. Marine heatwaves under global warming. *Nature* **560**, 360-364 (2018).
- 284 13. Oliver, E.C.J. et al. Longer and more frequent marine heatwaves over the past century. *Nat.*
285 *Comm.* **9**, 1324 (2018).
- 286 14. Oliver, E.C.J. et al. Projected marine heatwaves in the 21st century and the potential for
287 ecological impact. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **6**, 734 (2019).
- 288 15. Jacox, M.G. et al. Thermal displacement by marine heatwaves. *Nature*. **584**, 82-86 (2020).
- 289 16. Holbrook, N.J. et al. A global assessment of marine heatwaves and their drivers. *Nat.*
290 *Comm.* **10**:2624 (2019).
- 291 17. Smale, D.A. et al. Marine heatwaves threaten global biodiversity and the provision of
292 ecosystem services. *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 306-312 (2019).
- 293 18. Rasconi, S., Winter, K. & Kainz, M.J. Temperature increase and fluctuation induce
294 phytoplankton biodiversity loss – Evidence from a multi-seasonal mesocosm experiment,
295 *Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 2936-2946 (2017).

- 296 19. Hobday, A.J. et al. A hierarchical approach to defining marine heatwaves. *Progress in*
297 *Oceanography* **141**, 227-238 (2016).
- 298 20. Hobday, A.J. et al. Categorizing and naming marine heatwaves. *Oceanography* **31**, 162–
299 173 (2018).
- 300 21. Messenger, M.L., Lehner, B., Grill, G., Nedeva, I. & Schmitt, O. Estimating the volume and
301 age of water stored in global lakes using a geo-statistical approach. *Nat. Comm.* **7**: 13603
302 (2016).
- 303 22. Woolway, R.I. & Merchant, C.J. Amplified surface temperature response of cold, deep
304 lakes to inter-annual air temperature variability. *Sci. Rep.* **7**:4130 (2017).
- 305 23. Toffolon, M., et al. Prediction of surface temperature in lakes with different morphology
306 using air temperature. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**(6), 2185-2202 (2014).
- 307 24. Wang, W. et al. Global lake evaporation accelerated by changes in surface energy
308 allocation in a warmer climate. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 410–414 (2018).
- 309 25. Weyhenmeyer, G.A., Westöo, A.K. & Willén, E. Increasingly ice-free winters and their
310 effects on water quality in Sweden’s largest lakes. In: Nöges T. et al. (eds) European Large
311 Lakes Ecosystem changes and their ecological and socioeconomic impacts. Developments
312 in Hydrobiology, vol 199. Springer, Dordrecht (2007).
- 313 26. Oliver, E.C.J. Mean warming not variability drives marine heatwave trends. *Clim. Dyn.* **53**,
314 1653-1659 (2019).
- 315 27. Welbergen, J.A. et al. Climate change and the effects of temperature extremes on Australian
316 flying-foxes. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **275**, 419–425 (2007).
- 317 28. Saunders, D.A., Mawson, P. & Dawson, R. The impact of two extreme weather events and
318 other causes of death on Carnaby’s Black Cockatoo: a promise of things to come for a
319 threatened species? *Pac. Conserv. Biol.* **17**, 141–148 (2011).
- 320 29. Mitchell, D. et al. Attributing human mortality during extreme heat waves to anthropogenic
321 climate change. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11**, 74006 (2016).
- 322 30. Thackeray, S.J. et al. Phenological sensitivity to climate across taxa and trophic levels.
323 *Nature* **535**, 241-245 (2016)
- 324 31. Winslow, L.A. et al., Small lakes show muted climate change signal in deepwater
325 temperatures. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 355-361 (2015).
- 326 32. Thackeray, S. J. et al. Food web de-synchronization in England's largest lake: an
327 assessment based on multiple phenological metrics. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 3568-3580
328 (2013).
- 329 33. Comte, L. & Grenouillet G., Do stream fish track climate change? Assessing distribution
330 shifts in recent decades. *Ecography* **36**, 1236-1246 (2013).
- 331 34. Woolway, R.I., Maberly, S.C. Climate velocity in inland standing waters. *Nat. Clim.*
332 *Change*. doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0889-7 (2020).
- 333 35. Zarfl, C. et al., A global boom in hydropower dam construction. *Aquat. Sci.* **7**, 1279-1299
334 (2015).
- 335 36. Muhlfeld, C.C., Kovach, R.P., Jones, L.A. et al. Invasive hybridization in a threatened
336 species is accelerated by climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 620-624 (2014).
- 337 37. Jankowski, T. et al. Consequences of the 2003 European heat wave for lake temperature
338 profiles thermal stability, and hypolimnetic oxygen depletion: Implications for a warmer
339 world. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **51**, 815-819 (2006)

- 340 38. Jöhnk, K. et al. Summer heatwaves promote blooms of harmful cyanobacteria. *Glob.*
341 *Change Biol.* **14**, 495-512 (2008)
- 342 39. Bertani, I., Primicerio, R. & Rossetti, G. Extreme Climatic Event Triggers a Lake Regime
343 Shift that Propagates Across Multiple Trophic Levels. *Ecosystems* **19**, 16-31 (2016)
- 344 40. Stockwell, J.D. et al. Storm impacts on phytoplankton community dynamics in lakes. *Glob.*
345 *Change Biol.* **26**(5), 2756-2784 (2020).
- 346 41. Woolway, R.I., Simpson, J.H., Spiby, D. et al. Physical and chemical impacts of a major
347 storm on a temperate lake: a taste of things to come? *Clim. Change* **151**, 333-347 (2018).
- 348 42. Zhou, Y. et al. Record-breaking Lake Erie Hypoxia during 2012 drought. *Environ. Sci.*
349 *Technol.* **49**, 800-807 (2015)
- 350 43. Schewe, J. et al. State-of-the-art global models underestimate impacts from climate
351 extremes. *Nat. Comm.* **10**:1005 (2019).

