



Article (refereed) - postprint

Cowan, N.; Levy, P.; Maire, J.; Coyle, M.; Leeson, S.R.; Famulari, D.; Carozzi, M.; Nemitz, E.; Skiba, U. 2020. An evaluation of four years of nitrous oxide fluxes after application of ammonium nitrate and urea fertilisers measured using the eddy covariance method.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/525750/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <u>http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access</u>

NOTICE: this is an unedited manuscript accepted for publication. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before publication in its final form. During the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* (2020), 280: 107812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107812.

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1 An Evaluation of Four Years of Nitrous Oxide Fluxes after Application of

2 Ammonium Nitrate and Urea Fertilisers Measured Using the Eddy Covariance

3 Method

N. Cowan¹, P. Levy¹, J. Maire¹, M. Coyle¹, S.R. Leeson¹, D. Famulari², M. Carozzi³, E. Nemitz¹, U. Skiba¹

⁶ ¹Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik EH34 5DR, UK

7 ²CNR-ISAFOM, Istituto per i Sistemi Agricoli e Forestali del Mediterraneo, via Patacca 85, 80056

8 Ercolano, NA, Italy

9 ³UMR EcoSys, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 Thiverval Grignon, France

10

11 Abstract:

12 In this study, we present the first long-term N₂O eddy covariance dataset measured from a working 13 farm. The eddy covariance method was used over a four year period to measure fluxes of the 14 greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N₂O) from an intensively managed grazed grassland, to which regular 15 applications of ammonium nitrate or urea fertilisers were spread, for two years each at the field site. 16 The mean emission factors (EFs) reported for ammonium nitrate and urea fertiliser applications in this 17 study over a period of 30 days after fertilisation, were 0.90 and 1.73 % of the nitrogen applied, 18 respectively, with EFs of individual events ranging between 0.13 to 5.71 %. Our study accurately 19 quantifies emission factors for multiple events and showing unambiguously that large-scale variability 20 is real. EFs do indeed vary from one fertiliser event to another, even at the same site with the same 21 fertiliser type under similar environmental conditions. This makes distinguishing EFs between 22 different fertiliser types for the purposes of developing emission mitigation policy very difficult.

23

24 Introduction:

25 Modern agriculture relies heavily upon the application of industrially produced synthetic fertilisers to provide the nitrogen (N) required for intensive crop production (Tilman et al., 2002). Global 26 27 application of synthetic N fertiliser to agricultural land is now greater than 100 Tg N per year (Erisman 28 et al., 2008). This has resulted in a large increase in emissions of the potent greenhouse gas nitrous 29 oxide (N_2O) (IPCC, 2014). Since pre-industrial times, atmospheric concentrations of N_2O have 30 increased by approximately 0.26 % each year (275 to over 330 ppb) as a result of human activities 31 (Forster et al., 2007; Butler & Montzka, 2018), resulting in N₂O becoming the single largest contributor 32 to stratospheric ozone depletion (Ravishankara et al., 2009) as well as increasing its role as a 33 contributor to the global warming potential of the atmosphere.

35 N₂O is released after N application as a by-product of the naturally occurring microbial 36 processes of nitrification and denitrification in soils and aquatic bodies (Davidson 2000; Bateman & 37 Baggs, 2005). The microbial processes that produce N₂O are influenced by a wide variety of 38 environmental factors. Nitrification (i.e. the oxidation of ammonium) and denitrification (i.e. the 39 reduction of nitrate) rely predominantly on aerobic and anaerobic conditions, respectively (Robertson 40 & Tiedje, 1987; Davidson 2000). However, due to the heterogeneous nature of soils, the microbes associated with both of these processes can coexist at a macro-scale (Anderson & Levine, 1986), so 41 42 the observed response to environmental variation over time can be complex. Emissions of N₂O from 43 microbial processes are known to be influenced by N availability (Cowan et al., 2017), temperature 44 (Maag & Vinther, 1996; Smith et al., 1998), pH (Stevens et al., 1998), clay content (Rochette et al., 45 2008a) and oxygen availability, the latter of which is often controlled by soil compaction (Ball et al., 46 1999), soil moisture and water filled pore space (WFPS) (Linn & Doran, 1984; Dobbie & Smith 2003). 47 Although relationships have been shown to exist between these soil properties and N₂O fluxes in 48 previous experiments, our understanding of the interactions with microbial activity and soil properties 49 remains poor (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). As a result there are currently no process-based models 50 capable of reliably predicting microbial N_2O emissions at the field or farm scale (Brilli et al., 2017).

51 The IPCC Tier 1 method estimates the fraction of the fertiliser N that is released as N₂O after 52 a fertilisation event (the so-called "emission factor"). This is assumed to be a constant 1 % according 53 to the guidelines of the Intergovernmental Panel on climate Change (IPCC, 2014). However, there is 54 large variability and uncertainty in this value, with a reported uncertainty in the range of 0.3 - 3.0 % 55 (IPCC, 2014), and different types of fertiliser types can yield different emissions. The most common 56 form of N fertiliser is urea (over 50 % of all N applied globally), due to its high N content by weight and 57 relatively low cost (Glibert et al., 2006). Other widely used N fertiliser types include ammonium nitrate 58 (AN), calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), ammonium sulphate, ammonium phosphate and several 59 other compounds that contain a combination of N, phosphorus and potassium.

The two most common synthetic N fertilizers used in the UK are AN (37.3 %) and urea (10.1 (BSFP, 2017). The application of AN, urea and CAN account for 2.2 of the 3.9 Tg of synthetic N applied annually in Great Britain (BSFP, 2017). Research effort on reducing N₂O emissions has focussed on quantifying the emission factors for different types of fertiliser (Smith et al., 1999; Akiyama et al., 2009) to find types and application strategies which result in lower emissions.

The majority of studies still use the static chamber method to measure emissions, which has been essentially unchanged in the last four decades (Hutchison & Mosier, 1981; Chadwick et al., 2014; Flechard et al., 2007). However, because of the spatial and temporal variability in N₂O fluxes, results from chamber studies have large associated uncertainties (Cowan et al., 2017). N₂O fluxes typically

show a highly left-skewed distribution in space, well-represented by the lognormal distribution, and also resemble the lognormal density curve in time. Accurately estimating the integral under these curves is very difficult with small sample sizes and infrequent sampling (Levy et al., 2017). Due to the relatively large uncertainties in estimates of EFs, and the wide variety of environmental factors that can influence N₂O emissions, attributing emissions to agricultural practices remains difficult. In the pursuit of Tier 2 emission factors, which represent the effects of different fertiliser types in a given region, better methods are required.

76 In the past, the instrumentation available to measure N₂O via the eddy covariance method 77 had been limited primarily by the sensitivities of the infra-red lasers available, requiring the use of 78 liquid nitrogen and constant alterations to hold a stable wavelength in the region required to measure 79 N_2O (Jones et al., 2011, Mammarella et al., 2010). The development of more stable laser instruments 80 has greatly improved the capability to measure N₂O in the field, particularly using the eddy covariance 81 method (Kroon et al., 2010; Haszpra et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2018; Merbold et al., 2014; Fuchs et al., 82 2018). The eddy covariance method has several advantages which can improve estimates of emissions 83 after fertilisation events. Critically, the method allows for near-continuous measurements, that 84 integrates emissions from a relatively large spatial region (> 100 m) (Vesala et al., 2008). This method 85 can thereby remove the uncertainties in upscaling measurements to the field scale, and provide more 86 defensible estimates of cumulative flux emissions.

