

Article (refereed) - postprint

King, Nathan G.; Wilmes, Sophie B.; Smyth, David; Tinker, Jonathan; Robins, Peter E.; Thorpe, Jamie; Jones, Laurence; Malham, Shelagh K. 2021. **Climate change accelerates range expansion of the invasive non-native species, the Pacific oyster,** *Crassostrea gigas*.

© International Council for the Exploration of the Sea 2020

This version is available at https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/529309/

Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *ICES Journal of Marine Science* following peer review. The version of record *ICES Journal of Marine Science* (2021), 78 (1): 70-81 is available online at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa189</u>

There may be differences between this version and the publisher's version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at https://academic.oup.com/

Contact UKCEH NORA team at <u>noraceh@ceh.ac.uk</u>

The NERC and UKCEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC and UKCEH in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

- 1 Original research submitted to ICES Journal of Marine Science
- ² Climate change accelerates range expansion of the
- invasive non-native species, the Pacific oyster,

4 Crassostrea gigas.

- 5 Nathan G King^{1*}, Sophie-B Wilmes¹, David Smyth¹, Jonathan Tinker², Peter E Robins¹,
- 6 Jamie Thorpe¹, Laurence Jones³ and Shelagh K Malham¹
- 7 ¹Centre of Applied Marine Sciences, School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai
- 8 Bridge, UK
- 9 ²Hadley Centre, Met Office, Exeter, UK
- ³UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor, UK
- 11 *Corresponding author

12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Key words: Biological invasion. Naturalisation. Magallana. Species distribution.

26 ABSTRACT

Invasive non-native species and global warming are two of the greatest components of global ecosystem change. The Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas, is the worlds most cultivated shellfish and was introduced throughout the Northwest European Shelf (NWES) under the premise it could not complete its life cycle. Recent warming trends have changed this and wild populations can be found as far north as Nordic Scandinavia. Under the RCP8.5 concentration pathway, we predict the majority NWES coastline will be within C. gigas's thermal recruitment niche by 2100. Given the widespread occurrence of current naturalised C. gigas populations, its large larval dispersal potential and a lack of feasible management solutions, C. gigas will likely undergo a considerable range expansion this century. The time taken to reach maturity is predicted to decrease by up to 60 days, which may lead to precocious spawning events, facilitating expansion further. C. gigas can form extensive reefs completely transforming native systems. This may compromise native biodiversity, protected habitats and commercial species. However, naturalisation can also deliver a number of beneficial ecosystem goods and services to human society. Whether naturalisation is deemed positive or negative will depend on biogeographic context, the perceptions of stakeholders and the wider management priorities.

56 **INTRODUCTION**

Anthropogenic warming is causing the redistribution of species at a global scale (Burrows et 57 al., 2011; Chen et al., 2011; Poloczanska et al., 2013). On top of this, humans have directly 58 or indirectly transported species outside their native ranges. The resulting reordering of 59 60 community structure can have serious ramifications throughout the wider food web that can 61 threaten the intimate link between healthy ecosystem function and human society (Pecl et al., 2017). These trends show no sign of abating as temperature rises are predicted to 62 accelerate over the coming decades and invasive non-native species (INNS) eradication 63 64 attempts are almost impossible after the fact (Norton, 2009; Coumou and Rahmstorf, 2012; 65 Perkins et al., 2012). As climate change and INNS introductions represent two of the biggest components of global ecosystem change, predicting when and where ecosystem 66 67 restructuring will occur is one of the most pressing challenges in conservation and 68 ecosystem management (Walther et al., 2009; Butchart et al., 2010; Bellard et al., 2013).

69 Correlative species distribution models (SDMs) are the most utilised tool for predicting 70 contemporary and future species distributions. They work by establishing statistical 71 relationships between present day occurrences and underlying environmental variables 72 (Guisan and Zimmermann, 2000; Pearson and Dawson, 2003; Guisan and Thuiller, 2005). 73 However, they assume contemporary distributions are in equilibrium with the surrounding 74 environment, and as such, it is unknown how much of a species' fundamental niche is represented by its current distribution (Pearson and Dawson, 2003). This means they may 75 perform poorly when extrapolating to invaded and novel regions or future climates 76 (Dormann, 2007; Fitzzpatrck and Hargrove, 2009; Kearney et al., 2010). Another limitation is 77 that environmental variables are often entered as static long-term means, rather than 78 instantaneous measures (Bateman et al., 2012). This offers little information on dynamic 79 80 fluctuations of species distributions associated with intra and inter-annual variations. To try 81 and overcome these issues there has been an increasing call for predictions to incorporate 82 underlying physiological mechanisms, based on cause-and-effect relationships (Kearney

and Porter, 2009; Buckley *et al.*, 2010, 2011; Evans *et al.*, 2015) and high resolution climatic
data that incorporates intra and inter-annual variability (Zimmermann *et al.*, 2009; Reside *et al.*, 2010; Bateman *et al.*, 2012). In this way, the ability to predict future species distributions
may be significantly increased.

87 Aquaculture is the world's fasted growing food industry and will be fundamental in future 88 global food production (FAO, 2016). Just like in terrestrial farming, marine species have purposefully been introduced outside of their native ranges due to their ability to grow and 89 90 survive well in a range of environments. Relocation of bivalves outside of their native ranges has a particularly rich history and some species now have global distributions (McKindsey et 91 92 al., 2007). The Pacific oyster, Crassostrea (also known as Magallana) gigas (Thunberg, 93 1793), is the world's most globalised bivalve (Ruesink et al., 2005; Smaal et al., 2018) and 94 has been introduced from its native range in East Asia to over 50 countries. In the NE Atlantic, production is focussed along coastlines of the Northwest European Shelf (NWES), 95 96 where it was introduced under the premise that it could grow well but conditions were too cold for the successful completion of its life cycle (Troost, 2010). Recent warming trends 97 have changed this, with warmer ocean temperatures during summer now facilitating 98 spawning and settlement in many regions (Thomas et al., 2016). This has resulted in 99 naturalisation occurring as far north as southern England and the Skagerrak coasts of 100 101 Denmark and Norway (e.g. Spencer et al., 1994; Dielderich et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2016). When naturalised, C. gigas can reach high densities and form extensive reefs (e.g. 102 103 McKnight and Chudleigh, 2015). This can completely transform native habitats and have 104 profound impacts on resident communities (reviewed by Troost, 2010 and Herbert et al., 2016). The NWES is also a region of intense socioeconomic importance for fish catches and 105 seafood production and has a network of coastal ecological protections to protect native 106 habitat and species. Therefore, future ecosystem restructuring will have profound 107 108 implications for commercial enterprise and ecosystem managers.