352 **List of Figures**

353



354

355

356 **Fig. 1 | Historical and future projections of the intensity and duration of lake heatwaves.**

357 Temporal and spatial patterns in the average (a-c) intensity and (d-f) duration of lake

358 heatwaves. Shown are (a, d) the temporal changes in lake heatwaves from 1901 to 2099 under

359 historical and future climate forcing (RCP 2.6, 6.0, 8.5). The thick lines show the mean across

360 all studied lakes, the shaded regions represent the standard deviation, and the dashed lines

361 represent the range across the lake-climate model ensembles. Panels b and e show the average


362 intensity and duration of lake heatwaves in each lake by the end of the 21st century (averaged

363 for all years from 2070 to 2099) under RCP 8.5. Panels c and f show the latitudinal averages

364 (5° bins) of the lake heatwave metrics under historical (1970-1999) and future (2070-2099)


365 forcing. Panels g-i demonstrate the relationship between lake heatwaves and average lake depth

366 (log₁₀), under historic (black) and future (red; RCP 8.5) forcing for lakes situated in the
 367 Northern Cool thermal region (shown as black regions in panels **b** and **e**; relationships for other
 368 thermal regions are shown in Extended Data Fig. 4-6). All results are based on the average
 369 simulations from the lake model driven by the four climate models.
 370




371
 372
 373 **Fig. 2 | Historical and future projections of global lake heatwave strength.** Time series of
 374 the global annual mean count of Moderate (light orange), Strong (orange), Severe (red), and
 375 Extreme (dark red) simulated lake heatwave days under historical and future climate forcing.
 376 Future projections are subject to three different greenhouse gas emission scenarios: (a) RCP
 377 2.6, (b) RCP 6.0, and (c) RCP 8.5. The total stacked amount in each panel is equivalent to the

378 total lake heatwave days under that particular forcing scenario. All results are based on the
 379 average simulations from the lake model driven by the four climate models.
 380



381
 382
 383 **Fig. 3 | Seasonal variations in lake heatwave occurrence under historical and future**
 384 **climate change.** Temporal changes in the season(s) during which the simulated lake heatwaves
 385 occur under historic (1901-2005) and future (2006-2099) climate forcing. Future projections
 386 are subject to three different greenhouse gas emission scenarios (RCP 2.6, 6.0, 8.5). Shown are
 387 the percentage of studied lakes which experience a heatwave during (a-d) a single season
 388 (Winter, Spring, Summer, Fall) only, and/or experience a heatwave which extended across (e-
 389 h) two or (i-l) three seasons. Note the different axis limits in panels a-d, e-h, and i-l. Each point
 390 represents the percentage of lakes globally during each year, and the solid line represents a 7-
 391 year moving average (included for illustration). The decline in panels a-d toward the end of
 392 the 21st century is due to fewer lakes experiencing heatwaves that are only maintained for a
 393 single season. Insets in panels i and l show the same data on an expanded scale. All results are
 394 based on the average simulations from the lake model driven by the four climate models. June
 395 is used to define the start of Boreal summer and December as the start of Austral summer.
 396



397
398

399 **Fig. 4 | Total heatwave duration and the emergence of a permanent heatwave state in**
 400 **lakes globally. (a, b)** Temporal and spatial patterns in the total annual duration of lake
 401 heatwaves per year under 20th and 21st century climate change. Time series are shown from
 402 1901 to 2099 under historic and future climate forcing (RCP 2.6, 6.0, 8.5). The thick lines
 403 demonstrate the mean across all studied lakes, the shaded regions represent the standard
 404 deviation, and the dashed lines represent the range across the lake-climate model ensembles.
 405 Panel **b** shows the total duration of simulated lake heatwaves per year by the end of the 21st
 406 century (averaged for all years from 2070 to 2099) under RCP 8.5. **(c)** The latitudinal averages
 407 (5° bins) of the lake heatwave duration under historical (1970-1999) and future (2070-2099)
 408 forcing. **(d-e)** The relationship between heatwave duration and average lake depth (log₁₀),
 409 under historic (black) and future (red; RCP 8.5) climate change for lakes situated in the
 410 Northern Cool thermal region (shown as black regions in panel **b**; relationships for other
 411 thermal regions are shown in Extended Data Fig. 7-8). **(f)** The number of studied lakes
 412 worldwide that will experience a permanent lake heatwave state under RCP 2.6, 6.0, and 8.5.
 413 All results are based on the average simulations from the lake model driven by the four climate
 414 models.

415 **Acknowledgments:** R.I.W. received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020
416 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement
417 number 791812. We thank the GloboLakes project (NE/J021717/1) and the Hydroscape project
418 (NE/N00597X/1), funded by the Natural Environment Research Council in the United
419 Kingdom. We also thank the Copernicus Climate Change Service Hydrology, funded by the
420 European Union, and the European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative project for the
421 satellite data. We also acknowledge the International Lake Environment Committee
422 Foundation (ILEC, <http://www.ilec.or.jp/en/>), which maintains the World Lake Database
423 (<http://wldb.ilec.or.jp/>). The computations and data handling were enabled by resources
424 provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at Uppmax, partially
425 funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreement number 2016-07213. T.S.
426 was partially supported by German Research Foundation grants DFG KI 853/13-1 and CDZ
427 1259.