This study presents a long term dataset of N₂O fluxes measured using the eddy covariance method from a grazed grassland field in Scotland (Easter Bush). This is the longest eddy covariance dataset of N₂O from a working farm we know of to date. The measurements cover four growing seasons, during which, AN fertiliser was applied for two seasons (2012 and 2018) and urea fertiliser was applied for two seasons (2016 and 2017). The aim of this manuscript is primarily to present methods for estimating emission factors using eddy covariance observations, and to compare the emissions associated with each of the fertiliser types, with associated uncertainties.

94

95 Method:

96 Field site and management

97 Measurements were made at the permanent Easter Bush field site in the Easter Bush Estate 98 (Midlothian, UK, 55°51'57.4"N 3°12'29.3"W) (Cowan et al., 2016; Jones et al. 2017) during 2012, then 99 in 2016, 2017 and 2018. The site consisted of a small enclosed area in which a permanently stationed, 100 temperature controlled cabin with access to mains power was located directly between two similarly 101 managed grazed grasslands (North and South fields, each approximately 5.4 ha, Figure 1). The soil in 102 the fields are classed as an imperfectly drained clay loam with a sand/silt/clay texture of 26/20/55 in

the top 30 cm. The grassland fields had been predominantly used as high intensity grazing pasture for sheep (0.7 LSU ha⁻¹) for over twenty years before measurements took place, with occasional silage harvest. Their management is typical for this region, with predominately AN fertilization (but urea was used for two years during this study) via tractor mounted broadcast spreading, with liming every 3-5 years to maintain the pH between 5.5 and 6 and occasional ploughing and reseeding.

108 The sheep were typically absent from the fields in the winter months (November to February), 109 with sporadic movement between local fields throughout the growing season (March to September) 110 as management required. Both fields were managed similarly across the measurement period with 111 sheep grazing throughout the year, although there were stages when field management differed 112 (Table 1). Fertiliser was applied to both fields on the same days with the same quantities throughout 113 the growing seasons (Table 1). In 2012 there was a tillage event in the south field, thus the fields 114 cannot be classed as similar in this period. For this reason we split flux measurements between the 115 fields in 2012, with only the north field receiving a fertiliser application during event 1, and both fields 116 receiving similar applications during the second event. As the wind shifted regularly to and from the 117 predominant south westerly direction to a north easterly direction during 2012, we can observe the effects from both fields separately. For all other events (2016 to 2018), both fields were managed 118 119 similarly and measurements from both fields are considered as one event.



120

121 Figure 1 The eddy covariance mast and meteorological measurement equipment were positioned in

a small enclosed area between two grazed grassland fields at the Easter Bush permanent field site,

123 Midlothian, Scotland, UK.

125 Table 1 Field management and fertiliser application for the North and South fields during eddy

Date	Field	Management	Fertiliser Application
01/05/12	South	Tilled and resown	
28/05/12	North		AN 70 kg N ha ⁻¹
09/08/12	Both		AN 70 kg N ha ⁻¹
20/05/14	North	Tilled and resown	
15/03/16	South	Sheep removed	
13/06/16	Both		Urea 70 kg N ha-1
16/07/16	South	Silage harvest	
26/07/16	Both		Urea 50 kg N ha-1
28/07/16	South	Sheep returned	
23/08/16	Both		Urea 35 kg N ha-1
01/04/17	Both		Urea 70 kg N ha ⁻¹
22/06/17	Both		Urea 49 kg N ha-1
12/04/18	Both		AN 70 kg N ha ⁻¹
14/06/18	Both		AN 52 kg N ha-1

126 covariance measurements at the Easter Bush permanent field site.

127

128 Meteorology and Environment

129 Measurements of soil temperature (0.35 m depth), air temperature (1.8 m height) and rainfall (tipping 130 bucket) were made at the field site throughout the measurement period and averaged every 30 min (Figure 2, Table 2). After installation in 2015, soil moisture (0 – 30 cm) was also measured by a cosmic-131 ray moisture sensor (Hyroinnova CRS-2000) (Köhli et al., 2015) at the COSMOS-UK Easter Bush 132 measurement site (https://.cosmos.ceh.ac.uk/), located approximately 300 m north of the flux mast 133 134 (Figure 2). Temperatures were not drastically different at the site during the growing seasons that flux 135 measurements took place; however, 2012 was consistently cooler than the other years during the 136 summer months (June to August). Soil moisture measurements were not available in 2012 as the 137 COSMOS instrument was not installed until 2015. Rainfall was significantly higher than what is 138 considered typical of the site in the summer of 2012, with an annual rainfall of 1191 mm. Total annual rainfall for the other years was 793, 780 and 690 mm for 2016, 2017 and 2018 (up to 12/12/18), 139 140 respectively. A drought occurred during May in 2017, and more severely during the summer of 2018 (July) after a particularly cold spring. During these periods (April to May in 2017 and May to September 141 142 in 2018) the soil became very dry and field scale water filled pore space (WFPS %) of the soil dropped 143 below 40 %.



- Figure 2 (top) Daily average soil temperature (0.35 m depth), (middle) Hourly soil moistu
 depth) expressed as WFPS % by COSMOS instrument (bottom) Daily cumulative rainfall.

150 Table 2 Measured environmental variables were averaged/summed for 7 and 30 day periods prior to

and post fertilisation events. Soil moisture measurements were not available in 2012.

152

Year	2012	2012	2016	2016	2016	2017	2017	2018	2018
Event	1	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	2
30 days prior									
Mean air temperature (°C)	8.3	13.3	10.9	14.3	14.3	7.0	14.6	4.2	12.3
Mean soil temperature (°C)	8.3	14.4	12.3	15.9	16.1	6.0	14.8	4.0	13.3
Mean soil moisture (WFPS %)	NA	NA	62.5	70.4	65.7	70.0	51.8	68.8	45.0
Cumulative rainfall (mm)	92.0	148.1	59.2	69.4	60.6	59.0	114.6	72.0	31.4
30 days post									
Mean air temperature (°C)	11.2	14.5	12.8	14.3	14.5	7.7	13.3	9.5	14.6
Mean soil temperature (°C)	11.5	14.5	14.8	16.0	15.3	8.4	15.5	8.4	15.7
Mean soil moisture (WFPS %)	NA	NA	70.8	65.2	60.4	55.5	64.0	60.9	39.5
Cumulative rainfall (mm)	152.8	81.8	85.2	52.8	26.6	6.6	116.8	31.6	49.8
7 days prior									
Mean air temperature (°C)	14.5	14.1	12.4	16.8	14.9	9.3	16.4	6.6	12.9
Mean soil temperature (°C)	11.2	15.0	14.5	17.5	16.0	7.2	16.5	4.7	14.9
Mean soil moisture (WFPS %)	NA	NA	62.9	67.8	65.9	69.0	56.4	71.8	42.6
Cumulative rainfall (mm)	0.2	40.5	34.6	20.2	12.6	4.6	11.6	18.4	5.0
7 days post									
Mean air temperature (°C)	10.3	15.7	11.6	13.8	14.6	8.5	12.3	9.3	11.5
Mean soil temperature (°C)	11.5	15.2	13.5	16.5	15.6	8.3	15.5	6.7	13.6
Mean soil moisture (WFPS %)	NA	NA	70.3	65.0	62.9	64.9	56.6	68.4	45.2
Cumulative rainfall (mm)	19.6	9.9	28.2	5.4	0.8	0.0	34.8	9.8	40.8

153

154 Eddy covariance measurements

155 An eddy covariance mast was erected in an enclosure between the North and South fields (see Figure 156 1). The mast was equipped with an ultra-sonic anemometer (WindMaster Pro 3-axis, Gill, Lymington, 157 UK) mounted at a height of 2.5 m to measure fluctuations in 3-D wind components at a frequency of 158 20 Hz. The prevailing wind direction was SW-NE, with predominant SW winds, however the wind field 159 did vary on a number of occasions throughout the four years of measurement (see Figure 3). A 14 m 160 length of 1/4" ID Dekabon tubing was attached to the mast near the sonic anemometer (northward, 161 eastward and vertical separation from the centre of the sonic of 14, 4 and 15 cm, respectively). This 162 inlet was run back along a protected conduit into the temperature controlled cabin where it was 163 connected to a continuous wave quantum cascade laser (QCL) absorption spectrometer gas analyser 164 (CW-QC-TILDAS-76-CS, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA). A 1 µm PTFE membrane air filter 165 was fitted in-between where the inlet line joined the QCL to prevent particulates from damaging the 166 instrument.