109 Here, we take a mechanistic approach to predict the expansion of C. gigas' reproductive 110 niche and shifting phenology across the NWES. Specifically, we use known physiological 111 thresholds for spawning and settlement, coupled with high-resolution inter-annual ocean 112 temperature data, to hind cast successful recruitment years (2000 - 2019) and then project 113 how this could change in 20-year time slices up to 2100. We also track the shifting pace in 114 potential spawning date associated with such ocean warming. In doing so, we aim to equip ecosystem managers with the necessary information to make informed choices regarding 115 116 management strategies of *C. gigas* farms over the coming decades.

117 **METHODS**

118 **Predicting** *M. gigas'* thermal recruitment niche

Ocean temperature is the most important driver of bivalve fitness and dictates when and 119 120 where recruitment may occur (Giese, 1959; Philippart et al., 2003; Zippay and Helmuth, 2012). While other drivers may interact with temperature to affect recruitment locally (e.g. 121 food availability Gourault et al., 2019), temperature is the predominant driver at a regional 122 scale. Indeed, hindcasting approaches have effectively linked ocean warming with recent C. 123 124 gigas recruitment in previously unsuitable areas on the NWES (e.g. Thomas et al., 2016). Therefore, using known temperature-recruitment relationships for C. gigas is an effective 125 method to gain insight into future climate mediated range expansions at a regional scale. 126 127 In order for ectotherm development to progress from one stage to another, certain

cumulative heat exposure is required. Past an initiation threshold, ectotherm growth and 128 129 development increases linearly with temperature. Therefore, the time period needed to achieve a given development stage will vary depending on the temperatures experienced by 130 an individual, and as such, development can be estimated in a cumulative stepwise manner 131 based on daily temperatures the organism experiences. As certain development stages, 132 133 such as spawning and larval development, have particular heat requirements, development can be estimated based on accumulated degree-days over a given period. Total degree-134 135 days are given by:

136
$$Total DD = \int_{day \ 1}^{day \ 365} (T - T_0) \ dt \ \text{for } T > T_0$$

137 Where *DD* is the number of degree-days, *T* is the ambient temperature in degrees Celcius 138 that the animal is exposed to, T_0 is a threshold temperature below which no

139 development/growth occurs.

For C. gigas, Mann, (1979) determined a threshold temperature (T_0) of 10.55°C below which 140 gametogenesis will not occur and a minimum accumulation of DD = 600°C above this level 141 to induce spawning. Whilst lower thermal requirments for spawning have been reported 142 (equivalent to 355 – 397 DD > 10.55 °C) these have been determined from individuals 143 obtained in spring when they may already be partially conditioned (e.g. Helm and Bourne, 144 2004; Rico-Villa et al., 1999). Mann, (1979) used individuals obtained in November, and as 145 such, they had not undergone any previous thermal conditioning before degree-day 146 estimates were derived. Therefore, we use Mann (1979)'s 600 DD requirments > 10.55 °C 147 148 as our threshold for spawning to occur. However, mature C. gigas gonads have also been produced when individuals were conditioned for extensive periods at 8 °C (Fabioux et al., 149 2005). This means our T_0 threshold may be too high, and as such, our estimations of C. 150 151 gigas' TRN are likey conservative. It should also be noted that many C. gigas populations 152 are intertidal, and as such, degree-day accumulation for such individuals may also be 153 affected by aerial temperatures not accounted for here.

154 Recruitment is dependent on larvae developing fully and settling, which has further heat requirements. Based on four previous studies of larval development, Syvret et al. (2008) 155 estimated an additional 225°C degree-days are required for settlement to occur. Here, it is 156 assumed the larval phase shares the same 10.55 °C T₀ threshold required as 157 gametogenesis. How appropriate 10.55 °C is as a baseline for larval development is 158 unknown, as the majority of research has been conducted in hatcheries at high 159 temperatures. However, whilst settlement decreases in laboratory settings at temperatures 160 161 < 15 °C (Gillespie et al., 2012), larvae have been observed in the field at temperatures as

- low as 13 °C (Kulikova *et al.*, 2015). As our understading of the viability of larvae at lower
 temperatrues in the field increases, the larval T₀ may need to be adapted slightly.
- 164 Here, we define *C. gigas*'s Thermal Recruitment Niche (TRN) to be 825°C degree-days
- above 10.55°C (600°C for spawning and 225°C for larval development). To track C. gigas's
- shifting phenology (i.e. the date at which spawning may occur) we use the spawning
- threshold of 600°C degree-days above 10.55°C. To account for potential uncertainty in
- 168 reported degree-day estimates, we included a 10% uncertainty envelope in our analysis
- 169 (Figures S2 and S3).

170 Climate data

- 171 Historic baseline
- 172 Ocean bottom temperature data spanning the period 01/01/2000 to 31/12/2018 were derived
- 173 from the European North West Shelf Ocean Reanalysis system (available from
- 174 http://marine.copernicus.eu/services-portfolio/access-to-
- 175 products/?option=com_csw&view=details&product_id=NORTHWESTSHELF_REANALYSIS
- 176 <u>PHY_004_009;</u> for a detailed description see
- 177 http://resources.marine.copernicus.eu/documents/PUM/CMEMS-NWES-PUM-004-009.pdf).
- 178 The regional ocean model is the FOAM AMM7 (Forecasting Ocean Assimilation Model, 7km
- 179 resolution Atlantic Margin Model) setup of NEMO (Nucleus for European Modelling of the
- 180 Ocean) version 3.6, together with the 3DVar NEMOVAR system (version 3) which
- assimilates observations of sea surface temperatures together with vertical profiles of
- temperature and salinity. Lateral open boundary forcing was derived from the GloSea5
- 183 global ocean reanalysis and at the Baltic margins from the CMEMS Baltic reanalysis.
- 184 Atmospheric forcing was derived from the ERA-Interim atmospheric reanalysis.

185 Future temperature

- 186 The future projection of ocean temperatures used a dynamical downscaling approach, i.e., a
- 187 high-resolution (7 km horizontal resolution) regional ocean model (ROM) was forced with

output from a low-resolution Global Climate Model (GCM) (~85 km horizontal resolution)
(see next paragraph and Hermans et al., 2020 for details). This approach has several
advantages: The GCM fails to capture small-scale topographical and climatological features
due to its low resolution and fixed depth levels which, are resolved by the ROM.
Furthermore, oceanographic processes important in shallow shelf sea areas, such as tidal
mixing or eddie dynamics, are resolved by the ROM.