428

429 **Author contributions:** RIW conceived the work, developed the concept of the study,
430 performed the numerical modelling, completed the data analysis, and wrote the manuscript
431 with input from SCM. All authors edited and revised the manuscript. TS performed the 3-hour
432 FLake simulations and led the light attenuation analysis, as used in the global simulations. EJ
433 performed the statistical analyses. MG and DP assisted with the large-scale computations and
434 data handling.

435 **Methods**

436

437 *Study sites* - The lakes investigated in this study ($n = 702$) were selected based on the
438 availability of satellite-derived lake surface temperature observations worldwide, in addition
439 to the availability of mean depth information for lakes globally. The studied lakes vary in their
440 geographic and morphological characteristics (Extended Data Fig. 2a-c).

441

442 *Observed lake surface temperatures* – In this study, we utilize lake surface temperatures
443 generated by ref. 44 using data from the ATSR (Along Track Scanning Radiometer) series of
444 sensors including ATSR-2 (1995-2003) and the Advance ATSR (AATSR) (2002-2005). Lake
445 surface temperature observations were retrieved following the methods of ref. 45 on image
446 pixels filled with water according to both the inland water dataset of ref. 46 and a reflectance-
447 based water detection scheme. The data (v4.0) are available at daily resolution from
448 <https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/76a29c5b55204b66a40308fc2ba9cdb3>. Lake-mean surface
449 temperature time-series were obtained by averaging across the surface area of each lake. Lake-
450 mean surface temperatures were used in this study in order to average across the intra-lake
451 heterogeneity of surface water temperature responses to climate change⁴⁷ and to correspond to
452 the lake-mean model used (see below). In the case of satellite-derived lake surface
453 temperatures, the obtained value is sensitive to the skin temperature of the water, which is the
454 temperature of a layer <0.1 mm thick from which thermal radiation is emitted by the lake.
455 Thus, the satellite data is an estimate of this skin temperature which may differ from the
456 temperature as measured by a thermometer a few centimeters below the water-air interface.
457 Typically, the temperature difference between skin and sub-skin lake surface temperature is of
458 order 0.2 °C. However, the difference depends on meteorological conditions (e.g., wind speed).
459 Although the skin effect is variable, the satellite lake surface temperature is nonetheless tightly
460 coupled to the lake surface temperature as measured conventionally. Satellite lake surface
461 temperatures have been used to quantify worldwide aspects of lake thermal dynamics such as
462 seasonal cycles⁸, onset of summer stratification⁴⁷, lake mixing dynamics⁷, and over-turning
463 behavior⁴⁸. As an additional validation, we also compared the simulated lake surface
464 temperatures with those available from the European Space Agency's (ESA) CCI Lakes project
465 (<http://cci.esa.int/lakes>) which provides daily observations of lake surface temperature at a grid
466 resolution of 1/120° for 250 lakes worldwide, following the procedure used by ref. 34.

467

468 *Simulated lake surface temperatures* - The surface temperature (and ice cover) of lakes
469 (notably the temperature of the upper well-mixed layer, the depth of which is defined according
470 to the maximum vertical density difference) globally were simulated in this study via the
471 Freshwater Lake model, FLake^{49,50}, which has been tested extensively in past studies. FLake
472 is used widely both for research and as a component in numerical weather prediction⁵¹⁻⁵⁴.
473 FLake is particularly suitable for global lake modelling as it is based on the concept of self-
474 similarity of the temperature-depth curve, which results in low computational cost. Moreover,
475 it contains few lake-specific model parameters and does not require extensive calibration. The
476 model has been shown to provide accurate representation of the evolving temperature cycle of
477 lakes worldwide. The performance of FLake has been tested across a spectrum of lake contexts
478 and validated simulations of lake thermal responses to climate change as well as extreme

479 atmospheric events^{7,55}. It has also been compared with other more sophisticated, but
480 computationally expensive, models and these studies demonstrate that FLake can consistently
481 simulate accurately lake surface water temperatures with comparable skill and good agreement
482 with observations⁵⁶. In brief, FLake is based on a two-layer parametric representation of the
483 time-evolving temperature profile and on the integral budgets of heat and kinetic energy. The
484 integrated approach implemented in FLake allows a realistic representation of the major
485 physics behind turbulent and diffusive heat exchange in lakes; it includes an ice module, and a
486 module to describe the vertical temperature structure of the thermally active layer of bottom
487 sediments, as well as its interaction with the water column above. FLake was developed to
488 simulate the thermal dynamics of lakes shallower than approximately 60m (see for example
489 ref. 53), and thus when selecting the studied lakes this depth limitation was considered.
490 Therefore, the deepest lakes included in this study have an average depth of ~60m. In this study
491 we also set a lower limit of 2m for the selected lakes, as FLake has been shown previously to
492 produce a considerable bias in surface temperature during summer in very shallow systems.
493 FLake was also developed to simulate the thermal dynamics of freshwater lakes. Thus, hyper-
494 saline lakes were not included in this study. However, previous studies have demonstrated the
495 ability of the model to simulate accurately the surface conditions of lakes along salinity
496 gradients^{8,53,57-59} and FLake is even used in numerical weather prediction models to simulate
497 shallow coastal waters (e.g., ECMWF's Integrated Forecasting System)⁵³. Thus, while we
498 caution against the use of FLake for simulating the thermal dynamics of brackish lakes,
499 particularly without modifying the model source code⁶⁰, we include some brackish lakes here
500 as validation data was available, and the model performed well when compared to observations
501 of both surface temperature and the lake heatwave metrics investigated.