167 The QCL was fitted with either one of two different laser sources over the four year period, each capable of measuring atmospheric N₂O with an instrumental noise smaller than 0.3 ppb, together 168 with H₂O and either CO₂ or CO, using absorption features in the mid-infrared spectral range close to 169 170 2200 cm⁻¹. The TDLWintel software (Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA), fits the observed 171 spectra to a template of known spectral absorption line profiles from the HITRAN (High-resolution 172 TRANsmission) molecular spectroscopic database. Absolute trace gas mixing ratios can then be 173 calculated from the intensity of the absorption line measured, the temperature and pressure of the 174 absorption cell and the optical path length with an accuracy within 3 %. A vacuum pump (Triscroll 600, 175 Agilent Technologies, US) was used to draw air through the inlet and instrument with a flow rate of approximately 14 l min⁻¹. Data from the sonic anemometer and QCL were logged synchronously using 176 a custom-made program written in LabView[™] (National Instruments, TX, USA). 177





180 Figure 3 Wind rose measured at the Easter Bush site for the duration of all eddy covariance

- 181 measurements.
- 182

183 Fluxes were calculated over 30 minute intervals using the EddyPro software (Version 6.2.1, Li-COR, Lincoln, NE, U.S.A.), based on the covariance between gas concentration (χ) and vertical wind 184 speed (w) ($F\chi = \overline{\chi'w'}$). For flux data taken with a low signal-to-noise ratio, time lag identification by 185 186 maximisation of the covariance between χ and w introduces systematic biases (Langford et al., 2015). 187 Instead, the time lag was estimated on a six hour basis for N₂O, taking the maximisation of covariance 188 of data over six hours of measurement data. Firstly, all six hour data chunks were given a 10 second 189 window to find the maximisation of covariance. Time lag varied through the years as the different 190 instruments were swapped in and out of the enclosure (mode time lag varied between 1.1 to 1.4 s for 191 all setups based on changes made to tubing and filters), although once running undisturbed, the mode 192 time lag remained very consistent for extended periods (less than 0.1 s drift over 6 months). A second 193 maximisation of covariance was carried out once a stable (mode) time lag had been identified. Here 194 we ran the maximisation of covariance of the six hour data chunks a second time, constrained to within 195 a 0.2 second window around the mode time lag we observed in the initial analysis. This time lag was 196 then fixed for all data within the six hour chunk and fluxes were calculated on a 30 minute basis. This 197 method was verified by comparing N₂O time lag with CO₂ time lag for the periods in which CO₂ 198 measurements were available (2012 and late 2018), which were almost identical. 199 In the flux calculation processing, we applied double coordinate rotation (vertical and crosswind),

200 spike removal, block averaging and outlier removal of artefact measurements. Correction for the

201 frequency response of the system, both high and low-frequency losses, were made using the analytical 202 method of Moncrieff et al. (1997). Corrections for density fluctuations due to temperature fluctuations 203 were applied on a half-hourly basis using the method of Ibrom et al. (2007). The quality control scheme 204 of Foken (2003) was used to remove poor quality flux measurements (category 5 or above). Data were 205 also rejected on the basis of extreme outliers and friction velocity (u^*) values less than 0.1 m s⁻¹. Only 206 data in which at least 90 % of the flux came from a radius of 200 m from the flux tower and data in 207 which the peak contribution to the flux was at least 25 m from the tower were used in this study, 208 based on the calculations of Kjun et al. 2004. Flux random uncertainty was estimated by the method 209 of Finkelstein and Sims (2001) integrated over a fixed 10 s correlation period (as presented in Figures 210 5 and 6). This was chosen because the estimation methods of the integral time scale of the turbulence 211 become uncertain for noisy data.

212

213 Estimating cumulative fluxes and emission factors (EFs)

214 After quality control (i.e. removal of data where there was a lack of turbulence or there were technical 215 difficulties with instrumentation), a significant portion of the data was removed from the final results. Over the four years, 35 % of the recorded data (during periods where there were no technical faults) 216 217 passed quality control steps. In order to estimate cumulative fluxes, interpolation of the missing data 218 points was required. However, in the absence of a well-validated process-based model for N₂O fluxes 219 on which to base predictions, it is not obvious how this is best achieved. To this end, we used a 220 smoothing approach via a general additive model (GAM). This accounted for temporal patterns at a 221 range of time scales and nonlinear responses to environmental variables, implemented using the mgcv 222 package in the R software (Wood, 2006). The GAM was fitted to the flux data, using the same model 223 terms for both the AN and Urea events over the four year period (with the exception of 2012 for which 224 no soil moisture data was available). The terms included were soil temperature, precipitation, soil 225 moisture (measured by the COSMOS system) and time since fertilisation. The GAM allows for non-226 linearity by fitting a smooth response with cubic splines. The degree of smoothing is optimised by the 227 algorithm, but was also adjusted subjectively, such that the model was not over-fitting to noise in the 228 data. The emission factor (EF) for each event was calculated as the cumulative flux of N₂O over 30 229 days after fertilisation, divided by the quantity of total nitrogen applied. As a single eddy covariance 230 tower is unable to make measurements of background flux in tandem with the experimental 231 measurements, we do not negate background flux from our EFs in this study. Uncertainty was 232 quantified by simulating 2000 replicate time series from the GAM, given the uncertainty in the fitted parameters, to estimate the posterior distribution. The quantiles of this posterior distribution 233 234 provided the 95 % credibility interval at each predicted time step (Marra and Wood, 2012). Finally, the

correlations between the N₂O emission and the environmental variables have been evaluated with t Test for independent samples (R core team).

237

238 Results:

239 Eddy covariance measurements of N₂O

240 With the exception of the fertilisation events, emissions of N_2O from the grasslands generally remained close to zero (< 1 nmol N₂O m⁻² s⁻¹, (< 28.01 ng N₂O-N m⁻² s⁻¹)), even with the presence of 241 242 grazing sheep which produce a continuous input of urine and faeces and should increase N₂O 243 emissions (Figure 4). After N fertiliser was applied, it was typical to see an immediate increase in 244 emissions, reaching a peak within 7 days, with a return to fluxes near zero after two to three weeks. It is evident from these measurements that the application of fertiliser is the main cause of N_2O 245 246 produced in the fields and that the vast majority of emissions occur within 30 days after the application 247 (Figure 4). There was no obvious pattern among fertiliser events related to fertiliser type or quantity 248 of N applied (see Table 1 for details). After fertiliser events, emissions ranged from approximately zero 249 (2017), to extreme spikes in emissions reaching fluxes greater than 40 nmol N_2O m⁻² s⁻¹ (2018). The 250 shape of the peak also varies between events: we observed both the expected sharp rise and gradual 251 fall (2018, AN Event 1) and also a gradual rise and sharp fall (e.g. 2016, urea Event 2).