The ROM used here was the NWES configuration of the ocean model NEMOv3.6, AMM7 194 setup in configuration CO6 (see O'Dea et al., 2017 and Hermans et al., 2020 for details) and 195 196 has a horizontal resolution of 7 km. At the boundaries the ROM was forced with the GCM MOHC-HadGEM2-ES (Collins et al., 2011) using the RCP 8.5 concentrations pathway and 197 the model was run from 1972 to 2099. RCP 8.5 was selected to represent a worst-case 198 199 projection. Ocean bottom temperature was used to calculate the body temperature 200 experienced by oysters, as this is arguably more realistic than using sea surface 201 temperatures. Data were limited to 40 m to represent a maximum depth range for *M. gigas* 202 (FAO, 2007). Ocean bottom temperatures were extracted for the time period 2000–2099, 203 with 2000–2019 being used as the baseline period. The temperature data were bias 204 corrected against the NWES Ocean Reanalysis data (see section Historic Baseline for 205 details) using a reference period of 2000–2018. For the bias correction, a climatology of daily 206 temperatures over a year was calculated at each model grid point as the 7-day running 207 mean of 2000–2018 daily temperatures for both the NWES Ocean Reanalysis data and the future RCP8.5 Northwest European Shelf simulation. The offset between the two 208 209 climatologies was subtracted at each grid point over the time span of the future simulation for each year. 210

For our calculations of *M. gigas*' spawning threshold and TRN, we used daily climate data (daily mean temperature) rather than seasonal means, maxima and/or minima. This approach allowed us to capture spatial variability across the NWES together with intra- and inter annual variability in the future projections and enabled cumulative degree-days to be calculated on a daily basis. This means years where spawning and settlement can occur
were determined, as well as the precise date at which thresholds were exceeded. Instead of
only giving snapshot future projections at certain dates (e.g. 2050 or 2100), this approach
allows the progression, pace and intensity of potential future invasive characteristics to be
quantified.

220 Individual shellfish sites

To place our future projections of potential range expansion in an applied context and track *C. gigas*' shifting reproductive phenology, nine representative shellfish sites were selected (Figure 1; Table S1) where *C. gigas* is currently farmed or where wild populations are established. These spanned eight countries throughout the NWES and covered a range of climatic characteristics. For each site, we tracked the annual increase in total accumulation degree-days, allowing us to determine the dates that thresholds were exceeded and the expanding period over which degree-days could accumulate.

228 **RESULTS**

229 Projected warming of ocean bottom waters

The regional climate model projection, based on an RCP8.5 concentrations pathway, shows 230 increases in bottom seawater temperatures but with spatial variability across the NWES 231 (Figure 2). Ocean bottom temperatures are projected to increase most in the shallow areas 232 in the southern North Sea along the coastlines of northern France (excluding Brittany), The 233 Netherlands, northwest Germany, the west coast of Jutland (Denmark) and southeast 234 England. For the 2040–2059 timeslice, mean ocean bottom temperatures are projected to 235 increase by up to 1.3°C and by the end of the century (2080-2099) warming reaches up to 236 3.4°C in these regions. Bottom temperature changes are less pronounced along the 237 coastlines of southwest England, Wales and Ireland, where maximum changes reach 1.1°C 238 239 in the 2040–2059 timeslice and 2.8°C for the 2080–2099 timeslice. For the coasts of

Scotland and Northern Ireland, these values are are estimated to be lower at 0.7°C and
2.0°C, respectively.

The same patterns are reflected in the temperature changes at the representative shellfish 242 sites (Figure S1). Sites located along continental Europe and southeast England 243 (Wilhelmshaven, Agger Tange, Oostershelde, Whitstable and Cancale) all show temperature 244 increases exceeding 3.1°C by the end of the century. Lower temperature increases are seen 245 for Dungarvan, Bergen and Jura. For Jura, the temperature changes are nearly 1°C lower at 246 247 the end of the century than for the sites with the strongest warming. By ~2060, all sites have 248 exceeded at least 1°C of warming and 2.5°C by 2100, with respect to the 2000–2019 249 baseline period.

250 Expansion of area within M. gigas's thermal recruitment niche

251 Over the baseline period (2000–2019), the frontier of C. gigas's TRN was the Solway Firth in Scotland, the Humber estuary in England, Ireland and Skagerrak coasts of Denmark. 252 253 Settlement thresholds ($DD > 825^{\circ}$ C) at the limits of C. gigas's TRN were exceeded infrequently (< 3 out of 10 years), whereas coastlines of continental Europe (German Bight, 254 Southern Bight and English Channel) and Southern England were exceeded more often (> 7 255 out of 10 years) (Figure 3, Figure S2 and S3). Generally, C. gigas's TRN was restricted to 256 coastal areas but offshore areas in the southern North Sea around the Southern and 257 German Bight were also suitable. 258

Under the RCP8.5 concentrations pathway, our simulation predicts the progressive northwards expansion of *C. gigas*'s TRN to the end of the century. Limited expansion is expected between 2020-2039 but during the 2040-2059 time slice *C. gigas*'s TRN will encompass the majority of the Scottish Western Isles. This period also sees thresholds move from infrequently exceeded (< 3 out of 10 years) to exceeded in the majority of years (> 7 out of 10) around Ireland. *C. gigas*'s TRN encompasses the majority of Norway's North Sea coast between 2060-2079 and the east coast of Scotland between 2080-2099. The offshore island archipelagos of Shetland and Faroe remain unsuitable at the end of thecentury.

For our representative sites, those situated in continental Europe (Cancale, France;
Oosterschelde, Netherlands and Wilhelmshaven, Germany) and southern England
(Whitstable) exceeded settlement thresholds every year over the baseline period (Figure 4).
For Agger Tange (Denmark) and Dungarvan (Ireland), settlement thresholds were only
exceeded infrequently (< 3 out of 10 years). For Grimstad (Norway), Bergen (Norway) and
Jura (UK) settlement thresholds were never exceeded during the baseline period.

The projections for Agger Tange show that the settlement threshold will be exceeded every year (10 out of 10 years) by the middle of the century while this occurs in Dungarvan around 10 years later. The settlement thresholds will first be exceeded in Grimstad (Norway) from 2020, rising rapidly until they are exceeded in all years by 2060-2070. Jura (UK) and Bergen (NOR) shared similar projections with thresholds not exceeded until the 2050's and rapidly rising until thresholds are exceeded every year by 2099.

280 Shifting phenology

281 The period of the year during which populations can accrue degree-days increased progressively towards 2100 (Figure 5). By 2099, the increase in days that exceed T_0 (> 282 10.55 °C) ranged from 54 (Wilmershaven, Ger) to 146 (Dungarvan, ROI). For Cancale 283 (France), Dungarvan (ROI) and Whitstable (UK), populations could accrue degree-days for 284 almost 100% of the year by 2100 (Figure 5; Table S2). Spawning thresholds were exceeded 285 in all years over the baseline period for all sites apart from Bergen, Grimstad and Jura where 286 they were exceeded 2, 9 and 1 times respectively. The mean date spawning could occur 287 varied considerably between sites (Table S3). Generally, spawning thresholds were 288 exceeded later at northerly sites and was reflective of the cooler conditions resulting in a 289 slower pace of degree-day accumulation. That said, Jura saw the latest date that spawning 290 291 thresholds were exceeded (mid November). The mean date the spawning threshold was

exceeded occurred progressively earlier up to 2099. By the end of the century, spawning
thresholds are predicted to occur between 27 (Cancale, F) and 60 (Dungarvan, ROI) days
earlier.