502

503 The meteorological variables required to drive FLake are air temperature at 2 m, wind speed
504 at 10 m, surface solar and thermal radiation, atmospheric pressure, and specific humidity.
505 These atmospheric drivers were downloaded for this study from four bias-corrected (to the
506 EWEMBI reference dataset^{61,62}) climate model projections from the Inter-Sectoral Impact
507 Model Intercomparison Project phase 2b (ISIMIP2b), HadGEM2-ES, GFDL-ESM2M, IPSL-
508 CM5A-LR, and MIROC5, for the historic and future periods under three climate change
509 scenarios: RCP 2.6, RCP 6.0, and RCP 8.5. These data were available at a daily time step and
510 at a grid resolution of 0.5°x0.5°. Time series data were extracted for the grid point situated
511 closest to the centre of each studied lake, defined as the maximum distance to land⁴⁶. As the
512 bias-corrected climate projections were available at a daily timestep, the lake temperature
513 simulations from FLake in this study were also generated at a daily resolution. However, an
514 important consideration in lake modelling is that the timestep chosen to run a model can
515 influence the accuracy of the simulations due to, for example, the importance of diurnal forcing
516 and the description of within-lake turbulence. These features can only be resolved fully when
517 using high (e.g., sub-hourly) temporal resolution data, and some studies have shown improved
518 lake model performance when using sub-daily (compared to daily) data over short time
519 periods⁶³. However, for long-term global lake-climate projections, the temporal resolution of
520 the input data (hourly vs daily) has been shown to have relatively minimal influence, at least
521 in one case study site⁶⁴. In this study, we investigate the influence of model timestep in
522 simulating lake heatwaves by comparing, for three case study sites (Extended Data Fig. 10),

523 modelled lake heatwave intensity and duration by the end of the 21st century. Specifically, we
524 compare the heatwave metrics from the original daily FLake simulations to those driven by the
525 climate model projections which we temporally disaggregated, following the methods of ref.
526 65, to a 3-hour timestep. The results demonstrate only minor differences between the model
527 simulations across the case study sites thus suggesting, for this study, that daily data is
528 sufficient and can simulate lake heatwave responses to climate change.

529
530 Lake specific parameters must be set to simulate individual lakes optimally in FLake. These
531 parameters comprise fetch (m), which we fix in this study to the square root of lake surface
532 area, lake depth, lake ice albedo and the light attenuation coefficient (K_d , m^{-1}). The prognostic
533 variables needed to initialize FLake simulations include (i) mixed layer temperature, (ii) mixed
534 layer depth, (iii) bottom temperature, (iv) temperature at the ice (if present) upper surface and
535 (v) ice thickness (if present). In order to initialise the model runs from physically reasonable
536 fields, we initialise runs from a perpetual-year solution for the lake state. To find this solution
537 for the initialisation state, the model parameters are set as follows: mean depth was extracted
538 from the Hydrolakes database²¹, and lake ice albedo was set to 0.6 (ref. 50). The Hydrolakes
539 data (specifically those for lake depth) have been extensively validated by ref. 21, including
540 detailed validations using ~12,000 records of observations. The atmospheric forcing data to
541 derive the initialization conditions are from the ERA5 reanalysis product⁶⁶, available at a
542 latitude and longitude resolution of 0.25°. To optimize FLake simulations for each lake, and to
543 approximate K_d , we use the model-tuning algorithm of ref. 67. Prior to running the model-
544 tuning algorithm we first approximate K_d for each study site according to $K_d = 5.681 \times \text{depth}^{-0.795}$
545 ($R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.51$, $df=1256$). This relationship was derived from Secchi depth (Z_{secchi})
546 measurements in 1183 lakes in the US-EPA's National Lakes Assessment⁶⁸ and 75 lakes from
547 the World Lake Database. Secchi depth was converted to extinction coefficients with the
548 standard relationship of $K_d = 1.7 / Z_{\text{secchi}}$ (ref. 69). These initial K_d values were then used as an
549 initialization value within the tuning algorithm. The optimization routine estimates K_d to
550 closely reproduce the observed seasonal and inter-annual surface temperature dynamics (1995
551 to 1999), specifically by minimizing the mean square differences between the model and
552 satellite-derived surface water temperatures described above, in simulations initialized from
553 the perpetual-year solution. The lake-specific parameters for the model are thus set without
554 reference to any of the climate model forcing fields used for the historical-period simulation
555 and future projections. A 51-year spin-up period (1850-1900) for each lake was also used in
556 this study. As there is no water balance equation in FLake, lake depth and surface area are
557 constant in time. While this is common in global lake modelling^{24,53}, the dynamic
558 representation of lakes within the Earth system is a priority for future research.

559
560 In this study, the ‘snow block’ of FLake was not used, thus the simulated ice cover dynamics
561 of some lakes might be over or underestimated, due to the lack of snow on ice. Specifically,
562 greater snow cover can delay or hasten ice breakup, respectively, through higher albedo
563 (positive feedback) or greater insulation (negative or positive feedback, depending on the
564 season). However, the model has been used previously to estimate successfully the ice cover
565 dynamics of lakes globally, and been extensively validated with data from, for example, the

566 National Snow and Ice Data Center and from the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice
567 Mapping System⁵³.