Figure 4 Fluxes of N₂O measured using the eddy covariance method over four years at the Easter Bush field site (Midlothian, Scotland, UK). AN fertiliser (blue) was applied in 2012 and 2018, and urea fertiliser (red) was applied in 2016 and 2017 (dashed vertical lines show fertiliser application dates described in Table 1). This data is not gap-filled.

As the majority of emissions appear to occur within one to three weeks after each fertilisation event, and that fertiliser events often occur in fairly short succession, in this study we limit emissions associated with each event to 30 days after application for comparison purposes.

For the events in which AN was applied, emissions of N₂O generally followed the typical rise and fall of emissions expected after N application (Figure 5). The magnitude of fluxes observed after each fertiliser event varies greatly. The smallest of peaks after AN application was observed in 2018 (Event 2), but a pronounced peak was still visible after the fertiliser application.



265

Figure 5 Emissions of N₂O measured 14 days before and 30 days after the application of AN fertiliser.
(Due to the tillage event occurring in 2012, the two adjacent fields are treated separately). The GAM
interpolation (Red) and associated 95 % confidence intervals (C.I.s) are fit to the data for each event.
The date of the fertiliser event is shown by the dashed vertical line.

270

For the events in which urea was applied (Figure 6), the patterns in emissions were more varied. The largest emission after a urea application (2016, Event 2) appeared to have a delay, peaking 16 days after application. Fluxes after the events in 2017 were similar in magnitude to background emissions, with only small peaks observed.



276

Figure 6 Emissions of N₂O measured up to 30 days after the application of urea fertiliser. The GAM
interpolation (Red) and associated 95 % confidence intervals (C.I.s) are fit to the data for each event.
The date of the fertiliser event is shown by the dashed vertical line.

280

Cumulative fluxes of N₂O over a 30-day period after a fertiliser event ranged from 0.092 to 281 2.856 kg N ha⁻¹ (Figure 7, Table 2). Cumulative fluxes varied widely for both of the different fertiliser 282 283 types, with one very large emission event each. In most events, pre-fertilisation fluxes were negligible, 284 with the exception of the 2016 Event 3 urea application, which was close in time to the previous 285 fertiliser application. Emission factors reported in this study range from 0.13 to 5.71 % (Table 3). 286 Emission factors for AN and urea showed no clear difference, and had similar variability: the mean EFs 287 were 0.90 (s.d. ± 1.08) and 1.73 (s.d. ± 2.30) %, respectively. The mean EF for urea in this study was dominated by one event (2016 Event 2), which tails off into the next urea application event (2016 288 289 Event 3). Because observations have near-complete temporal coverage and measure a spatial 290 average, we have a high degree of confidence in the emission factor for individual events (as shown

- in Figure 7). However, there is wide variability among events which is not explained by fertiliser type,
- and we are therefore very uncertain of the mean effect of AN or urea.
- 293





Figure 7 Cumulative fluxes are calculated 14 days before and 30 days after the application of AN

296 (left) and urea (right) applications. The GAM method is used to interpolate measurement data and

297 estimate 95 % C.I.s in cumulative flux estimates (shaded).

298 Table 3 Cumulative fluxes over a 30-day period after each fertilisation event are reported for the GAM

299	method (units in kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	The 95 % confidence intervals	(C.I.s) are included for comparison.
-----	---	-------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Year	Event	Fertiliser Applied	N ₂ O Flux 30 day Cumulative	± 95 % C.I.	Emission Factor
			kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹	kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹	%
2012	1 (North)	AN 70 Kg	0.650	0.004	0.93
2012	2 (North)	AN 70 Kg	0.203	0.003	0.29
2012	2 (South)	AN 70 Kg	0.224	0.003	0.32
2016	1	Urea 70 Kg	0.325	0.002	0.46
2016	2	Urea 50 Kg	2.856	0.009	5.71
2016	3	Urea 35 Kg	0.582	0.004	1.66
2017	1	Urea 70 Kg	0.092	0.001	0.13
2017	2	Urea 49 Kg	0.341	0.001	0.70
2018	1	AN 70 Kg	1.944	0.013	2.78
2018	2	AN 52 Kg	0.103	0.001	0.20

300

301 <u>Environmental impacts on N₂O emissions</u>

The available environmental variables of precipitation, soil moisture (0 - 30 cm) and soil and air temperature were investigated for correlation with N₂O emissions over the four years of measurements. Log-transformed daily-mean fluxes correlated significantly with soil moisture and soil temperature (P << 0.01); however, there remains a large amount of scatter in these relationships (Figure 8). A bell-curve relationship is observed with the fluxes and soil moisture, peaking at 60 - 70 307 % WFPS, and it appears that there is a small increase in N₂O flux with temperature. Neither of these 308 relationships, nor multiple regressions with all environmental variables provides a useful predictor of 309 emissions of N₂O. No diurnal cycling in emissions was observed, suggesting temperature changes 310 between day and night had no significant impact of flux measurements. A comparison of EFs with 311 environmental properties revealed no strong correlation between emissions and environmental 312 conditions when looking at 7 or 30 day periods before and after fertiliser application (Table 2), 313 although the WFPS % at the date of fertilisation was deemed significant (P =0.04) in a multiple 314 regression fit (using the Im function in the 'stats' package for the statistical program R) with mean soil temperature, mean WFPS % and cumulative rainfall over 30 days (R² = 0.65). However, this fit is 315 316 dominated by a single point (the large 5.71 % EF) and no correlation with any variables is found when 317 it is removed.

318



320 Figure 8 (left) A boxplot of N₂O on a log scale against WFPS binned by 10 % groups. The boxplot shows 321 the median with hinges on the 25 % and 75 % quantiles. (right) Linear regression between N_2O on a 322 log scale and soil temperature (line).

323 324

319

325 **Discussion:**

326 Measurements of N₂O using the Eddy Covariance Method

327 The advantage of using the eddy covariance method to measure N₂O fluxes is the near-continuous 328 data coverage, paired with the spatial integration (covering up to several 100 m²). This provides a 329 detailed picture of N₂O flux at the field scale throughout the four-year measurement period with 330 limited logistical effort (i.e. no intensive field work is required to carry out measurements after 331 fertiliser events). Even the most rigorous of manual chamber sampling schedules accounts for just a 332 few m² with intermittent timings between measurements (mostly done during the day). Using the

eddy covariance method we can mitigate the uncertainty arising from spatial and temporal heterogeneity at the field scale, which is notoriously high and difficult to deal with using chamber measurements (Rochette et al., 2008b; Levy et al., 2017). However, with the eddy covariance method, it is also difficult to determine the influence of environmental conditions as a direct comparison cannot be made between two fertiliser treatments or a control when using one tower. Unless multiple towers are deployed in parallel fields, the eddy covariance method cannot assess fertiliser treatments in tandem.

340 Emissions observed from the fields were most varied after N application, for which fluxes 341 varied log-normally over time, as is often observed with eddy covariance (Jones et al., 2011; Merbold 342 et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2018) the flux-gradient method (Wagner-Riddle et al., 2007; Glenn et al., 343 2012; Abalos et al., 2015; Waldo et al., 2019) and chamber methods (Levy et al., 2017). Although each 344 30-minute flux measurement represents a large footprint (> 100 m²), there remains some spatial 345 variability in the reported measurements because the method is reporting measurements weighted 346 towards a particular part of the field at any given time, depending on wind speed and direction. As the 347 wind direction was predominantly south westerly during measurements (see Figure 3), this section of 348 the field dominates the contributions to the measurements in this analysis. With the exception of a 349 two month period in May to June in 2012 when the south field was tilled and re-sown (north field was 350 tilled and re-sown in 2013 between measurement periods), both fields were in a permanent state of 351 full grass coverage.