295 **DISCUSSION**

296 In this study, we have taken a mechanistic approach to predict the expansion of the area 297 within C. gigas' thermal recruitment niche (TRN) at its current naturalisation frontier on the Northwest European Shelf. It is challenging to validate our simulations with historic spawning 298 299 and recruitment events as large-scale observation progammes are lacking. Moreover, just 300 because an area exceeds C. gigas's TRN does not mean recruitment occurred in that year. 301 Indeed, in SE England, recruitment seems less frequent than our simulations would suggest 302 (Herbert et al., 2012). Ultimately, such a fine scale understanding of annual recruitment will 303 require a deeper understanding of how ocean temperature interacts with other drivers to 304 affect recruitment locally. Nonetheless, in broad terms, our baseline predictions of area 305 within C. gigas' TRN align well with present day distribution records. Areas regularly 306 exceeding settlement thresholds correspond to locations where self-recruiting reefs are 307 found, along the coasts of continental Europe and southern England (Herbert et al., 2016). Settlement thresholds were exceeded less frequently further north and correspond to low 308 309 abundance wild populations reported around Ireland (Kochmann et al., 2013) and the Solway Firth in Scotland (Smith et al., 2015). Whilst our simulations indicate settlement 310 thresholds were not exceeded along the Norwegian extent of our study, wild populations can 311 be found along Norway's Skagerrak and North Sea coasts (Wrange et al., 2010; Anglès 312 d'Auriac et al., 2016; Laugen et al., 2015). For the Skagerrak, it may be that the 313 temperatures in the shallow inlets and bays are higher than our model suggests, allowing 314 settlement thresholds to be exceeded, or oyster larvae immigrated from warm source 315 316 populations such as Oslofjord (Norway), Sweden and continental Europe (Anglès d'Auriac et 317 al., 2016). For Norway's North Sea populations, distances from these warmer donor sites 318 are likely too great and temperatures still too low for reproduction. Here, it has been

suggested that strong selection pressure and genetic isolation on a relic aquaculture
population near Bergen has facilitated natural selection lowering *C. gigas*' TRN here (Anglès
d'Auriac *et al.*, 2016). However, *in situ* monitoring of the reproductive biology of Norway's
Skagerrak and North Sea populations is required to determine the source of these
populations.

324 Under a future climate projected by a RCP8.5 concentrations pathway, we predict a progressive poleward advancement of the area encompassing C. gigas's TRN. By 2100, 325 326 Ireland, Scotland and Norway will see settlement thresholds move from never or rarely exceeded, to exceeded in the majority of years. This will increase propagule pressure, 327 328 leading to higher abundances, and will ultimately result in poleward advancement of C. 329 gigas's naturalised distribution. Given our predicted expansion of C. gigas' TRN, the widespread nature of wild and farmed populations throughout the NWES and C. gigas' large 330 dispersal capacity (Shanks, 2009), it is likely the majority of coastline on the NWES will be 331 332 available for colonisation by 2100. Overall, this represents a habitat expansion of ~500 km² (area within C. gigas TRN) and a northward range expansion of 6° of latitude. However, it 333 should be noted that the RCP8.5 concentrations pathway represents a "worst-case scenario" 334 and if greenhouse gas emissions were to drop significantly in the coming decades then 335 ocean temperatures would warm at a slower rate (IPCC, 2013). This means that the 336 subsequent expansion of C. gigas' range could also be slower and less intense than shown 337 here. That said, current patterns of energy consumption show little evidence for such a 338 decline and this concentrations pathway is becoming increasingly more likely. Moreover, 339 340 given the inherent uncertainty in any of the IPCC scenarios the worst-case scenario is a fundamental consideration for policy makers. 341

Expansion of *C. gigas* can have significant negative impacts on a wide range of habitats (e.g
mussel-beds, Kochmann *et al.*, 2008; salt marshes, Escapa *et al.*, 2004; rocky shores,
Krassoi *et al.*, 2008; seagrass beds, Wagner *et al.*, 2012; polychaete reefs, Dubois *et al.*,
2007; mud flats, Trimble *et al.*, 2009), and as such, poses a serious concern for managers

346 responsible for the resilience of these ecosystems. As an ecosystem engineer, C. gigas can completely transform coastal systems and reduce habitat heterogeneity across different 347 348 substrates (Herbert et al., 2016). This is a particular concern where transformed habitats are 349 protected for their ecological status, or are of commercial interest. For example, many 350 mudflats and rocky reefs are protected under the EU habitats directive 351 (Directive 92/43/EEC), while oysters can completely transform commercial mussel beds 352 (Markert et al., 2010). The options available to managers to prevent C. gigas naturalisation 353 are limited to farming sterile oysters or eradication of wild populations (Nell, 2002; McKnight 354 and Chudleigh, 2015), both of which are likely to be undermined by the widespread nature of large source populations and C. gigas' extensive dispersal capacity (e.g. Lallias et al., 2015; 355 Robins et al., 2017; Angles d'Auriac et al., 2017). As these interventions are unlikely to 356 prevent expansions, there may need to be a change in attitudes of managing C. gigas, away 357 358 from that of traditional INNS (Hobbs et al., 2006; Truitt et al., 2015).

359 In some ways, C. gigas naturalisation may benefit or safeguard coastal ecosystems of the 360 NWES. Historically, NWES coastlines included dense populations of native European oysters, Ostrea edulis, but overfishing and disease decimated populations resulting in a 95% 361 decline in abundance since the 1950s (Thurstan et al., 2013; Smyth et al., 2020). This 362 decline has resulted in altered benthic assemblages across Europe and has undoubtedly 363 shifted ecosystem function. Where they coexist, O. edulis generally occupies the subtidal 364 and C. gigas the interidal but they harbour similar epifaunal assemblages (Zwerschke et al., 365 2016) and can provide similar regulating services (Zwerschke et al., 2020). Therefore, whilst 366 367 there is currently a considerable focus on restoration efforts for O. edulis across Europe (Pogoda et al., 2019), C. gigas naturalisation may help restore coastal communities and 368 ecosystem function in a similar manner to the previous state. Moreover, as ocean warming 369 threatens functionally similar native cool-water bivalves (Jones et al., 2010; Fly et al., 2015) 370 371 (e.g. O. edulis and the blue mussel, M. edulis), naturalisation may also safeguard the delivery of provisioning and regulating ecosystem goods and services in the future (Troost, 372

2010; Christianen *et al.*, 2018). In some countries (e.g. The Netherlands), acceptance of *C. gigas* as a "naturalised" species occurred decades ago (Drinkwaard, 1999), and there is
increasing discussion on managing *C. gigas* expansion as a natural resource in countries
where expansions have occurred more recently (e.g. UK – Herbert *et al.*, 2012; Scandinavia
– Laugen *et al.*, 2015, Mortensen *et al.*, 2019). Ultimately, whether the impact of *C. gigas*expansion is deemed positive or negative will depend on biogeographical context (e.g.
proximity to vulnerable sites) and the priorities of ecosystem managers.