568

569 *Lake heatwave definitions* - Lake heatwave intensity and duration were calculated from daily
570 lake surface temperature time series following the methods described by ref. 19 for defining
571 heatwaves in marine environments. Specifically, the R package ‘heatwaveR’⁷⁰ was used for
572 these calculations. Lake heatwaves were identified as when daily lake surface temperatures,
573 specifically the average temperature of the upper mixed layer (which has a more direct
574 influence on the ecosystem compared to, for example, the upper 1m), were above a local and
575 seasonally varying 90th percentile threshold (Extended Data Fig. 1). These anomalies were
576 calculated for each calendar day using the daily temperatures within an 11-day window
577 centered on the date across all years within the climatological period (1970-1999) and
578 smoothed by applying a 31-day moving average¹⁹. An 11-day window and a 31-day moving
579 average were selected to ensure a sufficient sample size for percentile estimation as well as a
580 smooth climatological mean^{13,19}. In addition, the 90th percentile threshold had to be exceeded
581 for at least five consecutive days to be considered a lake heatwave event, and two events with
582 a break of less than three days were considered as a single event. Ideally, this definition should
583 be relevant to ecological processes and thresholds (e.g., based on evidence of impact on specific
584 species). However, for this global-scale analysis, we follow the recommendations of ref. 19 of
585 a five-day exceedance condition. Future studies should investigate thermal extreme indicators
586 based on, for example, thermal tolerance limits of individual species. A statistical percentile-
587 based threshold is useful as lake ecosystems are, to some degree, adapted to their own climate;
588 thus, a statistical extreme is likely also to be an extreme in ecosystem functioning. In addition,
589 the use of a percentile-based and seasonally varying threshold allows quantification of lake
590 heatwaves across locations that differ in variability and mean conditions (Extended Data Fig.
591 2d-g) and to identify anomalously warm events at any time of the year, rather than events only
592 during the warmest month. An absolute threshold would only be relevant in terms of impacts
593 in some regions and seasons but not others (e.g., due to species acclimation).

594

595 In this study we investigated lake heatwave metrics related to their duration and intensity. We
596 also use an intensity-based lake heatwave category to define the strength of lake heatwaves.
597 Each lake heatwave event was classified as being Moderate, Strong, Severe, or Extreme. These
598 categories are defined by the maximum intensity of the event scaled by the threshold
599 temperature anomaly exceeding the climatological mean²⁰. For example, Moderate events are
600 those with lake temperature anomalies that exceed the identified threshold but are less than 2
601 times that threshold value; Strong, Severe, and Extreme events are then identified according to
602 anomalies that exceed 2, 3, and 4 times the threshold, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 1). The
603 season(s) during which lake heatwaves occur are also investigated in this study. June is used
604 as the start of Boreal summer and December as the start of Austral summer. When calculating
605 the time series of annual average intensity and average duration of lake heatwave events, we
606 separated heatwaves into two events if they lasted over December 31. Thus, the maximum
607 duration of a lake heatwave in this study is 366 days.

608

609 In this study, following ref. 15 we also calculate lake heatwaves based on detrended lake
610 surface temperature anomalies (Extended Data Fig. 9d-f) in order to illustrate the influence on
611 lake heatwaves of mean lake temperature change vs changes in variance, both of which are
612 considered important for the future occurrence of heatwaves events. However, we do stress
613 that by detrending the lake surface temperature anomalies, one is no longer explicitly analyzing
614 lake heatwaves, at least according to the definitions commonly used for marine heatwaves^{12-14,}
615 ^{16-17, 19}. To compare heatwaves across realms (e.g., ocean vs lakes), a consistent methodology
616 (to the extent possible) should be adopted.

617
618 Validation of simulated lake heatwaves – Due to the dearth of long term in situ high resolution
619 data available for lakes⁷¹, the simulated intensity and duration of lake heatwaves could not be
620 validated with in-situ observations. However, the ability of the model to simulate lake
621 heatwave events can be evaluated by comparing the simulations with those identified from the
622 satellite observations. An issue when using satellite observations to identify lake heatwaves is
623 that these data often contain gaps due to, for example, the presence of clouds which will
624 undoubtedly influence the identification of lake heatwaves. Some lakes do contain sufficient
625 data to identify lake heatwaves at certain times of the year (e.g., Jul-Sep), and thus to compare
626 with the simulated heatwaves in some years. Specifically, in lakes with less than 3 consecutive
627 days of missing data in a given time period, the temporal threshold used for determining if a
628 heatwave is considered a single event or multiple shorter events, we can estimate lake
629 heatwaves from the satellite data. In our dataset, 190 globally distributed lakes have sufficient
630 data for such comparisons (Extended Data Fig. 3). For these lakes, we compare the observed
631 and simulated average intensity and duration of lake heatwaves during Jul-Sep (or Jan-Mar;
632 see below), the time of year in which most cloud-free satellite retrievals are available. By
633 following the definitions of refs 1, 72, we selected temperatures for a 3-month period. For lakes
634 situated in the Northern Hemisphere we used the period of 1 July—30 September (JAS);
635 whereas, in the Southern Hemisphere, we used 1 January—31 March (JFM). Exceptions were
636 latitudes less than 23.5°, for which the JAS metric was used south of the equator and the JFM
637 metric was used north of the equator. This was done in order to avoid the cloudy wet season in
638 the tropics and instead collect data during the dry season, which allows for an increased number
639 of cloud-free satellite observations⁷². We selected data from these months to define lake
640 heatwaves. For this model validation, the climatological mean was calculated over the satellite
641 period (1995-2005). To compare with the simulated lake heatwaves, we calculated the
642 heatwave metrics from the average lake-climate model ensembles from 2000 to 2005 (i.e., the
643 years which were not used in the optimization of the model parameters). Good agreement is
644 obtained between simulations and observations of lake heatwaves (Extended Data Fig. 3).