352 As fluxes seemed uncharacteristically low after fertiliser applications in 2017, further checks 353 were carried out to ensure that the system was still operating as normal. The reasons for this lack of 354 N_2O emission is unclear, as rainfall and temperatures were not abnormal during the growing seasons 355 or the periods in which fertiliser was applied. Although there was limited rainfall in the immediate 356 days after the first fertiliser event in 2017 (see Table 2), the WFPS of the soil was relatively high due 357 to the high rainfall that preceded it. The urea pellets were readily dissolved by the damp surface and the formation of morning dew which forms regularly at the site in the cool damp climate. The EF of 358 359 the second event in 2017 was also low, and did not respond to higher rainfall as may be expected. The 360 reason for these observations is unclear.

As the laser source in the QCL instrument also measured H₂O and CO in tandem with N₂O, we checked fluxes measured in 2017 and compared them with those measured in 2016. There were no significant changes in fluxes of H₂O and CO between the times that high N₂O fluxes were observed in 2016 with comparison to the low fluxes observed in 2017; therefore we conclude that the low fluxes of N₂O in 2017 are genuine (CO fluxes for this period are published in Cowan et al., 2018). Other factors such as vegetation height or plant uptake should not have impacted EFs as the grass height of the

grazed pasture was fairly consistent across the duration of all years, with the exception of the silagecut in 2016.

369 Rather than the low emissions from 2017 seeming suspect, it is perhaps the large emission 370 event after the second application of urea in 2016 that is not representative. During the four years of 371 measurements, there was only one harvest of silage from the field, approximately one month before 372 the high flux event in 2016. Based on previous experimentation at the site, which found high emissions 373 of N₂O approximately one month after a tillage event in which the grassland crop was ploughed into 374 the soil (Cowan et al., 2014), we speculate that the high emissions in 2016 may be the result of a large 375 mass of decaying crop residue. Based on estimates made by the farm manager, automated collection 376 methods of silage grass can expect to miss up to 10 % of a harvest. The decay of this crop residue and 377 mineralisation of nitrogen into the soil may have resulted in an additional N input, and thereby 378 impacted the EFs for events 2 and 3 in 2016. If these events were excluded, this would bring the mean 379 emission factor for urea down to 0.43 % which is in line with other studies carried out at the site 380 (Cowan et al., 2019).

381

382 Flux Data Interpolation

383 Interpolation of data measured using the eddy covariance data is considerably less of a problem here 384 than when using the flux chamber approach. Gap filling N_2O fluxes using the GAM method suits the 385 eddy covariance method well when there are few missing data points. In this study, the relatively high 386 data coverage, with limited gaps spanning more than a few hours, considerably reduces the 387 uncertainty. Due to the large number of points to which the GAM is fit, the uncertainties calculated 388 are very small, but these do not account for two important factors: (i) any systematic uncertainties 389 which may be present in the eddy covariance method are ignored, and (ii) autocorrelation (and 390 thereby non-independence) of the samples in the time series is not considered.

391 At our field site in particular, the short height of the mast (< 3m) paired with the cold weather 392 limit our ability to consistently measure well-formed spectra of sensible heat. This presents issues 393 when correcting for high frequency losses which can systematically impact flux calculations. In our 394 study we default to the Moncrieff et al., (1997) method to perform these corrections as applying the 395 more defensible Fratini et al., (2012) method which requires well-formed sensible heat spectra to 396 account for the effects of relative humidity in closed-path systems was not possible to achieve 397 consistently across the four years. By comparing fluxes calculated using both methods during a period 398 that sensible heat measurements were available and fluxes ranged from high to low (16/04/18 to 23/04/18), we can see that differences in overall flux estimates are only fractional (Figure 9; R² = 399 400 0.975); however, the Moncrieff method appears to underestimate fluxes (~ 10 %) when emissions are

high (> 8 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹). As less than 1% of observed fluxes past beyond this threshold, this effect is
unlikely to have a large impact on cumulative emissions over long periods of time, but may alter EFs
calculated after particularly high and short-lived emission events (such as 2016 Event 2 and 2018 Event
1).



405

Figure 9 High and low-frequency losses, were corrected for using the analytical method of (Moncrieff
et al. (1997, black). Potential systematic uncertainties may influence flux calculations depending on
the exact method used, as demonstrated by comparing our results with those estimated using the
method of Fratini (et al., 2012, red) for the period 16/04/18 to 23/04/18.

410

The limits of the GAM method are most visible when large spans of data are missing; it is essentially a smoothing technique, and has little predictive power (e.g. it cannot predict when missing peaks may occur). However, this is also true for process-based models in most model validation attempts, and the GAM provides an appropriate tool for imputing the missing observations in the context of eddy covariance data. Significant improvements in our understanding of microbial processes are still required to gap fill N₂O measurements with certainty.

417

419 N₂O Emission Factors

The EFs reported in this study varied widely, from 0.13 to 5.71 % of applied N. Although the IPCC method assumes 1 % for all fertilisers (ranging from 0.03 to 3.0%), EFs of up to 10% as well as negative values are reported from grasslands and agricultural fields (Charles et al., 2017), and the distribution is log-normal (Stehfest & Bouwman, 2006).

424 In the UK, AN fertilisers are generally considered to emit more N₂O than urea based fertilisers, 425 although results based on experimentation has been inconsistent (Bell et al., 2015; Carswell et al., 426 2018; Harty et al., 2016). For grasslands, the UK Agricultural Inventory (developed under the UK 427 agricultural emission factor, e.g. Brown et al. 2019) estimates EFs of 1.54 and 0.62 % for AN and urea, 428 respectively. In our experiment the mean EFs were 0.9 and 1.73 % for AN and urea fertiliser types, 429 respectively, but varied widely, from 0.13 to 5.71 %, and the difference was not statistically significant 430 (p = 0.49.) Given the difficulties of adequately sampling in space and time with chamber methods, it 431 has never been unequivocally clear whether the wide log-normal distribution of emission factors 432 observed was a result of sampling error, and whether genuine differences between fertiliser types 433 was lost amid the underlying heterogeneity.

434 Our study accurately quantifies EFs for multiple events and showing unambiguously that large-435 scale temporal variability is real. That is, EFs do indeed vary from one fertiliser event to another, even 436 at the same site with the same fertiliser type under similar (though not identical) conditions. This 437 makes distinguishing EFs between different fertiliser types for the purposes of developing emission 438 mitigation policy very difficult, especially when the higher priority of economic risk is considered such 439 as impact on yield and farmer income. To estimate generic emission factors for different fertiliser 440 types in the UK (or any other region), a very large number of fertilisation events would need to be 441 measured to provide a robust comparison. In order to provide this comparison with practical 442 uncertainty values (i.e. < ± 0.25 % of applied N), this is particularly challenging for chamber-based 443 approaches due to the amount of data collection required. Difficulties in assessing these differences 444 on a year to year basis are highlighted in this study by the possibility that 2 of the urea events may 445 have been influenced by decaying crop residue after harvest, and that the mean EF for urea if these 2 446 events were excluded would have been 0.43 % instead of 1.73 % (similar to what we might expect for 447 urea application in the region, reported as 0.29 ± 0.22 % in Cowan et al., 2019).