380 On top of an expansion of C. gigas' TRN, we also predict warming will impact C. gigas' phenology. We show that the time needed to exceed the required cumulative heat exposure 381 382 for spawning (600°C degree-days) across the NWES may decrease by 27 – 60 days by 383 2099. This is a similar rate (~5 days per decade) as the 8 day shift in spawning date observed for C. gigas, at Bourgneuf Bay, France, between 1988 and 2003 (Thomas et al., 384 2016). Such earlier spawning may widen C. gigas's recruitment window, increase propagule 385 386 pressure and facilitate its expansion further. However, it should be noted that in addition to cumulative heat exposure for gonad development, spawning is also dependent on 387 exceedance of a threshold water temperature (between 16-23°C depending on site location -388 Pouveau et al., 2006; Castaños et al., 2009; Gillespie et al., 2012; Norgard et al., 2014) and 389 often another enviromental trigger (e.g. tidal temperature shcoks - Mills, 2016, high 390 phytoplankton abundance - Ruiz et al., 1992, hydrodynamic flow - Bernard et al., 2016). 391 392 Moreover, once spawned the duration of the larval phase can be affected by quality and 393 quantity of microalgal food (Rico-Villa et al., 2006). Therefore, whilst cumulative thermal 394 exposure for spawning and settlement may be reached, reaslised recruitment dates will also 395 be dependent on specific water temperatures, other environmental triggers and larval diet.

C. gigas has a global distribution with climate-mediated naturalisation occurring from
aquaculture introductions in North America (Andrews, 1979), South America (Escapa *et al.*,
2004), South Africa (Robinson *et al.*, 2005) and Australia (Ayres, 1991). Therefore, our
approach will be of interest to ecosystem managers at *C. gigas*' naturalisation frontiers

400 across the globe and given the simplicity of our approach can be easily implemented. 401 However, caution should be taken when trying to predict where exactly C. gigas reefs may 402 form. Here, a deeper understanding of how ocean temperature interacts with other drivers is 403 required. At a regional scale, recent approaches, incorporating dynamic energy budget 404 theory, show food availability is also fundemental in C. gigas' spawning (Thomas et al., 405 2016). Therefore, a more accurate understanding of C. gigas' range expansion will be 406 gained as high-resolution phytoplankton forecasts become available. On a local scale, 407 availability of suitable substrate, local food-web dynamics and connectivity to source 408 populations will be fundamental in predicting where specific reefs may form. It is also 409 important to consider factors that may slow a realised range expansion despite an expansion of C. gigas' TRN. In particular, high summer temperatures, coupled with post-spawning 410 stress and pathogens can make C. gigas vulnerable to "summer mortality syndrome", where 411 412 severe (> 90 %) and rapid (~weeks) population crashes can occur (e.g. Mortensen et al., 2016). C. gigas larvae and small recruits are also vulnerable to a range of predators (birds, 413 crabs, gastropods and sea stars) that that may also control its recruitment (e.g. Faasse and 414 Lighthart, 2009). Other aspects of climate change (e.g. ocean acification) or local stressors 415 416 (e.g. nutrient loading) may also interact with ocean warming in unforeseen ways. Thus, C. 417 gigas's realised expansion will be more complex than the simplification of its thermal window 418 presented here. Nonetheless, our approach is useful tool to anticipate ecological change at a regional scale and serves as an effective early warning for managers. This should be used 419 420 to facilitate discussion regarding the best way forward to adapt to this expansion.

421 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the European Regional Development fund through the Interreg
Ireland Wales Cooperation Programme project BLUEFISH, the Interreg Atlantic Area
Programme project COCKLES (https://cockles-project.eu/) and the EU's West Wales and
the Valleys project SHELLFISH CENTRE. Jonathan Tinker was supported by the Met Office
Hadley Centre Climate Programme funded by BEIS and Defra. Laurence Jones was

- 427 supported by funding from the UK Climate Change Committee for the UK 3rd Climate
- 428 Change Risk Assessment (CCRA3).

429 **REFERENCES**

- Andrews, J. D. 1979. Oyster diseases in Chesapeake Bay. Marine Fisheries Review, 41: 4553.
- Angles d'Auriac, M. B., Rinde, E., Norling, P., Lapegue, S., Staalstrom, A., Hjermann, D. O.,
 and Thaulow, J. 2017. Rapid expansion of the invasive oyster *Crassostrea gigas* at its
 northern distribution limit in Europe: Naturally dispersed or introduced? PloS one, 12:
 e0177481.
- Ayres, P. 1991. Introduced Pacific oysters in Australia. The ecology of *Crassostrea gigas* in
 Australia, New Zealand, France and Washington State.Maryland Sea Grant College, College
 Park: 3-7.
- Bateman, B. L., VanDerWal, J., and Johnson, C. N. 2012. Nice weather for bettongs: using
 weather events, not climate means, in species distribution models. Ecography, 35: 306-314.
- Bellard, C., Thuiller, W., Leroy, B., Genovesi, P., Bakkenes, M., and Courchamp, F. 2013.
 Will climate change promote future invasions? Global Change Biology, 19: 3740-3748.
- Bernard, I., Massabuau, J., Ciret, P., Sow, M., Sottolichio, A., Pouvreau, S., and Tran,
 D. 2016. *In situ* spawning in a marine broadcast spawner, the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: Timing and environmental triggers. Limnology and Oceanography, 61: 635-647.
- Buckley, L. B., Waaser, S. A., MacLean, H. J., and Fox, R. 2011. Does including physiology
 improve species distribution model predictions of responses to recent climate change?
 Ecology, 92: 2214-2221.
- Buckley, L. B., Urban, M. C., Angilletta, M. J., Crozier, L. G., Rissler, L. J., and Sears, M. W.
 2010. Can mechanism inform species' distribution models? Ecology Letters, 13: 1041-1054.
- Burrows, M. T., Schoeman, D. S., Buckley, L. B., Moore, P., Poloczanska, E. S., Brander, K.
 M., Brown, C., *et al.* 2011. The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems.
 Science (New York, N.Y.), 334: 652-655.
- Butchart, S. H., Walpole, M., Collen, B., van Strien, A., Scharlemann, J. P., Almond, R. E.,
 Baillie, J. E., *et al.* 2010. Global biodiversity: indicators of recent declines. Science (New
 York, N.Y.), 328: 1164-1168.
- Castaños, C., Pascual, M., and Camacho, A. P. 2009. Reproductive biology of the nonnative oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793), as a key factor for its successful spread
 along the rocky shores of northern Patagonia, Argentina. Journal of Shellfish Research, 28:
 837-847.
- Chen, I. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemuller, R., Roy, D. B., and Thomas, C. D. 2011. Rapid range
 shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. Science (New York, N.Y.),
 333: 1024-1026.