645
646 Statistical methods - To investigate the influence of lake depth on the average intensity and
647 duration of lake heatwaves we first separated the studied lakes into the thermal regions in which
648 they are located, following the definitions of ref. 8. The thermal regions had been produced
649 objectively using b-spline modelling and K-means clustering of satellite-derived seasonal lake
650 surface water temperature data, for lakes globally over a period of 16 years. Within each lake
651 thermal region, relationships between the response variables (heatwave duration and heatwave
652 intensity) and the independent variable (mean depth; log₁₀ transformed) were assessed using

653 generalized additive modelling (GAM) with a cubic regression spline using cross validation to
654 optimize k , the number of knots in R^{73-75} . The sequence of the analysis was guided by the
655 protocol of ref. 76. The residuals from each GAM were first checked for any breach of
656 assumptions. A variance structure was added to the models to account for unequal variance in
657 residuals where appropriate. Where the estimated degrees of freedom (edf) were = 1, the GAM
658 was compared to a linear model and the optimum model was selected based on the Akaike
659 information criterion (AIC). The p value presented is defined as the probability of getting a
660 value of the test statistic that is at least as favorable to the alternative hypothesis as one actually
661 observed if the null hypothesis is true⁷³. For linear regression models we used a threshold for
662 significance of $p < 0.05$. For generalized additive models we used a more conservative
663 threshold of $p < 0.001$ (ref. 73, 76).

664

665 *In situ observations of lake heatwaves* – In this study, we also calculate the intensity and
666 duration of lake heatwaves in lakes where long-term *in situ* surface water temperature data are
667 available. Specifically, by analyzing published daily data from two European lakes⁷⁷, Lake
668 Vättern, Sweden (58.321 °N, 14.467 °E) and Wörthersee, Austria (46.628° N, 14.127° E), we
669 investigate lake heatwave variability from 1960 to 2017. Although lake surface temperature
670 measurements from these lakes are not directly comparable to those simulated in this study,
671 given that they were either measured at a lake level gauging station (Wörthersee) or from a
672 drinking water intake point (Vättern), they are useful to explore historical changes in lake
673 heatwaves. Following the same definitions as above for defining simulated lake heatwaves, we
674 demonstrate a considerable increase in heatwave duration in both lakes from 1960 to 2017. An
675 increase in lake heatwave intensity is also calculated for Lake Vättern since 1960, but not in
676 Wörthersee (Extended Data Fig. 1).

677 **References**

678

- 679 44. Carrea, L. & Merchant, C.J. GloboLakes: Lake Surface Water Temperature (LSWT) v4.0
680 (1995-2016). Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, 29 March 2019 (2019).
- 681 45. MacCallum, S.N. & Merchant, C.J. Surface water temperature observations of large lakes
682 by optimal estimation. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* **38**, 25–44 (2012).
- 683 46. Carrea, L., Embury, O. & Merchant, C.J. Datasets related to in-land water for limnology
684 and remote sensing applications: Distance-to-land, distance-to-water, water-body identifier
685 and lake-centre co-ordinates. *Geosci. Data J.* **2**, 83–97 (2015).
- 686 47. Woolway, R.I. & Merchant, C.J. Intra-lake heterogeneity of lake thermal responses to
687 climate change: A study of large Northern Hemisphere lakes. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **123**,
688 3087-3098 (2018).
- 689 48. Fichot, C., Matsumoto, K., Holt, B. et al. Assessing change in the overturning behaviour of
690 the Laurentian Great Lakes using remotely sensed lake surface water temperatures. *Rem.*
691 *Sens. Environ.* **235**, 111427 (2019).
- 692 49. Mironov, D. Parameterization of lakes in numerical weather prediction: Part 1. Description
693 of a lake mode. COSMO Technical Report, No. 11, Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach am
694 Main, Germany (2008).
- 695 50. Mironov, D. et al. Implementation of the lake parameterisation scheme FLake into the
696 numerical weather prediction model COSMO. *Boreal Environ. Res.* **15**, 218–230 (2010).
- 697 51. Rooney, G. & Jones, I.D. Coupling the 1-D lake model FLake to the community land-
698 surface model JULES. *Boreal Environment Research* **15**, 501-512 (2010).
- 699 52. Samuelsson, P., Kourzeneva, E. & Mironov, D., The impact of lakes on the European
700 climate as simulated by a regional climate model. *Boreal Env. Res.* **15**, 113–129 (2010).
- 701 53. Balsamo, G. et al., On the contribution of lakes in predicting near-surface temperature in a
702 global weather forecasting model. *Tellus A* **64**, 15829 (2012).
- 703 54. Le Moigne, P., Colin, J. & Decharme, B. Impact of lake surface temperatures simulated by
704 the FLake scheme in the CNRM-CM5 climate model. *Tellus A* **68**, 31274 (2016).
- 705 55. Woolway, R.I. et al. Impact of the 2018 European heatwave on lake surface water
706 temperature, *Inland Waters*, doi:10.1080/20442041.2020.1712180 (2020)
- 707 56. Stepanenko V. M., Goyette S., Martynov A. et al. First steps of a Lake Model
708 Intercomparison Project: LakeMIP. *Boreal Environ. Res.* **15**, 191–202 (2010).
- 709 57. Thiery, W., et al. Understanding the performance of the FLake model over two African
710 Great Lakes. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **7**, 317-337. doi:10.5194/gmd-7-317-2014 (2014).
- 711 58. Thiery, W., V et al. LakeMIP Kivu: evaluating the representation of a large, deep tropical
712 lake by a set of one-dimensional lake models. *Tellus A*, **66**, 21390 (2014).
- 713 59. Le Moigne, P. et al. Evaluation of the lake model FLake over a coastal lagoon during the
714 THAUMEX field campaign. *Tellus A* **65**(1), 20951 (2013).
- 715 60. Su, D., et al. Numerical study on the response of the largest lake in China to climate change.
716 *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **23**, 2093-2109 (2019).
- 717 61. Frieler, K. et al. Assessing the impacts of 1.5°C global warming - Simulation protocol of
718 the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). *Geosci. Model Dev*
719 **10**, 4321–4345 (2017).