The wide variability in EFs and unpredictable behaviour in emissions after N application has been attributed to a variety of reasons in the past, including fertiliser type, soil type, microbial presence and environmental conditions (Maag & Vinther, 1996; Smith et al., 1998; Stevens et al., 1998; Ball et al., 1999; Linn & Doran, 1984; Dobbie & Smith 2003). WFPS % was the environmental variable with the most statistically significant correlation with N₂O fluxes in this study. The bell-curve

453 relationship between N₂O and WFPS peaking at 60 % WFPS has been described before in detail 454 (Davidson, 1993; Flechard et al., 2007). The relationship between soil moisture and rainfall with N₂O 455 emissions in this study is not as strong as in previous eddy covariance studies (Haszpra et al., 2018; 456 Liang et al., 2018), but this is likely due to the large number of variables in the soil changing with time, 457 the log-normal spatial variability of N_2O at the field scale and the random wind dependent spatial 458 coverage that the eddy covariance method measures from. Other studies examining the environmental factors associated with N₂O emissions have measured closer to the surface (Congreves 459 460 et al., 2016; Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017); which may better represent the mass of soil in which the 461 microbiological processes are happening which contribute most to the land-atmosphere exchange of 462 N₂O.

463 In this study we used the available environmental data that is recorded by the adjacent 464 meteorological station to investigate for explaining variables in EFs, but these comparisons are limited 465 as they only provide fraction of information about what is occurring in the soil. We did not observe 466 any diurnal changes in N₂O flux during high or low emission periods, as has been reported in previous 467 eddy covariance studies in which it is suggested that temperature changes may affect N₂O emissions (Liang et al., 2018). Finding a statistically significant correlation between EF and the WFPS % at the 468 469 time of fertilisation makes logical sense, as fertiliser pellets would be slow to dissolve into the soil 470 during dry periods (Chen et al., 2013). Correlation between EFs and rainfall prior to fertilisation events 471 has been made before at the Easter Bush site (Skiba et al., 2012), but the correlations observed in this 472 study are not convincing enough to say with certainty that this is a controlling variable in EF estimation. 473 As there is only a limited number of points from which to draw conclusions in this study (n = 10), we 474 do not believe the lack of strong correlation with variables is proof that environmental conditions are 475 not important. On the contrary, our study shows that there is a great deal of variability across the 476 events, and environmental factors must be the cause as very little else changes at the site during the 477 measurement years of 2012 to 2018.

478

479 <u>Future considerations for N₂O flux studies</u>

Although this study is unable to explain the reasons for the wide variability in EFs from different fertiliser types, it provides evidence that our ability to measure and understand fluxes of N₂O at the field scale is improving, primarily via the application of the eddy covariance method. Previously, it was unsure if the wide ranging EFs reported in many chamber studies were real, or a result of measurement uncertainties and interpolation of data spatially and temporally. This study shows that even with almost constant data coverage, the reported fluxes still vary widely and unpredictably between events. The most significant difficulty we predict when carrying out future studies is how

487 best to correlate soil properties and microbial activity at the field scale for comparison with eddy 488 covariance flux measurements. We know that the primary driver of anthropogenic N₂O emissions is 489 the availability of mineral nitrogen in soils (primarily NH_4^+ and NO_3^-); however, even mapping this 490 single driver (based on a handful of soil core measurements with no model by which to gap fill 491 spatially) to the footprint of an eddy covariance tower in a way that would allow significant statistical 492 correlation between flux and soil properties would be logistically difficult. As with N₂O flux (and many 493 other heterogeneous soil properties), available nitrogen in a field also follows a log-normal spatial and 494 temporal distribution (Cowan et al., 2015; Cowan et al., 2017) which means that a large number of 495 soil measurements at multiple depths would be required at regular time intervals (the collection of 496 which may even ruin the field site with constant trampling).

497 Microbial presence and activity is also vital to understand soil processes (Butterbach-Bahl et 498 al., 2013), and is prohibitively expensive, especially measured at the scale and high temporal rate that 499 eddy covariance would require for a robust comparison. For these reasons we consider the eddy 500 covariance method as a good way to provide data for the advancement of process based models and 501 verification of fertiliser emission factors; however, we do not recommend its use in experiments 502 attempting to advance understanding via controlled interactions between soil properties and N₂O 503 fluxes, which are probably better served by continuing incubation and plot scaled experiments using 504 flux chamber methodology.

505

506 **Conclusion:**

507 The EFs for N₂O reported in this study (cumulative flux over a period of 30 days after fertilisation) vary 508 from 0.13 to 5.71 % of applied nitrogen with EFs of 0.90 and 1.73 % for AN and urea fertiliser types, 509 respectively; however differences were not found to be statistically significantly due to the log-normal 510 distribution of the EF estimates. The study shows that similar applications of N can result in drastically 511 different emissions from the same field site in similar environmental conditions. More generally, given 512 that EFs can be so variable, we can conclude that detecting differences between fertiliser types or 513 other mitigation measures will be very challenging. The GAM provided a useful method able to fill the 514 gaps in flux data, using simple meteorological variables and provide uncertainties in cumulative flux 515 estimates.

516 Acknowledgements

517 Work was supported by the UK-China Virtual Joint Centre for Agricultural Nitrogen (CINAg, 518 BB/N013468/1), which is jointly supported by the Newton Fund, via UK BBSRC and NERC, and the 519 Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology with additional support for the site infrastructure from 520 NERC National Capability Funding, and the Natural Environment Research Council award number

- 521 NE/R016429/1 as part of the UK-SCaPE programme delivering National Capability. We also gratefully
- 522 acknowledge the invaluable help of farm manager Wim Bosma (Edinburgh University).

523 References:

- Abalos, D., S.E. Brown, A.C. Vanderzaag, R.J. Gordon, K.E. Dunfield, et al. 2015. Micrometeorological
 measurements over 3 years reveal differences in N₂O emissions between annual and perennial
 crops. Glob. Chang. Biol.
- 527 Akiyama, H., Yan, X., Yagi, K., 2009. Evaluation of effectiveness of enhanced-efficiency fertilizers as
- 528 mitigation options for N₂O and NO emissions from agricultural soils: meta-analysis. Global Change
 529 Biology 16, 1837–1846.
- Bateman, E.J., Baggs, E.M., 2005. Contributions of nitrification and denitrification to N₂O emissions
 from soils at different water-filled pore space. Biology and Fertility of Soils 41, 379–388.
- Ball, B.C., Scott, A., Parker, J.P., 1999. Field N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in relation to tillage, compaction
 and soil quality in Scotland. Soil and Tillage Research 53, 29–39.
- Bell, M.J., Hinton, N., Cloy, J.M., Topp, C.F.E., Rees, R.M., Cardenas, L., Scott, T., Webster, C., Ashton,
- 535 R.W., Whitmore, A.P., Williams, J.R., Balshaw, H., Paine, F., Goulding, K.W.T., Chadwick, D.R., 2015.
- 536 Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilised UK arable soils: Fluxes, emission factors and mitigation.
 537 Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 212, 134–147.
- 538 Brilli, L., Bechini, L., Bindi, M., Carozzi, M., Cavalli, D., Conant, R., Dorich, C.D., Doro, L., Ehrhardt, F.,
- Farina, R., Ferrise, R., Fitton, N., Francaviglia, R., Grace, P., Iocola, I., Klumpp, K., Léonard, J., Martin,
 R., Massad, R.S., Recous, S., Seddaiu, G., Sharp, J.,
- 541 Smith, P., Smith, W.N., Soussana, J.-F., Bellocchi, G., 2017. Review and analysis of strengths and 542 weaknesses of agro-ecosystem models for simulating C and N fluxes.
- 543 Sci. Total Environ. 598, 445–470.
- BSFP (2017): The British Survey of Fertiliser Practice. Fertiliser use on farm crops for crop year 2016.
 Defra, London. 99pp.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E.M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2013.
 Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls?
- 548 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368, 20130122–20130122.
- 549 Butler, J.H., Montzka, S.A. The NOAA annual greenhouse gas index (AGGI). NOAA Earth System 550 Research Laboratory, R/GMD, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305-3328, 2018; access 07/01/2019 551 http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/aggi/aggi.html
- 552 Carswell, A., Shaw, R., Hunt, J., Sánchez-Rodríguez, A.R., Saunders, K., Cotton, J., Hill, P.W., Chadwick,
- 553 D.R., Jones, D.L., Misselbrook, T.H., 2018. Assessing the benefits and wider costs of different N
- 554 fertilisers for grassland agriculture. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 1–15.

