Corrigendum to Biogeosciences, 18, 1559–1575, 2021 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-1559-2021-corrigendum This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## *Corrigendum to* "Comparison of greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical forests and oil palm plantations on mineral soil" published in Biogeosciences, 18, 1559–1575, 2021

Julia Drewer<sup>1</sup>, Melissa M. Leduning<sup>2</sup>, Robert I. Griffiths<sup>3</sup>, Tim Goodall<sup>3</sup>, Peter E. Levy<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas Cowan<sup>1</sup>, Edward Comynn-Platt<sup>3,4</sup>, Garry Hayman<sup>3</sup>, Justin Sentian<sup>2</sup>, Noreen Majalap<sup>5</sup>, and Ute M. Skiba<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB, UK

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 84400 Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia
<sup>3</sup>UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK
<sup>4</sup>European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting, Shinfield Road, Reading, Berkshire, RG2 9AX, UK
<sup>5</sup>Forest Research Centre, Sabah Forestry Department, Jalan Sepilok, Sepilok, 90175 Sandakan, Sabah, Malaysia

Correspondence: Julia Drewer (juew@ceh.ac.uk)

Published: 11 March 2021

During submission the wrong units were provided. The units for soil mineral nitrogen (N) should be  $mg kg^{-1}$  and not  $mg g^{-1}$  as accidentally stated. This requires revisions to Table 2 and Fig. 3 as well as the following paragraph.

Soil extractable mineral N (both NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) was highly variable across the OP plantations with mean values of  $8 \pm 23$  and  $6.3 \pm 18 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$  respectively;  $4.5 \pm 5$  and  $2.3 \pm 4 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$  in riparian reserve; and  $3.9 \pm 5$  and  $5.3 \pm 5 \text{ mg N kg}^{-1}$  in the forests (Fig. 3, Table 2). We measured the lowest average NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in the 12year-old plantation (OP12) and the highest in the youngest OP plantation (OP2) with maxima of > 150 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, however with a very high spatial variability (Fig. 3, Table 2).

However, this mistake does not change any statements and conclusions of the paper.

<b>Table 2.</b> Greenhouse gas fluxes (N <sub>2</sub> O-N, CH <sub>4</sub> -C, soil respiration CO <sub>2</sub> -C) and soil mineral nitrogen (NH <sub>4</sub> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> -N) averaged or	over the
entire measurement period (January 2015–November 2016) by land use. N: number of individual data points; SD: standard deviation;	forest:
logged forest; OP: oil palm; RR: riparian reserve.	

Variable	Land use	Ν	Mean	SD	Median
N <sub>2</sub> O-N	Forest	286	13.87	171.49	13.90
$(\mu g  m^{-2}  h^{-1})$	OP	335	46.20	166.35	45.84
	RR	48	31.83	220.40	30.86
CH <sub>4</sub> -C	Forest	216	2.20	48.34	-5.63
$(\mu g  m^{-2}  h^{-1})$	OP	251	-2.57	17.18	-3.00
	RR	36	1.27	12.60	-0.38
CO <sub>2</sub> -C	Forest	288	137.39	94.63	115.35
$(mg m^{-2} h^{-1})$	OP	336	93.30	69.65	75.55
	RR	48	157.70	105.80	142.60
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	Forest	288	3.92	5.41	2.85
mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	OP	336	7.99	22.72	2.50
	RR	48	4.50	5.40	2.50
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Forest	288	5.30	5.28	3.40
mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	OP	336	6.32	18.16	1.40
	RR	48	2.25	4.19	1.35



**Figure 3.** Mean mineral N as KCl-extractable  $NH_4^+$  (a) and  $NO_3^-$  (b) from January 2015–November 2016, every 2 months (a, b B, E, LF: logged forests; c, d OP2, OP7, OP12: oil palm plantations; e, f RR: riparian reserve). Error bars represent standard deviation of the samples around the mean. Please note different the *y*-axis scale for OP.