- 720 62. Lange, S. Earth2Observe, WFDEI and ERA-Interim data Merged and Bias-corrected for
721 ISIMIP (EWEMBI). V.1.1. GFZ Data Services. <http://doi.org/10.5880/pik.2019.004>.
722 Accessed 18-04-2019. (2019).
- 723 63. Bruce, L.C. A multi-lake comparative analysis of the General Lake Model (GLM): Stress-
724 testing across a global observatory network, *Environ. Modell. Softw.* **102**, 274–291 (2018).
- 725 64. Ayala, A.I., Moras, S., Pierson, D.C. Simulations of future changes in thermal structure of
726 Lake Erken: proof of concept for ISIMIP2b lake sector local simulation strategy. *Hydrol.*
727 *Earth Syst. Sci.*, **24**, 3311-3330 (2020).
- 728 65. Shatwell, T., et al. Future projections of temperature and mixing regime of European
729 temperate lakes. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **23**, 1533-1551 (2019).
- 730 66. Hersbach, H. et al., The ERA5 Global Reanalysis. *Quat. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*
731 doi:10.1002/qj.3803 (2020).
- 732 67. Layden, A., MacCallum, S.N. & Merchant, C.J. Determining lake surface water
733 temperatures worldwide using a tuned one-dimensional lake model (Flake, v1). *Geosci.*
734 *Model Dev.* **9**, 2167-2189 (2016).
- 735 68. USEPA. National lakes assessment: a collaborative survey of the nation's lakes. United
736 States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., USA (2009).
- 737 69. Kirk, J.T.O. Light and Photosynthesis in Aquatic Ecosystems, 3rd ed.; Cambridge
738 University Press: Cambridge, UK; p. 649 (2011).
- 739 70. Schlegel, R.W. & Smit, A.J. heatwaveR: A central algorithm for the detection of heatwaves
740 and cols-spells. *Journal of Open Source Software* **3**, 821 (2018)
- 741 71. Jennings, E. et al. The NETLAKE Metadatabase – a tool to support automatic monitoring
742 on lakes in Europe and Beyond. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Bull.* **24**(4), 95-100 (2017)
- 743 72. Schneider, P. & Hook, S.J. Space observations of inland water bodies show rapid surface
744 warming since 1985. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L22405 (2010)
- 745 73. Wood, S.N. Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. CRC press (2017).
- 746 74. Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S. & Sarkar, D. Linear and nonlinear mixed effects
747 models. *R package version, 3*, p.57 (2007).
- 748 75. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
749 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>. (2019).
- 750 76. Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. & Walker, N. Mixed Effect Models and Extensions in Ecology with
751 R. Springer, New York (2009).
- 752 77. Woolway, R.I. et al. Substantial increase in minimum lake surface temperatures under
753 climate change. *Clim. Change* **155**, 81-94 (2019).

754 **Competing interests:** The authors do not have any competing financial or non-financial
755 interests to declare.

756

757 **Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to R. Iestyn Woolway

758

759 **Code availability:** The MATLAB code used to produce the figures in this paper are
760 available at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4081165>

761

762 **Data and materials availability:** The lake model source code is available to download from
763 <http://www.flake.igb-berlin.de/>. Climate model projections (ISIMIP2b; date accessed: August
764 01, 2020) are available at <https://www.isimip.org/protocol/#isimip2b>. Satellite derived lake
765 surface temperatures (Globalakes; date accessed: August 01, 2020) used in this study are
766 available from <https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/76a29c5b55204b66a40308fc2ba9cdb3> and
767 those from ESA CCI are available from

768 <https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/3c324bb4ee394d0d876fe2e1db217378> (date accessed:
769 August 01, 2020). Data for light extinction coefficient used in this study are from the US-
770 EPA's National Lakes Assessment

771 ([https://edg.epa.gov/metadata/catalog/search/resource/details.page?uuid=%7B668F7BE3-
772 50D1-465C-A73D-B21625689159%7D](https://edg.epa.gov/metadata/catalog/search/resource/details.page?uuid=%7B668F7BE3-50D1-465C-A73D-B21625689159%7D)) and the World Lake Database

773 (<http://wldb.ilec.or.jp/>). All lake heatwave simulations, as well as a table of lake specific
774 information, are available at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4081165>

775 **Extended Data**

776

777 **Extended Data Fig. 1 | Definitions and examples of lake heatwaves.** Shown are examples
778 of (a) the method used to define a lake heatwave event (light orange) from lake surface
779 temperatures (black) and (b) the categorization scheme used for defining the severity of lake
780 heatwaves. Lake heatwave categories are defined according to multiples of the 90th percentile
781 differences (1, 2, 3, 4 x threshold) relative to a 30-year (1970-1999) climatological mean (blue)
782 and are described as Moderate (light orange), Strong (orange), Severe (red), or Extreme (dark
783 red). Also shown are examples of historical lake heatwave (c, d) intensity and (e, f) duration
784 in (c, e) Lake Vättern (Sweden) and (d, f) Wörthersee (Austria), where observational data are
785 available from 1960 to 2017.