- Christianen, M., Lengkeek, W., Bergsma, J., Coolen, J., Didderen, K., Dorenbosch, M.,
 Driessen, F., *et al.* 2018. Return of the native facilitated by the invasive? Population
 composition, substrate preferences and epibenthic species richness of a recently discovered
 shellfish reef with native European flat oysters (*Ostrea edulis*) in the North Sea. Marine
 Biology Research, 14: 590-597.
- Collins, W. J., Bellouin, N., Doutriaux-Boucher, M., Gedney, N., Halloran, P., Hinton, T.,
 Hughes, J., Jones, C., Joshi, M., Liddicoat, S., Martin, G., O'Connor, F., Rae, J., Senior, C.
 A., Sitch, S., Totterdell, I. J., Wiltshire, A., and Woodward, S. 2011. Development and
 evaluation of an Earth-system model HadGEM2. Geoscience Model Development, 4:
 1051–1075.
- 474 Coumou, D. and Rahmstorf, S. 2012. A decade of weather extremes. Nature climate 475 change, 2: 491-496.
- Diederich, S., Nehls, G., Van Beusekom, J. E., and Reise, K. 2005. Introduced Pacific
 oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) in the northern Wadden Sea: invasion accelerated by warm
 summers? Helgoland Marine Research, 59: 97.
- 479 Dormann, C. F. 2007. Promising the future? Global change projections of species480 distributions. Basic and Applied Ecology, 8: 387-397.
- 481 Drinkwaard, A. 1999. History of cupped oyster in European coastal waters. Aquaculture
 482 Europe: Magazine of the European Aquaculture Society.
- Dubois, S., Marin-Léal, J. C., Ropert, M., and Lefebvre, S. 2007. Effects of oyster farming on
 macrofaunal assemblages associated with *Lanice conchilega* tubeworm populations: a
 trophic analysis using natural stable isotopes. Aquaculture, 271: 336-349.
- Escapa, C. M., Isacch, J. P., Daleo, P., Alberti, J., Iribarne, O. O., Borges, M. E., Dos
 Santos, E. P., *et al.* 2004. The distribution and ecological effects of the introduced Pacific
 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793) in northern Patagonia. Journal of Shellfish
 Research, 23: 765-772.
- Evans, T. G., Diamond, S. E., and Kelly, M. W. 2015. Mechanistic species distribution
 modelling as a link between physiology and conservation. Conservation physiology, 3:
 cov056.
- Faasse, M. and Ligthart, M. 2009. American (*Urosalpinx cinerea*) and Japanese oyster drill
 (*Ocinebrellus inornatus*) (Gastropoda: Muricidae) flourish near shellfish culture plots in The
 Netherlands. Aquatic Invasions, 4: 321-326.
- Fabioux, C., Huvet, A., Le Souchu, P., Le Pennec, M., and Pouvreau, S. 2005. Temperature
 and photoperiod drive *Crassostrea gigas* reproductive internal clock. Aquaculture, 250: 458470.
- FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department (2007) Species fact sheet *Crassostrea gigas*.
 http://www.fao.org/fishery/species/3514.
- 501 Fitzpatrick, M. C. and Hargrove, W. W. 2009. The projection of species distribution models 502 and the problem of non-analog climate. Biodiversity and Conservation, 18: 2255.

- 503 Fly, E. K., Hilbish, T. J., Wethey, D. S., and Rognstad, R. L. 2015. Physiology and
- biogeography: the response of European mussels (Mytilus spp.) to climate change.American Malacological Bulletin, 33: 136-149.

Food, F. 2018. Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2016. The State of World
Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to food security and nutrition for all. Rome. 200
pp.

Kulikova, V., Kolotukhina, N., and Omelyanenko, V. 2015. The dynamics of the density and
distribution of larvae of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793) in the
Amursky and Ussuriisky Bays of the Sea of Japan. Russian Journal of Marine Biology, 41:
335-342.

- 513 Giese, A. C. 1959. Comparative physiology: annual reproductive cycles of marine 514 invertebrates. Annual Review of Physiology, 21: 547-576.
- 515 Gillespie, G. E., Bower, S. M., Marcus, K. L., and Kieser, D. 2012. Biological synopsises for
- 516 three exotic molluscs, Manila clam (Venerupis philippinarum), Pacific oyster (Crassostrea
- 517 gigas) and Japanese scallop (*Mizuhopecten yessoensis*) licensed for aquaculture in British
- 518 Columbia. Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc, 13.
- 519 Gourault, M., Petton, S., Thomas, Y., Pecquerie, L., Marques, G. M., Cassou, C., Fleury, E., 520 *et al.* 2019. Modeling reproductive traits of an invasive bivalve species under contrasting
- 521 climate scenarios from 1960 to 2100. Journal of Sea Research, 143: 128-139.
- 522 Guisan, A. and Thuiller, W. 2005. Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple 523 habitat models. Ecology Letters, 8: 993-1009.

524 Guisan, A. and Zimmermann, N. E. 2000. Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology. 525 Ecological Modelling, 135: 147-186.

- 526 Helm, M. M. 2004. Hatchery Culture of Bivalves: A Practical Manual. FAO.
- 527 Herbert, R., Roberts, C., Humphreys, J., and Fletcher, S. 2012. The Pacific oyster
- (*Crassostrea gigas*) in the UK: economic, legal and environmental issues associated with its
 cultivation, wild establishment and exploitation. Report for the Shellfish Association of Great
 Britain.
- Herbert, R. J., Humphreys, J., Davies, C. J., Roberts, C., Fletcher, S., and Crowe, T. P.
 2016. Ecological impacts of non-native Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) and management
- 533 measures for protected areas in Europe. Biodiversity and Conservation, 25: 2835-2865.
- Hermans, T. H., Tinker, J., Palmer, M. D., Katsman, C. A., Vermeersen, B. L., and Slangen,
- A. B. 2020. Improving sea-level projections on the Northwestern European shelf using
- 536 dynamical downscaling. Climate Dynamics: 1-25.
- Hobbs, R. J., Arico, S., Aronson, J., Baron, J. S., Bridgewater, P., Cramer, V. A., Epstein, P.
 R., *et al.* 2006. Novel ecosystems: theoretical and management aspects of the new
- 539 ecological world order. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 15: 1-7.
- 540 IPCC. 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
- 541 Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- 542 [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia,