- 555 Chadwick, D.R., Cardenas, L., Misselbrook, T.H., Smith, K.A., Rees, R.M., Watson, C.J., McGeough, K.L.,
- Williams, J.R., Cloy, J.M., Thorman, R.E., Dhanoa, M.S., 2014. Optimizing chamber methods for
 measuring nitrous oxide emissions from plot-based agricultural experiments. European Journal of
 Soil Science 65, 295–307.
- Charles, A., Rochette, P., Whalen, J.K., Angers, D.A., Chantigny, M.H., Bertrand, N., 2017. Global nitrous
 oxide emission factors from agricultural soils after addition of organic amendments: A metaanalysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 236, 88–98.
- 562 Chen, H.H., Li, X.C., Hu, F., Shi, W., 2013. Soil nitrous oxide emissions following crop residue addition:
 563 a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol. 19, 2956–2964.
- 564 Congreves, K., S. Brown, D. Nemeth, K. Dunfield, and C. Wagner-Riddle. 2016. Differences in fieldscale
- 565 N₂O flux linked to crop reside removal under two tillage systems in cold climates. Glob. Chang. Biol.
 566 Bioenergy.
- 567 Cowan, N.J., Norman, P., Famulari, D., Levy, P.E., Reay, D.S., Skiba, U.M., 2015. Spatial variability and
 568 hotspots of soil N₂O fluxes from intensively grazed grassland.
- 569 Cowan, N.J., Levy, P.E., Famulari, D., Anderson, M., Drewer, J., Carozzi, M., Reay, D.S., Skiba, U.M.,
- 570 2016. The influence of tillage on N₂O fluxes from an intensively managed grazed grassland in
 571 Scotland. Biogeosciences 13, 4811–4821.
- 572 Cowan, N.J., Levy, P.E., Famulari, D., Anderson, M., Reay, D.S., Skiba, U.M., 2017. Nitrous oxide
 573 emission sources from a mixed livestock farm. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 243, 92–
 574 102.
- 575 Cowan, N., Helfter, C., Langford, B., Coyle, M., Levy, P., Moxley, J., Simmons, I., Leeson, S., Nemitz, E.,
 576 Skiba, U., 2018. Seasonal fluxes of carbon monoxide from an intensively grazed grassland in
 577 Scotland. Atmospheric Environment 194, 170–178.
- 578 Cowan, N., Levy, P., Drewer, J., Carswell, A., Shaw, R., Simmons, I., Bache, C., Marinheiro, J., Brichet,
- 579 J., Sanchez-Rodriguez, A.R., Cotton, J., Hill, P.W., Chadwick, D.R., Jones, D.L., Misselbrook, T.H.,
- 580 Skiba, U., 2019. Application of Bayesian statistics to estimate nitrous oxide emission factors of three 581 nitrogen fertilisers on UK grasslands. Environment International 128, 362–370.
- Davidson, E.A., 1993. Soil Water Content and the Ratio of Nitrous Oxide to Nitric Oxide Emitted from
 Soil, in: Biogeochemistry of Global Change. Springer US, pp. 369–386.
- Davidson, E.A., Keller, M., Erickson, H.E., Verchot, L.V., Veldkamp, E., 2000. Testing a Conceptual
 Model of Soil Emissions of Nitrous and Nitric Oxides. BioScience 50, 667.
- 586 Dobbie, K.E., Smith, K.A., 2003. Nitrous oxide emission factors for agricultural soils in Great Britain:
- the impact of soil water-filled pore space and other controlling variables. Global Change Biology 9,
 204–218.

- Erisman, J.W., Sutton, M.A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z., Winiwarter, W., 2008. How a century of ammonia
 synthesis changed the world. Nature Geoscience 1, 636–639.
- Finkelstein, P. L., and P. F. Sims. 2001. "Sampling Error in Eddy Correlation Flux Measurements."
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 106 (D4). Wiley-Blackwell: 3503–9.
- Flechard, C. R., Ambus, P., Skiba, U., Rees, R. M., Hensen, A., van Amstel, A., Dasselaar, A. van den P.,
 Soussana, J.-F., Jones, M., Clifton-Brown, J., Raschi, A., Horvath, L., Neftel, A., Jocher, M., Ammann,
- 595 C., Leifeld, J., Fuhrer, J., Calanca, P., Thalman, E., Pilegaard, K., Di Marco, C., Campbell, C., Nemitz,
- 596 E., Hargreaves, K. J., Levy, P. E., Ball, B. C., Jones, S. K., van de Bulk, W. C. M., Groot, T., Blom, M.,
- Domingues, R., Kasper, G., Allard, V., Ceschia, E., Cellier, P., Laville, P., Henault, C., Bizouard, F.,
 Abdalla, M., Williams, M., Baronti, S., Berretti, F. and Grosz, B. 2007. Effects of climate and
 management intensity on nitrous oxide emissions in grassland systems across Europe, Agric.
 Ecosyst. Environ., 121(1–2), 135-152, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.024.
- Foken, T.: 2003, Angewandte Meteorologie, Mikrometeorologische Methoden, Springer, Berlin,
 Heidelberg, 289 pp.
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J.,
 Lowe, D. C., and Myhre, G.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. Chapter
 2, in: Climate Change 2007. The Physical Science Basis, 2007.
- Fratini, G., Ibrom, A., Arriga, N., Burba, G., Papale, D., 2012. Relative humidity effects on water vapour
 fluxes measured with closed-path eddy-covariance systems with short sampling lines. Agricultural
- and Forest Meteorology 165, 53–63.
- 609 Fuchs, K., Hörtnagl, L., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., Snow, V., and Merbold, L.: Management matters:
- testing a mitigation strategy for nitrous oxide emissions using legumes on intensively managed
 grassland, Biogeosciences, 15, 5519-5543
- 612 Gelman, A. 2013. Bayesian Data analysis, 3rd edn. CRC Press, New York
- Glenn, A.J., M. Tenuta, B.D. Amiro, S.E. Maas, and C. Wagner-Riddle. 2012. Nitrous oxide emissions
 from an annual crop rotation on poorly drained soil on the Canadian Prairies. Agric. For. Meteorol.
 166--167: 41-49.
- Glibert, P.M., Harrison, J., Heil, C., Seitzinger, S., 2006. Escalating Worldwide use of Urea A Global
 Change Contributing to Coastal Eutrophication. Biogeochemistry 77, 441–463.
- 618 Harty, M.A., Forrestal, P.J., Watson, C.J., McGeough, K.L., Carolan, R., Elliot, C., Krol, D., Laughlin, R.J.,
- Richards, K.G., Lanigan, G.J., 2016. Reducing nitrous oxide emissions by changing N fertiliser use
 from calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) to urea based formulations. Science of The Total
 Environment 563–564, 576–586.