786

787 **Extended Data Fig. 2 | Specific characteristics of the studied lakes.** Shown are histograms
788 of (a) surface area (log10, km²), (b) average depth (log10, m), and (c) elevation (m) of the
789 studied lakes as well as (d-g) the lake thermal regions in which they reside. We also show, for
790 illustration, (d) the global distribution of lake thermal regions, (e) their climatological seasonal
791 cycle, (f) a map of studied lakes categorized by thermal region, and (g) the number of studied
792 lakes (points) as well as the number of lakes globally (information from the Hydrolakes
793 database) situated within each lake thermal region (line).

794

795 **Extended Data Fig. 3 | Validation of simulated lake temperatures and heatwave**
796 **characteristics.** Comparison of modelled and satellite-derived (a-b) lake surface water
797 temperatures for the studied lakes in which satellite data were available; and lake heatwave (c-
798 d) duration and (e-f) intensity for lakes with sufficient data to identify lake heatwaves from
799 2000 to 2005 (see Methods). Simulated results are based on the average simulations from the
800 lake model driven by the four climate models.

801

802 **Extended Data Fig. 4 | Relationship between average lake depth and average heatwave**
803 **intensity.** Shown for each lake thermal region, is the relationship between lake depth and the
804 average intensity of lake heatwave events during the historic period (averaged over all years
805 from 1970 to 1999) and by the end of the 21st century (averaged over all years from 2070 to
806 2099) under RCP 2.6, 6.0, 8.5. The relationship between lake depth and the heatwave metrics
807 (square = not significant; circle = significant) were calculated with a generalized additive
808 model (see Methods).

809

810 **Extended Data Fig. 5 | Relationship between average lake depth and average heatwave**
811 **duration from 1970 to 1999.** Shown for each lake thermal region, is the relationship between
812 lake depth and the average duration of lake heatwave events during the historic period
813 (averaged over all years from 1970 to 1999). The relationship between lake depth and the
814 heatwave metrics (square = not significant; circle = significant) were calculated with a
815 generalized additive model (see Methods).

816

817 **Extended Data Fig. 6 | Relationship between average lake depth and average heatwave**
818 **duration from 2070 to 2099.** Shown for each lake thermal region, is the relationship between
819 lake depth and the average duration of lake heatwave events by the end of the 21st century
820 (averaged over all years from 2070 to 2099) under RCP 2.6, 6.0, 8.5. The relationship between
821 lake depth and the heatwave metrics (square = not significant; circle = significant) were
822 calculated with a generalized additive model (see Methods).

823

824 **Extended Data Fig. 7 | Relationship between average lake depth and total heatwave**
825 **duration from 1970 to 1999.** Shown for each lake thermal region, is the relationship between
826 lake depth and the total duration of lake heatwave events per year during the historic period
827 (averaged over all years from 1970 to 1999). The relationship between lake depth and the
828 heatwave metrics (square = not significant; circle = significant) were calculated with a
829 generalized additive model (see Methods).

830

831 **Extended Data Fig. 8 | Relationship between average lake depth and total heatwave**
832 **duration from 2070 to 2099.** Shown for each lake thermal region, is the relationship between
833 lake depth and the total duration of lake heatwave events per year by the end of the 21st century
834 (averaged over all years from 2070 to 2099) under RCP 2.6, 6.0, 8.5. The relationship between
835 lake depth and the heatwave metrics (square = not significant; circle = significant) were
836 calculated with a generalized additive model (see Methods).







837








838 **Extended Data Fig. 9 | Lake thermal responses to climate change.** Here we show the
839 percentage of studied lakes which are projected to **(a)** experience annual ice cover, and **(b)**
840 experience a permanent heatwave state during the 21st century (RCP 8.5). In panel **b**,
841 percentages are calculated relative to the number of studied lakes that are projected to not
842 experience annual ice cover by 2070-2099. Shown in panel **c** is a temporally varying (1-year
843 shifting window) 30-year climatological mean, with temperatures plotted as anomalies relative
844 to the historical climatological mean (1970 to 1999). We also demonstrate the future
845 projections of lake heatwave **(d)** annually average intensity, **(e)** annually average duration, and
846 **(f)** total duration during the 21st century (RCP 8.5) calculated after linearly detrending the lake
847 surface temperature anomalies. All results are based on the average simulations from the lake
848 model driven by the four climate models, the shaded regions represent the standard deviation,
849 and the dashed lines represent the range across the lake-climate model ensembles.

850

851 **Extended Data Fig. 10 | Comparison of simulated lake heatwaves from two models of**
852 **different temporal resolution.** Here we compare the simulated lake heatwave **(a)** intensity,
853 and **(b)** duration by the end of the 21st century (averaged over all years from 2070 to 2099)
854 from the FLake model driven at a temporal resolution of 3 and 24 hours for three case study
855 lakes. All results are based on the average simulations from the FLake model driven by the
856 four climate models.

857





- NF - Northern Frigid
- NC - Northern Cool
- NT - Northern Temperate
- NW - Northern Warm
- NH - Northern Hot
- TH - Tropical Hot
- SH - Southern Hot
- SW - Southern Warm
- ST - Southern Temperate