- V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdomand New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.
- 545 Jones, S. J., Lima, F. P., and Wethey, D. S. 2010. Rising environmental temperatures and 546 biogeography: poleward range contraction of the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L., in the 547 western Atlantic. Journal of Biogeography, 37: 2243-2259.
- 548 Kearney, M. and Porter, W. 2009. Mechanistic niche modelling: combining physiological and 549 spatial data to predict species ranges. Ecology Letters, 12: 334-350.
- Kearney, M. R., Wintle, B. A., and Porter, W. P. 2010. Correlative and mechanistic models of
 species distribution provide congruent forecasts under climate change. Conservation Letters,
 3: 203-213.
- Kochmann, J., O'Beirn, F., Yearsley, J., and Crowe, T. P. 2013. Environmental factors
 associated with invasion: modelling occurrence data from a coordinated sampling
 programme for Pacific oysters. Biological Invasions, 15: 2265-2279.
- Kochmann, J., Buschbaum, C., Volkenborn, N., and Reise, K. 2008. Shift from native
 mussels to alien oysters: differential effects of ecosystem engineers. Journal of experimental
 marine biology and ecology, 364: 1-10.
- Krassoi, F. R., Brown, K. R., Bishop, M. J., Kelaher, B. P., and Summerhayes, S. 2008.
 Condition specific competition allows coexistence of competitively superior exotic oysters
 with native oysters. Journal of Animal Ecology, 77: 5-15.
- Lallias, D., Boudry, P., Batista, F. M., Beaumont, A., King, J. W., Turner, J. R., and Lapègue,
 S. 2015. Invasion genetics of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in the British Isles inferred
 from microsatellite and mitochondrial markers. Biological Invasions, 17: 2581-2595.
- Laugen, A. T., Hollander, J., Obst, M., and Strand, Å. 2015. 10. The Pacific Oyster
 (*Crassostrea gigas*) Invasion in Scandinavian Coastal Waters: Impact on Local Ecosystem
 ServicesBiological Invasions in Changing Ecosystems. 230-252. Ed. by Anonymous.
 Sciendo Migration.
- 569 Mann, R. 1979. Some biochemical and physiological aspects of growth and gametogenesis 570 in *Crassostrea gigas* and *Ostrea edulis* grown at sustained elevated temperatures. Journal 571 of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 59: 95-110.
- Markert, A., Wehrmann, A., and Kröncke, I. 2010. Recently established Crassostrea-reefs
 versus native Mytilus-beds: differences in ecosystem engineering affects the macrofaunal
 communities (Wadden Sea of Lower Saxony, southern German Bight). Biological Invasions,
 12: 15.
- 576 McKindsey, C. W., Landry, T., O'BEIRN, F. X., and Davies, I. M. 2007. Bivalve aquaculture 577 and exotic species: a review of ecological considerations and management issues. Journal 578 of Shellfish Research, 26: 281-294.
- McKnight, W. and Chudleigh, I. J. 2015. Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* control within the
 inter-tidal zone of the North East Kent Marine Protected Areas, UK. Conservation Evidence,
 12: 28-32.

- 582 Mills, S. R. A. 2016. Population structure and ecology of wild Crassostrea gigas (Thunberg,
 583 1793) on the south coast of England. University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.
- 584 Mortensen, S., Dolmer, P., Strand, Ã., Naustvoll, L., and Laugen, A. T. 2019. The Pacific 585 oyster a new Nordic food resource and a basis for tourism.
- Mortensen, S., Strand, Å., Bodvin, T., Alfjorden, A., Skår, C. K., Jelmert, A., Aspán, A., *et al.* 2016. Summer mortalities and detection of ostreid herpesvirus microvariant in Pacific
 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in Sweden and Norway. Diseases of aquatic organisms, 117: 171176.
- Nell, J. A. 2002. Farming triploid oysters. Aquaculture, 210: 69-88.
- Norgard, T., Gillespie, G. E., and Bigg, M. I. 2014. Assessment Protocol for the Commercial
 Harvest of Pacific Oysters (Crassostrea gigas) in British Columbia. Canadian Science
 Advisory Secretariat.
- Norton, D. A. 2009. Species invasions and the limits to restoration: learning from the New
 Zealand experience. Science (New York, N.Y.), 325: 569-571.
- O'Dea, E., Furner, R., Wakelin, S., Siddorn, J., While, J., Sykes, P., King, R., *et al.* 2017.
 The CO5 configuration of the 7 km Atlantic Margin Model: large-scale biases and sensitivity
 to forcing, physics options and vertical resolution. Geoscientific Model Development, 10:
 2947.
- Pearson, R. G. and Dawson, T. P. 2003. Predicting the impacts of climate change on the
 distribution of species: are bioclimate envelope models useful? Global Ecology and
 Biogeography, 12: 361-371.
- Pecl, G. T., Araujo, M. B., Bell, J. D., Blanchard, J., Bonebrake, T. C., Chen, I. C., Clark, T.
 D., et al. 2017. Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and
 human well-being. Science (New York, N.Y.), 355: 10.1126/science.aai9214.
- 606 Perkins, S., Alexander, L., and Nairn, J. 2012. Increasing frequency, intensity and duration of 607 observed global heatwaves and warm spells. Geophysical Research Letters, 39.
- Philippart, C. J., van Aken, H. M., Beukema, J. J., Bos, O. G., Cade, G. C., and Dekker, R.
 2003. Climate related changes in recruitment of the bivalve *Macoma balthica*. Limnology and
 Oceanography, 48: 2171-2185.
- Pogoda, B., Brown, J., Hancock, B., Preston, J., Pouvreau, S., Kamermans, P., Sanderson,
 W., *et al.* 2019. The Native Oyster Restoration Alliance (NORA) and the Berlin Oyster
- 613 Recommendation: bringing back a key ecosystem engineer by developing and supporting
- best practice in Europe. Aquatic Living Resources, 32: 13.
- 615 Poloczanska, E. S., Brown, C. J., Sydeman, W. J., Kiessling, W., Schoeman, D. S., Moore,
- 616 P. J., Brander, K., et al. 2013. Global imprint of climate change on marine life. Nature
- 617 Climate Change, 3: 919.
- Pouvreau, S., Bourles, Y., Lefebvre, S., Gangnery, A., and Alunno-Bruscia, M. 2006.
- 619 Application of a dynamic energy budget model to the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas,
- reared under various environmental conditions. Journal of Sea Research, 56: 156-167.