- Haszpra, L., Hidy, D., Taligás, T., Barcza, Z., 2018. First results of tall tower based nitrous oxide flux
 monitoring over an agricultural region in Central Europe. Atmospheric Environment 176, 240–251.
- 624 IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the
- 625 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team,
- 626 R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.
- Ibrom, A., Dellwik E., Flyvbjerg H., Jensen N. O., and Pilegaard K., 2007. "Strong Low-Pass Filtering
 Effects on Water Vapour Flux Measurements with Closed-Path Eddy Correlation Systems."
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 147 (3-4). Elsevier BV: 140–56.
- Jones, S.K., Famulari, D., Di Marco, C.F., Nemitz, E., Skiba, U.M., Rees, R.M., Sutton, M.A., 2011. Nitrous
 oxide emissions from managed grassland: a comparison of eddy covariance and static chamber
 measurements. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques 4, 2179–2194.
- Jones, S., Helfter, C., Anderson, M., Coyle, M. Campbell, C., Famulari, D., Di Marco, C. et al. 2017. "The
 Nitrogen, Carbon and Greenhouse Gas Budget of a Grazed, Cut and Fertilised Temperate
 Grassland." Biogeosciences 14 (8). Copernicus GmbH: 2069–88.
- Köhli, M., M. Schrön, M. Zreda, U. Schmidt, P. Dietrich, and S. Zacharias. 2015. "Footprint
 Characteristics Revised for Field-Scale Soil Moisture Monitoring with Cosmic-Ray Neutrons." Water
 Resources Research 51 (7). Wiley-Blackwell: 5772–90.
- Kroon, P.S., Hensen, A., Jonker, H.J.J., Ouwersloot, H.G., Vermeulen, A.T., Bosveld, F.C., 2010.
 Uncertainties in eddy covariance flux measurements assessed from CH4 and N2O observations.
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 806–816.
- Lehuger, S., Gabrielle, B., Oijen, M. van, Makowski, D., Germon, J. C., Morvan, T., Hénault, C., 2009.
 Bayesian calibration of the nitrous oxide emission module of an agro-ecosystem model.
 Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 133, 208–222.
- Levy, P.E., Cowan, N., van Oijen, M., Famulari, D., Drewer, J., Skiba, U., 2017. Estimation of cumulative
 fluxes of nitrous oxide: uncertainty in temporal upscaling and emission factors. European Journal
 of Soil Science 68, 400–411.
- Liang, L.L., Campbell, D.I., Wall, A.M., Schipper, L.A., 2018. Nitrous oxide fluxes determined by
 continuous eddy covariance measurements from intensively grazed pastures: Temporal patterns
 and environmental controls. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 268, 171–180.
- Linn, D.M., Doran, J.W., 1984. Effect of Water-Filled Pore Space on Carbon Dioxide and Nitrous Oxide
 Production in Tilled and Nontilled Soils1. Soil Science Society of America Journal 48, 1267.
- 653 Maag, M., Vinther, F., 1996. Nitrous oxide emission by nitrification and denitrification in different soil
- types and at different soil moisture contents and temperatures. Applied Soil Ecology 4, 5–14.

- Mammarella, I., Werle, P., Pihlatie, M., Eugster, W., Haapanala, S., Kiese, R., Markkanen, T., Rannik,
 Ü., Vesala, T., 2010. A case study of eddy covariance flux of N₂O measured within forest
 ecosystems: quality control and flux error analysis. Biogeosciences 7, 427–440.
- Marra, G., Wood, S.N., 2012. Coverage Properties of Confidence Intervals for Generalized Additive
 Model Components. SCANDINAVIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS 39, {53-74}.
- 660 Merbold, L., Eugster, W., Stieger, J., Zahniser, M., Nelson, D., Buchmann, N., 2014. Greenhouse gas
- budget (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O) of intensively managed grassland following restoration. Global Change
 Biology 20, 1913–1928.
- Moncrieff, J.B., Massheder, J.M., de Bruin, H., Elbers, J., Friborg, T., Heusinkveld, B., Kabat, P., Scott,
 S., Soegaard, H., Verhoef, A., 1997. A system to measure surface fluxes of momentum, sensible
 heat, water vapour and carbon dioxide. Journal of Hydrology 188–189, 589–611.
- Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009. Nitrous Oxide (N₂O): The Dominant Ozone Depleting Substance Emitted in the 21st Century. Science 326, 123–125.
- Robertson, G.P., Tiedje, J.M., 1987. Nitrous oxide sources in aerobic soils: Nitrification, denitrification
 and other biological processes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 19, 187–193.
- 670 Rochette, P., Worth, D.E., Lemke, R.L., McConkey, B.G., Pennock, D.J., Wagner-Riddle, C., Desjardins,
- R.J., 2008a. Estimation of N₂O emissions from agricultural soils in Canada. I: Development of a
 country specific methodology. Can. J. Soil Sci. 88, 641–654
- Rochette, P., Eriksen-Hamel, N.S., 2008b. Chamber Measurements of Soil Nitrous Oxide Flux: Are
 Absolute Values Reliable? Soil Science Society of America Journal 72, 331.
- 675 Skiba, U., Jones, S.K., Dragosits, U., Drewer, J., Fowler, D., Rees, R.M., Pappa, V.A., Cardenas, L.,
- 676 Chadwick, D., Yamulki, S., Manning, A.J., 2012. UK emissions of the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide.
 677 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 367, 1175–1185.
- Smith, K., Thomson, P., Clayton, H., Mctaggart, I., Conen, F., 1998. Effects of temperature, water
 content and nitrogen fertilisation on emissions of nitrous oxide by soils. Atmospheric Environment
 32, 3301–3309.
- Smith, K.A., McTaggart, I.P., Tsuruta, H., 1997. Emissions of N₂O and NO associated with nitrogen
 fertilization in intensive agriculture, and the potential for mitigation. Soil Use and Management 13,
 296–304.
- 684 Stehfest, E., Bouwman, L., 2006. N₂O and NO emission from agricultural fields and soils under natural
- vegetation: summarizing available measurement data and modeling of global annual emissions.
 Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 74, 207–228.
- Stevens, R.J., Laughlin, R.J., Malone, J.P., 1998. Soil pH affects the processes reducing nitrate to nitrous
 oxide and di-nitrogen. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 30, 1119–1126.

- Tilman, D., Cassman, K.G., Matson, P.A., Naylor, R., Polasky, S., 2002. Agricultural sustainability and
 intensive production practices. Nature 418, 671–677.
- 691 Vesala, T., Kljun, N., Rannik, Ü., Rinne, J., Sogachev, A., Markkanen, T., Sabelfeld, K., Foken, T., Leclerc,
- M.Y., 2008. Flux and concentration footprint modelling: State of the art. Environmental Pollution
 152, 653–666.
- 694 Wagner-Riddle, C., A. Furon, N.L. McLaughlin, I. Lee, J. Barbeau, et al. 2007. Intensive Management of
- 695 nitrous oxide emissions from a corn-soybean-winter-wheat rotation under two contrasting 696 management systems over 5 years. Glob. Chang. Biol. 13: 1722–1736.
- 697 Wagner-Riddle, C., K.A. Congreves, D. Abalos, A.A. Berg, S.E. Brown, et al. 2017. Globally important
- 698 nitrous oxide emissions from croplands induced by freeze-thaw cycles. Nat. Geosci. 10(4). doi:
- 699 10.1038/ngeo2907.
- 700 Waldo, S., E.S. Russell, K. Kostyanovsky, S.N. Pressley, P.T. O'Keeffe, et al. 2019. N₂O Emissions From
- 701 Two Agroecosystems: High Spatial Variability and Long Pulses Observed Using Static Chambers
- and the Flux-Gradient Technique. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 124(7): 1887–1904.
- 703 Wood, S. N.: Generalized additive models: an introduction with R, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton,
- 704 FL., 2006.