- Reside, A. E., Vanderwal, J. J., Kutt, A. S., and Perkins, G. C. 2010. Weather, not climate, defines distributions of vagile bird species. PloS one, 5: e13569.
- Rico-Villa, B., Le Coz, J., Mingant, C., and Robert, R. 2006. Influence of phytoplankton diet
 mixtures on microalgae consumption, larval development and settlement of the Pacific
 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). Aquaculture, 256: 377-388.
- Robins, P. E., Tita, A., King, J. W., and Jenkins, S. R. 2017. Predicting the dispersal of wild
 Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793) from an existing frontier population-a
 numerical study. Aquatic Invasions, 12.
- Robinson, T., Griffiths, C., Tonin, A., Bloomer, P., and Hare, M. 2005. Naturalized
 populations of oysters, *Crassostrea gigas* along the South African coast: distribution,
 abundance and population structure. Journal of Shellfish Research, 24: 443-450.
- Ruesink, J. L., Lenihan, H. S., Trimble, A. C., Heiman, K. W., Micheli, F., Byers, J. E., and
 Kay, M. C. 2005. Introduction of non-native oysters: ecosystem effects and restoration
 implications. Annual Reviews Ecology Evolution and Systematics, 36: 643-689.
- Ruiz, C., Abad, M., Sedano, F., Garcia-Martin, L., and Lopez, J. S. 1992. Influence of seasonal environmental changes on the gamete production and biochemical composition of
- 637 *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg) in suspended culture in El Grove, Galicia, Spain. Journal of
- experimental marine biology and ecology, 155: 249-262.
- Shanks, A. L. 2009. Pelagic larval duration and dispersal distance revisited. The Biologicalbulletin, 216: 373-385.
- Smaal, A. C., Ferreira, J. G., Grant, J., Petersen, J. K., and Strand, Ø. 2018. Goods and
 Services of Marine Bivalves. Springer.
- 643 Smith, I. P., Guy, C., and Donnan, D. 2015. Pacific oysters, *Crassostrea gigas*, established 644 in Scotland. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 25: 733-742.
- Smyth, D. M., Horne, N. S., Ronayne, E., Millar, R. V., Joyce, P. W., Hayden-Hughes, M.,
 and Kregting, L. 2020. Wild gregarious settlements of *Ostrea edulis* in a semi-enclosed sea
 lough: a case study for unassisted restoration. Restoration Ecology.
- Spencer, B., Edwards, D., Kaiser, M., and Richardson, C. 1994. Spatfalls of the non-native
 Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, in British waters. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and
 Freshwater Ecosystems, 4: 203-217.
- Syvret, M., Fitzgerald, A., and Hoare, P. 2008. Development of a Pacific oyster aquaculture
 protocol for the UK—Technical Report. Sea Fish Industry Authority.
- Thomas, Y., Pouvreau, S., Alunno-Bruscia, M., Barillé, L., Gohin, F., Bryère, P., and Gernez,
 P. 2016. Global change and climate-driven invasion of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*)
 along European coasts: a bioenergetics modelling approach. Journal of Biogeography, 43:
 568-579.
- Thurstan, R. H., Hawkins, J. P., Raby, L., and Roberts, C. M. 2013. Oyster (*Ostrea edulis*)
 extirpation and ecosystem transformation in the Firth of Forth, Scotland. Journal for nature
 conservation, 21: 253-261.

Trimble, A. C., Ruesink, J. L., and Dumbauld, B. R. 2009. Factors preventing the recovery of
a historically overexploited shellfish species, *Ostrea lurida* Carpenter 1864. Journal of
Shellfish Research, 28: 97-106.

Troost, K. 2010. Causes and effects of a highly successful marine invasion: case-study of
 the introduced Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in continental NW European estuaries.
 Journal of Sea Research, 64: 145-165.

Truitt, A. M., Granek, E. F., Duveneck, M. J., Goldsmith, K. A., Jordan, M. P., and Yazzie, K.
C. 2015. What is novel about novel ecosystems: managing change in an ever-changing
world. Environmental management, 55: 1217-1226.

Wagner, E., Dumbauld, B. R., Hacker, S. D., Trimble, A. C., Wisehart, L. M., and Ruesink, J.
L. 2012. Density-dependent effects of an introduced oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, on a native
intertidal seagrass, *Zostera marina*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 468: 149-160.

Walther, G., Roques, A., Hulme, P. E., Sykes, M. T., Pyšek, P., Kühn, I., Zobel, M., *et al.*2009. Alien species in a warmer world: risks and opportunities. Trends in ecology &
evolution, 24: 686-693.

Wrange, A., Valero, J., Harkestad, L. S., Strand, Ø., Lindegarth, S., Christensen, H. T.,

Dolmer, P., *et al.* 2010. Massive settlements of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, in
 Scandinavia. Biological Invasions, 12: 1145-1152.

Zimmermann, N. E., Yoccoz, N. G., Edwards, T. C., Jr, Meier, E. S., Thuiller, W., Guisan, A.,
Schmatz, D. R., *et al.* 2009. Climatic extremes improve predictions of spatial patterns of tree
species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
106 Suppl 2: 19723-19728.

Zippay, M. L. and Helmuth, B. 2012. Effects of temperature change on mussel, Mytilus.Integrative zoology, 7: 312-327.

Zwerschke, N., Eagling, L., Roberts, D., and O'Connor, N. 2020. Can an invasive species
 compensate for the loss of a declining native species? Functional similarity of native and
 introduced oysters. Marine environmental research, 153: 104793.

- Zwerschke, N., Emmerson, M. C., Roberts, D., and O'Connor, N. E. 2016. Benthic
 assemblages associated with native and non-native oysters are similar. Marine pollution
 bulletin, 111: 305-310.
- 690

- 692
- 693
- 694
- 695
- 0.5
- 696



Figure 1. Bathymetry and location of representative *M. gigas* sites within the Northwest European shelf. The
black line represents the 40 m depth contour, *M. gigas*' maximum viable depth.



704 705 706 707 Figure 2. Projected change in ocean bottom water temperatures compared with the baseline period 2000-2019, under an RCP8.5 concentrations pathway, across the Northwest European Shelf in 20 year mean time slices up to 2100. Temperature changes up to a depth of 350 m are shown. The black line denotes the 40 m depth contour 708 which is the maximum viable depth for *C. gigas*.



Figure 3. Proportion of years *M. gigas* settlement thresholds (825 degree days above 10.55°C) are exceed for
present day baseline period (A: 2000–2019) and future (B-E: 2020–2099) time periods, across the northwest
European Shelf. Data limited to 40 m (maximum viable depth of *C. gigas*).

Figure 4. Number of years out of 10 years settlement thresholds (825°C degree days above 10.55°C) 726 areexceeded at nine European C. gigas population sites from 2000-2100. Shaded area represents +/-

10% degree day uncertainty envelope. For site location see Figure 1.

731 732 Figure 5. Shifting pace toward maturity in *C. gigas* across nine European oyster populations. Line plots show the date at which thresholds are exceeded. Perforated line = spawning threshold (600°C degree days above10.55°C) and solid line = settlement threshold (825°C degree days above 10.55°C). Bar plots show the period over which degree days can be accrued (> 10.55°C). Shaded area represents +/- 10% degree day uncertainty envelope. For 735 site location see Figure 1.